Article

# Bases of $G-V$ Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matroids 

<br>School of Science/Key Lab of Intelligent Analysis and Decision on Complex Systems, Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing 400065, China; s180602004@stu.cqupt.edu.cn (L.L.); lj580235ss@163.com (J.L.); qiudong@cqupt.edu.cn (D.Q.); duanhm@cqupt.edu.cn (H.D.)<br>* Correspondence: liyh@cqupt.edu.cn

Received: 28 June 2020; Accepted: 14 August 2020; Published: 20 August 2020


#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study intuitionistic fuzzy bases (IFBs) and the intuitive structure of a $G-V$ IFM. Firstly, the intuitionistic fuzzy basis (IFB) of a $G-V I F M$ is defined; then the $h$-range and properties of an IFB are presented and a necessary and sufficient condition of a closed $G-V$ IFM is studied. Especially, a necessary and sufficient condition of judging an IFB is presented and the intuitive tree structure of a closed $G-V$ IFM is proposed and its properties are discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Whitney's 1935 article laid the groundwork for the field of combinatorial geometries and matroid [1]. Matroid theory has been widely applied to combinatorial mathematics, combinatorial optimization and group theory [2-8]. Based on the fuzzy set theory proposed by Zadeh in 1965 [9], matroid theory has been generalized to various forms related to fuzzy sets. Shi [10,11] proposed the ( $L, M$ )-fuzzy matroid based on latticevalued fuzzy set theory and studied the base axioms of fuzzitying matroids [12-14]. Hsueh presented a fuzzification of matroids which extends the independence axioms of matroids [15]. Al-Hawary introduced a method to the fuzzifying of matroids which is called fuzzy C-matroids [16,17]. In 1988, Goetschel and Voxman proposed an important fuzzy matroid (briefly, $G-V$ fuzzy matroid) in [18]. They further studied some important concepts and their properties, such as the fuzzy bases and the fuzzy rank function [19-22]. Following them, some scholars studied the axioms, the connectedness and the structure of $G-V$ fuzzy matroid, etc. [23-25].

The intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS), introduced by Atanassov originally in 1983 [26] and made widely accessible in 1986 [27], is a generalization of Zadeh's fuzzy set. An IFS of each element is an ordered pair which is called an intuitionistic fuzzy value (IFV) and each IFV is characterized by a membership degree, a nonmembership degree and a hesitancy degree. From then on, many scholars were attracted to study the IFS and obtained a lot of valuable results. For ranking the IFSs, Hong and Choi proposed the accuracy function in 2000 [28] and Szmidt and Kacprzyk proposed a similarity function of IFSs in 2004 [29]. Based on the accuracy function and the similarity function, Zhang and Xu introduced a new method for ranking IFSs in 2012 [30]. In 2013, Rangasamy et al. proposed a method by ranking to be done using the scores and accuracy for finding the shortest hyperpath in an intuitionistic fuzzy weighted hypergraph [31]. Some other scholars studied the aggregation operators and fuzzy clustering of IFSs [32-34]. After decades of effort from scholars, the relevant achievements of intuitionistic fuzzy theory became very rich. In 1999, Atanassov completed his first monograph which discussed the concept and operators of IFSs, the interval valued IFSs, some other extensions of IFSs, the elements of IFSs and the applications of IFSs [35]. There are some other scholars' results worthy
of learning and researching; see [36-38]. In 2017, Li and Yi proposed an intuitionistic fuzzy matroid based on matroids and intuitionistic fuzzy sets [39]. In [40], Li et al. extended $G-V$ fuzzy matroids and introduced a $G-V$ intuitionistic fuzzy matroid and studied the induced matroid sequence and the rank function. In this paper, based on the literature $[19,25,40]$, we study the bases and the structure of a $G-V$ intuitionistic fuzzy matroid (briefly, $G-V I F M$ ), which are actually generalizations of some conclusions of $G-V$ fuzzy matroid.

This paper is arranged as follows. Some basic definitions and results are introduced in Section 2. The IFBs of a $G-V$ IFM are studied in Section 3. The judgment of an IFB is investigated in Section 4. Finally, we propose the tree structure of a closed $G-V I F M$ and study its properties in Section 5.

## 2. Preliminaries and Notations

We introduce some basic and useful concepts related to matroid theory here; see [41,42]. Firstly, we introduce the concept of the matroid.

Definition 1. Let I be a nonempty family of subsets of a finite set $E$ and satisfy:

1. $\varnothing \in I$.
2. If $X \in I$, and $Y \subset X$, then $Y \in I$.
3. If $X, Y \in I$, and $|Y|>|X|$, then there exists an $x \in Y \backslash X$ such that $X \cup\{x\} \in I$.

Then the pair $M=(E, I)$ is called a matroid (or a crisp matroid). For any $A \subseteq E$, if $A \in I$, then $A$ is called an independent set; otherwise $A$ is called a dependent set.

In matroid theory, rank function and its submodularity are very important. They are defined as follows.

Definition 2. Let $P(E)$ be the power set of finite set $E$ and $M=(E, I)$ be a matroid. $R$ is called rank function of $M$, where $R: P(E) \rightarrow\{0,1,2, \cdots,|E|\}$ is a mapping and is defined as follows:

$$
R(X)=\max \{|Y| \mid Y \subseteq X, \text { and } Y \in I\}
$$

From the definition of $R$, the following properties can be easily obtained.

1. If $X \subseteq Y$, then $R(X) \leq R(Y)$;
2. $R(X) \leq|X|$ for any $X \in P(E)$;
3. If $X \in I$, then $R(X)=|X|$,
where $X, Y \in P(E)$.
Definition 3. Let $\sigma: P(E) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a mapping, where $P(E)$ is the power set of finite set $E$. $\sigma$ is called submodular if

$$
\sigma(X)+\sigma(Y) \geq \sigma(X \cap Y)+\sigma(X \cup Y)
$$

for each $X, Y \in P(E)$.
Theorem 1. The rank function $R$ of a matroid $M=(E, I)$ is submodular.
Next, some concepts and notations concerning fuzzy sets or intuitionistic fuzzy sets are cited; see $[9,18,19,26-38,40]$.

Definition 4. Let $X$ be a fixed set. Then

$$
A=\left\{\left(x, \mu_{A}(x)\right) \mid x \in X\right\}
$$

is called a fuzzy set, where $\mu_{A}(x)$ is the membership degree of $x$ to $A, 0 \leq \mu_{A}(x) \leq 1$. The collection of fuzzy sets on $X$ is denoted by $F S(X)$.

Definition 5. Let $X$ be a fixed set. Then

$$
A=\left\{\left(x, \mu_{A}(x), v_{A}(x)\right) \mid x \in X\right\}
$$

is called an IFS (i.e., intuitionistic fuzzy set). For any $x \in X, \mu_{A}(x), v_{A}(x)$ and $\pi_{A}(x)$ are called membership degree, non-membership degree and hesitancy degree, respectively, where $\mu_{A}(x), v_{A}(x), \pi_{A}(x) \geq 0$ and $\mu_{A}(x)+v_{A}(x)+\pi_{A}(x)=1$. The collection of IFSs on $X$ is denoted by IFS $(X)$. If for all $x \in X, \pi_{A}(x)=0$, then $\mu_{A}(x)+v_{A}(x)=1$ and IFS $A$ is reduced to a fuzzy set. In this paper, we use $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ to denote intuitionistic fuzzy set and $\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), v_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)$ to denote intuitionistic fuzzy value.

For convenience and suitable for the study of $G-V$ intuitionistic fuzzy matroids later, an IFS $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is abbreviated as $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ and an $\operatorname{IFV}\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), v_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)$ is denoted by $\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)$. Note that this notation is different from that in Definition 5.

Definition 6. Let $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(X)$ be an IFS. Then the accuracy function $H$ of $\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right),(x \in X)$ is denoted by

$$
H\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)=1-\pi_{\alpha}(x)
$$

Definition 7. Let $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(X)$ be an IFS. Then the similarity function $h$ of $\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)$ for any $x \in X$ is

$$
h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)=1-\frac{1-\mu_{\alpha}(x)}{1+\pi_{\alpha}(x)}
$$

In the special case $\pi_{\alpha}(x)=0$, we have $h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)=\mu_{\alpha}(x)$.
Let $X$ be a finite set and $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right),\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in I F S(X)$ be IFSs and $x \in X$. We now introduce the following notation and results; see [40]:

1. $\quad H_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)=H\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)$.
$h_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)=h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)$.
$\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)(x)=\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)$.
2. $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, 0\right)=\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ if $\pi_{\alpha}(x)=0$ for any $x \in X$.
3. $h\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \leq h\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ : for any $x \in X, h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right) \leq h\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)$.
$h\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=h\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ : for any $x \in X, h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)=h\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)$.
$h\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)<h\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right): h\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \leq h\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ and $h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)<h\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)$ for some $x \in X$.
4. $\quad H\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \leq H\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ : for any $x \in X, H\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right) \leq H\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)$.
$H\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=H\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ : for any $x \in X, H\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)=H\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)$.
$H\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)<H\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right): H\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \leq H\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ and $H\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)<H\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)$ for some $x \in X$.
5. $\quad\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \preceq\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right): h\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \leq h\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ and $H\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \leq H\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$.
$\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \prec\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right): h\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)<h\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ and $H\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \leq H\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$.
$\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right): h\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=h\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ and $H\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=H\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$.
6. $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{x \in X \mid h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)>0\right\}$.
7. $m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\inf \left\{h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right) \mid x \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$.
8. $\quad C_{r}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{x \in X \mid h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right) \geq r\right\}$, where $0 \leq r \leq 1$.
9. $\quad R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right) \mid h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)>0, x \in X\right\}$ is called the positive $h$ - range of $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$.
10. $\left|\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)\right|=\sum_{x \in X} h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)$ is called the "cardinality" of an IFS.

Definition 8. Let $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right),\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ be two intuitionistic fuzzy sets, $x \in X .\left(\mu_{\gamma}, \pi_{\gamma}\right)=\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \vee\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ and $\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right)=\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \wedge\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ are called the union and intersection of $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$, respectively, where $\left(\mu_{\gamma}, \pi_{\gamma}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\left(\mu_{\gamma}, \pi_{\gamma}\right)(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)(x), & \text { if } & h_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)>h_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x), \\
\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)(x), & \text { if } & h_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)<h_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x), \\
\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)(x), & \text { if } & h_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)=h_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x) \text { and } \quad H_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x) \geq H_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x), \\
\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)(x), & \text { if } & h_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)=h_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x) \text { and } \quad H_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)<H_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right)(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)(x), & \text { if } & h_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)>h_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x), \\
\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)(x), & \text { if } & h_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)<h_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x), \\
\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)(x), & \text { if } & h_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)=h_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x) \text { and } \quad H_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x) \geq H_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x) \\
\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)(x), \text { if } & h_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)=h_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x) \text { and } \quad H_{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}(x)<H_{\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}(x) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 9. Let $E$ be a finite set and $\psi \subseteq I F S(E)$ be a nonempty family of fuzzy sets. The pair $(E, \psi)$ is called $a G-V$ IFM on $E$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. If $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi,\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E)$, and $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \prec\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$, then $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$.
2. If $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right),\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$, and $\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)\right|<\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)\right|$, then there exists $\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right) \in \psi$, such that:
(a) $\quad\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \prec\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right) \preceq\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \vee\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$;
(b) $m\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right) \geq \min \left\{m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right), m\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)\right\}$.

Suppose that $(E, \psi)$ is a $G-V$ IFM. $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$ is called an independent IFS and $\psi$ is called the set of independent IFSs. $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \notin \psi$ is called a dependent IFS.

If for any $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E)$ and for any $x \in E, \pi_{\alpha}(x)=0$, then $\operatorname{IFS}(E)$ is actually $F S(E)$. Thus, $(E, \psi)$ is reduced to $G-V F M$.

Theorem 2. Let $(E, \psi)$ be a $G-V$ IFM. For each $r, 0 \leq r \leq 1$, let

$$
I_{r}=\left\{C_{r}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \mid\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi\right\}
$$

Then for each $r, 0<r \leq 1$,

$$
M_{r}=\left(E, I_{r}\right)
$$

is a matroid.
Theorem 3. Let $(E, \psi)$ be a $G-V$ IFM. Let $M_{r}=\left(E, I_{r}\right)$ be a matroid on $E$ defined in Theorem 2, where $0<r \leq 1$. Then there is a finite sequence $r_{0}<r_{1}<\cdots<r_{n}$ such that:
(i) $\quad r_{0}=0, r_{n}=1$.
(ii) $\quad I_{s} \neq\{\phi\}$ if $0<s \leq r_{n}, I_{s}=\{\phi\}$ if $s>r_{n}$.
(iii) If $r_{i}<s, t<r_{i+1}$, then $I_{s}=I_{t}$, where $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.
(iv) If $r_{i}<s<r_{i+1}<t<r_{i+2}$, then $I_{s} \subset I_{t}$, where $0 \leq i \leq n-2$.

Then the sequence $r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{n}$ is called the fundamental sequence of $(E, \psi)$. Moreover, if for any $i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\bar{r}_{i}=\frac{r_{i-1}}{r_{i}}$, then we can get a sequence of matroids $M_{\bar{r}_{n}} \subset M_{\bar{r}_{n-1}} \subset \cdots \subset M_{\bar{r}_{2}} \subset M_{\bar{r}_{1}}$ which is called the induced matroid sequence.

Note that $C_{r}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{x \in E \mid h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right) \geq r\right\}$, where $0<r \leq 1$, and $I_{r}=$ $\left\{C_{r}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \mid\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi\right\}$, so $I_{s}=\{\phi\}$ not but $I_{s}=\{\phi\}$ when $s>r_{n}$.

A matroid sequence can be constructed from a $G-V$ IFM above. On the contrary, a $G-V$ IFM can be constructed from a matroid sequence.

Theorem 4. Let $0=s_{0}<s_{1}<s_{2}<\cdots<s_{n} \leq 1$ be a finite sequence. Suppose that $M_{s_{1}}, M_{s_{2}}, \cdots, M_{s_{n-1}}, M_{s_{n}}\left(M_{s_{i}}=\left(E, I_{s_{i}}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$ is a matroid sequence on a finite set $E$ and satisfies $I_{s_{i+1}} \subset I_{s_{i}}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$. For any $0 \leq s \leq 1$, let

$$
I_{s}=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
I_{s_{i}}, \text { if } & s_{i-1}<s \leq s_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n \\
\{\phi\}, \text { if } & s_{n}<s \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and let

$$
\psi^{*}=\left\{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E) \mid C_{s}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{s}, 0<s \leq 1\right\}
$$

Then $\left(E, \psi^{*}\right)$ is a $G-V$ IFM and its induced matroid sequence is $M_{s_{n}} \subset M_{s_{n-1}} \subset \cdots \subset M_{s_{2}} \subset M_{s_{1}}$, where for $1 \leq i \leq n, M_{s_{i}}=\left(E, I_{s_{i}}\right)$.

Theorem 5. Let $(E, \psi)$ be a $G-V$ IFM, and for each $r$, let $0<r \leq 1, M_{r}=\left(E, I_{r}\right)$ be a matroid defined by Theorem 2. Let $\psi^{*}=\left\{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E) \mid C_{r}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{r}, 0<r \leq 1\right\}$. Then $\psi=\psi^{*}$.

Theorem 6. Let $(E, \psi)$ be a $G-V$ IFM and $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E)$. Then $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$ if and only if $C_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda \in R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$.

Theorem 7. Suppose that $(E, \psi)$ is a $G-V$ IFM with the fundamental sequence $0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<\cdots<$ $r_{n} \leq 1$. If $I_{r}=I_{r_{i}}$ for any $r_{i-1}<r \leq r_{i}(0 \leq i \leq n)$, then $(E, \psi)$ is called a closed $G-V$ IFM.

## 3. Bases of $G-V I F M s$

Based on $G-V$ IFMs and bases of matroids or fuzzy matroids, we propose the concept of the intuitionistic fuzzy basis of a $G-V$ IF $M$.

Definition 10. Let $(E, \psi)$ be a $G-V$ IFM. $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$ is said to be maximal in $\psi$. If for any $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$ and $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \preceq\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$, then $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$. I.e., there does not exist $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$ such that $\left.\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \prec\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)\right)$.

An intuitionistic fuzzy basis (IFB for short) of a $G-V I F M(E, \psi)$ is a maximal member $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$.

Suppose that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an IFB and $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E)$. Let $h\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=h\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ and $H\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)<H\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$; then $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \preceq\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\left|\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)\right|=\left|\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)\right|$. Obviously, $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$. Therefore, $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ here is called an intuitionistic fuzzy sub-basis (IFSB for short) with respect to $\operatorname{IFB}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ for a $G-V \operatorname{IFM}(E, \psi)$. Generally, there are infinite IFSBs for a $G-V I F M$ and their cardinality is the same as that of the corresponding IFB.

Definition 11. An IFS $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an elementary IFS if $R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=1$. If $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an elementary IFS with $A=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ and $R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\{r\}$, then $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is denoted by $\omega(A, r)$ with support $A$ and height $r$.

Theorem 8. Suppose that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$ is an IFB of a $G-V \operatorname{IFM}(E, \psi)$, then $\pi_{\alpha}(x)=0$ for each $x \in E$.
Proof. Assume that there exists an $x_{0} \in E$ such that $\pi_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)=\eta>0$. Let $h\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=h\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ for each $x \in E$ and

$$
\pi_{\beta}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{rlr}
\pi_{\alpha}(x), \text { if } & x \in E \text { and } x \neq x_{0} \\
\frac{\eta}{2}, \text { if } & x=x_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $H\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)<H\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$. It follows that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \preceq\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$. However, for each $\lambda \in$ $R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$, we have $C_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=C_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{\lambda}$. Then $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$ from Theorem 6. This contradicts the hypothesis.

Here, we will use Theorem 6 to prove the next theorem.
Theorem 9. Let $(E, \psi)$ be a $G-V$ IFM with the fundamental sequence $0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ and suppose $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an IFB of $(E, \psi)$; then

$$
R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{n}\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ be an IFB of $(E, \psi)$. Then $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$. It follows that $C_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda \in R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$.

Assume that there is an $s \in R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $r_{i}<s<r_{i+1}$. We take $\varepsilon=\left(r_{i+1}-s\right) / 2$ and let $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ be the elementary IFS which is defined by $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=C_{s}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ and $R^{+}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=s+\varepsilon$.

If we let $\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right)=\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \vee\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$, then for each $r \in(0,1]$, we have

$$
C_{r}\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{s}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{s}, \text { if } r \in(s, s+\varepsilon] \\
C_{r}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{r}, \text { if } r \notin(s, s+\varepsilon]
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Theorem 6, we have $\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right) \in \psi$.
By the hypothesis, for $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$, we have that there exists $x_{0} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $h\left(\mu_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right), \pi_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=s$. Thus $h\left(\mu_{\omega}\left(x_{0}\right), \pi_{\omega}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=s+\varepsilon$. Since $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \preceq\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right),\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \prec$ $\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right)$. This contradicts that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an IFB.

Theorem 10. Suppose that $(E, \psi)$ is a $G-V$ IFM and $0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ is the fundamental sequence of $(E, \psi)$. Then $(E, \psi)$ is closed if and only if for any $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$, there exists an IFB $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$ such that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \preceq\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$.

Proof. Assume that for any $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$, there exists an IFB $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$ such that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \preceq$ $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$. If $(E, \psi)$ is not closed.

Let $i_{0}$ be a positive integer such that if $r_{i_{0}-1}<t<r_{i_{0}}$, then $I_{r_{i_{0}}} \subset I_{t}$, where

$$
I_{r}=\left\{C_{r}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \mid\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi\right\} .
$$

Let $A$ be a basis of $I_{t}$ but not a basis of $I_{r_{i_{0}}}$. Let $\omega(A, t)$ be the elementary IFS. Obviously, $C_{r}(\omega(A, t))=A \in I_{r}$ for any $r \in(0, t]$, and $C_{r}(\omega(A, t))=\varnothing \in I_{r}$ for any $r \in(t, 1]$. It follows that $\omega(A, t) \in \psi$.

Suppose that $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$ is an IFB and $\omega(A, t) \preceq\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$. Then $C_{t}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in I_{t}$ and $A \subseteq$ $C_{t}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$. Since $A$ is a crisp basis of $I_{t}, A=C_{t}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$.

Since $I_{r_{i_{0}}} \subseteq I_{t}$ and by Theorem 5 and Theorem 9, we have

$$
A=C_{t}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=C_{r_{i_{0}}}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in I_{r_{i_{0}}} .
$$

Conversely, suppose that $(E, \psi)$ is closed. Let $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$ and $R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{k}\right\}$, where $t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{k}$. Let $\left\{t_{p_{1}}, t_{p_{2}}, \cdots, t_{p_{s}}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{r_{q_{1}}, r_{q_{2}}, \cdots, r_{q_{s}}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{r_{0}, r_{1}, \cdots, r_{n}\right\}$ such that $t_{p_{1}}=t_{1}$, and for a given $t_{p_{j}}$, there is $r_{q_{j}}=\min \left\{r_{i} \mid r_{i} \geq t_{p_{j}}\right\}$, and for a given $r_{q_{j}}$ there is $t_{p_{j+1}}=\min \left\{t_{i} \mid t_{i}>r_{q_{j}}\right\}$. It follows that
(1) $t_{p_{1}}=t_{1}$;
(2) $r_{q_{j-1}}<t_{p_{j}}<r_{q_{j}} j=1,2, \cdots, s$;
(3) If $t_{p_{j}}<t_{i}<t_{p_{j+1}}$, then $r_{q_{j-1}}<t_{i} \leq r_{q_{j}}$;
(4) If $t_{p_{s}}>t_{i}$, then $r_{q_{s-1}}<t_{i} \leq r_{q_{s}}$.

Let $A_{n} \subseteq A_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_{1}$ be a nested sequence such that
(a) $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq A_{1}$, where $A_{1}$ is a basis of $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$;
(b) For $i \geq 2$ (where $i$ is an integer), we have $q_{j-1}<i<q_{j}\left(q_{0}=0\right)$ and $A_{i}$ is a maximal subset of $A_{i-1}$ in $I_{r_{i}}$ that contains $C_{t_{p_{j}}}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$;
(c) For $q_{t}<i \leq n$ (where $i$ is an integer), we have $A$ is a maximal subset of $A_{i-1}$ in $I_{r_{i}}$.

Let $\left(\mu_{\beta_{i}}, \pi_{\beta_{i}}\right)$ be the elementary IFS $\omega\left(A_{i}, r_{i}\right)$, where $i \in[1, n]$. If $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=\bigvee_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mu_{\beta_{i}}, \pi_{\beta_{i}}\right)$, then we can easily get $C_{r}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in I_{r}, r \in(0,1]$. By Theorem $6,\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$. From the construction of $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right),\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \preceq\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$ and $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ is an IFB for $(E, \psi)$, the conclusion is established.

## 4. The Judgement of an IFB for a $G-V I F M$

From the proof of Theorem 10, we can get the following result.
Theorem 11. Suppose that $(E, \psi)$ is a closed $G-V$ IFM with the fundamental sequence $0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<$ $\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ and the induced matroid sequence $M_{r_{1}} \supset M_{r_{2}} \supset \cdots \supset M_{r_{n}}$, where $M_{r_{i}}=\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Let $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E)$. If $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an IFB of $(E, \psi)$, then $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=C_{m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is a basis of matroid ( $E, I_{r_{1}}$ ).

Proof. Suppose that $m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an IFB of IFM. Then $R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{n}\right\}$ and $C_{r}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ $\in I_{r}$ for any $r \in R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$.

Assume that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=C_{m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is not a basis of matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$; then there exists a basis $A$ of $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=C_{m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subset A$. Let

$$
h\left(\mu_{\omega}(x), \pi_{\omega}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccr}
r_{1} & , \text { if } & x \in A \backslash C_{m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \\
h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right), \text { if } & x \in C_{m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \prec\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right), R^{+}\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right) \subseteq\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{n}\right\}$ and $C_{r_{1}}\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right)=A \in I_{r_{1}}$. Thus, for any $r_{1}<r<m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$,

$$
C_{r}\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right)=C_{m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)} \subseteq I_{r}
$$

and for any $m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \leq r \leq 1$,

$$
C_{r}\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right)=C_{r}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{r}
$$

From Theorem 6, it follows that $\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right) \in \psi$. Since $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \prec\left(\mu_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}\right)$, it contradicts that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an IFB of IFM.

The following necessary and sufficient condition can be used to judge whether a fuzzy set is a fuzzy basis.

Theorem 12 ([25]). Let $(E, \psi)$ be a closed $G-V$ fuzzy matroid on $E$ and $0=r_{0}<r_{1}<\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ be the fundamental sequence. Let $\mu \in F S(E)$. Suppose $M_{r_{1}} \supset M_{r_{2}} \supset \cdots \supset M_{r_{n}}$ is the induced matroid sequence (where $\left.M_{r_{i}}=\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right), i=1,2, \cdots, n\right)$. Then $\mu$ is a fuzzy basis of $(E, \psi)$ if and only if $\mu$ satisfies:
(i) $A_{1}=$ supp $\mu$ is a basis of matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$.
(ii) There exists a sequence $A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n-1}, A_{n}\left(A_{i} \in I_{r_{i}}\right)$ which satisfies $A_{i}$ is a maximal subset of $A_{i-1}$ in $I_{r_{i}}(i=2,3,4, \cdots, n)$ and $A_{1} \supseteq A_{2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A_{n-1} \supseteq A_{n}$ such that for any $x \in A_{n}, \mu(x)=r_{n}$ and for any $x \in A_{i} \backslash A_{i+1}, \mu(x)=r_{i}$, where $i=1,2,3, \cdots, n-1$.

This result can be extended to intuitionistic fuzzy sets.
Theorem 13. Suppose $(E, \psi)$ is a closed $G-V$ IFM with the fundamental sequence $0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<$ $\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ and the induced matroid sequence $M_{r_{1}} \supset M_{r_{2}} \supset \cdots \supset M_{r_{n}}$, where $M_{r_{i}}=\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Let $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E)$; then $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an IFB of $(E, \psi)$ if and only if $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ satisfies:
(I) $\pi_{\alpha}(x)=0$ for each $x \in E$;
(II) The set $A_{1}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is a crisp basis of matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$;
(III) There exists a sequence $A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n-1}, A_{n}\left(A_{i} \in I_{r_{i}}\right)$ which satisfies $A_{i}$ is a maximal subset of $A_{i-1}$ in $I_{r_{i}}$ $(i=2,3, \cdots, n)$ and $A_{1} \supseteq A_{2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A_{n-1} \supseteq A_{n}$ such that for any $x \in A_{n}, h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)=r_{n}$, and for any $x \in A_{i} \backslash A_{i+1}(i=1,2, \cdots, n-1), h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)=r_{i}$.

Proof. By Theorem 8 and Theorem 11, we have
(I) $\quad \pi_{\alpha}(x)=0$ for each $x \in E$;
(II) The set $A_{1}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is a basis of matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$.

Now we just prove that (III) holds.
Let $A_{i}=C_{r_{i}}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)(2 \leq i \leq n)$. By the hypothesis, we have $C_{r_{n}}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq C_{r_{n-1}}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq$ $C_{r_{2}}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq C_{r_{1}}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$, That is $A_{n} \subseteq A_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_{2} \subseteq A_{1}$.

Next, we will prove $A_{i}$ is a maximal subset of $A_{i-1}$ in $I_{r_{i}}$, where $k+1 \leq i \leq n$.
Note that $A_{1}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is the basis of $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$.
Assume that there exists $A_{i} \in I_{r_{i}}(2 \leq i \leq n)$ such that $A_{i}$ is not a maximal subset of $A_{i-1}$ in $I_{r_{i-1}}$. Then there is $B \in I_{r_{i}}$ such that $A_{i} \subset B$ and $B$ is a maximal subset of $A_{i-1}$.

Let $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in I F S(E)$ and $\pi_{\beta}(x)=0$ for each $x \in E$, and if $i=2$, let

$$
h\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
r_{1}, & x \in A_{1} \backslash B \\
r_{2}, & x \in B \backslash A_{2} \\
h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right), & x \in A_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $3 \leq i \leq n$, let

$$
h\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
r_{j}, & x \in A_{j} \backslash A_{j+1} \\
r_{i-1}, & x \in A_{i-1} \backslash B \\
r_{i}, & x \in B \backslash A_{i} \\
h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right), & x \in A_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $j=1,2, \cdots, i-2$. Then $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \preceq\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$. Since $C_{r_{i}}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=B \in I_{r_{i}}$, it follows that $C_{r_{j}}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=A_{j} \in I_{r_{j}}$, for any $1 \leq j \leq i-1$, and $C_{r_{j}}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=C_{r_{j}}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{r_{j}}$ for any $i+1 \leq j \leq n$. Then, by Theorem $6,\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right) \in \psi$, which contradicts that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an IFB of $(E, \psi)$.

Conversely, from condition (II) (III), $A_{1}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is a crisp basis of matroid ( $E, I_{r_{1}}$ ), $R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{n}\right\}$ and $C_{r_{i}}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=A_{i} \in I_{r_{i}}$ for any $r_{i} \in R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$. It follows that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$ from Theorem 6.
$\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is not an IFB of $(E, \psi)$. Since $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \psi$ and $(E, \psi)$ is a closed IFM, there exists an IFB $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ of $(E, \psi)$ such that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \prec\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$, so $m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \leq m\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$.

Case 1. $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$. Since $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ is an IFB of $(E, \psi)$, then $\pi_{\beta}(x)=0$ for each $x \in E$ and $A_{1}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ is a basis of matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$. As $A_{1} \supseteq A_{2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq$ $A_{n-1} \supseteq A_{n}$ and $A_{i}$ is a maximal subset of $A_{i-1}$, where $A_{i} \in I_{r_{i}}(i=2,3, \cdots, n)$, for any $x \in A_{n}$, $h\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)=r_{n}$ and for any $x \in A_{i} \backslash A_{i+1}(i=1,2, \cdots, n-1), h\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)=r_{i}$, for any $x \in$ $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$, we have $h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)=h\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)$. Since $\pi_{\alpha}(x)=\pi_{\beta}(x)=0$ for each $x \in E, H\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)=H\left(\mu_{\beta}(x), \pi_{\beta}(x)\right)$. It follows that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$, which contradicts that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \prec\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right), m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \leq m\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$.

Case 2. $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$. Since $\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ is an IFB of $(E, \psi), C_{m\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)=$ $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$ is a basis of matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$. From condition (II), $C_{m\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is also a basis of matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$, which is in contradiction with $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}\right)$.

Therefore, $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an IFB of $(E, \psi)$.

The following corollary is obvious.
Corollary 1. Suppose $(E, \psi)$ is a closed $G-V$ IFM with the fundamental sequence $0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<$ $\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ and the induced matroid sequence $M_{r_{1}} \supset M_{r_{2}} \supset \cdots \supset M_{r_{n}}$, where $M_{r_{i}}=\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Let $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, 0\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E)$. Then $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, 0\right)$ is an IFB of $(E, \psi)$ if and only if the IFS $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, 0\right)$ satisfies:
(1) $\quad A_{1}$ is a crisp basis of $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$, where $A_{1}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, 0\right)$.
(2) There exist $A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n-1}, A_{n}\left(A_{i} \in I_{r_{i}}\right)$ which satisfy $A_{1} \supseteq A_{2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A_{n-1} \supseteq A_{n}$ and $A_{i}$ is a maximal subset of $A_{i-1}(i=2,3, \cdots, n)$ such that $h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), 0\right)=\mu_{\alpha}(x)=r_{n}$ for any $x \in A_{n}$, and $h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), 0\right)=\mu_{\alpha}(x)=r_{i}$ for any $x \in A_{i} \backslash A_{i+1}, i=1,2, \cdots, n-1$.

Theorem 14. Let $E$ be a finite set. Suppose that there is the same fundamental sequence $0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<$ $\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ and the same induced matroid sequence $M_{r_{1}} \supset M_{r_{2}} \supset \cdots \supset M_{r_{n}}$ for $G-V$ fuzzy matroid $(E, \bar{\psi})$ and $G-V \operatorname{IFM}(E, \psi)$, where $M_{r_{i}}=\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)(i=1,2, \cdots, n-1)$. Then $\mu_{\alpha} \in F S(E)$ is a fuzzy basis of $F M=(E, \bar{\psi})$ if and only if $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, 0\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E)$ is an $\operatorname{IFB}$ of $(E, \psi)$.

Proof. By the hypothesis and Theorem 12, we have $\mu_{\alpha}$ is a fuzzy basis of $(E, \bar{\psi})$ if and only if the fuzzy set $\mu_{\alpha}$ satisfies:
(1) $\quad A_{1}$ is a basis of $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$, where $A_{1}=\operatorname{supp} \mu_{\alpha}$.
(2) There exist $A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n-1}, A_{n}$ which satisfy $A_{i}$ is a maximal subset of $A_{i-1}(i=2,3, \cdots, n)$ and $A_{1} \supseteq A_{2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A_{n-1} \supseteq A_{n}$ such that for any $x \in A_{n}, \mu_{\alpha}(x)=r_{n}$, and for any $x \in A_{i} \backslash A_{i+1}$ $(i=1,2, \cdots, n-1), \mu_{\alpha}(x)=r_{i}$.

These two conditions hold if and only if $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, 0\right)$ satisfies:
(1) $\quad A_{1}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, 0\right)$ is a crisp basis of matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$.
(2) For the above $A_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$, we have for any $x \in A_{n}, h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), 0\right)=\mu_{\alpha}(x)=r_{n}$, and for any $x \in A_{i} \backslash A_{i+1}(i=1,2, \cdots, n-1), h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), 0\right)=\mu_{\alpha}(x)=r_{i}$.

## 5. A Tree Structure of a Closed G - V IFM

From Theorem 13, a tree structure of a closed $G-V$ IFM is proposed below, which is similar to the tree structure introduced in [25].

Let $(E, \psi)$ be a closed $G-V$ IFM on $E, 0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ be the fundamental sequence and $M_{r_{1}} \supset M_{r_{2}} \supset \cdots \supset M_{r_{n}}$ be the IFM-induced matroid sequence (where $M_{r_{i}}=\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)$
$(1 \leq i \leq n))$. Suppose that $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is an IFB of $(E, \psi)$ and $B_{1}=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is a crisp basis of matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$. Then, from Theorem 13, there exists a sequence $B_{2,1}, \cdots, B_{n-1,1}, B_{n, 1}\left(B_{i, 1} \in I_{r_{i}}, i=2,3, \cdots, n\right)$ such that $B_{i, 1}$ is a maximal subset of $B_{i-1,1}(i=2,3, \cdots, n)$ in $I_{r_{i}}$ and $B_{1} \supseteq B_{2,1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq B_{n-1,1} \supseteq B_{n, 1}$. Obviously, $C_{r_{i}}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=B_{i, 1}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$. The number of the sequence $B_{1}, B_{2,1}, \cdots, B_{n-1,1}, B_{n, 1}$ is determined by the number of the maximal subsets of the previous maximal subset in the next level based on the same IFB $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$. Obviously, each of the sequence can be constructed a brunch of a tree. All the sequences of the same IFB $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ can be constructed a tree. Since there are many IFBs, there are many trees which become a forest. The forest is called a tree structure of the closed G-V IFM $(E, \psi)$ (Figure 1).


Figure 1. The tree structure of a closed G-V IFM.
Definition 12. The set of trees constructed by the sequences in Theorem 13 is the tree structure of a closed $G-V \operatorname{IFM}(E, \psi)$, denoted by $T(E, \psi)$ (T for short) (Figure 1), which is defined below.

Remark 1. There is one branch corresponding to a leaf in $T$ and vice versa. From Theorem 13 and the construction of $T$, a branch of $T$ and an $\operatorname{IFB}$ of $(E, \psi)$ are one-to-one corresponding. Thus, for $(E, \psi)$, the number of the IFB is equal to the number of leaves $\left(B_{n, j}\right)$ of $T$.

Example 1. Let $E=\{a, b, c\}, I_{1}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\}\}, I_{1 / 3}=\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\}\}, I_{1 / 5}=$ $\{\varnothing,\{a\},\{b\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\}\}$. Then $\left(E, I_{1}\right),\left(E, I_{1 / 3}\right)$ and $\left(E, I_{1 / 5}\right)$ are all matroids, and $I_{1 / 5}, I_{1 / 3}$, $I_{1}$. Let

$$
I_{r}= \begin{cases}I_{1 / 5}, & 0<r \leq \frac{1}{5} \\ I_{1 / 3}, & \frac{1}{5}<r \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ I_{1}, & \frac{1}{3}<r \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

and let $\psi=\left\{\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E) \mid C_{r}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in I_{r}\right\}$, where $r \in(0,1]$. From Definition 2.16, $(E, \psi)$ is a closed $G-V$ IFM. The tree structure $T$ is shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2. The tree structure of Example 5.3.

From Figure 2, there are three trees and five leaves in $T$. By Remark 1, there are five IFBs of $(E, \psi)$, which are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mu_{\alpha_{1}}(x), \pi_{\alpha_{1}}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1,0), x=a, \\
\left(\frac{1}{3}, 0\right), x=b, \\
(0,0), x=c .
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(\mu_{\alpha_{2}}(x), \pi_{\alpha_{2}}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{1}{3}, 0\right), x=a, \\
(1,0), x=b, \\
(0,0), x=c .
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(\mu_{\alpha_{3}}(x), \pi_{\alpha_{3}}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1,0), x=a, \\
(0,0), x=b, \\
\left(\frac{1}{3}, 0\right), x=c .
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(\mu_{\alpha_{4}}(x), \pi_{\alpha_{4}}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(0,0), x=a, \\
(1,0), x=b, \\
\left(\frac{1}{5}, 0\right), x=c .
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(\mu_{\alpha_{5}}(x), \pi_{\alpha_{5}}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(0,0), x=a, \\
\left(\frac{1}{5}, 0\right), x=b, \\
\left(\frac{1}{3}, 0\right), x=c .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the values of the similarity function $h$ for the five IFBs are below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\mu_{\alpha_{1}}(x), \pi_{\alpha_{1}}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, x=a, \\
\frac{1}{3}, x=b, \\
0, x=c .
\end{array}\right. \\
& h\left(\mu_{\alpha_{2}}(x), \pi_{\alpha_{2}}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{3}, x=a, \\
1, x=b, \\
0, x=c .
\end{array}\right. \\
& h\left(\mu_{\alpha_{3}}(x), \pi_{\alpha_{3}}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, x=a, \\
0, x=b, \\
\frac{1}{3}, x=c .
\end{array}\right. \\
& h\left(\mu_{\alpha_{4}}(x), \pi_{\alpha_{4}}(x)\right)= \begin{cases}0, x=a, \\
1, x=b, \\
\frac{1}{5}, x=c .\end{cases} \\
& h\left(\mu_{\alpha_{5}}(x), \pi_{\alpha_{5}}(x)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, x=a, \\
\frac{1}{5}, x=b, \\
\frac{1}{3}, x=c .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we discuss the properties of $T$ for $(E, \psi)$.
Theorem 15. Let $(E, \psi)$ be a closed $G-V$ IFM on $E, 0=r_{0}<r_{1}<\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ be the fundamental sequence and $M_{r_{1}} \supset M_{r_{2}} \supset \cdots \supset M_{r_{n}}$ (where $\left.M_{r_{i}}=\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n)\right)$ be the induced matroid sequence. Let $T$ be the tree structure of $(E, \psi)$. Then each basis $B_{i}^{k_{i}}$ of the induced matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)\left(i=1,2, \cdots, n . k_{i}\right.$ is a positive integer) is in $r_{i}$ level of $T$.

Proof. For any $i(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$, if $i=1$, since each basis $B_{1}^{k_{1}}$ of matriod $M_{r_{1}}=\left(E, I_{r_{1}}\right)$ is the root of each tree in $T, B_{1}^{k_{1}}$ is in $r_{1}$ level.

If $i \neq 1(i=2,3, \cdots, n)$, for any basis $B_{i}^{k_{i}}$ of matroid $M_{r_{i}}=\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)-\operatorname{since}\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right) \subset\left(E, I_{r_{i-1}}\right)$, it follows that $B_{i}^{k_{i}} \in I_{r_{i-1}}$-then there exists a basis $B_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}}$ of $\left(E, I_{r_{i-1}}\right)$ such that $B_{i}^{k_{i}} \subseteq B_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}}$. Obviously, $B_{i}^{k_{i}}$ is a maximal subset of $B_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}}$ in $I_{r_{i}}$. It implies that $B_{i}^{k_{i}}$ is in $r_{i}$ level of $T$.

Note that the converse of Theorem 15 does not hold. In Example 1, $\{a, b\},\{a, c\}$ are both the bases of matroid $\left(E, I_{1 / 3}\right)$ in the second level, but $\{b\},\{c\}$ are not the bases.

Theorem 16. Let $(E, \psi)$ be a closed $G-V$ IFM on $E, 0=r_{0}<r_{1}<\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ be the fundamental sequence and $M_{r_{1}} \supset M_{r_{2}} \supset \cdots \supset M_{r_{n}}$ (where $\left.M_{r_{i}}=\left(E, I_{r_{r}}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n)\right)$ be the induced matroid sequence. Let $T$ be the tree structure of $(E, \psi)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{B}_{i}$ is the collection of the sets in $r_{i}$ level of $T$, where $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Let $J_{r_{i}}=\left\{X \mid X \subseteq B, B \in \mathbf{B}_{i}\right\}$. Then $J_{r_{i}}=I_{r_{i}}$.

Proof. For any $Y \in I_{r_{i}}$, by the hypothesis, there is a basis $B$ of matroid $\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)$ such that $Y \subseteq B$. By Theorem 15, all bases of $\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)$ are in $r_{i}$ level $T$, where $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Then $B \in \mathbf{B}_{i}$. It implies that $Y \in\left\{X \mid X \subseteq B, B \in \mathbf{B}_{i}\right\}=J_{r_{i}}$. Thus, $I_{r_{i}} \subseteq J_{r_{i}}$.

On the other hand, for any $Y \in J_{r_{i}}$, there exists a set $B \in \mathbf{B}_{i}$ in $r_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$ level of $T$ such that $Y \subseteq B$. By Theorem 13, $B \in I_{r_{i}}, Y \in I_{r_{i}}$. That implies that $J_{r_{i}} \subseteq I_{r_{i}}$.

Therefore, $J_{r_{i}}=I_{r_{i}}$.
Remark 2. Let $(E, \psi)$ be a closed $G-V$ IFM on $E$ and $T$ be its tree structure. Suppose that $\mathbf{B}_{i}$ is the collection of the maximal subsets in $r_{i}$ level of $T$. Then the bases of $M_{r_{i}}=\left(E, I_{r_{i}}\right)(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$ belong to $\mathbf{B}_{i}$.

Theorem 17. Let $(E, \psi)$ be a closed $G-V$ IFM on $E$ and $T$ be its tree structure. Suppose that the sequence $B_{1}, B_{2}, \cdots, B_{n}\left(B_{i}\right.$ is in $i-$ th level) of $T$ satisfying $B_{n} \neq \varnothing$ and $B_{1} \supset B_{2} \supset \cdots \supset B_{n}$. For any $x \in B_{n}$, let $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{IFS}(E)$ and $k_{n}=h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)$ and for any $x \in B_{i} \backslash B_{i+1}(i=1,2, \cdots, n-1)$, let $k_{i}=$ $h\left(\mu_{\alpha}(x), \pi_{\alpha}(x)\right)$. Then $0=k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots, k_{n}$ is the fundamental sequence of $(E, \psi)$.

Proof. Let $0=r_{0}<r_{1}<r_{2}<\cdots<r_{n} \leq 1$ be the fundamental sequence of $(E, \psi)$. By the hypothesis and Theorem $13,\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)$ is a fuzzy basis of $(E, \psi)$. Thus $R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{n}\right\}$. Suppose that a sequence $B_{1}, B_{2}, \cdots, B_{n}$ satisfies $B_{n} \neq \varnothing$ and $B_{1} \supset B_{2} \supset \cdots \supset B_{n}$. It follows that $B_{i} \backslash B_{i+1} \neq \varnothing(i=1,2, \cdots, n-1)$. Then $k_{i}=h\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right) \neq 0$ for any $i(i=1,2, \cdots, n-1)$ and $R^{+}\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \pi_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots, k_{n}\right\}$. Thus $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots, k_{n}\right\} \subseteq\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{n}\right\}$. That implies that $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots, k_{n}\right\}=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{n}\right\}$.

Therefore, $k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots, k_{n}$ is the fundamental sequence of $(E, \psi)$.

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, the IFB of $G-V$ IFMs was defined by using the related concept of $G-V$ fuzzy matroids. Some conclusions of $G-V$ fuzzy matroids have been extended to $G-V I F M s$. Especially, the judgement of an IFB was presented and proven, and the tree structure of closed $G-V I F M s$ and its properties were discussed. We will discuss another important concept and its properties of $G-V$ IFMs-intuitionistic fuzzy circuits in a subsequent article.
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## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

| $G-V$ fuzzy matroid or $G-V F M$ | Fuzzy matroid proposed by Goetschel and Voxman |
| :--- | :--- |
| IFM | Intuitionistic fuzzy matroid |
| IFB | Intuitionistic fuzzy basis |
| FS | Fuzzy set |
| IFS | Intuitionistic fuzzy set |
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