



Article On Characterizing a Three-Dimensional Sphere

Nasser Bin Turki ¹, Sharief Deshmukh ¹, and Gabriel-Eduard Vîlcu ^{2,3,*}

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia; nassert@ksu.edu.sa (N.B.T.); shariefd@ksu.edu.sa (S.D.)
- ² Department of Cybernetics, Economic Informatics, Finance and Accountancy, Petroleum-Gas University of Ploieşti, Bd. Bucureşti 39, 100680 Ploieşti, Romania
- ³ Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Splaiul Independenței 313, 060042 Bucharest, Romania
- * Correspondence: gvilcu@upg-ploiesti.ro; Tel.: +40-244-575-847

Abstract: In this paper, we find a characterization of the 3-sphere using 3-dimensional compact and simply connected trans-Sasakian manifolds of type (α , β).

Keywords: trans-Sasakian manifold; scalar curvature; sphere; Fischer-Marsden differential equation

MSC: 53C15; 53C20; 53D10

1. Introduction

The geometry of 3-dimensional Riemannian spaces has special importance owing to Thurston's conjecture (see [1]). In particular, spherical geometry, one of the eight Thurston geometries, is of primary relevance (cf. [2]). Remarkable examples of manifolds corresponding to this geometry are provided by the Poincaré dodecahedral space, threedimensional spheres, and also lens spaces. We will focus our study on three-dimensional spheres, these spaces being of special importance also from the perspective of their own elegant geometry.

On the other hand, on a three-dimensional almost contact metric manifold $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g)$, there is a special structure depending on two smooth functions α and β on *N*, known as a trans-Sasakian structure, which leads to the concept of a trans-Sasakian manifold $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ (cf. [3]). It is known that beyond dimension three, a trans-Sasakian manifold $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ is a cosymplectic manifold, an α -Sasakian manifold, or a β -Kenmotsu manifold (cf. [4]), and because of this, almost all recent studies have been performed to elucidate the geometry of trans-Sasakian 3-manifolds (see, e.g., [5–8]). In this article, we shall use the abbreviation TRSM $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ for a 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$. A basic problem in studying the geometry of trans-Sasakian spaces consists in finding conditions under which such a space is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold (cf. [6,9–17]). Naturally, a 3-dimensional sphere $S^{3}(c)$ of constant curvature *c* is a TRSM (**S**³(*c*), Ψ , ζ , η , g, α , β) with $\alpha = \sqrt{c}$ and $\beta = 0$ (see next section). This leads to the following question: under what conditions is a compact and simply connected TRSM $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ isometric to **S**³(*c*)? Our goal is to get an answer to this question, providing a characterization of three-dimensional spheres using compact and simply connected trans-Sasakian 3-manifolds of type (α , β). A key role in this will be played by the famous Fischer–Marsden equation (see [18]). Recall that the Fischer–Marsden differential equation on a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold (N, g) is as follows:

$$(\Delta f)g + fRic = H_f,\tag{1}$$

where H_f is the Hessian of function f, Δ is the Laplace operator, and *Ric* is the Ricci tensor of (N, g).



Citation: Bin Turki, N.; Deshmukh, S.; Vîlcu, G.-E. On Characterizing a Three-Dimensional Sphere. *Mathematics* 2021, 9, 3311. https:// doi.org/10.3390/math9243311

Academic Editor: George Kaimakamis

Received: 4 December 2021 Accepted: 17 December 2021 Published: 19 December 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). We are going to specifically answer the question raised above by showing that a compact and simply connected TRSM (N, Ψ , ζ , η , g, α , β) with Ricci operator satisfying a Codazzi-type condition and β satisfying the differential Equation (1), and also with scalar curvature bounded above by a certain bound involving functions α and β , is isometric to a 3-sphere (see Theorem 1).

2. Preliminaries

Consider a 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifold $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g)$, where Ψ is a (1, 1)-tensor field, ζ is a unit vector field, and η is the smooth 1-form dual to ζ with respect to the Riemannian metric g satisfying (cf. [19–21])

$$\Psi^{2} = -I + \eta \otimes \zeta, \ \Psi\zeta = 0, \ \eta \circ \Psi = 0, \ g(\Psi E_{1}, \Psi E_{2}) = g(E_{1}, E_{2}) - \eta(E_{1})\eta(E_{2}),$$
(2)

for all $E_1, E_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$, where $\mathfrak{X}(N)$ is the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on *N*. If there are smooth functions α and β defined on an almost contact metric manifold $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g)$ satisfying

$$(D\Psi)(E_1, E_2) = \alpha(g(E_1, E_2)\zeta - \eta(E_2)E_1) + \beta(g(\Psi E_1, E_2)\zeta - \eta(E_2)\Psi E_1),$$
(3)

then we get a TRSM ($N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta$), where

$$(D\Psi)(E_1, E_2) = D_{E_1}\Psi(E_2) - \Psi(D_{E_1}E_2), E_1, E_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$$

and *D* is the Levi–Civita connection with respect to the metric g (cf. [10–13,15–17,22]). We see that Equations (2) and (3) imply

$$D_E \zeta = -\alpha \Psi(E) + \beta(E - \eta(E)\zeta), \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N).$$
(4)

The Ricci tensor *Ric* of a Riemannian manifold (N, g) gives a symmetric (1, 1) tensor field *S*, called Ricci operator, defined by

$$Ric(E_1, E_2) = g(SE_1, E_2), E_1, E_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(N).$$

Furthermore, the scalar curvature **s** is defined by

$$\mathbf{s} = TrS.$$

We have the following relations on a trans-Sasakian manifold (cf. [15–17]):

$$\zeta(\alpha) = -2\alpha\beta,\tag{5}$$

$$S(\zeta) = \Psi(\nabla \alpha) - \nabla \beta + 2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\zeta - \zeta(\beta)\zeta,$$
(6)

where $\nabla \alpha$, $\nabla \beta$ are gradients of functions α , β respectively. Note that Equation (4) implies

$$\operatorname{div}\zeta = 2\beta. \tag{7}$$

Thus, on a compact TRSM (N, Ψ , ζ , η , g, α , β), using Equation (7), one finds

$$\int_{N} \beta = 0.$$

For a smooth function σ on a Riemannian manifold (N, g), the Hessian operator A_{σ} of σ is defined by

$$A_{\sigma}(E) = D_E \nabla \sigma, \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N), \tag{8}$$

while the Hessian H_{σ} is given by

$$H_{\sigma}(E_1, E_2) = g(A_{\sigma}(E_1), E_2), \quad E_1, E_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(N).$$

The Laplace operator Δ on a Riemannian manifold (N, g) is defined by

$$\Delta \sigma = \operatorname{div}(\nabla \sigma).$$

We also have

$$\Delta \sigma = Tr A_{\sigma}.$$

Next, we shall show that the sphere $\mathbf{S}^3(c)$ of constant curvature c has a trans-Sasakian structure. It is clear that $\mathbf{S}^3(c)$ is an embedded surface in the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^4 with unit normal ξ and shape operator B given by $B = -\sqrt{cI}$. Using complex structure \mathbf{J} on \mathbf{R}^4 that is compatible with the Euclidean metric \langle , \rangle and makes $(\mathbf{R}^4, \mathbf{J}, \langle , \rangle)$ a Kähler manifold, we define an operator Ψ on $\mathbf{S}^3(c)$ by

$$\mathbf{J}E = \Psi(E) + \eta(E)\zeta, \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{S}^{3}(c)), \tag{9}$$

where $\zeta = -\mathbf{J}\xi$ is the unit vector field on $\mathbf{S}^3(c)$, η is the 1-form dual to ζ with respect to the induced metric g on $\mathbf{S}^3(c)$, and $\Psi(E)$ is the projection of $\mathbf{J}E$ on $\mathbf{S}^3(c)$. Then, it follows that the quadruplet (Ψ, ζ, η, g) satisfies (2) by virtue of the properties of the operator \mathbf{J} , that is, $(\mathbf{S}^3(c), \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g)$ is an almost contact metric manifold. Now, the fundamental equations of the hypersurface $\mathbf{S}^3(c) \subset \mathbf{R}^4$ are

$$\overline{D}_{E_1}E_2 = D_{E_1}E_2 - \sqrt{c}g(E_1, E_2)\xi, \quad \overline{D}_{E_1}\xi = \sqrt{c}E_1, \quad E_1, E_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{S}^3(c)),$$
(10)

where \overline{D} is the Euclidean connection on \mathbf{R}^4 , and D is the induced Riemannian connection on $\mathbf{S}^3(c)$. Taking the covariant derivative in Equation (9) and using $\zeta = -\mathbf{J}\zeta$, as well as Equation (10) and the fact that **J** is parallel, we get

$$(D\Psi)(E_1, E_2) = \sqrt{c}(g(E_1, E_2)\zeta - \eta(E_2)E_1), \quad E_1, E_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{S}^3(c)).$$

This proves that **S**³(*c*) has a trans-Sasakian structure $(\Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha = \sqrt{c}$ and $\beta = 0$.

3. A Characterization of 3-Spheres

We are interested in characterizing 3-spheres using a TRSM $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$. In the following result, we see that the combination of the Fischer–Marsden differential equation and an upper bound on the scalar curvature involving the functions α , β helps us in reaching the goal.

Theorem 1. Let $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ be a 3-dimensional compact and simply connected trans-Sasakian manifold. Then, the following three conditions are satisfied if and only if α is a nonzero constant and (N, g) is isometric to the sphere $\mathbf{S}^3(\alpha^2)$:

(1) β is a solution of Fischer–Marsden differential Equation (1);

(2) The scalar curvature **s** satisfies the inequality: $\mathbf{s}\beta^2 \leq 4(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\beta^2$;

(3) The Ricci operator *S* satisfies the Codazzi condition:

$$(DS)(E,\zeta) = (DS)(\zeta,E), \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N).$$
(11)

Proof. Suppose $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$ satisfies the hypothesis of the Theorem. Then, we have

$$(\Delta\beta)g + \beta Ric = H_{\beta} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\beta^2 \left(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \frac{\mathbf{s}}{4} \right) \ge 0, \tag{13}$$

as well as Equation (11).

Using $D_{\zeta}\zeta = 0$ (an outcome of Equation (4)), we get

$$H_{\beta}(\zeta,\zeta) = \zeta\zeta(\beta).$$

Moreover, on using Equation (6), we obtain

$$Ric(\zeta,\zeta) = 2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \zeta(\beta)).$$

Combining the last two equations with (12), we conclude

$$\Delta\beta + 2\beta\left(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \zeta(\beta)\right) = \zeta\zeta(\beta),$$

or

$$\Delta\beta + 2\beta\left(\alpha^2 - \beta^2\right) = \zeta\zeta(\beta) + 2\beta\zeta(\beta).$$
(14)

Using Equation (7), we derive

$$\operatorname{div}(\zeta(\beta)\zeta) = \zeta\zeta(\beta) + 2\beta\zeta(\beta),$$

and inserting this in Equation (14), we obtain

$$\beta\Delta\beta + 2\beta^2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) = \beta \operatorname{div}(\zeta(\beta)\zeta).$$

But the above equation, in view of

$$\operatorname{div}(\beta\zeta(\beta)\zeta) = (\zeta(\beta))^2 + \beta\operatorname{div}(\zeta(\beta)\zeta),$$

takes the form

$$\beta \Delta \beta + 2\beta^2 \left(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 \right) = \operatorname{div}(\beta \zeta(\beta) \zeta) - \left(\zeta(\beta) \right)^2.$$
(15)

Taking the trace in Equation (12), we get

$$3\Delta\beta + \beta \mathbf{s} = \Delta\beta$$
,

that is

$$\beta\Delta\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2\mathbf{s},$$

and inserting it in Equation (15), we get

$$-\frac{1}{2}\beta^{2}\mathbf{s}+2\beta^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)=\operatorname{div}(\beta\zeta(\beta)\zeta)-(\zeta(\beta))^{2}.$$

Integrating the above equation, we have

$$\int_{M} 2\beta^{2} \left[\left(\alpha^{2} - \beta^{2} \right) - \frac{\mathbf{s}}{4} \right] + \int_{M} (\zeta(\beta))^{2} = 0$$

Using inequality (13) in the above equation, we conclude

$$\beta^2 \left[\left(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 \right) - \frac{\mathbf{s}}{4} \right] = 0 \text{ and } \zeta(\beta) = 0.$$
 (16)

Hence, we derive

$$\beta = 0 \text{ or } \alpha^2 - \beta^2 = \frac{\mathbf{s}}{4}.$$
 (17)

Now, summing Equation (11) over an orthonormal frame $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and using the well-known identity

 $\frac{1}{2}\nabla \mathbf{s} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} (DS)(w_i, w_i),$

we get

Thus, we conclude

 $\frac{1}{2}\zeta(\mathbf{s}) = \zeta(\mathbf{s}).$

 $\zeta(\mathbf{s}) = 0.$

We claim that $\alpha \neq 0$. If we suppose $\alpha = 0$, then (4) assumes the form

$$D_E \zeta = \beta[E - \eta(E)\zeta], \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N),$$

and by virtue of the above equation, we derive immediately that η is closed. However, N being simply connected, we have $\eta = dh$ for some smooth function h on N. Thus, with the assumption made, we get $\zeta = \nabla h$, and on compact N, there is a point $p \in N$ such that $\zeta(p) = 0$, which is contrary to the fact that ζ is a unit vector field. Hence, we have $\alpha \neq 0$.

We claim now that (17) always implies $\beta = 0$. Suppose that $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 = \frac{s}{4}$ holds in Equation (17). Then, using Equations (5) and (16), we get $2\alpha(-2\alpha\beta) = 0$, that is $\alpha^2\beta = 0$, and as $\alpha \neq 0$ on connected *M* (being simply connected), we get $\beta = 0$. Thus, in view of (17), we derive that, indeed, we always have $\beta = 0$, and consequently, Equation (4) assumes the form

$$D_E \zeta = -\alpha \Psi(E), \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N), \tag{18}$$

which proves that ζ is a Killing vector field, and therefore the flow of ζ consists of isometries of *N*. We get

 $\pounds_{\zeta}S = 0,$

which in view of Equation (18) gives

$$(DS)(\zeta, E) = \alpha S(\Psi(E)) - \alpha \Psi(S(E)), \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N).$$

Using Equation (11) in the above equation, we derive

$$(DS)(E,\zeta) = \alpha S(\Psi(E)) - \alpha \Psi(S(E)), \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N),$$

which in view of Equation (18) implies

$$D_E S(\zeta) = -\alpha \Psi(S(E)), \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N).$$
(19)

Next, using $\beta = 0$ in Equation (6), we get

$$S(\zeta) = \Psi(\nabla \alpha) + 2\alpha^2 \zeta,$$

and inserting this equation in Equation (19) we obtain

$$D_E(\Psi(\nabla \alpha) + 2\alpha^2 \zeta) = -\alpha \Psi(S(E)), \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N),$$

that is,

$$(D\Psi)(E,\nabla\alpha) + \Psi A_{\alpha}(E) + 4\alpha E(\alpha)\zeta - 2\alpha^{3}\Psi(E) = -\alpha\Psi(S(E)), \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N),$$

where we have used Equations (8) and (18). Using now (3), (5), and $\beta = 0$ in the above equation, we arrive at

$$5\alpha E(\alpha)\zeta + \Psi A_{\alpha}(E) - 2\alpha^{3}\Psi(E) = -\alpha \Psi(S(E)), \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N),$$
⁽²⁰⁾

which on taking the inner product with ζ gives

$$5\alpha E(\alpha) = 0,$$

that is, $E(\alpha^2) = 0$, $E \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$. This proves that α is a constant. Hence, because we have already shown that $\alpha \neq 0$, we conclude that α is a nonzero constant. Now, the Equations (6) and (20) become

$$S(\zeta) = 2\alpha^2 \zeta$$
 and $\Psi(S(E)) = 2\alpha^2 \Psi(E)$

and by operating Ψ on the second equation while using the first equation, we get

s

$$S(E) = 2\alpha^2 E, \quad E \in \mathfrak{X}(N). \tag{21}$$

However, the above equation implies

$$= 6\alpha^2. \tag{22}$$

Now, using the following expression for the Riemannian curvature tensor field Rm of a 3-dimensional manifold (N, g):

$$Rm(E_1, E_2)E_3 = g(E_2, E_3)S(E_1) - g(E_1, E_3)S(E_2) + Ric(E_2, E_3)E_1 -Ric(E_1, E_3)E_2 - \frac{s}{2} \{g(E_2, E_3)E_1 - g(E_1, E_3)E_2\},\$$

as well as Equations (21) and (22), we arrive at

$$Rm(E_1, E_2)E_3 = \alpha^2 \{g(E_2, E_3)E_1 - g(E_1, E_3)E_2\}, \quad E_1, E_2, E_3 \in \mathfrak{X}(N).$$

This proves that (N, g) is a space of constant curvature α^2 . As (N, g) is compact, it is complete, and as it is also simply connected, it is isometric to $S^3(\alpha^2)$.

Conversely, we have seen in the previous section that $\mathbf{S}^3(\alpha^2)$ admits a trans-Sasakian structure $(\Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$, where $\beta = 0$, and therefore β trivially satisfies Fischer–Marsden Equation (1). Moreover, it is clear that the scalar curvature \mathbf{s} of $\mathbf{S}^3(\alpha^2)$ satisfies the equality case of the inequality

$$\mathbf{s}eta^2 \leq 4\left(lpha^2 - eta^2
ight)eta^2.$$

Furthermore, $S = 2\alpha^2 I$, with α constant, and this implies that S is parallel. Therefore, S satisfies Equation (11). Hence, we conclude that all the requirements are attended by the TRSM ($\mathbf{S}^3(\alpha^2), \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta$). \Box

Remark 1. Observe that if a Riemannian manifold (M, g) admits a non-trivial solution of the Fischer–Marsden Equation (1), then the scalar curvature **s** is a constant (cf. [18]). However, we would like to point out that in the statement of Theorem 1, the solution β of Equation (1) is not supposed to be a non-trivial solution (actually, in the proof of the theorem, it turns out to be exactly zero), and therefore we could not use the above argument to conclude the constancy of the scalar curvature.

Remark 2. The assumption of Theorem 1 that β is a solution of Fischer–Marsden Equation (1) has the following justification. The two smooth functions involved in the definition of a trans-Sasakian manifold $(N, \Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$, namely α and β , could be natural candidates for solutions of Equation (1). Moreover, our aim is at getting a characterization of a 3-dimensional sphere $\mathbf{S}^3(c)$, knowing that $\mathbf{S}^3(c)$ admits a trans-Sasakian structure $(\Psi, \zeta, \eta, g, \alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha = \sqrt{c}$ and $\beta = 0$. However, $\alpha = \sqrt{c}$ does not satisfy the Fischer–Marsden equation. Therefore, in view of our goal, the assumption that α satisfies Equation (1) is ruled out. This motivates the hypothesis of Theorem 1 that function β involved in the definition of a trans-Sasakian manifold satisfies the Fischer–Marsden equation. The proof of Theorem 1 shows that this assumption implies, in fact, that β is a trivial solution of (1), provided that the scalar curvature of N satisfies a certain inequality and the Ricci operator of N satisfies a Codazzi-type condition. Moreover, these assumptions also imply that α is a

non-zero constant and N is a space of constant curvature, which ultimately leads to the conclusion that N is isometric to $S^3(\alpha^2)$.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization and methodology, N.B.T., S.D. and G.-E.V.; formal analysis, S.D.; writing—original draft preparation, N.B.T. and S.D.; writing—review and editing, S.D. and G.-E.V.; supervision, S.D. and G.-E.V.; project administration, N.B.T. and S.D.; and funding acquisition, N.B.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors extend their appreciation to the Deputyship for Research and Innovation, "Ministry of Education" in Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through project no. IFKSURG-1440-042.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the reviewers for their thoughtful comments that led to the improvement of the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Thurston, W.P. Three dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometries. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **1982**, *6*, 357–381. [CrossRef]
- Bessieres, L.; Besson, G.; Boileau, M.; Maillot, S.; Porti, J. Geometrisation of 3-Manifolds; EMS Tracts in Mathematics 13; European Mathematical Society Publishing House: Zürich, Switzerland, 2010.
- 3. Oubiña, J.A. New classes of almost contact metric structures. Publ. Math. Debrecen 1985, 32, 187–193.
- 4. Marrero, J.C. The local structure of trans-Sasakian manifolds. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 1992, 162, 77-86. [CrossRef]
- 5. Ganguly, D.; Dey, S.; Bhattacharyya, A. On trans-Sasakian 3-manifolds as η-Einstein solitons. *Carpathian Math. Publ.* **2021**, *13*, 460–474. [CrossRef]
- 6. Ma, R.; Pei, D. Reeb flow invariant *-Ricci operators on trans-Sasakian three-manifolds. *Math. Slovaca* 2021, 71, 749–756. [CrossRef]
- 7. Wang, Y. Minimal and harmonic Reeb vector fields on trans-Sasakian 3-manifolds. J. Korean Math. Soc. 2018, 55, 1321–1336.
- 8. Zeren, S.; Yildiz, A. On trans-Sasakian 3-manifolds with respect to the Schouten-van Kampen connection. *Int. J. Maps Math.* 2021, 4, 107–120.
- 9. Al-Dayel, I.; Deshmukh, S.; Vilcu, G.-E. Trans-Sasakian static spaces. Results Phys. 2021, 31, 105009. [CrossRef]
- 10. Deshmukh, S.; Al-Solamy, F. Trans-Sasakian manifolds homothetic to Sasakian manifolds. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **2016**, *13*, 2951–2958. [CrossRef]
- 11. Deshmukh, S. A note on compact trans-Sasakian manifolds. Mediterr. J. Math. 2016, 13, 2099–2104. [CrossRef]
- 12. Deshmukh, S.; De, U.C. A note on trans-Sasakian manifolds. Publ. Math. Debrecen 2018, 92, 159–169. [CrossRef]
- 13. Deshmukh, S.; Ishan, A.; Belova, O.; Al-Shaikh, S. Some conditions on trans-Sasakian manifolds to be homothetic to Sasakian manifolds. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1887. [CrossRef]
- 14. Wang, W.; Liu, X. Ricci tensors on trans-Sasakian 3-manifolds. *Filomat* **2018**, *32*, 4365–4374. [CrossRef]
- 15. Wang, Y.; Wang, W. A remark on trans-Sasakian 3-manifolds. Rev. Union Mat. Argent. 2019, 60, 257–264. [CrossRef]
- 16. Zhao, Y. Some characterizations of property of trans-Sasakian 3-Manifolds. Math. Slovaca 2021, 71, 383–390. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zhao, Y. Ricci η-parallel trans-Sasakian 3-manifolds. Quaest. Math. 2021, 44, 7–15. [CrossRef]
- 18. Fischer, A.E.; Marsden, J.E. Manifolds of Riemannian metrics with prescribed scalar curvature. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **1974**, *80*, 479–484. [CrossRef]
- 19. Blair, D.E. *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry;* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 509; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1976.
- 20. Blair, D.E.; Oubiña, J.A. Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds. *Publ. Mat.* **1990**, *34*, 199–207. [CrossRef]
- 21. Boyer C.; Galicki, K. Sasakian Geometry; Oxford University Press: Oxford, NY, USA, 2008.
- 22. Munteanu, M.-I. A note on doubly warped product contact CR-submanifolds in trans-Sasakian manifolds. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **2007**, *116*, 121–126. [CrossRef]