

## Article

# Benefits Perceived by Caregivers of Patients with Alzheimer's Disease on Physical and Emotional Health in an Interdisciplinary Program: A Qualitative Study

Javier Urbano-Mairena <sup>1,\*</sup> , Javier De Los Ríos-Calonge <sup>2</sup>, Salvador Postigo-Mota <sup>3</sup>, Julián Carvajal-Gil <sup>1</sup>, Elisa Sofía Silveira-Saraiva <sup>4</sup> , Joan Guerra-Bustamante <sup>5</sup>  and Laura Muñoz-Bermejo <sup>1,\*</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Social Impact and Innovation in Health (InHEALTH) Research Group, University Centre of Mérida, University of Extremadura, 06800 Mérida, Spain; carvajal@unex.es

<sup>2</sup> Department of Sport Sciences, Sports Research Centre, Miguel Hernández University of Elche, 03202 Elche, Spain; javier.rios01@goumh.umh.es

<sup>3</sup> Farmacogenética de Enfermedades Psiquiátricas, Faculty of Medicine, University of Extremadura, 06006 Badajoz, Spain; spostigo@unex.es

<sup>4</sup> Queercus Software Engineering Group, Escuela Politécnica, University of Extremadura, 10003 Cáceres, Spain; sofiasilveira@unex.es

<sup>5</sup> Physical and Health Literacy and Health-Related Quality of Life (PHYQOL) Research Group, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of Extremadura, 10003 Cáceres, Spain; joangb@unex.es

\* Correspondence: jurbmai@unex.es (J.U.-M.); lauramunoz@unex.es (L.M.-B.)

**Abstract:** Alzheimer's disease is the most common type of dementia, severely affecting the families and caregivers who live with those affected. The aim was to explore the physical, psychological, and behavioral benefits for caregivers of people with Alzheimer's disease. Fifteen semi-structured interviews were conducted with informal Alzheimer's caregivers upon completion of the program. Following a discussion on the topics, categories, and codes among the researchers, a consensus was reached to obtain the final themes and categories. Three main categories were obtained: (1) perceived benefits by the participants; (2) applicability of the knowledge; and (3) proposals for improvement. Participants expressed having perceived improvements in their ability to manage emotions and cope with the situation created by the disease, physical capacity, and in their relationships. In this sense, the application of the contents addressed during the intervention became a fundamental tool for the participants' daily lives. This study showed how an interdisciplinary intervention with psychological sessions, health education, and physical activities could be beneficial for improving both the physical and mental health of caregivers.

**Keywords:** Alzheimer's disease; qualitative study; informal caregivers; psychoemotional factors



**Citation:** Urbano-Mairena, J.; De Los Ríos-Calonge, J.; Postigo-Mota, S.; Carvajal-Gil, J.; Silveira-Saraiva, E.S.; Guerra-Bustamante, J.; Muñoz-Bermejo, L. Benefits Perceived by Caregivers of Patients with Alzheimer's Disease on Physical and Emotional Health in an Interdisciplinary Program: A Qualitative Study. *Healthcare* **2024**, *12*, 1414. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12141414>

Academic Editor: Mustafa Z. Younis

Received: 10 May 2024

Revised: 1 July 2024

Accepted: 12 July 2024

Published: 16 July 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD), the most common type of dementia, is a degenerative disease characterized by memory loss, the loss of cognitive function, and functional impairment, with associated neuropsychological symptoms [1,2].

It is estimated that there are around 47 million people with dementia worldwide, and according to population projections, this number is estimated to double every 20 years reaching 131.5 million people by 2050 [3].

In addition, more than 11 million family and other unpaid caregivers were providing approximately 18 billion hours of care to people with Alzheimer's or other dementias in 2022 [4].

Family and informal caregivers living with people with dementia are profoundly affected as they provide high-intensity care that involves many hours per day, requires significant effort, often for years [5].

The widespread involvement of activities of daily living (ADLs) that dementia processes entail involves many tasks, time, and dedication on the part of the caregiver. Communication between the person with dementia and their caregiver is also increasingly impaired, making understanding each other and being understood an extremely difficult task. This ‘care time’ that the caregiver invests in the person with dementia reduces the time for themselves and has been linked to caregiver stress levels [6], role overload, and lack of information, along with financial problems and changes in the caregiver’s health status. All this leads to a decrease in quality of life.

Interventions to reduce the stressors affecting caregivers are varied and include individual, family, or group therapies. Psycho-educational programs [7,8] have been developed and found to significantly improve knowledge of the disease and coping with it and decrease anxiety levels. Cognitive-behavioral and educational programs [9,10] have shown a decrease in subjective caregiver burden and an improvement in family functioning and caregiver stress levels. In addition, multi-component programs targeting caregiver depression, burden, behaviors and self-care, social support, and behavioral and psychological symptoms [11–15] have also been developed with positive results on caregiver quality of life.

Although it has been shown in different studies that carers often do not have the time to participate in preventive health activities, such as regular physical training [16,17], we know that physical exercise has direct psychological benefits and can reduce subjective burden [18–20]. In this sense, didactic exercise interventions have been developed for people with AD and their caregivers [21,22].

So far, several studies have evaluated from a qualitative perspective the process of adaption to the disease by family caregivers and the positive and negative experiences of caregiving [23,24]. However, no study has evaluated the perceived benefits for caregivers of people with AD after participating in an interdisciplinary program with psychoemotional education, care and social support, and physical activity training interventions. A qualitative methodology in longitudinal studies could have important benefits in the lives of participants [25]. Approaching perception using qualitative methods can provide a more complete picture of program benefits in this population as psychosocial aspects, which are not easily measured, expressed, and interpreted from a quantitative perspective, help to gain insight and understanding on more complex emotional responses that quantitative methodology cannot reveal [26]. For this reason, a qualitative methodology is essential to provide a comprehensive understanding of the caregiver’s perspective.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to explore the physical and emotional benefits experienced by caregivers of people with Alzheimer’s disease, as well as to explore the knowledge acquired about the disease and its care in caregivers who participated in an interdisciplinary group program based on physical exercise sessions and emotional and care and social support in Alzheimer’s disease.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study Design and Procedure

A qualitative research method was used to carry out this study, collecting data through semi-structured interviews and analyzing it through thematic analysis [27]. In order to provide a more complete picture of the benefits and perceptions of caregivers following the interdisciplinary intervention, this study was approached using qualitative methods. Among the positive aspects of this methodology is a better understanding of the emotions of caregivers.

To ensure research rigor, the criteria established by Lincoln and Guba [28] were followed. Appendix E Table A5 shows the strategies followed in this research for each of the established criteria.

## 2.2. Intervention

The intervention was carried out in Extremadura, between October and June 2023, for 9 months, with two weekly sessions of 60 min in person; in addition, voluntarily, participants could access an informal virtual session through a private web page. These virtual sessions were known as “information pills”, and participants were able to view content covered during the sessions in a virtual and summarized form. In order for participants to be able to view each session at any time, the sessions were conducted asynchronously. Table 1 shows a total of 60 face-to-face sessions were held, 20 in each of the areas of physical activity, health education, and psychoemotional education. Each week, the sessions were conducted in a single area, with participants addressing each of the areas every 3 weeks. Appendices A–C Tables A1–A3.

**Table 1.** Areas and content.

| Area of Intervention      | Number of Sessions | Content/Module                                                                                                                              |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Physical Activity         | 20                 | Flexibility<br>Mobility<br>Postural hygiene habits<br>Upper body strengthening<br>Lower body strengthening                                  |
| Care and social support   | 20                 | Knowledge of the disease<br>Care of the sick persons: mouth, skin,<br>and digestive tract<br>Nutrition<br>Social and administrative support |
| Psychoemotional Education | 20                 | Emotions<br>Functions<br>Professional balance<br>Satisfaction and happiness<br>Complementary alternatives                                   |

The participants had to meet the following inclusion criteria to participate in the study: (i) serve as the primary informal caregiver of a person with AD for more than 20 h per week for at least 3 months and intend to continue for the next 12 months; (ii) not have any pathologies; (iii) provide the signed informed consent for the study.

## 2.3. Sample and Recruitment

Participants in the interdisciplinary program ( $n = 15$ ) were recruited from Alzheimer’s family associations. Participants were recruited by convenience sampling. All participants enlisted for the study consented to participate and satisfied the inclusion criteria. They did not receive any type of benefits for participating in the program.

For the recruitment of participants, the Alzheimer’s family association was contacted in the first instance, where the intervention was carried out by first canvassing potential participants. After an initial survey by the association, the researchers held an information meeting on the intervention project. Once the intervention program had been drawn up, the participants were informed about the interviews. For the interviews, a date and time were set for each interview with each participant by text message, at least one week in advance, with a reminder message being sent the days before to avoid the absence of the interviewee at the interview. All interviews were conducted in the same centers where the intervention was undertaken.

## 2.4. Interviews

A total of 15 semi-structured interviews were conducted. At the end of the intervention, a semi-structured interview was conducted with each of the participants to obtain in-depth information about the experience of the participants in the interdisciplinary Integral Care

program. Each interview lasted between 15 and 35 min. Only the interviewer and the participant were in the room during the interview. The interviews were audio-recorded with the prior recorded consent of the interviewed participant. Given the private and personal nature of the information revealed during the recording, the anonymity of the participants was protected through the use of numbers or codes.

The interview was of a semi-structured narrative nature, seeking to inquire about aspects such as the development of social skills; approach to personal situations; emotional changes; care techniques for the patient; benefits perceived by the participants; and general and specific aspects of the intervention program. In addition, data were collected on age, gender, degree of relationship to the patient, sufficient economic income, level of education, and marital status. Clarifications on the questions were made when necessary. No interviews were repeated. The semi-structured interview is shown in Appendix D Table A4.

### 2.5. Analysis

All recorded interviews were transcribed by researchers J.U.M. and J.R.C. in the language in which they were conducted. In the writing of the article, selected text fragments from the transcribed interviews were translated into English.

The transcribed interviews were analyzed following a thematic analysis, which is used to recognize patterns of meaning and to make sense of the qualitative data [27].

This was followed by a first reflexive reading of all interviews, developing a logical perspective of the interviews. Subsequently, the original transcribed text of the interviews was analyzed by researcher J.U.M. and Ph.D. L.M.B. The MAXQDA 2024 software, was used to identify, code, and categorize the most relevant text fragments. Each researcher carried out an analysis individually, and later, a comparison analysis was carried out in different meetings between the researchers and a third collaborator, which allowed the themes and categories to be adjusted, as well as improving the quality of the analysis, enhancing the results achieved. The established themes, categories, and codes were revised after a debate on them, reaching a consensus on the discrepancies seen.

Although the final interviews analyzed did not continue to add new information to the research, it was considered that a point of data saturation was not reached as all the interviews analyzed provided some information of value to this research.

In addition, for the age variable, the mean and standard deviation were calculated.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Participants' Profile

The main participants in this study were 15 informal caregivers of individuals with Alzheimer's disease ( $58 \pm 8.32$ ). Table 2 shows the characteristics of the participants. The sample included 10 female caregivers ( $54.3 \pm 5.6$ ) and 5 male caregivers ( $65.4 \pm 8.32$ ). The participants were mainly related to the patients as sons or daughters, with sufficient income to provide care. Most of the participants had higher education or secondary education, and in relation to the marital status of the participants, they were married.

**Table 2.** Sample of description.

| Participant Number | Age Range | Gender | Degree of Relationship to the Patient | Sufficient Economic Income | Level of Education | Marital Status |
|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1                  | 51–55     | Female | Son/daughter                          | Yes                        | Secondary School   | Married        |
| 2                  | 56–60     | Male   | Couple                                | Yes                        | Secondary School   | Divorced       |
| 3                  | 56–60     | Male   | Son/daughter                          | Yes                        | Higher Education   | Single         |
| 4                  | 66–70     | Male   | Couple                                | No                         | High School        | Married        |
| 5                  | 56–60     | Female | Son/daughter                          | No                         | Secondary School   | Married        |
| 6                  | 56–60     | Female | Son/daughter                          | Yes                        | Higher Education   | Married        |
| 7                  | 56–60     | Female | Son/daughter                          | Yes                        | Higher Education   | Single         |
| 8                  | 61–65     | Male   | Son/daughter                          | Yes                        | High School        | Single         |
| 9                  | 46–50     | Female | Son/daughter                          | Yes                        | Higher Education   | Married        |

Table 2. Cont.

| Participant Number | Age Range | Gender | Degree of Relationship to the Patient | Sufficient Economic Income | Level of Education                                | Marital Status |
|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 10                 | 61–65     | Female | Couple                                | No                         | Secondary School                                  | Married        |
| 11                 | 56–60     | Female | Couple                                | No                         | High School                                       | Single         |
| 12                 | 51–55     | Female | Son/daughter                          | No                         | Higher Education                                  | Married        |
| 13                 | 71–75     | Male   | Couple                                | Yes                        | Elementary Education (Reading and Writing Skills) | Married        |
| 14                 | 46–50     | Female | Son/daughter                          | Yes                        | Higher Education                                  | Married        |
| 15                 | 51–55     | Female | Son/daughter                          | Yes                        | High School                                       | Married        |

### 3.2. The Results of the Analysis

Following the thematic analysis of the interviews, 3 categories were found (Table 3), revealing the perception of the participants in different areas of the intervention, the application of the knowledge acquired by the participants, and the proposals for improvement for future interventions.

Table 3. Categories and subcategories.

| Category                           | Subcategory                    |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Benefits perceived by participants | Personal relationship          |
|                                    | Coping with illness situations |
|                                    | Psychoemotional domain         |
|                                    | Physical perception            |
| Applicability of knowledge         | Care                           |
|                                    | Nutrition                      |
|                                    | Mobilization techniques        |
| Proposals for improvement          | Content distribution           |

#### Category 1. Benefits perceived by the participants

Figure 1 shows 4 subcategories obtained: personal relationship, coping with illness situations, psychoemotional environment, and physical perception.




Figure 1. Theme and four main subcategories with the benefits perceived by the participants.

### Personal relationship

Participants reported changes in the way they related to their family and close environment after the intervention.

*"You have to work hard in your head and be well so that you are not overcome by the situation so that it can't get the better of you. It is true that it creates a lot of anxiety for the patient when the person who is with them is nervous or tense, so the calmer I am when I am with my mother, the calmer I will be when I am with her"* (Participant 6).

On the other hand, caregivers perceived improved social skills.

*"I have been working on social skills for some time now, but I think that the program has given me an important advance"* (Participant 2).

In addition, during the analysis, it was appreciated that the relationship created between the participants of the intervention had been a very important pillar for them.

*"We have been involved, we have supported each other, we have opened up to each other, we have supported each other when someone was weak"* (Participant 3).

Being in the same situation, they created new bonds between them, becoming part of their support network.

*"Seeing that there are other people who are going through situations very similar or the same as yours, makes me feel more supported in the sense that they can advise you, and above all, that they understand you"* (Participant 4).

*"To be in the same situation as other people, to see that you are not alone, to meet ordinary people, that people outside don't understand and don't know the burden that goes with it. . . and sometimes there are situations where you can't, and coming to the intervention and seeing that I am not alone has unburdened me"* (Participant 15).

### Coping with illness situations

The carers' perception of the personal situation created by Alzheimer's disease in their relative changed after their participation in the program.

*"I approach it from a different perspective. I approach it with hope and seeing more probabilities, and perhaps, seeing challenges not as problems but as opportunities"* (Participant 3).

In order for this situation not to overwhelm the informal carers, the attitude towards the situation had to be calm and patient.

*"At first, I was overwhelmed. . . until I realized that it was the illness, it wasn't her. . . and through the tools we have, depending on whether she became stubborn, or repeated things a lot, or threw me, for example, I told myself. . . but, don't you see? That helped me to understand and optimize my resources, achieving more patience above all"* (Participant 15).

In addition, the participants reported that they have acquired knowledge in the different areas taught in the program, and it has enabled them to act in a more confident way with their relatives.

*"I started to understand that it was easier to have knowledge and to know how you have to react. So, having that information made me feel confident and at the same time helped my mother"* (Participant 5).

Carers not only perceived changes in coping with the illness on a personal level, but they also saw changes in the way they deal with and/or interact with their family member.

*"Now I know that every time she says it is her first time, so I have been taught to be more patient and more empathetic with her and. . . to deal with it better"* (Participant 6).

For example, by reducing the aggressiveness, nervousness, and moodiness they sometimes showed towards the sick person.

*“Before, I could get a bit more irritable with some things that the relative did and now I have seen that these are things that the illness entails, and I try to be different” (Participant 7).*

*“It is a change above all in my attitude towards my relative, towards my environment. . . it has been good for controlling a little bit the episodes of aggression and nerves that often occur” (Participant 8).*

#### Psychoemotional changes

From a psychoemotional point of view, participants reported major changes, on the one hand, in the way they have begun to manage their emotions.

*“The program has brought me a lot of joy, because my personal situation at the moment was not particularly happy and taking part in the program has brought me an added joy, which I had not expected” (Participant 3).*

They also reported a reduction in the pressure and guilt they may feel in their day-to-day lives.

*“to say. . . we are caregivers, we are not superheroes, so remove a little bit of the guilt of if one day you can’t or one day you don’t arrive or one day you don’t know or one day you don’t give more because you don’t give more” (Participant 3).*

On the other hand, caregivers reported changes in their self-care. They started to give more importance and priority to themselves.

*“To value yourself and love yourself more, if you are well, the people around you will be well, and that is very important” (Participant 9).*

*“Give priority to you, don’t forget that if you fail as the caregiver, everything else fails, so give importance to you and your rest time” (Participant 3).*

Regardless of what other people may think, they started to do activities that they would not have done before their participation in the program. They have shown changes in their perception of the situation and have given more importance to their mental well-being and self-worth.

*“I think more about me. I don’t think about what people think, I just think about what I or can be good for me. For example, last week I went to a concert. A year ago, I wouldn’t have done it thinking that I would leave my husband at home, and now, I don’t, I looked for a person with whom he would be fine, and I did it, and a year ago, I wouldn’t have done it” (Participant 10).*

*“Before I had very low self-esteem, with a lot of anxiety, and learning to do relaxation exercises, increasing my self-esteem, valuing myself, because I didn’t value myself. . . it has been very good for me. Since the program started until now, I’ve changed 90%. . . no to say 100%” (Participant 1).*

#### Physical changes

Participants reported that the physical exercise program fostered a positive increase in their well-being.

*“It has brought me happiness. You really discover that you can do simple exercises which are not expensive to do, and also corrected and supervised, it is wonderful” (Participant 11).*

They reported an increase in the frequency of daily physical activity and, thus, the benefits of physical activity not only on a psychological level but also on a physical level, with strength being one of the variables in which they perceived the most changes.

*“I have been encouraged to exercise every day, I see it in a different way. . . it has been very good for me and I’m very happy about it” (Participant 12).*

*“Because, If the caregiver is not strong, if the caregiver does not take care of him/herself, he/she cannot continue to take care” (Participant 5).*

Having increased their strength, together with having learned how to carry out care techniques, has helped them greatly in their work as carers, being something fundamental for them, for the prevention of possible injuries in both the carer and the patient.

*“Because before I might have bent down in one way and... then I saw that it hurt, for example. You can see that I hadn’t done it properly, so no physics has helped me a lot to... for the strength... for the way to... I mean, to lift him... to lay him down... and all of that has helped me a lot” (Participant 13).*

### Category 2. Applicability of knowledge

This second category was also found inductively after the interviews. It refers to the way in which participants apply and use the knowledge acquired during the program. Figure 2 illustrates the obtained subcategories, mobilization techniques, care and nutrition.




**Figure 2.** Theme and three main sub-categories with the applicability of knowledge.

#### Care

To a greater extent, the interviewees referred to what they have learned in the area of health education. They reported having learned a lot of care techniques.

*“In the care classes, I had no idea about more than half of the things, and now I have the knowledge, which I will use when it comes” (Participant 12).*

Moreover, not only the caregivers attending the program benefited from learning from the program, as some interviewees reported that the people around them (or their immediate environment) have become more aware of the situation, learning different caregiving techniques as well.

*“I especially liked the topic of oral hygiene, as it was a topic I had not thought about, and since the course, we are more insistent on this topic at home. The truth is that it was very good” (Participant 9).*

#### Nutrition

Participants indicated the importance of their family members having good eating habits. Therefore, during the interviews, they revealed the personal satisfaction they felt in learning about nutrition and diet and thereby being able to provide their family members with better eating habits, directly impacting their mood.

*“At mealtimes, I am calmer... I feed him in a different way, if possible, without so much impatience after what I have learned here” (Participant 4).*

#### Mobilization Techniques

With the increase in physical activity and general knowledge of patient care, participants conveyed how all of this had greatly improved their ability to mobilize their family members in different situations.

*“It is noticeable, that there is more information and you learn more. For example, one of the techniques I use to lift my father I learned here on the subject of personal hygiene care” (Participant 5).*

*“Everything has been positive. Physically I was very neglected, and I had to support my mother a lot with her treatment and I didn’t have the right information to do things properly” (Participant 12).*

### Category 3. Improvement Proposals

This category was found inductively after conducting the interviews and subsequent analysis. A subcategory was reported, as reflected in Figure 3, which shows the content distribution.




**Figure 3.** Theme and one subcategory with improvement proposals.

#### Content distribution

Unifying the weekly program hours or even increasing the number of weekly hours in a single session were some proposals made, always with the aim of having more personal use time per week.

*“I would have liked to unify the sessions of each area on a weekly basis, instead of doing two hours a week, or, to do the double time to make it compatible with our family and work life” (Participant 14).*

They also suggested altering the order of some sessions, considering that some theoretical aspects would be more suitable to be taught at the beginning of the program, all with the aim of better understanding the objectives of the practical activities.

*“We receive explanations about the benefits of physical exercise at the end of the program. It would be better to receive these explanations at the beginning of the program. In the beginning we received wonderful explanations about the physical exercises we were doing, but no explanations of why and what for, and what happens to oneself” (Participant 2).*

Lastly, participants expressed the need to increase the number of hours and importance of physical activity sessions, with the aim of increasing the frequency of physical exercise.

*“I would change that instead of doing the physical activity session every three weeks, I would do it once a week. In the health education psychology weeks, instead of doing one hour twice a week, I would do one and a half hours and the other physical exercise session” (Participant 1).*

#### 4. Discussion

The main objective of this qualitative study was to learn about and explore the perceived benefits in terms of physical, caregiving knowledge and social support and psychological-emotional levels among informal caregivers of individuals with Alzheimer's disease who participated in an interdisciplinary program. After conducting a thematic analysis of participants' experiences in the program through semi-structured interviews, three categories emerged: perceived benefits by the participants, the applicability of acquired knowledge, and improvement proposals for future programs.

In general, participants highlighted how rewarding and beneficial it was for them to participate in a program of this nature. The negative effects on the health of caregivers of individuals with dementias such as Alzheimer's disease have been documented. High levels of psychological and emotional burden [29], distress [30], vulnerability [31], and stress are some of the harmful effects on caregiver health. However, several studies have demonstrated how interventions targeting caregivers, focusing on psycho-educational aspects and family support, could reduce caregiver burden [30] and even that longer-duration interventions were more successful than short or single-session interventions [32].

The socio-demographic data of our study are in line with those seen in other studies. The majority of caregivers are female, with an average age between 53 and 56 years [29,33,34], married [29], and are typically related to the patient as daughter or wife [29].

On the other hand, the participants stated during the interviews how the knowledge acquired in each of the areas had become an indispensable tool for coping with the situation. In this sense, the results analyzed indicate how the participants perceived benefits in the way they relate socially, how they cope with the situation created by the disease, as well as finding benefits on a psychoemotional and physical level.

It is true that all participants reported physical and emotional improvement; however, these results are in line with other studies, which show associations between physical and emotional problems derived from caring for a person with dementia [35], given that Alzheimer's disease affects both the personal life of the caregiver and the family [29]. On an emotional level, participants reported improved self-care, which has been directly associated with emotional well-being and overall health [36]. Furthermore, caregiver self-care prioritization may be influenced, according to Pope et al. [36], by personal characteristics, such as commitment to their well-being, ability to cope with challenges, or optimism. Therefore, due to the interdisciplinary nature of the program, physical activity may have had a positive influence on increasing self-care [37], as well as awareness of the importance of the caregiver in the whole caregiving process.

Regarding the personal relationships of the participants, they experienced changes within their immediate environment, as well as with the internal group created by the intervention. Participants expressed the number of activities and personal experiences they had stopped doing to care for the patient, something that has already been evidenced in other studies showing how informal caregivers tend to sacrifice time with friends and family, thereby reducing social contact and support [38,39]. However, finding people in the same situation, as well as the new relationship created with their close environment (family and close friends), is associated with increased self-care and better emotional management, leading to a decrease in burden or stress as they find tools and resources to cope with the situation [38], observing a direct positive relationship between social support and psychological well-being [40]. In this sense, the program became a psychological and social support group where participants felt they somehow shared the same burden and situations.

The new way of facing the situations was expressed by all participants, having acquired new knowledge and tools for it. They expressed it in two different ways: firstly, in how they faced the situation with the patient, and secondly, in their perception of the disease. Coping with a family member's dementia illness creates higher levels of distress and burden for caregivers [6,13]. However, it was observed how some participants saw the situation in a better light than others in the same situation, making coping with the

situation very complex. The ability to manage stress can improve both the ability to cope with the illness and the regulation of emotions, ultimately affecting the quality of life of caregivers [41].

Regarding the second category deduced from the interviews, we found how participants apply the knowledge acquired during the intervention program. However, no quantitative or qualitative study was found that has analyzed or evaluated how Alzheimer's caregivers have used or applied the knowledge acquired during an interdisciplinary intervention with psycho-educational, cognitive-behavioral, educational, and physical training. In our study, during the interviews, participants expressed having implemented techniques for moving the patient in their daily routine. For a long time, many participants expressed not knowing the correct technique and position to avoid injuries for both the caregiver and the patient, and they greatly appreciated having learned how to move their family members in a safer way. On the other hand, caregiving techniques were very useful for all participants, as well as knowing tools that facilitate feeding the patient. Empowering the participant to become a more informed and capable caregiver has been associated with a lower level of burden [42]. Therefore, implementing these tools in the patient's care routine to cope with the situation could decrease stress and distress related to moving or feeding the patient, personal self-care, and emotion management, ultimately reducing the emotional and psychological burden on the caregiver.

Firstly, knowledge on patient care and, secondly, on the utilization of these tools to cope with the situation reduced stress related to moving or feeding the patient, personal self-care, and emotion management, derived from knowing a greater number of patient care techniques, ultimately reducing the emotion and psychological burden on the caregiver.

As a final point to address, the third category, proposals for improvement, was mainly related to increasing the hours of physical activity in general. The benefits of physical activity have been more than evident. Physical activity is essential for maintaining good health [43], and its benefits in cardiovascular health and muscular strength development [44], as well as in overall well-being [45], have been well documented. Participants repeatedly expressed the need to incorporate at least one hour of physical activity every week instead of having physical activity sessions every few weeks. Anxiety or depression [46,47], which are sometimes present in caregivers of Alzheimer's patients, are some of the diseases associated with physical inactivity. Therefore, weekly guided physical activity could become an ideal and necessary tool for caregivers.

In this study, we encountered several limitations, such as the nature of the study or the sample size, which did not allow us to generalize the results. Future research should aim to analyze the potential effects of an interdisciplinary intervention with a greater number of teaching hours per week.

## 5. Conclusions

From what was expressed by the participants of the Integral Care interdisciplinary program, it was understood that participating in the sessions contributed to improving the ability to manage emotions, establish social relationships, and cope with the situations created by the disease.

Furthermore, considering the increase in knowledge about the disease, the application of care techniques and patient mobilization can lead to the improvement of the physical, mental, and psychological health of informal Alzheimer's caregivers.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, J.U.-M.; Methodology, J.G.-B.; Software, J.D.L.R.-C.; Validation, E.S.S.-S.; Formal analysis, J.G.-B.; Investigation, J.U.-M. and J.D.L.R.-C.; Resources, J.C.-G. and S.P.-M.; Data curation, J.G.-B.; Writing—original draft preparation, J.U.-M.; Writing—review and editing, E.S.S.-S., J.D.L.R.-C. and L.M.-B.; Visualization, J.C.-G.; Supervision, E.S.S.-S., S.P.-M. and L.M.-B.; Project administration, S.P.-M. and L.M.-B.; Funding acquisition, J.C.-G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research is funded by the “Consejería de Economía, Ciencia y Agenda Digital de la Junta de Extremadura” and the European Social Fund, grant number #IB20175. The sponsors play no role in the design of the study, the decision to publish, or the preparation of the manuscript.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** This research was approved by the Bioethics and Biosafety Committee of the University of Extremadura (approval number 129/2020).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in this study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The datasets used during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Appendix A

**Table A1.** Model physical activity session.

| Part of the Session      | Example of Tasks and Activities                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Warm-up                  | General joint mobility exercises were carried out: thoracic, dorsal, hip, knee, and ankle mobility. At the end of the first part, activation exercise/games were carried out, mainly aimed at improving coordination and reaction. |
| Main part of the session | The main part of the session consisted of a circuit of different strength exercise, structured by movement patterns.                                                                                                               |
|                          | All exercises, as well as the intensity and volume were adapted according to the individual needs of the participants                                                                                                              |
|                          | The main part consisted of performing a circuit of 7 exercises. Exercise was performed for 40" with 30" rest between exercise. The circuit was repeated 3 times. All exercises were previously practiced.                          |
|                          | The exercises were:                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|                          | Push-ups with knees resting on the floor.                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|                          | Dead weight                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|                          | Hip bridge                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|                          | Unilateral dumbbell rows                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                          | Goblet squat                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|                          | Front plank with knees                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|                          | The intensity of the session was monitored by heart and a rating of perceived exertion from 0 to 10.                                                                                                                               |
| Cool down                | The main part of the cool-down was muscle stretching.                                                                                                                                                                              |

## Appendix B

**Table A2.** Psychoemotional education area session model “Self-care session for the informal care-giver”.

| Part of the Session | Example of Tasks and Activities                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Introduction        | At the start of the session, a group dynamic is carried out to continue working on group cohesion with a ball of wool and each participant passes it around while commenting on personal aspects of their day-to-day life that a worry or concern them in caring for their family member. We offer active listening and space to share emotions, remember techniques for emotional regulation and share useful strategies of each one of us. We recall the previous session, resolve doubts, and encourage them to continue putting into practice what they have learnt so far. |

**Table A2.** *Cont.*

| Part of the Session      | Example of Tasks and Activities                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Main part of the session | The Topic of the session is presented: “Self-care for the informal carer”.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                          | The session is developed addressing aspects that protect the physical and mental health of the informal caregiver, an approach to the functioning of the respiratory system in a panic attack is offered and we learn how to breathe through Deep breathing exercises, and other relaxation strategies are also offered. |
|                          | Real day-to-day situations are presented in groups to generate brainstorming on effective coping strategies for the caregiver and cognitive restructuring of thoughts and speeches that generate anxiety is carried out.                                                                                                 |
| Conclusions              | Summarize the session and encourage further implementation of the strategies learnt so far.                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |

### Appendix C

**Table A3.** Session model of care and social support.

| Part of the Session      | Example of Tasks and Activities                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Introduction             | The topic is introduced in a general way and open questions are asked so that participants can express their knowledge.                                                                                                                        |
| Main part of the session | The main subject is addressed in depth. The importance of hygiene to prevent possible alterations, the therapeutic alternatives and oral hygiene products, the instruments to use and the method to carry out a correct hygiene are explained. |
| Conclusions              | The most important and necessary ideas are extracted to carry out the oral hygiene of the person in an adequate way.                                                                                                                           |

### Appendix D

**Table A4.** Semi-structured interview.

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| What do you think about the Integral Care program you have participated in? Tell me a little about your experience                                                                                                             |
| If we compare the time since you started participating in the program to the present day, have you noticed any change in yourself?<br>What kind of change have you perceived? What do you think is the reason for this change? |
| We have seen several techniques related to learning in the sessions related to daily life. Which ones do you remember? Have you practiced them? Every day? When did you feel better to use them?                               |
| Do you think this experience has helped you to relate differently to your body? In what way?                                                                                                                                   |
| How do you feel emotionally after participating in this program? Could you describe it a little more?                                                                                                                          |
| Do you consider that there is a change in you when dealing with a personal situation?                                                                                                                                          |
| Do you think that having participated in the Integral Care program has helped you to gain experience and develop social skills? If you could rate it by any measure, what would it be?                                         |
| Have you received any feedback from people close to you who have experienced your process while participating in the Integral Care program?                                                                                    |
| What would you change or improve about the Integral Care program?                                                                                                                                                              |
| Would you recommend this intervention program to other people who are in the same situation as you?                                                                                                                            |
| Would you like to comment on anything else or address any other issue that has not been discussed?                                                                                                                             |

## Appendix E

**Table A5.** Criteria and strategies used for qualitative research.

| Rigor Criteria  | Strategies Employed to Ensure the Rigor of the Research                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Transferability | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Verbal quotes from participants' testimonies were incorporated into the document.</li> <li>Information regarding the study and its participants has been included to allow readers to independently formulate their conclusions.</li> </ul>                                                |
| Dependability   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A protocol was established in advance for the collection of information.</li> <li>A researcher external to the analysis evaluated the reliability of the codes and data obtained during the analysis.</li> </ul>                                                                           |
| Confirmability  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Researchers convened meetings on multiple occasions to analyze the themes obtained from the interviews.</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Credibility     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All interviews were recorded via audio.</li> <li>An interview script was initially drafted. After deliberations among the research team, a finalized interview script was obtained.</li> <li>Information concerning the acquired results was disseminated among the researchers</li> </ul> |

## References

- Albert, M.S.; DeKosky, S.T.; Dickson, D.; Dubois, B.; Feldman, H.H.; Fox, N.C.; Gamst, A.; Holtzman, D.M.; Jagust, W.J.; Petersen, R.C.; et al. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* **2011**, *7*, 270–279. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Alzheimer's Association Report. Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement.* **2020**, *16*, 391–460.
- Alzheimer's Association. *World Alzheimer Report 2021: Journey through the Diagnosis of Dementia*; Alzheimer's Disease International: London, UK, 2021.
- Alzheimer's Association Report. Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement.* **2023**, *19*, 1598–1695.
- Kasper, J.D.; Freedman, V.A.; Spillman, B.C.; Wolff, J.L. The Disproportionate Impact of Dementia on Family and Unpaid Caregiving to Older Adults. *Health Aff.* **2015**, *34*, 1642–1649. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Sullivan, A.B.; Miller, D. Who is taking care of the caregiver? *J. Patient Exp.* **2015**, *2*, 7–12. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Sitges Macià, E.; Bonete López, B. Desarrollo de un programa psicoeducativo en inteligencia emocional para cuidadores principales de enfermos de Alzheimer. *Eur. J. Investig. Health Psychol. Educ.* **2015**, *4*, 257–266. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Cristancho-Lacroix, V.; Wrobel, J.; Cantegreil-Kallen, I.; Dub, T.; Rouquette, A.; Rigaud, A.S. A web-based psychoeducational program for informal caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's disease: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *J. Med. Internet Res.* **2015**, *17*, e117. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Velasquez, V.; Lopez, L.; Lopez, H.; Catano, N.; Munoz, E. The effect of an elderly people caregivers' educational program: A cultural perspective. *Rev. Salud Publica* **2011**, *13*, 458–469. [[PubMed](#)]
- Sánchez-Pascual, P.; Mouronte-Liz, P.; Olazarán-Rodríguez, J. Beneficios de un programa de formación del cuidador en la demencia: Experiencia piloto desde la enfermería especializada. *Rev. Neurol.* **2001**, *33*, 432–434. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Belle, S.H.; Burgio, L.; Burns, R.; Coon, D.; Czaja, S.J.; Gallagher-Thompson, D.; Resources for Enhancing Alzheimer's Caregiver Health (REACH) II Investigators. Enhancing the quality of life of dementia caregivers from different ethnic or racial groups: A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2006**, *145*, 727–738. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Eisdorfer, C.; Czaja, S.J.; Loewenstein, D.A.; Rubert, M.P.; Arguelles, S.; Mitrani, V.B.; Szapocnik, J. The effect of a family therapy and technology-based intervention on caregiver depression. *Gerontologist* **2003**, *43*, 521–531. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Gitlin, L.N.; Hauck, W.W.; Dennis, M.P.; Winter, L. Maintenance of effects of the home environmental skill-building program for family caregivers and individuals with Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* **2005**, *60*, 368–374. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Gitlin, L.N.; Winter, L.; Corcoran, M.; Denis, M.P.; Schinfeld, S.; Hauck, W.W. Effects of the home environmental skill-building program on the caregiver-care recipient dyad: 6-month outcomes from the Philadelphia REACH initiative. *Gerontologist* **2003**, *43*, 532–546. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Hepburn, K.; Lewis, M.; Tornatore, J.; Sherman, C.W.; Bremer, K.L. The Savvy Caregiver program: The demonstrated effectiveness of a transportable dementia caregiver psychoeducation program. *J. Gerontol. Nurs.* **2007**, *33*, 30–36. [[PubMed](#)]
- Fredman, L.; Bertrand, R.M.; Martire, L.M.; Hochberg, M.; Harris, E.L. Leisure-time exercise and overall physical activity in older women caregivers and non-caregivers from the Caregiver-SOF Study. *Prev. Med.* **2006**, *43*, 226–229. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

17. Hirano, A.; Suzuki, Y.; Kuzuya, M.; Onishi, J.; Hasegawa, J.; Ban, N.; Umegaki, H. Association between the caregiver's burden and physical activity in community-dwelling caregivers of dementia patients. *Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr.* **2011**, *52*, 295–298. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Canonici, A.P.; Andrade, L.P.; Gobbi, S.; Santos-Galduroz, R.F.; Gobbi, L.T.; Stella, F. Functional dependence and caregiver burden in Alzheimer's disease: A controlled trial on the benefits of motor intervention. *Psychogeriatrics* **2012**, *12*, 186–192. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Lowery, D.; Cerga-Pashoja, A.; Iliffe, S.; Thuné-Boyle, I.; Griffin, M.; Lee, J.; Bailey, A.; Bhattacharya, R.; Warner, J. The effect of exercise on behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia: The EVIDEM-E randomised controlled clinical trial. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* **2014**, *29*, 819–827. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Orgeta, V.; Qazi, A.; Spector, A.; Orrell, M. Psychological treatments for depression and anxiety in dementia and mild cognitive impairment: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **2015**, *207*, 293–298. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Vicente, M.M.; Delgado, M.G.; Fuertes, N.G.; Prieto, J.P. Effects of an individual home-based physical exercise program in caregivers of alzheimer patients: A pilot study. *Rev. Psicol. Deporte* **2009**, *18*, 255–270.
22. Lamotte, G.; Shah, R.C.; Lazarov, O.; Corcos, D.M. Exercise Training for Persons with Alzheimer's Disease and Caregivers: A Review of Dyadic Exercise Interventions. *J. Mot. Behav.* **2017**, *49*, 365–377. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Ashrafizadeh, H.; Gheibizadeh, M.; Rassouli, M.; Hajibabae, F.; Rostami, S. Explain the Experience of Family Caregivers Regarding Care of Alzheimer's Patients: A Qualitative Study. *Front. Psychol.* **2021**, *12*, 699959. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Ruiz-Fernández, M.D.; Ortiz Amo, R.; Ortega-Galán, Á.M. Estudio cualitativo sobre las vivencias del cuidador de Alzheimer: Adaptación e impacto. *Cult. Cuid. Rev. Enfermería Humanidades* **2019**, *23*, 16–27. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. McGrath, P. Benefits of participation in a longitudinal qualitative research study. *Monash Bioeth. Rev.* **2003**, *22*, 63–68. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Jervis, M.G.; Drake, M.A. The Use of Qualitative Research Methods in Quantitative Science: A Review. *J. Sens. Stud.* **2014**, *29*, 234–247. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Braun, V.; Clarke, V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual. Res. Psychol.* **2006**, *3*, 77–101. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Lincoln, Y.; Guba, E. *Naturalistic Inquiry*; SAGE: Beverly Hills, CA, USA, 1985.
29. Manzini, C.S.S.; do Vale, F.A.C. Emotional disorders evidenced by family caregivers of older people with Alzheimer's disease. *Dement. Neuropsychol.* **2020**, *14*, 56–61. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Park, M.; Sung, M.; Kim, S.K.; Kim, S.; Lee, D.Y. Multidimensional determinants of family caregiver burden in Alzheimer's disease. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* **2015**, *27*, 1355–1364. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Brodaty, H.; Donkin, M. Family caregivers of people with dementia. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* **2009**, *11*, 217–228. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Pinquart, M.; Sorensen, S. Helping caregivers of persons with dementia: Which interventions work and how large are their effects? *Int. Psychogeriatr.* **2006**, *18*, 577–595. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Pinto, F.N.F.R.; Barham, E.J. Habilidades sociais e estratégias de enfrentamento de estresse: Relação com indicadores de bem-estar psicológico em cuidadores de idosos de alta dependência. *Rev. Bras. Geriatr. Gerontol.* **2014**, *17*, 525–539. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Goren, A.; Montgomery, W.; Kahle-Wroblewski, K.; Nakamura, T.; Ueda, K. Impact of caring for persons with Alzheimer's disease or dementia on caregivers' health outcomes: Findings from a community based survey in Japan. *BMC Geriatr.* **2016**, *16*, 122. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Doicela, R.H.P. Calidad de vida de los cuidadores de personas con enfermedad de Alzheimer: Revisión Interrogativa. *Enferm. Investig.* **2021**, *6*, 37–45. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Pope, N.; Giger, J.; Lee, J.; Ely, G. Predicting personal self-care in informal caregivers. *Soc. Work Health Care* **2017**, *56*, 822–839. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Huang, H.L.; Shyu, Y.I.; Chen, M.C.; Chen, S.T.; Lin, L.C. A pilot study on a home-based caregiver training program for improving caregiver self-efficacy and decreasing the behavioral problems of elders with dementia in Taiwan. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* **2003**, *18*, 337–345. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Brodaty, H.; Hadzi-pavlovic, D. Psychosocial effects on carers of living with persons with dementia. *Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry* **1990**, *24*, 351–361. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Leong, J.; Madjar, I.; Fiveash, B. Needs of Family Carers of Elderly People with Dementia Living in the Community. *Australas J. Ageing* **2010**, *20*, 133–138. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Cohen, S. Social relationships and health. *Am. Psychol.* **2004**, *59*, 676–684. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Liao, X.; Huang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Zhong, S.; Xie, G.; Wang, L.; Xiao, H. Factors associated with health-related quality of life among family caregivers of people with Alzheimer's disease. *Psychogeriatrics* **2020**, *20*, 398–405. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Cerquera Córdoba, A.M.; Granados Latorre, F.J.; Buitrago Mario, A.M. Overload in caregivers for patients with Alzheimer dementia. *Psychol. Av. Discip.* **2012**, *6*, 35–45.
43. Malm, C.; Jakobsson, J.; Isaksson, A. Physical Activity and Sports-Real Health Benefits: A Review with Insight into the Public Health of Sweden. *Sports* **2019**, *7*, 127. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. World Health Organization. *Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health*; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2010.
45. Perales, F.; Pozo-Cruz, J.D.; Pozo-Cruz, B.D. Impact of physical activity on psychological distress: A prospective analysis of an Australian national sample. *Am. J. Public Health* **2014**, *104*, e91–e97. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

46. Teychenne, M.; Costigan, S.A.; Parker, K. The association between sedentary behaviour and risk of anxiety: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health* **2015**, *15*, 513. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Belair, M.A.; Kohen, D.; Kingsbury, M.; Colman, I. Relationship between leisure time physical activity, sedentary behaviour and symptoms of depression and anxiety: Evidence from a population-based sample of Canadian adolescents. *BMJ Open* **2018**, *8*, e021119. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.