

Article

A Study on Cubic H -Relations in a Topological Universe Viewpoint

Jeong-Gon Lee ^{1,*} , Kul Hur ² and Xueyou Chen ³

¹ Division of Applied Mathematics, Nanoscale Science and Technology Institute, Wonkwang University, Iksan 54538, Korea

² Department of Applied Mathematics, Wonkwang University, 460, Iksan-daero, Iksan-Si, Jeonbuk 54538, Korea; kulhur@wku.ac.kr

³ School of Mathematics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo 255049, China; xueyou-chen@163.com

* Correspondence: jukolee@wku.ac.kr

Received: 18 February 2020; Accepted: 27 March 2020; Published: 1 April 2020



Abstract: We introduce the concrete category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] of cubic H -relational spaces and P -preserving [resp. R -preserving] mappings between them and study it in a topological universe viewpoint. In addition, we prove that it is Cartesian closed over \mathbf{Set} . Next, we introduce the subcategory $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] of $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] and investigate it in the sense of a topological universe. In particular, we obtain exponential objects in $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] quite different from those in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$].

Keywords: cubic H -relational space; cubic H -reflexive relation; topological category; cartesian closed category; topological universe

1. Introduction

In 1984, Nel [1] introduced the concept of a topological universe which implies quasitopos [2]. Its notion has already been put to effective use several areas of mathematics in [3–5]. After then, Kim et al. [6] and Lee et al. [7] constructed the category $\mathbf{NSet}(H)$ of neutrosophic H -sets and morphisms between them and the category $\mathbf{NCSet}(H)$ of neutrosophic crisp sets and morphisms between them, and they studied each category in the sense of a topological universe. On the other hand, Cerruti [8] constructed the category of L -fuzzy relations and obtained some of its properties. Hur [9,10] [resp. Hur et al. [11] and Lim et al. [12]] formed the category $\mathbf{Rel}(H)$ of H -fuzzy relational spaces [resp. $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ of H -intuitionistic fuzzy relational spaces and $\mathbf{VRel}(H)$ of vague relational spaces] and each category was investigated in topological universe viewpoint.

In 2012, Jun et al. [13] introduced the notion of a cubic set and investigated some of its properties. After that time, Ahn and Ko [14] studied cubic subalgebras and filters of CI -algebras. Akram et al. [15] applied the concept of cubic sets to KU -algebras. Jun et al. [16] dealt with cubic structures of ideals of BCI -algebras. Jun and Khan [17] found some properties of cubic ideals in semigroups. Jun et al. [18] studied cubic subgroups. Zeb et al. [19] defined the notion of a cubic topology and investigated some of its properties. Recently, Mahmood et al. [20] dealt with multicriteria decision making based on cubic sets. Rashed et al. [21] applied the concept of cubic sets to graph theory. Yaqoob et al. [22] introduced the notion of a cubic finite switchboard state machine and studied its various properties. Ma et al. [23] define a cubic relation on H_v -LA-semigroup and investigated some of its properties. Kim et al. [24] defined cubic relations and obtained some their properties.

In this paper, we study the category of cubic relations and morphisms between them in the sense of a topological universe proposed by Nel. First, we define the concept of a cubic H -relational space for a Heyting algebra H and introduce the concrete category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] of cubic H -relational spaces and P -preserving [resp. R -preserving] mappings between them, and obtained

some categorical structures and give examples. In particular, we prove that the category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] is Cartesian closed over \mathbf{Set} , where \mathbf{Set} denotes the category consisting of ordinary sets and ordinary mappings between them. Next, we introduce the subcategory $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] of $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] and investigate it in the sense of a topological universe. In particular, we obtain exponential objects in $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] quite different from those in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$].

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we list some basic definitions for category theory which are needed in the next sections. Let us recall that a concrete category is a category of sets which are endowed with an unspecified structure. Refer to [25] for the notions of a topological category and a cotopological category.

Definition 1 ([25]). Let \mathbf{A} be a concrete category.

- (i) The \mathbf{A} -fiber of a set X is the class of all \mathbf{A} -structures on X .
- (ii) \mathbf{A} is said to be properly fibered over \mathbf{Set} , if it satisfies the following:
 - (a) (Fiber-smallness) for each set X , the \mathbf{A} -fiber of X is a set,
 - (b) (Terminal separator property) for each singleton set X , the \mathbf{A} -fiber of X has precisely one element,
 - (c) if ξ and η are \mathbf{A} -structures on a set X such that $\text{id} : (X, \xi) \rightarrow (X, \eta)$ and $\text{id} : (X, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \xi)$ are \mathbf{A} -morphisms, then $\xi = \eta$.

Definition 2 ([26]). A category \mathbf{A} is said to be Cartesian closed, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) for each \mathbf{A} -object A and B , there exists a product $A \times B$ in \mathbf{A} ,
- (ii) exponential objects exist in \mathbf{A} , i.e., for each \mathbf{A} -object A , the functor $A \times - : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ has a right adjoint, i.e., for any \mathbf{A} -object B , there exist an \mathbf{A} -object B^A and a \mathbf{A} -morphism $e_{A,B} : A \times B^A \rightarrow B$ (called the evaluation) such that for any \mathbf{A} -object C and any \mathbf{A} -morphism $f : A \times C \rightarrow B$, there exists a unique \mathbf{A} -morphism $\bar{f} : C \rightarrow B^A$ such that $e_{A,B} \circ (1_A \times \bar{f}) = f$, i.e., the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times B^A & \xrightarrow{e_{A,B}} & B \\
 \nwarrow \exists 1_A \times \bar{f} & & \nearrow f \\
 & A \times C &
 \end{array}$$

Definition 3 ([1]). A category \mathbf{A} is called a topological universe over \mathbf{Set} if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) \mathbf{A} is well-structured, i.e., (a) \mathbf{A} is concrete category; (b) fiber-smallness condition; (c) \mathbf{A} has the terminal separator property,
- (ii) \mathbf{A} is cotopological over \mathbf{Set} ,
- (iii) final episinks in \mathbf{A} are preserved by pullbacks, i.e., for any episink $(g_j : X_j \rightarrow Y)_J$ and any \mathbf{A} -morphism $f : W \rightarrow Y$, the family $(e_j : U_j \rightarrow W)_J$, obtained by taking the pullback f and g_j , for each $j \in J$, is again a final episink.

Now refer to [13,27–34] for the concepts of fuzzy sets, fuzzy relations, interval-valued fuzzy sets and interval-valued fuzzy relations, neutrosophic crisp sets, neutrosophic sets and operation between them, respectively.

3. Properties of the Categories $\mathbf{HRel}_P(H)$ and $\mathbf{HRel}_R(H)$

In this section, first, we write the concept of a cubic set introduced by Jun et al. [13] (Also, see [13] for the equality $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ and orders $\mathcal{A} \sqsubset \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ for any cubic sets \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , the complement \mathcal{A}^c of a cubic set \mathcal{A} , and the unions $\mathcal{A} \sqcup \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A} \uplus \mathcal{B}$ and intersections $\mathcal{A} \sqcap \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A} \uplus \mathcal{B}$ of two cubic sets \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B}). Next, we introduce the category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] consisting of all cubic H -relational spaces and all P -preserving [resp. R -preserving] mappings between any two cubic H -relational spaces and it has the similar structures as those of $\mathbf{CSet}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CSet}_R(H)$] (See [35]).

Throughout this section and next section, H denotes a complete Heyting algebra (Refer to [36,37] for its definition) and $[H]$ denotes the set of all closed subintervals of H .

Definition 4 ([13]). Let X be a nonempty set. Then a complex mapping $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \lambda \rangle: X \rightarrow [I] \times I$ is called a cubic set in X , where $I = [0, 1]$ and $[I]$ be the set of all closed subintervals of I .

A cubic set $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \lambda \rangle$ in which $A(x) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\lambda(x) = \mathbf{1}$ (resp. $A(x) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\lambda(x) = \mathbf{0}$) for each $x \in X$ is denoted by $\mathbf{\hat{0}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{\hat{1}}$).

A cubic set $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, \mu \rangle$ in which $B(x) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mu(x) = \mathbf{0}$ (resp. $B(x) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\mu(x) = \mathbf{1}$) for each $x \in X$ is denoted by $\mathbf{\hat{0}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{\hat{1}}$). In this case, $\mathbf{\hat{0}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{\hat{1}}$) will be called a cubic empty (resp. whole) set in X .

We denote the set of all cubic sets in X by $([I] \times I)^X$.

Definition 5. Let X be a nonempty set. Then a complex mapping $\mathcal{R} = \langle R, \lambda \rangle: X \times X \rightarrow [H] \times H$ is called a cubic H -relation in X . The pair (X, \mathcal{R}) is called a cubic H -relational space. In particular, a cubic H -relation from X to X is called a H -relation in or on X . We will denote the set of all cubic H -relations in X as resp. $([H] \times H)^{X \times X}$. In fact, each member $\mathcal{R} = \langle R, \lambda \rangle \in ([H] \times H)^{X \times X}$ is a cubic H -set in $X \times X$ (See [35]).

Definition 6. Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_X) = (X, \langle R_X, \lambda_X \rangle)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) = (Y, \langle R_Y, \lambda_Y \rangle)$ be two cubic H -relational spaces. Then a mapping $f: (X, \mathcal{R}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ is called:

(i) a P -order preserving mapping, if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_X \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_Y \circ f^2 &= \langle R_Y \circ f^2, \lambda_Y \circ f^2 \rangle, \text{ i.e., for each } (x, y) \in X \times X, \\ &\langle [R_X^-(x, y), R_X^+(x, y)], \lambda_X(x, y) \rangle \\ &\leq_P \langle [R_Y^-(f(x), f(y)), R_Y^+(f(x), f(y))], \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y)) \rangle, \text{ i.e.,} \\ R_X^-(x, y) &\leq (R_Y^- \circ f^2)(x, y), R_X^+(x, y) \leq (R_Y^+ \circ f^2)(x, y), \lambda_X(x, y) \leq (\lambda_Y \circ f^2)(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

(ii) a R -order preserving mapping, if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_X \subseteq \mathcal{R}_Y \circ f^2 &= \langle R_Y \circ f^2, \lambda_Y \circ f^2 \rangle, \text{ i.e., for each } (x, y) \in X \times X, \\ &\langle [R_X^-(x, y), R_X^+(x, y)], \lambda_X(x, y) \rangle \\ &\leq_R \langle [R_Y^-(f(x), f(y)), R_Y^+(f(x), f(y))], \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y)) \rangle, \text{ i.e.,} \\ R_X^-(x, y) &\leq (R_Y^- \circ f^2)(x, y), R_X^+(x, y) \leq (R_Y^+ \circ f^2)(x, y), \lambda_X(x, y) \geq (\lambda_Y \circ f^2)(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $f^2 = f \times f$.

Proposition 1. Let $(X, \mathcal{R}_X) = (X, \langle R_X, \lambda_X \rangle)$, $(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) = (Y, \langle R_Y, \lambda_Y \rangle)$ and $(Z, \mathcal{R}_Z) = (Z, \langle R_Z, \lambda_Z \rangle)$ be three cubic H -relational spaces.

- (1) The identity mapping $1_X: (X, \mathcal{R}_X) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R}_X)$ is a P -order [resp. R -order] preserving mapping.
- (2) If $f: (X, \mathcal{R}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ and $g: (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{R}_Z)$ are P -preserving [resp. R -preserving] mappings, then $g \circ f: (XX, \mathcal{R}_X) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{R}_Z)$ is a P -preserving [resp. R -preserving] mapping.

Proof. (1) The proof follows from the definitions of P -orders and R -orders, and identity mappings.

(2) Suppose $f : (X, \mathcal{R}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ and $g : (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{R}_Z)$ are P-preserving mappings and let $(x, y) \in X \times X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_X(x, y) &= \langle [R_X^-(x, y), R_X^+(x, y)], \lambda_X(x, y) \rangle \\ &\leq_P \langle [(R_Y^- \circ f^2)(x, y), R_Y^+ \circ f^2(x, y)], \lambda_Y \circ f^2(x, y) \rangle \\ &\quad [\text{Since } f \text{ is a P-preserving mapping}] \\ &= \langle [R_Y^-(f(x), f(y)), R_Y^+(f(x), f(y))], \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y)) \rangle \\ &\leq_P \langle [R_Z^-(g(f(x)), g(f(y))), R_Z^+(g(f(x)), g(f(y)))], \lambda_Z(g(f(x)), g(f(y))) \rangle \\ &\quad [\text{Since } g \text{ is a P-preserving mapping}] \\ &= \langle [R_Z^-(g \circ f)^2(x, y), R_Z^+(g \circ f)^2(x, y)], \lambda_Z \circ (g \circ f)^2(x, y) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_X \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_Z \circ (g \circ f)^2$. So $g \circ f$ is a P-preserving mapping. \square

We will denote the collection consisting of all cubic H -relational spaces and all P-preserving [resp. R-preserving] mappings between any two cubic H -relational spaces as $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$]. Then from Proposition 1, we can easily see that $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] forms a concrete category. In the sequel, a P-preserving [resp. R-preserving] mapping between any two cubic H -spaces will be called a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping].

Lemma 1. *The category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] is topological over **Set**.*

Proof. Let X be a set and let $(X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)_{j \in J} = (X_j, \langle R_j, \lambda_j \rangle)$ be any family of cubic H -relational spaces indexed by a class J . Suppose $(f_j : X \rightarrow X_j)_{j \in J}$ be a source of mappings. We define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{X,P} = \langle R_{X,P}, \lambda_{X,P} \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as follows: for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{X,P}(x) &= [\bigcap_{j \in J} (\mathcal{R}_j \circ f_j^2)](x, y), \text{ i.e.,} \\ \mathcal{R}_{X,P}(x, y) &= \langle [\bigwedge_{j \in J} R_j^-(f_j(x), f_j(y)), \bigwedge_{j \in J} R_j^+(f_j(x), f_j(y)), \bigwedge_{j \in J} \lambda_j(f_j(x), f_j(y))] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly, for each $j \in J$ and $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle [R_{X,P}^-(x, y), R_{X,P}^+(x, y)], \lambda_{X,P}(x, y) \rangle \\ &\leq_P \langle [R_j^-(f_j(x), f_j(y)), R_j^+(f_j(x), f_j(y)), \lambda_j(f_j(x), f_j(y))] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{X,P} \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_j \circ f_j^2$, for each $j \in J$. So $f_j : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping, for each $j \in J$.

For any object $(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) = (Y, \langle R_Y, \lambda_Y \rangle)$, let $g : Y \rightarrow X$ be any mapping for which $f_j \circ g : (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping, for each $j \in J$ and let $(y, y') \in Y \times Y$. Then for each $j \in J$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_Y(y, y') &\leq_P [\mathcal{R}_j \circ (f_j \circ g)^2](y, y') = [(\mathcal{R}_j \circ f_j^2) \circ g^2](y, y'), \text{ i.e.,} \\ &\langle [R_Y^-(y, y'), R_Y^+(y, y')], \lambda_Y(y, y') \rangle \\ &\leq_P \langle [(R_j^- \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y')), (R_j^+ \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y')), (\lambda_j \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y'))] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle [R_Y^-(y, y'), R_Y^+(y, y')], \lambda_Y(y, y') \rangle \\ &\leq_P \langle [\bigwedge_{j \in J} (R_j^- \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y')), \bigwedge_{j \in J} (R_j^+ \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y')), \bigwedge_{j \in J} (\lambda_j \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y'))] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [\sqcap_{j \in J} (\mathcal{R}_j \circ f_j)](g(y), g(y')) \\
&= (\mathcal{R}_{X,P} \circ g^2)(y, y'). \text{ [By the definition of } \mathcal{R}_{X,P}]
\end{aligned}$$

So $\mathcal{R}_Y \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_{X,P} \circ g^2$. Hence $g : (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P})$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping. Therefore $(f_j : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j))_J$ is an initial source in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$.

Now define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{X,R} = \langle R_{X,R}, \lambda_{X,R} \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as below: for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{X,R}(x) &= [\sqcap_{j \in J} (\mathcal{R}_j \circ f_j^2)](x, y), \text{ i.e.,} \\
\mathcal{R}_{X,R}(x, y) &= \langle [\bigwedge_{j \in J} R_j^-(f_j(x), f_j(y)), \bigwedge_{j \in J} R_j^+(f_j(x), f_j(y)), \bigvee_{j \in J} \lambda_j(f_j(x), f_j(y))] \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Then clearly, for each $j \in J$ and $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle [R_{X,R}^-(x, y), R_{X,R}^+(x, y)], \lambda_{X,R}(x, y) \rangle \\
&\leq_R \langle [R_j^-(f_j(x), f_j(y)), R_j^+(f_j(x), f_j(y))], \lambda_j(f_j(x), f_j(y)) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{X,R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_j \circ f_j^2$, for each $j \in J$. So $f_j : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R}) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping, for each $j \in J$.

For any object $(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) = (Y, \langle R_Y, \lambda_Y \rangle)$, let $g : Y \rightarrow X$ be any mapping for which $f_j \circ g : (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping, for each $j \in J$ and let $(y, y') \in Y \times Y$. Then for each $j \in J$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_Y(y, y') &\leq_R [\mathcal{R}_j \circ (f_j \circ g)^2](y, y') = [(\mathcal{R}_j \circ f_j^2) \circ g^2](y, y'), \text{ i.e.,} \\
&\langle [R_Y^-(y, y'), R_Y^+(y, y')], \lambda_Y(y, y') \rangle \\
&\leq_R \langle [(R_j^- \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y')), (R_j^+ \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y'))], (\lambda_j \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y')) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle [R_Y^-(y, y'), R_Y^+(y, y')], \lambda_Y(y, y') \rangle \\
&\leq_R \langle [\bigwedge_{j \in J} (R_j^- \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y')), \bigwedge_{j \in J} (R_j^+ \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y'))], \\
&\quad \bigvee_{j \in J} (\lambda_j \circ f_j^2)(g(y), g(y')) \rangle \\
&= [\sqcap_{j \in J} (\mathcal{R}_j \circ f_j)](g(y), g(y')) \\
&= (\mathcal{R}_{X,R} \circ g^2)(y, y'). \text{ [By the definition of } \mathcal{R}_{X,R}]
\end{aligned}$$

So $\mathcal{R}_Y \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_{X,R} \circ g^2$. Hence $g : (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R})$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping. Therefore $(f_j : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R}) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j))_J$ is an initial source in $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$. This completes the proof. \square

Example 1. (1) **(Inverse image of a cubic H-relation)** Let X be a set, let $(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) = (Y, \langle R_Y, \lambda_Y \rangle)$ be a cubic H-relational space and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Then there exists a unique initial cubic H-relation of P-order type $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}$ [resp. R-order type $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}$] in X for which $f : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping [resp. $f : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping]. In fact,

$$\mathcal{R}_{X,P} = \mathcal{R}_Y \circ f^2 = \langle R_Y \circ f^2, \lambda_Y \circ f^2 \rangle = \mathcal{R}_{X,R}.$$

In this case, $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}$ [resp. $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}$] is called the inverse image under f of the cubic H-relation \mathcal{R}_Y in Y .

In particular, if $X \subset Y$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is the inclusion mapping, then the inverse image $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}$ [resp. $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}$] of \mathcal{R}_Y under f is called a cubic H-subrelation of (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) . In fact,

$$\mathcal{R}_{X,P}(x, y) = \mathcal{R}_Y(x, y) = \mathcal{R}_{X,R}(x, y), \text{ for each } (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

(2) (**Cubic H-product relation**) Let $((X_j, \mathcal{R}_j))_{j \in J} = ((X_j, < R_j, \lambda_j >))_{j \in J}$ be any family of cubic H-relational spaces and let $X = \Pi_{j \in J} X_j$. For each $j \in J$, let $pr_j : X \rightarrow X_j$ be the ordinary projection. Then there exists a unique cubic H-relation of P-order type, $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}$ in X for which $pr_j : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping, for each $j \in J$. In this case, $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}$ is called the cubic H-product relation of $(\mathcal{R}_j)_{j \in J}$ and $(X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P})$ is called the cubic H-product relational space of $((X_j, \mathcal{R}_j))_{j \in J}$, and denoted as the following, respectively:

$$\mathcal{R}_{X,P} = \Pi_{j \in J} \mathcal{R}_j$$

and

$$(X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}) = (\Pi_{j \in J} X_j, \Pi_{j \in J} \mathcal{R}_j) = (\Pi_{j \in J} X_j, < \Pi_{j \in J} R_j, \Pi_{j \in J} \lambda_j >).$$

In fact, $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}(x) = [\sqcap_{j \in J} (\mathcal{R}_j \circ pr_j)](x, y)$, for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Similarly, there exists a unique cubic H-relation of R-order type, $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}$ in X for which $pr_j : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R}) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping, for each $j \in J$. In this case, $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}$ is called the cubic H-product* relation of $(\mathcal{R}_j)_{j \in J}$ and $(X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R})$ is called the cubic H-product* relational space of $((X_j, \mathcal{R}_j))_{j \in J}$, and denoted as the following, respectively:

$$\mathcal{R}_{X,R} = \Pi_{j \in J}^* \mathcal{R}_j$$

and

$$(X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R}) = (\Pi_{j \in J} X_j, \Pi_{j \in J}^* \mathcal{R}_j) = (\Pi_{j \in J} X_j, < \Pi_{j \in J} R_j, \Pi_{j \in J}^* \lambda_j >).$$

In fact, $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}(x, y) = [\sqcap_{j \in J} (\mathcal{R}_j \circ pr_j)](x, y)$, for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

In particular, if $J = \{1, 2\}$, then for each $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{R}_2)((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \\ &= < [R_1^-(x_1, x_2) \wedge R_2^-(y_1, y_2), R_1^+(x_1, x_2) \wedge R_2^+(y_1, y_2)], \lambda_1(x_1, x_2) \wedge \lambda_2(y_1, y_2) > \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{R}_1 \times^* \mathcal{R}_2)((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \\ &= < [R_1^-(x_1, x_2) \wedge R_2^-(y_1, y_2), R_1^+(x_1, x_2) \wedge R_2^+(y_1, y_2)], \lambda_1(x_1, x_2) \vee \lambda_2(y_1, y_2) >. \end{aligned}$$

The following is obvious from Lemma 3.9 and Theorem 1.6 in [25] or Proposition in Section 1 in [38].

Corollary 1. The category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] is complete and cocomplete over **Set**.

Furthermore, we can easily see that $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] is well-powered and cowell-powered. It is well-known that a concrete category is topological if and only if it is cotopological (See Theorem 1.5 in [25]). However, we prove directly that $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] is cotopological.

Lemma 2. The category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] is cotopological over **Set**.

Proof. Let X be any set and let $(X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)_{j \in J} = (X_j, < R_j, \lambda_j >)$ be any family of cubic H-relational spaces indexed by a class J . Suppose $(f_j : X_j \rightarrow X)_{j \in J}$ is a sink of mappings. We define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{X,P} = < R_{X,P}, \lambda_{X,P} > : X \times X \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as follows: for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{X,P}(x, y) = (\sqcup_{j \in J} \sqcup_{(x_j, y_j) \in f^{-2}(x, y)} \mathcal{R}_j)(x_j, y_j) = \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, y_j) \in f^{-2}(x, y)} \mathcal{R}_j(x_j, y_j).$$

Then we can easily see that

$$f_j : (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}) \text{ is a } \mathbf{CRel}_P(H) \text{ - mapping, for each } j \in J.$$

For any cubic H -relational space $(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) = (Y, < R_Y, \lambda_Y >)$, let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be any mapping such that $g \circ f_j : (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping, for each $j \in J$ and let $(x, y) \in X \times X$. Then for each $j \in J$ and each $(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x, y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_j(x_j, y_j) \\ &= < [R_j^-(x_j, y_j), [R_j^+(x_j, y_j)], \lambda_j(x_j, y_j) > \\ &\leq_P < [(R_Y^- \circ (g \circ f_j)^2)(x_j, y_j), (R_Y^+ \circ (g \circ f_j)^2)(x_j, y_j)], (\lambda_Y \circ (g \circ f_j)^2)(x_j, y_j) > \\ &= < [(R_Y^- \circ g^2)(f_j(x_j), f_j(y_j)), (R_Y^+ \circ g^2)(f_j(x_j), f_j(y_j))], (\lambda_Y \circ g^2)(f_j(x_j), f_j(y_j)) > \\ &= < [(R_Y^- \circ g^2)(x, y), (R_Y^+ \circ g^2)(x, y)], (\lambda_Y \circ g^2)(x, y) > \\ &= (\mathcal{R}_Y \circ g^2)(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the definition of $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}$, $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}(x, y) \leq_P (\mathcal{R}_Y \circ g^2)(x, y)$. So $\mathcal{R}_{X,P} \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_Y \circ g^2$. Hence $g : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping. Therefore $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ is cotopological over **Set**.

Now we define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{X,R} = < R_{X,R}, \lambda_{X,R} > : X \times X \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as follows: for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_{X,R}(x, y) \\ &= (\bigsqcup_{j \in J} \bigsqcup_{(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x, y)} \mathcal{R}_j)(x_j, y_j) \\ &= < [\bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x)} R_j^-(x_j, y_j), \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x, y)} R_j^+(x_j, y_j)], \\ & \quad \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bigwedge_{(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x, y)} \lambda_j(x_j, y_j) >. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can easily see that

$$f_j : (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R}) \text{ is a } \mathbf{CRel}_R(H) \text{ - mapping, for each } j \in J.$$

For any cubic H -relational space $(Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) = (Y, < R_Y, \lambda_Y >)$, let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be any mapping such that $g \circ f_j : (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping, for each $j \in J$ and let $(x, y) \in X \times X$. Then for each $j \in J$ and each $(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x, y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_j(x_j, y_j) \\ &= < [R_j^-(x_j, y_j), [R_j^+(x_j, y_j)], \lambda_j(x_j, y_j) > \\ &\leq_R < [(R_Y^- \circ (g \circ f_j)^2)(x_j, y_j), (R_Y^+ \circ (g \circ f_j)^2)(x_j, y_j)], (\lambda_Y \circ (g \circ f_j)^2)(x_j, y_j) > \\ &= < [(R_Y^- \circ g^2)(f_j(x_j), f_j(y_j)), (R_Y^+ \circ g^2)(f_j(x_j), f_j(y_j))], (\lambda_Y \circ g^2)(f_j(x_j), f_j(y_j)) > \\ &= < [(R_Y^- \circ g^2)(x, y), (R_Y^+ \circ g^2)(x, y)], (\lambda_Y \circ g^2)(x, y) > \\ &= (\mathcal{R}_Y \circ g^2)(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the definition of $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}$, $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}(x, y) \leq_R (\mathcal{R}_Y \circ g^2)(x, y)$. So $\mathcal{R}_{X,R} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{R}_Y \circ g^2$. Hence $g : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping. Therefore $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ is cotopological over **Set**. This completes the proof. \square

Example 2. (Cubic H -quotient relation) Let $(X, \mathcal{R}) = (X, < R, \lambda >)$ be a cubic H -relational space, let \sim be an equivalence relation on X and let $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\sim$ be the canonical mapping. We define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{X/\sim, P} : X/\sim \times X/\sim \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as below: for each $([x], [y]) \in X/\sim \times X/\sim$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_{X/\sim, P}([x], [y]) \\ &= [\bigsqcup_{(x', y') \in \pi^{-2}([x], [y])} \mathcal{R}](x', y') \\ &= < [\bigvee_{(x', y') \in \pi^{-2}([x], [y])} R^-(x', y'), \bigvee_{(x', y') \in \pi^{-2}([x], [y])} R^+(x', y')], \\ & \quad \bigwedge_{(x', y') \in \pi^{-2}([x], [y])} \lambda(x', y') >. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can easily see that $\mathcal{R}_{X/\sim, P}$ is a cubic H -relation in X/\sim . Furthermore, $\pi : (X, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow (X/\sim, \mathcal{R}_{X/\sim, P})$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping. Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{X/\sim, P}$ is the final cubic H -relation in X/\sim .

Now we define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{X/\sim, R} : X/\sim \times X/\sim \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as follows: for each $([x], [y]) \in X/\sim \times X/\sim$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{X/\sim, R}([x]) = [\bigsqcup_{(x', y') \in \pi^{-2}([x], [y])} \mathcal{R}](x', y')$$

$$= < [V_{(x',y') \in \pi^{-2}([x],[y])} R^-(x',y'), V_{(x',y') \in \pi^{-2}([x],[y])} R^+(x',y')], \\ \bigwedge_{(x',y') \in \pi^{-2}([x],[y])} \lambda(x',y') > .$$

Then we can easily see that $\mathcal{R}_{X/\sim, R}$ is a cubic H -relation in X/\sim . Furthermore, $\pi : (X, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow (X/\sim, \mathcal{A}_{X/\sim, R})$ is a $\mathbf{Crel}_R(H)$ -mapping. Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{X/\sim, R}$ is the final cubic H -relation in X/\sim .

In this case, $\mathcal{R}_{X/\sim, P}$ [resp. $\mathcal{A}_{X/\sim, R}$] is called the cubic H -quotient [resp. H -quotient*] relation in X induced by \sim .

Definition 7 ([38]). Let \mathbf{A} be a concrete category and let $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ be two \mathbf{A} -morphisms. Then a pair (E, e) is called an equalizer in \mathbf{A} of f and g , if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $e : E \rightarrow A$ is an \mathbf{A} -morphism,
- (ii) $f \circ e = g \circ e$,
- (iii) for any \mathbf{A} -morphism $e' : E' \rightarrow A$ such that $f \circ e' = g \circ e'$, there exists a unique \mathbf{A} -morphism $\bar{e} : E' \rightarrow E$ such that $e' = e \circ \bar{e}$.

In this case, we say that \mathbf{A} has equalizers.

Dual notion: Coequalizer.

Proposition 2. The category $\mathbf{Crel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{Crel}_R(H)$] has equalizers.

Proof. Let $f, g : (X, \mathcal{R}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ be two $\mathbf{Crel}_P(H)$ -mappings, where $\mathcal{R}_X = < R_X, \lambda_X >$ and $\mathcal{R}_Y = < R_Y, \lambda_Y >$. Let $E = \{a \in X : f(a) = g(a)\}$ and define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{E,P} : E \times E \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as follows: for each $(a, b) \in E \times E$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{E,P}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}_X(a, b) = < [R_X^-(a, b), R_X^+(a, b)], \lambda_X(a, b) > .$$

Then clearly, $\mathcal{R}_{E,P}$ is a cubic H -relation in E and $\mathcal{R}_{E,P} \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_X$. Consider the inclusion mapping $i : E \rightarrow X$. Then clearly, $i : (E, \mathcal{A}_{E,P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{A})$ is a $\mathbf{CSet}_P(H)$ -mapping and $f \circ i = g \circ i$.

Let $k : (E', \mathcal{R}_{E'}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ be a $\mathbf{Crel}_P(H)$ -mapping such that $f \circ k = g \circ k$. We define a mapping $\bar{k} : E' \rightarrow E$ as follows: for each $e' \in E'$,

$$\bar{k}(e') = i^{-1} \circ k(e').$$

Then clearly, $k = i \circ \bar{k}$.

Let $(e', f') \in E' \times E'$. Since $k : (E', \mathcal{R}_{E'}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R}_{E,P})$ is a $\mathbf{Crel}_P(H)$ -mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{E,P} \circ (\bar{k})^2(e', f') &= \mathcal{R}_{E,P} \circ (\bar{k})^2(e', f') \\ &= \mathcal{R}_{E,P} \circ (i^{-2} \circ k^2(e', f')) \\ &= \mathcal{R}_{E,P} \circ k^2(e', f') \\ &\geq_P \mathcal{R}_{E'}(e', f'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{E'} \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_{E,P} \circ (\bar{k})^2$. So $\bar{k} : (E', \mathcal{R}_{E'}) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{R}_{E,P})$ is a $\mathbf{Crel}_P(H)$ -mapping.

Now in order to prove the uniqueness of \bar{k} , let $\bar{r} : E' \rightarrow E$ such that $i \circ \bar{r} = k$. Then $\bar{r} = i^{-1} \circ k = \bar{k}$. Thus, \bar{k} is unique. Hence $\mathbf{Crel}_P(H)$ has equalizers.

Similarly, we can prove that $\mathbf{Crel}_R(H)$ has the equalizer $\mathcal{R}_{E,P}$. \square

For two cubic H -relations $\mathcal{R}_X = < R_X, \lambda_X >$ in X and $\mathcal{R}_Y = < R_Y, \lambda_Y >$ in Y , the product of P -order type [resp. R -order type], denoted by $\mathcal{R}_X \times_P \mathcal{R}_Y$ [resp. $\mathcal{R}_X \times_R \mathcal{R}_Y$], is a cubic H -relation in $X \times Y$ defined by: for any $(x, y), (x', y') \in X \times Y$,

$$(\mathcal{R}_X \times_P \mathcal{R}_Y)((x, y), (x', y')) = < R_X(x, x') \wedge R_Y(y, y'), \lambda_X(x, x') \wedge \lambda_Y(y, y') >$$

$$[\text{resp. } (\mathcal{R}_X \times_R \mathcal{R})_Y((x, y), (x', y')) = \langle R_X(x, x') \wedge R_Y(y, y'), \lambda_X(x, x') \vee \lambda_X(y, y') \rangle].$$

Lemma 3. Final episinks in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] are preserved by pullbacks.

Proof. Let $(g_j : (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y))_{j \in J}$ be any final episink in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ and let $f : (W, \mathcal{R}_W) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y)$ be any $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping, where $\mathcal{R}_j = \langle R_j, \lambda_j \rangle$, $\mathcal{R}_Y = \langle R_Y, \lambda_Y \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}_W = \langle R_W, \lambda_W \rangle$. For each $j \in J$, let

$$U_j = \{(w, x_j) \in W \times X_j : f(w) = g_j(x_j)\}$$

and let us define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{U_j, P} = \langle R_{U_j, P}, \lambda_{U_j, P} \rangle : U_j \times U_j \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as follows: for each $((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \in U_j \times U_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_{U_j, P}((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \\ &= (\mathcal{R}_W \times_P \mathcal{R}_j) |_{U_j \times U_j} ((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \\ &= (\mathcal{R}_W \times_P \mathcal{R}_j)((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \\ &= \langle R_W(w, w') \wedge R_j(x_j, x'_j), \lambda_W(w, w') \wedge \lambda_j(x_j, x'_j) \rangle \\ &= \langle (R_W \times R_j)((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)), (\lambda_W \times \lambda_j)((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \rangle, \text{ i.e.,} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{U_j, P} = \langle R_W \times R_j |_{U_j \times U_j}, \lambda_W \times \lambda_j |_{U_j \times U_j} \rangle.$$

For each $j \in J$, let $e_j : U_j \rightarrow W$ and $p_j : U_j \rightarrow X_j$ be the usual projections. Then clearly, $e_j : (U_j, \mathcal{R}_{U_j, P}) \rightarrow (W, \mathcal{R}_W)$ and $p_j : (U_j, \mathcal{R}_{U_j, P}) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)$ are $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mappings and $g_j \circ p_j = f \circ e_j$, for each $j \in J$. Thus, we have the following pullback square in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U_j, \mathcal{R}_{U_j, P}) & \xrightarrow{p_j} & (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \\ \downarrow e_j & & \downarrow g_j \\ (W, \mathcal{R}_W) & \xrightarrow{f} & (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y). \end{array}$$

We will prove that $(e_j : (U_j, \mathcal{R}_{U_j, P}) \rightarrow (W, \mathcal{R}_W))_{j \in J}$ is a final episink in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$. Let $w \in W$. Since $(g_j)_{j \in J}$ is an episink in $\mathbf{CSet}_P(H)$, there is $j \in J$ such that $g_j(x_j) = f(w)$, for some $x_j \in X_j$. Thus, $(w, x_j) \in U_j$ and $e_j(w, x_j) = w$. So $(e_j)_{j \in J}$ is an episink in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$.

Finally, let us show that $(e_j)_J$ is final in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$. Let \mathcal{R}_W^* be the final structure in W regarding $(e_j)_{j \in J}$ and let $(w, w') \in W \times W$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_W(w, w') = \langle R_W(w, w'), \lambda_W(w, w') \rangle \\ &= \langle R_W(w, w') \wedge R_W(w, w'), \lambda_W(w, w') \wedge \lambda_W(w, w') \rangle \\ &\leq_P \langle R_W(w, w') \wedge R_Y \circ f^2(w, w'), \lambda_W(w, w') \wedge \lambda_Y \circ f^2(w, w') \rangle \\ & \quad [\text{Since } f : (W, \mathcal{R}_W) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) \text{ is a } \mathbf{CRel}_P(H)\text{-mapping}] \\ &= \langle R_W(w, w') \wedge [\bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, x'_j) \in g_j^{-2}(f(w), f(w'))} R_j(x_j, x'_j)], \\ & \quad \lambda_W(w, w') \wedge [\bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, x'_j) \in g_j^{-2}(f(w), f(w'))} \lambda_j(x_j, x'_j)] \rangle \\ & \quad [\text{Since } (g_j : (R_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y))_{j \in J} \text{ is a final episink in } \mathbf{CRel}_P(H)] \\ &= \langle \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, x'_j) \in g_j^{-2}(f(w), f(w'))} [R_W(w, w') \wedge R_j(x_j, x'_j)], \\ & \quad \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, x'_j) \in g_j^{-2}(f(w), f(w'))} [\lambda_W(w, w') \wedge \lambda_j(x_j, x'_j)] \rangle \\ &= \langle \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \in e_j^{-2}(w, w')} [R_W(w, w') \wedge R_j(x_j, x'_j)], \\ & \quad \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \in e_j^{-2}(w, w')} [\lambda_W(w, w') \wedge \lambda_j(x_j, x'_j)] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= < \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \in e_j^{-2}(w, w')} [R_{U_j, P}((w, x_j), (w', x'_j))], \\
 &\quad \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \in e_j^{-2}(w, w')} [\lambda_{U_j, P}((w, x_j), (w', x'_j))] > \\
 &= \mathcal{R}_W^*(w, w').
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_W \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_W^*$. Since $(e_j : (U_j, \mathcal{R}_{U_j}) \rightarrow (W, \mathcal{R}_W))_{j \in J}$ is final, $1_W : (W, \mathcal{R}_W^*) \rightarrow (W, \mathcal{R}_W)$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping. So $\mathcal{R}_W^* \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_W$. Hence $\mathcal{R}_W^* = \mathcal{R}_W$. Therefore $(e_j)_{j \in J}$ is final.

Now we define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{U_j, R} = < R_{U_j, R}, \lambda_{U_j, R} > : U_j \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as follows: for each $((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \in U_j \times U_j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathcal{R}_{U_j, R}((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \\
 &= (\mathcal{R}_W \times_R \mathcal{R}_j) \mid_{U_j \times U_j} ((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \\
 &= (\mathcal{R}_W \times_R \mathcal{R}_j)((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \\
 &= < R_W(w, w') \wedge R_j(x_j, x'_j), \lambda_W(w, w') \vee \lambda_j(x_j, x'_j) >.
 \end{aligned}$$

For each $j \in J$, let $e_j : U_j \rightarrow W$ and $p_j : U_j \rightarrow X_j$ be the usual projections. Then we can similarly prove that final episinks in $\mathbf{Rel}_R(H)$ are preserved by pullbacks. This completes the proof. \square

For any singleton set $\{a\}$, since the cubic set $\mathcal{R}_{\{a\}}$ in $\{a\}$ is not unique, the category $\mathbf{CRel}(H)$ is not properly fibered over \mathbf{Set} . Then from Definitions 1 and 3, Lemmas 2 and 3, we have the following result.

Theorem 1. *The category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] satisfies all the conditions of a topological universe over \mathbf{Set} except the terminal separator property.*

Theorem 2. *The category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] is Cartesian closed over \mathbf{Set} .*

Proof. From Lemma 1, it is clear that $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] has products. Then it is sufficient to prove that $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] has exponential objects.

For any cubic H -relational spaces $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{R}_X) = (X, < R_X, \lambda_X >)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) = (Y, < R_Y, \lambda_Y >)$, let Y^X be the set of all ordinary mappings from X to Y . We define two mappings $R_{Y^X} : Y^X \times Y^X \rightarrow [H]$ and $\lambda_{Y^X} : Y^X \times Y^X \rightarrow H$ as follows: for each $(f, g) \in Y^X \times Y^X$,

$$R_{Y^X}(f, g) = \bigvee \{h \in H : R_X(x, y) \wedge h \leq R_Y(f(x), f(y)), \text{ for each } (x, y) \in X \times X\}$$

and

$\lambda_{Y^X}(f, g) = \bigvee \{h \in H : \lambda_X(x, y) \wedge h \leq \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y)), \text{ for each } (x, y) \in X \times X\}$. Then clearly, $\mathcal{A}_{Y^X} = < A_{Y^X}, \lambda_{Y^X} >$ is a cubic H -relation in Y^X . Moreover, by the definitions of R_{Y^X} and λ_{Y^X} ,

$$R_X^-(x, y) \wedge R_{Y^X}^-(f, g) \leq R_Y^-(f(x), f(y)), \quad R_X^+(x, y) \wedge R_{Y^X}^+(f, g) \leq R_Y^-(f(x), f(y))$$

and

$$\lambda_X(x, y) \wedge \lambda_{Y^X}(f, g) \leq \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y)),$$

for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Let $\mathbf{Y}^X = (Y^X, \mathcal{R}_{Y^X})$ and let us define a mapping $e_{X, Y} : X \times Y^X \rightarrow Y$ as follows: for each $(x, f) \in X \times Y^X$,

$$e_{X, Y}(x, f) = f(x).$$

Let $(x, f), (y, g) \in X \times Y^X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (R_X^- \times_P R_{Y^X}^-)((x, f), (y, g)) &= R_X^-(x, y) \wedge R_{Y^X}^-(f, g) \\
 &\leq R_Y^-(f(x), f(y)) \\
 &= R_Y^- \circ e_{X, Y}^2((x, f), (y, g)), \\
 &\quad [\text{By the definition of } e_{X, Y}]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (R_X^+ \times_P R_{Y^X}^+)((x, f), (y, g)) &= R_X^+(x, y) \wedge R_{Y^X}^+(f, g) \\
 &\leq A_Y^+(f(x), f(y)) \\
 &= A_Y^+ \circ e_{X,Y}^2((x, f), (y, g)) \text{ and} \\
 (\lambda_X \times_P \lambda_{Y^X})((x, f), (y, g)) &= \lambda_X(x, y) \wedge \lambda_{Y^X}(f, g) \\
 &\leq \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y)) \\
 &= \lambda_Y \circ e_{X,Y}^2((x, f), (y, g)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $e_{X,Y} : \mathbf{X} \times_P \mathbf{Y}^X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping, where $\mathbf{X} \times_P \mathbf{Y}^X = (X \times Y^X, < A_X \times_P A_{Y^X}, \lambda_X \times_P \lambda_{Y^X} >)$.

For any cubic H -relational space $\mathbf{Z} = (Z, < A_Z, \lambda_Z >)$, let $k : \mathbf{X} \times_P \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping. We define a mapping $\bar{k} : Z \rightarrow Y^X$ as follows: for each $z \in Z$ and each $x \in X$,

$$[\bar{k}(z)](x) = k(x, z).$$

Then we can prove that \bar{k} is a unique $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping such that $e_{X,Y} \circ (1_X \times \bar{k}) = k$.

Now we define two mappings $R_{Y^X,R} : Y^X \times Y^X \rightarrow [H]$ and $\lambda_{Y^X,R} : Y^X \times Y^X \rightarrow H$ as follows: for each $(f, g) \in Y^X \times Y^X$ and each $x \in X$,

$$R_{Y^X,R}(f, g) = R_{Y^X,P}(f, g)$$

and

$$\lambda_{Y^X,R}(f, g) = \bigwedge \{h \in H : \lambda_X(x, y) \vee h \geq \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y)), \text{ for each } (x, y) \in X \times X\}.$$

Then clearly, $\mathcal{R}_{Y^X,R} = < R_{Y^X,R}, \lambda_{Y^X,R} >$ is a cubic H -relation in Y^X . Moreover, by the definitions of $R_{Y^X,R}$ and $\lambda_{Y^X,R}$,

$$R_X(x, y) \wedge R_{Y^X,R}(f, g) \leq R_Y(f(x), f(y))$$

and

$$\lambda_X(x, y) \vee \lambda_{Y^X,R}(f, g) \geq \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y)),$$

for each $x \in X$. Let $\mathbf{Y}^X = (Y^X, \mathcal{R}_{Y^X,R})$ and let us define a mapping $e_{X,Y} : X \times Y^X \rightarrow Y$ as follows: for each $(x, f) \in X \times Y^X$,

$$e_{X,Y}(x, f) = f(x).$$

Let $(x, f), (y, g) \in X \times Y^X$. Then by the definitions of $R_{Y^X,R}$ and $\lambda_{Y^X,R}$, we have the followings:

$$(R_X \times_R A_{Y^X,R})((x, f), (y, g)) \leq R_Y \circ e_{X,Y}^2((x, f), (y, g))$$

and

$$(\lambda_X \times_R \lambda_{Y^X,R})((x, f), (y, g)) \geq \lambda_Y \circ e_{X,Y}^2((x, f), (y, g)).$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_X \times_R \mathcal{R}_{Y^X,R} \in \mathcal{R}_Y \circ e_{X,Y}^2$. So $e_{X,Y} : \mathbf{X} \times_R \mathbf{Y}^X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping, where $\mathbf{X} \times_R \mathbf{Y}^X = (X \times Y^X, < R_X \times_R R_{Y^X,R}, \lambda_X \times_R \lambda_{Y^X,R} >)$.

For any cubic H -relational space $\mathbf{Z} = (Z, < R_Z, \lambda_Z >)$, let $k : \mathbf{X} \times_R \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping. We define a mapping $\bar{k} : Z \rightarrow Y^X$ as follows: for each $z \in Z$ and each $x \in X$,

$$[\bar{k}(z)](x) = k(x, z).$$

Then we can prove that \bar{k} is a unique $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mapping such that

$$e_{X,Y} \circ (1_X \times \bar{k}) = k.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 1. The category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] is not a topos (See [39] for its definition), since it has no subobject classifier.

Example 3. Let $I = \{0, 1\}$ be two points chain, respectively and let $X = \{a\}$. Let \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 be the cubic H -relations in X defined by:

$$\mathcal{R}_1(a) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle \text{ and } \mathcal{R}_2(a) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle.$$

Let $1_X : (X, \mathcal{R}_1) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R}_2)$ be the identity mapping. Then clearly, 1_X is both monomorphism and epimorphism in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$]. However, 1_X is not an isomorphism in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$]. Thus, $\mathbf{CRel}(H)$ has no subobject classifier.

4. The Categories $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ and $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$

In this section, we obtain two subcategories $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ and $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$ of $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ and $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$, respectively which are topological universes over **Set**.

It is interesting that final structures and exponential objects in $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] are shown to be quite different from those in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$].

First of all, we list two well-known results.

Result 1 (Theorem 2.5 [25]). Let **A** be a well-powered and co(well-powered) topological category. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (1) **B** is bireflective in **A**,
- (2) **B** is closed under the formation of initial sources, i.e., for any initial source $(f_j : A \rightarrow A^j)_{j \in J}$ in **A** with $A_j \in \mathbf{B}$ for each $j \in J$, then $A \in \mathbf{B}$.

Result 2 (Theorem 2.6 [25]). If **A** is a topological category and **B** is a bireflective subcategory of **A**, then **B** is also a topological category. Moreover, every source in **B** which is initial in **A** is initial in **B**.

Definition 8. Let X be a nonempty set and let $\mathcal{R} = \langle R, \lambda \rangle$ be a cubic H -relation in X . Then \mathcal{R} is said to be reflexive, if R and λ are reflexive, i.e., $R(x, x) = 1$ and $\lambda(x, x) = 1$, for each $x \in X$.

The class of all cubic H -reflexive relational spaces and $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mappings [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ -mappings between them forms a subcategory of $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] denoted by $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$].

The following is the immediate result of Definitions 1 and 8.

Lemma 4. The category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] is properly fibered over **Set**.

Lemma 5. The category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] is closed under the formation of initial sources in The category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$]

Proof. Let $f_j : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)_{j \in J}$ be an initial source in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ such that each (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) belongs to $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$, where $(X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}) = (X, \langle R_{X,P}, \lambda_{X,P} \rangle)$ and $(X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) = (X_j, \langle R_j, \lambda_j \rangle)$. Let $x \in X$ and let $j \in J$. Since R_j and λ_j are reflexive, $R_j \circ f_j^2(x, x) = 1$ and $\lambda_j \circ f_j^2(x, x) = 1$. Then

$$R_{X,P}(x, x) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} R_j \circ f_j^2(x, x) = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_{X,P}(x, x) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} \lambda_j \circ f_j^2(x, x) = 1.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}(x, x) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$. So $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}$ is reflexive.

Now let $f_j : (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R}) \rightarrow (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)_{j \in J}$ be an initial source in $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$ such that each (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) belongs to $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$. Then clearly, for each $x \in X$,

$$R_{X,R}(x, x) = R_{X,P}(x, x) = \mathbf{1} \text{ and } \lambda_{X,R}(x, x) = \bigvee_{j \in J} \lambda_j \circ f_j^2(x, x) = 1.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}(x, x) = \langle \mathbf{1}, 1 \rangle$. So $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}$ is reflexive. This completes the proof. \square

From Results 1, 2 and Lemma 5, we have the followings.

Proposition 3. (1) The category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] is a bireflective subcategory of $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$].

(2) The category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] is topological over **Set**.

It is well-known that a category **A** is topological if and only if it is cotopological. Then by (2) of the above Proposition, the category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] is cotopological over **Set**. However, we will prove that $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] is cotopological over **Set**, directly.

Lemma 6. the category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] has final structure over **Set**.

Proof. Let X be a nonempty set and let $((X_j, \mathcal{R}_j)) = ((X_j, \langle R_j, \lambda_j \rangle))_{j \in J}$ be any family of cubic H -relational spaces indexed by a class J . We define two mappings $R_{X,P} : X \rightarrow [H]$ and $\lambda^{X,P} : X \rightarrow H$, respectively as below: for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$R_{X,P}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x, y)} R_j(x_j, y_j) & \text{if } (x, y) \in (X \times X - \Delta_X) \\ \mathbf{1} & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Delta_X \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda_{X,P}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x, y)} \lambda_j(x_j, y_j) & \text{if } (x, y) \in (X \times X - \Delta_X) \\ 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Delta_X, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$. Then clearly, $\mathcal{R}_{X,P}$ is the cubic H -reflexive relation in X given by: for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{X,P}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \sqcup_{j \in J} \sqcup_{(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x, y)} \mathcal{R}_j(x_j, y_j) & \text{if } (x, y) \in (X \times X - \Delta_X) \\ \langle \mathbf{1}, 1 \rangle & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Delta_X. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we can easily check that $(X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}) = (X, \langle R_{X,P}, \lambda_{X,P} \rangle)$ is a final structure in $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$. Thus, $(f_j : (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,P}))_{j \in J}$ is a final sink in $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$.

Now we define two mappings $R_{X,R} : X \rightarrow [H]$ and $\lambda^{X,R} : X \rightarrow H$, respectively as follows: for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$R_{X,R}(x, y) = R_{X,P}(x, y)$$

and

$$\lambda_{X,R}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bigwedge_{(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x, y)} \lambda_j(x_j, y_j) & \text{if } (x, y) \in (X \times X - \Delta_X) \\ 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Delta_X. \end{cases}$$

Then clearly, $\mathcal{R}_{X,R}$ is the cubic H -reflexive relation in X given by: for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{X,R}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \sqcup_{j \in J} \sqcup_{(x_j, y_j) \in f_j^{-2}(x, y)} \mathcal{R}_j(x_j, y_j) & \text{if } (x, y) \in (X \times X - \Delta_X) \\ \langle \mathbf{1}, 1 \rangle & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Delta_X. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we can easily show that $(f_j : (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R}_{X,R}))_{j \in J}$ is a final sink in $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$. \square

Lemma 7. Final episinks in $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] are preserved by pullbacks.

Proof. Let $(g_j : (X_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_{Y,P}))_{j \in J}$ be any final episink in $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ and let $f : (W, \mathcal{R}_W) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_{Y,P})$ be any $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping, where (W, \mathcal{R}_W) is a cubic H -reflexive relational space. For each $j \in J$, let us take $U_j, \mathcal{R}_{U_j,P}, e_j$ and p_j as in the first proof of Lemma 3. Then we can easily check that $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ is closed under the formation of pullbacks in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$. Thus, it is enough to prove that $(e_j)_{j \in J}$ is final.

Suppose \mathcal{R}_W^* is the final cubic H -relation in W regarding $(e_j)_{j \in J}$ and let $(w, w') \in (W \times W - \Delta_X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_W(w, w') &= < R_W(w, w'), \lambda_W(w, w') > \\ &= < R_W(w, w') \wedge R_W(w, w'), \lambda_W(w, w') \wedge \lambda_W(w, w') > \\ &\leq_P < R_W(w, w') \wedge R_Y \circ f^2(w, w'), \lambda_W(w, w') \wedge \lambda_Y \circ f^2(w, w') > \\ &\quad [\text{Since } f : (W, \mathcal{R}_W) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) \text{ is a } \mathbf{CRel}_P(H)\text{-mapping}] \\ &= < R_W(w, w') \wedge [\bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, x'_j) \in g_j^{-2}(f(w), f(w'))} R_j(x_j, x'_j)], \\ &\quad \lambda_W(w, w') \wedge [\bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, x'_j) \in g_j^{-2}(f(w), f(w'))} \lambda_j(x_j, x'_j)] > \\ &\quad [\text{Since } (g_j : (R_j, \mathcal{R}_j) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y))_{j \in J} \text{ is a final episink in } \mathbf{CRel}_P(H)] \\ &= < \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, x'_j) \in g_j^{-2}(f(w), f(w'))} [R_W(w, w') \wedge R_j(x_j, x'_j)], \\ &\quad \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{(x_j, x'_j) \in g_j^{-2}(f(w), f(w'))} [\lambda_W(w, w') \wedge \lambda_j(x_j, x'_j)] > \\ &= < \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \in e_j^{-2}(w, w')} [R_W(w, w') \wedge R_j(x_j, x'_j)], \\ &\quad \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \in e_j^{-2}(w, w')} [\lambda_W(w, w') \wedge \lambda_j(x_j, x'_j)] > \\ &= < \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \in e_j^{-2}(w, w')} [\mathcal{R}_{U_j,P}((w, x_j), (w', x'_j))], \\ &\quad \bigvee_{j \in J} \bigvee_{((w, x_j), (w', x'_j)) \in e_j^{-2}(w, w')} [\lambda_{U_j,P}((w, x_j), (w', x'_j))] > \\ &= \mathcal{R}_W^*(w, w'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_W \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_W^*$. On the other hand, by a similar argument in the first proof of Lemma 3, $\mathcal{R}_W^* \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_W$ on $W \times W - \Delta_W$. So $\mathcal{R}_W^* = \mathcal{R}_W$ on $W \times W - \Delta_W$. Now let $(w, w) \in \Delta_W$. Then clearly, $\mathcal{R}_W^*(w, w) = < 1, 1 > = \mathcal{R}_W(w, w)$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}_W^* = \mathcal{R}_W$ on Δ_W . Hence $\mathcal{R}_W^* = \mathcal{R}_W$ on W .

Now for each $j \in J$, let us $\mathcal{R}_{U_j,R} = < R_{U_j,R}, \lambda_{U_j,R} > : U_j \rightarrow [H] \times H$ be the mapping as in the second proof of Lemma 3. Then we can similarly prove that final episinks in $\mathbf{Rel}_{R,R}(H)$ are preserved by pullbacks. This completes the proof. \square

The following is the immediate result of Lemma 4, Proposition 3 (2) and Lemma 7.

Theorem 3. The category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] is a topological universe over **Set**. In particular, $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] is Cartesian closed over **Set** (See [1]) and a concrete quasitopos (See [40]).

In [41], Noh obtained exponential objects in $\mathbf{Rel}(I)$, where $\mathbf{Rel}(I)$ denotes the category of fuzzy relations. By applying his construction of an exponential object in $\mathbf{Rel}(I)$ to the category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$], we have the following.

Proposition 4. The category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] has an exponential object.

Proof. For any $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{R}_X) = (X, < R_X, \lambda_X >)$, $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) = (Y, < R_Y, \lambda_Y >) \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H))$ and let $Y^X = \text{hom}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$. For any $(f, g) \in Y^X \times Y^X$, let

$$D(f, g) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : R_X(x, y) > R_Y(f(x), g(y)), \lambda_X(x, y) > \lambda_Y(f(x), g(y))\}.$$

We define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{Y^X,P} = < R_{Y^X,P}, \lambda_{Y^X,P} > : Y^X \times Y^X \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as follows: for each $(f, g) \in Y^X \times Y^X$,
 $\mathcal{R}_{Y^X,P}(f, g)$

$$= \begin{cases} < \bigwedge_{(x,y) \in D(f,g)} R_Y(f(x), f(y)), \bigwedge_{(x,y) \in D(f,g)} \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y)) > & \text{if } D(f,g) \neq \phi \\ < \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} > & \text{if } D(f,g) = \phi. \end{cases}$$

Then by the definition of $D(f,g)$, $D(f,f) = \phi$, for each $f \in Y^X$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{Y^X,p}(f,f) = < \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} >$, for each $f \in Y^X$. So $\mathcal{R}_{Y^X,p}$ is a cubic H -reflexive relation in Y^X .

Let $Y^X = (Y^X, \mathcal{R}_{Y^X,p})$ and we define the mapping $e_{X,Y} : X \times_P Y^X \rightarrow Y$ as follows: for each $(a,f) \in X \times Y^X$,

$$e_{X,Y}(a,f) = f(a).$$

Let $(a,f), (b,g) \in X \times Y^X$.

Case 1: Suppose $D(f,g) = \phi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{R}_X \times_P \mathcal{R}_{Y^X,p})((a,f), (b,g)) \\ &= < R_X(a,b) \wedge R_{Y^X,p}(f,g), \lambda_X(a,b) \wedge \lambda_{Y^X,p}(f,g) > \\ &= < R_X(a,b), \lambda_X(a,b) > \\ & \quad [\text{By the definition of } R_{Y^X,p}, R_{Y^X,p}(f,g) = \mathbf{1}, \lambda_{Y^X,p}(f,g) = \mathbf{1}] \\ & \leq_P < R_Y(f(x), g(y)), \lambda_Y(f(x), g(y)) > [\text{Since } D(f,g) = \phi] \\ &= < R_Y \circ e_{X,Y}^2((a,f), (b,g)). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Suppose $D(f,g) \neq \phi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{R}_X \times_P \mathcal{R}_{Y^X,p})((a,f), (b,g)) \\ &= < R_X(a,b) \wedge [\bigwedge_{(x,y) \in D(f,g)} R_Y(f(x), f(y))], \\ & \quad \lambda_X(a,b) \wedge [\bigwedge_{(x,y) \in D(f,g)} \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y))] > \\ & \leq_P < R_Y(f(x), g(y)), \lambda_Y(f(x), g(y)) > \\ &= < R_Y \circ e_{X,Y}^2((a,f), (b,g)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in either case, $\mathcal{R}_X \times \mathcal{R}_{Y^X,p} \sqsubseteq R_Y \circ e_{X,Y}^2$. So $e_{X,Y}$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping.

Let $Z = (Z, \mathcal{R}_Z) = (Z, < R_Z, \lambda_Z >)$ be any cubic H -reflexive relational space and let $h : X \times Z \rightarrow Y$ be any $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping. We define the mapping $\bar{h} : Z \rightarrow Y^X$ as follows: for each $c \in Z$ and each $a \in X$,

$$[\bar{h}(c)](a) = h(a,c).$$

Let $c \in Z$ and let $a, b \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_Y \circ [\bar{h}(c)]^2(a,b) \\ &= \mathcal{R}_Y([\bar{h}(c)](a), [\bar{h}(c)](b)) \\ &= < R_Y([\bar{h}(c)](a), [\bar{h}(c)](b)), \lambda_Y([\bar{h}(c)](a), [\bar{h}(c)](b)) > \\ &= < R_Y(h(a,c), h(b,c)), \lambda_Y(h(a,c), h(b,c)) > \\ &= < R_Y \circ h^2(h(a,c), h(b,c)), \lambda_Y \circ h^2(h(a,c), h(b,c)) > \\ &= \mathcal{R}_Y \circ h^2((a,c), (b,c)) \\ & \geq_P (\mathcal{R}_X \times_P \mathcal{R}_Z)((a,c), (b,c)) \\ &= < (R_X \times_P R_Z)((a,c), (b,c)), (\lambda_X \times_P \lambda_Z)((a,c), (b,c)) > \\ &= < R_X(a,b) \wedge R_Z(c,c), \lambda_X(a,b) \wedge \lambda_Z(c,c) > \\ &= < R_X(a,b), \lambda_X(a,b) > [\text{Since } \mathcal{R}_Z \text{ is reflexive}] \\ &= \mathcal{R}_X(a,b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_X \sqsubseteq \mathcal{R}_Y \circ [\bar{h}(c)]^2$. So $\bar{h}(c) : X \rightarrow Y$ is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping. Hence \bar{h} is well-defined. Let $c, c' \in Z$.

Case 1: Suppose $D(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c')) = \phi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_{Y^X,p} \circ \bar{h}^2(c, c') = \mathcal{R}_{Y^X,p}(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c')) \\ &= < \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} > [\text{By the definition of } \mathcal{R}_{Y^X,p}] \\ & \geq_P \mathcal{R}_Z(c, c'). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Suppose $D(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c')) \neq \phi$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{Y^X,p}(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c')) = < R_{Y^X,p}(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c')), \lambda_{Y^X,p}(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c')) >$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= < \bigwedge_{(a,b) \in D(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c'))} R_Y([\bar{h}(c)](a), [\bar{h}(c')](b)), \\
 &\quad \bigwedge_{(a,b) \in D(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c'))} \lambda_Y([\bar{h}(c)](a), [\bar{h}(c')](b)) > \\
 &= < \bigwedge_{(a,b) \in D(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c'))} R_Y(h(a, c), h(b, c')), \\
 &\quad \bigwedge_{(a,b) \in D(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c'))} \lambda_Y(h(a, c), h(b, c')) > \\
 &\geq_P < \bigwedge_{(a,b) \in D(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c'))} [R_X(a, b) \wedge R_Z(c, c')], \\
 &\quad \bigwedge_{(a,b) \in D(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c'))} [\lambda_X(a, b) \wedge \lambda_Z(c, c')] >.
 \end{aligned}$$

On one hand, for any $(a, b) \in D(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c'))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_X(a, b) &> R_Y([\bar{h}(c)](a), [\bar{h}(c')](b)) \\
 &= R_Y(h(a, c), h(b, c')) \\
 &\geq R_X(a, b) \wedge R_Z(c, c').
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $R_X(a, b) > R_Z(c, c')$. Similarly, we have $\lambda_X(a, b) > \lambda_Z(c, c')$. So

$$\mathcal{R}_{Y^X, P}(\bar{h}(c), \bar{h}(c')) \geq_P \mathcal{R}_Z(c, c').$$

Hence in either cases, $\mathcal{R}_Z \sqsubset \mathcal{R}_{Y^X, P} \circ \bar{h}^2$. Therefore \bar{h} is a $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ -mapping. Furthermore, \bar{h} is unique and $e_{X, Y} \circ (1_X \times \bar{h}) = h$.

Now for any $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{R}_X) = (X, < R_X, \lambda_X >)$, $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{R}_Y) = (Y, < R_Y, \lambda_Y >) \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathbf{CRel}_{R, R}(H))$ and let $Y^X = \text{hom}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$. For any $(f, g) \in Y^X \times Y^X$, let

$$D'(f, g) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : R_X(x, y) > R_Y(f(x), g(y)), \lambda_X(x, y) < \lambda_Y(f(x), g(y))\}.$$

We define a mapping $\mathcal{R}_{Y^X, R} = < R_{Y^X, R}, \lambda_{Y^X, R} >: Y^X \times Y^X \rightarrow [H] \times H$ as follows: for each $(f, g) \in Y^X \times Y^X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathcal{R}_{Y^X, R}(f, g) \\
 &= \begin{cases} < \bigwedge_{(x,y) \in D'(f,g)} R_Y(f(x), f(y)), \bigvee_{(x,y) \in D'(f,g)} \lambda_Y(f(x), f(y)) > & \text{if } D'(f, g) \neq \emptyset \\ < \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} > & \text{if } D'(f, g) = \emptyset. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we can easily check that $\mathcal{R}_{Y^X, R}$ is a cubic H -reflexive relation in Y^X . Moreover, by the similar argument of the above proof, we can show that $\mathcal{R}_{Y^X, R}$ is an exponential object in Y^X . This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2. (1) We can see that exponential objects in $\mathbf{CRel}_{P, R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R, R}(H)$] is quite different from those in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] constructed in Theorem 1.

(2) The category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P, R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R, R}(H)$] has no subject classifier.

Example 4. Let $H = \{0, 1\}$ be the two points chain and let $X = \{a, b\}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{1, P} = < R_{1, P}, \lambda_{1, P} >$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2, P} = < R_{2, P}, \lambda_{2, P} >$ be cubic H -reflexive relations in X given by:

$$\mathcal{R}_{1, P}(a, a) = \mathcal{R}_{1, P}(b, b) = < \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} >, \mathcal{R}_{1, P}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}_{1, P}(b, a) = < \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0} >$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{2, P}(a, a) = \mathcal{R}_{2, P}(b, b) = < \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} >, \mathcal{R}_{2, P}(a, b) = \mathcal{R}_{2, P}(b, a) = < \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} >.$$

Let $1_X : (X, \mathcal{R}_{1, P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{R}_{2, P})$ be the identity mapping. Then clearly, 1_X is both monomorphism and epimorphism in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$. However, 1_X is not an isomorphism in $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$.

5. Conclusions

We constructed the concrete category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] of cubic H -relational spaces and P -preserving [resp. R -preserving] mappings between them and studied it in the sense of a

topological universe. In particular, we proved that it is Cartesian closed over **Set**. Next, We introduced the category $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] of cubic H -reflexive relational spaces and P -preserving [resp. R -preserving] mappings between them and investigated it in a viewpoint of a topological universe. In particular, we obtained exponential objects in $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$] quite different from those in $\mathbf{CRel}_{P,R}(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_{R,R}(H)$]. Also we proved that $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] is a topological universe but $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$] not a topological universe. In the future, we will expect one to study some full subcategories of the category $\mathbf{CRel}_P(H)$ [resp. $\mathbf{CRel}_R(H)$].

Author Contributions: Creation and mathematical ideas, J.-G.L. and K.H.; writing—original draft preparation, J.-G.L. and K.H.; writing—review and editing, X.C. and K.H.; funding acquisition, J.-G.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This paper was supported by Wonkwang University in 2020.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Nel, L.D. Topological universes and smooth Gelfand Naimark duality, mathematical applications of category theory. *Contemp. Math.* **1984**, *30*, 224–276.
2. Adamek, J.; Herlich, H. Cartesian closed categories, quasitopoi and topological universes. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **1986**, *27*, 235–257.
3. Kriegl, A.; Nel, L.D. A convenient setting for holomorphy. *Cah. Topol. Geom. Differ. Categ.* **1985**, *26*, 273–309.
4. Kriegl, A.; Nel, L.D. Convenient vector spaces of smooth functions. *Math. Nachr.* **1990**, *147*, 39–45.
5. Nel, L.D. Enriched locally convex structures, differential calculus and Riesz representation. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **1986**, *42*, 165–184.
6. Hur, K.; Lim, P.K.; Lee, J.G.; Kim, J. The category of neutrosophic sets. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.* **2016**, *14*, 12–20.
7. Hur, K.; Lim, P.K.; Lee, J.G.; Kim, J. The category of neutrosophic crisp sets. *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* **2017**, *14*, 43–54.
8. Cerruti, U. Categories of L -Fuzzy Relations. In *Proceedings International Conference on Cybernetics and Applied Systems Research (Acapulco 1980)*; Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 1980; Volume 5.
9. Hur, K. H -fuzzy relations (I): A topological universe viewpoint. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1994**, *61*, 239–244.
10. Hur, K. H -fuzzy relations (II): A topological universe viewpoint. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1995**, *63*, 73–79.
11. Hur, K.; Jang, S.Y.; Kang, H.W. Intuitionistic H -fuzzy relations. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2005**, *17*, 2723–2734.
12. Lim, P.K.; Kim, S.H.; Hur, K. The category $\mathbf{VRel}(H)$. *Int. Math. Forum* **2010**, *5*, 1443–1462.
13. Jun, Y.B.; Kim, C.S.; Yang, K.O. Cubic sets. *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* **2012**, *4*, 83–98.
14. Ahn, S.S.; Ko, J.M. Cubic subalgebras and filters of CI -algebras. *Honam Math. J.* **2014**, *36*, 43–54.
15. Akram, M.; Yaqoob, N.; Gulistan, M. Cubic KU -subalgebras. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2013**, *89*, 659–665.
16. Jun, Y.B.; Lee, K.J.; Kang, M.S. Cubic structures applied to ideals of BCI -algebras. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2011**, *62*, 3334–3342.
17. Jun, Y.B.; Khan, A. Cubic ideals in semigroups. *Honam Math. J.* **2013**, *35*, 607–623.
18. Jun, Y.B.; Jung, S.T.; Kim, M.S. Cubic subgroups. *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* **2011**, *2*, 9–15.
19. Zeb, A.; Abdullah, S.; Khan, M.; Majid, A. Cubic topology. *Int. J. Comput. Inf. Secur. (IJCSIS)* **2016**, *14*, 659–669.
20. Mahmood, T.; Abdullah, S. Saeed-ur-Rashid and M. Bilal, Multicriteria decision making based on cubic set. *J. New Theory* **2017**, *16*, 01–09.
21. Rashid, S.; Yaqoob, N.; Akram, M.; Gulistan, M. Cubic Graphs with Application. *Int. J. Anal. Appl.* **2018**, *16*, 733–750.
22. Yaqoob, N.; Abughazalah, N. Finite Switchboard State Machines Based on Cubic Sets. *Complexity* **2019**, *2019*, 2548735.
23. Ma, X.-L.; Zhan, J.; Khan, M.; Gulistan, M.; Yaqoob, N. Generalized cubic relations in H_v -LA-semigroups. *J. Discret. Math. Sci. Cryptogr.* **2018**, *21*, 607–630.
24. Kim, J.; Lim, P.K.; Lee, J.G.; Hur, K. Cubic relations. *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* **2020**, *19*, 21–43.
25. Kim, C.Y.; Hong, S.S.; Hong, Y.H.; Park, P.H. Algebras in Cartesian Closed Topological Categories. *Lect. Note Ser.* **1985**, *1*, 273–309.

26. Herrlich, H. Catesian closed topological categories. *Math. Coll. Univ. Cape Town* **1974**, *9*, 1–16.
27. Gorzalczany, M.B. A method of inference in approximate reasoning based on interval-valued fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1987**, *21*, 1–17.
28. Hur, K.; Lee, J.G.; Choi, J.Y. Interval-valued fuzzy relations. *JKIIS* **2009**, *19*, 425–432.
29. Mondal, T.K.; Samanta, S.K. Topology of interval-valued fuzzy sets. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **1999**, *30*, 133–189.
30. Salama, A.A.; Smarandache, F. *Neutrosophic Crips Set Theory*; The Educational Publisher Columbus: Grandview Heights, OH, USA, 2015.
31. Smarandache, F. *Neutrosophy Neutrisophic Property, Sets, and Logic*; Amer Res Press: Rehoboth, DE, USA, 1998.
32. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy sets. *Inf. Control* **1965**, *8*, 338–353.
33. Zadeh, L.A. Similarity relations and fuzzy ordering. *Inf. Sci.* **1971**, *3*, 177–200.
34. Zadeh, L.A. The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning-I. *Inf. Sci.* **1975**, *8*, 199–249.
35. Kim, J.H.; Jun, Y.B.; Lim, P.K.; Lee, J.G.; Hur, K. The category of Cubic H -sets. *JIA* To be submitted.
36. Birkhoff, G. *Lattice Theory*; A. M. S. Colloquim Publication; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 1967; Volume 25.
37. Jhonstone, P.T. *Stone Spaces*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1982.
38. Herrlich, H.; Strecker, G.E. *Category Theory*; Allyn and Bacon: Newton, MA, USA, 1973.
39. Ponasse, D. Some remarks on the category $\mathbf{Fuz}(H)$ of M. Eytan. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **1983**, *9*, 199–204.
40. Dubuc, E.J. Concrete quasitopoi. In *Applications of Sheaves*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1979; Volume 753, pp. 239–254.
41. Noh, Y. Categorical Aspects of Fuzzy Relations. Master's Thesis, Yon Sei University, Seoul, Korea, 1985.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).