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#### Abstract

A nonempty subset $D \subset V$ of vertices of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a dominating set if every vertex of this graph is adjacent to at least one vertex from this set except the vertices which belong to this set itself. $D \subseteq V$ is a total $k$-dominating set if there are at least $k$ vertices in set $D$ adjacent to every vertex $v \in V$, and it is a global total $k$-dominating set if $D$ is a total $k$-dominating set of both $G$ and $\bar{G}$. The global total $k$-domination number of $G$, denoted by $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a global total $k$-dominating set of $G$, GTkD-set. Here we derive upper and lower bounds of $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$, and develop a method that generates a GTkD-set from a GT $(k-1)$ D-set for the successively increasing values of $k$. Based on this method, we establish a relationship between $\gamma_{(k-1) t}^{g}(G)$ and $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$, which, in turn, provides another upper bound on $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$.
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## 1. Introduction

We start by introducing the basic notation. Suppose we are given a simple graph $G=(V, E)$ with $|V|=n$ ( $n$ is called the order of graph $G$ ) and $|E|=m$ ( $m$ is called the size of graph $G)$. Given $D \subseteq V(D \neq \varnothing)$ and vertex $v \in V$, let $N_{D}(v)$ be the set of all vertices from set $D$, adjacent to vertex $v$ (also called the neighbors of vertex $v$ from set $D)$; we will use $\bar{N}_{D}(v)$ for the set of vertices in set $D$ which are not neighbors of vertex $v$ $\left(\bar{N}_{D}[v]=\bar{N}_{D}(v) \cup\{v\}\right)$. We let $N_{D}[v]=N_{D}(v) \cup\{v\}$, and we call $\delta_{D}(v)=\left|N_{D}(v)\right|$ the degree of vertex $v$ in set $D$. We denote by $\bar{\delta}_{D}(v)$ the cardinality of set $\bar{N}_{D}(v)\left(\bar{\delta}_{D}(v)=\right.$ $\left.\left|\bar{N}_{D}(v)\right|\right)$. We will use more compact notation $N(v), N[v], \delta(v), \bar{N}(v)$ and $\bar{N}[v]$ instead of $N_{G}(v), N_{G}[v], \delta_{G}(v), \bar{N}_{G}(v)$ and $\bar{N}_{G}[v]$, respectively, when this will cause no confusion. The minimum (the maximum, respectively) degree in graph $G$ is traditionally denoted by $\delta$ ( $\Delta$, respectively). $G[S]$ and $\bar{G}$, respectively, will stand for the subgraph of graph $G$ induced by $S \subseteq V$ and the complement of graph $G$, respectively.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be subsets of set $V$. We denote by $E(X, Y)$ the set of all the edges in graph $G$ joining a vertex $x \in X$ with a vertex $y \in Y$. Let $u$ and $v$ be vertices from set $V$. Then the distance between these two vertices $d(u, v)$ is the length (the number of edges) of a minimum $u$-v-path. The length of the longest $u-v$ path, for any $u$ and $v$, is called the diameter of graph $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{diam}(G)$. The girth of graph $G$ is the length of the shortest cycle in that graph and is denoted by $g(G)$.

Let $D \subseteq V$ be a nonempty subset of set $V$. Then $D$ is called a total $k$-dominating set for graph $G$ if there are at least $k$ vertices in set $D$ adjacent to every vertex $v \in V$ (we will also say that vertex $v$ is totally $k$-dominated by set $D$ ). The cardinality of a total $k$-dominating set in graph $G$ with the minimum cardinality is called the total $k$-domination number of graph $G$ and is denoted by $\gamma_{k t}(G)$. We will refer to a total $k$-dominating set with cardinality $\gamma_{k t}(G)$ as a $\gamma_{k t}(G)$-set. A total 1-dominating set is normally referred to as a total dominating set,
and the total 1-domination number is referred to as the total domination number, denoted by $\gamma_{t}(G)$. We refer the reader to [1-9] for more detail on these definitions.

Given again a non-empty set $D \subseteq V, D$ is called a global total $k$-dominating set of graph $G$ (GTkD set for short) if $D$ is a total $k$-dominating set of both graphs $G$ and $\bar{G}$. The global total $k$-domination number of $G$, denoted by $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$, is the cardinality of a global total $k$-dominating set with the minimum cardinality. A global total $k$-dominating set of cardinality $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$ will be referred to as a $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$-set. Again, if $k=1$, a global total 1 -dominating set is a global total dominating set (see $[10,11]$ ).

As it is well-known and also easily be seen,

$$
2 k+1 \leq \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \leq n
$$

for any graph $G$ with order $n$. Here we shall exclusively deal with the connected graphs due to a known fact that if $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{r}(r \geq 2)$ are the connected components in graph $G$, then

$$
\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \gamma_{k t}\left(G_{i}\right)
$$

(see [12]).
The main goal of this paper is to complete the current study of global total $k$-domination number in graphs. First, we give upper and lower bounds on $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$, and then we develop a method that generates a GTkD-set from a GT $(k-1)$ D-set for the successively increasing values of $k$. Based on this method, we establish a relationship between $\gamma_{(k-1) t}^{g}(G)$ and $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$, which, in turn, provides another upper bound on $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present known results and give some remarks. In Sections 3 and 4, we derive upper and lower bounds, respectively, for global total $k$-domination number. In the Section 5, we provide our method that obtains a global total $(k+1)$-dominating set from a global total $k$-dominating set.
2. Relations between $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$ and $\gamma_{k t}(G)$

Clearly, the definition of a GTkD set gives us an implicit lower bound for the parameter $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$ :

Observation 1. Let $G$ be a graph; then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \geq \max \left\{\gamma_{k t}(G), \gamma_{k t}(\bar{G})\right\}$.
The above lower bound is not necessarily attainable, as we illustrate in the following figure: we depict graph $G$ and its complement $\bar{G}$, and the corresponding minimum total 2-dominating set in both graphs (black vertices); see Figure 1.


Figure 1. Graph $G$ and its complement $\bar{G}$, which satisfy $\gamma_{2 t}(G)=5, \gamma_{2 t}(\bar{G})=5$ and $\gamma_{2 t}^{g}(G)=6$.
The following proposition was proved in [12].
Proposition 1. Let $G$ be a graph,
(i) If $\gamma_{k t}(G)>\Delta(G)+k$, then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{k t}(G)$.
(ii) If $\gamma_{k t}(G) \leq \Delta(G)+k$, then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \leq \Delta(G)+k+1$.

Corollary 1. Let $G$ be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta$. Then, $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \leq \max \left\{\gamma_{k t}(G), \Delta+k+1\right\}$.
Proposition 2. Let $G$ be a graph with order $n$ and maximum degree $\Delta$. If $n>\frac{\Delta(\Delta+k)}{k}$, then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{k t}(G)$.

Proof. If $n>\frac{\Delta(\Delta+k)}{k}$, then $\Delta+k<\frac{k n}{\Delta} \leq \gamma_{k t}(G)$; consequently, $\Delta+k+1 \leq \gamma_{k t}(G)$. By Corollary 1 we have $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{k t}(G)$.

Theorem 1. For any graph $G, \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{k t}(G)$ if and only if there exists a minimum total $k$-dominating set $D$ such that any subset $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ with $|D|-k+1$ vertices is not included in any star in the graph-that is, and only if there is not a vertex $v \in V$ such that $D^{\prime} \subseteq N[v]$.

Proof. Let $D$ be a minimum total $k$-dominating set which is also a global total $k$-dominating set, and let $D^{\prime}$ be a subset of $D$ with cardinality $|D|-k+1$. If there exists a vertex $v \in V$ such that $D^{\prime} \subseteq N[v]$, then $v \in D^{\prime}$ and it is adjacent to $|D|-k$ vertices in $D^{\prime}$, so $v$ has less than $k$ non-adjacent vertices in $D$, or $v \notin D^{\prime}$, and it is adjacent to $|D|-k+1$ vertices in $D^{\prime}$, so $v$ has less than $k$ non-adjacent vertices in $D$. In both cases we have a contradiction with the fact that $D$ is a global total $k$-dominating set.

On the other hand, we take a minimum total $k$-dominating set $D$ such that for any subset $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ with $|D|-k+1$ vertices and every vertex $v \in V$, we have $D^{\prime} \nsubseteq N[v]$. Then, for any vertex $v \in D$ we have $|N(v)|<|D|-k$, so $v$ has, at least, $k$ non-neighbors in $D$. If $v \in V \backslash D$ we have $|N(v)|<|D|-k+1$, so $v$ has, at least, $k$ non-neighbors in $D$. Therefore, $D$ is a global total $k$-dominating set.

## 3. Upper Bounds for the Global Total $k$-Domination Number

In this section, we obtain some upper bounds for the global total $k$-domination number in a graph. Bermudo et al. in [12] showed a characterization when the global total $k$ domination number is equal to the order of the graph, but we give here that characterization in a more specific way. To do that, in the following proposition we give a condition to guarantee that the global total $k$-domination number is less than $n$.

Proposition 3. Let $G$ be a graph with order $n$, minimum degree $\delta$ and maximum degree $\Delta$. If $k<\min \{\delta, n-\Delta-1\}$, then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \leq n-1$.

Proof. Let us see that, for any $v \in V$, the set $D=V \backslash\{v\}$ is a GTkD set of $G$. We have that $\delta_{D}(v)=\delta(v) \geq \delta>k$ and $\bar{\delta}_{D}(v)=n-1-\delta(v) \geq n-1-\Delta>k$. For every $u \in D$ we have $\delta_{D}(u) \geq \delta(u)-1 \geq \delta-1 \geq k$ and $\bar{\delta}_{D}(u) \geq n-1-\delta(u)-1 \geq n-2-\Delta \geq k$. Therefore, $D$ is a GTkD set of G.

Proposition 3 is not an equivalence, as we can see if we consider a triangle and we add a leaf to every vertex of the triangle. In such a case $\gamma_{1 t}^{g}(G) \leq n-1=5$ and $k=1=\min \{\delta, n-\Delta-1\}$.

Now, in order to present the characterization of all graphs having a global total $k$ domination number equal to the number of vertices, we need to define the following set. Given a graph $G$ and an integer $i$, let $T_{i}(G)=\{v \in V(G): \delta(v)=i\}$ (i.e., the set of vertices in graph $G$ with the degree $i$ ).

Theorem 2. Given graph $G$ with order $n$ and the minimum and the maximum degrees $\delta$ and $\Delta$, $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=n$ if and only if one of the conditions (a)-(c) below hold
(a) $k=\delta<n-\Delta-1$ and $V=\bigcup_{v \in T_{\delta}(G)} N(v)$.
(b) $k=n-\Delta-1<\delta$ and $V=\bigcup_{w \in T_{\Delta}(G)}(V \backslash N[w])$.
(c) $\quad k=\delta=n-\Delta-1$ and $V=\left(\bigcup_{v \in T_{\delta}(G)} N(v)\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{w \in T_{\Delta}(G)}(V \backslash N[w])\right)$.

Proof. (a) If $k=\delta<n-\Delta-1$ and $V=\bigcup_{v \in T_{\delta}(G)} N(v)$, we consider $D=V \backslash\{u\}$ for any $u \in V$. We note that there exists $v \in N(u)$ such that $\delta(v)=k$; this implies that $\delta_{D}(v)<k$. Thus, $D$ is not a GTkD set of $G$. Hence, $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=n$.
(b) If $k=n-\Delta-1<\delta$ and $V=\bigcup_{w \in T_{\Delta}(G)}(V \backslash N[w])$, for any $u \in V$ there exists $w \in V$ such that $\delta(w)=\Delta$ and $u \notin N[w]$. If we consider $D=V \backslash\{u\}$, then $\bar{\delta}_{D}(w) \leq n-\Delta-2<k$; thus, $D$ is not a GTkD set of $G$. Therefore, $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=n$.
(c) If $k=\delta=n-\Delta-1$ and $V=\left(\bigcup_{v \in T_{\delta}(G)} N(v)\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{w \in T_{\Delta}(G)}(V \backslash N[w])\right)$, using (a) or (b), we obtain that $V \backslash\{u\}$ is not a GTkD set of $G$, for any $u \in V$. Consequently, $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=n$.

Finally, if we assume that $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=n$, by Proposition 3 we have that $k \in\{\delta, n-\Delta-1\}$. For every vertex $v \in V$, we note that $D=V \backslash\{v\}$ is not a GTkD set of $G$, so there exists $u \in D$ such that $\delta_{D}(u)<k$ or $\bar{\delta}_{D}(u)<k$. If $k=\delta<n-\Delta-1$, since $\bar{\delta}_{D}(u) \geq$ $n-2-\delta(u) \geq n-2-\Delta \geq k$, then we have that $\delta_{D}(u)<k=\delta$; this implies that $u \in T_{\delta}(G)$ and $v \in N(u)$. If $k=n-\Delta-1<\delta$, since $\delta_{D}(u) \geq \delta(u)-1 \geq \delta-1 \geq k$, then we have that $n-2-\delta(u) \leq \bar{\delta}_{D}(u)<k=n-\Delta-1$; that is, $n-2-\delta(u)=\bar{\delta}_{D}(u)=n-\Delta-2$, so $u \in T_{\Delta}(G)$ and $v \in V \backslash N[u]$. If $k=\delta=n-\Delta-1$, since $\delta_{D}(u)<k$ or $\bar{\delta}_{D}(u)<k$, we have that $u \in T_{\delta}(G)$ and $v \in N(u)$, or $u \in T_{\Delta}(G)$ and $v \in V \backslash N[u]$.

The following corollary was directly obtained from Theorem 2.
Corollary 2. Let $G$ be a graph with minimum degree $\delta$, maximum degree $\Delta$ and order $n \neq$ $\Delta+\delta+1$. Then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=n$ if and only if one of the following condition holds.
(a) $k=\delta<n-\Delta-1$ and $\gamma_{k t}(G)=n$.
(b) $k=n-\Delta-1<\delta$ and $\gamma_{k t}(\bar{G})=n$.

Corollary 3. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$, minimum degree $\delta$ and maximum degree $\Delta$. If one of the following conditions holds:
(a) $k=\delta<n-\Delta-1$ and $\left|T_{\delta}(G)\right| \geq n-\delta$.
(b) $k=n-\Delta-1<\delta$ and $\left|T_{\Delta}(G)\right| \geq \Delta+1$
(c) $k=\delta=n-\Delta-1$ and $\left|T_{\delta}(G)\right| \geq n-\delta$ or $\left|T_{\Delta}(G)\right| \geq \Delta+1$,
then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=n$.
Proof. Since $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(\bar{G}), \bar{\Delta}=n-\delta-1, T_{\bar{\Delta}}(\bar{G})=T_{\delta}(G)$ and $V \backslash N_{\bar{G}}[w]=N(w)$, it is enough to check that $\left|T_{\Delta}(G)\right| \geq \Delta+1$ implies $V=\bigcup_{w \in T_{\Delta}(G)}(V \backslash N[w])$. However, for any vertex $v \in V$, if $\left|T_{\Delta}(G)\right| \geq \Delta+1$, then there exists a vertex $w \in T_{\Delta}(G)$ which is not a neighbor of $v$, so $v \in \bigcup_{w \in T_{\Delta}(G)}(V \backslash N[w])$.

It was proved in [12] that $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \leq \min \left\{\gamma_{k t}(G)+\Delta, \gamma_{k t}(G)+\gamma_{k t}(\bar{G})\right\}$. It would be convenient to characterize the graphs $G$ such that $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{k t}(G)+\Delta$, and the graphs $G$ such that $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{k t}(G)+\gamma_{k t}(\bar{G})$. On the other hand, the invariants of a graph are important when characterizing them; below we use some of them such as diameter and girth. The following proofs use the ideas showed in [11].

Theorem 3. If $G$ is a graph such that $\operatorname{diam}(G) \geq 5$, every total $k$-dominating set is a GTkD set of $G$.

Proof. Let $D$ be a total $k$-dominating set and $u, v \in V$ such that $d(u, v) \geq 5$. Since $\delta_{D}(u) \geq k$ and $\delta_{D}(v) \geq k$, there exist $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\} \subseteq D \cap N(u)$ and $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \subseteq D \cap N(v)$. For any vertex $w \in V$ we know that $\delta_{D}(w) \geq k$. If $u_{i} \in N(w)$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, then $w \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} N\left[v_{i}\right]$; that means, $\bar{\delta}_{D}(w) \geq k$. Therefore, $D$ is a GTkD set of $G$.

Corollary 4. If $G$ is a graph such that diam $(G) \geq 5$, then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{k t}(G)$.
According to the idea given in [11], we obtain the following result.
Proposition 4. If $G$ is a graph such that $\operatorname{diam}(G)=4$ and there exist $\left\{u, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \subseteq V$ such that $\operatorname{dist}\left(u, v_{j}\right)=4$ for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \leq \gamma_{k t}(G)+k$.

Proof. Let $D$ be a minimum total $k$-dominating set of a graph; then there exists the vertex set $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\} \subseteq D$ such that $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\} \subseteq N(u)$. For any vertex $w \in V$ and $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, k\}, w$ cannot be adjacent to both $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$, so $D \cup\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ is a global total $k$-dominating set.

In Figure 2 we can see an example where the equality in Proposition 4 for $k=2$ is attained. Taking into account that any neighbor of a vertex of degree 2 must belong to any total 2-dominating set (grey vertices), we show in that figure the minimum total 2-dominating set (b) and the minimum global total 2-dominating set (c).


Figure 2. (a) Grey vertices are neighbors of vertices of degree 2. (b) Minimum total 2-dominating set and (c) minimum global total 2-dominating set.

For a graph $G$, we let $\delta^{*}(G)=\min \{\delta(G), \delta(\bar{G})\}$.
Proposition 5. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and minimum degree $\delta$; then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \leq n-\delta^{*}(G)+k$.
Proof. Let us see that every set $D \subseteq V$ such that $|D| \geq n-\delta^{*}(G)+k$ is a global total $k$-dominating set. Since $|D| \geq n-\delta+k$, every vertex $v$ satisfies $\delta_{V \backslash D}(v) \leq \delta-k, \delta_{D}(v) \geq k$. Since $|D| \geq n-\bar{\delta}+k$, every vertex $v$ satisfies $\bar{\delta}_{V \backslash D}(v) \leq \bar{\delta}-k$, so $\bar{\delta}_{D}(v) \geq k$.

## 4. Lower Bounds for the Global Total $k$-Domination Number

We know that any graph $G$ satisfies $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \geq 2 k+1$, and a characterization for graphs satisfying the equality was given in [12]. Additionally, in that work the authors showed the following inequality.

Remark 1. Let $G$ be a graph with order $n$, minimum degree $\delta$ and maximum degree $\Delta$. Then,

$$
\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \geq \max \left\{\frac{k n}{\Delta}, \frac{k n}{n-\delta-1}\right\}
$$

For example, the lower bound given above can be reached in the graph shown in Figure 3.


Figure 3. A graph $G$ with order $n=10, \delta=5$ and $\gamma_{2 t}^{g}(G)=\frac{2 n}{n-\delta-1}$.
Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$, maximum degree $\Delta$ and size $m$. Then

$$
\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \geq \frac{2 m+n(2 k-\Delta)+(2 k+1)^{2}}{n+2 k}
$$

Proof. Let $D$ be a $\gamma_{k t}(G)$-set. Since every vertex in $V \backslash D$ cannot have more that $|D|-k$ neighbors in $D$, we have $E(D, V \backslash D) \leq(n-|D|)(|D|-k)$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =E(D, D)+E(D, V \backslash D)+E(V \backslash D, V \backslash D) \\
& \leq \frac{|D| \Delta(G)-E(D, V \backslash D)}{2}+E(D, V \backslash D)+\frac{(\Delta-k)(n-|D|)}{2} \\
& \leq \frac{|D| \Delta+(n-|D|)(|D|-k)+(\Delta-k)(n-|D|)}{2} \\
& =\frac{|D| \Delta+(n-|D|)(|D|-2 k+\Delta)}{2} \\
& =\frac{-|D|^{2}+(n+2 k)|D|+n \Delta-2 k n}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
(2 k+1)^{2}+2 m \leq|D|^{2}+2 m \leq(n+2 k)|D|+n \Delta-2 k n,
$$

then

$$
|D| \geq \frac{2 m+n(2 k-\Delta)+(2 k+1)^{2}}{n+2 k}
$$

Theorem 5. Let $G$ be a graph with order $n$, maximum degree $\Delta$ and size $m$. Then,

$$
\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \geq \frac{2 m+n(\Delta-2 k)}{n+k-\Delta}
$$

Proof. We suppose that $D$ is a $\gamma_{k t}(G)$-set and $|D| \geq 2 r+1$ for some $r \geq 2$, and $|D| \geq 2 k+2$. Since $D$ is minimal, for any vertex $v_{1} \in D$ there exists a vertex $w_{v_{1}}$ such that one of the following conditions holds.
(1) $w_{v_{1}} \in D, v_{1} \in N\left(w_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $\delta_{D}\left(w_{v_{1}}\right)=k$,
(2) $w_{v_{1}} \in D, v_{1} \notin N\left(w_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $\delta_{D}\left(w_{v_{1}}\right)=|D|-k-1$,
(3) $w_{v_{1}} \in V \backslash D, v_{1} \in N\left(w_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $\delta_{D}\left(w_{v_{1}}\right)=k$,
(4) $w_{v_{1}} \in V \backslash D, v_{1} \notin N\left(w_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $\delta_{D}\left(w_{v_{1}}\right)=|D|-k$.

Now, in cases (1) and (3), we take $v_{2} \in D \backslash N\left(w_{v_{1}}\right)$, and in cases (2) and (4), we take $v_{2} \in D \cap N\left(w_{v_{1}}\right)$, and we know that there exists a vertex $w_{v_{2}} \neq w_{v_{1}}$ such that one of the above conditions holds. Since $|D| \geq 2 r+1$ we can obtain $w_{v_{1}}, \ldots, w_{v_{r}}$ vertices satisfying
one of the conditions above. We suppose that there exist $i, j-i, s$ and $r-j-s$ vertices satisfying (1), (2), (3) and (4), respectively. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(D, D) & \leq \frac{i k+(j-i)(|D|-k-1)+(|D|-j)(|D|-k-1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{i k-i(|D|-k-1)+|D|(|D|-k-1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{i(2 k-|D|+1)+|D|(|D|-k-1)}{2}, \\
E(D, V \backslash D) & \leq \frac{s k+(r-j-s)(|D|-k)+(n-|D|-r+j)(|D|-k)}{2} \\
& =\frac{s k-s(|D|-k)+(n-|D|)(|D|-k)}{2} \\
& =\frac{(n-|D|)(|D|-k)+s(2 k-|D|)}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(V \backslash D, V \backslash D) & \leq \frac{s(\Delta-k)+(r-j-s)(\Delta-|D|+k)}{2} \\
& +\frac{(n-|D|-r+j)(\Delta-k)}{2} \\
& =\frac{s(\Delta-k)+(r-j-s)(\Delta-k-|D|+2 k)}{2} \\
& +\frac{(n-|D|-r+j)(\Delta-k)}{2} \\
& =\frac{(\Delta-k)(n-|D|)+(r-j-s)(2 k-|D|)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & \leq E(D, D)+E(D, V \backslash D)+E(V \backslash D, V \backslash D) \\
& \leq \frac{i(2 k-|D|+1)+|D|(|D|-k-1)}{2}+\frac{(n-|D|)(|D|-k)+s(2 k-|D|)}{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{(\Delta-k)(n-|D|)+(r-j-s)(2 k-|D|)}{2} \\
& +\frac{i(2 k-|D|+1)+|D|(|D|-k-1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{|D|(n+k-\Delta)+n(-2 k+\Delta)+(i+r-j)(2 k-|D|)+i}{2} \\
& \leq \frac{|D|(n+k-\Delta)+n(-2 k+\Delta)}{2} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
|D| \geq \frac{2 m+n(\Delta-2 k)}{n+k-\Delta}
$$

Let us see another lower bound using the algebraic connectivity. Given a graph $G$, its adjacency matrix $A$ and the diagonal matrix $D$ whose entries are the degrees of all vertices in the graph, the Laplacian matrix is defined as $L=A-D$. The algebraic connectivity of $G$, denoted by $\mu$ is the second smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix.

The algebraic connectivity of $G=(V, E)$ with order $n$ satisfies the following equality given by Fielder [13].

$$
\mu=2 n \min \left\{\frac{\sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E}\left(w_{i}-w_{j}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{v_{i} \in V} \sum_{v_{j} \in V}\left(w_{i}-w_{j}\right)^{2}}: w \neq \alpha \mathbf{j} \text { for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

where $\mathbf{j}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Theorem 6. Let $G$ be a graph with order $n$ and algebraic connectivity $\mu$. Then,

$$
\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \geq \frac{k n}{n-\mu}
$$

Proof. Let $D$ be a $\gamma_{k t}(G)$-set. It can be found that if we take

$$
w=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } v \in D \\
0 \text { if } v \notin D
\end{array}\right.
$$

in the set given above, since $\mu$ is the minimum, we have

$$
\mu \leq \frac{n \sum_{v \in D} \delta_{\bar{D}}(v)}{|D|(n-|D|)} \leq \frac{n(n-|D|)(|D|-k)}{|D|(n-|D|)}=\frac{n(|D|-k)}{|D|}
$$

therefore, $|D| \geq \frac{k n}{n-\mu}$.
Theorem 7. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and maximum degree $\Delta$. If $k \geq \min \left\{\frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{n-\delta-1}{2}\right\}$, then

$$
\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G) \geq \frac{\sqrt{4 k n+1}+1}{2}
$$

Proof. Let $D$ be a $\gamma_{k t}(G)$-set. For every $v \in D$, if we suppose that $k \geq \frac{\Delta}{2}$, we have $\delta_{D}(v) \geq \delta_{\bar{D}}(v)$, then

$$
|D|(|D|-k-1) \geq \sum_{v \in D} \delta_{D}(v) \geq \sum_{v \in D} \delta_{\bar{D}}(v) \geq(n-|D|) k,
$$

which implies that $|D|^{2}-|D| \geq k n$, or equivalently, that $\left(|D|-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \geq k n+\frac{1}{4}$; that is, $|D| \geq \frac{\sqrt{4 k n+1}+1}{2}$.

If $\frac{n-\delta^{2}-1}{2} \leq k<\frac{\Delta}{2}$, since $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(\bar{G})$ and $\bar{\Delta}=n-\delta-1$, we can obtain the same result.

The lower bound given in Theorem 7 is attained, for instance, in the graph given in Figure 4.


Figure 4. A graph $G$ such that $\gamma_{2 t}^{g}(G) \geq \frac{\sqrt{8 n+1}+1}{2}$.

In graph theory, it is common to analyze graphs obtained by some transformation from an originally given graph. An example of such a transformation is the elimination of one or more edges of the graph. Given a graph $G$, it is natural to think about what happens if you add or delete edges on the graph. We note that removing an edge in $G$ is equivalent to adding an edge to graph $\bar{G}$. Therefore, it suffices to study just one of these cases.

Proposition 6. Let $G$ be a graph with order $n$, minimum degree $\delta$ and maximum degree $\Delta$, and let $k<\min \{\delta, n-\Delta-1\}$. Then the following inequalities are satisfied (for an edge e):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G-e) \leq \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+2 \\
& \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G+e) \leq \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+2
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $G$ be a graph and $D$ be a $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$-set, and we consider $e \in E$. Notice that $e \in E(V \backslash D, V \backslash D), e \in E(D, V \backslash D)$ or $e \in E(D, D)$; we will divide the proof into three cases and we denote $G^{\prime}=G-e$.

Case 1: If $e \in E(V \backslash D, V \backslash D)$. Note that every vertex in $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ has at least $k$ neighbors and $k$ non-neighbors in $D$. Therefore, $\gamma_{k t}^{g}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq|D|=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)<\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+2$.

Case 2: If $e \in E(D, V \backslash D)$. Let $e=u v$, where $u \in D$ and $v \in V \backslash D$. We note that for every $w \in V(G)-\{v\}, \delta_{D}(w) \geq k$ and $\bar{\delta}_{D}(w) \geq k$. On the other hand, note that $\bar{\delta}_{D}(v)>k$ in $G^{\prime}$, and if $\delta_{D}(v) \geq k$ in $G^{\prime}$, then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq|D|=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)<\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+2$. Now, if $\delta_{D}(v)=k-1$ in $G^{\prime}$, then there exists $w \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash D$ such that $w \in N_{G^{\prime}}(v)$. Therefore, $D \cup\{w\}$ is a GTkD set of $G^{\prime}$, so $\gamma_{k t}^{g}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq|D \cup\{w\}|=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+1<\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+2$.

Case 3: If $e \in E(D, D)$. Let $e=u v$ where $u, v \in D$. We note that for every $w \in V(G)-$ $\{u, v\}, \delta_{D}(w) \geq k$ and $\bar{\delta}_{D}(w) \geq k$. In the worst case $\delta_{D}(u)<k$ and $\delta_{D}(v)<k$; the others cases are solved as the above; there exists $w, p \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash D$ such that $w \in N_{G^{\prime}}(u)$ and $p \in N_{G^{\prime}}(v)$. Now, if $w=p$ then $D \cup\{w\}$ is a GTkD set of $G^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{k t}^{g}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq|D \cup\{w\}|=$ $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+1<\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+2$; otherwise, $w \neq p$ and then $D \cup\{w, p\}$ is a GTkD set of $G^{\prime}$; hence $\gamma_{k t}^{g}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq|D \cup\{w, p\}|=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+2$.

Thus, the first inequality is satisfied: $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G-e) \leq \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+2$. Now, as we say above for this problem, removing an edge in $G$ is analogous to adding an edge in $\bar{G}$. Since $G-e$ and $\bar{G}+e$ are complementary graphs and it is known that $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(\bar{G})=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$, it is verified that $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G-e)=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(\bar{G}+e)$. Hence, by the first inequality $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(\bar{G}+e)=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G-e) \leq$ $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+2=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(\bar{G})+2$. So, $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G+e) \leq \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+2$.

Let $S$ be a subset of set $V$ such that the maximum degree of the subgraph induced by the vertices from set $S$ is no more than $k-1$. Then set $S$ will be referred to as a $k$-independent set of vertices. The cardinality of a $k$-independent set of the maximum cardinality will be referred to as the $k$-independence number in graph $G$ and will be denoted by $\beta_{k}(G)$. The lower $k$-independence number $i_{k}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a maximal $k$-independent set in graph $G$.

Proposition 7. Let $D$ be a global total $k$-dominating set in $G$ and let $V \backslash D$ be a maximum $(\Delta-k)$-independent. Then,

$$
n-\beta_{\Delta-k}(G) \leq|D| \leq \min \left\{n-\gamma(G), n-i_{\Delta-k}(G)\right\}
$$

Proof. Since $V \backslash D$ is a maximal $(\Delta-k)$-independent set, $V \backslash D$ is a dominating set; thus, $n-|D| \geq \gamma(G)$. Moreover, $i_{\Delta-k}(G) \leq n-|D| \leq \beta_{\Delta-k}(G)$.

## 5. Deriving Upper Bounds for $\gamma_{(k+1) t}^{g}(G)$ from $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$

It is intuitively clear that the greater $k$ is, the more difficult is to find a global total $k$-dominating set of graph $G=(V, E)$ with the minimum cardinality. In particular, the following relationship is easy to see: $\gamma_{1 t}^{g}(G) \leq \gamma_{2 t}^{g}(G) \leq \gamma_{3 t}^{g}(G) \leq \ldots \leq \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$, for every
$k \leq \min \{\delta, n-\Delta-1\}$. Ideally, one would wish to have a method that obtains a $\mathrm{GT}(k+1) \mathrm{D}$ set of minimum cardinality from a GTkD set with the minimum cardinality. It is clear that this is not an easy task. In this next section we develop a method that generates a $\mathrm{GT}(k+1) \mathrm{D}$ set from a GTkD, based on which we establish a relationship between minimum cardinality GTkD and GT $(k+1)$ D sets-more precisely, between $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$ and $\gamma_{(k+1) t}^{g}(G)$, which, in turn, provides upper bounds for $\gamma_{(k+1) t}^{g}(G)$.

We first need to introduce some necessary definitions. Given $D \subseteq V$, a subset of the set of vertices $V$, let $N(D)$ be the set of vertices from $V \backslash D$ having at least one neighbor in $D$; that is, $N(D)=\left\{x \in V \backslash D \mid \exists y \in D\right.$ such that $\left.x \in N_{G}(y)\right\}$. Similarly, we denote by $\bar{N}(D)$ the set of vertices from $V \backslash D$ having at least one non-neighbor in $D$.

Now let $A$ and $B$ be subsets of set $V$. We will say that a subset $D \subseteq A$ is a relative dominating set of $B$ from set $A$ if for every $x \in B$ there exists at least one vertex $v \in D$ such that $v \in N(x)$ or $v \in B$. Correspondingly, we call the minimum cardinality of such a relative dominating set the relative domination number of set $B$ from set $A$ and denote it by $\gamma^{\prime}(A, B)$. We abbreviate by $\gamma^{\prime}(A, B)$-set a relative dominating set of $B$ from set $A$ of cardinality $\gamma^{\prime}(A, B)$.

Finally, $\gamma^{\prime}(\overline{A, B})$ is the relative domination number of $B$ from set $A$ in graph $\bar{G}$ and $\gamma^{\prime}(\overline{A, B})$-set is a relative dominating set of $B$ from set $A$ in graph $\bar{G}$ with cardinality $\gamma^{\prime}(\overline{A, B})$; see an example in Figure 5.

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a graph with $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$ and $g(G)=4$, and let $S$ be an induced subgraph isomorphic to $C_{4}$. Let $B=V \backslash(N(S) \cup S)$ and $A=N(B)$. Then $\gamma_{1 t}^{g}(G) \leq \gamma^{\prime}(A, B)+4$.

Proof. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a $\gamma^{\prime}(A, B)$-set, $D=S \cup D^{\prime}$ and $v \in V$. Note that since $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$, $D^{\prime} \subseteq A \subseteq N(S)$. Thus, we can see that $v \in N(S), v \in B$ or $v \in S$. If $v \in N(S)$, then it has at least one neighbor in $S$ and hence also in $D$. On the other hand, if $v \in B$, then $v$ must have at least one neighbor in $D^{\prime}$ and hence also in $D$. If $v \in S$, then $v$ has at least one neighbor in $S$, and hence also in $D$. Therefore, $D$ is a total 1-dominating set of $G$.

If $v \in S$, then there exists one non-neighbor vertex of $v$ in $S$, and hence also in $D$. If $v \in B$, then the four vertices in $S$ are non-neighbors of $v$, and hence vertex $v$ has at least one non-neighbor in set $D$. If $v \in N(S)$, since $g(G)=4, v$ it has at most two neighbors in $S$; thus, it has at least two non-neighbors in $S$ and hence also in $D$. Therefore, $D$ is a global 1-dominating set of $G$. Finally, $D$ is a global total 1-dominating set of $G$, so $\gamma_{1 t}^{g}(G) \leq \gamma^{\prime}(A, B)+|S|=\gamma^{\prime}(A, B)+4$.


Figure 5. In the depicted graph $G$, the set $S$ is formed by the white vertices, set $A$ is formed by the black vertices and set $B$ is formed by the gray vertices. Note that $\gamma^{\prime}(A, B)=2$ (the set $\{u, v\}$ is a $\gamma^{\prime}(A, B)$-set $)$ and $\gamma_{1 t}^{g}(G)=6$.

Corollary 5. Let $G$ be a graph with $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$ and $g(G)=4$; let $S$ be an induced subgraph isomorphic to $C_{4}, B=V \backslash(N(S) \cup S)$ and $A=N(B)$. Then the following conditions hold.

- If $B=\varnothing$, then $\gamma_{1 t}^{g}(G)=4$.
- $\quad$ Since $\gamma^{\prime}(A, B) \leq|B|, \gamma_{1 t}^{g}(G) \leq|B|+4$.
- If $|N(x) \cap S|=2, \forall x \in A$, then $\gamma_{2 t}^{g}(G) \leq 2|B|+4$.

Let $k$ be a positive integer with $1 \leq k<\min \{\delta, n-\Delta-1\}$, and $D$ be a $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$-set for graph $G$. Below we define special sets of vertices that will be used in future derivations.

- $\quad H=V(G) \backslash D$.
- $Z=\left\{x \in H \mid \delta_{D}(x) \geq k+1\right.$ and $\left.\bar{\delta}_{D}(x) \geq k+1\right\}$ are all vertices in $H$ which are global total $(k+1)$-dominated.
- $\quad X=T_{k}(G[D])$ are all vertices in $D$ with only $k$ neighbors.
- $\quad Y=T_{|D|-k-1}(G[D])$ are all vertices in $D$ with only $k$ non-neighbors.
- $\quad X^{\prime}=N(X) \cap H$ are all the vertices in $H$ which have at least one neighbor in set $X$.
- $\quad N=\gamma^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, X\right)$-set, a relative dominating set of $X$ from set $X^{\prime}$.
- $\quad Y^{\prime}=\bar{N}(Y) \cap H$ are all the vertices in set $H$ which have at least one non-neighbor in set $Y$.
- $\quad R=\gamma^{\prime}\left(\overline{Y^{\prime}, Y}\right)$-set, a relative dominating set of $X$ from set $X^{\prime}$ in $\bar{G}$.
- $\quad P=H \backslash Z$ are all the vertices in set $H$ which are not yet global total $(k+1)$-dominated.
- $M=\gamma^{\prime}(H, P)$-set $\cup \gamma^{\prime}(\overline{H, P})$-set;
- $S=D \cup N \cup R \cup M$;

Now we show that the set $S$ obtained as above is a global total $(k+1)$-dominating set given a $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$-set $D$.

Theorem 8. Let $G$ be a graph and $D$ be an arbitrary $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$-set. Then the set $S$ obtained as above is a global total $(k+1)$-dominating set of graph $G$.

Proof. Let $D$ be an arbitrary $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$-set, $H=V \backslash D, Z=\left\{x \in H: \delta_{D}(x) \geq k+1\right.$ and $\left.\bar{\delta}_{D}(x) \geq k+1\right\}, X=T_{k}(G[D])$ and $Y=T_{|D|-k-1}(G[D])$. Further, let $P=H \backslash Z$, $E$ be a $\gamma^{\prime}(H, P)$-set, $F$ be a $\gamma^{\prime}(\overline{H, P})$-set and $M=E \cup F$ (all these sets being constructed as above specified). If $X=\varnothing$ and $Y=\varnothing$, then every vertex from $D \cup Z$ has at least $k+1$ adjacent and $k+1$ non-adjacent vertices in set $D$. Besides, note that every vertex $v \in P$ has at least $k+1$ adjacent and $k+1$ non-adjacent vertices in set $D \cup M$. Additionally, since $V=D \cup Z \cup P, D \cup M$ is a global total $(k+1)$-dominating set of graph $G$.

Assume now that $X \neq \varnothing$ and $Y=\varnothing$, and let $X^{\prime}=N(X) \cap H$ and $N$ be a $\gamma^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, X\right)$-set (notice that by the construction of the set $X^{\prime}$, there always exists the set $N$ ). Observe that every vertex from set $D \cup Z$ has at least $k+1$ adjacent and $k+1$ non-adjacent vertices in set $D \cup N$. Besides, every vertex $v \in P$ has at least $k+1$ adjacent and $k+1$ non-adjacent vertices in set $D \cup M$. Since $V=D \cup Z \cup P, D \cup N \cup M$ is a global total ( $k+1$ )-dominating set of $G$.

The case $X=\varnothing$ and $Y \neq \varnothing$ is analogous to the above case. We obtain that $D \cup R \cup M$ is a global total $(k+1)$-dominating set of $G$, where $Y^{\prime}=\bar{N}(Y) \cap H$ and $R$ is a $\gamma^{\prime}\left(\overline{Y^{\prime}, Y}\right)$-set.

Finally, assume that $X \neq \varnothing$ and $Y \neq \varnothing$. Let $X^{\prime}=N(X) \cap H, Y^{\prime}=\bar{N}(Y) \cap H, N$ be a $\gamma^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, X\right)$-set and $R$ be a $\gamma^{\prime}\left(\overline{Y^{\prime}, Y}\right)$-set. Using a similar arguments as above, we again obtain that $S$ is a global total $(k+1)$-dominating set of graph $G$.

In the next proposition we derive an upper bound on the cardinality of the global total $(k+1)$-domination number. In the same lemma, we give a necessary condition when the global total $(k+1)$-domination number is equal to the total $(k+1)$-domination number.

Proposition 8. Let $G$ be a graph with $\delta \geq k$ and $D$ be a $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$-set. Then the following conditions hold:
(a) $\gamma_{(k+1) t}^{g}(G) \leq \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+|N \cup R \cup M|$.
(b) If $|N \cup M|>\Delta+k-\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$, then $\gamma_{(k+1) t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{(k+1) t}(G)$.

Proof. (a) By Theorem $8, S$ is a global total $(k+1)$-dominating set of $G$; hence, the bound trivially holds.
(b) Recall that $|S|=\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+|N \cup R \cup M|$. Additionally, it is easy to see that $S \backslash R$ is a total $(k+1)$-dominating set of $G$. In [12] it is proved that if $\gamma_{k t}(G)>\Delta+k$, then $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{k t}(G)$ (see Proposition 2.10). Hence, if $|S| \geq \gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)+|N \cup M| \geq \gamma_{(k+1) t}(G)>$ $\Delta+k+1$, then $\gamma_{(k+1) t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{(k+1) t}(G)$. Hence, if $|N \cup M|>\Delta+k+1-\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$ then $\gamma_{(k+1) t}^{g}(G)=\gamma_{(k+1) t}(G)$.

Using the definition of the above introduced sets and Theorem 8 and Proposition 8, we can obtain a global total $k$-domination set for any $k=2, \ldots, \min \{\delta, n-\Delta-1\}$. As a side-result, we also obtain the corresponding upper bounds to a global total $k$-domination number. Finally, we note that this procedure provides a global total $k$-dominating set of minimum cardinality, $2 \leq k \leq \min \{\delta, n-\Delta-1\}$, for some graphs; see Figure 6 .


Figure 6. A graph $G$ with $\gamma_{1 t}^{g}(G)=4, \gamma_{2 t}^{g}(G)=6$ and $\gamma_{3 t}^{g}(G)=8$. Note that if $D=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ a $\gamma_{1 t}^{g}(G)$-set, then $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{7}\right\}$ which is a $\gamma_{2 t}^{g}(G)$-set. Likewise, from $S$ we construct $S^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}, v_{7}, v_{8}\right\}$ which is a $\gamma_{3 t}^{g}(G)$-set.

## 6. Conclusions

We studied the global total $k$-domination number in general graphs. In particular, we presented new upper and lower bounds using the algebraic connectivity in graphs. We also established a relationship between the global total $k$-domination numbers of the originally given graph $G$ and the transformed ones. Then we derived an explicit relationship between a $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$-set and a $\gamma_{(k+1) t}^{g}(G)$-set, which allowed us to obtain another upper bound for the global total $k$-domination number in a recurrent fashion, starting from $k=1$. We gave an example of a graph $G$ for which a $\gamma_{k t}^{g}(G)$-set, for every $k=2, \ldots, \min \{\delta, n-\Delta-1\}$ is provided. For future work, the global total $k$-domination number could be studied on unitary operations in graphs, such as edge subdivision, edge contraction, path contraction and removal of a vertex. It would be a challenging task to adopt the proposed method as such and also extend it for a wider class of graphs.
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