

Article

Generating of Nonisospectral Integrable Hierarchies via the Lie-Algebraic Recursion Scheme

Haifeng Wang  and Yufeng Zhang *

School of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221116, China

* Correspondence: zhangyfcumt@163.com

Received: 20 February 2020; Accepted: 11 April 2020; Published: 17 April 2020



Abstract: In the paper, we introduce an efficient method for generating non-isospectral integrable hierarchies, which can be used to derive a great many non-isospectral integrable hierarchies. Based on the scheme, we derive a non-isospectral integrable hierarchy by using Lie algebra and the corresponding loop algebra. It follows that some symmetries of the non-isospectral integrable hierarchy are also studied. Additionally, we also obtain a few conserved quantities of the isospectral integrable hierarchies.

Keywords: non-isospectral integrable hierarchy; Lie algebra; Hamiltonian structure; symmetry; conserved quantity

PACS: 05.45.Yv; 02.30.Jr; 02.30.Ik

1. Introduction

We have known that there exist two main approaches for constructing nonlinear systems integrable by the inverse scattering transform: the one of the Lax representation ($L_t = [A, L]$) and the one of the zero curvature representation ($U_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0$) [1,2]. In [3], the authors introduced the spectral transform technique to solve certain classes of nonlinear evolution equations, and gave a thorough account also of the non-isospectral deformations of KdV-like equations [4,5]. Magri once proposed one approach for generating integrable systems [6], which was called the Lax-pair method [7,8]. Based on it, Tu [9] proposed a method for generating integrable Hamiltonian hierarchies by making use of a trace identity, which was called the Tu scheme [10,11]. Through making use of the Tu scheme, some integrable systems and the corresponding Hamiltonian structures as well as other properties were obtained, such as the works in [12–16]. It is well known that many different methods for generating isospectral integrable equations have been proposed [17–19]. However, as far non-isospectral integrable equations are concerned, fewer works were presented, as far as we know. In [20,21], the author proposed a method of constructing its corresponding non-isospectral $\lambda_t = \lambda^n$ ($n \geq 0$) hierarchy of evolution equations closely related to τ -symmetries. Generally speaking, integrable systems correspond to the isospectral ($\lambda_t = 0$) case, and mastersymmetries of integrable systems correspond to the non-isospectral $\lambda_t = \lambda^n$ ($n \geq 0$) case. In [22], the author adopted the Lenard series method to obtain some non-isospectral integrable hierarchies under the case $\lambda_t = \lambda^{m+1}M$, and found that the same spectral problem can produce two different hierarchies of soliton evolution equations.

In this article, we apply an efficient scheme to generating non-isospectral integrable hierarchies of evolution equations under the case where $\lambda_t = \sum_{j=0}^n k_j(t)\lambda^{n-j}$. Obviously, this case is a generalized expression for the case $\lambda_t = \lambda^n$ [23,24]. By taking different values of the parameters in the non-isospectral integrable hierarchies, we can obtain many integrable equations, such as the coupled

equations. Under obtaining non-isospectral integrable systems, their properties including Darboux transformations, exact solutions, and so on, could be investigated; a lot of such work has been done, such as the papers [25–34].

2. A Non-Isospectral Integrable Hierarchy

In this section, we derive a non-isospectral integrable hierarchy by using the Lie algebra, and obtain a Hamiltonian construction of the hierarchy via the trace identity proposed by Tu [9]. In the following, the steps for generating non-isospectral integrable hierarchies of evolution equations present

Step 1: Introducing the spectral problems

$$\psi_x = U\psi, U = R + u_1e_1(n) + \dots + u_qe_q(n), \tag{1}$$

$$\psi_t = V\psi, V = A_1e_1(n) + \dots + A_pe_p(n), \tag{2}$$

$$\lambda_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} k_i(t)\lambda^{-N_i i}, \tag{3}$$

where the potential functions $u_1, \dots, u_q \in S$ (the Schwartz space), and $R(n), e_1(n), \dots, e_p(n) \in \tilde{G}$ satisfy that

- (a) R, e_1, \dots, e_p are linear independent,
- (b) R is pseudoregular,
- (c) $\deg(R(n)) \geq \deg(e_i(n)), i = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

Step 2: Solving the following stationary zero curvature equation for $A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$:

$$V_x = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_t + [U, V]. \tag{4}$$

It follows that one can get the compatibility condition of Equations (1) and (2)

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial u} u_t + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0. \tag{5}$$

Equation (4) can be broken down into

$$-V_{+,x}^{(n)} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_{t,+}^{(n)} + [U, V_+^{(n)}] = V_{-,x}^{(n)} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_{t,-}^{(n)} - [U, V_-^{(n)}], \tag{6}$$

where

$$\lambda_{t,+}^{(m)} = \lambda^{N_i m} \lambda_t - \lambda_{t,-}^{(m)} = \sum_{i=\mu}^m k_i(t) \lambda^{N_i m - N_i i + x}, x = 0, 1, \dots, N_i - 1; m < n.$$

Step 3: We search for a modified term Δ_n so that, for

$$V^{(n)} = (\lambda^{N_i n} V)_+ + \Delta_n =: V_+^{(n)} + \Delta_n, \\ -V_x^{(n)} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_{t,+}^{(n)} + [U, V^{(n)}] = B_1 e_1 + \dots + B_q e_q,$$

where $B_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, q) \in C$.

Step 4: The non-isospectral integrable hierarchies of evolution equations could be deduced via the non-isospectral zero curvature equation

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial u} u_t + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_{t,+}^{(n)} - V_x^{(n)} + [U, V^{(n)}] = 0. \tag{7}$$

Step 5: The Hamiltonian structures of the hierarchies Equation (7) are sought out according to the trace identity given by Tu [9]. We will show the specific calculation process in the following:

A basis of the Lie algebra A is given by

$$A = span\{h, e, f\}$$

with $h = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $e = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $f = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and the corresponding loop algebra is taken by

$$\tilde{A} = span\{h(n), e(n), f(n)\},$$

where $h(n) = h\lambda^{2n}$, $e(n) = e\lambda^{2n-1}$, $f(n) = f\lambda^{2n-1}$. It is easy to find that the commutator of \tilde{A} is as follows:

$$[h(n), e(m)] = f\lambda^{2n+2m-1} = f(m+n), [h(n), f(m)] = e(m+n), [e(n), f(m)] = h(m+n-1), m, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where the gradations of $h(n)$, $e(n)$, and $f(n)$ are given by

$$deg h(n) = 2n, \quad deg e(n) = 2n - 1, \quad deg f(n) = 2n - 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Consider the following non-isospectral problems based on \tilde{A}

$$\psi_x = U\psi, \quad U = h(1) + qe(1) + rf(1) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & (r+q)\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ (r-q)\lambda & -\lambda^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & (r+q)\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & (r-q)\lambda & -\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

$$\psi_t = V\psi, \quad V = ah(1) + be(1) + cf(1) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a & (b+c)\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ (-b+c)\lambda & -a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & (b+c)\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & (-b+c)\lambda & -a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where $i^2 = -1$, $a = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i \lambda^{-2i}$, $b = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i \lambda^{-2i}$, $c = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i \lambda^{-2i}$.

It follows that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_t &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda & r+q & 0 & 0 \\ r-q & -2\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\lambda & r+q \\ 0 & 0 & r-q & -2\lambda \end{pmatrix} \sum_{i \geq 0} k_i(t) \lambda^{-2i+1} \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} k_i(t) [2h(1-i) + qe(1-i) + rf(1-i)]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the following equation can be derived by taking $\lambda_t = \sum_{i \geq 0} k_i(t) \lambda^{1-2i}$ with Equation (6),

$$\begin{cases} a_{ix} = qc_{i+1} - rb_{i+1} + 2k_{i+1}(t), \\ b_{ix} = c_{i+1} - ra_i + k_i(t)q, \\ c_{ix} = b_{i+1} - qa_i + k_i(t)r, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

that is,

$$\begin{cases} a_{ix} = qb_{ix} - rc_{ix} - q^2k_i(t) + r^2k_i(t) + 2k_{i+1}(t), \\ c_{i+1} = b_{ix} + ra_i - qk_i(t), \\ b_{i+1} = c_{ix} + qa_i - rk_i(t). \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

We take the initial values

$$b_0 = k_0\partial^{-1}q, \quad c_0 = k_0\partial^{-1}r.$$

Then, Equation (11) admits that

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 2k_1(t)x + \beta_0(t), \\ b_1 &= 2k_1(t)qx, \quad c_1 = 2k_1(t)rx, \\ a_1 &= k_1(t)x(q^2 - r^2) + 2k_2(t)x + \beta_1(t), \\ b_2 &= k_1(t)(r + 2xr_x) + qx(k_1(t)q^2 - k_1(t)r^2 + 2k_2(t)), \\ c_2 &= k_1(t)(q + 2xq_x) + rx(k_1(t)q^2 - k_1(t)r^2 + 2k_2(t)), \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_0(t)\beta_1(t) = 0$ is an integral constant. Denoting that

$$\begin{aligned} V_+^{(n)} &= \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i h(n-i) + b_i e(n+1-i) + c_i f(n+1-i)), \\ V_-^{(n)} &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (a_i h(n-i) + b_i e(n+1-i) + c_i f(n+1-i)), \\ \lambda_{t,+}^{(n)} &= \sum_{i=0}^n K_i(t)\lambda^{2n-2i+1}, \quad \lambda_{t,-}^{(n)} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} K_i(t)\lambda^{2n-2i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

By using Equations (8) and (9), the gradations of the left-hand side of Equation (6) are derived as:

$$\text{deg } V_+^{(n)} =: (0, 1, 1) \geq 0, \quad \text{deg } \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_{t,+}^{(n)} =: (2, 1, 1) \geq 1, \quad \text{deg}([U, V_+^{(n)}]) =: (2, 1, 1; 0, 1, 1) \geq 1,$$

which signifies that the minimum gradation of the left-hand side of Equation (6) is zero. Similarly, the gradations of the right-hand side of Equation (6) are also obtained as follows:

$$\text{deg } V_-^{(n)} =: (-2, -1, -1) \leq -1, \quad \text{deg } \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_{t,-}^{(n)} =: (0, -1, -1) \leq 0, \quad \text{deg}([U, V_-^{(n)}]) =: (2, 1, 1; -2, -1, -1) \leq 1,$$

which indicates that the maximum gradation of the right-hand side of Equation (6) is 1. By taking these terms which have the gradations 0 and 1, one has

$$V_{-x}^{(n)} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_{t,-}^{(n)} - [U, V_-^{(n)}] = -b_{n+1}f(1) - c_{n+1}e(1) - qc_{n+1}h(0) + rb_{n+1}h(0) - 2K_{n+1}(t)h(0),$$

that is,

$$-V_{+x}^{(n)} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_{t,+}^{(n)} + [U, V_+^{(n)}] = -b_{n+1}f(1) - c_{n+1}e(1) - qc_{n+1}h(0) + rb_{n+1}h(0) - 2K_{n+1}(t)h(0). \tag{12}$$

In what follows, we takes modified term $\triangle_n = -a_n h(0)$ so that, for $V^{(n)} = V_+^{(n)} - a_n h(0)$ to obtain the non-isospectral integrable hierarchies, we have from Equation (13) that

$$-V_x^{(n)} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_{t,+}^{(n)} + [U, V^{(n)}] = (-c_{n+1} + ra_n)e(1) + (-b_{n+1} + qa_n)f(1).$$

Thus, Equation (7) admits the non-isospectral integrable hierarchy

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{t_n} &= \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{n+1} - ra_n \\ b_{n+1} - qa_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{nx} - K_n(t)q \\ c_{nx} - K_n(t)r \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} - K_n(t) \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix} \\
 &=: J_1 \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} - K_n(t) \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{13}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{t_n} &= \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = \begin{pmatrix} r\partial^{-1}rb_{n+1} + (1 - r\partial^{-1}q)c_{n+1} - 2rK_{n+1}(t)x \\ -q\partial^{-1}qc_{n+1} + (1 + q\partial^{-1}r)b_{n+1} - 2qK_{n+1}(t)x \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - r\partial^{-1}q & r\partial^{-1}r \\ -q\partial^{-1}q & 1 + q\partial^{-1}r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{n+1} \\ b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} + 2K_{n+1}(t)x \begin{pmatrix} -r \\ -q \end{pmatrix} \\
 &=: J_2 \begin{pmatrix} c_{n+1} \\ b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} + 2K_{n+1}(t)x \begin{pmatrix} -r \\ -q \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14}$$

where

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - r\partial^{-1}q & r\partial^{-1}r \\ -q\partial^{-1}q & 1 + q\partial^{-1}r \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Equation (11), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{pmatrix} c_{n+1} \\ b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -r\partial^{-1}r\partial & \partial + r\partial^{-1}q\partial \\ \partial - q\partial^{-1}r\partial & q\partial^{-1}q\partial \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} + K_n(t) \begin{pmatrix} r\partial^{-1}(-q^2 + r^2) - q \\ q\partial^{-1}(-q^2 + r^2) - r \end{pmatrix} + 2K_{n+1}(t)x \begin{pmatrix} r \\ q \end{pmatrix} \\
 &=: L \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} + K_n(t)Q + 2K_{n+1}(t)xR,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{15}$$

where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} -r\partial^{-1}r\partial & \partial + r\partial^{-1}q\partial \\ \partial - q\partial^{-1}r\partial & q\partial^{-1}q\partial \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} r\partial^{-1}(-q^2 + r^2) - q \\ q\partial^{-1}(-q^2 + r^2) - r \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, Equation (13) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{t_n} &= \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = J_1 L^n \begin{pmatrix} K_0\partial^{-1}r \\ K_0\partial^{-1}q \end{pmatrix} + J_1 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (L^i K_{n-1-i}(t)Q) + 2J_1 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} L^i K_{n-i}(t)xR - K_n(t) \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix} \\
 &=: \Phi^n K_0 \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Phi^i J_1 K_{n-1-i}(t)Q + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K_{n-i}(t)\Phi^i \partial \begin{pmatrix} xq \\ xr \end{pmatrix} - K_n(t) \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{16}$$

where

$$\Phi = J_1 L J_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} q_x\partial^{-1}q + q^2 & \partial - q_x\partial^{-1}r - qr \\ \partial + r_x\partial^{-1}q + qr & -r_x\partial^{-1}r - r^2 \end{pmatrix}.
 \tag{17}$$

When $n = 1$, the non-isospectral integrable hierarchy Equation (16) becomes

$$\begin{cases} q_t = K_1(2xq_x + q), \\ r_t = K_1(2xr_x + r). \end{cases}
 \tag{18}$$

When $n = 2$, the non-isospectral integrable hierarchy Equation (16) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} q_t = K_1(q^3x - qr^2x + r + 2r_x x)_x + 2K_2(qx)_x + K_2(2xq_x + q), \\ r_t = K_1(-r^3x + rq^2x + q + 2q_x x)_x + K_2(2xr_x + r) \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Furthermore, we focus on a format of Hamiltonian constructure of the hierarchy Equation (16) via the trace identity proposed by Tu [9]. Denoting the trace of the square matrices A and B by $\langle A, B \rangle = tr(AB)$.

Equations (8) and (9) admit that

$$\langle V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial q} \rangle = -b\lambda^2, \quad \langle V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \rangle = c\lambda^2, \quad \langle V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \rangle = cr\lambda + 2a\lambda - bq\lambda,$$

which can be substituted into the trace identity

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u} \langle V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial \lambda} \rangle = \lambda^{-\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \lambda^\gamma \begin{pmatrix} \langle V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial q} \rangle \\ \langle V, \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

gives rise to

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u} (cr\lambda + 2a\lambda - bq\lambda) = \lambda^{-\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \begin{pmatrix} -b\lambda^{2+\gamma} \\ c\lambda^{2+\gamma} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

It follows that one can get the following equation by comparing the two sides of the above formula

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta u} (2a_n - qb_n + rc_n) = (2 - 2n + \gamma) \begin{pmatrix} -b_n \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

Inserting the initial values of Equations (11) into (21), we obtain $\gamma = 0$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -b_n \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} =: M_1 \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ b_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$H_n = \frac{2\bar{i}a_n - qb_n - rc_n}{2n - 2}, \quad M_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the hierarchy Equations (13) and (14) can be written as

$$u_{t_n} = \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = J_1 M_1^{-1} \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u} - K_n(t) \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix} = J_2 M_1^{-1} \frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta u} + 2K_{n+1}(t)x \begin{pmatrix} -r \\ -q \end{pmatrix}. \tag{22}$$

It is remarkable that, when $K_n(t) = K_{n+1}(t) = 0$, Equation (22) is the Hamiltonian structure of the corresponding isospectral integrable hierarchy of Equation (16).

3. Discussion on Symmetries and Conserved Quantities

In this section, we consider the K symmetries and τ symmetries of the hierarchy Equation (16), and obtain some conserved quantities of the hierarchy Equation (16) from the obtained symmetries. The way to find K symmetries and τ symmetries comes from Li and Zhu [14], who applied the isospectral and non-isospectral integrable AKNS hierarchy to construct K symmetries and τ symmetries which constitute an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra. In the following, we show the specific process.

One can find that the Φ presented in Equation (17) satisfies

$$\Phi'[\Phi f]g - \Phi'[\Phi g]f = \Phi\{\Phi'[f]g - \Phi'[g]f\},$$

for $\forall f, g \in S$. Therefore, Φ is the hereditary symmetry of Equation (16). In addition, we can also prove the following relation holding:

Proposition 1.

$$\Phi'[K_0] = [K'_0, \Phi], \tag{23}$$

where $K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ r_x \end{pmatrix} = u_{t_0}$.

In fact,

$$\Phi'[K_0] = \partial \begin{pmatrix} q_x \partial^{-1} q + q \partial^{-1} q_x & -q_x \partial^{-1} r - q \partial^{-1} r_x \\ r_x \partial^{-1} q + r \partial^{-1} q_x & -r_x \partial^{-1} r - r \partial^{-1} r_x \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus

$$\Phi'[K_0] = \begin{pmatrix} q_{xx} \partial^{-1} q + (q^2)_x + q_x \partial^{-1} q_x & -q_{xx} \partial^{-1} r - (qr)_x - q_x \partial^{-1} r_x \\ r_{xx} \partial^{-1} q + (qr)_x + r_x \partial^{-1} q_x & -r_{xx} \partial^{-1} r - (r^2)_x - r_x \partial^{-1} r_x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} K'_0 \Phi &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial & 0 \\ 0 & \partial \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_x \partial^{-1} q + q^2 & \partial - q_x \partial^{-1} r - qr \\ \partial + r_x \partial^{-1} q + qr & -r_x \partial^{-1} r - r^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} q_{xx} \partial^{-1} q + 3q q_x + q^2 \partial & \partial^2 - q_{xx} \partial^{-1} r - 2q_x r - q r_x - q r \partial \\ \partial^2 + r_{xx} \partial^{-1} q + 2r_x q + r q_x + q r \partial & -r_{xx} \partial^{-1} r - 3r r_x - r^2 \partial \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi K'_0 = \begin{pmatrix} q_x \partial^{-1} q \partial + q^2 \partial & \partial^2 - q_x \partial^{-1} r \partial - q r \partial \\ \partial^2 + r_x \partial^{-1} q \partial + q r \partial & -r_x \partial^{-1} r \partial - r^2 \partial \end{pmatrix}$$

We therefore verified that Equation (23) is correct. Owing to the Φ is a hereditary symmetry, one finds

$$\Phi'[K_m] = [K'_m, \Phi],$$

which means Φ is a strong symmetry, where $K_m = \Phi^m \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ r_x \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition 2.

$$\Phi'[xu] + \Phi(xu)' - (xu)'\Phi = HI, \tag{24}$$

where $u = \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ r_x \end{pmatrix}$, $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and I is an identity matrix.

In fact,

$$\Phi'[xu] = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} A = q_x \partial^{-1} q + x q_{xx} \partial^{-1} q + 2x q_x q + q_x \partial^{-1} x q_x, \\ B = -(q_x \partial^{-1} r + x q_{xx} \partial^{-1} r + x q_x r + x q r_x + q_x \partial^{-1} x r_x), \\ C = r_x \partial^{-1} q + x r_{xx} \partial^{-1} q + x r_x q + x r q_x + r_x \partial^{-1} x q_x, \\ D = -(r_x \partial^{-1} r + x r_{xx} \partial^{-1} r + 2x r_x r + r_x \partial^{-1} x r_x). \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi(xu)' = \begin{pmatrix} x q^2 \partial + x q q_x - q_x \partial^{-1} (q + x q_x) & -x q r \partial + \partial + x \partial^2 - x r q_x + q_x \partial^{-1} (r + x r_x) \\ x q r \partial + \partial + x \partial^2 + x q r_x - r_x \partial^{-1} (q + x q_x) & -x r^2 \partial - x r r_x + r_x \partial^{-1} (r + x r_x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(xu)' \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} xq_{xx}\partial^{-1}q + 3xqq_x + xq^2\partial & x\partial^2 - xq_{xx}\partial^{-1}r - 2xrr_x - xqr_x - xqr\partial \\ x\partial^2 + xr_{xx}\partial^{-1}q + 2xqr_x + xrq_x + xqr\partial & -xr_{xx}\partial^{-1}r - 3xrr_x - xr^2\partial \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$(xu)'[\sigma] = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \begin{pmatrix} x(q + \epsilon\sigma_1)_x \\ x(q + \epsilon\sigma_2)_x \end{pmatrix} = x\partial \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} \implies (xu)' = \begin{pmatrix} x\partial & 0 \\ 0 & x\partial \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, Equation (24) holds.

Proposition 3.

$$[K_1, xu] = [\Phi u, xu] = Hu + K_1, \tag{25}$$

where $u = \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ r_x \end{pmatrix}$, $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $K_1 = \Phi u$.

In fact,

$$\Phi u = \begin{pmatrix} r_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}q_x(q^2 - r^2) - qrr_x + q^2q_x \\ q_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}r_x(q^2 - r^2) + qrq_x - r^2r_x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(\Phi u)' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(q^2 - r^2)\partial + 3qq_x + q^2\partial - rr_x & \partial^2 - qr\partial - (qr)_x \\ \partial^2 + qr\partial + (qr)_x & \frac{1}{2}(q^2 - r^2)\partial - 3rr_x - r^2\partial + qq_x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(\Phi u)' \begin{pmatrix} xq_x \\ xr_x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(q^2 - r^2)\partial(xq_x) + 3xqq_x^2 + q^2\partial(xq_x) - xrr_xq_x + \partial^2(xr_x) - qr\partial(xr_x) - xr_x(qr)_x \\ \partial^2(xq_x) + qr\partial(xq_x) + xq_x(qr)_x + \frac{1}{2}(q^2 - r^2)\partial(xr_x) - 3xrr_x^2 - r^2\partial(xr_x) + xr_xqq_x \end{pmatrix},$$

Then, we have

$$(xu)'[\Phi u] = \begin{pmatrix} x\partial(r_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}q_x(q^2 - r^2) - qrr_x + q^2q_x) \\ x\partial(q_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}r_x(q^2 - r^2) + qrq_x - r^2r_x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$[\Phi u, xu] = (\Phi u)'[xu] - (xu)'[\Phi u] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial \\ \partial & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ r_x \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}q_x(q^2 - r^2) - qrr_x + q^2q_x \\ q_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}r_x(q^2 - r^2) + qrq_x - r^2r_x \end{pmatrix} = Hu + K_1.$$

We therefore verified that Equation (25) is correct.

Proposition 4.

$$[K_m, K_n] = 0, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{26}$$

where $K_m = \Phi^m u$, $K_n = \Phi^n u$.

Proposition 5.

$$[\Phi^m xu, xu] = m\Phi^{m-1}(xu).$$

The proofs of Propositions 4 and 5 were presented in [23].

From the above results, one have

$$[\Phi^m xu, \Phi^n xu] = (m - n)\Phi^{m+n-1}(xu), \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We can find that $\{\Phi^n u, \Phi^m xu\}$ can not constitute a Lie algebra from Equation (25). However, $\{\Phi^n u, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\{\Phi^n xu, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ constitute the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra, respectively based on the above analysis.

Now, we will derive some conserved quantities of Tu isospectral hierarchy

$$u_{t_n} = \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{t_n} = \Phi^n \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ r_x \end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

Definition 1 ([14,16]). If we have known the integrable hierarchy $u_t = K_n(u)$, then the v satisfied the following equation:

$$\frac{dv}{dt} + K'^*v = 0, \tag{28}$$

which is called the conserved covariance, where K' is the linearized operator of K , and K'^* denotes a conjugate operator of K' .

Proposition 6 ([13,16]). If σ is a symmetry of Equation $u_t = K_n(u)$, v is its conserved covariance, then we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v\sigma dx = \langle v, \sigma \rangle,$$

which is independent of time t , that is, $\frac{d}{dt} \langle v, \sigma \rangle = 0$.

Definition 2 ([13,14,16]). If $F'f = \langle v, f \rangle$, for $\forall f \in S$, then v is called the gradient of the functional F , which is denoted by $v = \frac{\delta F}{\delta u}$.

Proposition 7 ([16]). If $v' = v'^*$, then v is the gradient of the following functional

$$F = \int_0^1 \langle v(\lambda u), u \rangle d\lambda. \tag{29}$$

According to the symbols above, we can deduce:

Proposition 8 ([13,14]). If I is a conserved quality of the hierarchy $u_t = K_n(u)$, and the conserved covariance v satisfies

$$I'K_n = \langle v, K_n \rangle,$$

then one has

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + \langle v, K_n \rangle = 0,$$

that is,

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + K_n'^*v + v'K_n = 0.$$

Therefore, we deduce the following conserved quantities related to the integrable hierarchy $u_t = K_n(u)$

$$I_m = \int_0^1 \langle \partial_x^{-1}K_m(\lambda u), u \rangle d\lambda. \tag{30}$$

Moreover, a few conserved quantities are also derived for the integrable hierarchy Equation (27) as follows:

$$K_0 = \Phi^0 u = \begin{pmatrix} q_x \\ r_x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -r_x \\ q_x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$I_0 = \int_0^1 \langle \partial_x^{-1}K_0(\lambda u), u \rangle d\lambda = \int_0^1 \langle \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_x\lambda \\ r_x\lambda \end{pmatrix} \right]^T, \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix} \rangle d\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q_x r - r_x q) dx,$$

$$K_1 = \Phi u = \begin{pmatrix} r_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}q_x(q^2 - r^2) - qrr_x + q^2q_x \\ q_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}r_x(q^2 - r^2) + qrq_x - r^2r_x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -q_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}r_x(q^2 - r^2) - qrq_x + r^2r_x \\ r_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}q_x(q^2 - r^2) - qrr_x + q^2q_x \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^1 \langle \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_{xx}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}q_x(q^2 - r^2)\lambda^3 - qrr_x\lambda^3 + q^2q_x\lambda^3 \\ q_{xx}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}r_x(q^2 - r^2)\lambda^3 + qrq_x\lambda^3 - r^2r_x\lambda^3 \end{pmatrix} \right]^T, \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix} \rangle d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2}(-qq_{xx} + rr_{xx}) + \frac{1}{8}(q^2 - r^2)(q_x r - r_x q) \right] dx, \end{aligned}$$

$$I_k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} K_k(\lambda u), \begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle d\lambda.$$

Author Contributions: Formal analysis, Y.Z.; Funding acquisition, Y.Z.; Investigation, H.W.; Methodology, Y.Z.; Writing original draft, H.W.; Writing review and editing, H.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971475).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Takhtadzhian, L.A.; Faddeev, L.D. *Hamiltonian Approach in Soliton Theory*; izdatel nauka: Moscow, Russian, 1986.
2. Blackmore, D.; Prykarpatsky, A.K.; Samoilenko, V.H. *Nonlinear Dynamical Systems of Mathematical Physics: Spectral and Symplectic Integrability Analysis*; World Scientific Publishing: Singapore, 2011.
3. Calogero, F.; Degasperis, A. *Spectral Transform and Solitons*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1983.
4. Calogero, F.; Degasperis, A. Extension of the spectral transform method for solving nonlinear evolution equations. *Lett. Nuovo C.* **1978**, *22*, 131–137. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Calogero, F.; Degasperis, A. Solution by the spectral-transform method of a nonlinear evolution equation including as a special case the cylindrical KdV equation. *Lett. Nuovo C.* **1978**, *23*, 150–154. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Magri, F. *Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Dynamical Systems*; Springer Lecture Notes in Physics 120; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1980; p. 233.
7. Ablowitz, M.J.; Segur, H. *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*; SIAM: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1981.
8. Newell, A.C. *Solitons in Mathematics and Physics*; SIAM: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1985.
9. Tu, G.Z. The trace identity, a powerful tool for constructing the Hamiltonian structure of integrable systems. *J. Math. Phys.* **1989**, *30*, 330–338. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Ma, W.X. A new hierarchy of Liouville integrable generalized Hamiltonian equations and its reduction. *Chin. J. Contemp. Math.* **1992**, *13*, 79.
11. Ma, W.X. K symmetries and τ symmetries of evolution equations and their Lie algebras. *J. Phys. A Math. Gen.* **1990**, *23*, 2707–2716. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Qiao, Z.J. New hierarchies of isospectral and non-isospectral integrable NLEEs derived from the Harry-Dym spectral problem. *Physica A* **1998**, *252*, 377–387. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Li, Y.S. A kind of evolution equations and the deform of spectral. *Sci. Sin. A* **1982**, *25*, 385–387. (In Chinese)
14. Li, Y.S.; Zhu, G.C. New set of symmetries of the integrable equations, Lie algebras and non-isospectral evolution equations: II. AKNS system. *J. Phys. A Math. Gen.* **1986**, *19*, 3713–3725.
15. Kaup, D.J.; Newell, A.C. An exact solution for a derivative nonlinear schrödinger equation. *J. Math. Phys.* **1978**, *19*, 798–804. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Li, Y.S.; Zhuang, D.W. Nonlinear evolution equations related to characteristic problems dependent on potential energy. *Acta Math. Sin.* **1982**, *25*, 464–474. (In Chinese)
17. Zhang, Y.F.; Tam, H. A few integrable systems and spatial spectral transformations. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci.* **2009**, *14*, 3770–3783. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Zhang, Y.F.; Rui, W.J. A few continuous and discrete dynamical systems. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **2016**, *78*, 19–32. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Zhang, Y.F.; Tam, H. Applications of the Lie algebra $gl(2)$. *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **2009**, *23*, 1763–1770. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Ma, W.X. An approach for constructing non-isospectral hierarchies of evolution equations. *J. Phys. A Math. Gen.* **1992**, *25*, L719–L726. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Ma, W.X. A simple scheme for generating non-isospectral flows from the zero curvature representation. *Phys. Lett. A* **1993**, *179*, 179–185. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Qiao, Z.J. Generation of soliton hierarchy and general structure of its commutator representations. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. E* **1995**, *18*, 287–301.

23. Zhang, Y.F.; Wang, H.F.; Bai, N. A general method for generating non-isospectral integrable hierarchies by the use of loop algebras. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2005**, *25*, 425–439.
24. Zhang, Y.F.; Mei, J.Q.; Guan, H.Y. A method for generating isospectral and non-isospectral hierarchies of equations as well as symmetries. *J. Geom. Phys.* **2020**, *147*, 1–15. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Yu, F.J. A novel non-isospectral hierarchy and soliton wave dynamics for a parity-time-symmetric nonlocal vector nonlinear Gross-Pitaevskii equations. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci.* **2019**, *78*, 104852. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Gao, X.D.; Zhang, S. Inverse scattering transform for a new non-isospectral integrable nonlinear AKNS model. *Therm. Sci.* **2017**, *21*, S153–S160. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Estévez, P.G.; Sardón, C. Miura-reciprocal transformations for non-isospectral Camassa-Holm hierarchies in 2+1 dimensions. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **2013**, *20*, 552–564. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Estévez, P.G.; Lejarreta, J.D.; Sardón, C. non-isospectral 1+1 hierarchies arising from a Camassa-Holm hierarchy in 2+1 dimensions. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **2011**, *18*, 9–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Zhao, X.H.; Tiao, B.; Li, H.M.; Guo, Y.J. Solitons, periodic waves, breathers and integrability for a non-isospectral and variable-coefficient fifth-order Korteweg-de Vries equation in fluids. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2017**, *65*, 48–55. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Wang, H.F.; Zhang, Y.F. Residual Symmetries and Bäcklund Transformations of Strongly Coupled Boussinesq-Burgers System. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 1365. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Burtsev, S.P.; Zakharov, V.E.; Mikhailov, A.V. Inverse scattering method with variable spectral parameter. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **1987**, *70*, 227–240. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Cieśliński, J. Algebraic representation of the linear problem as a method to construct the Darboux-Bäcklund transformation. *Chaos Soliton Fract.* **1995**, *5*, 2303–2313. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Cieśliński, J. An algebraic method to construct the Darboux matrix. *J. Math. Phys.* **1995**, *36*, 5670. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Belinski, V.; Verdaguer, E. *Gravitational Solitons*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2001.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).