



Article Association between Parity and Preterm Birth—Retrospective Analysis from a Single Center in Poland

Monika Szyszka¹, Ewa Rzońca², Sylwia Rychlewicz³, Grażyna Bączek², Daniel Ślęzak⁴ and Patryk Rzońca^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Human Anatomy, Faculty of Health Sciences, Medical University of Warsaw, 02-004 Warsaw, Poland; monika.szyszka@wum.edu.pl
- ² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Didactics, Faculty of Health Sciences, Medical University of Warsaw, 00-575 Warsaw, Poland; ewa.rzonca@wum.edu.pl (E.R.); grazyna.baczek@wum.edu.pl (G.B.)
- ³ St. Sophia's Specialist Hospital, Żelazna Medical Center, 01-004 Warsaw, Poland; s.rychlewicz@szpitalzelazna.pl
- ⁴ Department of Medical Rescue, Medical University of Gdańsk, 80-210 Gdańsk, Poland; daniel.slezak@gumed.edu.pl
- * Correspondence: patryk.rzonca@wum.edu.pl

Abstract: Preterm births and parity are two medical areas that seem to be entirely different from each other. The aim of this study was to analyze the relationships between parity and maternal and neonatal outcomes associated with preterm birth. This study involved a retrospective analysis of electronic medical records from St. Sophia Hospital in Warsaw (Poland). This study was conducted among women who gave birth to preterm infants between 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2021. A total of 2043 cases of preterm births were included in the final analysis. A higher odds ratio of preterm birth in primiparas was found in women living in a city/town (OR = 1.56) and having secondary (OR = 1.46) and higher education (OR = 1.82). Multiparas who gave birth to preterm infants were more frequently diagnosed with gestational diabetes (19.69%) than primiparas. Multiparas were more likely to give birth to preterm infants of our study emphasize the differences between primiparas and multiparas who give birth to preterm infants. Knowledge of these differences is essential to improve the perinatal care provided to mothers and their infants.

Keywords: preterm birth; primipara; multipara

1. Introduction

According to the definition by the World Health Organization (WHO), preterm birth occurs before 37 weeks of pregnancy are completed. Differentiation between miscarriage and preterm birth depends on the country. In Poland, the cut-off is 22 completed weeks of pregnancy [1].

Preterm births are a global problem entailing a number of consequences for the newborn child, their family and the healthcare system [2,3]. Prematurity, the predominant complication of preterm birth, is associated with high perinatal morbidity and mortality of newborns [4–6]. It needs to be emphasized that during the adaptation period, preterm infants are at risk of various problems such as thermoregulatory disorders, periventricular-intraventricular hemorrhage, hyperbilirubinemia, infections, respiratory distress syndrome, necrotizing enterocolitis and retinopathy of prematurity [7]. In turn, late complications linked to premature birth include the risk of asthma, obesity, hypertension and chronic kidney disease [8]. This is a major challenge for all preterm babies' caregivers, who need specialist equipment, training and access to current scientific reports in this field [9–12]. Therefore, preterm births are currently the subject of numerous studies and an important area of focus for many researchers, especially in the context of risk factor identification [13–18].



Citation: Szyszka, M.; Rzońca, E.; Rychlewicz, S.; Bączek, G.; Ślęzak, D.; Rzońca, P. Association between Parity and Preterm Birth— Retrospective Analysis from a Single Center in Poland. *Healthcare* **2023**, *11*, 1763. https://doi.org/10.3390/ healthcare11121763

Academic Editor: Ines Aguinaga-Ontoso

Received: 18 May 2023 Revised: 9 June 2023 Accepted: 13 June 2023 Published: 15 June 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Globally, the number of preterm births decreased by 5.26%, from 16.06 million in 1990 down to 15.22 million in 2019. The number of deaths in this group decreased by 47.71%, from 1.27 million in 1990 down to 0.66 million in 2019 [19]. In 2019, 1 in 10 infants were born prematurely in the United States [20]. In Europe, the preterm birth rate ranges between 5% and 10% [21]. In Poland, about 8% of all births in 2017 were preterm births [22].

The multitude of risk factors for preterm birth makes it difficult to precisely predict its occurrence. However, having knowledge of these factors allows for the provision of personalized and professional care to the pregnant woman, thus reducing the number of complications associated with preterm birth [23]. These medical and non-medical factors include preterm birth in medical history, multiple pregnancies, chronic hypertension, diabetes, genetic factors, stress, mental disorders and lifestyle [18–23]. Importantly, the risk of preterm birth is also associated with parity [24]. A primipara is a woman who has given birth for the first time, whereas a multipara is a woman who has given birth more than one time. It is known that parity is a factor associated with various problems affecting women in the perinatal period [25–27].

However, it has been observed that the subject of preterm births has not been extensively analyzed in the context of parity [24]. Therefore, we decided to conduct our own research in this area. The aim of this study was to analyze the relationships between parity (primiparas vs. multiparas) and maternal and neonatal outcomes associated with preterm birth.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Setting

This study involved a retrospective analysis of electronic medical records from St. Sophia Hospital in Warsaw (Poland), which is a tertiary referral hospital competent and licensed to manage the most difficult cases in obstetrics, gynecology and neonatal pathology, including preterm infants with extremely low birth weight. The study was conducted among women who gave birth to preterm infants between 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2021.

2.2. Eligibility Criteria

The study included all women who gave birth to preterm infants (this includes both spontaneous preterm births and indicated inductions for maternal/fetal indications), i.e., between 22 and 37 weeks of pregnancy, with a division into groups by parity—control group (primiparas) and study group (multiparas). The exclusion criteria were gaps in medical records and birth after 37 weeks of pregnancy. Medical records comprising 32,937 births given in the study period were analyzed. Of these, based on the eligibility criteria, 2043 cases of preterm births were included in the final analysis. The numbers of primiparas and multiparas were 1078 and 965, respectively (Figure 1).

2.3. Data Collection

The electronic databases obtained provided the following information: patient age, place of residence, marital status, education, obstetric history, course of pregnancy and delivery and birth data of the infant. The data were collected on the basis of the patient's medical records from the course of the current pregnancy and the gynecological and obstetric interview as well as the general interview. The data were collected by the hospital staff, i.e., gynecologists and midwives.

2.4. Ethics

The study design was submitted to and approved by the Bioethical Committee at the Medical University of Warsaw (AKBE/112/2022). The study was conducted in line with the principles set out in the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) as amended. Database reports were anonymized and did not allow for patient identification at any stage of the study.



Figure 1. Flowchart demonstrating exclusions and final analytic sample included in this study.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The obtained data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics 25.0 for Windows (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Categorical variables were reported as numbers (n) and percentages (%), whereas continuous variables were reported as medians (Me) and interquartile ranges (IQR). The normality of distribution of the continuous variables was verified using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and the Lilliefors test. To compare the baseline data, a chi-square test was used for categorical variables and the Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables. The odds of a given outcome occurring in the study group vs. the control group were calculated as an odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI 95%). The *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Multiparas who gave birth to preterm infants were older than primiparas (34 vs. 31 years). Multiparas were more likely to live in rural areas (25.70%), have secondary (19.38%) or primary education (5.70%) and be in a relationship (82.38%). A higher odds ratio of preterm birth in primiparas was found in women living in a city/town (OR = 1.56) and having higher education (OR = 1.82), whereas a lower odds ratio was observed in married women. These relationships were statistically significant (p < 0.05). Detailed data are presented in Table 1.

The analysis showed that multiparas were significantly more likely to be pregnant for the third time (3 vs. 1) and have a history of miscarriage (35.44%) as compared to primiparas (p < 0.05). In the primipara group, the odds ratio was higher for twin pregnancy (OR = 1.39) and anti-biotic prophylaxis (OR = 1.35)—Table 2.

Variables	Total <i>n</i> = 2043	Primipara n = 1078 (52.77%)	Multipara n = 965 (47.23%)	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Age—Me (IQR)	33 (29–36)	31 (28–34)	34 (31–37)	-	< 0.01	
		Place of residence-	—n (%)			
Village	444 (21.83)	196 (18.18)	248 (25.70)	1	0.01	
City/town	1599 (78.17)	882 (81.82)	717 (74.30)	1.56 (1.26-1.92)	<0.01	
-		Education— <i>n</i>	(%)			
Primary education	91 (4.45)	36 (3.34)	55 (5.70)	1		
Secondary education	366 (17.91)	179 (16.60)	187 (19.38)	1.46 (0.92-2.33)	0.111	
Higher education	1586 (77.63)	863 (80.06)	723 (74.92)	1.82 (1.18-2.81)	0.006	
Marital status— n (%)						
Single	450 (22.03)	280 (25.97)	170 (17.62)	1	0.01	
In a relationship	1593 (77.97)	798 (74.03)	795 (82.38)	0.61 (0.49-0.76)	<0.01	
COVID-19 Era— <i>n</i> (%)						
No	1432 (70.09)	763 (70.78)	669 (69.33)	1	0 474	
Yes	611 (29.91)	315 (29.22)	296 (30.87)	0.93 (0.77–1.13)	0.474	

Table 1. Analysis of relationships between parity and selected demographic variables.

OR—odds ratio, 95% CI—95% confidence interval, IQR—interquartile range.

Table 2. Analysis of relationships between parity and selected obstetric variables.

Variables	Total	Primipara <i>n</i> = 1078	Multipara <i>n</i> = 965	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value		
No. of pregnancies—Me (IQR)	2 (1–3)	1 (1–1)	3 (2–3)	-	< 0.01		
HBD—Me (IQR)	35 (32–36)	35 (33–36)	35 (32–36)	-	0.341		
		Pregnancy type— <i>n</i>	(%)				
Single	1527 (74.74)	777 (72.08)	750 (77.72)	1			
Twin	474 (23.20)	280 (25.97)	194 (20.10)	1.39 (1.13–1.72)	0.002		
Triplet	42 (2.06)	21 (1.95)	21 (2.18)	0.97 (0.52–1.78)	0.910		
	Hi	story of miscarriage-	<i>—n</i> (%)				
No	1492 (73.03)	869 (80.61)	623 (64.56)	1	<0.01		
Yes	551 (26.97)	209 (19.39)	342 (35.44)	0.44 (0.36-0.64)	<0.01		
		Pessary— n (%)					
No	1848 (90.46)	963 (89.33)	885 (91.71)	1	0.079		
Yes	195 (9.54)	115 (10.67)	80 (8.29)	1.32 (0.98-1.78)	0.068		
		GBS— <i>n</i> (%)					
No	1477 (72.30)	781 (72.45)	696 (72.12)	1			
Yes	169 (8.27)	85 (7.88)	84 (8.70)	0.90 (0.66-1.24)	0.369		
No	397 (19.43)	212 (19.67)	185 (19.17)	1.02 (0.82-1.28)			
Thromboprophylaxis—n (%)							
No	809 (39.60)	432 (40.07)	377 (39.07)	1	0 (10		
Yes	1234 (60.40)	646 (59.93)	588 (60.93)	0.96 (0.80-1.15)	0.642		
Antibiotic prophylaxis— n (%)							
No	266 (13.02)	123 (11.41)	143 (14.82)	1	0.022		
Yes	1777 (86.98)	955 (88.59)	822 (85.18)	1.35 (1.04–1.57)	0.022		

OR-odds ratio, 95% CI-95% confidence interval, IQR-interquartile range, GBS-Streptococcus agalactiae.

Multiparas who gave birth to preterm infants were more frequently diagnosed with gestational diabetes (19.69%) than primiparas. Primiparas, on the other hand, were more frequently diagnosed with hypertension (10.20%), pre-eclampsia (10.20%), cholestasis of pregnancy (6.59%) and hypothyroidism (27.46%) as compared to multiparas (p < 0.05), which is also reflected by the higher odds ratio. Moreover, in the primipara group, the odds ratio was higher for health problems (OR = 1.45). Detailed data are presented in Table 3.

Variables	Total	Primipara <i>n</i> = 1078	Multipara <i>n</i> = 965	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value			
		Gestational	diabetes—n (%)					
No	1680 (82.23)	905 (83.95)	775 (80.31)	1	0.000			
Yes	363 (17.77)	173 (16.05)	190 (19.69)	0.78 (0.62-0.98)	0.032			
	Gestational hypertension— n (%)							
No	1866 (91.34)	968 (89.90)	898 (93.06)	1	0.000			
Yes	177 (8.66)	110 (10.20)	67 (6.94)	1.52 (1.11-2.09)	0.009			
		Pre-eclan	npsia— <i>n</i> (%)					
No	1877 (91.87)	968 (89.80)	909 (94.20)	1	-0.01			
Yes	166 (8.13)	110 (10.20)	56 (5.80)	1.85 (1.32-2.58)	<0.01			
		Cholestasis of	pregnancy—n (%))				
No	1936 (94.76)	1007 (93.41)	929 (96.27)	1	0.004			
Yes	107 (5.24)	71 (6.59)	36 (3.73)	1.82 (1.21-2.74)	0.004			
		Hypothyro	oidism—n (%)					
No	1531 (74.94)	782 (72.54)	749 (77.62)	1	0.009			
Yes	512 (25.06)	296 (27.46)	216 (22.38)	1.31 (1.07–1.61)	0.008			
		Hashim	oto's—n (%)					
No	1893 (82.66)	1001 (92.86)	892 (92.44)	1	0.715			
Yes	150 (7.34)	77 (7.14)	73 (7.56)	0.94 (0.67-1.31)	0.715			
Anemia— n (%)								
No	1014 (49.63)	516 (47.87)	498 (51.61)	1	0.001			
Yes	1029 (50.37)	562 (52.13)	467 (48.39)	0.86 (0.72–1.02)	0.091			
Thrombocytopenia— n (%)								
No	1787 (87.47)	934 (86.64)	853 (88.39)	1	0 222			
Yes	256 (15.53)	144 (13.36)	112 (11.61)	1.17 (0.90–1.53)	0.233			
Cervical incompetence— n (%)								
No	1982 (97.01)	1039 (96.38)	943 (97.72)	1	0.076			
Yes	61 (2.99)	39 (3.62)	22 (2.28)	1.61 (0.85–2.73)	0.070			
Health Problems— n (%)								
No	384 (18.80)	174 (16.14)	210 (21.76)	1	0.001			
Yes	1659 (81.20)	904 (83.86)	755 (78.24)	1.45 (1.16–1.81)	0.001			

Table 3. Analysis of relationships between parity and selected health problems.

Table 4 presents an analysis of the relationships between parity and selected labor variables. The analysis demonstrated that labor stimulation (8.44%), oxytocin administration in the 1st (9.37%) and 2nd (11.04%) stages of labor, epidural anesthesia (17.35%) and episiotomy (23.65%) were performed more often in primiparas than in multiparas, which is also reflected by the higher odds ratio. In turn, multiparas were more likely to experience perineal tears (7.98%), and the duration of their labor (225 vs. 311 min), as well as the duration of the 1st (205 vs. 293 min) and 2nd (10 vs. 25 min) stages of labor, was shorter than in primiparas. We also found a relationship between parity and the duration of a hospital stay. The observed relationships were statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Multiparas were more likely to give birth to preterm infants who received an Apgar score of \leq 7 both at 1 and 5 min after birth (25.80% and 15.34%, respectively). In turn, primiparas were more likely to give birth to infants with lower birth weights (2300 vs. 2400 g). Preterm infants of primiparas (69.02%) had to be transferred to an NICU more often than those born to multiparas. The observed relationships were statistically significant (*p* < 0.05). Detailed data are presented in Table 5.

Variables	Total	Primipara <i>n</i> = 1078	Multipara <i>n</i> = 965	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value		
		Labor type— <i>n</i>	(%)				
Physiologic	748 (36.61)	388 (35.99)	360 (37.31)	1			
C-section	1281 (62.70)	680 (63.08)	601 (62.28)	1.05 (0.88–1.26)	0.598		
Intervention	14 (0.69)	10 (0.93)	4 (0.41)	2.32 (0.72–7.46)	0.158		
		Family member pres	ent - n (%)	(
No	1625 (79.54)	851 (78.94)	774 (80.21)	1			
Yes	191 (20.46)	227 (21.06)	191 (19.79)	1.08 (0.87-1.34)	0.479		
	· · · ·	Pre-induction—	n (%)	· · · · ·			
No	2008 (98.29)	1058 (98.14)	950 (98.45)	1			
Yes	35 (1.71)	20 (1.86)	15 (1.55)	1.20 (0.61-2.35)	0.601		
		Induction—n	(%)	· · · · ·			
No	1867 (91.39)	976 (90.54)	891 (92.33)	1			
Yes	176 (8.61)	102 (9.46)	74 (7.67)	1.26 (0.92-1.72)	0.149		
		Stimulation—n	2 (%)	· · · · ·			
No	1917 (93.83)	987 (91.56)	930 (96.37)	1			
Yes	126 (6.17)	91 (8.44)	35 (3.63)	2.45 (1.64-3.66)	<0.01		
		Oxytocin—stage 1	*—n (%)	· · · · ·			
No	1888 (92.41)	977 (90.63)	911 (94.40)	1			
Yes	155 (7.59)	101 (9.37)	54 (5.60)	1.74 (1.24-2.46)	0.001		
	· · · ·	Oxytocin—stage 2 *	**—n (%)	· · · · ·			
No	1859 (90.99)	989 (88.96)	900 (93.26)	1			
Yes	184 (9.01)	119 (11.04)	65 (6.74)	1.72 (1.25-2.36)	0.001		
	× /	Oxytocin—stage 3 *	**—n (%)	· · · · ·			
No	1529 (74.84)	797 (73.93)	732 (75.85)	1			
Yes	514 (25.16)	281 (26.07)	233 (24.15)	1.11 (0.91-1.35)	0.318		
	· · · ·	Amniotomy—r	ı (%)	· · · · ·			
No	2024 (99.07)	1068 (99.07)	956 (99.07)	1	0.001		
Yes	19 (0.93)	10 (0.93)	9 (0.97)	0.99 (0.40-2.46)	0.991		
		Epidural anesthesia	a—n (%)				
No	1761 (86.20)	891 (82.65)	870 (90.16)	1	0.01		
Yes	282 (13.80)	187 (17.35)	95 (9.84)	1.92 (1.48-2.50)	< 0.01		
		Perineal trauma-	-n (%)	· · · · ·			
No	1559 (76.31)	783 (72.63)	776 (80.41)	1			
Perineal tear	117 (5.73)	40 (3.71)	77 (7.98)	0.52 (0,35-0,76)	0.001		
Episiotomy	367 (17.96)	255 (23.65)	112 (11.61)	2.26 (1.77-2.88)	< 0.01		
Uterine curettage— n (%)							
No	1724 (84.39)	899 (83.40)	825 (85.49)	1	0.100		
Yes	319 (15.61)	179 (16.60)	140 (14.51)	1.17 (0.92–1.49)	0.192		
Labor duration—stage 1			205 (150, 200)	· · · · ·	0.01		
(min)—Me (IQR)	240 (170–360)	293 (200–405)	205 (150–290)	-	<0.01		
Labor duration—stage 2	1 ((10, 00)	25 (15, 10)	10 (0, 00)		0.01		
(min)—Me (IQR)	16 (10–30)	25 (15-40)	10 (8–20)	-	<0.01		
Labor duration—stage 3	10 (10, 10)	10 (10, 10)	10 (10, 10)		0.400		
(min)—Me (IQR)	10 (10–10)	10 (10–10)	10 (10–10)	-	0.432		
Labor duration	2 00 (10 F 400)	001 (040 445)	005 (150, 010)		0.01		
(min)—Me (IQR)	280 (195–400)	331 (240–445)	225 (170–313)	-	<0.01		
Blood loss (ml)—Me	E00 (400 E00)	E00 (400 E00)	E00 (2E0 E00)		0 500		
(IQR)	500 (400–500)	500 (400–500)	500 (350–500)	-	0.590		
Hospital stay	0 (6 12)	0 (6 12)	0 (E 12)		-0.01		
(days)—Me (IQR)	0 (0-13)	0 (0-13)	0 (3-13)	-	<0.01		

 Table 4. Analysis of relationships between parity and selected delivery variables.

*—to induction of labor and stimulate contraction of the uterus; **—to stimulate contraction of the uterus; ***—active management reduces mean maternal blood loss.

Variables	Total	Primipara <i>n</i> = 1078	Multipara n = 965	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value		
1-min APGAR score—n (%)							
≤7 >7	479 (23.45) 1564 (76.55)	230 (21.34) 848 (78.66)	249 (25.80) 716 (74.20)	1 1.28 (1.05–1.57)	0.017		
5-min APGAR score—n (%)							
≤7 >7	280 (13.71) 1763 (86.29)	132 (12.24) 946 (87.76)	148 (15.34) 817 (84.66)	1 1.30 (1.01–1.67)	0.043		
Birth weight (grams)—Me (IQR)	2340 (1750–2750)	2300 (1750–2690)	2400 (1730–2800)	-	0.018		
NICU transfer— <i>n</i> (%)							
No Yes	676 (33.09) 1367 (66.91)	334 (30.98) 744 (69.02)	342 (35.44) 623 (64.56)	1 1.22 (1.02–1.47)	0.033		

Table 5. Analysis of relationships between parity and selected neonatal variables.

4. Discussion

Given the extensive literature on risk factors, whose management allows for decreasing the preterm birth rate, it seems valid to focus on an in-depth analysis of individual risk factors and their effect on perinatal outcomes [18–23]. Preterm births and parity are two medical areas that seem to be entirely different from each other. However, there are numerous associations between them. A better understanding of these relationships could contribute to reducing the incidence of preterm births and improving the reproductive health of women in this population [25,26].

Our study showed that multiparas who gave birth to preterm infants were older than primiparas, which has also been confirmed in studies by Koullali et al. and Alhainiah et al. [27,28]. Furthermore, Hangara and Yattinaman observed that multiparas were aged 22–27 years. This stems from the fact that women in India get married at a younger age, which is associated with earlier childbearing [29]. A study by Fuchs et al. showed that women aged 30–34 years are at lower risk of giving birth to preterm infants, whereas those aged \geq 40 years are at greater risk [30].

Our study revealed that multiparas were more likely to live in rural areas and more frequently declared to have primary and secondary education, which is in line with the findings by Lei et al. [31]. The higher the education level, the lower the odds of preterm birth, with the lowest odds being observed in women with tertiary education, as demonstrated by Granes et al. [32]. The outcomes described above may also depend on procreative choices associated with career- and environment-related decisions made by women at a given age and in a given place of residence and with access to health care (especially in rural areas). Furthermore, our study demonstrated that multiparas who gave birth to premature infants were more likely to be in a relationship, which was also observed by Blitz et al. [33].

Gurung et al. found that the risk of preterm birth in primiparas in the Netherlands was higher than that observed in multiparas [34]. This is consistent with our results, which showed that over 50% of women who gave birth to preterm infants were primiparas. In contrast, a study conducted in Saudi Arabia by Alhainiah et al. found that multiparas gave birth to premature infants more often than primiparas [28]. Luo et al. observed that the odds ratio of preterm birth was higher in Chinese multiparas aged >35 years [35]. Koullali et al. conducted a study among women in the Netherlands, dividing them into those who were pregnant for the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th time. This classification allowed the researchers to demonstrate that both primiparas and women who were pregnant for the 5th time were at risk for preterm delivery [27]. Our study found that multiparas who gave birth to preterm infants were more likely to be in their 3rd pregnancy.

Furthermore, we observed that primiparas who had a preterm delivery more often received antibiotic prophylaxis due to the colonization of the genital tract by Streptococcus agalactiae. This is consistent with the findings by Szylit et al., who reported that primiparas were more frequently positive for Streptococcus agalactiae [36].

Another aspect analyzed was the association between parity and selected health variables in the women studied. In our study in the primipara group, the odds ratio was higher for health problems, which has also been confirmed in studies by Chen et al. [37]. A study by Yong et al. showed that women who had two or more pregnancies were at higher risk for gestational diabetes [38]. Likewise, Wagan et al. found that women who had three or more children were at higher risk for gestational diabetes [39]. These findings are corroborated by our results. In our study, gestational hypertension was more often observed in primiparas. Li et al. found that women who were pregnant for the first time were at greater risk of gestational hypertension than multiparas [40]. Souter et al. demonstrated that elective labor induction at 39 weeks of gestation reduces the risk of gestational hypertension in both primiparas and multiparas [41]. In turn, Maeda et al. showed that multiparity significantly reduces the risk of pre-eclampsia [42]. In our study, primiparas were more likely to be diagnosed with pre-eclampsia and hypothyroidism as compared to multiparas. In a study by Gupta et al., multiparas were more likely to suffer from hypothyroidism, whereas hyperthyroidism was more often found in primiparas [43]. This difference may stem from different lifestyles led in Europe and Asia and from environmental factors. Toloza et al. demonstrated that subclinical hypothyroidism during pregnancy is associated with a higher risk of pre-eclampsia [44].

Our study showed that labor stimulation with oxytocin was more often used in primiparas. This is consistent with the findings by Oladapo et al., who also found that oxytocin was more often administered to women who were giving birth for the first time [45]. A study by Luo et al. found that epidural anesthesia was administered to over 50% of multiparas [46]. Our study, on the other hand, showed that epidural anesthesia was more often used in primiparas than in multiparas, which is consistent with the results obtained by Orbach–Zinger et al., [47]. These differences may stem from the quality of medical services and accessibility to medical procedures provided in various countries in the world.

Another variable analyzed was perineal trauma during labor [48–51]. Studies by Kartal et al. (term labor) and Beyene et al. (women > 28 HBD) showed that episiotomy was more frequently performed in primiparas than in multiparas [48,49], which corresponds with the results we obtained with regard to women giving birth to preterm infants. Furthermore, our study found that perineal tears were more likely to occur in multiparas than in primiparas. Wilson and Homer, on the other hand, showed that third- and fourth-degree perineal tears were more frequent in primiparas [51].

Our study demonstrated that the duration of the 1st and 2nd stages of labor and the total labor duration was longer in primiparas who gave birth to preterm infants than in multiparas, which corroborates the results obtained by Ashwal et al. in their study on women who had term delivery [52]. In turn, Rosenbloom et al. showed that the 2nd stage of labor was the longest in primiparas who received epidural anesthesia [53]. Moreover, differences in labor duration may stem from, among other factors, fitness and physical activity of the mother or medical interventions performed during labor, as emphasized by research findings [54–56]. At the same time, this may justify the results of our study.

Next, we analyzed the effect of preterm birth on neonatal outcomes relative to parity. Our study showed that preterm infants born to primiparas were more likely to receive an Apgar score of >7, both at 1 and 5 min after birth, had lower birth weight and more frequently required transfer to an NICU. Tadese et al. demonstrated that infants of women who had five or more children received lower Apgar scores. Lower birth weight, on the other hand, is more frequently observed in infants of mothers who had given birth to 2–4 children [57]. Kaur et al. found that the higher the number of labors, the lower the number of infants with low birth weight [58]. In contrast, Alhainiah et al. showed

that transfer to an NICU was more frequently required in the case of infants born to multiparas [28].

Our study addresses two areas that are essential to the practice of obstetrics, namely parity and preterm birth, including their characteristic features, differences and potential consequences for the mother and her child.

The subject of preterm birth in association with parity is rarely described in publications, even though these two areas have much in common. Despite our greatest attention to detail, the study has some limitations. Firstly, it is retrospective in nature. The database analyzed was derived from St. Sophia Hospital's electronic medical records, which had data gaps. The limitation of the study regarding data collection may be due to the insufficient completion of medical records by hospital staff. Secondly, despite the large sample size, our study was limited to only one facility, which does not reflect the internal standards followed in other obstetric hospitals. Thirdly, we managed to assess a number of parameters significant for the mother and her child, but due to the retrospective nature of the study, we were not able to obtain any additional data that would improve the quality of our results, e.g., indication for admission to an NICU. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct further research on preterm births and parity, as a better understanding of the associations between these two areas may contribute to a reduced risk of complications and improved care of the mother and her child.

5. Conclusions

Our study found that multiparas who gave birth to preterm infants tend to be older, live in rural areas, have secondary education and be in a relationship as compared to primiparas. Multiparas were more often diagnosed with gestational diabetes and primiparas with gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, cholestasis of pregnancy and hypothyroidism. In addition, it was observed that the odds ratio of health problems in pregnancy was higher in the primipara group.

Labor stimulation, oxytocin administration in the 1st and 2nd stages of labor, epidural anesthesia and episiotomy were performed more frequently in primiparas and perineal tears occurred more often in multiparas. Labor duration was shorter in multiparas than in primiparas.

Multiparas were more likely to give birth to preterm infants who received an Apgar score of \leq 7 both at 1 and 5 min after birth. In turn, primiparas were more likely to give birth to infants with lower birth weights.

The results of our study emphasize the differences between primiparas and multiparas who give birth to preterm infants. Knowledge of these differences is essential to improve the perinatal care provided to mothers and their infants.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.S. and P.R.; methodology, M.S. and P.R.; formal analysis, P.R.; resources, M.S.; data curation, M.S.; writing—original draft preparation, M.S. and E.R.; writing—review and editing, M.S., G.B., S.R., D.Ś., E.R. and P.R.; supervision, P.R.; project administration, M.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and has received approval from the Bioethics Committee of the Medical University of Warsaw (AKBE/112/2022).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Preterm Birth. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/pretermbirth (accessed on 11 January 2023).
- 2. Purisch, S.E.; Gyamfi-Bannerman, C. Epidemiology of preterm birth. Semin. Perinatol. 2017, 41, 387–391. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, M.; Mishu, M.M.; Lu, D.; Yin, X. A case control study of risk factors and neonatal outcomes of preterm birth. *Taiwan J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2018, 57, 814–818. [CrossRef]
- 4. Romero, R.; Conde-Agudelo, A.; Da Fonseca, E.; O'Brien, J.M.; Cetingoz, E.; Creasy, G.W.; Hassan, S.S.; Nicolaides, K.H. Vaginal progesterone for preventing preterm birth and adverse perinatal outcomes in singleton gestations with a short cervix: A meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **2018**, *218*, 161–180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. McGoldrick, E.; Stewart, F.; Parker, R.; Dalziel, S.R. Antenatal corticosteroids for accelerating fetal lung maturation for women at risk of preterm birth. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2020, *12*, CD004454. [CrossRef]
- Wolf, M.F.; Sgayer, I.; Miron, D.; Krencel, A.; Sheffer, V.F.; Idriss, S.S.; Sammour, R.N.; Peleg, D.; Shachar, I.B.; Rechnitzer, H.; et al. A novel extended prophylactic antibiotic regimen in preterm pre-labor rupture of membranes: A randomized trial. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 2020, *96*, 254–259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Härkin, P.; Marttila, R.; Pokka, T.; Saarela, T.; Hallman, M. Survival analysis of a cohort of extremely preterm infants born in Finland during 2005-2013. J. Matern. Fetal Neonatal Med. 2021, 34, 2506–2512. [CrossRef]
- 8. Pravia, C.I.; Benny, M. Long-term consequences of prematurity. Cleve. Clin. J. Med. 2020, 87, 759–767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Koo, H.Y.; Lee, B.R. Educational needs for practicing neonatal intensive care among Korean nursing students. *Child Health Nurs. Res.* **2021**, *27*, 339–353. [CrossRef]
- 10. Stroustrup, A.; Bragg, J.B.; Busgang, S.A.; Andra, S.S.; Curtin, P.; Spear, E.A.; Just, A.C.; Arora, M.; Gennings, C. Sources of clinically significant neonatal intensive care unit phthalate exposure. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* 2020, 30, 137–148. [CrossRef]
- 11. Babaie, M.; Nourian, M.; Atashzadeh-Shoorideh, F.; Manoochehri, H.; Nasiri, M. Patient safety culture in neonatal intensive care units: A qualitative content analysis. *Front. Public Health* **2023**, *11*, 1065522. [CrossRef]
- 12. Prullage, G.S.; Kenner, C.; Uwingabire, F.; Ndayambaje, A.; Boykova, M.; Walker, K. Survey of neonatal nursing: Staffing, education, and equipment availability in Rwanda. *J. Neonatal Nurs.* **2022**, *28*, 192–199. [CrossRef]
- 13. Rutayisire, E.; Mochama, M.; Ntihabose, C.K.; Utumatwishima, J.N.; Habtu, M. Maternal, obstetric and gynecological factors associated with preterm birth in Rwanda: Findings from a national longitudinal study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* **2023**, 23, 365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Di Renzo, G.C.; Tosto, V.; Giardina, I. The biological basis and prevention of preterm birth. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 2018, 52, 13–22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Goldenberg, R.L.; Culhane, J.F.; Iams, J.D.; Romero, R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet* 2008, 371, 75–84. [CrossRef]
- Ye, C.X.; Chen, S.B.; Wang, T.T.; Zhang, S.M.; Qin, J.B.; Chen, L.Z. Risk factors for preterm birth: A prospective cohort study. *Chin. J. Contemp. Pediatr.* 2021, 23, 1242–1249. [CrossRef]
- 17. Phillips, C.; Velji, Z.; Hanly, C.; Metcalfe, A. Risk of recurrent spontaneous preterm birth: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* **2017**, *7*, e015402. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Y.J.; Zhu, Y.; Zhu, L.; Lu, C.Q.; Chen, C.; Yuan, L. Prevalence of preterm birth and risk factors associated with it at different gestational ages: A multicenter retrospective survey in China. *Saudi Med. J.* 2022, 43, 599–609. [CrossRef]
- 19. Cao, G.; Liu, J.; Liu, M. Global, Regional, and National Incidence and Mortality of Neonatal Preterm Birth, 1990-2019. *JAMA Pediatr.* **2022**, *176*, 787–796. [CrossRef]
- 20. Centers of Disease Control and Prevention. Preterm Birth. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/pretermbirth.htm (accessed on 11 January 2023).
- 21. Walani, S.R. Global burden of preterm birth. Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet. 2020, 150, 31–33. [CrossRef]
- Statistics Poland. Demographic Situation in Poland up to 2017. Births and Fertility. Available online: https://stat.gov.pl/files/ gfx/portalinformacyjny/pl/defaultaktualnosci/5468/33/1/1/sytuacja_demograficzna_polski_do_2017_r.pdf (accessed on 11 January 2023).
- Mariańczyk, K.; Libera, A.; Rosińska, P. Psychologiczne i społeczne czynniki ryzyka porodu przedwczesnego. Kwart. Nauk. Fides Et Ratio 2020, 43, 260–273. [CrossRef]
- 24. Shah, P.S. Knowledge Synthesis Group on Determinants of LBW/PT births. Parity and low birth weight and preterm birth: A systematic review and meta-analyses. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* **2010**, *89*, 862–875. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Iams, J.D.; Romero, R.; Culhane, J.F.; Goldenberg, R.L. Primary, secondary, and tertiary interventions to reduce the morbidity and mortality of preterm birth. *Lancet* 2008, 371, 164–175. [CrossRef]
- Beck, S.; Wojdyla, D.; Say, L.; Betran, A.P.; Merialdi, M.; Requejo, J.H.; Rubens, C.; Menon, R.; Van Look, P.F. The worldwide incidence of preterm birth: A systematic review of maternal mortality and morbidity. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 2010, *88*, 31–38. [CrossRef]
- 27. Koullali, B.; van Zijl, M.D.; Kazemier, B.M.; Oudijk, M.A.; Mol, B.W.J.; Pajkrt, E.; Ravelli, A.C.J. The association between parity and spontaneous preterm birth: A population based study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* **2020**, *20*, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 28. Alhainiah, M.H.; Abdulljabbar, H.S.; Bukhari, Y.A. The prevalence, the fetal and maternal outcomes in grand multiparas women. *Mater. Socio-Med.* **2018**, *30*, 118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 29. Hangarga, U.S.; Yattinamani, B. Clinical study of primary cesarean section in multiparous women. *Int. J. Clin. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **2020**, *4*, 32–34. [CrossRef]
- 30. Fuchs, F.; Monet, B.; Ducruet, T.; Chaillet, N.; Audibert, F. Effect of maternal age on the risk of preterm birth: A large cohort study. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0191002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lei, F.; Zhang, L.; Shen, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Kang, Y.; Qu, P.; Mi, B.; Dang, S.; Yan, H. Association between parity and macrosomia in Shaanxi Province of Northwest China. *Ital. J. Pediatr.* 2020, *46*, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- 32. Granés, L.; Torà-Rocamora, I.; Palacio, M.; De la Torre, L.; Llupià, A. Maternal educational level and preterm birth: Exploring inequalities in a hospital-based cohort study. *PLoS ONE* 2023, *18*, e0283901. [CrossRef]
- Blitz, M.J.; Gerber, R.P.; Gulersen, M.; Shan, W.; Rausch, A.C.; Prasannan, L.; Meirowitz, N.; Rochelson, B. Preterm birth among women with and without severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 2021, 100, 2253–2259. [CrossRef]
- Gurung, A.; Wrammert, J.; Sunny, A.K.; Gurung, R.; Rana, N.; Basaula, Y.N.; Paudel, P.; Pokhrel, A.; Kc, A. Incidence, risk factors and consequences of preterm birth—findings from a multi-centric observational study for 14 months in Nepal. *Arch. Public Health* 2020, 78, 64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Luo, J.; Fan, C.; Luo, M.; Fang, J.; Zhou, S.; Zhang, F. Pregnancy complications among nulliparous and multiparous women with advanced maternal age: A community-based prospective cohort study in China. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2020, 20, 581. [CrossRef]
- Szylit, N.A.; Malburg, F.L.; Piccinato, C.A.; Ferreira, L.A.P.; Podgaec, S.; Zlotnik, E. Prevalence of rectovaginal colonization by group B Streptococcus in pregnant women seen at prenatal care program of a health organization. *Einstein* 2019, 18, eAO4920. [CrossRef]
- 37. Chen, K.H.; Chen, I.C.; Yang, Y.C.; Chen, K.T. The trends and associated factors of preterm deliveries from 2001 to 2011 in Taiwan. *Medicine* **2019**, *98*, e15060. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yong, H.Y.; Mohd Shariff, Z.; Mohd Yusof, B.N.; Rejali, Z.; Tee, Y.Y.S.; Bindels, J.; van der Beek, E.M. Higher Parity, Pre-Pregnancy BMI and Rate of Gestational Weight Gain Are Associated with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Food Insecure Women. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 18, 2694. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wagan, N.; Amanullah, A.T.; Makhijani, P.B.; Kumari, R. Factors Associated With Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Cross-Sectional Study. Cureus 2021, 13, e17113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Li, C.; Binongo, J.N.; Kancherla, V. Effect of Parity on Pregnancy-Associated Hypertension Among Asian American Women in the United States. *Matern. Child Health J.* 2019, 23, 1098–1107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Souter, V.; Painter, I.; Sitcov, K.; Caughey, A.B. Maternal and newborn outcomes with elective induction of labor at term. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **2019**, 220, 273.e1–273.e11. [CrossRef]
- 42. Maeda, Y.; Kaneko, K.; Ogawa, K.; Sago, H.; Murashima, A. The effect of parity, history of preeclampsia, and pregnancy care on the incidence of subsequent preeclampsia in multiparous women with SLE. *Mod. Rheumatol.* **2021**, *31*, 843–848. [CrossRef]
- 43. Gupta, P.; Jain, M.; Verma, V.; Gupta, N.K. The Study of Prevalence and Pattern of Thyroid Disorder in Pregnant Women: A Prospective Study. *Cureus* **2021**, *13*, e16457. [CrossRef]
- 44. Toloza, F.J.K.; Derakhshan, A.; Männistö, T.; Bliddal, S.; Popova, P.V.; Carty, D.M.; Chen, L.; Taylor, P.; Mosso, L.; Oken, E.; et al. Association between maternal thyroid function and risk of gestational hypertension and pre-eclampsia: A systematic review and individual-participant data meta-analysis. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2022, 10, 243–252. [CrossRef]
- Oladapo, O.T.; Souza, J.P.; Fawole, B.; Mugerwa, K.; Perdoná, G.; Alves, D.; Souza, H.; Reis, R.; Oliveira-Ciabati, L.; Maiorano, A.; et al. Progression of the first stage of spontaneous labour: A prospective cohort study in two sub-Saharan African countries. *PLoS Med.* 2018, 15, e1002492. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Luo, S.; Chen, Z.; Wang, X.; Zhu, C.; Su, S. Labor epidural analgesia versus without labor epidural analgesia for multiparous women: A retrospective case control study. *BMC Anesthesiol.* **2021**, *21*, 133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Orbach-Zinger, S.; Landau, R.; Davis, A.; Oved, O.; Caspi, L.; Fireman, S.; Fein, S.; Ioscovich, A.; Bracco, D.; Hoshen, M.; et al. The Effect of Labor Epidural Analgesia on Breastfeeding Outcomes: A Prospective Observational Cohort Study in a Mixed-Parity Cohort. Anesth. Analg. 2019, 129, 784–791. [CrossRef]
- Kartal, B.; Kızılırmak, A.; Calpbinici, P.; Demir, G. Retrospective analysis of episiotomy prevalence. J. Turk. Ger. Gynecol. Assoc. 2017, 18, 190–194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Beyene, F.; Nigussie, A.A.; Limenih, S.K.; Tesfu, A.A.; Wudineh, K.G. Factors Associated with Episiotomy Practices in Bahirdar City, Ethiopia: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Risk Manag. Healthc. Policy* 2020, *13*, 2281–2289. [CrossRef]
- 50. Myrick, T.G.; Sandri, K.J. Epidural Analgesia and Any Vaginal Laceration. J. Am. Board Fam. Med. 2018, 31, 768–773. [CrossRef]
- 51. Wilson, A.N.; Homer, C.S.E. Third- and fourth-degree tears: A review of the current evidence for prevention and management. *Aust. N. Z. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **2020**, *60*, 175–182. [CrossRef]
- Ashwal, E.; Livne, M.Y.; Benichou, J.I.; Unger, R.; Hiersch, L.; Aviram, A.; Mani, A.; Yogev, Y. Contemporary patterns of labor in nulliparous and multiparous women. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2020, 222, 267.e1–267.e9, Erratum in *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2020, 223, 918. [CrossRef]
- Rosenbloom, J.I.; Rottenstreich, A.; Yagel, S.; Sompolinksy, Y.; Levin, G. The length of the second stage of labor in nulliparous, multiparous, grand-multiparous, and grand-grand multiparous women in a large modern cohort. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 2020, 253, 273–277. [CrossRef]

- 54. Chen, H.; Cao, L.; Cao, W.; Wang, H.; Zhu, C.; Zhou, R. Factors affecting labor duration in Chinese pregnant women. *Medicine* **2018**, *97*, e13901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gu, C.; Wang, X.; Zhang, Z.; Schwank, S.; Zhu, C.; Zhang, Z.; Qian, X. Pregnant women's clinical characteristics, intrapartum interventions, and duration of labour in urban China: A multi-center cross-sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2020, 20, 386. [CrossRef]
- Barakat, R.; Franco, E.; Perales, M.; López, C.; Mottola, M.F. Exercise during pregnancy is associated with a shorter duration of labor. A randomized clinical trial. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 2018, 224, 33–40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Tadese, M.; Tessema, S.D.; Taye, B.T. Adverse Perinatal Outcomes Among Grand Multiparous and Low Multiparous Women and Its Associated Factors in North Shewa Zone Public Hospitals: The Role of Parity. *Int. J. Gen. Med.* **2021**, *14*, 6539–6548. [CrossRef]
- 58. Kaur, S.; Ng, C.M.; Badon, S.E.; Jalil, R.A.; Maykanathan, D.; Yim, H.S.; Mohamed, H.J. Risk factors for low birth weight among rural and urban Malaysian women. *BMC Public Health* **2019**, *19* (Suppl. 4), 539. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.