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#### Abstract

The projected subgradient algorithms can be considered as an improvement of the projected algorithms and the subgradient algorithms for the equilibrium problems of the class of monotone and Lipschitz continuous operators. In this paper, we present and analyze an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the fixed point of pseudocontractive operators and the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem in Hilbert spaces. The suggested iterative algorithm is based on the projected method and subgradient method with a linearsearch technique. We show the strong convergence result for the iterative sequence generated by this algorithm. Some applications are also included. Our result improves and extends some existing results in the literature.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout, let $H$ be a real Hilbert space endowed with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and induced norm $\|\cdot\|(\|x\|=\sqrt{\langle x, x\rangle}, \forall x \in H)$. Let $\varnothing \neq C \subset H$ be a closed and convex set. Let $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction. Recall that $f$ is said to be monotone if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger}\right)+f\left(v^{\dagger}, u^{\dagger}\right) \leq 0, \forall u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger} \in C . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f$ is said to be pseudomonotone if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger}\right) \geq 0 \text { implies } f\left(v^{\dagger}, u^{\dagger}\right) \leq 0, \forall u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger} \in C . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, we have the inclusion relation: Equation (1) $\Rightarrow$ Equation (2).
In this paper, our research is associated with the equilibrium problem [1] of seeking an element $\tilde{u} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\tilde{u}, u) \geq 0, \forall u \in C . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution set of the equilibrium problem in Equation (3) is denoted by $E P(f, C)$.
Equilibrium problems have been studied extensively in the literature (see, e.g., [2-5]). Many problems, such as variational inequalities [6-15], fixed point problems [16-21], and Nash equilibrium in noncooperative games theory [1,22], can be formulated in the form of Equation (3). An important
method for solving Equation (3) is the proximal point method, which was originally introduced by Martinet [23] and further developed by Rockafellar [24] for finding a zero of maximal monotone operators. In 2000, Konnov [25] extended the proximal point method to the monotone equilibrium problem. However, the proximal point method cannot be applied for solving the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem [26].

Another basis algorithm for solving the equilibrium problem is the projection algorithm [27]. However, the projection algorithm may fail to converge for the pseudomonotone monotone equilibrium problem. To overcome this disadvantage, the extragradient algorithm [4] can be applied to solve the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem. More precisely, the extragradient algorithm generates a sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ iteratively as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{k}=\arg \min _{y^{\dagger} \in C}\left\{\lambda f\left(x^{k}, y^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x^{k}-y^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}\right\}  \tag{4}\\
x^{k+1}=\arg \min _{y^{\dagger} \in C}\left\{\lambda f\left(y^{k}, y^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x^{k}-y^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

However, the main difficulty of the extragradient algorithm in Equation (4) is that, at each iterative step, it requires to solve two strongly convex programs. Consequently, the subgradient algorithm [28,29] has been proposed and developed for solving a large class of equilibrium problems that solves only one strongly convex program rather than two as in the extragradient algorithm, and the convergence results show the efficiency of the algorithms.

At the same time, to solve the equilibrium problem in Equation (3), the bifunction $f$ is always to be assumed to possess the following Lipschitz-type condition [30]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u, v)+f(v, w) \geq f(u, w)-\zeta_{1}\|u-v\|^{2}-\zeta_{2}\|v-w\|^{2}, \forall u, v, w \in C \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta$ are two positive constants.
It should be pointed out that the condition in Equation (5), in general, is not satisfied. Moreover, even if the condition in Equation (5) holds, finding the constants $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ is not an easy task. To avoid this difficulty, one can merge in the algorithm, a linesearch procedure into the iterative step. The current study continues developing subgradient algorithms without Lipschitz-type condition for solving the equilibrium problem.

Another problem of interest is the fixed point problem of nonlinear operators. Recall that an operator $S: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be pseudocontractive if

$$
\left\|S u-S u^{\dagger}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u-u^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}+\left\|(I-S) u-(I-S) u^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}
$$

and $S$ is called $L$-Lipschitz if

$$
\left\|S u-S u^{\dagger}\right\| \leq L\left\|u-u^{\dagger}\right\|
$$

for some $L>0$ and for all $u, u^{\dagger} \in C$. If $L=1$, then $S$ is said to be nonexpansive.
It is easy to see that the class of pseudocontractive operators includes the class of nonexpansive operators. The interest in pseudocontractive operators [2,31] is due mainly to their connection with the important class of nonlinear monotone (accretive) operators.

The fixed point problem has numerous applications in science and engineering, and it includes the optimization problem [32], the convex feasibility problem [2], the variational inequality problem [33], and so on. The fixed point problem can be solved by using iterative methods, such as the Mann method [34], the Halpern method [35], and the hybrid method [36].

In this paper, we devote to study iterative algorithms for finding a common element of the set of solutions of the equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a wide class of nonlinear operators. The main motivation for considering such a common problem is due to its possible applications in network resource allocation, signal processing, and image recovery [28,37]. Recently, iterative algorithms for solving a common problem of the equilibrium problem and the fixed point problem have been investigated by many researchers [28,38-40]. Especially, Nguyen, Strodiot, and Nguyen [41]
(Algorithm 3) presented the following hybrid self-adaptive method, for solving the equilibrium and the fixed point problem:

Let $\alpha \in(0,2)$ and $\gamma \in(0,1)$. Let $x^{0} \in H$ and $C_{1}=C$. Let $x^{1}=P_{C_{1}}\left[x^{0}\right]$ and set $k=1$.
Step 1. Compute $y^{k}=\min _{y^{\dagger} \in C}\left\{\lambda_{k} f\left(x^{k}, y^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x^{k}-y^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}\right\}$ and $w^{k}=\left(1-\gamma^{m}\right) x^{k}+\gamma^{m} y^{k}$ where $m$ is the smallest nonnegative integer such that $f\left(w^{k}, x^{k}\right)-f\left(w^{k}, y^{k}\right) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}$.

Step 2. Calculate $z^{k}=P_{C}\left[x^{k}-\sigma^{k} g^{k}\right]$, where $g^{k} \in \partial_{2} f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)$ and $\sigma^{k}=\frac{f\left(w^{k}, x^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}$ if $y^{k} \neq x^{k}$ and $\sigma^{k}=0$ otherwise.

Step 3. Calculate $t^{k}=\alpha_{k} z^{k}+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) T_{n} z^{k}$, where $T_{n}: C \rightarrow C$ is nonexpansive.
Step 4. Compute $x^{k+1}=P_{C_{k+1}}\left[x^{0}\right]$, where $C_{k+1}=\left\{z \in C_{k}\| \| t^{k}-z\left\|^{2} \leq\right\| x^{k}-z \|^{2}-(1-\right.$ $\left.\left.\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}\left\|z^{k}-T_{n} z^{k}\right\|^{2}\right\}$.

Step 5 . Set $k:=k+1$ and return to Step 1.
We observe that, in the above algorithm, $f$ is assumed to be monotone, the involved operator $T_{n}$ is nonexpansive, and the construction of half-space $C_{k+1}$ is complicated.

The purpose of this paper is to improve and extend the main result in [41] to a general case: (i) We consider the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem, that is, $f$ is assumed to be pseudomonotone. (ii) We extend $T_{n}$ from the nonexpansive operator to the pseudocontractive operator which includes the nonexpansive operator as a special case. (iii) We adapt the half-space $C_{k+1}$ to a simple form. We propose an iterative algorithm for seeking a common solution of the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem and fixed point of pseudocontractive operators. The suggested iterative algorithm is based on the projected method and subgradient method with a linearsearch technique. We show the strong convergence result for the iterative sequence generated by this algorithm.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect several notations and lemmas that are used in the paper. In Section 3, we adapt and suggest an iterative algorithm and prove its convergence. In Section 4, we give some applications. Finally, a concluding remark is included.

## 2. Notations and Lemmas

Throughout, we assume that $\varnothing \neq C$ is a convex and closed subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. The following symbols are needed in the paper.

- $\quad p^{k} \rightharpoonup p^{+}$indicates the weak convergence of $p^{k}$ to $p^{\dagger}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- $\quad p^{k} \rightarrow p^{\dagger}$ implies the strong convergence of $p^{k}$ to $p^{\dagger}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- $\quad \operatorname{Fix}(S)$ means the set of fixed points of $S$.
- $\quad \omega_{w}\left(p^{k}\right)=\left\{p^{+}: \exists\left\{p^{k_{i}}\right\} \subset\left\{p^{k}\right\}\right.$ such that $\left.p^{k_{i}} \rightharpoonup p^{+}(i \rightarrow \infty)\right\}$.

Let $g: C \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be a function.

- $\quad g$ is said to be proper if $\left\{u^{+} \in C: g\left(u^{+}\right)<+\infty\right\} \neq \varnothing$.
- $\quad g$ is said to be lower semicontinuous if $\{x \in C: g(x) \leq r\}$ is closed for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$.
- $\quad g$ is said to be convex if $g\left(\alpha u^{\dagger}+(1-\alpha) v^{\dagger}\right) \leq \alpha g\left(u^{\dagger}\right)+(1-\alpha) g\left(v^{\dagger}\right)$ for every $u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger} \in C$ and $\alpha \in[0,1]$.
- $\quad g$ is said to be $\rho$-strongly convex $(\rho>0)$ if $g\left(\alpha u^{\dagger}+(1-\alpha) v^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{\rho}{2} \alpha(1-\alpha)\left\|u^{\dagger}-v^{\dagger}\right\|^{2} \leq \alpha g\left(u^{\dagger}\right)+$ $(1-\alpha) g\left(v^{\dagger}\right)$ for every $u^{\dagger}, v^{\dagger} \in C$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$.

Let $g: C \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be a proper, lower semicontinuous, and convex function. Then, the subdifferential $\partial g$ of $g$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial g(u):=\left\{v^{\dagger} \in H: g(u)+\left\langle v^{\dagger}, u^{\dagger}-u\right\rangle \leq g\left(u^{\dagger}\right), \forall u^{\dagger} \in C\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $u \in C$.
It is known that $\partial g$ possesses the following properties:
(i) $\quad \partial g$ is a set-valued maximal monotone operator.
(ii) If $g$ is $\rho$-strongly convex $(\rho>0)$, then $\partial g$ is $\rho$-strongly monotone (i.e., $\langle\partial g(\tilde{u})-\partial g(\tilde{v}), \tilde{u}-\tilde{v}\rangle \geq$ $\left.\rho\|\tilde{u}-\tilde{v}\|^{2}\right)$.
(iii) $u^{\dagger}$ is a solution to the optimization problem $\min _{u \in \mathcal{C}}\{g(u)\}$ if and only if $0 \in \partial g\left(u^{\dagger}\right)+N_{C}\left(u^{\dagger}\right)$, where $N_{C}\left(u^{\dagger}\right)$ means the normal cone of $C$ at $u^{\dagger}$ defined by

$$
N_{C}\left(u^{\dagger}\right)=\left\{\omega \in H:\left\langle\omega, u-u^{\dagger}\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall u \in C\right\} .
$$

Let $f: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bi-function satisfying the following assumptions:
(f1): $f\left(z^{\dagger}, z^{\dagger}\right)=0$ for all $z^{\dagger} \in C$;
(f2): $f$ is pseudomonotone on $E P(f, C)$;
(f3): $f$ is jointly sequently weakly continuous on $\Delta \times \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is an open convex set containing $C$ (recall that $f$ is called jointly sequently weakly continuous on $E \times E$, if $x^{k} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger}$ and $y^{k} \rightharpoonup y^{\dagger}$, then $f\left(x^{k}, y^{k}\right) \rightarrow f\left(x^{\dagger}, y^{\dagger}\right)$ ); and
(f4): $f\left(z^{\dagger}, \cdot\right)$ is convex and subdifferentiable for all $z^{\dagger} \in C$.
For each $z^{\dagger}, x \in C$, we use $\partial_{2} f\left(z^{\dagger}, \cdot\right)$ to denote the subdifferential of $f\left(z^{\dagger}, \cdot\right)$ at $x$.
Recall that the metric projection $P_{C}: H \rightarrow C$ is an orthographic projection from $H$ onto $C$, which possesses the following characteristic: for given $x \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x-P_{C}[x], y-P_{C}[x]\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in C \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemmas are used in the next section.
Lemma 1 ([42]). In a Hilbert space $H$, we have

$$
\left\|\kappa u+(1-\kappa) u^{+}\right\|^{2}=\kappa\|u\|^{2}+(1-\kappa)\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\kappa(1-\kappa)\left\|u-u^{\dagger}\right\|^{2},
$$

$\forall u, u^{+} \in H$ and $\forall \kappa \in[0,1]$.
Lemma 2 ([31]). Assume that the operator $S: C \rightarrow C$ is L-Lipschitz pseudocontractive. Then, for all $\tilde{u} \in C$ and $u^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Fix}(S)$, we have

$$
\left\|u^{\dagger}-S((1-\eta) \tilde{u}+\eta S \tilde{u})\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\tilde{u}-u^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}+(1-\eta)\|\tilde{u}-S((1-\eta) \tilde{u}+\eta S \tilde{u})\|^{2},
$$

where $0<\eta<\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L^{2}+1}}$.
The next lemma plays a critical role which can be considered as an infinite-dimensional version of Theorem 24.5 in [43]. The proof can be found in [44].

Lemma 3. Assume that the bi-function $f: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Assumptions (f3) and (f4). For given two points $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \Delta$ and two sequences $\left\{u^{k}\right\} \subset \Delta$ and $\left\{v^{k}\right\} \subset \Delta$, if $u^{k} \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ and $v^{k} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$, respectively, then, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exist $\eta>0$ and $N_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ verifying

$$
\partial_{2} f\left(v^{k}, u^{k}\right) \subset \partial_{2} f(\bar{v}, \bar{u})+\frac{\epsilon}{\eta} B
$$

for every $k \geq N_{\epsilon}$, where $B:=\{b \in H \mid\|b\| \leq 1\}$.
The following lemma is the demi-closed principle of the pseudocontractive operator.
Lemma 4 ([45]). If the operator $S: C \rightarrow C$ is continuous pseudocontractive, then:
(i) the fixed point set Fix $(S) \subset C$ is closed and convex; and
(ii) S satisfies demi-closedness, i.e., $t^{k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{z}$ and $S t^{k} \rightarrow z^{\dagger}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ imply that $S \tilde{z}=z^{\dagger}$.

Lemma 5 ([46]). For given a sequence $\left\{q^{k}\right\} \subset H$ and $q \in H$, if $\omega_{w}\left(q^{k}\right) \subset C$ and $\left\|q^{k}-q\right\| \leq\left\|q-P_{C}[q]\right\|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $q^{k} \rightarrow P_{C}[q]$.

## 3. Main Results

In this section, we first present our algorithm to solve the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem and fixed point problem and, consequently, we prove the convergence of the suggested algorithm. Next, we state several assumptions on the underlying spaces, the involved operators, and the control parameters.

## Assumptions:

(A1): $\varnothing \neq C \subset H$ is closed convex and $\Delta$ is a given open set which contains $C$;
(A2): the function $f: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Assumptions (f1)-(f4) stated in Section 2 (under this condition $E P(f, C)$ is closed and convex [3]);
(A3): the operator $S: C \rightarrow C$ is Lipschitz pseudocontractive with Lipschitz constant $L>0$;
(A4): the intersection $E P(f, C) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S) \neq \varnothing$;
(C1): the sequence $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ satisfies: $\lambda_{k} \in[\rho, 1]$ with $0<\rho \leq 1$ for all $k \geq 0$;
(C2): the sequences $\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{k}\right\}$ satisfy: $0<\underline{\delta}<\delta_{k}<\bar{\delta}<\sigma_{k}<\bar{\sigma}<\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L^{2}+1}}, \forall k \geq 0$; and
(C3): $\gamma \in(0,2)$ and $\mu \in(0,1)$ are two constants.
Proposition 1. For each $z^{\dagger} \in C$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x^{k}, z^{\dagger}\right) \geq f\left(x^{k}, y^{k}\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}}\left\langle x^{k}-y^{k}, z^{\dagger}-y^{k}\right\rangle \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. According to Equation (34), by the definition of $y^{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0 \in \partial_{2}\left\{f\left(x^{k}, y^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}\right\}\right|_{y^{\dagger}=y^{k}}+N_{C}\left(y^{k}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Equation (9) that there exists $p^{k} \in \partial_{2} f\left(x^{k}, y^{k}\right)$ verifying $\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}}\left(x^{k}-y^{k}\right)-p^{k} \in N_{C}\left(y^{k}\right)$, it yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}}\left(x^{k}-y^{k}\right)-p^{k}, z^{\dagger}-y^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall z^{\dagger} \in C \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of subgradient of $f\left(x^{k}, \cdot\right)$ at $y^{k}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x^{k}, z^{\dagger}\right) \geq f\left(x^{k}, y^{k}\right)+\left\langle p^{k}, z^{\dagger}-y^{k}\right\rangle, \forall z^{\dagger} \in C \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combine Equations (10) and (11) to conclude the desired result.
Remark 1. The search rule in Equation (36) is well-defined, i.e., there exists $m_{k}$ such that Equation (36) holds.
Proof. Case 1. $x^{k}=y^{k}$. In this case, $z^{k}=x^{k}$. Consequently, $f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)=f\left(z^{k}, y^{k}\right)=0$ because of (f1). Thus, Equation (36) holds and $m_{k}=0$.

Case 2. $x^{k} \neq y^{k}$. Suppose that the search rule in Equation (36) is not well-defined. Hence, $m_{k}$ must violate the inequality in Equation (36), i.e., for every $m_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)-f\left(z^{k}, y^{k}\right)<\frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $z^{k}=\left(1-\mu^{m_{k}}\right) x^{k}+\mu^{m_{k}} y^{k}$ and letting $m_{k} \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $z^{k} \rightarrow x^{k}$ as $m_{k} \rightarrow \infty$. Thanks to Condition (f3), we deduce that $f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $f\left(z^{k}, y^{k}\right) \rightarrow f\left(x^{k}, y^{k}\right)$. This, together with Equation (12), implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-f\left(x^{k}, y^{k}\right) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $z^{\dagger}=x^{k}$ in Equation (8) and noting that $f\left(x^{k}, x^{k}\right)=0$, we deduce

$$
0 \geq f\left(x^{k}, y^{k}\right)+\frac{\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}}{\lambda_{k}}
$$

Combine the above inequality and Equation (13) to derive that $0 \leq\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}}-\frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k}}\right)\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq 0$. Hence, $x^{k}=y^{k}$, which is incompatible with the assumption. Consequently, the search rule in Equation (36) is well-defined.

Remark 2. If $z^{k} \neq x^{k}$, then $0 \notin \partial_{2} f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)$ and thus $g^{k} \neq 0$ and $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ is well-defined.
Proof. Suppose that $0 \in \partial_{2} f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)$. Since $z^{k} \neq x^{k}$, from Equation (35), $z^{k}=\left(1-\mu^{m_{k}}\right) x^{k}+\mu^{m_{k}} y^{k}$. By using the convexity of $f\left(z^{k}, \cdot\right)$, we have

$$
0=f\left(z^{k}, z^{k}\right) \leq\left(1-\mu^{m_{k}}\right) f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)+\mu^{m_{k}} f\left(z^{k}, y^{k}\right)
$$

Substituting Equation (36) into the last inequality, we get $0 \leq \frac{\gamma \mu^{m_{k}}}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)$. On the other hand, by the assumption and the definition of the subdifferential, we deduce $f\left(z^{k}, u^{\dagger}\right) \geq$ $f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right), \forall u^{\dagger} \in C$. Hence, $0=f\left(z^{k}, z^{k}\right) \geq f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)$, which is a contradiction.

Proposition 2. The sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ generated by Equation (38) is well-defined.
Proof. Firstly, we prove by induction that $E P(f, C) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S) \subset C_{k}$ for all $k \geq 1 . E P(f, C) \cap F i x(S) \subset C_{1}$ is obvious. Suppose that $E P(f, C) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S) \subset C_{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick up $p \in E P(f, C) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S) \subset C_{k}$. In the light of Equation (38) and Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v^{k}-p\right\|^{2}= & \left\|\left(1-\delta_{k}\right)\left(u^{k}-p\right)+\delta_{k}\left(S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left(1-\delta_{k}\right)\left\|u^{k}-p\right\|^{2}-\delta_{k}\left(1-\delta_{k}\right)\left\|S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]-u^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\delta_{k}\left\|S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\delta_{k}\right)\left\|u^{k}-p\right\|^{2}-\delta_{k}\left(1-\delta_{k}\right)\left\|S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]-u^{k}\right\|^{2}  \tag{14}\\
& +\delta_{k}\left(\left\|u^{k}-p\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right)\left\|u^{k}-S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]\right\|^{2}\right) \\
= & \left\|u^{k}-p\right\|^{2}-\delta_{k}\left(\sigma_{k}-\delta_{k}\right)\left\|u^{k}-S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f$ is pseudomonotone and $p \in E P(f, C), f\left(z^{k}, p\right) \leq 0$. According to $g^{k} \in \partial_{2} f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)$, by the subdifferential inequality, we have $f\left(z^{k}, p\right) \geq f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)+\left\langle g^{k}, p-x^{k}\right\rangle$. It follows that $\left\langle g^{k}, x^{k}-p\right\rangle \geq$ $f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)-f\left(z^{k}, p\right) \geq f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)$.

Case 1. $z^{k} \neq x^{k}$. In terms of Equation (37), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u^{k}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|P_{C}\left[x^{k}-\frac{f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right) g^{k}}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}\right]-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x^{k}-p-\frac{f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right) g^{k}}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x^{k}-p\right\|^{2}-\frac{2 f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}\left\langle g^{k}, x^{k}-p\right\rangle+\frac{f^{2}\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}  \tag{15}\\
& \leq\left\|x^{k}-p\right\|^{2}-\frac{2 f^{2}\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}+\frac{f^{2}\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}} \\
& =\left\|x^{k}-p\right\|^{2}-\frac{f^{2}\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining Equations (14) and (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v^{k}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|x^{k}-p\right\|^{2}-\frac{f^{2}\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}-\delta_{k}\left(\sigma_{k}-\delta_{k}\right)\left\|u^{k}-S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]\right\|^{2}  \tag{16}\\
& \leq\left\|x^{k}-p\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence $p \in C_{k+1}$.
Case 2. $z^{k}=x^{k}$. In this case, $u^{k}=x^{k}$ and $\left\|v^{k}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x^{k}-p\right\|$ is obvious. Thus, $E P(f, C) \cap$ $\operatorname{Fix}(S) \subset C_{k}$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Secondly, we show that $C_{k}$ is closed and convex for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is obvious that $C_{1}=C$ is closed and convex. Suppose that $C_{k}$ is closed and convex for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $u^{\dagger} \in C_{k}$, note that $C_{k+1}$ is equivalent to $C_{k+1}=\left\{u^{\dagger} \in C_{k}:\left\|v^{k}-x^{k}\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle v^{k}-x^{k}, x^{k}-u^{\dagger}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\}$. It is obvious that $C_{k+1}$ is nonempty, closed convex. Therefore, the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is well-defined.

Proposition 3. $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|}=0$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u^{k}-S u^{k}\right\|=0$.
Proof. Since $x^{k}=P_{C_{k}}\left[x^{0}\right]$, by the property in Equation (7) of the metric projection, for any $u \in C_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{0}-x^{k}, u-x^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|^{2} & =\left\langle x^{0}-x^{k}, u-x^{k}\right\rangle+\left\langle x^{0}-x^{k}, x^{0}-u\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\langle x^{0}-x^{k}, x^{0}-u\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\|x^{0}-x^{k}\right\|\left\|x^{0}-u\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{0}-u\right\|, \forall u \in C_{k} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by selecting $u=p \in E P(f, C) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S) \subset C_{k}$, implies that the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ is bounded.
By terms of Equation (17), we have $\left\langle x^{0}-x^{k}, x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0$ due to $x^{k+1} \in C_{k+1} \subset C_{k}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\|^{2} & =2\left\langle x^{0}-x^{k}, x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\rangle+\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x^{0}-x^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{0}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|^{2} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

From Equation (18), we deduce $\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{0}-x^{k+1}\right\|$. Thus, the limit $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\|$ exists, denoted by $q$. This, together with Equation (19), implies that $\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Thanks to the definition of $C_{k+1}$ and $x^{k+1} \in C_{k}$, we derive $\left\|v^{k}-x^{k+1}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{k}-x^{k+1}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$
\left\|v^{k}-x^{k}\right\| \leq\left\|v^{k}-x^{k+1}\right\|+\left\|x^{k+1}-x^{k}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

By Equation (16), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \frac{f^{2}\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}+\delta_{k}\left(\sigma_{k}-\delta_{k}\right)\left\|u^{k}-S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x^{k}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|v^{k}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x^{k}-v^{k}\right\|\left[\left\|x^{k}-p\right\|+\left\|v^{k}-p\right\|\right] \\
& \rightarrow 0(k \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u^{k}-S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]\right\|=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u^{k}-S u^{k}\right\| & \leq\left\|u^{k}-S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]\right\|+\left\|S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]-S u^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|u^{k}-S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]\right\|+L \sigma_{k}\left\|u^{k}-S u^{k}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Equation (21) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{k}-S u^{k}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-L \sigma_{k}}\left\|u^{k}-S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4. $\omega_{w}\left(x^{k}\right) \subset E P(f, C) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S)$.
Proof. Selecting any $x^{\dagger} \in \omega_{w}\left(x^{k}\right)$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x^{k_{i}}\right\} \subset\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ such that $x^{k_{i}} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger} \in C$. Set $F(y)=f\left(x^{k_{i}}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{k_{i}}}\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y\right\|^{2}$ for each $y \in C$. Noting that $0 \in \partial F\left(y^{k_{i}}\right)+N_{C}\left(y^{k_{i}}\right)$, then there exists $A\left(y^{k_{i}}\right) \in \partial F\left(y^{k_{i}}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A\left(y^{k_{i}}\right), y-y^{k_{i}}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\partial F(y)$ is $\frac{1}{\lambda_{k_{i}}}$-strongly monotone because $F(y)$ is $\frac{1}{\lambda_{k_{i}}}$-strongly convex due to the convexity of $f\left(x^{k_{i}}, y\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A\left(x^{k_{i}}\right)-A\left(y^{k_{i}}\right), x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{k_{i}}}\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\|^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A\left(x^{k_{i}}\right) \in \partial F\left(x^{k_{i}}\right)$.

Taking into account Equations (23) and (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A\left(x^{k_{i}}\right)\right\|\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\| & \geq\left\langle A\left(x^{k_{i}}\right), x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{k_{i}}}\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\|^{2}+\left\langle A\left(y^{k_{i}}\right), x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{k_{i}}}\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\| \leq \lambda_{k_{i}}\left\|A\left(x^{k_{i}}\right)\right\|, \forall A\left(x^{k_{i}}\right) \in \partial F\left(x^{k_{i}}\right)=\partial_{2} f\left(x^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger}$, by Lemma 3 , for any $\epsilon_{1}>0$, there exist $\eta_{1}>0$ and $n_{\epsilon_{1}} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\partial_{2} f\left(x^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right) \subset \partial_{2} f\left(x^{\dagger}, x^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{\epsilon_{1}}{\eta_{1}} B, \forall i \geq n_{\epsilon_{1}}
$$

The above inclusion and Equation (25) yield that there exists $M>0$ such that $\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\| \leq M$ for all $i \geq n_{\epsilon_{1}}$. This indicates that the sequence $\left\{y^{k_{i}}\right\}$ is bounded owing to the boundedness of $\left\{x^{k_{i}}\right\}$. Then, there exists a subsequence of $\left\{y^{k_{i}}\right\}$, again denoted by $\left\{y^{k_{i}}\right\}$ such that $y^{k_{i}} \rightharpoonup y^{\dagger}$. Consequently, by the definition of $\left\{z^{k_{i}}\right\}$, it is also bounded. Thus, there exists a subsequence of $\left\{z^{k_{i}}\right\}$, without loss of generality, still denoted by $\left\{z^{k_{i}}\right\}$ that converges to $z \in C$. Applying Lemma 3 , for any $\epsilon_{2}>0$, there exist $\eta_{2}>0$ and $n_{\epsilon_{2}} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\partial_{2} f\left(z^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right) \subset \partial_{2} f\left(z, x^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{\eta_{2}} B, \forall i \geq n_{\epsilon_{2}}
$$

Thus, $\left\{g^{k_{i}}\right\}$ is bounded. This, together with Equation (20), implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show $x^{\dagger} \in E P(f, C)$. We consider two cases. Case 1: $y^{k_{i}}=x^{k_{i}}$. According to Equation (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x^{k_{i}}, z^{\dagger}\right) \geq 0, \forall z^{\dagger} \in C \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f\left(\cdot, z^{\dagger}\right)$ is sequently weakly continuous on the open set $\Delta \supset C$, letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in Equation (27), we deduce that $f\left(x^{\dagger}, z^{\dagger}\right) \geq 0, \forall z^{\dagger} \in C$, i.e., $x^{\dagger} \in E P(f, C)$.

Case 2: $y^{k_{i}} \neq x^{k_{i}}$. By the convexity of $f\left(z^{k_{i}}, \cdot\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=f\left(z^{k_{i}}, z^{k_{i}}\right) & =f\left(z^{k_{i}},\left(1-\mu^{m_{k_{i}}}\right) x^{k_{i}}+\mu^{m_{k_{i}}} y^{k_{i}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-\mu^{m_{k_{i}}}\right) f\left(z^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right)+\mu^{m_{k_{i}}} f\left(z^{k_{i}}, y^{k_{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which results that $\mu^{m_{k_{i}}}\left[f\left(z^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right)-f\left(z^{k_{i}}, y^{k_{i}}\right)\right] \leq f\left(z^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right)$. Furthermore, from Equation (36), we have $f\left(z^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right)-f\left(z^{k_{i}}, y^{k_{i}}\right) \geq \frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k_{i}}}\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\|^{2}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right) \geq \frac{\gamma \mu^{m_{k_{i}}}}{2 \lambda_{k_{i}}}\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\|^{2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If limsup $\operatorname{sum}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu^{m_{k_{i}}}>0$, then there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\mu^{m_{k_{i}}}\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{\mu^{m_{k_{i}}}\right\}$, such that $\mu^{m_{k_{i}}} \rightarrow v>0$. In the light of Equations (26) and (28), we conclude that $\left\|y^{k_{i}}-x^{k_{i}}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. In the case where $\mu^{m_{k_{i}}} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, let $\left\{l_{i}\right\}$ be the smallest positive integers such that, for each $i$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right)-f\left(z^{k_{i}}, y^{k_{i}}\right) \geq \frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k_{i}}}\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\|^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z^{k_{i}}=\left(1-\mu^{l_{i}}\right) x^{k_{i}}+\mu^{l_{i}} y^{k_{i}}$.
Consequently, $l_{i}-1$ must violate the above search rule in Equation (29), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\bar{z}^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right)-f\left(\bar{z}^{k_{i}}, y^{k_{i}}\right)<\frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k_{i}}}\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\|^{2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{z}^{k_{i}}=\left(1-\mu^{l_{i}-1}\right) x^{k_{i}}+\mu^{l_{i}-1} y^{k_{i}}$.
At the same time, by Equation (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq f\left(x^{k_{i}}, y^{k_{i}}\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda_{k_{i}}}\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\|^{2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equations (30) and (31), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\bar{z}^{k_{i}}, x^{k_{i}}\right)-f\left(\bar{z}^{k_{i}}, y^{k_{i}}\right)<-\frac{\gamma}{2} f\left(x^{k_{i}}, y^{k_{i}}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in Equation (32) and noting that $x^{k_{i}} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger}, y^{k_{i}} \rightharpoonup y^{\dagger}$ and $\bar{z}^{k_{i}} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger}$, we deduce

$$
-f\left(x^{\dagger}, y^{\dagger}\right) \leq-\frac{\alpha}{2} f\left(x^{\dagger}, y^{\dagger}\right)
$$

It yields that $f\left(x^{\dagger}, y^{\dagger}\right) \geq 0$. This, together with Equation (31), implies that $\left\|x^{k_{i}}-y^{k_{i}}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, $y^{k_{i}} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger}$. Again, applying Equation (8), we conclude that $f\left(x^{\dagger}, z^{\dagger}\right) \geq 0$ for all $z^{\dagger} \in C$, i.e., $x^{\dagger} \in E P(f, C)$.

Next, we show $x^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Fix}(S)$. Observe that $\left\|u^{k_{i}}-x^{k_{i}}\right\| \leq \frac{\left|f\left(z^{k_{i}, x^{k}}\right)\right|}{\left\|g^{k_{i}}\right\|} \rightarrow 0$ by Equation (20) and thus $u^{k_{i}} \rightharpoonup x^{\dagger}(i \rightarrow \infty)$. This, together with Lemma 4 and Equation (22), implies that $x^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Fix}(S)$. Thus, $\omega_{w}\left(x^{k}\right) \subset E P(f, C) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S)$.
Theorem 1. The iterate $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ defined by Algorithm 1 converges strongly to $P_{E P(f, C) \cap F i x(S)}\left[x^{0}\right]$.
Proof. First, by Conditions (A2) and (A4) and Lemma 4, EP(f,C) $\cap$ Fix (S) is nonempty, closed and convex. Hence, $P_{E P(f, C) \cap F i x(S)}$ is well-defined. Thanks to Equation (18), we deduce

$$
\left\|x^{k}-x^{0}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{0}-P_{E P(f, C) \cap F i x(S)}\left[x^{0}\right]\right\| .
$$

By Proposition 4, we obtain $\omega_{w}\left(x^{k}\right) \subset E P(f, C) \cap F i x(S)$. Hence, all conditions of Lemma 5 are fulfilled. Consequently, we conclude that $x^{k} \rightarrow P_{E P(f, C) \cap F i x(S)}\left[x^{0}\right]$ by the conclusion of Lemma 5 .

Remark 3. In Algorithm 1, if S is nonexpansive, then the conclusion still holds. The construction of half-space $C_{k+1}$ in Algorithm 1 is simpler than that in [41]. Our result improves and extends the corresponding result in [41].

## 4. Applications

In Equation (3), setting $f(\tilde{u}, u)=\langle A \tilde{u}, u-\tilde{u}\rangle$, the EP in Equation (3) reduces to the following variational inequality (VI) of seeking $\tilde{u} \in C$ verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A \tilde{u}, u-\tilde{u}\rangle \geq 0, \forall u \in C . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution set of the variational inequality in Equation (33) is denoted by $V I(A, C)$.
In this case, solving strongly convex program

$$
y^{k}=\arg \min _{y^{\dagger} \in C}\left\{f\left(x^{k}, y^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}\right\}
$$

is converted to solve $y^{k}=P_{C}\left(x^{k}-\lambda_{k} A x^{k}\right)$. The Armijo-like assumption

$$
f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)-f\left(z^{k}, y^{k}\right) \geq \frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

can be expressed as

$$
\left\langle A z^{k}, x^{k}-y^{k}\right\rangle \geq \frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

Consequently, we obtain the following algorithm for solving a common problem of the VI and the FPP.

```
Algorithm 1: Let \(x^{0} \in H\) be an initial guess.
```

    Step 1. Set \(C_{1}=C\) and compute \(x^{1}=P_{C_{1}}\left[x^{0}\right]\). Set \(k=0\).
    Step 2. Assume that the current sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ has been given and then calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{k}=\arg \min _{y^{\dagger} \in C}\left\{f\left(x^{k}, y^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{\dagger}\right\|^{2}\right\} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. Compute $\left\{z^{k}\right\}$ by the following manner

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{k}=\left(1-\mu^{m_{k}}\right) x^{k}+\mu^{m_{k}} y^{k} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{k}$ takes the smallest nonnegative integer verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right)-f\left(z^{k}, y^{k}\right) \geq \frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4. Calculate the sequence $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ via

$$
u^{k}= \begin{cases}x^{k}, & \text { if } z^{k}=x^{k}  \tag{37}\\ P_{C}\left[x^{k}-\frac{f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right) g^{k}}{\left\|g^{k}\right\|^{2}}\right], \text { where } g^{k} \in \partial_{2} f\left(z^{k}, x^{k}\right), & \text { if } z^{k} \neq x^{k}\end{cases}
$$

Step 5. Calculate the next iterate $\left\{x^{k+1}\right\}$ by the following form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v^{k}=\left(1-\delta_{k}\right) u^{k}+\delta_{k} S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right]  \tag{38}\\
C_{k+1}=\left\{u^{\dagger} \in C_{k}:\left\|v^{k}-u^{\dagger}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{k}-u^{\dagger}\right\|\right\} \\
x^{k+1}=P_{C_{k+1}}\left[x^{0}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Step 6. Set $k:=k+1$ and return to Step 2.

Theorem 2. Let $\varnothing \neq C \subset H$ be a closed convex and $\Delta$ be a given open set which contains $C$. Let $A: \Delta \rightarrow H$ be a pseudomonotone and jointly sequently weakly continuous operator. Let the operator $S: C \rightarrow C$ be Lipschitz pseudocontractive with Lipschitz constant $L>0$. Suppose that the intersection VI $(A, C) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(S) \neq \varnothing$. Assume that Conditions (C1)-(C3) are satisfied. Then, the iterate $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ defined by Algorithm 2 converges strongly to $P_{V I(A, C) \cap F i x(S)}\left[x^{0}\right]$.

In Algorithm 2, setting $S=I$, the identity operator, then $L=1$ and Condition (C2) reduces to Condition (C4): $0<\underline{\delta}<\delta_{k}<\bar{\delta}<\sigma_{k}<\bar{\sigma}<\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}+1}, \forall k \geq 0$. In this case, we have the following algorithm and corollary for solving the VI.

Algorithm 2: Let $x^{0} \in H$ be an initial guess.
Step 1. Set $C_{1}=C$ and compute $x^{1}=P_{C_{1}}\left[x^{0}\right]$. Set $k=0$.
Step 2. Assume that the current sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ has been given and then calculate

$$
y^{k}=P_{C}\left(x^{k}-\lambda_{k} A x^{k}\right)
$$

Step 3. Compute $\left\{z^{k}\right\}$ by the following manner $z^{k}=\left(1-\mu^{m_{k}}\right) x^{k}+\mu^{m_{k}} y^{k}$, where $m_{k}$ takes the smallest nonnegative integer verifying $\left\langle A z^{k}, x^{k}-y^{k}\right\rangle \geq \frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}$.
Step 4. Calculate the sequence $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ via

$$
u^{k}= \begin{cases}x^{k}, & \text { if } z^{k}=x^{k} \\ P_{C}\left[x^{k}-\frac{\left\langle A z^{k}, x^{k}-z^{k}\right\rangle}{\left\|A z^{k}\right\|^{2}}\right], & \text { if } z^{k} \neq x^{k}\end{cases}
$$

Step 5. Calculate the next iterate $\left\{x^{k+1}\right\}$ by the following form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v^{k}=\left(1-\delta_{k}\right) u^{k}+\delta_{k} S\left[\left(1-\sigma_{k}\right) u^{k}+\sigma_{k} S u^{k}\right] \\
C_{k+1}=\left\{u^{\dagger} \in C_{k}:\left\|v^{k}-u^{\dagger}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{k}-u^{\dagger}\right\|\right\} \\
x^{k+1}=P_{C_{k+1}}\left[x^{0}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Step 6. Set $k:=k+1$ and return to Step 2.
Corollary 1. Let $\varnothing \neq C \subset H$ be a closed convex and $\Delta$ be a given open set which contains $C$. Let $A: \Delta \rightarrow H$ be a pseudomonotone and jointly sequently weakly continuous operator. Suppose that $V I(A, C) \neq \varnothing$. Assume that Conditions (C1), (C3), and (C4) are satisfied. Then, the iterate $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ defined by Algorithm 3 converges strongly to $P_{V I(A, C)}\left[x^{0}\right]$.

Algorithm 3: Let $x^{0} \in H$ be an initial guess.
Step 1. Set $C_{1}=C$ and compute $x^{1}=P_{C_{1}}\left[x^{0}\right]$. Set $k=0$.
Step 2. Assume that the current sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ has been given and then calculate

$$
y^{k}=P_{C}\left(x^{k}-\lambda_{k} A x^{k}\right)
$$

Step 3. Compute $\left\{z^{k}\right\}$ by the following manner $z^{k}=\left(1-\mu^{m_{k}}\right) x^{k}+\mu^{m_{k}} y^{k}$, where $m_{k}$ takes the smallest nonnegative integer verifying $\left\langle A z^{k}, x^{k}-y^{k}\right\rangle \geq \frac{\gamma}{2 \lambda_{k}}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}$.
Step 4. Calculate the sequence $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ via

$$
u^{k}= \begin{cases}x^{k}, & \text { if } z^{k}=x^{k} \\ P_{C}\left[x^{k}-\frac{\left\langle A z^{k}, x^{k}-z^{k}\right\rangle}{\left\|A z^{k}\right\|^{2}}\right], & \text { if } z^{k} \neq x^{k}\end{cases}
$$

Step 5. Calculate the next iterate $\left\{x^{k+1}\right\}$ by the following form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{k+1}=\left\{u^{\dagger} \in C_{k}:\left\|u^{k}-u^{\dagger}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{k}-u^{\dagger}\right\|\right\} \\
x^{k+1}=P_{C_{k+1}}\left[x^{0}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Step 6. Set $k:=k+1$ and return to Step 2.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigate pseudomonotone equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces. We present an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the fixed point of pseudocontractive operators and the pseudomonotone equilibrium problem without Lipschitz-type continuity. We prove the strong convergence of the suggested algorithm under some additional assumptions. Since, in our suggested Algorithm 1, the involved function $f$ is assumed to be pseudomonotone, a natural problem arises: how to weaken this assumption to nonmonotone.
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