



Article Hyperthermia Disturbs and Delays Spontaneous Differentiation of Human Embryoid Bodies

Ji Hyun Kwon ^{1,†}, Hyun Kyu Kim ^{1,†}, Tae Won Ha ¹, Jeong Suk Im ¹, Byung Hoo Song ¹, Ki Sung Hong ², Jae Sang Oh ^{3,*}, Jaeseok Han ^{1,*} and Man Ryul Lee ^{1,*}

- ¹ Soonchunhyang Institute of Medi-Bio Science (SIMS), Soon Chun Hyang University, Cheonan 31151, Korea; jihyun5861@daum.net (J.H.K.); hyunkyu8505@naver.com (H.K.K.); htw5200@gmail.com (T.W.H.); vjvmf625@naver.com (J.S.I.); thdqudgn@naver.com (B.H.S.)
- ² Department of Medicine, Konkuk University School of Medicine and Mirae Cell Bio Co. LTD., Seoul 05029, Korea; stemness@gmail.com
- ³ Department of Neurosurgery, College of Medicine, Soonchunhyang University, Cheonan Hospital, Cheonan 31151, Korea
- * Correspondence: metatron1324@naver.com (J.S.O.); hanjs015@sch.ac.kr (J.H.); leeman@sch.ac.kr (M.R.L.); Tel.: +82-10-2918-3903 (J.S.O); +82-41-413-5027 (J.H.); +82-41-413-5013 (M.R.L.)
- + These authors equally contributed to this work.

Received: 11 May 2020; Accepted: 19 June 2020; Published: 26 June 2020

Abstract: Various types of stress stimuli have been shown to threaten the normal development of embryos during embryogenesis. Prolonged heat exposure is the most common stressor that poses a threat to embryo development. Despite the extensive investigation of heat stress control mechanisms in the cytosol, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) heat stress response remains unclear. In this study, we used human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) to examine the effect of heat stress on early embryonic development, specifically alterations in the ER stress response. In a hyperthermic (42 °C) culture, ER stress response genes involved in hESC differentiation were induced within 1 h of exposure, which resulted in disturbed and delayed differentiation. In addition, hyperthermia increased the expression levels of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) and C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP) genes, which are associated with the protein kinase RNA-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) signaling pathway. Furthermore, we demonstrated that tauroursodeoxycholic acid, a chemical chaperone, mitigated the delayed differentiation under hyperthermia. Our study identified novel gene markers in response to hyperthermia-induced ER stress on hESCs, thereby providing further insight into the mechanisms that regulate human embryogenesis.

Keywords: hyperthermia; embryogenesis; human embryonic stem cells; endoplasmic reticulum; stress response

1. Introduction

Hyperthermia or fever refers to a temporary rise in body temperature as a complex, systemic physiological response to infection or injury, serving as a defense mechanism to cellular stress [1]. Cells regulate their transcription and translation mechanisms in response to an increase in body temperature for various reasons. In addition, hyperthermia modifies the physical structure of intracellular enzymes by suppressing protein folding and denaturing mature proteins, resulting in the accumulation of misfolded or unfolded proteins that ultimately disturbs protein homeostasis in cells [2]. Upon sudden exposure to harsh external environmental conditions such as heat shock, cells initiate a self-defense mechanism known as the "heat stress response" including the heat shock response (HSR), which is mediated by the transcription factor heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) in the cytosol

[3]. Under normal conditions, HSF1 constitutes a complex comprising the chaperone heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) that maintains HSF1 in an inactive state [4]. However, when exposed to heat, the accumulated unfolded proteins compete with HSF1 for the binding site of HSP90, resulting in the release of HSF1 from the HSP90 complex. In turn, free active HSF1 induces the transcription of heat shock genes, which encode cytosolic chaperones such as HSPA1A (HSP70) and DNAJB1 (HSP40) [5]. During heat stress, these HSPs function as chaperones in the cytosol, combine with unfolded proteins, and contribute to maintaining cellular homeostasis by preserving these proteins until the heat stress is alleviated, or by degrading denatured proteins to minimize the accumulation of abnormal proteins [6–8].

In contrast to the HSP regulation mechanism in the cytosol, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) also regulates the unfolded proteins accumulated by heat stress with a distinct mechanism. Three signaling pathways in the ER membrane are involved in controlling denatured proteins accumulated in the ER: pancreatic ER kinase (PERK), inositol-requiring 1a (IRE-1a), and activation transcription factor 6 (ATF6) [9]. The accumulation of denatured proteins leads to the release of binding immunoglobulin proteins (BiP) from ER membrane proteins, which weakens the global protein synthesis by activating downstream genes [10]. With this series of unfolded protein response (UPR) processes, cells can remove denatured proteins and maintain their normal state. However, few studies have detailed which genes are affected during heat-induced ER stress and the subsequent impact on cell differentiation during development.

Hyperthermia has a negative effect on mammals by affecting temperature-dependent mechanisms that regulate the progressive development from the fertilized egg stage to the embryonic, prenatal, and postnatal stages, as well as the physiological processes, cell division, proliferation, and differentiation; thus, it influences organ formation and development [11]. Hyperthermia causes extensive structural and functional defects in the body, including the central nervous system, and leads to severe damage to cells and tissues [12]. In particular, as a developing embryo or fetus has limited capacity to control its own temperature, exposure to extreme heat stress has a direct effect on cell migration, proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis, and can potentially lead to abnormal embryonic development, delayed growth, or prenatal death. Studies have reported that high temperature led to defects in neural tube development and craniofacial development, including clefts, the axial and appendicular skeleton, body wall, and teeth, along with the heart and almost all other organs [13]. Usually, higher temperatures and longer exposures to high temperatures increase the occurrence of such abnormalities and the risk of miscarriage.

Although previous experiments have observed the occurrence of abnormalities caused by hyperthermia in almost all experimental animal species, a few of these findings have been confirmed in humans. The main reason for the lack of studies on human embryogenesis under heat stress is that the normal body temperature of an experimental animal is not the same as that of a human, which impairs the translation of the results from animal studies to humans [14]. Furthermore, most experimental animals are smaller than humans, have a different basal metabolic rate, and the levels of heat sensitivity vary across different species [1]. In particular, studies examining the effect of hyperthermia on early human embryogenesis are hindered by the lack of a suitable in vitro model of an early human embryo. It is generally recognized that faster heat damage to a developing embryo has a greater effect on malformation or embryogenesis; however, most of these studies have been limited to animal models, which makes it difficult to directly apply these results to human embryogenesis.

To overcome these limitations, in the present study, we used human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) to examine the effects of heat stress on early human embryogenesis. hESCs originating from the inner cell mass of the blastocyst stage at 4–5 days post-fertilization represent a crucial in vitro cell resource for identifying the genetic factors contributing to early embryogenesis and organogenesis [15]. With embryoid body (EB) formation, hESCs can replicate three-germ layer differentiation in vitro, which has contributed to the identification of various mechanisms involved in early embryogenesis and highly organized cell differentiation systems. By mimicking early human embryo differentiation using hESCs as an in vitro model, we aimed to reveal the defects caused by

hyperthermic culture conditions (42 °C) during differentiation in terms of the ER stress response mechanism.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Culture and Maintenance of hESCs

The WA09 hESC line (H9; WiCell Research Institute, WiCell, Madison, WI, USA) was maintained in the undifferentiated state using vitronectin and TeSR-E8 medium (Stem Cell Technologies). The cells were plated in vitronectin-coated dishes and incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. The medium was changed every 24 h. For passaging, the cells were enzymatically detached with TrypLE Express (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and transferred to a new coated dish every 5 days. Passages were made at a 1:4 or 1:5 split ratio.

2.2. EB Generation to Induce Spontaneous In Vitro Differentiation

For EB generation, clumps of undifferentiated hESCs were mechanically detached with a glass pipette, seeded onto non-adhesive bacterial dishes in a differentiation medium without basic fibroblast growth factor, and allowed to spontaneously form into EB as an initial differentiation method [16]. The differentiation medium was changed daily and consisted of Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM)/F12 (Invitrogen, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 20% KnockOut Serum Replacement (Invitrogen, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 1 mM glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids, 0.1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 0.1 mM beta-mercaptoethanol.

2.3. Hyperthermia and Chemical Chaperone Treatment

To impose heat stress on the differentiating human EB (hEB), EBs were first generated as described above and incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for 2 days. Previous studies suggested 42 °C as the appropriate temperature for these experiments [17]. To determine the optimal heat exposure time, the hEBs were exposed to 42 °C, which is generally not enough to cause cytotoxicity [18], in the 5% CO₂ incubator for different periods of time. After exposure to hyperthermic conditions, the hEBs were further cultured at the physiological temperature of 37 °C and allowed to differentiate using the same differentiation culture conditions described above. For chemical chaperone treatment, the EBs were treated with 200 μ M tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA; Calbiochem) in differentiation culture medium for 12 days; the medium was changed every 2 days.

2.4. RNA Isolation and cDNA Synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from the cultured cells using the easy-BLUE Total RNA Extraction Kit (iNtRon Biotechnology DR, Korea) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, the cells were treated with 1 mL of easy-BLUE and the resultant cell lysate was passed through a pipette tip several times. Homogenized samples were incubated for 5 min, and then 0.2 mL of chloroform was added to the samples. The tubes were shaken vigorously, incubated at room temperature for 10 min, and centrifuged at $13,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C. The aqueous phase was transferred to a new tube, and then 0.5 mL of isopropyl alcohol was added. The samples were centrifuged again at $13,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C, and the RNA pellet was washed with 75% ethanol, allowed to air dry, and then dissolved in RNase-free water. The concentration and purity of total RNA were determined using a Nanodrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). One microgram of total RNA was transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) using All-in-one 5× first strand cDNA Synthesis Master Mix (Cellsafe, Korea).

2.5. Reverse Transcription-Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR)

The synthesized cDNA was used as a template for qPCR with rTaq plus 5× PCR Master Mix (Elpisbio), and the PCR products were detected by 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis. The relative

mRNA levels were calculated from the comparative threshold cycle (Ct) values relative to *GAPDH* levels using the CFX96 Real-Time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) with SYBR green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The results were acquired by comparison with normally conditioned EBs. The target genes were amplified with the primers listed in Table 1.

Primers	Sequences	Length (bp)	Annealing Temperature (°C)		
	F: 5'- GGT GTG AAC CAT GAG AAG TAT				
CARRIE	GA-3'	100	(0)		
GAPDH	R: 5 - GAG TCC TTC CAC GAT ACC AAA G-	123	60		
	3'				
OCT4	F: 5'- TAT GGG AGC CCT CAC TTC AC-3'	144	60		
	R: 5'- AGT TTG TGC CAG GGT TTT TG -3'	144	80		
SOV2	F: 5'- GCT AGT CTC CAA GCG ACG AA -3'	144	60		
5072	R: 5'- GCA AGA AGC CTC TCC TTG AA -3'	144	80		
NANOG	F: 5'- CAG TCT GGA CAC TGG CTG AA -3'	140	60		
	R: 5'- CTC GCT GAT TAG GCT CCA AC -3'	147	00		
	F: 5'- GGA GAT GGT AGT AGA GGG ATG T				
BMP4	-3'	105	60		
	R: 5'- CGT GTG TGT GTG GTG TAT GT -3'				
	F: 5'- CAT GAC TCC GGT GTG AAT CTC -3'				
SOX17	R: 5'- CAC GTC AGG ATA GTT GCA GTA	99	60		
	ATA -3'				
ATE3	F: 5'- CCT CTG CGC TGG AAT CAG TC-3'	111	60		
	R: 5'- TTC TTT CTC GTC GCC TCT TTT T-3'				
ATF4	F: 5'- ATG ACC GAA ATG AGC TTC CTG-3'	153	60		
	R: 5'- GCT GGA GAA CCC ATG AGG T-3'	100			
	F: 5'- TCC TCG GTC AGT GGA CTC TTA-3'				
ATF6	R: 5'- CTT GGG CTG AAT TGA AGG TTT TG-	235	60		
CHOP	F: 5'- GGA AAC AGA GTG GTC ATT CCC-3'	116	60		
	R: 5'- CIG CIT GAG CCG TIC ATT CIC-3'				
BIP	F: 5'- CAI CAC GCC GIC CIA IGI CG-3'	104	60		
	R: 5'- CGI CAA AGA CCG IGI ICI CG-5'				
	F: 5' - AIG AIG GCA IGI AIG GIG AGC-3'	120	(0		
GADD54	R: 5 - AAC CTT GCA GTG TCC TTA TCA G-	120	80		
cYBD_1	2'	311	60		
5701-1		511	00		
	$F = 5'_{-} CCC TCC ACA ACA TCT CCC CAT_3'$				
tXBP-1	\mathbf{R} , $5'$, \mathbf{ACA} , \mathbf{TCA} , \mathbf{CTC} , \mathbf{CCT} , \mathbf{CCA} , \mathbf{ACT} , \mathbf{TCT} , $3'$	101	60		
	\mathbf{F} : $5'$ C A A CCC A C A C C A C C A C C A C $3'$				
NEUROD1	R: 5'- CTT CCC CTT TTC ATC CTC AT-3'	109	60		
	F: 5'- GAT GAG TCC TCC AGT GAA CAA G-				
	3'				
TBX3	R· 5'- CTT TGA GGT TCG ATG TCC CTA C-	91	60		
	3'				
Hand1	F: 5'- CGC CTA GCC ACC AGC TAC ATC-3'				
	R: 5'- CGC CAT CCG CCT TCT TGA GTT-3'	106	60		
SMA	F: 5'- ACC CAC AAT GTC CCC ATC TA-3'				
	R: 5'- GAA GGA ATA GCC ACG CTC AG-3'	123	60		
GATA6	F: 5'- TCC ACT CGT GTC TGC TTT TG-3'	140			
	R: 5'- CCC TTC CCT TCC ATC TTC TC-3'	140	60		
AFP	F: 5'- AAA TGC GTT TCT CGT TGC TT-3'	136	60		

Table 1. The sequence of primers used in RT-qPCR.

	R: 5'- GCC ACA GGC CAA TAG TTT GT-3'		
	F: 5'- ACC CAG TTC AAG GAG GAA ATA		
OFLM3	AG-3' R: 5'- CTC AGC ACT CTT TGG TGT AGT T- 3'	104	60
NR2F2	F: 5'- GAA GAT CTC TCC CTT CAC CTT TC- 3' R: 5'- GAA TCT CCT CCT CGG TGT TTA TC- 3'	100	60

2.6. RT2 Profiler PCR Array and TaqMan hPSC Scorecard Assay

To identify the expression levels of heat shock protein-coding genes that were altered under hyperthermia culture conditions, we used the Human Heat Shock Proteins & Chaperones RT² PCR Array (Qiagen, Germany). Synthesized cDNA was diluted with nuclease-free water and added to the SYBR green Master Mix. Then, 25 μ L mixture was added to each well of the PCR array, and real-time PCR was performed on the StepOne Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). SYBR green fluorescence detection was used with the following thermal profile: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s and annealing at 60 °C for 1 min. All PCR data were collected and analyzed using the online platform provided by Qiagen [19].

The TaqMan hPSC Scorecard was used to assess the tri-lineage differentiation potential of cells under different conditions. Scorecard assay analysis was performed using a TaqMan hPSC Scorecard Panel 2× 96w FAST kit (A15871; Applied Biosystems) per the manufacturer's protocol [20,21]. SYBR green detection was used with the following thermal profile: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 20 s, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 1 s and annealing at 60 °C for 20 s. Comparisons were made among cells in the normal group (37 °C), hyperthermia group (42 °C), and group treated with tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA) (200 μ M). The gene expression profiles of each group were compared with a common gene set of reference data using the analysis software provided by Thermo Fisher Scientific.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

All experiments were performed three times in triplicate and the data are represented as mean \pm SD for statistical comparisons. The statistical significance of the differences between the groups was evaluated using the Student's *t* test. The results with *p* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Optimizing the Conditions of Hyperthermia

To determine the optimal exposure time to hyperthermia for this in vitro model, we first compared the induction of UPR-related genes under exposure to 42 °C for different lengths of time while maintaining EB formation without causing hESC death. The hESC colonies were manually dissected and cultured in suspension for 2 days to induce EB formation, and the samples were harvested every hour to analyze mRNA expression levels (Figure 1A,1B), whereas EBs were cultured at 42 °C. There was no change in the expression levels of stemness marker genes for the first 16 h under heat stress, whereas the expression level of *NANOG* slightly decreased in EBs exposed to hyperthermic conditions for 8 and 16 h. Meanwhile, the expression levels of UPR genes *GADD34*, *CHOP*, *sXBP-1*, and *BIP* were the highest when exposed to heat stress for 4 h. The expression levels of most UPR-related genes returned to normal when cultured for longer periods under hyperthermia. Therefore, culturing hESCs for 4 hours at 42 °C was the most suitable exposure period to obtain the highest expression level of UPR-related genes while minimizing stress-mediated cell death.



В

2 4 8 16 (hours)

1	ATF4	-	-		-	-	-
UPR genes		1.00	1.10 ±0.02	1.16 ±0.03	1.14 ±0.03	1.10 ±0.02	1.19 ±0.01
	ATF3	-	-	-	-		
	/	1.00	3.07 ±1.04	3.44 * ±1.37	2.98 ±0.75	2.48 ±0.47	2.51 ±0.53
	GADD34	1.00	-	3 07 4 4		1.03	0.77
	CUOD	1.00	±0.36	3.87 ** ±0.95	3.55 * ±0.86	±0.35	±0.09
	СПОР	1.00	1.66	2.91	3.38 *	2.16	1.85
	tXRP-1	_	±0.19	±0.52	±0.66	±0.31	±0.21
		1.00	0.98	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.06
	sXBP-1	-	10.01		10.01		20.01
		1.00	4.50 ** ±1.91	** 5.52 *** ±2.56	*8.56 ***	**6.07 ** ±2.88	**1.49 ±0.21
	ATF6	-		-	-	-	-
		1.00	0.75 ±0.15	1.03 ±0.11	0.90 ±0.12	0.42 ±0.06	0.20 ±0.10
	BIP	-	-	-	-	-	-
ŝ		1.00	1.05 ±0.04	1.12 ±0.07	1.43 ±0.21	0.97 ±0.11	0.77 ±0.08
Stemness gene	OCT4	1.00	1.16		1.15	1.32	1 33
	50V2	1.00	±0.02	±0.05	±0.03	±0.07	±0.05
	3072	1.00	1.03	1.03	1.02	0.96	0.74
	NANOG		±0.02	±0.05	±0.04	±0.05	±0.06
	/////00	1.00	0.94	0.91	0.82	0.61	0.51
	GAPDH	-					
		1.00	1.00 ±0.00	1.00 ±0.00	1.00 ±0.00	1.00 ±0.00	1.01 ±0.01

0

1

Figure 1. Determination of the optimal experimental hyperthermic conditions for unfolded protein response (UPR) activation without causing human embryonic stem cell (hESC) death. (**A**) Phase-contrast images showing in vitro spontaneous differentiation of the hESCs under normal and hyperthermic conditions. (**B**) Gene expression analysis by RT-qPCR using total RNA isolated from spontaneously differentiated EBs exposed to a hyperthermic condition for the indicated times. The embryoid bodies (EBs) expressed UPR genes and stemness genes. Fold changes of signal intensity were normalized by *GAPDH* intensities. Fold changes represent the mean values obtained from the three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by comparing the relative band intensities referring to those of undifferentiated hESCs as 1. Student's t-test: * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, and *** *p* < 0.0001.

3.2. Hyperthermia Disturbs Spontaneously Differentiating hEBs through UPR Induction

EBs cultured for 4 h at 37 °C (normothermia) and 42 °C (hyperthermia) were then induced to spontaneously differentiate, and the gene expression levels were examined at 0–24 h. In both temperature groups, no significant difference was observed in the expression levels of stemness marker genes (*OCT4*, *NANOG*, and *SOX2*) and the differentiation marker genes (*NeuroD1*, *BMP4*, and *SOX17*) over time (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure S1). Although the expression of the stemness marker genes *NANOG* and *SOX2* was significantly decreased by the hyperthermia condition compared to normothermia, the differentiation marker genes did not change during this incubation period as it was likely too narrow for any changes in gene expression to occur. By contrast, the expression levels of the UPR-related genes *CHOP*, *ATF3*, and *GADD34* continued to increase for up to 1 h of differentiation in EBs cultured under hyperthermia, and then declined gradually over time (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure S1). The expression levels of other UPR-related genes (*ATF4* and *ATF6*) were not affected by heat stress.



Figure 2. Hyperthermia activates the embryoid body (EB) stress pathway in human EBs. RT-qPCR analysis of the EBs cultured under normothermic (black bars) and hyperthermic (gray bars) conditions. Gene expression of the markers of stemness (*OCT4*, *NANOG*, and *SOX2*), lineage-specific

markers (*NeuroD1*, *BMP4*, and *SOX17*), and ER stress-induced UPR-related genes (*ATF4*, *ATF3*, *GADD34*, *CHOP*, *tXBP1*, *sXBP1*, *ATF6*, and *BIP*). The housekeeping gene *GAPDH* was used as a loading control. Fold changes of signal intensity were normalized by *GAPDH* intensities. Fold changes shown are the mean values obtained from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by comparing the relative band intensities and referring to those of undifferentiated hESCs as 1. Student's t-test: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001.

The effects of heat stress on the differentiation of hESCs into the three germ layers were examined immediately after exposure to the hyperthermia treatment (Figure 3). The EBs were cultured for 4 h under normothermia and hyperthermia culture conditions, and were then induced to trigger differentiation for 12 days in the same differentiation medium (Figure 3A). The UPR-related genes and genes of different lineages were then compared using the TaqMan hPSC Scorecard analysis and RT-qPCR to evaluate the induction of the UPR. These data demonstrated greater the suppression of the expression of the three-germ-layer-associated genes in the hyperthermia group than in the normal temperature group (Figure 3B).

To identify the expression patterns of three-germ-layer genes in response to hyperthermia, we examined the mRNA expression in EBs differentiated for 1, 3, 5, 10, and 12 days. The expression of *OCT4* and *NANOG* was evident in undifferentiated EBs, and apparently suppressed at day 5 after differentiation induction at normal temperature, whereas the expression of *OCT4* and *NANOG* persisted even after day 10 of differentiation in EBs exposed to hyperthermic conditions (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure S2). The expression of three-germ-layer genes was gradually induced in suspended EB cultures, and the EBs exposed to hyperthermic conditions showed distinct gene expression patterns from those differentiating under normal physiological temperature. Specifically, the expression of EBs exposed to hyperthermia or a normal temperature, respectively. Expression levels of the ectoderm gene *NeuroD1* in EBs differentiated under hyperthermic conditions remained very low until day 12. The levels of endoderm genes (*GATA6, AFP,* and *SOX17*) and the ectoderm gene *NR2F2* in EBs were similar under hyperthermia and normal conditions. These results suggest that hyperthermia stress disturbs the process of hESC differentiation.



Figure 3. Hyperthermia disturbs spontaneously differentiating human EBs (hEBs) through UPR induction. (**A**) Phase-contrast images showing the in vitro spontaneous differentiation of the hESCs for the indicated days after exposure to hyperthermia or normothermia for 4 h. (**B**) Heatmap of the differentiation potential of all the gene classes (mesoderm, endoderm, ectoderm, mesendoderm, and self-renewal) of EBs at day 12 after exposure to hyperthermia or normothermia for 4 h. (**C**) Expression

of pluripotency markers (*OCT4*, *NANOG*, and *SOX2*) and differentiation markers (ectoderm: *NeuroD1*, *NR2F2*, *PAX6*; mesoderm: *Hand1*, *SMA*, *BMP4*; endoderm: *GATA6*, *AFP*, *SOX17*) examined by RT-qPCR. The black bars indicate the normal condition and the gray bars indicate the hyperthermia condition. The housekeeping gene *GAPDH* was used as a loading control. Fold changes in the signal intensity were normalized with *GAPDH*. Fold changes shown are the mean values obtained from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by comparing the relative band intensities and referring to those of undifferentiated hESCs as 1. Student's t-test: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001.

3.3. TUDCA Suppresses the Expression of UPR-Related Genes

To identify whether the heat stress-induced disruption of hESC differentiation was regulated by ER stress, we treated the EBs with the chemical chaperone TUDCA, and examined the influence on UPR-related genes and differentiation-related genes (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure S3). After EB formation, we divided the EBs into groups grown under normal conditions, cultured for 4 h under the hyperthermia condition, and treated with TUDCA after hyperthermia treatment. We then examined the UPR-related gene expression every hour. Compared with the group differentiated under normal conditions, the hyperthermia condition significantly increased the expression levels of *ATF3*, *GADD34*, *sXBP1*, and *ATF6* in a shorter period of time, whereas TUDCA suppressed the increase in UPR-related genes, decreasing their expression to near-basal levels.

In the early hours following the exposure of EBs to hyperthermia, the induction of UPR-related expression was disturbed or the hESC differentiation into three germ layers was delayed. In TUDCA-treated EBs exposed to heat stress, we determined the effect of reduced ER stress on early hESC differentiation by analyzing the expression of three-germ-layer-specific genes such as *OLFM3*, *NR2F2*, *NeuroD1*, *GATA6*, *AFP*, *SOX17*, *SMA*, *TBX3* and *BMP4* with RT-qPCR (Figure 4B). We obtained the z-score for the expression of three-germ-layer-specific genes and the similarity among the three groups (normal, hyperthermia, and TUDCA + hyperthermia) from hierarchical clustering. Figure 4B shows the expression levels of ectoderm-specific genes (*OLFM3*, *NR2F2* and *NeuroD1*), mesoderm-specific genes (*SMA*, *TBX3* and *BMP4*), endoderm-specific genes (*GATA6*, *AFP* and *SOX17*). Expression levels of mesoderm-specific genes were generally lower under hyperthermic conditions compared with those detected under normal temperature. However, the TUDCA treatment recovered the expression of these genes to the level observed under normal temperature. Changes in the expression of ectoderm- and endoderm-specific genes by hyperthermia were as notable as those of mesoderm-specific genes, but there was only a marginal effect of TUDCA on ectoderm and endoderm genes.



Figure 4. Tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA) suppressed the induction of UPR-related genes under heat stress. (A) UPR-related genes measured by RT-qPCR. Left: EBs were cultured under normal conditions for the indicated times; right: DMSO (control)- or TUDCA-treated EBs cultured under

hyperthermic conditions for the indicated times. Fold changes shown are the mean values obtained from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by comparing the relative band intensities with those of undifferentiated hESCs as 1. Student's t-test: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001. The Black bars indicate the normal condition, black border gray bars indicate the hyperthermic condition, and gray bars indicate the hyperthermic condition with TUDCA. (**B**) Heatmap of the differentiation markers (ectoderm: *OLFM3*, *NR2F2*, *NeuroD1*; mesoderm: *SMA*, *TBX3*, *BMP4*; endoderm: *GATA6*, *AFP*, *SOX17*) expressed over time from day 0 to day 12.

4. Discussion

The present study provides new insights to reveal that hyperthermia disturbs ER homeostasis in hESC differentiation and induces UPR-related gene expression, which may have a significant effect on early embryonic development. In particular, treatment with TUDCA, which is known to attenuate ER stress and prevent UPR dysfunction in differentiating hESCs exposed to heat stress, suppressed the induction of UPR-related genes and restored the expression of organ differentiation-related genes to normal levels [22]. Hence, the present model could effectively recapitulate early human embryo differentiation in vitro using hESCs, revealing that the abnormal differentiation caused by heat stress is mediated by the ER stress response.

A human embryo or fetus is precisely programmed to differentiate and develop into a complete individual. During pregnancy, from fertilization to birth, an embryo or fetus responds to continuous extrinsic and intrinsic stimuli, undergoes normal gene transcription and translation, which tightly regulate cell proliferation, migration, and apoptosis [23]. In addition to the regulators involved in normal embryogenesis, an embryo or fetus is exposed to a variety of cell stress stimuli, which are recognized as important elements in normal embryogenesis.

In general, if exposed to relatively mild heat stress before an embryo is implanted to the uterine wall, no abnormality occurs during the ensuing embryonic development; in contrast, if continuously exposed to heat stress to an extent that causes damage to the embryo, the embryo tends to not be implanted [11]. After implantation, an embryo enters a period of rapid cell proliferation to develop organs after organogenesis. This is the period in which the embryo is most susceptible to hyperthermia, and in which stress exposure may cause various developmental malformations. A developing brain or central nervous system seems to be particularly vulnerable under hyperthermic conditions, which can lead to craniofacial, skeletal, and heart malformations [1]. The earlier the damage inflicted to a developing embryo, the more severe abnormal development becomes, with more organs ultimately being affected. Heat stress exposure in later fetal development stages mostly delays growth, but does not have the same effect as that in early embryonic development [1]. Since a developing embryo has limited capacity to control temperature on its own, the embryo entirely relies on the mother for temperature control. Radiation, diseases, and viral infections are common heat stressors during pregnancy [13]. However, recent studies have also identified increasing temperatures caused by global warming as another heat stressor. According to the Ghana maternal health survey, exposure to high heat is harmful to pregnancy, and as the global temperature increases, stillbirths or miscarriages also increase, although this finding is not statistically significant [24]. In addition, a Canadian clinical cohort study found that prolonged exposure to over 30 °C can possibly cause non-critical congenital heart defects, such as atrial septal defects [25]. However, as each animal model has different temperature thresholds, our current knowledge is limited to the effects of hyperthermia from models of malformations confirmed in different experimental animals. Hence, it is important to establish a model that can replicate human embryogenesis in vitro. In this respect, we have demonstrated that hESCs can be used as a cell source to best represent human embryogenesis.

In general, cells exposed to hyperthermia respond with a cascade of molecular mechanisms associated with human stem cells. The occurrence of severe heat-related adverse effects in embryogenesis hinders normal embryogenesis due to changes in the physical structure of intracellular proteins (especially enzymes) with a consequent loss of enzyme activity [13]. However, detailed studies on ER stress response mechanisms caused by heat exposure are scarce. Hence, we focused on the ER stress response mechanism in developing hESCs exposed to hyperthermia involving denatured and unfolded proteins. Since differentiating hESCs have a shorter cell cycle, they also have less time to recover from extracellular stress, making them more vulnerable to heat stress. Moreover, many unfolded proteins accumulate under heat stress. To regulate the accumulated unfolded proteins in the cells, UPR-related genes are induced to maintain protein homeostasis. Three UPR signaling pathways are mediated by three signaling systems in the ER: PERK, IRE-1a, and ATF6. In our study, only the levels of PERK signaling-related genes were increased by heat stress in hESCs, and there was almost no change in the expression of downstream genes related to the IRE-1a and ATF6 signaling pathways. PERK, a type I transmembrane protein kinase, is activated through autophosphorylation under elevated ER stress, and subsequently phosphorylates eukaryotic initiation factor 2 alpha subunit (eIF2 α) to inhibit general transcription and translation processes. Phosphorylated eIF2 α inhibits the formation of a complex that is important for initiating protein translation by inhibiting the activity of eIF2B, thereby reducing the amount of unfolded proteins entering the ER. Conversely, the translation of ATF4, which is inactivated under normal conditions, increases protein translation due to $eIF2\alpha$ phosphorylation, thereby increasing the transcription of GADD34 to regulate protein synthesis; alternatively, ATF4 also induces apoptosis by increasing the transcription of CHOP. As shown in Figure 2C, differentiating EBs exposed to hyperthermia appear to regulate the amount of unfolded proteins entering the ER by reducing the expression of differentiation-related genes. In addition, the treatment of cells with the chemical chaperone TUDCA significantly reduced PERK signaling, which was increased in a short period of time under heat stress, and restored the levels of three-germ-layer-specific genes to normal. This finding is consistent with that of a previous study, which showed that relieving ER stress in mammalian cells in vitro had positive effects on embryo survival and development [26]. However, how TUDCA affects embryonic development and protects embryos from heat stress using an in vivo model should be explored in the future.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that heat stress disturbs the early differentiation of hESCs associated with ER stress, and provides a new perspective on how hESCs control and respond to external stresses such as hyperthermia.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2227-9059/8/6/176/s1.

Author Contributions: J.H.K. and H.K.K.: conception and design, collection and/or assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript writing; T.W.H., J.S.I., and B.H.S.: data analysis and interpretation; J.S.O., K.S.H., and J.H.: data interpretation, and J.H., and M.R.L: conception and design, financial support, manuscript writing, and final approval of manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2018M3A9B5024060, NRF-2017M3A9G7072745, NRF-2017M3A9C6033069, and NRF-2019M3E5D1A02069061), by Global Research Development Center (NRF-2016K1A4A3914725) funded by the Ministry of Education, and by a grant (715003–07) from the Research Center for Production Management and Technical Development for High Quality Livestock Products through Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs Research Center Support Program, Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Soonchunhyang Biomedical Research Core Facility of Korea Basic Science Institute (KBSI) for help with qRT-PCR. Special thanks to STEMOPIA.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Ziskin, M.C.; Morrissey, J. Thermal Thresholds for Teratogenicity, Reproduction, and Development. *Int. J. Hyperth.* 2011, 27, 374–387.
- Zou, J.; Guo, Y.; Guettouche, T.; Smith, D.F.; Voellmy, R. Repression of heat shock transcription factor HSF1 activation by HSP90 (HSP90 complex) that forms a stress-sensitive complex with HSF1. *Cell* 1998, 94, 471– 480, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81588-3.
- Anckar, J.; Sistonen, L. Regulation of HSF1 function in the heat stress response: Implications in aging and disease. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 2011, *80*, 1089–1115, doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-060809-095203.

- Guo, Y.; Guettouche, T.; Fenna, M.; Boellmann, F.; Pratt, W.B.; Toft, D.O.; Smith, D.F.; Voellmy, R. Evidence for a mechanism of repression of heat shock factor 1 transcriptional activity by a multichaperone complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, 276, 45791–45799, doi:10.1074/jbc.M105931200.
- Park, S.; Lim, Y.; Lee, D.; Elvira, R.; Lee, J.M.; Lee, M.R.; Han, J. Modulation of Protein Synthesis by eIF2alpha Phosphorylation Protects Cell from Heat Stress-Mediated Apoptosis. *Cells* 2018, 7, 254, doi:10.3390/cells7120254.
- Gagnon, D.; Dorman, L.E.; Jay, O.; Hardcastle, S.; Kenny, G.P. Core temperature differences between males and females during intermittent exercise: Physical considerations. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2009, 105, 453–461, doi:10.1007/s00421-008-0923-3.
- Browning, R.C.; Baker, E.A.; Herron, J.A.; Kram, R. Effects of obesity and sex on the energetic cost and preferred speed of walking. *J. Appl. Physiol.* (*Bethesda Md.* 1985) 2006, 100, 390–398, doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00767.2005.
- 8. Wyndham, C.H.; McPherson, R.K.; Munro, A. Reactions to Heat of Aborigines and Caucasians. J. Appl. Physiol. **1964**, *19*, 1055–1058, doi:10.1152/jappl.1964.19.6.1055.
- 9. Ron, D.; Walter, P. Signal integration in the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2007**, *8*, 519–529, doi:10.1038/nrm2199.
- 10. Bertolotti, A.; Zhang, Y.; Hendershot, L.M.; Harding, H.P.; Ron, D. Dynamic interaction of BiP and ER stress transducers in the unfolded-protein response. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2000**, *2*, 326–332, doi:10.1038/35014014.
- 11. Edwards, M.J.; Shiota, K.; Smith, M.S.; Walsh, D.A. Hyperthermia and birth defects. *Reprod. Toxicol.* (*Elmsford N.Y.*) **1995**, *9*, 411–425, doi:10.1016/0890-6238(95)00043-a.
- 12. Wang, L.; Deng, Y.; Duan, D.; Sun, S.; Ge, L.; Zhuo, Y.; Yuan, T.; Wu, P.; Wang, H.; Lu, M.; et al. Hyperthermia influences fate determination of neural stem cells with lncRNAs alterations in the early differentiation. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0171359, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171359.
- 13. Edwards, M.J. Review: Hyperthermia and fever during pregnancy. *Birth Defects Res. Part A Clin. Mol. Teratol.* 2006, *76*, 507–516, doi:10.1002/bdra.20277.
- 14. Chambers, C.D. Risks of hyperthermia associated with hot tub or spa use by pregnant women. *Birth Defects Res. Part A Clin. Mol. Teratol.* **2006**, *76*, 569–573, doi:10.1002/bdra.20303.
- Thomson, J.A.; Itskovitz-Eldor, J.; Shapiro, S.S.; Waknitz, M.A.; Swiergiel, J.J.; Marshall, V.S.; Jones, J.M. Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. *Science* 1998, 282, 1145–1147, doi:10.1126/science.282.5391.1145.
- 16. Lee, M.R.; Kim, J.S.; Kim, K.S. miR-124a is important for migratory cell fate transition during gastrulation of human embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells (Dayt. Ohio)* **2010**, *28*, 1550–1559, doi:10.1002/stem.490.
- Elvira, R.; Cha, S.J.; Noh, G.M.; Kim, K.; Han, J. Perk-Mediated Eif2α Phosphorylation Contributes to the Protection of Dopaminergic Neurons from Chronic Heat Stress in Drosophila. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 845, doi:10.3390/Ijms21030845.
- Yang, K.L.; Huang, C.C.; Chi, M.S.; Chiang, H.C.; Wang, Y.S.; Hsia, C.C.; Andocs, G.; Wang, H.E.; Chi, K.H. In vitro comparison of conventional hyperthermia and modulated electro-hyperthermia. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 84082–84092.
- 19. Boone, D.R.; Micci, M.A.; Taglialatela, I.G.; Hellmich, J.L.; Weisz, H.A.; Bi, M.; Prough, D.S.; DeWitt, D.S.; Hellmich, H.L. Pathway-focused PCR array profiling of enriched populations of laser capture microdissected hippocampal cells after traumatic brain injury. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0127287, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127287.
- 20. Fergus, J.; Quintanilla, R.; Lakshmipathy, U. Characterizing Pluripotent Stem Cells Using the TaqMan(R) hPSC Scorecard(TM) Panel. *Methods Mol. Biol. (Clifton N.J.)* **2016**, *1307*, 25–37, doi:10.1007/7651_2014_109.
- Tsankov, A.M.; Akopian, V.; Pop, R.; Chetty, S.; Gifford, C.A.; Daheron, L.; Tsankova, N.M.; Meissner, A. A qPCR ScoreCard quantifies the differentiation potential of human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2015, 33, 1182–1192, doi:10.1038/nbt.3387.
- Yoon, Y.M.; Lee, J.H.; Yun, S.P.; Han, Y.S.; Yun, C.W.; Lee, H.J.; Noh, H.; Lee, S.J.; Han, H.J.; Lee, S.H. Tauroursodeoxycholic acid reduces ER stress by regulating of Akt-dependent cellular prion protein. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 39838, doi:10.1038/srep39838.
- Lin, T.; Lee, J.E.; Oqani, R.K.; Kim, S.Y.; Cho, E.S.; Jeong, Y.D.; Baek, J.J.; Jin, D.I. Delayed blastocyst formation or an extra day culture increases apoptosis in pig blastocysts. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 2017, 185, 128– 139, doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2017.08.012.

- Asamoah, B.A.-O.; Kjellstrom, T.; Östergren, P.O. Is ambient heat exposure levels associated with miscarriage or stillbirths in hot regions? A cross-sectional study using survey data from the Ghana Maternal Health Survey 2007. Int. J. Biometeorol. 2018, 62, 319–330.
- Auger, N.; Fraser Wd Fau-Sauve, R.; Sauve R Fau-Bilodeau-Bertrand, M.; Bilodeau-Bertrand M Fau-Kosatsky, T.; Kosatsky, T. Risk of Congenital Heart Defects after Ambient Heat Exposure Early in Pregnancy. *Environ. health Perspect.* 2017, 125, 8–14.
- Lin, T.; Lee, J.E.; Kang, J.W.; Shin, H.Y.; Lee, J.B.; Jin, D.I. Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) Stress and Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) in Mammalian Oocyte Maturation and Preimplantation Embryo Development. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 409, doi:10.3390/ijms20020409.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).