

Article

# New Fixed Point Results via a Graph Structure

Özlem Acar<sup>1</sup>, Hassen Aydi<sup>2,3,4,\*</sup>  and Manuel De la Sen<sup>5</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Selçuk University, Selçuklu, 42003 Konya, Turkey; ozlem.acar@selcuk.edu.tr

<sup>2</sup> Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et des Techniques de Communication, Université de Sousse, 4000 H. Sousse, Tunisia

<sup>3</sup> China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Ga-Rankuwa P.O. Box 60, South Africa

<sup>5</sup> Institute of Research and Development of Processes, University of Basque Country, Campus of Leioa (Bizkaia)—Aptdo, 644—Bilbao, 48080 Bilbao, Spain; manuel.delasen@ehu.es

\* Correspondence: hassen.aydi@isima.rnu.tn

**Abstract:** The main aim of this paper is to introduce and study some fixed point results for rational multivalued  $G$ -contraction and  $F$ -Khan-type multivalued contraction mappings on a metric space with a graph. At the end, we give an illustrative example.

**Keywords:** fixed point; directed graph; metric space; multivalued map

**MSC:** Primary 54H25; Secondary 47H10



**Citation:** Acar, Ö.; Aydi, H.; De la Sen, M. New Fixed Point Results via a Graph Structure. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1013. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9091013>

Academic Editor: Basil Papadopoulos

Received: 24 March 2021

Accepted: 27 April 2021

Published: 29 April 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Without doubt, the two most important results in fixed point theory are the Banach contraction principle and the Tarski fixed point theorem. These results have been the subject of many generalizations and extensions, either by extending the contractive condition or changing the structure of the space. In [1], Echenique gave a proof of Tarski fixed point theorem by using graphs combining fixed point techniques and graph theory. Then, Jachymski [2] developed another structure in the fixed point theory of metric spaces by replacing the structure of orders by the structure of graphs on metric spaces. He obtained sufficient conditions for self-mappings to be a Picard operator on a metric space endowed with a graph. Fixed point theory and graph theory provide an intersection between the theories of fixed point results, which give the conditions under which (single or multivalued) mappings have solutions, and graph theory, which uses mathematical structures to illustrate the relationship between ordered pairs of elements in terms of their vertices and directed edges. Note that metric fixed point and graph theory have common application environments. In the multivalued case, the authors in [3] proved a fixed point theorem for Mizoguchi–Takahashi-type contractions on a metric space endowed with a graph. For further results in this direction, we refer to [4–11]. Recently, in [12], the authors introduced a new concept of contractions called  $F$ -Khan contractions and proved a related fixed point theorem.

In this paper, we present new fixed point results for variant multivalued mappings via a graph structure. To do this, we introduce new types of contractions called rational-type multivalued  $G$ -contractions and  $F$ -Khan-type multivalued contractions. We ensure that related mappings (involving a graph structure) have a fixed point. At the end, we consider an example to show the validity of our obtained results and to ensure that the known results in the literature are not applicable.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space.  $P(X)$  denotes the family of all nonempty subsets of  $X$ ;  $CB(X)$  denotes the family of all nonempty, closed, and bounded subsets of  $X$ ; and  $K(X)$  denotes the family of all nonempty compact subsets of  $X$ . The Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric  $H : CB(X) \times CB(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is defined as

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{\alpha \in A} D(\alpha, B), \sup_{\beta \in B} D(\beta, A)\}$$

where  $A, B \in CB(X)$  and  $D(\alpha, B) = \inf_{\beta \in B} d(\alpha, \beta)$ . Using the Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric  $H$ , Nadler [13] established that every multivalued contraction mapping on a complete metric space has a fixed point. Inspired by his result, various fixed point results concerning multivalued contraction mappings appeared in the last several decades [14–22].

On the other hand, Jachymski [2] used a graph structure instead of partial orders to prove some important fixed point results. Additionally, Bojor [23] established some fixed point results in a metric space endowed with a graph. We can also find some fixed point results on a metric space with a graph structure in [4,5,7,9,24].

Now, we recall some definitions and lemmas.

**Definition 1.** Ref. [2] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $\Delta$  denote the diagonal of the cartesian product  $X \times X$ . A directed graph or digraph  $G$  is characterized by a nonempty set  $V(G)$  of its vertices and the set  $E(G) \subset V(G) \times V(G)$  of its directed edges. A digraph is reflexive if any vertex admits a loop. For a digraph  $G = (V, E)$ ,

- (i) If whenever  $(a, b) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (b, a) \notin E(G)$ , then the digraph  $G$  is called an oriented graph.
- (ii) A digraph  $G$  is transitive whenever  $[(a, b) \in E(G) \text{ and } (b, c) \in E(G)] \Rightarrow (a, c) \in E(G)$ , for any  $a, b, c \in V(G)$ .
- (iii) A path of  $G$  is a sequence  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$  with  $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E(G)$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (iv)  $G$  is connected if there is a path between each two vertices, and it is weakly connected if  $\tilde{G}$  is connected, where  $\tilde{G}$  corresponds to the undirected graph obtained from  $G$  by ignoring the direction of edges.
- (v) Let  $G^{-1}$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by reversing the direction of edges. Thus,

$$E(G^{-1}) = \{(a, b) \in X \times X : (b, a) \in E(G)\}.$$

- (vi) We call  $(V', E')$  a subgraph of  $G$  if  $V' \subseteq V(G)$  and  $E' \subseteq E(G)$  and for any edge  $(a, b) \in E'$ ,  $a, b \in V'$ .

We refer to [25] for more details on graph theory.

**Definition 2.** Ref. [4] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  such that  $V(G) = X$  and let  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a multivalued mapping.  $T$  has the weakly graph-preserving (WGP) property, whenever for each  $a \in X$  and  $b \in Ta$  with  $(a, b) \in E(G)$  implies  $(b, c) \in E(G)$  for all  $c \in Tb$ .

**Definition 3.** Ref. [21] Let  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function verifying the following conditions:

- (F1) For all  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $F(\alpha) < F(\beta)$ ;
- (F2) For any positive real sequence  $\{a_n\}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0 \text{ iff } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n) = -\infty;$$

- (F3) There is  $k \in (0, 1)$  so that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$ ;
- (F4)  $F(\inf A) = \inf F(A)$  for all  $A \subset (0, \infty)$  with  $\inf A > 0$ .

We mean by  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  if  $F$  verifies the conditions (F1)–(F3), and by  $F \in \mathcal{F}_*$  if the conditions (F1)–(F4) hold. Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}_* \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 1.** Ref. [4] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$  be an upper semi-continuous mapping such that  $Tr$  is closed for all  $r \in X$ . If  $r_n \rightarrow r_0, t_n \rightarrow t_0$ , and  $t_n \in Tr_n$ , then  $t_0 \in Tr_0$ .

A function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  (where  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ ) is said to be a comparison function if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\varphi$  is monotonically increasing;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

Meanwhile, if  $\varphi$  satisfies (i) and the following condition:

- (iii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi^n(t)$  is convergent for each  $t \geq 0$ ,

then  $\varphi$  is named to be a (c)-comparison function.

**Lemma 2.** Ref. [26] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Let  $A, B \subset X$ , and  $q > 1$ . Then, for every  $a \in A$  there exists  $b \in B$  such that

$$d(a, b) \leq qH(A, B).$$

### 3. Main Results

#### 3.1. On Rational-Type Multivalued G-Contractions

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G$  be a directed graph on a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $f : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a multivalued mapping. Suppose that  $f$  is upper semi-continuous and a WGP mapping. Suppose that:

- (i)  $f$  is a rational multivalued G-contraction of type I, that is, there is a strictly increasing (c)-comparison function  $\varphi$  such that

$$H(fa, fb) \leq \varphi(M(a, b)) \tag{1}$$

for all  $(a, b) \in E(G)$ , where

$$M(a, b) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(a, b), \frac{D(a,fa)+D(b,fb)}{2}, \frac{D(a,fb)+D(b,fa)}{2}, \\ \frac{D(a,fa)D(b,fb)}{d(a,b)}, \frac{D(b,fb)[1+D(a,fa)]}{1+d(a,b)} \end{array} \right\};$$

- (ii)  $M_f = \{a \in X : (a, u) \in E(G) \text{ for } u \in fa\}$  is nonempty.

Then,  $f$  admits a fixed point.

**Proof.** Set  $a_0 \in M_f$ . There exists  $a_1 \in fa_0$  such that  $(a_0, a_1) \in E(G)$ . So, we can use the condition (i) for  $a_0$  and  $a_1$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a_1, fa_1) &\leq H(fa_0, fa_1) \\ &\leq \varphi(M(a_0, a_1)) \\ &= \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(a_0, a_1), \frac{D(a_0,fa_0)+D(a_1,fa_1)}{2}, \frac{D(a_0,fa_1)+D(a_1,fa_0)}{2}, \\ \frac{D(a_0,fa_0)D(a_1,fa_1)}{d(a_0,a_1)}, \frac{D(a_1,fa_1)[1+D(a_0,fa_0)]}{1+d(a_0,a_1)} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(a_0, a_1), \frac{d(a_0,a_1)+D(a_1,fa_1)}{2}, \frac{D(a_0,fa_1)+d(a_1,a_1)}{2}, \\ \frac{d(a_0,a_1)D(a_1,fa_1)}{d(a_0,a_1)}, \frac{D(a_1,fa_1)[1+d(a_0,a_1)]}{1+d(a_0,a_1)} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(a_0, a_1), \frac{d(a_0, a_1) + D(a_1, fa_1)}{2}, D(a_1, fa_1), \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(a_0, a_1), D(a_1, fa_1), \}) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(a_0, a_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Given  $\sigma > 1$  an arbitrary constant. Therefore, from Lemma 2, there exists  $a_2 \in fa_1$  such that

$$d(a_1, a_2) \leq \sqrt{\sigma}H(fa_0, fa_1).$$

Recall that  $H(fa_0, fa_1) \leq \varphi(d(a_0, a_1))$ , so one writes

$$d(a_1, a_2) \leq \sqrt{\sigma}\varphi(d(a_0, a_1)) < \sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1)).$$

Since  $\varphi$  is strictly increasing, we have

$$0 < \varphi(d(a_1, a_2)) < \varphi(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1))).$$

Set  $\sigma_1 = \frac{\varphi(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1)))}{\varphi(d(a_1, a_2))}$ . Then,  $\sigma_1 > 1$ . Since  $(a_0, a_1) \in E(G)$ ,  $a_1 \in fa_0$  and  $a_2 \in fa_1$ , using the WGP property, one writes  $(a_1, a_2) \in E(G)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D(a_2, fa_2) &\leq H(fa_1, fa_2) \\ &\leq \varphi(M(a_1, a_2)) \\ &= \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(a_1, a_2), \frac{D(a_1, fa_1)+D(a_2, fa_2)}{2}, \frac{D(a_1, fa_2)+D(a_2, fa_1)}{2}, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{D(a_1, fa_1)D(a_2, fa_2)}{d(a_1, a_2)}, \frac{D(a_2, fa_2)[1+D(a_1, fa_1)]}{1+d(a_1, a_2)}\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(a_1, a_2), \frac{d(a_1, a_2)+D(a_2, fa_2)}{2}, \frac{D(a_1, fa_2)+d(a_2, a_2)}{2}, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{d(a_1, a_2)D(a_2, fa_2)}{d(a_1, a_2)}, \frac{D(a_2, fa_2)[1+d(a_1, a_2)]}{1+d(a_1, a_2)}\right\}\right) \\ &= \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(a_1, a_2), \frac{d(a_1, a_2) + D(a_2, fa_2)}{2}, D(a_2, fa_2), \right\}\right) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(a_1, a_2), D(a_2, fa_2), \}) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(a_1, a_2)) \\ &< \sqrt{\sigma_1}\varphi(d(a_1, a_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Lemma 2 there exists  $a_3 \in fa_2$  such that

$$d(a_2, a_3) \leq \sqrt{\sigma_1}H(fa_1, fa_2) < \sigma_1\varphi(d(a_2, a_1)) = \varphi(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1))).$$

Since  $\varphi$  is strictly increasing, we have

$$0 < \varphi(d(a_2, a_3)) < \varphi^2(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1))).$$

Get  $\sigma_2 = \frac{\varphi^2(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1)))}{\varphi(d(a_2, a_3))}$ . Then,  $\sigma_2 > 1$ . Continuing, we construct the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $X$  so that  $a_{n+1} \in fa_n$ ,  $(a_n, a_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  and

$$d(a_n, a_{n+1}) \leq \varphi^n(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1))).$$

To prove that  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, take  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_n, a_m) &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} d(a_k, a_{k+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \varphi^k(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1))). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi$  is a  $(c)$ -comparison function, the series on the right-hand side converges, and so  $d(a_n, a_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . That is,  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ , which

is complete, so  $\{a_n\}$  is convergent to some  $z \in X$ , that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = z$ . Since  $f$  is upper semi-continuous, using Lemma 1, we find  $z \in fz$ . That is,  $f$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .  $\square$

Consider the following property:

$$(P)\text{-property} : \begin{cases} \text{for any } \{a_n\} \text{ in } X, \text{ if } a_n \rightarrow a \text{ and } (a_n, a_{n+1}) \in E(G), \\ \text{then there is a subsequence } \{a_{n_k}\} \text{ with } (a_{n_k}, a) \in E(G). \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $G$  be a directed graph on a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $f : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a multivalued mapping such that the following conditions are verified:

- (i)  $f$  is a rational multivalued  $G$ -contraction of type II, that is, there is a strictly increasing (c)-comparison function  $\varphi$  such that

$$H(fa, fb) \leq \varphi(N(a, b))$$

for all  $(a, b) \in E(G)$ , where

$$N(a, b) = \max \left\{ d(a, b), \frac{D(a, fa) + D(b, fb)}{2}, \frac{D(a, fb) + D(b, fa)}{2}, \frac{D(a, fa)D(b, fb)}{1 + H(fa, fb)} \right\},$$

- (ii)  $M_f$  (defined in Theorem 1) is nonempty;
- (iii) The (P)-property is satisfied;
- (iv)  $f$  is a WGP mapping.

Then,  $f$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Take  $a_0 \in M_f$ . There is  $a_1 \in fa_0$  such that  $(a_0, a_1) \in E(G)$ . So, we can use the condition (i) for  $a_0$  and  $a_1$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a_1, fa_1) &\leq H(fa_0, fa_1) \\ &\leq \varphi(N(a_0, a_1)) \\ &= \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(a_0, a_1), \frac{D(a_0, fa_0) + D(a_1, fa_1)}{2}, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{D(a_0, fa_1) + D(a_1, fa_0)}{2}, \frac{D(a_0, fa_0)D(a_1, fa_1)}{1 + H(fa_0, fa_1)} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(a_0, a_1), \frac{d(a_0, a_1) + D(a_1, fa_1)}{2}, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{D(a_0, fa_1) + d(a_1, a_1)}{2}, \frac{d(a_0, a_1)D(a_1, fa_1)}{D(a_1, fa_1)} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(a_0, a_1), \frac{d(a_0, a_1) + D(a_1, fa_1)}{2}, D(a_1, fa_1), \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(a_0, a_1), D(a_1, fa_1)\}) \\ &= \varphi(d(a_0, a_1)) \\ &< \sqrt{\sigma} \varphi(d(a_0, a_1)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma > 1$  is a constant. Therefore, from Lemma 2, there exists  $a_2 \in fa_1$  such that

$$d(a_1, a_2) \leq \sqrt{\sigma} H(fa_0, fa_1) < \sigma \varphi(d(a_0, a_1)).$$

Since  $\varphi$  is strictly increasing, we have

$$0 < \varphi(d(a_1, a_2)) < \varphi(\sigma \varphi(d(a_0, a_1))).$$

Take  $\sigma_1 = \frac{\varphi(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1)))}{\varphi(d(a_1, a_2))}$ . We have  $\sigma_1 > 1$ . In view of  $(a_0, a_1) \in E(G)$ ,  $a_1 \in fa_0$ ,  $a_2 \in fa_1$ , and using the WGP property, one writes  $(a_1, a_2) \in E(G)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D(a_2, fa_2) &\leq H(fa_1, fa_2) \\ &\leq \varphi(N(a_1, a_2)) \\ &= \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(a_1, a_2), \frac{D(a_1, fa_1)+D(a_2, fa_2)}{2}, \frac{D(a_1, fa_2)+D(a_2, fa_1)}{2}, \frac{D(a_1, fa_1)D(a_2, fa_2)}{1+H(fa_1, fa_2)}\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(a_1, a_2), \frac{d(a_1, a_2)+D(a_2, fa_2)}{2}, \frac{D(a_1, fa_2)+d(a_2, a_2)}{2}, \frac{d(a_1, a_2)D(a_2, fa_2)}{D(a_2, fa_2)}\right\}\right) \\ &= \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(a_1, a_2), \frac{d(a_1, a_2) + D(a_2, fa_2)}{2}, D(a_2, fa_2)\right\}\right) \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(a_1, a_2), D(a_2, fa_2)\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(a_1, a_2)) \\ &< \sqrt{\sigma_1}\varphi(d(a_1, a_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Lemma 2, there exists  $a_3 \in fa_2$  such that

$$d(a_2, a_3) \leq \sqrt{\sigma_1}H(fa_1, fa_2) < \sigma_1\varphi(d(a_2, a_1)) = \varphi(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1))).$$

Since  $\varphi$  is strictly increasing, we have

$$0 < \varphi(d(a_2, a_3)) < \varphi^2(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1))).$$

Set  $\sigma_2 = \frac{\varphi^2(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1)))}{\varphi(d(a_2, a_3))}$ . Then,  $\sigma_2 > 1$ . Proceeding again, we construct the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $a_{n+1} \in fa_n$ ,  $(a_n, a_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  and

$$d(a_n, a_{n+1}) \leq \varphi^n(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1))).$$

We will show that  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_n, a_m) &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} d(a_k, a_{k+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \varphi^k(\sigma\varphi(d(a_0, a_1))). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi$  is a (c)-comparison function, the series on the right-hand side converges, and so we get  $d(a_n, a_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . That is,  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ , which is complete, hence  $\{a_n\}$  is convergent to some  $z \in X$ , that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = z$ . By the (P)-property, there is a subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{a_n\}$  such that  $(a_{n_k}, z) \in E(G)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, assume that  $D(z, fz) > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(a_{n_k}, a_{n_k+1}) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(a_{n_k}, z) = 0$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n_k > n_0$

$$D(a_{n_k}, a_{n_k+1}) < \frac{1}{3}D(z, fz) \tag{2}$$

and there is  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for  $n_k > n_1$

$$D(a_{n_k}, z) < \frac{1}{3}D(z, fz). \tag{3}$$

If we take  $n_k > \max\{n_0, n_1\}$ , then by (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a_{n_k+1}, fz) &\leq H(fa_{n_k}, fz) \\ &\leq \varphi(N(a_{n_k}, z)) \\ &\leq \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(a_{n_k}, z), \frac{D(a_{n_k}, fa_{n_k}) + D(z, fz)}{2}, \frac{D(a_{n_k}, fz) + D(z, fa_{n_k})}{2}, \frac{D(a_{n_k}, fa_{n_k})D(z, fz)}{1 + H(fa_{n_k}, fz)}\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \varphi\left(\max\left\{\frac{1}{3}D(z, fz), \frac{\frac{1}{3}D(z, fz) + D(z, fz)}{2}, \frac{D(a_{n_k}, fz) + D(z, fa_{n_k})}{2}, \frac{\frac{1}{3}D(z, fz)D(z, fz)}{D(a_{n_k+1}, fz)}\right\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $D(z, fz) \leq \varphi(D(z, fz)) < D(z, fz)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $D(z, fz) = 0$  and since  $fz$  is closed, we deduce  $z \in fz$ . That is,  $f$  admits a fixed point.  $\square$

If we assume that  $f : X \rightarrow K(X)$  in the previous theorems, we do not need the strictly increasing property of  $\varphi$ . This corresponds to the following result.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $G$  be a directed graph on  $X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow K(X)$  be a multivalued mapping. Assume that  $f$  is upper semi-continuous and a WGP mapping. Suppose that:

(i) There is a (c)-comparison function  $\varphi$  such that

$$H(fa, fb) \leq \varphi(N(a, b))$$

for all  $(a, b) \in E(G)$ ;

(ii)  $M_f$  is nonempty.

Then,  $f$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Set  $a_0 \in M_f$ . There is  $a_1 \in fa_0$  such that  $(a_0, a_1) \in E(G)$ . So, we can use the condition (i) for  $a_0$  and  $a_1$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a_1, fa_1) &\leq H(fa_0, fa_1) \\ &\leq \varphi(N(a_0, a_1)) \\ &= \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(a_0, a_1), \frac{D(a_0, fa_0) + D(a_1, fa_1)}{2}, \frac{D(a_0, fa_1) + D(a_1, fa_0)}{2}, \frac{D(a_0, fa_0)D(a_1, fa_1)}{1 + H(fa_0, fa_1)}\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(a_0, a_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $fa_1$  is compact, there exists  $a_2 \in fa_1$  such that  $d(a_1, a_2) = D(a_1, fa_1)$ . So,

$$d(a_1, a_2) \leq \varphi(d(a_0, a_1)).$$

Since  $(a_0, a_1) \in E(G)$ ,  $a_1 \in fa_0$ , and  $a_2 \in fa_1$ , using the WGP property, we get  $(a_1, a_2) \in E(G)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D(a_2, fa_2) &\leq H(fa_1, fa_2) \\ &\leq \varphi(N(a_1, a_2)) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(a_1, a_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $fa_2$  is compact, again there exists  $a_3 \in fa_2$  such that  $d(a_2, a_3) = d(a_2, fa_2)$ . Therefore, we have

$$d(a_2, a_3) \leq \varphi(d(a_2, a_1)).$$

Continuing this process, we construct the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $a_{n+1} \in fa_n$ ,  $(a_n, a_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_n, a_{n+1}) &\leq \varphi(d(a_{n-1}, a_n)) \\ &\leq \varphi^2(d(a_{n-2}, a_{n-1})) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \varphi^n(d(a_0, a_1)). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof can be finished as in Theorem 2.  $\square$

### 3.2. On F-Khan Contractions

Let  $G$  be a directed graph on a metric space  $X$  and  $T$  be a mapping from  $X$  to  $CB(X)$ . Define

$$T_G = \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in E(G) : H(T\sigma_1, T\sigma_2) > 0\},$$

$$X_T = \{\sigma_1 \in X : (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in E(G) \text{ for some } \sigma_2 \in T\sigma_1\},$$

and

$$L(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2), D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_1), D(\sigma_2, T\sigma_2), \\ \frac{D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_1)D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_2) + D(\sigma_2, T\sigma_2)D(\sigma_2, T\sigma_1)}{\max\{D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_2), D(\sigma_2, T\sigma_1)\}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a mapping. We say that  $T$  is a multivalued F-Khan contraction if there are  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $Y > 0$  such that for all  $\max\{D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_2), D(\sigma_2, T\sigma_1)\} \neq 0$ , we have

$$Y + F(H(T\sigma_1, T\sigma_2)) \leq F(L(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) \tag{4}$$

for  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in X$  with  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in T_G$ .

With the assumptions of upper semi-continuity and having the weak graph preservation of the mapping  $T$ , Theorems 4 and 5 hold.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$  be a multivalued F-Khan contraction. If the set  $X_T$  is nonempty, then  $T$  admits a fixed point.

**Proof.** If  $T$  has no fixed point,  $D(\sigma, T\sigma) > 0$  for all  $\sigma \in X$ . Let  $\sigma_0 \in X_T$ . Then,  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \in E(G)$  for some  $\sigma_1 \in T\sigma_0$ . Then, we get

$$0 < D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_1) \leq H(T\sigma_0, T\sigma_1).$$

Thus,  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \in T_G$ . Using condition (4) for  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_1)) &\leq F(H(T\sigma_0, T\sigma_1)) \leq F(L(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)) - Y, \\ &= F\left(\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(\sigma_0, \sigma_1), D(\sigma_0, T\sigma_0), D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_1), \\ \frac{D(\sigma_0, T\sigma_0)D(\sigma_0, T\sigma_1) + D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_1)D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_0)}{\max\{D(\sigma_0, T\sigma_1), D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_0)\}} \end{array} \right\}\right) - Y \\ &\leq F(d(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)) - Y. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Due to the compactness of  $T\sigma_1$ , there is  $\sigma_2 \in T\sigma_1$  such that  $d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_1)$ . So, we get

$$F(d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) \leq F(d(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)) - Y.$$

Since  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \in E(G)$ ,  $\sigma_1 \in T\sigma_0$ , and  $\sigma_2 \in T\sigma_1$ , by the WGP property, one writes  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in E(G)$ . In view of  $0 < D(\sigma_2, T\sigma_2) \leq H(T\sigma_1, T\sigma_2)$ , we get that  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in T_G$ . Then,

$$F(D(\sigma_2, T\sigma_2)) \leq F(H(T\sigma_1, T\sigma_2)) \leq F(L(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) - Y. \tag{6}$$

Proceeding in a similar way, we can get

$$L(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \leq \max\{d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2), D(\sigma_2, T\sigma_2)\}.$$

By (6), we obtain

$$F(D(\sigma_2, T\sigma_2)) \leq F(d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) - Y. \tag{7}$$

Again, the compactness of  $T\sigma_2$  implies that there is  $\sigma_3 \in T\sigma_2$  such that  $d(\sigma_2, \sigma_3) = D(\sigma_2, T\sigma_2)$ . We have

$$F(d(\sigma_2, \sigma_3)) \leq F(d(\sigma_2, \sigma_1)) - Y.$$

Similarly, we construct the sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}$  in  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma_{n+1} \in T\sigma_n$ ,  $(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \in T_G$  and

$$F(d(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})) \leq F(d(\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n)) - Y, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8}$$

Denote  $a_n = d(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})$ , then  $a_n > 0$  and from (8),  $\{a_n\}$  is a decreasing real sequence, so there is  $\delta \geq 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \delta$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} F(a_n) &\leq F(a_{n-1}) - Y \\ &\leq F(a_{n-2}) - 2Y \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq F(a_0) - nY. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The right-hand side of (9) goes to  $-\infty$  when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n) = -\infty$ . Using (F2), one writes

$$\delta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0.$$

Due to (F3), there is  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^k F(a_n) = 0.$$

By Inequality (9), we have

$$a_n^k F(a_n) - a_n^k F(a_0) \leq -a_n^k nY \leq 0, \tag{10}$$

which is verified for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (10), we find that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n^k = 0. \tag{11}$$

From (11), there is  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $na_n^k \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq n_1$ . Thus,

$$a_n \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/k}} \tag{12}$$

for all  $n \geq n_1$ . Now, we claim that the sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is Cauchy. For this, take  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n \geq n_1$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\sigma_n, \sigma_m) &\leq d(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) + d(\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+2}) + \dots + d(\sigma_{m-1}, \sigma_m) \\ &= a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{m-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} a_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $k \in (0, 1)$ , the series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/k}}$  converges, so  $d(\sigma_n, \sigma_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then,  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is convergent to some  $w \in X$ . Using the upper semi-continuity of  $T$  and Lemma 1, we get  $w \in Tw$ , which is a contradiction with our assumption. Then,  $T$  has a fixed point.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$  be a multivalued  $F$ -Khan contraction (with  $F \in \mathcal{F}_*$ ). If  $X_T$  is nonempty, then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** If there is no fixed point of  $T$ , then  $D(\sigma, T\sigma) > 0$  for each  $\sigma \in X$ . Let  $\sigma_0 \in X_T$ . Then  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \in E(G)$  for some  $\sigma_1 \in T\sigma_0$ . Then, we get

$$0 < D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_1) \leq H(T\sigma_0, T\sigma_1).$$

Thus,  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \in T_G$ . In view of (F4) and using the condition (4) for  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(D(\sigma_1, T\sigma_1)) &= F(\inf\{d(\sigma_1, v) : v \in T\sigma_1\}) \\ &= \inf\{F(d(\sigma_1, v)) : v \in T\sigma_1\} \end{aligned}$$

and by (5) we have

$$\inf\{F(d(\sigma_1, v)) : v \in T\sigma_1\} < F(d(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)) - \frac{\Upsilon}{2}.$$

Thus, there is  $\sigma_2 \in T\sigma_1$  so that

$$F(d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) \leq F(d(\sigma_0, \sigma_1)) - \frac{\Upsilon}{2}.$$

The rest of the proof follows as in the proof of Theorem 4.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph  $G$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for any } \{x_n\} \text{ in } X, \text{ if } x_n \rightarrow x \text{ and } (x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G), \\ &\text{then there is a subsequence } \{x_{n_k}\} \text{ with } (x_{n_k}, x) \in E(G). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Let  $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$  be a multivalued  $F$ -Khan contraction (resp.  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a multivalued  $F$ -Khan contraction with  $F \in \mathcal{F}_*$ ). Suppose that  $T$  is a WGP mapping and  $X_T$  is nonempty. If  $F$  is continuous, then  $T$  admits a fixed point.

**Proof.** Assume that  $T$  has no fixed point. In a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 4 (resp. Theorem 5), we construct the sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}$  such that  $\sigma_n \rightarrow w$  for some  $w \in X$ . By the property (13), there is a subsequence  $\{\sigma_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{\sigma_n\}$  such that  $(\sigma_{n_k}, w) \in E(G)$  for each

$k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = w$  and  $D(w, Tw) > 0$ , then there is no natural number  $n_0$  such that  $D(\sigma_{n_k+1}, Tw) = 0$  for each  $n_k \geq n_0$ . Therefore, for all  $n_k \geq n_0$

$$H(T\sigma_{n_k}, Tw) > 0,$$

thus  $(\sigma_{n_k}, w) \in T_G$  for all  $n_k \geq n_0$ . From (4) and (F1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(D(\sigma_{n_k+1}, Tw)) &\leq F(H(T\sigma_{n_k}, Tw)) - Y \\ &\leq F(L(\sigma_{n_k}, w)) - Y \\ &\leq F\left(\max\left\{\frac{d(\sigma_{n_k}, w), D(\sigma_{n_k}, T\sigma_{n_k}), D(w, Tw), D(\sigma_{n_k}, T\sigma_{n_k})D(\sigma_{n_k}, Tw) + D(w, Tw)D(w, T\sigma_{n_k})}{\max\{D(\sigma_{n_k}, Tw), D(w, T\sigma_{n_k})\}}\right\}\right) - Y \\ &\leq F\left(\max\left\{\frac{d(\sigma_{n_k}, w), d(\sigma_{n_k}, \sigma_{n_k+1}), D(w, Tw), d(\sigma_{n_k}, \sigma_{n_k+1})D(\sigma_{n_k}, Tw) + D(w, Tw)d(w, \sigma_{n_k+1})}{\max\{D(\sigma_{n_k}, Tw), D(w, T\sigma_{n_k})\}}\right\}\right) - Y \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n_k \geq n_0$ . Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and due to the continuity of  $F$ , we obtain that  $Y + F(D(w, Tw)) \leq F(D(w, Tw))$ , which is a contradiction, so  $T$  admits a fixed point.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$  be a mapping. Suppose that there are  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $Y > 0$  such that

$$Y + F(H(Tv, T\sigma)) \leq F(d(v, \sigma))$$

for  $v, \sigma \in X$  with  $(v, \sigma) \in T_G$ . If  $T$  is upper semi-continuous and a WGP mapping and the set  $X_T$  is nonempty, then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a mapping. Suppose that there are  $F \in \mathcal{F}_*$  and  $Y > 0$  such that

$$Y + F(H(Tv, T\sigma)) \leq F(d(v, \sigma))$$

for  $v, \sigma \in X$  with  $(v, \sigma) \in T_G$ . Assume that  $T$  is upper semi-continuous and a WGP mapping and the set  $X_T$  is nonempty. Then,  $T$  admits a fixed point.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{r_\ell = \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}; \ell \geq 1, \ell \text{ is an integer}\} \cup \{0\}$  and  $d(i, j) = |i - j|$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Define  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  by

$$T_i = \begin{cases} \{0\} & , \quad i = 0 \\ \{r_1\} & , \quad i = r_1 \\ \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{\ell-1}\} & , \quad i = r_\ell, \ell \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and a graph on  $X$  by  $V(G) = X$  and

$$E(G) = \{(i, j) | i = j \text{ or } i = r_\ell, j = r_p, p < \ell\}.$$

Then,  $T$  is upper semi-continuous and a WGP mapping. Now, we show that  $T$  is a multivalued  $F$ -Khan contraction with  $F(q) = q + \ln q$  and  $Y = 1$ . Then, for any  $(i, \ell) \in E(G)$  with  $T_i \neq T_\ell$ , we consider two cases:

(i) If  $i = r_\ell, \ell \geq 2$  and  $j = r_1$ , we have

$$\frac{H(T_i, T_j)}{M(i, j)} e^{H(T_i, T_j) - M(i, j)} = \frac{r_{\ell-1} - 1}{r_\ell - 1} e^{r_{\ell-1} - r_\ell} < e^{-1}.$$

(ii) If  $\iota = r_{\ell}, j = r_p, \ell > p > 1$ , we have

$$\frac{H(T\iota, Tj)}{M_{\iota, j}} e^{H(T\iota, Tj) - M_{\iota, j}} = \frac{\ell + p - 1}{\ell + p + 1} e^{-\ell + p} < e^{-1}.$$

Thus, all assumptions of Theorem 4 (or Theorem 5) hold. Therefore,  $T$  has a fixed point. Moreover, if the graph on  $X$  is not considered, the contractive condition is not satisfied. In fact, taking  $\iota = 0$  and  $j = r_1$ , we have  $H(T\iota, Tj) = 1$  and  $d(\iota, j) = 1$ , so for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $Y > 0$ , we get

$$Y + F(H(T\iota, Tj)) > F(d(\iota, j)).$$

#### 4. Concluding Remarks

As it is known, combining some branches is an activity in different fields of science, especially in mathematics. One of them is the combination of fixed point theory and graph theory. In this paper, we present new fixed point results for multivalued mappings. To do this, we introduce new types of contractions called rational-type multivalued  $G$ -contractions and  $F$ -Khan-type multivalued contractions. We ensure that related mappings have a fixed point. At the end, we consider an example that shows the importance of graph on the contractive condition. Thus, we show here that the defined contraction does not even satisfy the condition of contraction in a metric space without graph, but it provides the condition of a contraction in a metric space with graph and has a fixed point, which shows us the importance of graph structure.

**Author Contributions:** Formal analysis, Ö.A., H.A. and M.D.I.S.; Investigation, Ö.A., H.A. and M.D.I.S.; Methodology, Ö.A. All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported in part by the Basque Government under Grant IT1207-19.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The authors are grateful to the Spanish Government and the European Commission for Grant IT1207-19.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests concerning the publication of this article.

#### References

- Echenique, F. A short and constructive proof of Tarski's fixed-point theorem. *Int. J. Game Theory* **2005**, *33*, 215–218. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Jachymski, J. The contraction principle for mappings on a complete metric space with a graph. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **2008**, *136*, 1359–1373. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Sultana, A.; Vetrivel, V. Fixed points of Mizoguchi-Takahashi contraction on a metric space with a graph and applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2014**, *417*, 336–344. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Acar, Ö.; Altun, A. Multivalued  $F$ -contractive mappings with a graph and some fixed point results. *Pulb. Math. Debr.* **2016**, *88*, 305–317. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Beg, I.; Butt, A.R.; Radojević, S. The contraction principle for set valued mapping on a metric space with a graph. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2010**, *60*, 1214–1219. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Karapinar, E.; Czerwik, S.; Aydi, H.  $(\alpha, \psi)$ -Meir-Keeler contraction mappings in generalized  $b$ -metric spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2018**, *2018*, 3264620. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Chifu, C.; Petruşel, G.; Bota, M.F. Fixed points and strict fixed points for multivalued contractions of Reich type on metric spaces endowed with a graph. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, *2013*, 203. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Ameer, E.; Aydi, H.; Arshad, M.; Alsamir, H.; Noorani, M.S. Hybrid multivalued type contraction mappings in  $\alpha_K$ -complete partial  $b$ -metric spaces and applications. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 86. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Dinevari, T.; Frigon, M. Fixed point results for multivalued contractions on a metric space with a graph. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2013**, *405*, 507–517. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Ameer, E.; Aydi, H.; Arshad, M.; De la Sen, M. Hybrid Ćirić type graphic  $(Y, \Lambda)$ -contraction mappings with applications to electric circuit and fractional differential equations. *Symmetry* **2020**, *12*, 467. [[CrossRef](#)]

11. Sistani, T.; Kazemipour, M. Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractions on metric spaces with a graph. *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* **2014**, *7*, 65–79.
12. Piria, H.; Rahrovia, S.; Marasib, H.; Kumam, P. A fixed point theorem for  $F$ -Khan-contractions on complete metric spaces and application to integral equations. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2017**, *10*, 4564–4573. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Nadler, S.B. Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Pac. J. Math.* **1969**, *30*, 475–488. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Suzuki, T. Mizoguchi Takahashi's fixed point theorem is a real generalization of Nadler's. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2008**, *340*, 752–755. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Patle, P.; Patel, D.; Aydi, H.; Radenović, S. On  $H^+$ -type multivalued contractions and applications in symmetric and probabilistic spaces. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 144. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Berinde, V.; Păcurar, M. The role of the Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric in fixed point theory. *Creat. Math. Inform.* **2013**, *22*, 35–42.
17. Fisher, B. Common fixed points of mappings and set-valued mappings. *Rostock. Math. Kolloq.* **1981**, *18*, 69–77.
18. Ćirić, L. Multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2009**, *71*, 2716–2723. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Mizoguchi, N.; Takahashi, W. Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1989**, *141*, 177–188. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Afshari, H.; Aydi, H.; Karapinar, E. On generalized  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contractions on b-metric spaces. *Georgian Math. J.* **2020**, *27*, 9–21. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Wardowski, D. Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, *2012*, 94. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Alamgir, N.; Kiran, Q.; Isik, H.; Aydi, H. Fixed point results via a Hausdorff controlled type metric. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, *2020*, 24. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Bojor, F. Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric space with a graph. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2012**, *75*, 3895–3901. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Qawaqneh, H.; Noorani, M.S.; Shatanawi, W.; Aydi, H.; Alsamir, H. Fixed point results for multi-valued contractions in b-metric spaces. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 132. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Johnsonbaugh, R. *Discrete Mathematics*; Prentice-Hall, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1997.
26. Berinde, M.; Berinde, V. On a general class of multi-valued weakly Picard mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2007**, *326*, 772–782. [[CrossRef](#)]