



# Article Stability of the Fréchet Equation in Quasi-Banach Spaces

# Sang Og Kim

School of Data Science, Hallym University, Chuncheon 24252, Korea; sokim@hallym.ac.kr

Received: 24 February 2020; Accepted: 24 March 2020; Published: 1 April 2020



**Abstract:** We investigate the Hyers–Ulam stability of the well-known Fréchet functional equation that comes from a characterization of inner product spaces. We also show its hyperstability on a restricted domain. We work in the framework of quasi-Banach spaces. In the proof, a fixed point theorem due to Dung and Hang, which is an extension of a fixed point theorem in Banach spaces, plays a main role.

**Keywords:** Hyers–Ulam stability; hyperstability; Fréchet equation; quasi-Banach space; fixed point theorem

**MSC:** 39B52; 39B82; 47H10

## 1. Introduction

About eighty years ago, Ulam [1] raised a problem of finding conditions under which there exists an exact additive map near an approximate additive map. An answer to the problem between Banach spaces was given by Hyers [2]. After that, many authors have studied the stability problems. We refer to [3–7] for more information.

One of the most important outcomes of the stability of functional equations is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let X, Y be two Banach spaces and  $f : X \to Y$  be a mapping. Consider the following inequality

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \le c(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p),$$
(1)

where c > 0 and  $p \neq 1$  are real constants. Then the following statements hold.

(i) If  $p \ge 0$  and (1) holds for all  $x, y \in X$ , then there exists a unique additive mapping  $T : X \to Y$  such that

$$||f(x) - T(x)|| \le \frac{c}{|1 - 2^{p-1}|} ||x||^p$$
 for all  $x \in X$ .

(ii) If p < 0 and (1) holds for all  $x, y \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , then f is additive.

The case p = 0 is reduced to the stability by Hyers [2]. The case 0 is due to Aoki [8] (see also [9]). Gajda [10] showed the stability of the Cauchy functional equation for <math>p > 1. Statement (ii) was proved first by Lee [11] and Brzdęk [12] showed it on a restricted domain.

Let *G* be an additive abelian group and let *Y* be a linear space. We say that  $f : G \to Y$  satisfies the *Fréchet equation* if

$$f(x+y) + f(y+z) + f(x+z) = f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z), \quad x, y, z \in G.$$
 (2)

The above equation was introduced by the classical equality

$$\|x+y\|^{2} + \|y+z\|^{2} + \|x+z\|^{2} = \|x+y+z\|^{2} + \|x\|^{2} + \|y\|^{2} + \|z\|^{2}, \quad x, y, z \in Y$$
(3)

in real or complex inner product spaces Y. In 1935, Fréchet [13] proved that in a normed space Y, (3) is equivalent to the fact that Y is an inner product space.

Recall that a map  $q: G \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *quadratic* if it satisfies

$$q(x+y) + q(x-y) = 2q(x) + 2q(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

It is known that every solution of (2) is of the form f = a + q, where  $a : G \to Y$  is an additive mapping and  $q : G \to Y$  is a quadratic mapping. (see, e.g., [14]). The stability of (2) in Banach spaces has been investigated by many authors (see, e.g., [15–22]). In particular, Bahyrycz et al. [15], Brzdęk et al. [16] and Malejki [21] have studied the generalized Fréchet functional equations with constant coefficients using a fixed point theorem in metric spaces by Brzdęk et al. [23].

In recent studies of the stability of functional equations, fixed point theorems play important roles. Dung and Hang [24] generalized the fixed point theorem of Brzdęk et al. [23] in metric spaces to *b*-metric spaces, and hence to quasi-Banach spaces. By using that fixed point theorem, they obtained a hyperstability of general linear equations. For more information on the stability of functional equations and fixed point theorems, we refer to [25,26].

Several authors have studied the stability of many functional equations in quasi-Banach spaces (see, e.g., [24,27–32]).

The purpose of this paper is to obtain the (hyper)stability of (2) by using the fixed point theorem of Dung and Hang [24].

This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we consider the hyperstability of (2) on a restricted domain. More precisly, let *X* be a nonempty subset of a quasi-normed linear space and *Y* be a quasi-Banach space. We say that a function  $f : X \to Y$  satisfies the *Fréchet equation on X* if

$$f(x+y) + f(y+z) + f(x+z) = f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x + y + z, x + y, y + z, x + z \in X$ . We will show that Fréchet equation on X is hyperstable; that is, if  $f : X \to Y$  satisfies

$$\|f(x+y) + f(y+z) + f(x+z) - f(x+y+z) - f(x) - f(y) - f(z)\| \le c(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$
(4)

for all x, y, z in some set X, p < 0 and  $c \ge 0$ , then f must satisfy the Fréchet equation on X.

In Section 3, we consider the Hyers–Ulam stability results of (2) in quasi-Banach spaces. Especially, we investigate (4) for various  $p \ge 0$ .

In Section 4, we show that the Fréchet equation is not stable for p = 1, 2.

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  stands for the set of all positive integers,  $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$  and  $A^B$  denotes the family of all functions mapping a set  $B \neq \emptyset$  into a set  $A \neq \emptyset$ .

We recall some relevant notions of quasi-Banach spaces:

**Definition 1.** Let X be a nonempty set,  $\kappa \ge 1$  and  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

1. 
$$d(x, y) = 0$$
 if and only if  $x = y$ .

- 2. d(x,y) = d(y,x).
- 3.  $d(x,z) \le \kappa(d(x,y) + d(y,z)).$

Then

1. *d* is called a *b*-metric on X and  $(X, d, \kappa)$  is called a *b*-metric space.

- 2. The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent to x in  $(X, d, \kappa)$  if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ .
- 3. The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$ .
- 4. The space  $(X, d, \kappa)$  is said to be complete if each Cauchy sequence is convergent.

**Definition 2.** Let X be a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\kappa \ge 1$  and  $\|\cdot\| : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and all  $a \in \mathbb{K}$ ,

- 1. ||x|| = 0 if and only if x = 0.
- 2. |ax|| = |a|||x||.
- 3.  $||x + y|| \le \kappa(||x|| + ||y||).$

*Then*  $\|\cdot\|$  *is called a quasi-norm on* X *and*  $(X, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  *is called a quasi-normed space.* 

Note that if  $(X, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  is a quasi-normed space, letting  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$  for  $x, y \in X$ ,  $(X, d, \kappa)$  becomes a *b*-metric space. Complete quasi-normed spaces are called *quasi-Banach spaces*.

A quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is called a *p*-norm (0 if

$$||x+y||^p \le ||x||^p + ||y||^p, x, y \in X$$

In this case, we call the quasi-Banach space a *p*-Banach space. It is well-known that each quasi-norm is equivalent to some *p*-norm (see [33]). Since working with *p*-norms is much easier than working with quasi-norms, authors often restrict their attention to *p*-norms when they study the stability of functional equations between quasi-Banach spaces. However we will investigate the stability in quasi-Banach spaces with quasi-norms.

One of the most important class of quasi-Banach spaces is the class of  $L^{p}(\mu)$  for 0 with the usual quasi-norm

$$||f||_p = \left(\int |f|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In this case,

$$\|f+g\|_p \le 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \left(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p\right), \quad f,g \in L^p(\mu).$$

Hence, taking a particular case of  $L^{p}(\mu)$ , we have the following example.

**Example 1.** For  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , define the quasi-norm of  $(x_1, x_2)$  by

$$||(x_1, x_2)|| = \left(\sqrt{|x_1|} + \sqrt{|x_2|}\right)^2.$$

*Then*  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|, 2)$  *is a quasi-Banach space.* 

The following lemma can be seen easily from 3 of Definition 2.

**Lemma 1** ([31]). Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  be a quasi-normed space and  $x_1, \ldots, x_{2n+1} \in X$ . Then

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{2n} x_j\right\| \le \kappa^n \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \|x_j\|, \quad \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} x_j\right\| \le \kappa^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \|x_j\|.$$

### 2. Hyperstability of (2) on a Restricted Domain

The following theorem, which is a generalization of the outcome of [23], is the main tool in proving the results of this paper.

Theorem 2 ([24], Corollary 2.2). Suppose that

Mathematics 2020, 8, 490

- 1. X is a nonempty set,  $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  is a quasi-Banach space, and  $J : Y^X \to Y^X$  is a given function.
- 2. There exist  $f_1, \ldots, f_n : X \to X$  and  $L_1, \ldots, L_n : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  such that for every  $\xi, \mu \in Y^X$ ,  $x \in X$ ,

$$\|J\xi(x) - J\mu(x)\| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i(x) \|\xi(f_i(x)) - \mu(f_i(x))\|.$$
(5)

3. There exist  $\epsilon : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\phi : X \to Y$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\|J\phi(x) - \phi(x)\| \le \epsilon(x).$$
(6)

4. For every  $x \in X$  and  $\theta = \log_{2\kappa} 2$ ,

$$\epsilon^*(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^n \epsilon)^{\theta}(x) < \infty, \tag{7}$$

where

$$\Lambda\delta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i(x)\delta(f_i(x)) \tag{8}$$

for all  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^X_+$  and  $x \in X$ .

Then we have

1. For every  $x \in X$ , the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} J^n \phi(x) = \psi(x), \tag{9}$$

exists and the function  $\psi : X \to Y$  so defined is a fixed point of J satisfying

$$\|\phi(x) - \psi(x)\|^{\theta} \le 4\epsilon^*(x) \tag{10}$$

*for all*  $x \in X$ *.* 

2. For every  $x \in X$ , if

$$\epsilon^*(x) \le \left(M\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^n \epsilon)(x)\right)^{\theta} < \infty$$
 (11)

for some positive real number M, then the fixed point of J satisfying (10) is unique.

Now we state the main result of this section. Note that the domain of the mapping *f* is a subset of a quasi-normed space that is not necessarily a commutative group. We adapt some ideas from [34,35]. Throughout this section, we denote  $X := X_0 \setminus \{0\}$  for a subset  $(0 \in X_0)$  of a quasi-Banach space.

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $X_0$  is a nonempty subset of a quasi-normed space such that  $0 \in X_0 = -X_0$  and there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $nx \in X_0$  for all  $x \in X_0$  and for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Let  $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  be a quasi-Banach space, p < 0 and  $c \ge 0$ . If  $f : X_0 \to Y$  is a mapping that satisfies f(0) = 0 and

$$\|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)\| \le c(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$
(12)

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x + y + z, x + y, y + z, x + z \in X_0$ , then f satisfies the Fréchet equation on X.

**Proof.** First observe that  $\lim_{m\to\infty} m^p = 0$ , so there exists an integer  $m_0$  such that

$$\kappa^2 (2(m+1)^p + 2m^p + (2m+1)^p) < 1$$
 for  $m \ge m_0$ .

Let us fix  $m \ge \max\{n_0, m_0\}$ . Replacing (x, y, z) with ((m + 1)x, mx, -mx) in (12), we have

$$\left\|2f((m+1)x) + f(mx) + f(-mx) - f((2m+1)x) - f(x)\right\| \le c((m+1)^p + 2m^p) \|x\|^p$$
(13)

for all  $x \in X$ .

Consider the mappings  $J : Y^X \to Y^X$  and  $\varepsilon : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  given by

$$J\xi(x) = 2\xi\big((m+1)x\big) + \xi(mx) + \xi(-mx) - \xi\big((2m+1)x\big), \quad \xi \in Y^X, \ x \in X,$$

and

$$\epsilon(x) = c((m+1)^p + 2m^p) ||x||^p, \quad x \in X.$$

The inequality (13) then becomes

$$||Jf(x) - f(x)|| \le \epsilon(x), \quad x \in X,$$

so that (6) holds true. For every  $\xi$ ,  $\mu \in Y^X$  and  $x \in X$ , we have by Lemma 1

$$\begin{split} \|J\xi(x) - J\mu(x)\| \\ &\leq \kappa^2 \left( 2 \left\| (\xi - \mu) \left( (m+1)x \right) \right\| + \|(\xi - \mu)(mx)\| + \|(\xi - \mu)(-mx)\| + \|(\xi - \mu) \left( (2m+1)x \right) \| \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 L_i(x) \|(\xi - \mu)(f_ix)\|, \end{split}$$

so that *J* satisfies (5) with  $f_1(x) = (m+1)x$ ,  $f_2(x) = mx$ ,  $f_3(x) = -mx$ ,  $f_4(x) = (2m+1)x$ ,  $L_1(x) = 2\kappa^2$ , and  $L_2(x) = L_3(x) = L_4(x) = \kappa^2$ . Let  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^X_+ \to \mathbb{R}^X_+$  be given by

$$\Lambda\eta(x) = 2\kappa^2\eta\big((m+1)x\big) + \kappa^2\eta(mx) + \kappa^2\eta(-mx) + \kappa^2\eta\big((2m+1)x\big), \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}^X_+, \ x \in X.$$
(14)

Then

$$\Lambda \epsilon(x) = \kappa^2 \left( 2\epsilon((m+1)x) + \epsilon(mx) + \epsilon(-mx) + \epsilon((2m+1)x) \right)$$
  
=  $\kappa^2 \left( 2(m+1)^p + 2m^p + (2m+1)^p \right) \epsilon(x), \quad x \in X.$  (15)

Since  $\Lambda$  is linear, we have by induction

$$\Lambda^{n}\epsilon(x) = \left[\kappa^{2}\left(2(m+1)^{p} + 2m^{p} + (2m+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{n} \left[c\left((m+1)^{p} + 2m^{p}\right) \|x\|^{p}\right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X.$$
(16)

Hence, noting that  $0 < \theta = \log_{2\kappa} 2 \le 1$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^*(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^n \epsilon)^{\theta}(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \kappa^2 (2(m+1)^p + 2m^p + (2m+1)^p) \right]^{n\theta} \left[ c((m+1)^p + 2m^p) \|x\|^p \right]^{\theta} \\ &= \frac{\left[ c((m+1)^p + 2m^p) \|x\|^p \right]^{\theta}}{1 - \left[ \kappa^2 (2(m+1)^p + 2m^p + (2m+1)^p) \right]^{\theta}}, \quad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$
(17)

Thus, by Theorem 2, there is a solution  $F : X \to Y$  of the equation

$$2F((m+1)x) + F(mx) + F(-mx) - F((2m+1)x) = F(x)$$

Mathematics 2020, 8, 490

such that

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\|^{\theta} \le \frac{4\left[c\left((m+1)^{p} + 2m^{p}\right)\|x\|^{p}\right]^{\theta}}{1 - \left[\kappa^{2}\left(2(m+1)^{p} + 2m^{p} + (2m+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{\theta}}, \quad x \in X.$$
(18)

Moreover,

$$F(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} J^n f(x), \quad x \in X.$$
(19)

To prove that *F* satisfies the Fréchet equation on *X*, observe that

$$\|J^{n}f(x+y+z) + J^{n}f(x) + J^{n}f(y) + J^{n}f(z) - J^{n}f(x+y) - J^{n}f(y+z) - J^{n}f(x+z)\|$$

$$\leq c \left[\kappa^{2} \left(2(m+1)^{p} + 2m^{p} + (2m+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{n} \left(\|x\|^{p} + \|y\|^{p} + \|z\|^{p}\right)$$
(20)

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x + y + z, x + y, y + z, x + z \in X$ . In fact, this can be obtained from (12) by induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in (20), it follows from (19) that

$$F(x + y + z) + F(x) + F(y) + F(z) - F(x + y) - F(y + z) - F(x + z) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x + y + z, x + y, y + z, x + z \in X$ .

Until now, we have proved that for every integer  $m \ge \max\{n_0, m_0\}$ , there exists a mapping  $F_m : X \to Y$  satisfying

$$F_m(x+y+z) + F_m(x) + F_m(y) + F_m(z) - F_m(x+y) - F_m(y+z) - F_m(x+z) = 0,$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x + y + z, x + y, y + z, x + z \in X$ , and

$$\|f(x) - F_m(x)\|^{\theta} \le \frac{4\left[c\left((m+1)^p + 2m^p\right)\|x\|^p\right]^{\theta}}{1 - \left[\kappa^2\left(2(m+1)^p + 2m^p + (2m+1)^p\right)\right]^{\theta}}$$
(21)

for all  $x \in X$ .

Now, we show that  $F_m = F_k$  for all  $m, k \ge \max\{m_0, n_0\}$ . Fix  $m, k \ge \max\{m_0, n_0\}$  and denote  $\epsilon_m(x) = c((m+1)^p + 2m^p) ||x||^p$  and  $\epsilon_k(x) = c((k+1)^p + 2k^p) ||x||^p$  for all  $x \in X$ .

By (21), we get

$$\|F_{m}(x) - F_{k}(x)\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\kappa 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \epsilon_{m}(x)}{\left[1 - \left[\kappa^{2} \left(2(m+1)^{p} + 2m^{p} + (2m+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}$$

$$+ \frac{\kappa 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \epsilon_{k}(x)}{\left[1 - \left[\kappa^{2} \left(2(k+1)^{p} + 2k^{p} + (2k+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}.$$

$$(22)$$

Noting that  $F_m$  and  $F_k$  are fixed points of J, and  $\Lambda$  is linear, we have by (16) and (22)

$$\begin{split} \|F_{m}(x) - F_{k}(x)\| \\ &= \|J^{n}F_{m}(x) - J^{n}F_{k}(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\Lambda^{n}\epsilon_{m}(x)}{\left[1 - \left[\kappa^{2}\left(2(m+1)^{p} + 2m^{p} + (2m+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \\ &+ \frac{\kappa 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\Lambda^{n}\epsilon_{k}(x)}{\left[1 - \left[\kappa^{2}\left(2(k+1)^{p} + 2k^{p} + (2k+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \\ &= \frac{\kappa 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\left[\kappa^{2}\left(2(m+1)^{p} + 2m^{p} + (2m+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{n}\epsilon_{m}(x)}{\left[1 - \left[\kappa^{2}\left(2(m+1)^{p} + 2m^{p} + (2m+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \\ &+ \frac{\kappa 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\left[\kappa^{2}\left(2(k+1)^{p} + 2k^{p} + (2k+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{\theta}\epsilon_{k}(x)}{\left[1 - \left[\kappa^{2}\left(2(k+1)^{p} + 2k^{p} + (2k+1)^{p}\right)\right]^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \\ &\to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \end{split}$$

Hence  $F_m = F_k$  and we denote it by  $F := F_m = F_k$ . Then, by (21), it follows that

$$\|f(x) - F(x)\| \le \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}} c((m+1)^p + 2m^p) \|x\|^p}{\left[1 - \left[\kappa^2 \left(2(m+1)^p + 2m^p + (2m+1)^p\right)\right]^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}$$
(23)

for all  $x \in X$ . Since p < 0, the right hand side of (23) tends to zero as  $m \to \infty$ . Hence, we conclude that f(x) = F(x) for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore, f satisfies the Fréchet equation on X, completing the proof.  $\Box$ 

Notice that the assumption of unboundedness of *X* is indispensable.

**Example 2.** Let  $X_0 = [-1, 1]$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2$  be the quasi-Banach space in Example 1 and  $f : X_0 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by f(x) = (|x|, 0),  $x \in X_0$ . Then for all  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x + y + z, x + y, y + z, x + z \in X$ ,

$$\|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)\| \le 3(|x|^p + |y|^p + |z|^p)$$

for p < 0. However f does not satisfy the Fréchet equation on X.

In the case of  $p \ge 0$ , the Fréchet equation is not hyperstable.

**Remark 1.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]$ , Y be a quasi-Banach space and let  $f : X \to Y$  be a constant function  $f(x) = c, x \in X$  for some  $c \neq 0 \in Y$  and  $p \ge 0$ . Then f satisfies

$$\|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)\| \le \|c\|(|x|^p + |y|^p + |z|^p)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x + y + z, x + y, y + z, x + z \in X$ . However f does not satisfy the Fréchet equation on X.

#### 3. Stability of (2) on Abelian Groups

In this section, we investigate the stability of (2) and as byproducts we get stability results of (4) for various  $p \ge 0$  similar to Theorem 1 (see Corollaries 2, 3 and 4 below).

**Lemma 2.** Let G be an additive abelian group and Y be a linear space. If  $f : G \to Y$  is a mapping satisfying (2) with f(2x) = 2f(x) for all  $x \in G$ , then f is additive.

**Proof.** We first note that f(0) = 0. Replacing (x, y, z) with (x, x, -x) in (2), we have

$$3f(x) + f(-x) = f(2x) = 2f(x), \quad x \in G,$$

and hence,

$$f(-x) = -f(x), \quad x \in G.$$

Replacing (x, y, z) with (x, y, -y) in (2), we get

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x), \quad x, y \in G.$$
 (24)

Replacing (x, y) with (y, x) in (24), we have

$$f(x+y) + f(y-x) = 2f(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$
 (25)

Adding (24) and (25), we obtain

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

**Lemma 3.** Let G be an additive abelian group and Y be a linear space. If  $f : G \to Y$  is a mapping satisfying (2) with f(2x) = 4f(x) for all  $x \in G$ , then f is quadratic.

**Proof.** Replacing (x, y, z) with (x, x, -x) in (2), we have

$$Bf(x) + f(-x) = f(2x) = 4f(x), \quad x \in G,$$

and hence,

$$f(-x) = f(x), \quad x \in G.$$

Replacing (x, y, z) with (x, y, -y) in (2), we get

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + f(y) + f(-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

Hence, by definition, *f* is quadratic.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.** Assume that (X, +) is an abelian group,  $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  is a quasi-Banach space and L < 1 is a real number such that  $0 < \frac{\kappa}{3}(2L+1) < 1$ . Let  $\varphi : X^3 \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function such that

$$\varphi(2x, 2y, 2z) \le 2L\varphi(x, y, z), \quad \varphi(x, y, z) = \varphi(-x, -y, -z), \quad x, y, z \in X$$

If  $f : X \to Y$  is a mapping that satisfies f(0) = 0 and

$$\|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)\| \le \varphi(x,y,z)$$
(26)

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then there exists a unique mapping  $g : X \to Y$  satisfying (2) such that

$$\|f(x) - g(x)\| \le \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\left[3^{\theta} - \kappa^{\theta}(2L+1)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$
(27)

**Proof.** Replacing (x, y, z) with (x, x, -x) in (26), we have

$$||f(2x) - 3f(x) - f(-x)|| \le \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X,$$

so that

$$\left\|\frac{1}{3}f(2x) - \frac{1}{3}f(-x) - f(x)\right\| \le \frac{1}{3}\varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$
(28)  
Consider the mappings  $J: Y^X \to Y^X$  and  $\varepsilon: X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  given by

$$J\xi(x) = \frac{1}{3}\xi(2x) - \frac{1}{3}\xi(-x), \quad \xi \in Y^X, \ x \in X,$$

and

$$\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{3}\varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$

The inequality (28) becomes

$$||Jf(x) - f(x)|| \le \epsilon(x), \quad x \in X,$$

so that (6) holds true. For every  $\xi$ ,  $\eta \in Y^X$  and  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\|J\xi(x) - J\eta(x)\| \le \frac{\kappa}{3} \|\xi(2x) - \eta(2x)\| + \frac{\kappa}{3} \|\xi(-x) - \eta(-x)\|,$$

and hence, *J* satisfies (5) with  $f_1(x) = 2x$ ,  $f_2(x) = -x$  and  $L_1(x) = L_2(x) = \frac{\kappa}{3}$ . Let  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^X_+ \to \mathbb{R}^X_+$  be given by

$$\Lambda \eta(x) = \kappa \left( \frac{1}{3} \eta(2x) + \frac{1}{3} \eta(-x) \right), \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}^X_+, \ x \in X.$$

Then we have

$$\Lambda \epsilon(x) = \kappa \left( \frac{1}{3} \epsilon(2x) + \frac{1}{3} \epsilon(-x) \right) \leq \frac{\kappa}{3} (2L+1) \epsilon(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Note that  $\Lambda$  is order-preserving, that is, if  $\xi(x) \ge \eta(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , then

$$\Lambda \xi(x) = \Lambda \xi(x) - \Lambda \eta(x) + \Lambda \eta(x) = \Lambda (\xi - \eta)(x) + \Lambda \eta(x) \ge \Lambda \eta(x).$$

Hence, we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\Lambda^n \epsilon(x) \le \left(\kappa \frac{2L+1}{3}\right)^n \epsilon(x), \quad x \in X.$$

As  $\frac{\kappa(2L+1)}{3} < 1$  and  $0 < \theta = \log_{2\kappa} 2 \le 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \epsilon^*(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \Lambda^n \epsilon \right)^{\theta}(x) \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\kappa(2L+1)}{3} \right)^{n\theta} \epsilon^{\theta}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \left( \frac{\kappa(2L+1)}{3} \right)^{\theta}} \left( \frac{1}{3} \varphi(x, x, -x) \right)^{\theta} = \frac{1}{3^{\theta} - \kappa^{\theta}(2L+1)^{\theta}} \varphi(x, x, -x)^{\theta}, \quad x \in X. \end{split}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2, there exists a mapping  $g : X \to Y$  such that

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} J^{n} f(x), \qquad x \in X,$$
  

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{3}g(2x) - \frac{1}{3}g(-x), \qquad x \in X,$$
(29)

and

$$\|f(x)-g(x)\|^{\theta} \leq \frac{4}{3^{\theta}-\kappa^{\theta}(2L+1)^{\theta}}\varphi(x,x,-x)^{\theta}, \quad x \in X,$$

from which inequality (27) follows.

Now we show that g satisfies (2). From (26) and the definition of J, we have

$$\begin{split} \|Jf(x+y+z) + Jf(x) + Jf(y) + Jf(z) - Jf(x+y) - Jf(y+z) - Jf(x+z)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{3}\varphi(2x,2y,2z) + \frac{\kappa}{3}\varphi(-x,-y,-z) \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa(2L+1)}{3}\varphi(x,y,z), \quad x \in X. \end{split}$$

By induction, we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|J^{n}f(x+y+z) + J^{n}f(x) + J^{n}f(y) + J^{n}f(z) - J^{n}f(x+y) - J^{n}f(y+z) - J^{n}f(x+z)\| \le \left(\frac{\kappa(2L+1)}{3}\right)^{n}\varphi(x,y,z), \quad x,y,z \in X.$$
(30)

Therefore, letting  $n \to \infty$  in (30), we get

$$g(x+y+z) + g(x) + g(y) + g(z) - g(x+y) - g(y+z) - g(x+z) = 0, \quad x, y, z \in X$$

Next, we show the uniqueness of *g*. Assume that  $g_1, g_2 : X \to Y$  are mappings satisfying (2) and

$$\|f(x) - g_i(x)\|^{\theta} \le \frac{4}{3^{\theta} - \kappa^{\theta}(2L+1)^{\theta}} \varphi(x, x, -x)^{\theta}, \quad i = 1, 2, x \in X.$$

Then, by inequality 3 in Definition 2,

$$\|g_1(x)-g_2(x)\| \leq \frac{2\cdot 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\kappa}{\left[3^{\theta}-\kappa^{\theta}(2L+1)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\varphi(x,x,-x), \quad x\in X.$$

Note by (29) that

$$g_i(x) = \frac{1}{3}g_i(2x) - \frac{1}{3}g_i(-x), \quad i = 1, 2, \ x \in X.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \|g_{1}(x) - g_{2}(x)\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{3} (g_{1}(2x) - g_{2}(2x)) - \frac{1}{3} (g_{1}(-x) - g_{2}(-x)) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{3} \frac{2 \cdot 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \kappa}{\left[ 3^{\theta} - \kappa^{\theta} (2L+1)^{\theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \varphi(2x, 2x, -2x) \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa}{3} \frac{2 \cdot 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \kappa}{\left[ 3^{\theta} - \kappa^{\theta} (2L+1)^{\theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \varphi(-x, -x, x) \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa (2L+1)}{3} \frac{2 \cdot 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \kappa}{\left[ 3^{\theta} - \kappa^{\theta} (2L+1)^{\theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X. \end{split}$$

10 of 20

Applying the same argument repeatedly, it is easy to show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|g_{1}(x) - g_{2}(x)\| \leq \left[\frac{\kappa(2L+1)}{3}\right]^{n} \frac{2 \cdot 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\kappa}{\left[3^{\theta} - \kappa^{\theta}(2L+1)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$
(31)

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in (31), we obtain  $g_1 = g_2$ , as desired.  $\Box$ 

Putting  $\varphi(x, y, z) \equiv c$ , we have the following classical Ulam stability of the functional equation under consideration.

**Corollary 1.** Assume that (X, +) is an abelian group,  $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  is a quasi-Banach space with  $\kappa < \frac{3}{2}$  and  $c \ge 0$  is a constant. If  $f : X \to Y$  is a mapping that satisfies f(0) = 0 and

$$\|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)\| \le c$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then there exists a unique mapping  $g : X \to Y$  satisfying (2) such that

$$\|f(x)-g(x)\| \leq \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}c}{\left[3^{\theta}-2^{\theta}\kappa^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}, \quad x \in X.$$

**Proof.** We use Theorem 4 applied with  $L = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\varphi(x, y, z) = c$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .  $\Box$ 

As an example of Theorem 4, we have the following stability of (4) for 0 .

**Corollary 2.** Let (X, +) be an abelian subgroup of a quasi-normed space and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  be a quasi-Banach space. Assume that, for some 0 and some <math>c > 0, the mapping  $f : X \to Y$  satisfies

$$\|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)\| \le c(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . If  $1 \le \kappa < \frac{3}{2^{p-1}+1}$ , then there exists a unique mapping  $g: X \to Y$  satisfying (2) such that

$$||f(x) - g(x)|| \le \frac{3 \cdot 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}c}{\left[3^{\theta} - \kappa^{\theta}(2^{p} + 1)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} ||x||^{p}, \quad x \in X.$$

**Proof.** Taking  $L = 2^{p-1}$  in Theorem 4, we obtain the result.  $\Box$ 

Recall that an abelian group (X, +) is called *uniquely* 2-*divisible* if for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a unique  $y \in X$  such that 2y = x. We denote  $y = \frac{x}{2}$ .

**Theorem 5.** Assume that (X, +) is a uniquely 2-divisible abelian group,  $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  is a quasi-Banach space and  $0 < L < \frac{1}{\kappa}$  is a real number. Let  $\varphi : X^3 \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function such that

$$\varphi(x,y,z) \leq \frac{L}{4}\varphi(2x,2y,2z), \quad \varphi(x,y,z) = \varphi(-x,-y,-z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . If  $f : X \to Y$  is a mapping that satisfies

$$\|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)\| \le \varphi(x,y,z)$$
(32)

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then there exists a unique mapping  $g : X \to Y$  satisfying (2) such that

$$\|f(x) - g(x)\| \le \frac{L}{4} \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\left[1 - (\kappa L)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$
(33)

**Proof.** We first note that f(0) = 0. Replacing (x, y, z) with  $(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2})$  in (32), we have

$$\left\|f(x) - 3f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \le \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X.$$
(34)

Consider the mappings  $J : Y^X \to Y^X$  and  $\epsilon : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  given by

$$J\xi(x) = 3\xi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \xi\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad \xi \in Y^X, \ x \in X$$

and

$$\epsilon(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X.$$

Then inequality (34) becomes

$$\|Jf(x) - f(x)\| \le \epsilon(x), \quad x \in X,$$

so that (6) holds true. For every  $\xi, \eta \in Y^X$  and  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\|J\xi(x) - J\eta(x)\| \leq 3\kappa \left\|\xi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \eta\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| + \kappa \left\|\xi\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) - \eta\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|,$$

so that *J* satisfies (5) with  $f_1(x) = \frac{x}{2}$ ,  $f_2(x) = -\frac{x}{2}$ ,  $L_1(x) = 3\kappa$  and  $L_2(x) = \kappa$ . Let  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^X_+ \to \mathbb{R}^X_+$  be given by

$$\Lambda \eta(x) = 3\kappa \eta\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \kappa \eta\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}^X_+, \ x \in X.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} \Lambda \epsilon(x) &= 3\kappa \epsilon\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \kappa \epsilon\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) = 3\kappa \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^2}, \frac{x}{2^2}, -\frac{x}{2^2}\right) + \kappa \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2^2}, -\frac{x}{2^2}, \frac{x}{2^2}\right) \\ &= 4\kappa \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^2}, \frac{x}{2^2}, -\frac{x}{2^2}\right) = 4\kappa \epsilon\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X. \end{split}$$

By induction on *n*, we get

$$\Lambda^n \epsilon(x) = 4^n \kappa^n \epsilon\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \quad x \in X,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^*(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^n \epsilon)^{\theta}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (4^n \kappa^n)^{\theta} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, -\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{\theta} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 4^{n\theta} \kappa^{n\theta} \left(\frac{L}{4}\right)^{(n+1)\theta} \varphi(x, x, -x)^{\theta} = \left(\frac{L}{4}\right)^{\theta} \frac{1}{1 - (\kappa L)^{\theta}} \varphi(x, x, -x)^{\theta}, \quad x \in X, \end{aligned}$$

so that (7) holds true. Therefore, by Theorem 2, there exists a mapping  $g : X \to Y$  such that

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} J^n f(x), \quad x \in X,$$
  

$$g(x) = 3g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + g\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X,$$
(35)

and

$$\|f(x) - g(x)\|^{\theta} \le \left(\frac{L}{4}\right)^{\theta} \frac{4}{1 - (\kappa L)^{\theta}} \varphi(x, x, -x)^{\theta}, \quad x \in X.$$
(36)

Inequality (33) follows from (36)

Now we show that g satisfies (2). From (32) and the definition of J, we have

$$\begin{split} \|Jf(x+y+z) + Jf(x) + Jf(y) + Jf(z) - Jf(x+y) - Jf(y+z) - Jf(x+z)\| \\ &\leq 3\kappa\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) + \kappa\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{y}{2}, -\frac{z}{2}\right) \\ &= 4\kappa\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \kappa L\varphi(x, y, z), \quad x \in X. \end{split}$$

By induction, we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\|J^{n}f(x+y+z) + J^{n}f(x) + J^{n}f(y) + J^{n}f(z) - J^{n}f(x+y) - J^{n}f(y+z) - J^{n}f(x+z)\|$$
  

$$\leq (\kappa L)^{n}\varphi(x,y,z).$$
(37)

Therefore, letting  $n \to \infty$  in (37), we obtain

$$g(x+y+z) + g(x) + g(y) + g(z) - g(x+y) - g(y+z) - g(x+z) = 0, \quad x, y, z \in X.$$

Next, we show the uniqueness of *g*. Assume that  $g_1, g_2 : X \to Y$  are mappings satisfying (2) and

$$||f(x) - g_i(x)|| \le \frac{L}{4} \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\left[1 - (\kappa L)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad i = 1, 2, \ x \in X.$$

Then

$$\|g_1(x)-g_2(x)\| \leq \frac{\kappa L}{2} \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\left[1-(\kappa L)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \varphi(x,x,-x), \quad x \in X.$$

By (35), we have

$$g_i(x) = 3g_i\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + g_i\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, \ x \in X.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \|g_{1}(x) - g_{2}(x)\| \\ &= 3\kappa \left\|g_{1}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - g_{2}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| + \kappa \left\|g_{1}\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right) - g_{2}\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa L}{2} \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\left[1 - (\kappa L)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \left(3\kappa\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) + \kappa\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{(\kappa L)^{2}}{2} \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\left[1 - (\kappa L)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X. \end{split}$$

In this way, it is easy to show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|g_1(x) - g_2(x)\| \le \frac{(\kappa L)^n}{2} \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\left[1 - (\kappa L)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$
(38)

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in (38), it follows that  $g_1 = g_2$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

As an application of Theorem 5, we have the following stability of (4) for p > 2.

**Corollary 3.** *Let* (X, +) *be a uniquely 2-divisible abelian subgroup of a quasi-normed space and*  $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  *be a quasi-Banach space. Assume*  $f : X \to Y$  *is a mapping that satisfies* 

$$\|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)\| \le c(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p),$$

for p > 2, c > 0 and for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . If  $1 \le \kappa < 2^{p-2}$ , then there exists a unique mapping  $g : X \to Y$  satisfying (2) such that

$$||f(x) - g(x)|| \le \frac{3 \cdot 2^{-p} \cdot 4^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \cdot c}{\left[1 - (2^{2-p}\kappa)^{\theta}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} ||x||^{p}, \quad x \in X.$$

**Proof.** Taking  $L = 2^{2-p}$  and applying Theorem 5, we get the result.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 6.** Assume that (X, +) is a uniquely 2-divisible abelian group,  $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  is a quasi-Banach space and L < 1 is a real number. Let  $\varphi : X^3 \to \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function such that

$$\varphi(2x, 2y, 2z) \le 4L\varphi(x, y, z), \ \varphi(x, y, z) \le \frac{L}{2}\varphi(2x, 2y, 2z), \ \varphi(x, y, z) = \varphi(-x, -y, -z)$$
(39)

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . If  $f : X \to Y$  is a mapping that satisfies

$$\|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)\| \le \varphi(x,y,z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then there exist a unique additive mapping  $g_0 : X \to Y$  and a unique quadratic mapping  $g_e : X \to Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - g_{\theta}(x) - g_{\theta}(x)\| \le \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta} - 1}\kappa^{2}(1 + 2L)}{(1 - L^{\theta})^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$
(40)

**Proof.** Note first that f(0) = 0. Let  $f_e : X \to Y$  and  $f_o : X \to Y$  be the even and odd parts of f, respectively. That is,  $f_e(x) = \frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}$ ,  $f_o(x) = \frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$  for  $x \in X$ . Then  $f_e(0) = f_o(0) = 0$ . It is easy to show that

$$\|f_e(x+y+z) + f_e(x) + f_e(y) + f_e(z) - f_e(x+y) - f_e(y+z) - f_e(x+z)\| \le \kappa \varphi(x,y,z)$$
(41)

and analogously,

$$\|f_o(x+y+z) + f_o(x) + f_o(y) + f_o(z) - f_o(x+y) - f_o(y+z) - f_o(x+z)\| \le \kappa \varphi(x,y,z),$$
(42)

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Replacing (x, y, z) with (x, x, -x) in (41), we have

$$||f_e(2x) - 3f_e(x) - f_e(-x)|| \le \kappa \varphi(x, x, -x),$$

so that

$$\|f_e(2x)-4f_e(x)\|\leq\kappa\varphi(x,x,-x),\quad x\in X.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\|\frac{1}{4}f_e(2x) - f_e(x)\| \le \frac{1}{4}\kappa\varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$
(43)

As before, define mappings J,  $\Lambda$  and  $\epsilon$  by

$$J\xi(x) = \frac{1}{4}\xi(2x), \quad \xi \in Y^X, \quad x \in X,$$
  

$$\Lambda\delta(x) = \frac{1}{4}\delta(2x), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}^X_+, \quad x \in X,$$
  

$$\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{4}\kappa\varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$

Then, we have by (43)

$$\|Jf_e(x)-f_e(x)\|\leq \epsilon(x),$$

so that (6) holds true.

For every  $\xi$ ,  $\eta \in Y^X$  and  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\|J\xi(x) - J\eta(x)\| \le \frac{1}{4}\|(\xi(2x) - \eta(2x))\|,$$

from which *J* satisfies (5) with  $f_1(x) = 2x$  and  $L_1(x) = \frac{1}{4}$ . Note that

$$\begin{split} \Lambda^n \epsilon(x) &= \frac{1}{4^n} \epsilon(2^n x) \\ &= \frac{1}{4^{n+1}} \kappa \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, -2^n x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^{n+1}} (4L)^n \kappa \varphi(x, x, -x) = \frac{\kappa}{4} L^n \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X. \end{split}$$

Hence, we get

$$\epsilon^*(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^n \epsilon)^{\theta}(x) \le \frac{(\frac{\kappa}{4})^{\theta}}{1 - L^{\theta}} \varphi(x, x, -x)^{\theta}, \quad x \in X,$$

so that (7) holds true. Therefore, by Theorem 2 there exists a mapping  $g_e : X \to Y$  such that

$$g_e(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} J^n f_e(x), \quad x \in X,$$
  

$$g_e(x) = \frac{1}{4} g_e(2x), \quad x \in X,$$
(44)

and

$$\|g_e(x) - f_e(x)\|^{\theta} \le \frac{4(\frac{\kappa}{4})^{\theta}}{1 - L^{\theta}}\varphi(x, x, -x)^{\theta}, \quad x \in X.$$

$$\tag{45}$$

Since, by (41)

$$\begin{split} \|J^{n}f_{e}(x+y+z)+J^{n}f_{e}(x)+J^{n}f_{e}(y)+J^{n}f_{e}(z)-J^{n}f_{e}(x+y)\\ &-J^{n}f_{e}(y+z)-J^{n}f_{e}(x+z)\|\\ &=\frac{1}{4^{n}}\|f_{e}(2^{n}(x+y+z))+f_{e}(2^{n}x)+f_{e}(2^{n}y)+f_{e}(e^{n}z)\\ &-f_{e}(2^{n}(x+y))-f_{e}(2^{n}(y+z))-f_{e}(2^{n}(x+z))\|\\ &\leq\frac{\kappa}{4^{n}}\varphi(2^{n}x,2^{n}y,2^{n}z)\\ &\leq\frac{\kappa}{4^{n}}(4L)^{n}\varphi(x,y,z)=\kappa L^{n}\varphi(x,y,z), \quad x\in X, \end{split}$$

it follows that  $g_e$  satisfies (2). Then, on account of Lemma 3 and (44), we infer that  $g_e$  is a quadratic mapping.

We apply a similar argument to the mapping  $f_0$ . Replacing (x, y, z) with (x, x, -x) in (42), we have

$$||f_o(2x) - 2f_o(x)|| \le \kappa \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$
 (46)

Replacing *x* with  $\frac{x}{2}$  in (46), we have

$$\left\|f_o(x) - 2f_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \le \kappa \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X.$$
(47)

Let

$$J\xi(x) = 2\xi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad \xi \in Y^X, \quad x \in X,$$
  
$$\Lambda\delta(x) = 2\delta\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}^X_+, \quad x \in X,$$
  
$$\epsilon(x) = \kappa\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X.$$

Then, it follows by (47)

$$\|Jf_o(x)-f_o(x)\|\leq \epsilon(x),$$

so that (6) holds true.

For every  $\xi, \eta \in Y^X$  and  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\|J\xi(x) - J\eta(x)\| \le 2 \left\|\xi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \eta\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|,$$

from which *J* satisfies (5) with  $f_1(x) = \frac{x}{2}$  and  $L_1(x) = 2$ . Note that

$$\begin{split} \Lambda^{n} \epsilon(x) &= 2^{n} \epsilon\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right) \\ &= 2^{n} \kappa \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, -\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \\ &\leq 2^{n} \kappa\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^{n+1} \varphi(x, x, -x) = \frac{\kappa L}{2} \cdot L^{n} \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \epsilon^*(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^n \epsilon)^{\theta}(x) \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\kappa L}{2}\right)^{\theta} \cdot L^{n\theta} \varphi(x, x, -x)^{\theta} \\ &= \left(\frac{\kappa L}{2}\right)^{\theta} \frac{1}{1 - L^{\theta}} \varphi(x, x, -x)^{\theta}, \quad x \in X, \end{split}$$

so that (7) holds true. Therefore, by Theorem 2, there exists a mapping  $g_o: X \to Y$  such that

$$g_o(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} J^n f_o(x), \quad x \in X,$$
  

$$g_o(x) = 2g_o\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in X,$$
(48)

and

$$\|g_o(x) - f_o(x)\|^{\theta} \le \left(\frac{\kappa L}{2}\right)^{\theta} \frac{4}{1 - L^{\theta}} \varphi(x, x, -x)^{\theta}, \quad x \in X.$$

$$\tag{49}$$

Since, by (42)

$$\begin{split} \|J^{n}f_{o}(x+y+z)+J^{n}f_{o}(x)+J^{n}f_{o}(y)+J^{n}f_{o}(z)-J^{n}f_{o}(x+y) \\ &-J^{n}f_{o}(y+z)-J^{n}f_{o}(x+z)\| \\ &=2^{n}\left\|f_{o}\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^{n}}\right)+f_{o}\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)+f_{o}\left(\frac{y}{2^{n}}\right)+f_{o}\left(\frac{z}{2^{n}}\right) \\ &-f_{o}\left(\frac{x+y}{2^{n}}\right)-f_{o}\left(\frac{y+z}{2^{n}}\right)-f_{o}\left(\frac{x+z}{2^{n}}\right)\right\| \\ &\leq2^{n}\kappa\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}},\frac{y}{2^{n}},\frac{z}{2^{n}}\right)\leq2^{n}\kappa\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^{n}\varphi(x,y,z)=\kappa L^{n}\varphi(x,y,z) \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , it follows that  $g_o$  satisfies (2). Then by Lemma 2 and (48), we infer that  $g_o$  is an additive mapping. Thus  $g = g_e + g_o$  also satisfies (2).

By (45) and (49), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|f(x) - g(x)\| &\leq \kappa (\|f_e(x) - g_e(x)\| + \|f_o(x) - g_o(x)\|) \\ &\leq \kappa \left[ \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \frac{\kappa}{4}}{\left(1 - L^{\theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} + \left(\frac{\kappa L}{2}\right) \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\left(1 - L^{\theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \right] \varphi(x, x, -x) \\ &= \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta} - 1} \kappa^2 (1 + 2L)}{\left(1 - L^{\theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X, \end{split}$$

as desired. Finally, we show the uniqueness. Assume there exists another additive mapping  $g'_o : X \to Y$  and a quadratic mapping  $g'_e : X \to Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - g'_o(x) - g'_e(x)\| \le \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta} - 1}\kappa^2(1 + 2L)}{(1 - L^{\theta})^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}\varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$

Letting  $\alpha := \frac{4^{\frac{1}{\theta} - 1} \kappa^2 (1+2L)}{(1-L^{\theta})^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}$ , and taking the even part of the mapping  $f - g_o - g_e$  (resp.  $f - g'_o - g'_e$ ), we have from (39)

$$||f_e(x) - g_e(x)|| \le \kappa \alpha \varphi(x, x, -x) \text{ and } ||f_e(x) - g'_e(x)|| \le \kappa \alpha \varphi(x, x, -x), \quad x \in X.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \|g_{e}(x) - g'_{e}(x)\| &= \frac{1}{4} \|g_{e}(2x) - g'_{e}(2x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \kappa^{2} \alpha \varphi(2x, 2x, -2x) \leq \frac{1}{4} \kappa^{2} \alpha \cdot 4L \varphi(x, x, -x) \\ &= L \kappa^{2} \alpha \varphi(x, x - x), \quad x \in X. \end{split}$$

In this manner, we get for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\|g_e(x)-g'_e(x)\|\leq L^n\kappa^2\alpha\varphi(x,x-x),$$

which goes to zero as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence  $g_e = g'_e$ . Similarly, we can show that  $g_o = g'_o$ .  $\Box$ 

Applying Theorem 6, we have the following stability of (4) for 1 .

**Corollary 4.** Assume that (X, +) is a uniquely 2-divisible abelian subgroup of a quasi-normed space and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|, \kappa)$  is a quasi-Banach space. Let the constants 1 and <math>c > 0 be such that the mapping  $f : X \to Y$  satisfies

$$\|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)\| \le c(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then there exist a unique additive mapping  $g_o : X \to Y$  and a unique quadratic mapping  $g_e : X \to Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - g_o(x) - g_e(x)\| \le \frac{3c \cdot 4^{\frac{1}{\theta} - 1} \kappa^2 (1 + 2L)}{(1 - L^{\theta})^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \|x\|^p, \quad x \in X,$$

where  $L = \max\{2^{p-2}, 2^{1-p}\}$ .

### 4. Nonstability of the Fréchet Equation

In this part, we show that the Fréchet equation is not stable for  $p \in \{1, 2\}$ . The following example comes from Gajda [10].

**Example 3.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} -\alpha, & x \le -1, \\ \alpha x, & -1 < x < 1, \\ \alpha, & 1 \le x, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ . Then the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x)}{2^n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

satisfies

$$|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)| \le 14\alpha(|x|+|y|+|z|),$$

but there is no function g satisfying (2) with c > 0 such that

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \le c|x|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Proof.** Following the proof of [10] with |x| + |y| + |z| instead of |x| + |y|, we easily get the result.  $\Box$ 

For p = 2, we consider the following example coming from [36].

**Example 4.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & x \in (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, \infty), \\ \alpha x^2, & -1 < x < 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ . Then the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(2^n x)}{4^n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

satisfies

$$|f(x+y+z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - f(x+y) - f(y+z) - f(x+z)| \le 40\alpha(|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2),$$

but there is no function g satisfying (2) with c > 0 such that

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \le c|x|^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

**Proof.** Following the proof of [36] with  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  instead of  $x^2 + y^2$  and using the fact that f is an even function, it is easy to get the result.  $\Box$ 

#### 5. Conclusions

Using a recently developed fixed point theorem, we have proved the Hyers–Ulam stability of the Fréchet equation in quasi-Banach spaces. We also have shown the hyper-stability of the equation on a restricted domain. The method and results in this paper extend the existing ones in the literature mentioned in the Introduction.

Funding: This work was supported by Hallym University Research Fund, 2020 (HRF-202002-017).

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Ulam, S.M. *Problems of Modern Mathematics*; Sciences Editions; John Wiley & Sons Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1964.
- 2. Hyers, D.H. On the stability of linear functional equations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1941**, 27, 222–224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Brzdęk, J.; Popa, D.; Raşa, I.; Xu, B. Ulam Stability of Operators; Academic Press: London, UK, 2018.
- 4. Czerwik, S. Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables; World Scientific: River Edge, NY, USA, 2002.
- 5. Hyers, D.H.; Isac, G.; Rassias, T.M. *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*; Birkhäuser: Basel, Germany, 1998.
- 6. Jung, S.M. *Hyers–Ulam-Rassis Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis;* Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- 7. Rassias, T.M.; Brzdęk, J. (Eds.) *Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2012.
- 8. Aoki, T. On the stability of the linear transformation mappings in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **1950**, *2*, 64–66. [CrossRef]
- 9. Rassias, T.M. On the stability of linear mappings in Banach spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1978**, 72, 297–300. [CrossRef]
- 10. Gajda, Z. On stability of additive mappings. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 1991, 14, 431-434. [CrossRef]
- 11. Lee, Y.-H. On the stability of the monomial functional equation. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **2008**, 45, 397–403. [CrossRef]
- 12. Brzdęk, J. Hyperstability of the Cauchy equation on restricted domains. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **2013**, 141, 58–67. [CrossRef]
- 13. Fréchet, M. Sur la définition axiomatique d'une classe d'espaces vectoriels distanciés applicables vectoriellement sur l'espace de Hilbert. *Ann. Math. Second Ser.* **1935**, *36*, 705–718. [CrossRef]
- 14. Kannappan, P.L. Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces. *Results Math.* **1995**, 27, 368–372. [CrossRef]
- 15. Bahyrycz, A.; Brzdęk, J.; Piszczek, M.; Sikorska, J. Hyperstability of the Fréchet equation and a characterization of inner product spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* **2013**, 2013. [CrossRef]
- 16. Brzdęk, J.; Leśniak, Z.; Malejki, R. On the generalized Fréchet functional equation with constant coefficients and its stability. *Aequat. Math.* **2018**, *92*, 355–373. [CrossRef]

- Chang, I.-S.; Kim, H.-M. Hyers–Ulam-Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation. *Kyungpook Math. J.* 2002, 42, 71–86. [CrossRef]
- 18. Fechner, W. On the Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations connected with additive and quadratic mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2006**, *322*, 774–786. [CrossRef]
- 19. Jung, S.M. On the Hyers–Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1998**, 222, 126–137. [CrossRef]
- 20. Kim, G.-H. On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2001, 25, 217–229. [CrossRef]
- 21. Malejki, R. Stability of a generalization of the Fréchet functional equation. *Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.* **2015**, *14*, 69–79. [CrossRef]
- 22. Sikorska, J. On a direct method for proving the Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2010**, *372*, 99–109. [CrossRef]
- Brzdęk, J.; Chudziak, J.; Páles, Z. A fixed point approach to stability of functional equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* 2011, 174, 6728–6732. [CrossRef]
- 24. Dung, N.V.; Hang, V.T.L. The generalized hyperstability of general linear equations in quasi-Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2018**, 462, 131–147. [CrossRef]
- Cădariu, L.; Găvruta, L.; Găvruta, P. Fixed points and generalized Hyers–Ulam stability. *Abst. Appl. Anal.* 2012, 2012, 712743. [CrossRef]
- 26. Ciepliński, K. Applications of fixed point theorems to the Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations-a survey. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **2012**, *3*, 151–164. [CrossRef]
- 27. Gordji, M.E.; Khodaei, H. Solution and stability of generalized mixed type cubic, quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2009**, *71*, 5629–5643. [CrossRef]
- 28. Johnson, P.S.; Balaji, S. Hyers–Ulam stability of linear operators in Frechet spaces. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **2012**, *6*, 525–528.
- 29. Moraldlou, F.; Vaezi, H.; Eskandani, G.Z. Hyers–Ulam-Rassias stability of a quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **2009**, *6*, 233–248. [CrossRef]
- 30. Najati, A.; Eskandani, G.Z. Stability of a mixed additive and cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2008**, *342*, 1318–1331. [CrossRef]
- 31. Najati, A.; Moghimi, M.B. Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions in quasi-Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2008**, *337*, 399–415. [CrossRef]
- 32. Xu, T.Z.; Rassias, J.M.; Xu, W.X. Generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces. *Acta Math. Sin.* **2012**, *28*, 529–560. [CrossRef]
- 33. Rolewicz, S. *Metric Linear Spaces*; PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers: Warsaw, Poland; D. Reidel Publishing Co.: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1984.
- 34. Piszczek, M.; Szczawaińska, J. Hyperstability of the Drygas functional equation. *J. Funct. Space Appl.* **2013**, 2013, 912718. [CrossRef]
- 35. Piszczek, M.; Szczawaińska, J. Stability of Drygas functional equation on restricted domain. *Results Math.* **2014**, *68*, 11–24. [CrossRef]
- 36. Czerwik, S. On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hambg.* **1992**, 62, 59–64. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).