

Article



Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Oncology Patients' Mental Health and Treatment Plans

Arwa Althumairi^{1,*}, Entesar Ahmed Al Askari¹, Reem S. AlOmar² and Arwa Alumran¹

- ¹ Department of Health Information Management and Technology, College of Public Health, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam 34212, Saudi Arabia; 2210500223@iau.edu.sa (E.A.A.A.); aalumran@iau.edu.sa (A.A.)
- ² Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam 31451, Saudi Arabia; rsomar@iau.edu.sa

Correspondence: aalthumairi@iau.edu.sa

Abstract: The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted cancer care to a certain degree. There is objective evidence that COVID-19 outbreaks are causing substantial emotional distress among cancer patients regardless of their disease severity. This study aims to measure the levels of psychological distress, depression, and pandemic anxiety among cancer patients in Saudi Arabia during the outbreak of COVID-19 and their impact on patients' cancer treatment plans. Methods: This was a cross-sectional study conducted among oncology patients in Saudi Arabia in November of 2020. The levels of stress, depression, and anxiety symptoms during the COVID-19 outbreak were measured using the Questionnaire for Depression and Anxiety (PHQ-4), and patients were classified as depressed/distressed if the total score was 6 and above and classified as not depressed/distressed if they scored less than 6. Results: Among the sampled population, anxiety symptoms and depression were detected in 61.5% and 70.2%, respectively. Statistical analyses revealed that feeling more isolated was significant for anxiety symptoms (p = 0.005), while patients who used institutions as a source of COVID-19 information had significant depression (p = 0.010) compared to patients who accessed information from other sources. In the binary regression model, feeling more isolated than before was 3.208 times more likely to be associated with anxiety symptoms (OR = 3.208; 95% CI = 1.391-7.396; p = 0.006), while those patients who had a support institution as a source of COVID-19 information were 4.2 times more likely to be associated with depression (OR = 4.200; 95% CI = 1.328-13.280; p = 0.015). Conclusion: The COVID-19 pandemic has added to the burden on cancer patients. The increased risk of anxiety symptoms and depression was clearly demonstrated in this study. Feeling isolated had a greater impact on anxiety symptoms, while obtaining COVID-19 information from a patient support institution negatively affected depression.

Keywords: COVID-19; mental health; psychology; oncology patients; Saudi Arabia; psycho-oncology

1. Introduction

The global outbreak of the novel coronavirus, also known as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) or coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has become a major concern in health care and has caused unprecedented challenges in cancer care [1]. Cancer patients remain a distinctive population of patients encountering different challenges, including a high susceptibility to infectious diseases and a higher risk of COVID-19 outcomes [2]. The high susceptibility to COVID-19 in cancer patients is suggested to be a result of the immunosuppressive effects of their malignancies and the drugs, radiation, and surgical procedures used to treat them. In addition, cancer patients are at an increased risk of acquiring the infection in a medical centre as opposed to other patients [3].

The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted cancer care, and this disruption includes the postponing of diagnoses and treatments. However, health care systems quickly restructured



Citation: Althumairi, A.; Al Askari, E.A.; AlOmar, R.S.; Alumran, A. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Oncology Patients' Mental Health and Treatment Plans. *Healthcare* **2022**, *10*, 825. https://doi.org/10.3390/ healthcare10050825

Academic Editor: Paolo Cotogni

Received: 21 March 2022 Accepted: 27 April 2022 Published: 29 April 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). cancer services and changed their daily clinical practice, adapting measures and protocols for cancer care that enabled patients to continue receiving essential health services while minimizing exposure to COVID-19 [4,5].

These changes were made rapidly, and the newly revised guidelines were operationalized without carefully addressing the impact on the cancer patients' psychological health, which is vital to increasing survival rates [2]. The few data reported on cancer patients confirm that they are subjected to substantial emotional stress related to their disease and are widely considered to be at a high risk for contracting and dying from COVID-19 [2]. For example, in a study that examined psychological distress among adolescent and young adult cancer patients from different countries, it was found that approximately 60% reported feeling more anxious than they did prior to COVID-19 [2]. However, this study concerned adolescents, and no study was found to assess the impact of COVID on patients in different age groups. The available literature that assessed the impact of COVID-19 on cancer patients was concerned with its impact on treatment and/or providing recommendations for adapting to living safely with the pandemic; however, none of the literature assessed the mental impact of COVID-19 on cancer patients of all ages [6-8]. Cancer survivors are known to have different psychological feelings in terms of anxiety and distress [9]. Changing treatment plans could impact the level of anxiety in cancer survivors, and this pandemic has had consequences in the changing of the administration of treatment [10]. Understanding the impact of COVID-19 on cancer patients can help in planning for care during future crises and in understanding the characteristics of cancer survivors.

In Saudi Arabia, several papers have examined the psychological distress of COVID-19 patients themselves, while others have targeted health care workers. To the best of our knowledge, none of these have studied the mental and psychological health of adult cancer patients. Examining this group of people will help in the development of recommendations to enhance daily clinical practice; and improve adapted measures and protocols for high-quality cancer care and patient safety. Therefore, this study aimed to measure the levels of psychological distress, depression, and pandemic anxiety symptoms among cancer patients in Saudi Arabia during the COVID-19 outbreak. The impact of the pandemic on survivors' cancer treatment plans was also addressed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants and Study Design

This cross-sectional study was performed in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia; adult cancer patients aged 18 years and above were included in the study. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University. The expected sample size of the study was 392, which was calculated using a single population proportion formula with the assumption that 15% of cancer survivors would respond to the survey, and the study has a confidence level of 95%, a margin of error at 5%, and a response rate of 50. However, there were only 104 respondents to the study questionnaire that was distributed online through social media including WhatsApp, Twitter, and LinkedIn from 7 to 19 November 2020. This was during the middle of the pandemic, when social distancing was mandated and travelling in and out of the country was prohibited [11].

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteristics and the treatment plans of the cancer patients. The most common age group was 31–60 years old (55.8%), with nearly 60% being females and approximately three quarters (71.2%) being single. The categorizing of age group was to differentiate between young adults, older adults, and elderly adults. Additionally, 70.2% of cancer patients were living with their children. Nearly 60% were still undergoing their treatment process. The most common type of treatment was chemotherapy (41.3%), with 61.5% indicating that their treatment process had already been completed. The proportion of patients with chronic conditions was 56.7%, while the proportion of patients who had mental health disorders was 6.7%.

Factor	Yes	No	Total		
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	X ²	p Value
	(n = 64)	(n = 40)	(n = 104)		
Age group					
19–30 years	8 (12.5%)	4 (10.0%)	12 (11.5%)		
31–60 years	35 (54.7%)	23 (57.5%)	58 (55.8%)	0.169	0.919
>60 years	21 (32.8%)	13 (32.5%)	34 (32.7%)		
Sex					
Male	24 (37.5%)	18 (45.0%)	42 (40.4%)	0.555	0.440
Female	40 (62.5%)	22 (55.0%)	62 (59.6%)	0.575	0.448
Marital Status	. ,		. ,		
Never been married	47 (73.4%)	27 (67.5%)	74 (71.2%)		
Been married	17 (26.6%)	13 (32.5%)	30 (28.8%)	0.423	0.516
Living	· · · ·	· · · ·			
Without children	21 (32.8%)	10 (25.0%)	31 (29.8%)		
With children	43 (67.2%)	30 (75.0%)	73 (70.2%)	0.718	0.397
Treatment status	· · · ·	· · · ·			
Undergoing	38 (59.4%)	22 (55.0%)	60 (57.7%)		
Last 6 months	9 (14.1%)	8 (20.0%)	17 (16.3%)	0.636	0.728
More than 6 months	17 (26.6%)	10 (25.0%)	27 (26.0%)	0.000	0=0
Type of treatment	· · · ·	()	× ,		
Chemotherapy	25 (39.1%)	18 (45.0%)	43 (41.3%)		
Radiotherapy	7 (10.9%)	1 (2.5%)	8 (7.7%)		
Both	10 (15.6%)	11 (27.5%)	21 (20.2%)	4.91	0.178
Others	22 (34.4%)	10 (25.0%)	32 (30.8%)		
Treatment disturbance	(0)	(
Normal/completed	35 (54.7%)	29 (72.5%)	64 (61.5%)		
Delayed	20 (31.3%)	9 (22.5%)	29 (27.9%)	3.856	0.145
Interrupted	9 (14.1%)	2 (5.0%)	11 (10.6%)	0.000	0.110
Chronic conditions	> (1117,0)	= (0.070)	11 (101070)		
Yes	33 (51.6%)	26 (65.0%)	59 (56.7%)		
No	31 (48.4%)	14 (35.0%)	45 (43.3%)	1.811	0.178
Immunosuppressant drugs		()			
Yes	9 (14.1%)	2 (5.0%)	11 (10.6%)		
No/I don't know	55 (85.9%)	38 (95.0%)	93 (90.4%)	2.137	0.144
Mental health disorders	20 (00.070)	20 (20.070)			
Yes	4 (6.3%)	3 (7.5%)	7 (6.7%)		
No/I don't know	60 (93.8%)	37 (92.5%)	97 (93.3%)	0.061	0.805

Table 1. Relationship between anxiety symptoms and cancer patient sociodemographic characteristics and treatment plans (n = 104).

The *p* value was calculated using the chi-square test.

2.2. Data Collection Tool

A questionnaire comprising two main parts was used. The first part included sociodemographic and health information such as age, sex, marital status, people with whom participants lived, type of treatment, chronic conditions, and immunosuppressant medication use. Second, psychological distress was measured with the Patient Health Questionnaire for Depression and Anxiety (PHQ-4), a 4-item measure of the frequency of 2 items for anxiety symptoms and 2 items for depressive symptoms on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The PHQ-4 scores indicate whether a patient's symptoms are considered to be (0–2) normal, (3–5) mild, (6–8) moderate, or (9–12) severe. The participants were regrouped as having no anxiety/depression (normal to mild) or having anxiety/depression (moderate to severe). The reliability and validity of the brief PHQ-4 scale have been established in general and in clinical populations, and a total score of 6 or higher is indicative of clinically relevant distress [12]. The questionnaire was shared with one expert and two academics to review its validity and clarity. The questionnaire was also translated from English to Arabic and then to English again to ensure accuracy.

Accordingly, the questionnaire was distributed online and via social media to collect the information required for our study for two weeks from 7 to 19 November 2020.

The dependent variables were the depression and anxiety symptoms of cancer patients during the COVID-19 outbreak.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Quantitative data are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and median (min-max) whenever appropriate. Qualitative data are presented using counts and proportions (%). For the comparisons between anxiety symptoms and patient characteristics as well as between depression levels and patient characteristics, chi squared tests were conducted. Binary regression analyses were conducted to determine the likelihood ratio of anxiety symptoms and depression among the significant factors drawn from the cross tabulations. A *p* value <0.05 (two sided) was used to indicate statistical significance. All data analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 21 (SPSS, IBM Corp.: Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

Table 1 shows the association between anxiety symptoms and the treatment plans of cancer patients. Based on the results, age group in years, sex, marital status, living with others, treatment status, type of treatment, treatment disturbance, chronic conditions, immunosuppressant drugs, and mental health disorders were not significantly related to anxiety symptoms (all p > 0.05).

This study also found that age group in years, sex, marital status, living with others, treatment status, type of treatment, treatment disturbance, chronic conditions, immunosuppressant drugs, and mental health disorders had no significant relationship with depression (all p > 0.05) (Table 2).

Table 2. Relationship between depression and cancer patient sociodemographic characteristics and treatment plans (n = 104).

		Depre	ssive Sympton	is	
_	Yes	No	Total		
Factor	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	X ²	p Value
	(n = 73)	(n = 31)	(n = 104)		
Age group					
19–30 years	9 (12.3%)	3 (9.7%)	12 (11.5%)		
31–60 years	40 (54.8%)	18 (58.1%)	58 (55.8%)	0.177	0.915
>60 years	24 (32.9%)	10 (32.3%)	34 (32.7%)		
Sex	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. ,	. ,		
Male	29 (39.7%)	13 (41.9%)	42 (40.4%)		0.001
Female	44 (60.3%)	18 (58.1%)	62 (59.6%)	0.044	0.834
Marital Status	· · · · · ·	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Never been married	55 (75.3%)	19 (61.3%)	74 (71.2%)	• • • •	0.1.10
Been married	18 (24.7%)	12 (38.7%)	30 (28.8%)	2.093	0.148
Living	()	()	· · · ·		
Without children	19 (26.0%)	12 (38.7%)	31 (29.8%)		
With children	54 (74.0%)	19 (61.3%)	73 (70.2%)	1.673	0.196
Treatment status	()	()	(/ /		
Undergoing	41 (56.2%)	19 (61.3%)	60 (57.7%)		
Last 6 months	9 (12.3%)	8 (25.8%)	17 (16.3%)	5.418	0.067
More than 6 months	23 (31.5%)	4 (12.9%)	27 (26.0%)	0.110	0.007

	Depressive Symptoms					
-	Yes	No	Total			
Factor	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	X ²	p Value	
	(n = 73)	(<i>n</i> = 31)	(n = 104)			
Type of treatment						
Chemotherapy	29 (39.7%)	14 (45.2%)	43 (41.3%)			
Radiotherapy	3 (4.1%)	5 (16.1%)	8 (7.7%)	T 440	0.050	
Both	14 (19.2%)	7 (22.6%)	21 (20.2%)	7.443	0.059	
Others	27 (37.0%)	5 (16.1%)	32 (30.8%)			
Treatment disturbance						
Normal/completed	48 (65.8%)	16 (51.6%)	64 (61.5%)			
Delayed	18 (24.7%)	11 (35.5%)	29 (27.9%)	1.848	0.397	
Interrupted	7 (9.6%)	04 (12.9%)	11 (10.6%)			
Chronic conditions	. ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Yes	40 (54.8%)	19 (61.3%)	59 (56.7%)			
No	33 (45.2%)	12 (38.7%)	45 (43.3%)	0.374	0.541	
Immunosuppressant drugs		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. ,			
Yes	7 (9.6%)	4 (12.9%)	11 (10.6%)	0.050	0.41	
No/I don't know	66 (90.4%)	27 (87.1%)	93 (90.4%)	0.253	0.615	
Mental health disorders	. ,	. ,	. ,			
Yes	4 (5.5%)	3 (9.7%)	7 (6.7%)	0 (11	0.40.1	
No/I don't know	69 (94.5%)	28 (90.3%)	97 (93.3%)	0.611	0.434	

Table 2. Cont.

The *p* value was calculated using the chi-square test.

Table 3 shows that feeling isolated showed a significant relationship with anxiety symptoms ($X^2 = 10.427$; p = 0.005). Other statements regarding the impact of COVID-19 did not have a significant effect on anxiety symptoms (all p > 0.05).

Table 4 shows that the relationship between patients' support institutions as the source of COVID-19 information and depression was statistically significant ($X^2 = 6.618$; p = 0.010).

When conducting regression analysis, the risk of anxiety symptoms for those who felt that they were more isolated than before was three times higher than that for those who felt less isolated (OR = 3.208; 95% CI = 1.391-7.396; p = 0.006). The risk of depression for those who stated that patient support institutions were their source of COVID-19 information was four times higher (OR = 4.200; 95% CI = 1.328-13.280; p = 0.015) (Table 5).

Table 3. Impact of COVID-19 in relation to anxiety (n = 104).

	Anxiety Symptoms					
_	Yes	No	Total			
Factor	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	X ²	p Value	
	(n = 64)	(n = 40)	(n = 104)	-		
Impact on treatment						
protocols						
Yes	25 (39.1%)	16 (40.0%)	41 (39%)		0.578	
Not yet, but I worry it will	11 (17.2%)	4 (10.0%)	15 (14%)	1.095		
No	28 (43.8%)	20 (50.0%)	48 (46%)			
Impact details						
No effect	39 (60.9%)	24 (60.0%)	63 (61%)			
Delayed treatment	16 (25.0%)	11 (27.5%)	27 (26%)	0.115		
Cancelled appointment	2 (3.1%)	1 (2.5%)	3 (3%)	0.117	0.99	
Stopped treatment	7 (10.9%)	4 (10.0%)	11 (11%)			
Psychological well-being						
Better than before	7 (10.9%)	3 (07.5%)	10 (10%)			
Same as before	21 (32.8%)	22 (55.0%)	43 (41%)	4.998	0.082	
More anxious and stressed than before	36 (56.3%)	15 (37.5%)	51 (49%)			

Table 3.	Cont.
----------	-------

	Anxiety Symptoms					
_	Yes	No	Total			
Factor	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	X^2	p Value	
	(n = 64)	(n = 40)	(n = 104)	-		
Feeling isolated						
Less isolated than before	4 (6.3%)	0	4 (4%)			
Same as before	22 (34.4%)	26 (65.0%)	48 (46%)	10.427	0.005 **	
More isolated than before	38 (59.4%)	14 (35.0%)	52 (50%)			
Source of COVID-19						
information *						
Medical professionals	37 (57.8%)	25 (62.5%)	62 (60%)	0.225	0.636	
Social media and websites	54 (84.4%)	34 (85.0%)	88 (85%)	0.007	0.932	
Patient support institution	21 (32.8%)	11 (27.5%)	32 (31%)	0.326	0.568	
Relatives and friends	38 (59.4%)	19 (47.5%)	57 (55%)	1.401	0.236	
Satisfaction about						
information received						
Satisfied	50 (78.1%)	35 (87.5%)	85 (82%)	1 4 4 0	0.000	
Dissatisfied	14 (21.9%)	05 (12.5%)	19 (18%)	1.449	0.229	
Need more information		. ,				
Yes	59 (92.2%)	36 (90.0%)	45 (43%)	0 1 40	0.000	
No	5 (7.8%)	04 (10.0%)	9 (9%)	0.149	0.699	

* Variable with multiple choice answer. The p value was calculated using the chi-square test. ** Significant at the p < 0.05 level.

Table 4. Impact of COVID-19 in relation to depression	n (<i>n</i> = 104).
---	----------------------

	Depression Symptoms					
	Yes	No	Total			
Factor	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	X^2	p Value	
	(n = 73)	(n = 31)	(n = 104)	-		
Impact on treatment						
protocols						
Yes	24 (32.9%)	17 (29.0%)	41 (39%)			
Not yet, but I worry it will	10 (13.7%)	5 (16.1%)	15 (14%)	5.556	0.062	
No	24 (32.9%)	17 (54.8%)	48 (46%)			
Impact details	· · · ·	· · · ·	· · · ·			
No effect	49 (67.1%)	14 (45.2%)	63 (61%)			
Delayed treatment	17 (23.3%)	10 (32.3%)	27 (26%)	E (10	0.10	
Cancelled appointment	1 (1.4%)	2 (6.5%)	3 (3%)	5.642	0.13	
Stopped treatment	6 (8.2%)	5 (16.1%)	11 (11%)			
Psychological well-being	· · · ·	· · /	· · · ·			
Better than before	8 (11.0%)	2 (6.5%)	10 (10%)			
Same as before	34 (46.6%)	9 (29.0%)	43 (41%)	4.237	0.12	
More anxious and stressed	()	20 ((4 E0/)	· · · ·		-	
than before	31 (42.5%)	20 (64.5%)	51 (49%)			
Feeling isolated						
Less isolated than before	3 (4.1%)	1 (3.2%)	4 (4%)			
Same as before	36 (49.3%)	12 (38.7%)	48 (46%)	1.149	0.563	
More isolated than before	34 (46.6%)	18 (58.1%)	52 (50%)			
Source of COVID-19	· · /	· · · ·	()			
information *						
Medical professionals	47 (64.4%)	15 (48.4%)	62 (60%)	2.313	0.128	
Social media and websites	64 (87.7%)	24 (77.4%)	88 (85%)	1.757	0.185	
Patient support institution	28 (38.4%)	4 (12.9%)	32 (31%)	6.618	0.010 **	
Relatives and friends	43 (58.9%)	14 (45.2%)	57 (55%)	1.659	0.198	
Satisfaction about		()				
information received						
Satisfied	61 (83.6%)	24 (77.4%)	85 (82%)	0.55	0.450	
Dissatisfied	12 (16.4%)	07 (22.6%)	19 (18%)	0.55	0.458	
Need more information	((()			
Yes	67 (91.8%)	28 (90.3%)	45 (43%)	0.050	0.000	
No	6 (8.2%)	3 (9.7%)	9 (9%)	0.059	0.809	

* Variable with multiple choice answer. The *p* value was calculated using the chi-square test. ** Significant at the p < 0.05 level.

Anxiety Symptoms	Odds Ratio	95% CI	p Value
Feeling isolated			
Same as beforeLess isolated than before	Ref 0.000	0.000	0.999
• More isolated than before	3.208	1.391-7.396	0.006 **
Depression Patient support institution as source of COVID-19 information			
• No	Ref		
• Yes	4.200	1.328-13.280	0.015 **

Table 5. Regression analysis to determine the factors independently associated with anxiety symptoms and depression (n = 104).

CI—confidence interval. ** Significant at the p < 0.05 level.

4. Discussion

Emotional and psychological disorders greatly affect patients with a cancer diagnosis. The burden that is carried by the patients, including the treatment plan, can lead to emotional distress, including anxiety symptoms and depression. The purpose of the present study was to examine the levels of anxiety symptoms and depression among cancer patients in Saudi Arabia during the COVID-19 outbreak and their impact on the patients' cancer treatment plans. The findings of this study revealed that the COVID-19 pandemic increased anxiety symptoms in cancer patients, with 61.5% exhibiting symptoms of anxiety. Consistently, several papers reported an increased risk of anxiety for cancer patients during the outbreak, varying from 19.1% in Singapore to 78% in the United States with many countries in between [13–17].

Furthermore, we learned that feeling isolated greatly affected anxiety symptoms. It can be further noted that the delay in treatment during the pandemic was minimal; however, an increase in perceived psychological distress was more obvious. Nearly half of the patients (49%) indicated that they felt more anxious and stressed during the pandemic, while feelings of isolation added to the burden on cancer patients. This is consistent with what was reported in previous literature, that cancer patients who were living alone had a higher risk of anxiety [13]. It was further added that patients who had a relative infected with the virus were more prone to suffer severe anxiety. In Singapore, anxiety was more prevalent among married patients, a finding which was also supported by a study in Poland [13,17]. However, in the current study, although those who had never been married were more anxious than those who had been married, the outcome did not show a significant impact on anxiety symptoms, which contradicted the previous findings.

In the UK, it was reported that women with breast cancer who had disruptions in their treatment plans during COVID-19 had high levels of anxiety and depression. This finding indicated that the restrictions due to COVID-19 lockdowns underlined the emotional and psychological susceptibility of women with primary breast cancer [18]. This scenario did not coincide with the current results, as cancer patients with mental disorders in this study were not greatly affected by anxiety.

Depression was another predictor of psychological distress among cancer patients during the COVID-19 outbreak. In this study, depression was detected in 70.2% of the study subjects. This is consistent with Miaskowski et al.'s work (2020), where they observed that 71.2% of oncology patients were considerably affected by depression during the outbreak [15]. Other papers reported a prevalence of depression ranging from 25.2% to 58% [13,14,16,19–22].

Interestingly, this paper revealed that COVID-19 information coming from the patient's institution contributed to the increased risk of depression. This could be due to the amount and frequency of information received about COVID-19, especially by elderly patients and people with more comorbidities that would receive more information on COVID-19 and

its related consequences [23]. Hence, the more information on restrictions is received, the more likely the receiver will be depressed.

The overall findings of this study add to the recent literature, which indicates that cancer patients are emotionally and mentally affected by the current pandemic [24,25]. Patients diagnosed with cancer are likely to suffer from the prolonged immunosuppressant effects of cancer along with its therapy [26]. Thus, the potential effects of delays and disruptions in treatment may lead to a considerable increase in the psychological distress associated with cancer [18].

5. Clinical Implications

The COVID-19 pandemic has added to the burden on cancer patients. The increased risk of anxiety and depression symptoms was clearly demonstrated in this study. Feeling isolated had a greater impact on anxiety levels, while obtaining COVID-19 information from patient support institutions negatively affected levels of depression. The potential effects of the COVID-19 pandemic can be detrimental to cancer patients. Effective measures should be undertaken to minimize psychological disorders and to provide mental health support. Further related research is needed to identify the extent of the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on the cognitive, emotional, and psychological distress of cancer patients.

6. Study Limitations

The current study has some limitations. First, the limited sample size might have hindered in identifying the relations between some factors, such as sex. Additionally, the sample size did not sufficiently represent the target population, which might reduce the generalizability of the study. Second, the use of a cross-sectional survey-based study limited the generalizability of the study and limited the assessment of causation. However, this study did use a valid study tool to assess depression and anxiety symptoms. Finally, having baseline data on anxiety symptoms and depression levels before the pandemic and/or having a comparison group (patients with no cancer) would have provided a more accurate point of comparison. Nevertheless, a previous study supported the finding that people with cancer might experience an emotional impact from any discrepancy in their treatment plan; therefore, the study findings highlight the importance of monitoring treatment plans for patients with cancer.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.A. (Arwa Althumairi). and E.A.A.A.; methodology, A.A (Arwa Althumairi), A.A (Arwa Alumran) and E.A.A.A.; software, E.A.A.A. and A.A (Arwa Alumran); validation, A.A (Arwa Althumairi), A.A (Arwa Alumran). and R.S.A.; investigation, E.A.A.A.; data curation, A.A (Arwa Althumairi), A.A (Arwa Alumran); writing—review and editing, E.A.A.A., A.A (Arwa Althumairi), A.A (Arwa Alumran) and R.S.A.; supervision, A.A. (Arwa Althumairi) and A.A (Arwa Alumran). Althumairi), A.A (Arwa Alumran) and R.S.A.; supervision, A.A. (Arwa Althumairi) and A.A (Arwa Alumran). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board at Imam Abdurrahman Bin Faisal University to conduct this research under the ethical approval number IRB-2021-168-CPH, January 2021.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study and written informed consent has been obtained from the patient(s) to publish this paper if applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to patient approval statement.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Edge, R.; Meyers, J.; Tiernan, G.; Li, Z.; Schiavuzzi, A.; Chan, P.; Vassallo, A.; Morrow, A.; Mazariego, C.; Wakefield, C.E.; et al. Cancer care disruption and reorganisation during the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia: A patient, carer and healthcare worker perspective. *PLoS ONE* 2021, *16*, e0257420. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Košir, U.; Loades, M.; Wild, J.; Wiedemann, M.; Krajnc, A.; Roškar, S.; Bowes, L. The impact of COVID-19 on the cancer care of adolescents and young adults and their well-being: Results from an online survey conducted in the early stages of the pandemic. *Cancer* 2020, *126*, 4414–4422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Laing, A.G.; Lorenc, A.; del Barrio, I.D.M.; Das, A.; Fish, M.; Monin, L.; Muñoz-Ruiz, M.; McKenzie, D.R.; Hayday, T.S.; Francos-Quijorna, I.; et al. A dynamic COVID-19 immune signature includes associations with poor prognosis. *Nat. Med.* 2020, 26, 1623–1635. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alshamrani, M.; Alharbi, A.; Alkhudair, N.; Alnajjar, F.; Khan, M.; Ben Obaid, A.; Khardaly, A.; Bajnaid, E.; Samarkandi, H.; Alazmi, A.; et al. Practical strategies to manage cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic: Saudi Oncology Pharmacy Assembly Experts recommendations. J. Oncol. Pharm. Pract. 2020, 26, 1429–1440. [CrossRef]
- Al-Shamsi, H.O.; Alhazzani, W.; Alhuraiji, A.; Coomes, E.A.; Chemaly, R.F.; Almuhanna, M.; Wolff, R.A.; Ibrahim, N.K.; Chua, M.L.; Hotte, S.J.; et al. A Practical Approach to the Management of Cancer Patients during the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic: An International Collaborative Group. *Oncologist* 2020, 25, e936–e945. [CrossRef]
- 6. van de Haar, J.; Hoes, L.R.; Coles, C.E.; Seamon, K.; Fröhling, S.; Jäger, D.; Valenza, F.; de Braud, F.; de Petris, L.; Bergh, J.; et al. Caring for patients with cancer in the COVID-19 era. *Nat. Med.* **2020**, *26*, 665–671. [CrossRef]
- Al-Quteimat, O.M.; Amer, A.M. The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Cancer Patients. Am. J. Clin. Oncol. 2020, 43, 452–455. [CrossRef]
- 8. Yeoh, C.B.; Lee, K.J.; Rieth, E.F.; Mapes, R.; Tchoudovskaia, A.V.; Fischer, G.W.; Tollinche, L.E. COVID-19 in the Cancer Patient. *Anesth. Analg.* 2020, *131*, 16–23. [CrossRef]
- 9. Reed, S.C.; Bell, J.F.; Miglioretti, D.L.; Nekhlyudov, L.; Fairman, N.; Joseph, J.G. Fear of cancer recurrence and associations with mental health status and individual characteristics among cancer survivors: Findings from a nationally representative sample. *J. Psychosoc. Oncol.* **2020**, *38*, 125–142. [CrossRef]
- 10. Nekhlyudov, L.; Duijts, S.; Hudson, S.V.; Jones, J.M.; Keogh, J.; Love, B.; Lustberg, M.; Smith, K.C.; Tevaarwerk, A.; Yu, X.; et al. Addressing the needs of cancer survivors during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J. Cancer Surviv.* **2020**, *14*, 601–606. [CrossRef]
- 11. Alrashed, S.; Min-Allah, N.; Saxena, A.; Ali, I.; Mehmood, R. Impact of lockdowns on the spread of COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia. *Inform. Med. Unlocked* **2020**, *20*, 100420. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Weihs, K.L.; Wiley, J.F.; Crespi, C.M.; Krull, J.L.; Stanton, A.L. Predicting future major depression and persistent depressive symptoms: Development of a prognostic screener and PHQ-4 cutoffs in breast cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncol.* **2018**, 27, 420–426. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Ciążyńska, M.; Pabianek, M.; Szczepaniak, K.; Ułańska, M.; Skibińska, M.; Owczarek, W.; Narbutt, J.; Lesiak, A. Quality of life of cancer patients during coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. *Psycho-Oncol.* **2020**, *29*, 1377–1379. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Lou, E.; Teoh, D.; Brown, K.; Blaes, A.; Holtan, S.G.; Jewett, P.; Parsons, H.; Mburu, E.W.; Thomaier, L.; Hui, J.Y.C.; et al. Perspectives of cancer patients and their health during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0241741. [CrossRef]
- Miaskowski, C.; Paul, S.M.; Snowberg, K.; Abbott, M.; Borno, H.; Chang, S.; Chen, L.M.; Cohen, B.; Hammer, M.J.; Kenfield, S.A.; et al. Stress and Symptom Burden in Oncology Patients during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *J. Pain Symptom Manag.* 2020, 60, e25–e34. [CrossRef]
- Mogami, T.; Onuma, E.; Aoki, M.; Kamiya, N.; Sukegawa, A.; Miyagi, E.; Sakakibara, H. Increased anxiety and depression in patients with gynecologic cancers during the COVID-19 pandemic: A retrospective study from Japan. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 2020, 152, 457–458. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ng, D.W.L.; Chan, F.H.F.; Barry, T.J.; Lam, C.; Chong, C.Y.; Kok, H.C.S.; Liao, Q.; Fielding, R.; Lam, W.W.T. Psychological distress during the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) pandemic among cancer survivors and healthy controls. *Psycho-Oncol.* 2020, 29, 1380–1383. [CrossRef]
- Swainston, J.; Chapman, B.; Grunfeld, E.A.; Derakshan, N. COVID-19 Lockdown and Its Adverse Impact on Psychological Health in Breast Cancer. *Front. Psychol.* 2020, 11, 2033. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hennessy, M.A.; Linehan, A.; Cowzer, D.; Coyne, Z.L.; Fitzpatrick, O.; Barrett, F.; Ni Dhonaill, R.; Hennessy, B.T.; Grogan, L.; Morris, P.G.; et al. Chemotherapy in the Covid-19 era: The patient's perception. *Ir. J. Med. Sci.* **2021**, *190*, 1303–1308. [CrossRef]
- Li, J.; Santa-Maria, C.A.; Feng, H.; Wang, L.; Zhang, P.; Xu, Y.; Tan, Y.; Liu, Z.; Du, B.; Lan, M.; et al. Patient-reported Outcomes of Patients with Breast Cancer during the COVID-19 Outbreak in the Epicenter of China: A Cross-sectional Survey Study. *Clin. Breast Cancer* 2020, 20, e651–e662. [CrossRef]
- Letaief-Ksontini, F.; Zenzri, Y.; Yahyaoui, Y.; Gabsi, A.; Mokrani, A.; Meddeb, K.; Mghirbi, F.; Rais, H.; Ayadi, M.; Chraiet, N.; et al. 1573P Anxiety and depression in cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic: A single-centre study. *Ann. Oncol.* 2020, *31*, S957–S958. [CrossRef]
- Qian, Y.; Wu, K.; Xu, H.; Bao, D.; Ran, F.; Wei, W.; Cheng, T.; Huang, D.; Lin, X.; Bruera, E.; et al. A Survey on Physical and Mental Distress among Cancer Patients during the COVID-19 Epidemic in Wuhan, China. *J. Palliat. Med.* 2020, 23, 888–889. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 23. Wilkinson, T.J.; Lightfoot, C.J.; Palmer, J.; Smith, A.C. Navigating the COVID-19 infodemic in those living with kidney disease: Access and trust in health information sources and the association with anxiety and depression. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* **2022**, *38*, 35–42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Chan, E.; Foster, S.; Sambell, R.; Leong, P. Clinical efficacy of virtual reality for acute procedural pain management: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0200987. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, L.; Zhu, F.; Xie, L.; Wang, C.; Wang, J.; Chen, R.; Jia, P.; Guan, H.Q.; Peng, L.; Chen, Y.; et al. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19-infected cancer patients: A retrospective case study in three hospitals within Wuhan, China. *Ann. Oncol.* 2020, *31*, 894–901. [CrossRef]
- Kang, D.-H.; Weaver, M.T.; Park, N.-J.; Smith, B.; McArdle, T.; Carpenter, J. Significant Impairment in Immune Recovery after Cancer Treatment. Nurs. Res. 2009, 58, 105–114. [CrossRef]