



Article

On Multipolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy B-Algebras

Rajab Ali Borzooei ¹, Hee Sik Kim ², Young Bae Jun ^{1,3} and Sun Shin Ahn ^{4,*}

- Department of Mathematics, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran 983963113, Iran; borzooei@sbu.ac.ir (R.A.B.); skywine@gmail.com (Y.B.J.)
- Department of Mathematics, Research Institute of Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea; heekim@hanyang.ac.kr
- Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea
- Department of Mathematics Education, Dongguk University, Seoul 04620, Korea
- * Correspondence: sunshine@dongguk.edu

Received: 23 April 2020; Accepted: 29 May 2020; Published: 3 June 2020



Abstract: In this paper, we discuss the notion of an *m*-polar fuzzy (normal) subalgebra in *B*-algebras and its related properties. We consider characterizations of an *m*-polar fuzzy (normal) subalgebra. We define the concept of an *m*-polar intuitionistic fuzzy (normal) subalgebra in a *B*-algebra, and we characterize the *m*-polar intuitionistic fuzzy (normal) subalgebra. Given an *m*-polar fuzzy set, we construct a simple *m*-polar fuzzy set and discuss on *m*-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebras of *B*-algebras.

Keywords: (simple) *m*-polar fuzzy set (subalgebra); *m*-polar fuzzy normal (subalgebra); *m*-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set (subalgebra); *m*-polar fuzzy normal (subalgebra); *B*-algebra

MSC: 06F35; 03G25; 08A72

1. Introduction

Neggers and Kim [1] introduced the notion of a *B*-algebra, and investigated several properties. They established the fundamental homomorphism theorem of *B*-algebras in [2]. Jun et al. [3] defined the notion of fuzzy *B*-algebra. Kim et al. [4] constructed a quotient *B*-algebra by using a fuzzy normal *B*-algebra. Cho and Kim [1,5] discussed *B*-algebras related to quasigroups.

Fuzzy sets, which were introduced by Zadeh [6] introduced the notion of a fuzzy set, and as an extension of fuzzy set, Zhang [7] introduced the notion of bipolar fuzzy sets. Bipolar fuzzy information was applied to many (algebraic) structures, e.g., Γ -semihypergroups (see [8]) and (ordered) semigroups (see [9–12]).

Chen et al. [13] introduced an m-polar fuzzy set which is an extension of bipolar fuzzy set. It has been applied to decision making problem (see [14]) and graph theory (see [15]). Takallo et al. [16] introduced the notion of multipolar fuzzy p-ideals of BCI-algebras, and discussed on several kinds of fuzzy p-ideals. Al-Masarwah and Ahmad [17] introduced the notion of m-polar (α , β)-fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras which is a generalization of fuzzy ideals discussed on BCK/BCI-algebras. Kang et al. [18] studied on k-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebras and a (closed) k-polar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal in BCK/BCI-algebras.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of an *m*-polar fuzzy (normal) subalgebra in *B*-algebras, and we characterize *m*-polar fuzzy (normal) subalgebras of *B*-algebras. The concept of an *m*-polar intuitionistic fuzzy (normal) subalgebra in a *B*-algebra will be discussed. Given a *m*-polar fuzzy set, we construct a simple *m*-polar fuzzy set and obtain some properties on *m*-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebras of *B*-algebras.

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 2 of 12

2. Preliminaries

A non-empty set U with a constant 0 is said to be a B-algebra [1] if there exists a binary operation "*" such that

- (B1) x * x = 0,
- (B2) x * 0 = x,
- (B) (x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))

for any x, y, z in U. We define a binary relation " \leq " on U by $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0.

Proposition 1 ([1,5]). *If* (U, *, 0) *is a B-algebra, then*

- (i) x * y = x * z implies y = z,
- (ii) *if* x * y = 0, then x = y,
- (iii) *if* 0 * x = 0 * y, then x = y,
- (iv) 0*(0*x) = x,
- (v) x * (y * z) = (x * (0 * z)) * y

for all $x, y, z \in U$.

Let *U* be a *B*-algebra. A non-empty subset *S* of *U* is said to be a *subalgebra* of *U* if $x * y \in S$ for any $x, y \in S$. A non-empty subset *N* of *U* is said to be a *normal* if $(x * a) * (y * b) \in N$ for any $x * y, a * b \in N$. It is known that any normal subset *N* of a *B*-algebra *U* is a subalgebra of *U*, but the converse need not be true in general [2].

By an *m-polar fuzzy set* on a set U (see [13]), we mean a function $\hat{\ell}: U \to [0,1]^m$. The membership value of every element $x \in U$ is denoted by

$$\hat{\ell}(x) = \left((\pi_1 \circ \hat{\ell})(x), (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(x), \cdots, (\pi_m \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \right),$$

where $\pi_i : [0,1]^m \to [0,1]$ is the *i*-th projection for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Given an *m*-polar fuzzy set on a set *U*, we consider the sets

$$U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t}) := \{x \in U \mid \hat{\ell}(x) \ge \hat{t}\}, \text{ and } L(\hat{\ell};\hat{r}) := \{x \in U \mid \hat{\ell}(x) \le \hat{r}\}$$

where $\hat{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m), \hat{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m) \in [0, 1]^m$, that is,

$$U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t}) := \{ x \in U \mid (\pi_1 \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \ge t_1, (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \ge t_2, \cdots, (\pi_m \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \ge t_m \}, \text{ and } L(\hat{\ell};\hat{r}) := \{ x \in U \mid (\pi_1 \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \le r_1, (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \le r_2, \cdots, (\pi_m \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \le r_m \},$$

which are called an *m-polar upper(resp., lower) set* of $\hat{\ell}$.

3. m-Polar Fuzzy (Normal) Subalgebras

Let *U* be a *B*-algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 1. An m-polar fuzzy set $\hat{\ell}$ on U is called an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U if it satisfies

$$(\forall x, y \in U)(\hat{\ell}(x * y) \ge \inf{\{\hat{\ell}(x), \hat{\ell}(y)\}}),\tag{1}$$

that is,
$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x * y) \ge \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y)\},$$
 (2)

for all $x, y \in U$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 3 of 12

Example 1. Let $U := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set B-algebra with the following Table 1.

Tab	le 1. Cayley	table for tl	ne binary o _l	binary operation "*".			
*	Λ	1	2	3			

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	2	1	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	1	2	0

Then (U, *, 0) is a B-algebra [2]. If $\hat{\ell}$ is a 5-polar fuzzy set on U defined by

$$\hat{\ell}: U \to [0,1]^5, \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} (0.7, 0.6, 0.8, 0.6, 0.9) & \text{if } x \in \{0,3\}, \\ (0.3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.2, 0.5) & \text{if } x \in \{1,2\}, \end{cases}$$

then it is easy to see that $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is a 5-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U.

Theorem 1. Given an m-polar fuzzy set $\hat{\ell}$ over U, the followings are equivalent:

- (i) $\hat{\ell}$ is an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U,
- (ii) The m-polar upper level set $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ of $\hat{\ell}$ is a subalgebra of U for all $\hat{t} \in [0,1]^m$ with $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t}) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\hat{\ell}$ be an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U. If $x, y \in U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ for every $\hat{t} \in [0, 1]^m$ with $U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t}) \neq \emptyset$, then $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \geq t_i$ and $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y) \geq t_i$. It follows from (2) that

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x * y) \ge \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y)\} \ge t_i$$

for all $x, y \in U$ and $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. This shows that $x * y \in U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$. Therefore $U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ is a subalgebra of U.

Conversely, assume that (ii) is true. Let $a,b \in U$ such that $\hat{\ell}(a*b) < \inf\{\hat{\ell}(a),\hat{\ell}(b)\}$. If we take $\hat{t} := \inf\{\hat{\ell}(a),\hat{\ell}(b)\}$, then $a,b \in U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$. Since $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ is a subalgebra of U, we have $a*b \in U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$. It shows that $\hat{\ell}(a*b) \geq \hat{t} = \inf\{\hat{\ell}(a),\hat{\ell}(b)\}$, a contradiction. Thus $\hat{\ell}(x*y) \geq \inf\{\hat{\ell}(x),\hat{\ell}(y)\}$ for all $x,y \in U$, i.e., $\hat{\ell}$ is an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U. \square

For any *m*-polar fuzzy set $\hat{\ell}$ over *U*, we define a fuzzy set $\hat{\ell}^*$ of *U* by

$$\hat{\ell}^*: U \to [0,1]^m, \ x \mapsto \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \hat{\ell}(x) & \mbox{if } x \in U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t}), \\ \hat{0} & \mbox{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

where $\hat{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in [0, 1]^m$. We call $\hat{\ell}^*$ a simple m-polar fuzzy set of U.

Theorem 2. Let $\hat{\ell}$ be an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U. Then the simple m-polar fuzzy set $\hat{\ell}^*$ of U is also an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U.

Proof. Let $\hat{\ell}$ be an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U. Then an m-polar upper level set $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ of $\hat{\ell}$ is a subalgebra of U for any $\hat{t} \in [0,1]^m$ with $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t}) \neq \emptyset$, by Theorem 1. Given $x,y \in U$, if $x,y \in U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$, then $x*y \in U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$. Thus

$$\hat{\ell}^*(x * y) = \hat{\ell}(x * y) \ge \inf{\{\hat{\ell}(x), \hat{\ell}(y)\}} = \inf{\{\hat{\ell}^*(x), \hat{\ell}^*(y)\}}.$$

If $x \notin U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ or $y \notin U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$, then $\hat{\ell}^*(x) = \hat{0}$ or $\hat{\ell}^*(y) = \hat{0}$. It follows that $\hat{\ell}^*(x*y) \ge \inf\{\hat{\ell}^*(x),\hat{\ell}^*(y)\}$. This shows that $\hat{\ell}^*$ is an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U. \square

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 4 of 12

Definition 2. An m-polar fuzzy set $\hat{\ell}$ on U is said to be an m-polar fuzzy normal over U if it satisfies

$$(\forall x, y, a, b \in U)(\hat{\ell}((x*a)*(y*b)) \ge \inf\{\hat{\ell}(x*y), \hat{\ell}(a*b)\}),\tag{3}$$

i.e.
$$,(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})((x*a)*(y*b)) \ge \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x*y),(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(a*b)\},$$
 (4)

for all $x,y,a,b \in U$ and $i=1,\cdots,m$. An m-polar fuzzy set $\hat{\ell}$ on U is called an m-polar fuzzy normal subalgebra of U if it satisfies (1) and (3).

Proposition 2. Every m-polar fuzzy normal over U is an m-polar fuzzy normal subalgebra of U.

Proof. Setting y := 0, b := 0 and a := y in (4), we have $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})((x * y) * (0 * 0)) \ge \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x * 0), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y * 0)\}$ for all $x, y \in U$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$. Using (B2) and (B1), we get $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x * y) \ge \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in U$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $\hat{\ell}$ is an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U. Therefore $\hat{\ell}$ is an m-polar fuzzy normal subalgebra of U.

The converse of Proposition 2 may not be true in general (see the following example).

Example 2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be a set with the following Table 2.

Table 2. Cayley table for the binary operation "*".

Then (U, *, 0) is a B-algebra [2]. Let $\hat{\ell}$ be a 5-polar fuzzy set on U defined by

$$\hat{\ell}: U \to [0,1]^5, \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (0.7, 0.6, 0.8, 0.6, 0.9) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ (0.4, 0.5, 0.7, 0.4, 0.6) & \text{if } x = 5, \\ (0.3, 0.3, 0.6, 0.2, 0.5) & \text{if } x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}. \end{array} \right.$$

It is easy to see that $\hat{\ell}$ is a 5-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U, but not a 5-polar fuzzy normal over U, since $0.3 = (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(1) = (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})((1*3)*(4*2)) \ngeq \inf\{(\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(1*4), (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(3*2)\} = \inf\{(\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(5), (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(5)\} = \inf\{0.5, 0.5\} = 0.5.$

Let $\hat{\ell}_U$ and $\hat{\ell}_V$ be m-polar fuzzy sets over B-algebras U and V, respectively. The $\hat{\ell}_{\wedge}$ -product of $\hat{\ell}_U$ and $\hat{\ell}_V$ is defined to be an m-polar fuzzy set $\hat{\ell}_{U \wedge V}$ on $U \times V$ in which

$$\hat{\ell}_{U \wedge V}: U \times V \to [0,1]^m$$
, $(x,y) \mapsto \min{\{\hat{\ell}_U(x), \hat{\ell}_V(y)\}}$ for any $(x,y) \in U \times V$,

that is,

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell}_{II \wedge V})(x, y) = \min\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell}_{II})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell}_{V})(y)\},\$$

for any $(x, y) \in U \times V$ and $i = 1, \dots m$.

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 5 of 12

Theorem 3. For any B-algebras U and V, let $\hat{\ell}_U$ and $\hat{\ell}_V$ be m-polar fuzzy subalgebras of U and V, respectively. Then the $\hat{\ell}_{\Lambda}$ -product $\hat{\ell}_{U\Lambda V}$ of $\hat{\ell}_U$ and $\hat{\ell}_V$ is also an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of $U \times V$.

Proof. Since $(U \times V, \circledast, (0,0))$ is a *B*-algebra, for any $(x,y), (a,b) \in U \times V$, we have

$$\begin{split} \hat{\ell}_{U \wedge V}((x,y) \circledast (a,b)) &= \hat{\ell}_{U \wedge V}(x*a,y*b) \\ &= \min\{\hat{\ell}_{U}(x*a), \hat{\ell}_{V}(y*b)\} \geq \min\{\inf\{\hat{\ell}_{U}(x), \hat{\ell}_{U}(a)\}, \inf\{\hat{\ell}_{V}(y), \hat{\ell}_{V}(b)\}\} \\ &= \inf\{\min\{\hat{\ell}_{U}(x), \hat{\ell}_{V}(y)\}, \min\{\hat{\ell}_{U}(a), \hat{\ell}_{V}(b)\}\} \\ &= \inf\{\hat{\ell}_{U \wedge V}(x,y), \hat{\ell}_{U \wedge V}(a,b)\} \end{split}$$

This shows that $\hat{\ell}_{U \wedge V}$ is an *m*-polar fuzzy subalgebra of $U \times V$. \square

Example 3. Suppose that $U := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a B-algebra discussed in Example 1. Let $V := \{0, 4, 5, 6\}$ be a set with a binary operation "*" as in Table 3.

*	0	4	5	6
0	0	6	5	4
4	4	0	6	5
5	5	1	0	6
6	6	5	4	0

Table 3. Cayley table for the binary operation "*".

Then it is easy to see that (V, *, 0) is a B-algebra, and $U \times V = \{(0,0), (0,4), (0,5), (0,6), (1,0), (1,4), (1,5), (1,6), (2,0), (1,4), (2,5), (2,6), (3,0), (3,4), (3,5), (3,6)\}$ is also a B-algebra. Define 5-polar fuzzy sets $\hat{\ell}_U$ and $\hat{\ell}_V$ on U and V, respectively, as follows:

$$\hat{\ell}_U: U \to [0,1]^5, \ y \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (0.7, 0.6, 0.8, 0.6, 0.9) & \text{if } x \in \{0,3\} \\ (0.2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.1, 0.3) & \text{if } x \in \{1,2\}, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\hat{\ell}_V: V \to [0,1]^5, \ y \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (0.8, 0.7, 0.8, 0.7, 0.8) & \text{if } x \in \{0,5\} \\ (0.3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.2, 0.5) & \text{if } x \in \{4,6\}, \end{array} \right.$$

Then $\hat{\ell}_U$ and $\hat{\ell}_V$ are 5-polar fuzzy subalgebras of U and V, respectively. Moreover, the $\hat{\ell}_{\wedge}$ -product $\hat{\ell}_{U \wedge V}$ of $\hat{\ell}_U$ and $\hat{\ell}_V$ is a 5-polar fuzzy subalgebra of $U \times V$.

4. m-Polar Intuitionistic Fuzzy (Normal) Subalgebras

Definition 3. A multipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set with finite degree m (briefly, m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set) over U is a mapping

$$(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m}): U \to [0, 1]^m \times [0, 1]^m, x \mapsto (\hat{\ell}(x), \hat{m}(x))$$

where $\hat{\ell}: U \to [0,1]^m$ and $\hat{m}: U \to [0,1]^m$ are m-polar fuzzy sets over a universe set U satisfying the condition:

$$\hat{\ell}(x) + \hat{m}(x) < 1$$

for all $x \in U$, i.e.,

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x) + (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x) \le 1$$

for all $x \in U$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 6 of 12

Given an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ over a universe set U, we consider the following two sets:

$$U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t}) := \{x \in U \mid \hat{\ell}(x) \ge \hat{t}\} \text{ and } L(\hat{n};\hat{t}) := \{x \in U \mid \hat{\ell}(x) \le \hat{r}\}$$

where $\hat{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m), \hat{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m) \in [0, 1]^m$, that is,

$$U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t}) := \{ x \in U \mid (\pi_1 \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \ge t_1, (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \ge t_2, \cdots, (\pi_m \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \ge t_m \}, \text{ and } L(\hat{m};\hat{\tau}) := \{ x \in U \mid (\pi_1 \circ \hat{m})(x) \le r_1, (\pi_2 \circ \hat{m})(x) \le r_2, \cdots, (\pi_m \circ \hat{m})(x) \le r_m \},$$

which are called an *m-polar upper (resp. lower) set* of $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$. It is clear that $U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})^i$ and $L(\hat{m}; \hat{r}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})^i$ where $U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})^i = \{x \in U | (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \ge t_i\}$ and $L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})^i = \{x \in U | (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x) \le t_i\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Definition 4. An m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ over U is said to be an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U if it satisfies

$$(\forall x, y \in U) \left(\hat{\ell}(x * y) \ge \inf\{\hat{\ell}(x), \hat{\ell}(y)\}, \, \hat{m}(x * y) \le \sup\{\hat{m}(x), \hat{m}(y)\} \right), \tag{5}$$

that is,

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x * y) \ge \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y)\}, (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x * y) \le \sup\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(y)\}$$
(6)

for all $x, y \in U$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Proposition 3. Every m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ of U satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\forall x \in U)(\hat{\ell}(0) \ge \hat{\ell}(x), \ \hat{m}(0) \le \hat{m}(x)). \tag{7}$$

Proof. It can be easily proved if we put y := x in (5). \square

Example 4. Let $U = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a B-algebra as in Example 1. Let $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ be a 5-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set on U defined by

$$\begin{split} (\hat{\ell}, \hat{m}) : U \to [0, 1]^5 \times [0, 1]^5, \\ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} ((0.6, 0.3), (0.67, 0.25), (0.7, 0.15), (0.63, 0.2), (0.8, 0.18)) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ ((0.4, 0.5), (0.5, 0.4), (0.6, 0.3), (0.3, 0.4), (0.7, 0.29)) & \text{if } x = 3, \\ ((0.3, 0.6), (0.4, 0.5), (0.5, 0.4), (0.2, 0.7), (0.4, 0.5)) & \text{if } x \in \{1, 2\}. \end{array} \right.$$

Then we can see that $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is a 5-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U.

Proposition 4. Given an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ of U, the followings are equivalent:

- (i) $(\forall x \in U) \left(\hat{\ell}(x) = \hat{\ell}(0), \ \hat{m}(x) = \hat{m}(0)\right)$,
- (ii) $(\forall x, y \in U) (\hat{\ell}(y) \le \hat{\ell}(x * y), \hat{m}(y) \ge \hat{m}(x * y)),$

that is, $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y) \leq (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x*y)$, $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(y) \geq (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x*y)$ for all $x,y \in U$ and $i=1,\cdots,m$.

Proof. Assume that $\hat{\ell}(x) = \hat{\ell}(0)$ and $\hat{m}(x) = \hat{m}(0)$ for any $x \in U$. Using (7) and (6), we have

$$(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y) = \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(0), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y)\} = \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y)\} \le (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x * y) \text{ and } (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(y) = \sup\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(0), (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(y)\} = \sup\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(y)\} \ge (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x * y)$$

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 7 of 12

for all $x, y \in U$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Conversely, assume that (ii) is valid. Taking y:=0 in (ii), and by using (B2), we obtain $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(0) \leq (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x*0) = (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x)$ and $\hat{m}(0) \geq \hat{m}(x*0) = \hat{m}(x)$. It follows from (7) that $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x) = (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(0)$ and $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x) = (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(0)$ for all $i=1,\cdots,m$, i.e., $\hat{\ell}(x) = \hat{\ell}(0)$ and $\hat{m}(x) = \hat{0}$. This completes the proof. \square

Given an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ over U and $(\hat{t}, \hat{r}) \in (0, 1]^m \times [0, 1)^m$, we consider the sets $P_{(\hat{\ell}, \hat{t})}(U) := \{x \in U | \hat{l}(x) + \hat{t} > 1\}$ and $P_{(\hat{m}, \hat{r})}(U) := \{x \in U | \hat{m}(x) + \hat{r} < 1\}$. Then $P_{(\hat{\ell}, \hat{t})}(U) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{(\hat{\ell}, \hat{t})}(U)^i$ and $P_{(\hat{m}, \hat{r})}(U) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{(\hat{m}, \hat{r})}(U)^i$, where $P_{(\hat{\ell}, \hat{t})}(U)^i := \{x \in U | (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x) + t_i > 1\}$ and $P_{(\hat{m}, \hat{r})}(U)^i := \{x \in U | (\pi_i \circ \hat{m}(x) + r_i < 1\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Theorem 4. Let $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ be an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set over U. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (i) $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intutionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U,
- (ii) The sets $P_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{t})}(U)$ and $P_{(\hat{m},\hat{r})}(U)$ are subalgebras of U for all $(\hat{t},\hat{r}) \in (0,1]^m \times [0,1)^m$ with $P_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{t})}(U) \neq \emptyset \neq P_{(\hat{m},\hat{r})}(U)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in P_{(\hat{\ell}, \hat{t})}(U) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} P_{(\hat{\ell}, \hat{t})}(U)^{i}$ and let $a, b \in P_{(\hat{m}, \hat{r})}(U) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} P_{(\hat{m}, \hat{r})}(U)^{i}$. Then $(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(x) + t_{i} > 1$, $(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(y) + t_{i} > 1$, $(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(a) + r_{i} < 1$ and $(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(b) + r_{i} < 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. It follows from (6) that

$$(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(x * y) + t_{i} \ge \inf\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(x), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(y)\} + t_{i}$$

$$= \inf\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(x) + t_{i}, (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(y) + t_{i}\} > 1, \text{ and }$$

$$(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(a * b) + r_{i} \le \sup\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(a), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(b)\} + r_{i}$$

$$= \sup\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(a) + r_{i}, (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(b) + r_{i}\} < 1$$

for all $i=1,\cdots,m$. Hence $x*y\in P_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{t})}(U)=\cap_{i=1}^m P_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{t})}(U)^i$ and $a*b\in P_{(\hat{m},\hat{r})}(U)=\cap_{i=1}^m P_{(\hat{m},\hat{r})}(U)^i$. Therefore $P_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{t})}(U)$ and $P_{(\hat{m},\hat{r})}(U)$ are subalgebras of U for all $(\hat{t},\hat{r})\in (0,1]^m\times [0,1)^m$ with $P_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{t})}(U)\neq\emptyset\neq P_{(\hat{m},\hat{r})}(U)$.

Conversely, let $a,b \in U$ be such that $\hat{\ell}(a*b) < \inf\{\hat{\ell}(a),\hat{\ell}(b)\}$. Then $\hat{\ell}(a*b)+\hat{t} \leq 1 < \inf\{\hat{\ell}(a),\hat{\ell}(b)\}+\hat{t}$ for some $\hat{t} \in (0,1]^m$. It follows that $a,b \in P_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{t})}(U)$, which implies $a*b \in P_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{t})}(U)$, since $P_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{t})}(U)$ is a subalgebra of U. Hence $\hat{\ell}(a*b)+\hat{t}>\hat{1}$, which is a contradiction. If $\hat{m}(c*d)>\sup\{\hat{m}(c),\hat{m}(d)\}$ for some $c,d \in U$, then $\hat{m}(c*d)+\hat{r}\geq \hat{1}>\sup\{\hat{m}(c),\hat{m}(d)\}+\hat{r}$ for some $\hat{r}\in [0,1)^m$. Hence $c,d\in P_{(\hat{m},\hat{r})}$. Since $P_{(\hat{m},\hat{r})}$ is a subalgebra of U, we obtain $c*d\in P_{(\hat{m},\hat{r})}$, i.e., $\hat{m}(c*d)+\hat{r}<\hat{1}$. This is a contradiction. This shows that $(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U. \square

Theorem 5. An m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ over U is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U if and only if $\hat{\ell}$ and \hat{m}^c are m-polar fuzzy subalgebras of U, where $\hat{m}^c = 1 - \hat{m}$, i.e., $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})^c = 1 - (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Assume that $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U. Then $\hat{\ell}$ is an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U. If $x, y \in U$, then

$$\begin{split} (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})^{c}(x * y) &= 1 - (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(x * y) \\ &\geq 1 - \sup\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(x), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(y)\} \\ &= \inf\{1 - (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(x), 1 - (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(y)\} \\ &= \inf\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})^{c}(x), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})^{c}(y)\}, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, m. \end{split}$$

Thus \hat{m}^c is an *m*-polar fuzzy subalgebra of *U*.

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 8 of 12

Conversely, assume that $\hat{\ell}$ and \hat{m}^c are m-polar fuzzy subalgebras of U. For any $a,b\in U$, we have

$$1 - (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(a * b) = (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})^{c}(a * b)$$

$$\geq \inf\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})^{c}(a), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})^{c}(b)\}$$

$$= \inf\{1 - (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(a), 1 - (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})^{c}(b)\}$$

$$= 1 - \sup\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(a), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(b)\},$$

that is, $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(a * b) \leq \sup\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(a), (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(b)\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U. \square

Corollary 1. Let $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ be an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set over U. Then $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U if and only if the necessary operator $\Box(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m}) = (\hat{\ell}, \hat{\ell}^c)$ and the possibility operator $\diamondsuit(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m}) = (\hat{m}^c, \hat{m})$ are m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebras of U.

Theorem 6. Let I be a subset of U and let $(\hat{\ell}_I, \hat{m}_I)$ be an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set on U defined by

$$\hat{\ell}_I: U \to [0,1]^m, \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \hat{1} & \text{if } x \in I \\ \hat{0} & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$
 $\hat{m}_I: U \to [0,1]^m, \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \hat{0} & \text{if } x \in I \\ \hat{1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$

Then $(\hat{\ell}_I, \hat{m}_I)$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U if and only if I is a subalgebra of U.

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Theorem 7. *If* $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ *is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set over U, then the followings are equivalent:*

- (i) $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U,
- (ii) the m-polar upper and lower level sets $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ and $L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$ of $(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})$ are subalgebras of U for all $(\hat{t},\hat{r}) \in [0,1]^m \times [0,1]^m$ with $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t}) \neq \emptyset \neq L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$.

Proof. Assume $(\hat{\ell}; \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U. Let $x, y, a, b \in U$ be such that $x, y \in U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ and $a, b \in L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$ for all $(\hat{t}, \hat{r}) \in [0, 1]^m \times [0, 1]^m$. Then $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x) \geq t_i, (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y) \geq t_i, (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(a) \leq r_i$ and $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(b) \leq r_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. It follows from (6) that $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x * y) \geq \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y)\} \geq t_i$ and $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(a * b) \leq \sup\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(a), (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(b)\} \leq r_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $x * y \in U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ and $a * b \in L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$. Therefore $U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ and $L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$ are subalgebras of U.

Conversely, suppose that (ii) is valid. Let $a,b \in X$ be such that $\hat{\ell}(a*b) < \inf\{\hat{\ell}(a),\hat{\ell}(b)\}$ or $\hat{m}(a*b) > \sup\{\hat{m}(a),\hat{m}(b)\}$. We take $\hat{t} := \inf\{\hat{\ell}(a),\hat{\ell}(b)\}$ and $\hat{r} := \sup\{\hat{m}(a),\hat{m}(b)\}$. Then $a,b \in U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ or $a,b \in L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$. Since $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ and $L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$ are subalgebras of U, we obtain that either $a*b \in U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ or $a*b \in L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$. Hence either $\hat{\ell}(a*b) \geq \hat{t} = \inf\{\hat{\ell}(a),\hat{\ell}(b)\}$ or $\hat{m}(a*b) \leq \hat{r} = \sup\{\hat{m}(a),\hat{m}(b)\}$, which lead to a contradiction. Thus $\hat{\ell}(x*y) \geq \inf\{\hat{\ell}(x),\hat{\ell}(y)\}$ and $\hat{m}(x*y) \leq \sup\{\hat{m}(x),\hat{m}(y)\}$ for all $x,y \in U$. Therefore $(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U. \square

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 9 of 12

Given an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ over U, we define an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}^*, \hat{m}^*)$ over U by

$$\begin{split} \hat{\ell}^*: U \to [0,1]^m, \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \hat{1} & \text{if } x \in U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t}), \\ \hat{0} & \text{otherwise}, \end{array} \right. \\ \hat{m^*}: U \to [0,1]^m, \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \hat{0} & \text{if } x \in L(\hat{m}; \hat{r}), \\ \hat{1} & \text{otherwise}, \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

where $\hat{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\hat{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in [0, 1]^m$.

Theorem 8. If $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of a B-algebra U, then so is $(\hat{\ell}^*, \hat{m}^*)$.

Proof. If $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U, then m-polar upper and lower level sets $U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ and $L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$ of $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ are subalgebras of U for all $\hat{t}, \hat{r} \in [0, 1]^m$ with $U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t}) \neq \emptyset \neq L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$ by applying Theorem 7. Let $x, y \in X$. If $x, y \in U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$, then $x * y \in U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$. Thus

$$\hat{\ell}^*(x * y) = \hat{1} \ge \inf{\{\hat{\ell}(x), \hat{\ell}(y)\}} = \inf{\{\hat{\ell}^*(x), \hat{\ell}^*(y)\}}.$$

If $x \notin U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ or $y \notin U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$, then $\hat{\ell}^*(x) = \hat{0}$ or $\hat{\ell}^*(y) = \hat{0}$. Hence $\hat{\ell}^*(x * y) \ge \inf\{\hat{\ell}^*(x), \hat{\ell}^*(y)\}$. Now, if $x, y \in L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$, then $x * y \in L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$. Thus

$$\hat{m}^*(x*y) = \hat{0} \le \sup{\{\hat{m}(x), \hat{m}(y)\}} = \sup{\{\hat{m}^*(x), \hat{m}^*(y)\}}.$$

If $x \notin L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$ or $y \notin L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$, then $\hat{m}^*(x) = \hat{1}$ or $\hat{m}^*(y) = \hat{1}$. Hence we have

$$\hat{m}^*(x * y) \le \hat{1} = \sup{\{\hat{m}^*(x), \hat{m}^*(y)\}}.$$

Therefore $(\hat{\ell}^*, \hat{m^*})$ is an m-polar intutionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U. Also $\hat{\ell}^*(x) + \hat{m}^*(x) \leq \hat{1}$ for all $x \in U$, i.e., $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell}^*)(x) + (\pi_i \circ \hat{m}^*)(x) \leq \hat{1}$ for all $x \in U$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$. We proved the theorem. \square

Definition 5. An *m*-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ over U is said to be an *m*-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal over U if it satisfies: for any $x, y, a, b \in U$,

$$\hat{\ell}((x*a)*(y*b)) \ge \inf\{\hat{\ell}(x*y), \hat{\ell}(a*b)\}, \ \hat{m}((x*a)*(y*b)) \le \sup\{\hat{m}(x*y), \hat{m}(a*b)\}, \quad (8)$$

that is,

$$(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})((x*a)*(y*b)) \geq \inf\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(x*y), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(a*b)\} \text{ and }$$

$$(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})((x*a)*(y*b)) \leq \sup\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(x*y), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(a*b)\}$$

$$(9)$$

for all $x, y, a, b \in U$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. An m-polar intutionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ over U is called an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal subalgebra of X if it satisfies conditions (5) and (8).

Example 5. Consider a B-algebra $U = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ as in Example 1. Let $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ be a 5-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set on U given by

$$(\hat{\ell},\hat{m}): U \to [0,1]^5 \times [0,1]^5,$$

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} ((0.7,0.2), (0.6,0.3), (0.8,0.1), (0.5,0.3), (0.4,0.4)) & \text{if } x \in \{0,3\}, \\ ((0.3,0.6), (0.4,0.5), (0.6,0.3), (0.2,0.4), (0.3,0.6)) & \text{if } x \in \{1,2\}. \end{cases}$$

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 10 of 12

It is easy to see that $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is a 5-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal over U.

Proposition 5. Every m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal over U is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal subalgebra of U.

Proof. If we let y := 0, b := 0 and a := y in (9), then we have $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})((x * y) * (0 * 0)) \geq \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x * 0), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y * 0)\}$ and $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})((x * y) * (0 * 0)) \leq \sup\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x * 0), (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(y * 0)\}$ for all $x, y \in U$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$. By applying (B2) and (B1), we obtain $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x * y) \geq \inf\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y)\}$ and $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x * y) \leq \sup\{(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x), (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in U$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$. It follows that $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U. Therefore $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal subalgebra of U. \square

The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 5 need not be true in general.

Example 6. Consider a B-algebra $U := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ as in Example 2. Let $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ be a 5-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set on U given by

$$\begin{split} (\hat{\ell}, \hat{m}) : U \to [0, 1]^5 \times [0, 1]^5, \\ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} ((0.6, 0.2), (0.6, 0.2), (0.7, 0.25), (0.7, 0.1), (0.7, 0.28)) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ ((0.4, 0.5), (0.5, 0.3), (0.6, 0.3), (0.3, 0.4), (0.6, 0.39)) & \text{if } x = 5, \\ ((0.3, 0.6), (0.4, 0.5), (0.5, 0.4), (0.2, 0.7), (0.4, 0.5)) & \text{if } x \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}. \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is a 5-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U, but not an m-polar fuzzy normal over U, since $0.4 = (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(1) = (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})((1*3)*(4*2)) \not\geq \inf\{(\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(1*4), (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(3*2)\} = \inf\{(\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(5), (\pi_2 \circ \hat{\ell})(5)\} = \inf\{0.5, 0.5\} = 0.5$ and/or $0.5 = (\pi_2 \circ \hat{m})(1) = (\pi_2 \circ \hat{m})((1*3)*(4*2)) \not\leq \sup\{(\pi_2 \circ \hat{m})(1*4), (\pi_2 \circ \hat{m})(3*2)\} = \sup\{(\pi_2 \circ \hat{m})(5), (\pi_2 \circ \hat{m})(5)\} = \sup\{0.3, 0.3\} = 0.3.$

Theorem 9. If $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set over U, then the followings are equivalent:

- (i) $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal subalgebra of U,
- (ii) the m-polar upper and lower level sets $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ and $L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$ of $(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})$ are normals of U for all $(\hat{t},\hat{r}) \in [0,1]^m \times [0,1]^m$ with $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t}) \neq \emptyset \neq L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$.

Proof. Suppose that $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal subalgebra of U. Let $x, y, a, b \in X$ be such that $x * y, a * b \in U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ and $x * y, a * b \in L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$ for all $(\hat{t}; \hat{r}) \in [0, 1]^m \times [0, 1]^m$ with $U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t}) \neq \emptyset \neq L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$. Then $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x * y) \geq t_i$, $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(a * b) \geq t_i$, $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x * y) \leq r_i$ and $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(a * b) \leq r_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. It follows from (9) that

$$(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})((x*a)*(y*b)) \ge \inf\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(x*y), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(a*b)\} \ge t_{i},$$

$$\hat{m}((x*a)*(y*b)) \le \sup\{\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m}\}(x*y), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(a*b)\} \le r_{i}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Hence $(x * a) * (y * b) \in U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ and $(x * a) * (y * b) \in L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$. Therefore, $U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ and $L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$ are normal over U. Thus $U(\hat{\ell}; \hat{t})$ and $L(\hat{m}; \hat{r})$ are normals of U.

Conversely, suppose that the m-polar upper and lower level sets $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ and $L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$ of $(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})$ are normals of U for all $(\hat{t},\hat{r}) \in [0,1]^m \times [0,1]^m$ with $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t}) \neq \emptyset \neq L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$. Now, assume that there exist $x,y,a,b \in X$ such that $\hat{\ell}((x*a)*(y*b)) < \inf\{\hat{\ell}(x*y),\hat{\ell}(a*b)\}$ or $\hat{m}((x*a)*(y*b)) > \sup\{\hat{m}(x*y),\hat{m}(a*b)\}$. If we take $\hat{t} := \inf\{\hat{\ell}(x*y),\hat{\ell}(a*b)\}$ and $\hat{r} := \sup\{\hat{m}(x*y),\hat{m}(a*b)\}$, then $x*y,a*b \in U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ or $x*y,a*b \in L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$. Since $U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ and $L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$ are normals of U, we have $(x*a)*(y*b) \in U(\hat{\ell};\hat{t})$ or $(x*a)*(y*b) \in L(\hat{m};\hat{r})$. Hence $\hat{\ell}((x*a)*(y*b)) \geq \inf\{\hat{\ell}(x*y),\hat{\ell}(a*b)\}$ or $\hat{m}((x*a)*(y*b)) \leq \sup\{\hat{m}(x*y),\hat{m}(a*b)\}$, which lead to a contradiction. Thus $\hat{\ell}((x*a)*(y*b)) \leq \inf\{\hat{\ell}(x*y),\hat{\ell}(a*b)\}$ and $\hat{m}((x*a)*(y*b)) \geq \sup\{\hat{m}(x*y),\hat{m}(a*b)\}$ for any $x,y,a,b \in U$.

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 11 of 12

Therefore $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar fuzzy normal over U. By Proposition 5, $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal subalgebra of U. \square

Proposition 6. Let an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ over U be an m-polar fuzzy normal subalgebra over U. Then $\hat{\ell}(x*y) = \hat{\ell}(y*x)$ and $\hat{m}(x*y) = \hat{m}(y*x)$ for all $x, y \in U$, i.e., $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x*y) = (\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y*x)$ and $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x*y) = (\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(y*x)$ for all $x, y \in U$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in U$. By (B1) and (B2), we have

$$(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(x * y) = (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})((x * y) * (x * x))$$

$$\geq \inf\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(x * x), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(y * x)\}$$

$$= \inf\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(0), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(y * x)\}$$

$$= (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{\ell})(y * x)$$

for all $i=1,\cdots,m$. Interchanging x with y, we obtain $(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(y*x)=(\pi_i \circ \hat{\ell})(x*y)$ for all $i=1,\cdots,m$.

By (B1) and (B2), we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(x * y) & = & (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})((x * y) * (x * x)) \\ & \leq & \sup\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(x * x), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(y * x)\} \\ & = & \sup\{(\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(0), (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(y * x)\} \\ & = & (\pi_{i} \circ \hat{m})(y * x) \end{array}$$

for all $i=1,\cdots,m$. Interchanging x with y, we obtain $(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(y*x)=(\pi_i \circ \hat{m})(x*y)$ for all $i=1,\cdots,m$. \square

Proposition 7. Let $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ be an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal subalgebra of a B-algebra U. Then the set $U_{(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})} := \{x \in U | \hat{\ell}(x) = \hat{\ell}(0), \hat{m}(x) = \hat{m}(0) \}$ is a normal subalgebra of U.

Proof. We show that $U_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})}$ is a normal over U. Let $a,b,x,y\in U$ be such that $x*y\in U_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})}$ and $a*b\in U_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})}$. Then $(\pi_i\circ\hat{\ell})(x*y)=(\pi_i\circ\hat{\ell})(0)=(\pi_i\circ\hat{\ell})(a*b)$ and $(\pi_i\circ\hat{m})(x*y)=(\pi_i\circ\hat{m})(0)=(\pi_i\circ\hat{m})(a*b)$ for all $i=1,\cdots,m$. Since $(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})$ is an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy normal subalgebra of U, we have $(\pi_i\circ\hat{\ell})((x*a)*(y*b))\geq\inf\{(\pi_i\circ\hat{\ell})(x*y),(\pi_i\circ\hat{\ell})(a*b)\}=(\pi_i\circ\hat{\ell})(0)$ and $(\pi_i\circ\hat{m})((x*a)*(y*b))\leq\sup\{(\pi_i\circ\hat{m})(x*y),(\pi_i\circ\hat{m})(a*b)\}=(\pi_i\circ\hat{m})(0)$ for all $i=1,\cdots,m$. By using (7), we obtain that $(\pi_i\circ\hat{\ell})((x*a)*(y*b))=(\pi_i\circ\hat{\ell})(0)$ and $(\pi_i\circ\hat{m})((x*a)*(y*b))=(\pi_i\circ\hat{m})(0)$ for all $i=1,\cdots,m$. Hence $(x*a)*(y*b)\in U_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})}$. By Proposition 5, $U_{(\hat{\ell},\hat{m})}$ is a normal subalgebra of U. This completes the proof. \square

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we applied the notions of an m-polar fuzzy set and an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set to subalgebras and normals in B-algebras. We introduced the notion of an m-polar fuzzy (normal) subalgebra in a B-algebra and investigated some related some properties. We obtained an equivalent condition for an m-polar fuzzy set $\hat{\ell}$ over U $\hat{\ell}$ to be an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of U, and we showed that the $\hat{\ell}_{\wedge}$ -product $\hat{\ell}_{U \wedge V}$ of m-polar fuzzy subalgebras $\hat{\ell}_{U}$ and $\hat{\ell}_{V}$ is also an m-polar fuzzy subalgebra of $U \times V$. We found equivalent conditions for an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ over U to be an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of U. Moreover, we showed that an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy set $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m})$ over U to be an m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy (normal) subalgebra of U. Given an m-polar fuzzy set, we constructed a simple m-polar fuzzy set and discussed on m-polar intuitionistic fuzzy

Mathematics 2020, 8, 907 12 of 12

subalgebras of *B*-algebras. The purpose of our research in future is to continue to think about these things and construct new concepts in several general algebraic structures.

The results of this research will be expanded to several algebraic structures, such as groups, *BE*-algebras, *BF*-algebras, and *d*-algebras.

Author Contributions: The authors had the same contributions to complete the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors are deeply grateful to the referee for the valuable suggestions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Neggers, J.; Kim, H.S. On *B*-algebras. *Mate. Vesnik* **2002**, *54*, 21–29.
- Neggers, J.; Kim, H.S. A fundamental theorem of B-homomorphism for B-algebras. Intern. Math. J. 2002, 2, 207–214.
- 3. Jun, Y.B.; Roh, E.H.; Kim, H.S. On fuzzy B-algebras. Czech. Math. J. 2002, 52, 375–384. [CrossRef]
- 4. Kim, Y.H.; Yeom, S.J. Qutient *B*-algebras via fuzzy normal *B*-algebras. *Honam Math. J.* **2008**, 30, 21–32. [CrossRef]
- 5. Cho, J.R.; Kim, H.S. On *B*-algebras and quaigroups. *Quasigroups Relat. Syst.* **2001**, 8, 1–6.
- 6. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy sets. *Inform. Control* **1965**, 8, 338–353. [CrossRef]
- 7. Zhang, W.R. Bipolar fuzzy sets and relations: A computational framework for cognitive and modeling and multiagent decision analysis. In Proceedings of the Fuzzy Information Processing Society Biannual Conference, San Antonio, TX, USA, 18–21 December 1994; pp. 305–309.
- 8. Yaqoob, N.; Davvaz, B.; Ghareeb, A. Structures of bipolar fuzzy G-hyperideals in G-semihypergroups. *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* **2014**, 27, 3015–3032. [CrossRef]
- 9. Arulmozhi, K.; Chinnadurai, V.; Waminathan, A. Interval valued bipolar fuzzy ideals in ordered Γ-semigroups. *J. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.* **2019**, 9, 1–17.
- 10. Chinnadurai, V.; Arulmozhi, K. Characterization of bipolar fuzzy ideals in ordered gamma semigroups. *J. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.* **2018**, 8, 141–156.
- 11. Ibrar, M.; Khan, A.; Abbas, F. Generalized bipolar fuzzy interior ideals in ordered semigroups. *Honam Math. J.* **2019**, *41*, 285–300.
- 12. Sardar, S.K.; Majumder, S.K.; Pal, P. Bipolar valued fuzzy translation in semigroups. *Math. Aeterna* **2012**, 2, 597–607.
- 13. Chen, J.; Li, S.; Ma, S.; Wang, X. *m*-polar fuzzy sets: An extension of bipolar fuzzy sets. *Sci. World J.* **2014**, 2014, 416530. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Akram, M.; Waseem, N.; Liu, P. Novel approach in decision making with m-polar fuzzy ELECTRE-I. *Int. J. Fuzzy Syst.* **2019**, *21*, 1117–1129. [CrossRef]
- 15. Akram, M.; Sarwar, M. New applications of *m*-polar fuzzy competition graphs. *New Math. Nat. Comput.* **2018**, *14*, 249–276. [CrossRef]
- 16. Takallo, M.M.; Ahn, S.S.; Boorzooei, R.A.; Jun, Y.B. Multipolar fuzzy p-ideals of BCI-algebras. *Mathematics* **2019**, 7, 1094. [CrossRef]
- 17. Al-Masarwah, A.; Ahmad, A.G. m-polar (α , β)-fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras. Symmetry **2019**, 11, 44. [CrossRef]
- 18. Kang, K.T.; Song, S.Z.; Jun, Y.B. Multipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set with finite degree and its application in *BCK/BCI*-algebras. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 177. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).