

Table S1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist [22,23]

SECTION AND TOPIC	ITEM #	PRISMA-SCR CHECKLIST ITEM	Location where item is reported
Title			
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	Title
Abstract			
Structured summary	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	Supplementary table S2
Introduction			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a scoping review approach.	Paragraphs 2 and 5
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	Paragraphs 7, 8 and 11-13
Methods			
Protocol and registration	5	a) Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered. b) Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared. c) Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	Abstract and paragraphs 9 and 20
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	Paragraphs 14 and 15
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	Paragraphs 15-17
Search	8	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	Supplementary table S3
Selection of sources of evidence†	9	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Paragraphs 17 and 19
Data charting process	10	a) List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect. b) List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	Paragraphs 11-13 and 18

Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	NA
Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence§	12	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	NA
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	Paragraphs 19 and 20
Results			
Selection of sources of evidence	14	a) Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	Figure 1.
		b) Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	NA
Characteristics of sources of evidence	15	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were charted and provide the citations.	Figure 2. Supplementary tables S4 and S5. Paragraphs 21-23.
Critical appraisal within sources of evidence	16	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence (see item 12).	NA
Results of individual sources of evidence	17	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	Table 2. Supplementary tables S4 and S5. Paragraphs 24-45.
Synthesis of results	18	Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review questions and objectives.	Figure 2. Supplementary tables S4 and S5. Paragraphs 21-45.
Discussion			
Summary of evidence	19	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives, and consider the relevance to key groups.	Paragraphs 46-57.
Limitations	20	Paragraph	Paragraphs 58-60.
Conclusions	21	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	Paragraphs 61-63.
Other information			
Funding	22	Declare any competing interests of review authors	Paragraph 66.

NA: not applicable.