

Article

The Existence and Uniqueness Solution of Nonlinear Integral Equations via Common Fixed Point Theorems

Gunaseelan Mani ¹, Arul Joseph Gnanaprakasam ², Yongjin Li ³ and Zhaohui Gu ^{4,*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Sri Sankara Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Madras University, Enathur, Kanchipuram 631 561, Tamil Nadu, India; mathsguna@yahoo.com

² Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering and Technology, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, SRM Nagar, Kattankulathur 603 203, Tamil Nadu, India; aruljoseph.alex@gmail.com

³ Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China; stslyj@mail.sysu.edu.cn

⁴ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guangdong University of Foreign Studies, Guangzhou 510006, China

* Correspondence: zhgugz@163.com

Abstract: In this paper, we prove some common fixed-point theorems on complex partial metric space. The presented results generalize and expand some of the well-known results in the literature. We also explore some of the applications of our key results.

Keywords: integral equations; complex partial metric space; common fixed point

MSC: 47H10; 54H25



Citation: Mani, G.; Gnanaprakasam, A.J.; Li, Y.; Gu, Z. The Existence and Uniqueness Solution of Nonlinear Integral Equations via Common Fixed Point Theorems. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1179. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9111179>

Academic Editor: Pasquale Vetro

Received: 20 April 2021

Accepted: 18 May 2021

Published: 24 May 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Azam et al. [1] introduced the concept of complex-valued metric spaces and studied some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a rational inequality.

Two years later, in [2], Rao et al. discussed for the first time the idea of complex-valued b-metric spaces.

In 2017, Dhivya and Marudai [3] introduced the concept of complex partial metric space and suggested a plan to expand the results, as well as proving common fixed-point theorems under the rational expression contraction condition. This idea has been followed by Gunaseelan [4], who introduced the concept of complex partial b-metric spaces and discussed some results of fixed-point theory for self-mappings in these new spaces.

In [5], Prakasam and Gunaseelan proved the existence and uniqueness of a common fixed-point (with an illustrative example) theorem using CLR and E.A. properties in complex partial b-metric spaces. Their proved results generalize and extend some of the well-known results in the literature.

In [6], Gunaseelan et al. proved a fixed-point theorem in complex partial b-metric spaces under a contraction mapping. They also gave some applications of their main results.

In this paper, we prove some common fixed-point theorems on complex partial metric space.

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \preceq on \mathbb{C} as follows:

$\tau_1 \preceq \tau_2$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}(\tau_1) \leq \mathcal{R}(\tau_2)$, $\mathcal{I}(\tau_1) \leq \mathcal{I}(\tau_2)$.

Consequently, one can infer that $\tau_1 \preceq \tau_2$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(\tau_1) = \mathcal{R}(\tau_2)$, $\mathcal{I}(\tau_1) < \mathcal{I}(\tau_2)$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{R}(\tau_1) < \mathcal{R}(\tau_2)$, $\mathcal{I}(\tau_1) = \mathcal{I}(\tau_2)$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{R}(\tau_1) < \mathcal{R}(\tau_2)$, $\mathcal{I}(\tau_1) < \mathcal{I}(\tau_2)$,

(iv) $\mathcal{R}(\tau_1) = \mathcal{R}(\tau_2), \mathcal{I}(\tau_1) = \mathcal{I}(\tau_2)$.

In particular, we write $\tau_1 \succsim \tau_2$ if $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$, and one of (i), (ii) and (iii) is satisfied and we write $\tau_1 \prec \tau_2$ if only (iii) is satisfied. Notice that

- (a) If $0 \preceq \tau_1 \prec \tau_2$, then $|\tau_1| < |\tau_2|$,
- (b) If $\tau_1 \preceq \tau_2$ and $\tau_2 \prec \tau_3$, then $\tau_1 \prec \tau_3$,
- (c) If $\eta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \leq \gamma$, then $\eta\tau_1 \preceq \gamma\tau_1$ for all $0 \preceq \tau_1 \in \mathfrak{C}$.

Here $\mathfrak{C}_+ (= \{(\aleph, \eta) | \aleph, \eta \in \mathbb{R}_+\})$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ (= \{\aleph \in \mathbb{R} | \aleph \geq 0\})$ denote the set of non-negative complex numbers and the set of non negative real numbers, respectively.

Now, let us recall some basic concepts and notations that will be used below.

Definition 1 ([3]). A complex partial metric on a non-void set G is a function $q_{cb} : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ such that for all $\theta, \omega, \vartheta \in G$:

- (i) $0 \preceq q_{cb}(\theta, \theta) \preceq q_{cb}(\theta, \omega)$ (small self-distances)
- (ii) $q_{cb}(\theta, \omega) = q_{cb}(\omega, \theta)$ (symmetry)
- (iii) $q_{cb}(\theta, \theta) = q_{cb}(\theta, \omega) = q_{cb}(\omega, \omega)$ if and only if $\theta = \omega$ (equality)
- (iv) $q_{cb}(\theta, \omega) \preceq q_{cb}(\theta, \vartheta) + q_{cb}(\vartheta, \omega) - q_{cb}(\vartheta, \vartheta)$ (triangularity).

A complex partial metric space is a pair (G, q_{cb}) such that G is a non-void set and q_{cb} is the complex partial metric on G .

Definition 2 ([3]). Let (G, q_{cb}) be a complex partial metric space. Let $\{\theta_n\}$ be any sequence in G . Then

- (i) The sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is said to converge to θ , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta) = q_{cb}(\theta, \theta)$.
- (ii) The sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence in (G, q_{cb}) if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m)$ exists and is finite.
- (iii) (G, q_{cb}) is said to be a complete complex partial metric space if for every Cauchy sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ in G there exists $\theta \in G$ such that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} q_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta) = q_{cb}(\theta, \theta)$.
- (iv) A mapping $\Pi : G \rightarrow G$ is said to be continuous at $\theta_0 \in G$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\Pi(B_{q_{cb}}(\theta_0, \delta)) \subset B_{q_{cb}}(\Pi(\theta_0), \epsilon)$.

Definition 3 ([3]). Let Π and Ψ be self-mappings of non-void set G . A point $\aleph \in G$ is called a common fixed point of Π and Ψ if $\aleph = \Pi\aleph = \Psi\aleph$.

Theorem 1 ([3]). Let (G, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a complex partial metric q_{cb} in G such that (G, q_{cb}) is a complete complex partial metric space. Let $\Pi, \Psi : G \rightarrow G$ be a pair of weakly increasing mappings, and suppose that for every comparable $\aleph, \eta \in G$ we have either

$$q_{cb}(\Pi\aleph, \Psi\eta) \preceq a \frac{q_{cb}(\aleph, \Pi\aleph)q_{cb}(\eta, \Psi\eta)}{q_{cb}(\aleph, \eta)} + bq_{cb}(\aleph, \eta)$$

for $q_{cb}(\aleph, \eta) \neq 0$ with $a \geq 0, b \geq 0, a + b < 1$, or

$$q_{cb}(\Pi\aleph, \Psi\eta) = 0 \text{ if } q_{cb}(\aleph, \eta) = 0.$$

If Π or Ψ is continuous, then Π and Ψ have a common fixed point $\alpha \in G$ and $q_{cb}(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$.

Inspired by Theorem 1, here we prove some common fixed-point theorems on complex partial metric space with an application. For complex partial metric space, we will use the CPMS notation.

3. Main Results

Theorem 2. Let (G, q_{cb}) be a complete CPMS and $\Pi, \Psi : G \rightarrow G$ be two continuous mappings such that

$$\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega) \preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta), \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Psi\omega), \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Psi\omega) + \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Pi\theta))\}, \quad (1)$$

for all $\theta, \omega \in G$, where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega) \neq 0$. Then, the pair (Π, Ψ) has a unique common fixed point and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$.

Proof. Let θ_0 be arbitrary point in G and define a sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ as follows:

$$\theta_{2n+1} = \Pi\theta_{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{2n+2} = \Psi\theta_{2n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

Then by (1) and (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) &= \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta_{2n}, \Psi\theta_{2n+1}) \\ &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \Pi\theta_{2n}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \Psi\theta_{2n+1}), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \Psi\theta_{2n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \Pi\theta_{2n}))\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+1}))\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) - \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+1}))\} \\ &= \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}))\} \end{aligned}$$

Case I:

If $\max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}), \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}))\} = \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2})$, then we have

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}).$$

This implies $\lambda \geq 1$, which is a contradiction.

Case II:

If $\max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}), \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}))\} = \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1})$, then we have

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}). \quad (3)$$

From the next step, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}))\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following three cases arise.

Case IIa:

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}),$$

which implies $\lambda \geq 1$, which is a contradiction.

Case IIb:

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) \preceq \lambda \frac{1}{2} (\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3})).$$

This implies that

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) \preceq \frac{\lambda}{(2-\lambda)} \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}). \quad (4)$$

Since $a := \frac{\lambda}{2-\lambda} < 1$, we get $\wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) \preceq a \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})$. Therefore $\{\theta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in G .

Case IIc:

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}). \quad (5)$$

From (3) and (5), $\forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we get

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) \preceq \dots \preceq \lambda^{n+1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1).$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m) &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_m) - \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}) \\ &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_m) \\ &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_m) \\ &\quad - \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_{n+2}) \\ &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_m) \\ &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_{n+3}) \\ &\quad + \dots + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{m-2}, \theta_{m-1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{m-1}, \theta_m). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by using (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m) &\preceq \lambda^n \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) + \lambda^{n+1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) + \lambda^{n+2} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) \\ &\quad + \dots + \lambda^{m-2} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) + \lambda^{m-1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} \lambda^{i+n-1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} \lambda^{i+n-1} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| = \sum_{t=n}^{m-1} \lambda^t |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| \\ &= \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$|\wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m)| \leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, $\{\theta_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in G .

Case III:

If $\max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}), \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}))\} = \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}))$.

Then, we have

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) \preceq \frac{\lambda}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}))$$

Hence,

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) \preceq \frac{\lambda}{2-\lambda} \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}). \quad (6)$$

For the next step, we have

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) \preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}), \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}))\}.$$

Then, we have the following three cases:

Case IIIa:

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}),$$

which implies $\lambda \geq 1$, which is a contradiction.

Case IIIb:

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}). \quad (7)$$

Then by (6) and (7), we get $\wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) \preceq \gamma \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1})$, where $\gamma = \max\left\{\lambda, \frac{\lambda}{2-\lambda}\right\} < 1$. Hence $\{\theta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in G .

Case IIIc:

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) \preceq \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3})).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) \preceq \frac{\lambda}{(2-\lambda)} \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}). \quad (8)$$

Using (6) and (8) yields

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) \preceq \imath \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}), \quad (9)$$

where $0 \leq \imath = \frac{\lambda}{2-\lambda} < 1$.

Then, $\forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and we get

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) \preceq \imath \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) \preceq \dots \preceq \imath^{n+1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1).$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m) &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_m) - \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}) \\
 &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_m) \\
 &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_m) \\
 &\quad - \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_{n+2}) \\
 &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_m) \\
 &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_{n+3}) \\
 &\quad + \dots + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{m-2}, \theta_{m-1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{m-1}, \theta_m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m) &\preceq \lambda^n \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) + \lambda^{n+1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) + \lambda^{n+2} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) \\
 &\quad + \dots + \lambda^{m-2} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) + \lambda^{m-1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} \lambda^{i+n-1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} \lambda^{i+n-1} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| = \sum_{t=n}^{m-1} \lambda^t |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \lambda^i |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$|\wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m)| \leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, $\{\theta_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in G . In all cases above discussed, we get the sequence $\{\theta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which is a Cauchy sequence. Since G is complete, there exists $\theta^* \in G$ such that $\theta_n \rightarrow \theta^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_n) = 0$$

By the continuity of Π , it follows that $\theta_{2n+1} = \Pi\theta_{2n} \rightarrow \Pi\theta^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$i.e., \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Pi\theta_{2n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta_{2n}, \Pi\theta_{2n}).$$

However,

$$\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta_{2n}, \Pi\theta_{2n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+1}) = 0.$$

Next, we have to prove that θ^* is a fixed point of Π .

$$\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*) \preceq \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Pi\theta_{2n}) + \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta_{2n}, \theta^*) - \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta_{2n}, \Pi\theta_{2n}).$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $|\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*)| \leq 0$. Thus, $\wp_{cp}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$. Hence $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*) = \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*) = 0$ and $\Pi\theta^* = \theta^*$. In the same way, we have $\theta^* \in G$ such that $\theta_n \rightarrow \theta^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_n) = 0$$

By the continuity of Π , it follows $\theta_{2n+2} = \Psi\theta_{2n+1} \rightarrow \Psi\theta^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{i.e., } \wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta^*, \Psi\theta^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta^*, \Psi\theta_{2n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta_{2n+1}, \Psi\theta_{2n+1}).$$

However,

$$\wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta^*, \Psi\theta^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta_{2n+1}, \Psi\theta_{2n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+2}) = 0.$$

Next we have to prove that θ^* is a fixed point of Ψ .

$$\wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta^*, \theta^*) \preceq \wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta^*, \Psi\theta_{2n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta_{2n+1}, \theta^*) - \wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta_{2n+1}, \Pi\theta_{2n+1}).$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $|\wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta^*, \theta^*)| \leq 0$. Thus, $\wp_{cp}(\Psi\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$. Hence, $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Psi\theta^*) = \wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta^*, \Psi\theta^*) = 0$ and $\Psi\theta^* = \theta^*$. Therefore, θ^* is a common fixed point of the pair (Π, Ψ) .

To prove uniqueness, let us consider $\omega^* \in G$ is another common fixed point for the pair (Π, Ψ) . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \omega^*) &= \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Psi\omega^*) \\ &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \omega^*), \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*), \wp_{cb}(\omega^*, \Psi\omega^*), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Psi\omega^*) + \wp_{cb}(\omega^*, \Pi\theta^*))\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \omega^*), \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*), \wp_{cb}(\omega^*, \omega^*), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \omega^*) + \wp_{cb}(\omega^*, \theta^*))\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \omega^*). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\theta^* = \omega^*$. \square

In the absence of the continuity condition for the mappings Π and Ψ , we get the the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let (G, \wp_{cb}) be a complete CPMS and $\Pi, \Psi: G \rightarrow G$ be two mappings such that

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega) &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta), \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Psi\omega), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Psi\omega) + \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Pi\theta))\}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all $\theta, \omega \in G$, where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega) \neq 0$. Then, the pair (Π, Ψ) has a unique common fixed point and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$.

Proof. Following from Theorem 2, we get that the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since G is complete, there exists $\theta^* \in G$ such that $\theta_n \rightarrow \theta^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since Π and Ψ are not continuous, we have $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*) = \vartheta > 0$.

Then, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \vartheta &= \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*) \\
 &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+2}, \Pi\theta^*) - \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+2}, \theta_{2i+2}) \\
 &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+2}, \Pi\theta^*) \\
 &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta_{2i+1}, \Pi\theta^*) \\
 &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+1}, \theta^*), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+1}, \Psi\theta_{2i+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*), \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+1}, \Pi\theta^*) + \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Psi\theta_{2i+1}))\} \\
 &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+1}, \theta^*), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+1}, \theta_{2i+2}), \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*), \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+1}, \Pi\theta^*) + \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}))\} \\
 &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*) \\
 &\preceq s\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \lambda\vartheta.
 \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$|\vartheta| \leq |\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2})| + \lambda|\vartheta|.$$

By definition,

$$\lim_i \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) = \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*)$$

From the Cauchy property of (θ_n) , the above limit is zero, and then,

$$|\vartheta| \leq \lambda|\vartheta|.$$

Hence, $\lambda \geq 1$, which is a contradiction. Then $\theta^* = \Pi\theta^*$. In the same way, we obtain $\theta^* = \Psi\theta^*$. Hence θ^* is a common fixed point for the pair (Π, Ψ) and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Psi\theta^*) = \wp_{cb}(\Psi\theta^*, \Psi\theta^*) = 0$. Uniqueness of the common fixed point θ^* follows from Theorem 2. \square

For $\Pi = \Psi$, we get the following fixed points results on CPMS.

Theorem 4. Let (G, \wp_{cb}) be a complete CPMS and $\Pi: G \rightarrow G$ be a continuous mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Pi\omega) &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta), \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Pi\omega), \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\omega) + \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Pi\theta))\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for all $\theta, \omega \in G$, where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Pi\omega) \neq 0$. Then the pair Π has a unique fixed point and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$.

Remark 1. Similarly, we get a fixed point result in the absence of continuity condition for the mapping Π .

Corollary 1. Let (G, \wp_{cb}) be a complete CPMS and $\Psi: G \rightarrow G$ be a continuous mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wp_{cb}(\Psi^n\theta, \Psi^n\omega) &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \wp_{cb}(\theta, \Psi^n\theta), \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Psi^n\omega), \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Psi^n\omega) + \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Psi^n\theta))\},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\theta, \omega \in G$, where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $\wp_{cb}(\Psi^n\theta, \Psi^n\omega) \neq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, Ψ has a unique fixed point and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2, we get $\theta^* \in G$ such that $\Psi^n \theta^* = \theta^*$ and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\wp_{cb}(\Psi \theta^*, \theta^*) &= \wp_{cb}(\Psi \Psi^n \theta^*, \Psi^n \theta^*) = \wp_{cb}(\Psi^n \Psi \theta^*, \Psi^n \theta^*) \\ &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\Psi \theta^*, \theta^*), \wp_{cb}(\Psi \theta^*, \Psi^n \Psi \theta^*), \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Psi^n \theta^*), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\Psi \theta^*, \Psi^n \theta^*) + \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Psi^n \Psi \theta^*))\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\Psi \theta^*, \theta^*), \wp_{cb}(\Psi \theta^*, \Psi \theta^*), \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\Psi \theta^*, \theta^*) + \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Psi \theta^*))\} \\ &= \lambda \wp_{cb}(\Psi \theta^*, \theta^*).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Psi^n \theta^* = \Psi \theta^* = \theta^*$. Then Ψ has a unique fixed point. \square

Remark 2. From the above Corollary 1, similarly, we get a fixed-point result in the absence of continuity condition for the mapping Ψ .

Next, we present a new generalization of a common fixed point theorem on CPMS.

Theorem 5. Let (G, \wp_{cb}) be a complete CPMS and $\Pi, \Psi: G \rightarrow G$ be two continuous mappings such that

$$\wp_{cb}(\Pi \theta, \Psi \omega) \preceq \lambda \max\left\{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi \theta) \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Psi \omega)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega)}, \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi \theta) \wp_{cb}(\Pi \theta, \Psi \omega)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega)}\right\}, \quad (12)$$

for all $\theta, \omega \in G$, where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\wp_{cb}(\Pi \theta, \Psi \omega) \neq 0$. Then, the pair (Π, Ψ) has a unique common fixed point and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$.

Proof. Let θ_0 be arbitrary point in G and define a sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ as follows:

$$\theta_{2n+1} = \Pi \theta_{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{2n+2} = \Psi \theta_{2n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (13)$$

Then, by (12) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) &= \wp_{cb}(\Pi \theta_{2n}, \Psi \theta_{2n+1}) \\ &\preceq \lambda \max\left\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}) \wp_{cb}(\Psi \theta_{2n+1}, \Pi \theta_{2n})}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1})}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \Pi \theta_{2n}) \wp_{cb}(\Pi \theta_{2n}, \Psi \theta_{2n+1})}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1})}\right\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max\left\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}) \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2})}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1})}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}) \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2})}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1})}\right\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2})\}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}), \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2})\} = \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2})$, then

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}).$$

This shows that $\lambda \geq 1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n}, \theta_{2n+1}). \quad (14)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+2}, \theta_{2n+3}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2n+1}, \theta_{2n+2}). \quad (15)$$

From (14) and (15), $\forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we get

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) \preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) \preceq \dots \preceq \lambda^{n+1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1). \quad (16)$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m) &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_m) - \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}) \\ &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_m) \\ &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_m) \\ &\quad - \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_{n+2}) \\ &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_m) \\ &\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_{n+1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+1}, \theta_{n+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{n+2}, \theta_{n+3}) \\ &\quad + \dots + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{m-2}, \theta_{m-1}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{m-1}, \theta_m). \end{aligned}$$

By using (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m) &\preceq \lambda^n \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) + \lambda^{n+1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) + \lambda^{n+2} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) \\ &\quad + \dots + \lambda^{m-2} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) + \lambda^{m-1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} \lambda^{i+n-1} \wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} \lambda^{i+n-1} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| = \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} \lambda^i |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \lambda^i |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| \\ &= \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$|\wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_m)| \leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} |\wp_{cb}(\theta_0, \theta_1)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, $\{\theta_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in G . Since G is complete, there exists $\theta^* \in G$ such that $\theta_n \rightarrow \theta^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_n) = 0.$$

Since Ψ is continuous, it yields

$$\theta^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{2n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi \theta_{2n+1} = \Psi \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{2n+1} = \Psi \theta^*.$$

Similarly, by the continuity of Π , we get $\theta^* = \Pi \theta^*$. Then the pair (Π, Ψ) has a common fixed point. To prove uniqueness, let us consider that $\omega^* \in G$ is another common fixed point for the pair (Π, Ψ) . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \omega^*) &= \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Psi\omega^*) \\
&\preceq \lambda \max\left\{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \omega^*), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*)\wp_{cb}(\omega^*, \Psi\omega^*)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \omega^*)}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*)\wp_{cb}(\Psi\omega^*, \Pi\theta^*)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \omega^*)}\right\} \\
&\preceq \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \omega^*)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\theta^* = \omega^*$. \square

In the absence of the continuity condition for the mapping Π and Ψ in the Theorem 5, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6. Let (G, \wp_{cb}) be a complete CPMS and $\Pi, \Psi: G \rightarrow G$ be two mappings such that

$$\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega) \preceq \lambda \max\left\{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta)\wp_{cb}(\omega, \Psi\omega)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega)}, \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta)\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega)}\right\}, \quad (17)$$

for all $\theta, \omega \in G$, where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega) \neq 0$. Then the pair (Π, Ψ) has a unique common fixed point and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$.

Proof. Following from Theorem 5, we get that the sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since G is complete, then there exists $\theta^* \in G$ such that $\theta_n \rightarrow \theta^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \wp_{cb}(\theta_n, \theta_n) = 0.$$

Since Π and Ψ are not continuous, we have $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*) = \vartheta > 0$. Then, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta &= \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*) \\
&\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+2}, \Pi\theta^*) - \wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+2}, \theta_{2i+2}) \\
&\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) \\
&\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Psi\theta_{2i+1}) \\
&\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \lambda \max\left\{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+1}), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*)\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+1}, \Psi\theta_{2i+1})}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+1})}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*)\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Psi\theta_{2i+1})}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+1})}\right\} \\
&\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \lambda \max\left\{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+1}), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*)\wp_{cb}(\theta_{2i+1}, \theta_{2i+2})}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+1})}\right\}, \\
&\quad \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*)\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2})}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+1})} \\
&\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \lambda \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi\theta^*)^2 \\
&\preceq \wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2}) + \lambda \vartheta^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$|\vartheta| \leq |\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta_{2i+2})| + \lambda |\vartheta|^2.$$

Hence, $\lambda \geq 1$, which is a contradiction. Then $\theta^* = \Pi\theta^*$. In the same way, we obtain $\theta^* = \Psi\theta^*$. Hence, θ^* is a common fixed point for the pair (Π, Ψ) . For uniqueness of the common fixed point, θ^* follows from Theorem 5. \square

For $\Pi = \Psi$, we get the following fixed-points results on CPMS.

Theorem 7. Let (G, \wp_{cb}) be a complete CPMS and $\Pi: G \rightarrow G$ be a continuous mapping such that

$$\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Pi\omega) \preceq \lambda \max \left\{ \wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta)\wp_{cb}(\omega, \Pi\omega)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega)}, \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta)\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Pi\omega)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega)} \right\},$$

for all $\theta, \omega \in G$, where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Pi\omega) \neq 0$. Then, Π has a unique fixed point and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$.

Remark 3. Similarly, in the absence of continuity condition, we can get a fixed point result on Π .

Corollary 2. Let (G, \wp_{cb}) be a complete CPMS and $\Pi: G \rightarrow G$ be a continuous mapping such that

$$\wp_{cb}(\Pi^n\theta, \Pi^n\omega) \preceq \lambda \max \left\{ \wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi^n\theta)\wp_{cb}(\omega, \Pi^n\omega)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega)}, \frac{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi^n\theta)\wp_{cb}(\Pi^n\theta, \Pi\omega)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega)} \right\},$$

for all $\theta, \omega \in G$, where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\wp_{cb}(\Pi^n\theta, \Pi^n\omega) \neq 0$. Then Π has a unique fixed point and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 5, we get $\theta^* \in G$ such that $\Pi^n\theta^* = \theta^*$ and $\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = 0$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*) &= \wp_{cb}(\Pi\Pi^n\theta^*, \Pi^n\theta^*) = \wp_{cb}(\Pi^n\Pi\theta^*, \Pi^n\theta^*) \\ &\preceq \lambda \max \left\{ \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Pi^n\Pi\theta^*)\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi^n\theta^*)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*)}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Pi^n\Pi\theta^*)\wp_{cb}(\Pi^n\Pi\theta^*, \Pi^n\theta^*)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*)} \right\} \\ &\preceq \lambda \max \left\{ \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*), \frac{\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Pi\Pi^n\theta^*)\wp_{cb}(\theta^*, \Pi^n\theta^*)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*)}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \Pi\Pi^n\theta^*)\wp_{cb}(\Pi\Pi^n\theta^*, \Pi^n\theta^*)}{1 + \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*)} \right\} \\ &= \lambda \wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta^*, \theta^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Pi^n\theta^* = \Pi\theta^* = \theta^*$. Then, Π has a unique fixed point. \square

Remark 4. From the above corollary 2, similarly, we get a fixed point result in the absence of continuity condition for the mapping Π .

Example 1. Let $G = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be endowed with the order $\theta \preceq \omega$ if and only if $\theta \leq \omega$. Then, \preceq is a partial order in G . Define the complex partial metric space $\wp_{cb}: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ as follows:

(θ, ω)	$\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega)$
$(1, 1), (2, 2)$	0
$(1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 3)$	e^{ix}
$(1, 4), (4, 1), (2, 4), (4, 2), (3, 4), (4, 3), (4, 4)$	$3e^{ix}$

Obviously, (G, \wp_{cb}) is a complete CPMS for $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Define $\Pi, \Psi: G \rightarrow G$ by $\Pi\theta = 1$,

$$\Psi(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta \in \{1, 2, 3\} \\ 2 & \text{if } \theta = 4. \end{cases}$$

Clearly Π and Ψ are continuous functions. Now, for $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$, we consider the following cases:

(A) If $\theta = 1$ and $\omega \in G - \{4\}$, then $\Pi(\theta) = \Psi(\omega) = 1$ and the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied.

(B) If $\theta = 1, \omega = 4$, then $\Pi\theta = 1, \Psi\omega = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega) &= e^{ix} \preceq 3 \wedge e^{ix} \\ &= \wedge \max\{3e^{ix}, 0, 3e^{ix}, \frac{1}{2}(e^{ix} + 3e^{ix})\} \\ &= \wedge \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta), \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Psi\omega), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Psi\omega) + \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Pi\theta))\},\end{aligned}$$

(C) If $\theta = 2, \omega = 4$, then $\Pi\theta = 1, \Psi\omega = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega) &= e^{ix} \preceq 3 \wedge e^{ix} \\ &= \wedge \max\{3e^{ix}, e^{ix}, 3e^{ix}, \frac{1}{2}(0 + 3e^{ix})\} \\ &= \wedge \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta), \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Psi\omega), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Psi\omega) + \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Pi\theta))\},\end{aligned}$$

(D) If $\theta = 3, \omega = 4$, then $\Pi\theta = 1, \Psi\omega = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega) &= e^{ix} \preceq 3 \wedge e^{ix} \\ &= \wedge \max\{3e^{ix}, e^{ix}, 3e^{ix}, \frac{1}{2}(e^{ix} + 3e^{ix})\} \\ &= \wedge \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta), \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Psi\omega), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Psi\omega) + \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Pi\theta))\},\end{aligned}$$

(E) If $\theta = 4, \omega = 4$, then $\Pi\theta = 2, \Psi\omega = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\wp_{cb}(\Pi\theta, \Psi\omega) &= e^{ix} \preceq 3 \wedge e^{ix} \\ &= \wedge \max\{3e^{ix}, 3e^{ix}, 3e^{ix}, \frac{1}{2}(3e^{ix} + 3e^{ix})\} \\ &= \wedge \max\{\wp_{cb}(\theta, \omega), \wp_{cb}(\theta, \Pi\theta), \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Psi\omega), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(\theta, \Psi\omega) + \wp_{cb}(\omega, \Pi\theta))\},\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $\wedge = \frac{1}{3}$, with $\wedge < 1$, the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Therefore, 1 is the unique common fixed point of Π and Ψ .

4. Application

Consider the following systems of nonlinear integral equations:

$$w(s) = \mathfrak{F}(s) + \int_a^b T_1(s, p, w(p))dp, \quad (18)$$

and

$$z(s) = \mathfrak{F}(s) + \int_a^b T_2(s, p, z(p))dp, \quad (19)$$

where

- (i) $\mathfrak{F} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous mapping and $\mathfrak{F}(s)$ is a given function in $(C([a, b]), \mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (ii) $w(s)$ and $z(s)$ are unknown variables for each $s \in J = [a, b]$, $b > a \geq 0$,
- (iii) $T_1(s, p)$ and $T_2(s, p)$ are deterministic kernels defined for $s, p \in J = [a, b]$.

In this section, we present an existence theorem for a common solution to (18) and (19) that belongs to $G = (C(J), \mathbb{R}^n)$ (the set of continuous functions defined on J) by using the obtained result in Theorem 2. We consider the continuous mappings $\Pi, \Psi : G \rightarrow G$ given by

$$\Pi w(s) = \mathfrak{F}(s) + \int_a^b T_1(s, p, w(p)) dp, w \in G, s \in J,$$

and

$$\Psi z(s) = \mathfrak{F}(s) + \int_a^b T_2(s, p, z(p)) dp, z \in G, s \in J,$$

Then, the existence of a common solution to the nonlinear integral Equations (18) and (19) is equivalent to the existence of a common fixed point of Π and Ψ . It is well known that G , endowed with the metric \wp_{cb} , defined by

$$\wp_{cb}(w, z) = \sup_{s \in J} |w(s) - z(s)| + 2,$$

for all $w, z \in G$, is a complete CPMS. G can also be equipped with the partial order \preceq given by

$$w, z \in G, w \preceq z \text{ if and only if } w(s) \geq z(s), \text{ for all } s \in J.$$

Further, let us consider a system of nonlinear integral equation as (18) and (19) under the following condition hold:

(A) $T_1, T_2 : J \times J \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are continuous functions satisfying

$$|T_1(s, p, w(p)) - T_2(s, p, z(p))| \preceq \frac{S(w, z)}{(b-a)e^t} - \frac{2}{b-a}, \forall t > 0,$$

where

$$S(w, z) = \max\{\wp_{cb}(w, z), \wp_{cb}(w, \Pi w), \wp_{cb}(z, \Psi z), \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(w, \Psi z) + \wp_{cb}(z, \Pi w))\}.$$

Theorem 8. Let $(C(J), \mathbb{R}^n, \wp_{cb})$ be a complete CPMS; then, the system (18) and (19) under condition (A) have a unique common solution.

Proof. For $w, z \in (C(J), \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $s \in J$, we define the continuous mappings $\Pi, \Psi : G \rightarrow G$ by

$$\Pi w(s) = \mathfrak{F}(s) + \int_a^b T_1(s, p, w(p)) dp,$$

and

$$\Psi z(s) = \mathfrak{F}(s) + \int_a^b T_2(s, p, z(p)) dp.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wp_{cb}(\Pi w(s), \Psi z(s)) &= \sup_{s \in J} |\Pi w(s) - \Psi z(s)| + 2 \\
 &\leq \int_a^b |T_1(s, p, w(p)) - T_2(s, p, z(p))| dp + 2 \\
 &\leq \int_a^b \left(\frac{S(w, z)}{(b-a)e^t} - \frac{2}{b-a} \right) dp + 2 \\
 &= \frac{S(w, z)}{e^t} \\
 &= \lambda S(w, z) \\
 &= \lambda \max\{\wp_{cb}(w, z), \wp_{cb}(w, \Pi w), \wp_{cb}(z, \Psi z), \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2}(\wp_{cb}(w, \Psi z) + \wp_{cb}(z, \Pi w))\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied for $0 < \lambda = \frac{1}{e^t} < 1$ with $t > 0$. Therefore the system of nonlinear integral Equations (18) and (19) have a unique common solution. \square

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we proved some common fixed-point theorems on complex partial metric space. An illustrative example and application on complex partial metric space is given.

Author Contributions: G.M., A.J.G., Y.L. and Z.G. contributed equally in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (Nos. 11971493 and 12071491).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Azam, A.; Fisher, B.; Khan, M. Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **2011**, *32*, 243–253. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Rao, K.P.R.; Swamy, P.R.; Prasad, J.R. A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b-metric spaces. *Bull. Math. Stat. Res.* **2013**, *1*.
3. Dhivya, P.; Marudai, M. Common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational expression on an ordered complex partial metric space. *Cogent Math.* **2017**, *4*, 1389622. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Gunaseelan, M. Generalized fixed point theorems on complex partial b-metric space. *Int. J. Res. Anal. Rev.* **2019**, *6*.
5. Prakasam, L.M.; Gunaseelan, M. Common fixed point theorems using (CLR) and (EA) properties in complex partial b-metric space. *Adv. Math. Sci. J.* **2020**, *1*, 2773–2790. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Gunaseelan, M.; Joseph, G.A.; Ramakrishnan, K.; Gaba, Y.U. Results on Complex Partial b-Metric Space with an Application. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2021**, *10*, 2021.