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1. Introduction

In 1965, Zadeh [1] introduced the concept of a fuzzy set as a generalization of a crisp set. Chang [2] defined initially the notion of fuzzy topological spaces, and then, many researchers [3–12] investigated various properties, for example neighborhood systems, Q-neighborhood systems, continuities, compactness, initial structures, and separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces.

In 2010, Torra [13] introduced the notion of a hesitant fuzzy set as an extension of a fuzzy set proposed by Zadeh [1] ([14,15]). In a hesitant fuzzy set, since the membership function takes values from the power set \([0, 1]\) (see Definition 1), it helps in dealing with the situation effectively. Then, hesitant fuzzy set theory has many applications in various fields like decision making problems, decision support systems, clustering algorithms, algebras, etc. After that time, hesitant fuzzy set theory has been developed rapidly by some scholars in theory and practice.

Xia and Xu [16] applied a hesitant fuzzy set to decision making by defining “hesitant fuzzy information aggregation”. Jun et al. [17] studied hesitant fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups. Deepark and John [18] introduced a basic version of hesitant fuzzy rough sets through hesitant fuzzy relations. Furthermore, they studied the homomorphisms of hesitant fuzzy subgroups and hesitant fuzzy subrings and ideals in [19–21]. On the other hand, Jun and Ahn [22] applied hesitant fuzzy sets to \(BCK/BCI\)-algebras. Kim et al. [23] gave characterizations of a hesitant fuzzy positive implicative ideal, a hesitant fuzzy implicative ideal, and a hesitant fuzzy commutative ideal, respectively in \(BCK\)-algebras. Furthermore, they [24] introduced the category \(\text{HSet}(H)\) consisting of all hesitant \(H\)-fuzzy spaces and all morphisms between them and studied \(\text{HSet}(H)\) in the sense of a topological universe. Recently, Mathew et al. [25] defined a hesitant fuzzy topology and dealt with closures, interiors, continuities, connectedness, and compactness in hesitant fuzzy topological spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the topological properties on hesitant fuzzy sets. First, we define a hesitant fuzzy topology and base, obtain some of their properties, respectively, and give
some examples. Second, we introduce the concepts of a hesitant fuzzy neighborhood, Q-neighborhood, closure, and interior and obtain some of their properties, respectively (in particular, see Propositions 6, 7, 9, and 10). Third, we define a hesitant fuzzy continuous mapping and investigate some of its properties. Fourth, we define a hesitant fuzzy subspace and obtain some of its properties. In particular, we obtain the Pasting lemma (see Proposition 31). Finally, we introduce the notion of a hesitant fuzzy product space and study some of its properties.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we list some definitions and results needed in the later sections.

Definition 1. ([24]). Let $X$ be a reference set, and let $P[0,1]$ denote the power set of $[0,1]$. Then, a mapping $h : X \to P[0,1]$ is called a hesitant fuzzy set in $X$.

The hesitant fuzzy empty (resp. whole) set, denoted by $h^0$ (resp. $h^1$), is a hesitant fuzzy set in $X$ defined as: for each $x \in X$,

$$h^0(x) = \emptyset \text{ [resp. } h^1(x) = [0,1]].$$

Especially, we will denote the set of all hesitant fuzzy sets in $X$ as $HS(X)$.

Definition 2. ([18]). Let $h_1, h_2 \in HS(X)$. Then:

(i) we say that $h_1$ is a subset of $h_2$, denoted by $h_1 \subset h_2$, if $h_1(x) \subset h_2(x)$, for each $x \in X$,

(ii) we say that $h_1$ is equal to $h_2$, denoted by $h_1 = h_2$, if $h_1 \subset h_2$ and $h_2 \subset h_1$.

Definition 3. ([24]). Let $h_1, h_2 \in HS(X)$, and let $(h_j)_{j \in J} \subset HS(X)$. Then:

(i) the intersection of $h_1$ and $h_2$, denoted by $h_1 \cap h_2$, is a hesitant fuzzy set in $X$ defined as follows: for each $x \in X$,

$$(h_1 \cap h_2)(x) = h_1(x) \cap h_2(x),$$

(ii) the intersection of $(h_j)_{j \in J}$, denoted by $\bigcap_{j \in J} h_j$, is a hesitant fuzzy set in $X$ defined as follows: for each $x \in X$,

$$(\bigcap_{j \in J} h_j)(x) = \bigcap_{j \in J} h_j(x),$$

(iii) the union of $h_1$ and $h_2$, denoted by $h_1 \cup h_2$, is a hesitant fuzzy set in $X$ defined as follows: for each $x \in X$,

$$(h_1 \cup h_2)(x) = h_1(x) \cup h_2(x),$$

(iv) the union of $(h_j)_{j \in J}$, denoted by $\bigcup_{j \in J} h_j$, is a hesitant fuzzy set in $X$ defined as follows: for each $x \in X$,

$$(\bigcup_{j \in J} h_j)(x) = \bigcup_{j \in J} h_j(x).$$

Definition 4. ([24]). Let $X$ be a nonempty set, and let $h \in HS(X)$. Then, the complement of $h$, denoted by $h^c$, is a hesitant fuzzy set in $X$ defined as: for each $x \in X$,

$$h^c(x) = h(x)^c = [0,1] \setminus h(x).$$

Result 1. ([24], Proposition 3.14). Let $X$ be a nonempty set; let $h, h_1, h_2, h_3 \in HS(X)$; and let $(h_j)_{j \in J} \subset HS(X)$. Then:

1. (Idempotent laws): $h \cap h = h, h \cap h = h$
2. (Commutative laws): $h_1 \cup h_2 = h_2 \cup h_1, h_1 \cap h_2 = h_2 \cap h_1$
3. (Associative laws): $h_1 \cup (h_2 \cup h_3) = (h_1 \cup h_2) \cup h_3, h_1 \cap (h_2 \cap h_3) = (h_1 \cap h_2) \cap h_3$
(4) (Distributive laws): $h_1 \cap (h_2 \cap h_3) = (h_1 \cap h_2) \cap (h_1 \cap h_3),$
\[ h_1 \cap (h_2 \cup h_3) = (h_1 \cap h_2) \cup (h_1 \cap h_3), \]
\[ (h_1 \cap h_3) \cap h_2 = (h_1 \cap h_2) \cap h_3, \]
\[ h \cap (\bigcup_{i \in J} h_i) = \bigcup_{i \in J} (h \cap h_i), \]
(5) (Absorption laws): $h \cap (h \cap h_0) = h_0,$ $h \cap (h \cup h_0) = h.$
(6) (DeMorgan’s laws): $h_0 \cap h_0 = h_0,$ $h_1 \cap h_1 = h_1.$
(7) (DeMorgan’s laws): $h_0 \cap h_1 = h_0,$ $h_1 \cap h_0 = h_1.$

We can see that Kim et al. [24] redefined the intersection, the union, and the complement introduced by Tora [13] so that $(HS(X), \cap, \cup, ^c)$ is a Boolean algebra with the least element $h_0$ and the largest element $h_1.$

**Definition 5.** ([24]). Let $X$ and $Y$ be a nonempty sets; let $h_X \in HS(X)$ and $h_Y \in HS(Y);$ and let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping. Then:

(i) the image of $h_X$ under $f$, denoted by $f(h_X),$ is a hesitant fuzzy set in $Y$ defined as follows: for each $y \in Y,$

\[ f(h_X)(y) = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} h_X(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \]

(ii) the preimage of $h_Y$ under $f$, denoted by $f^{-1}(h_Y),$ is a hesitant fuzzy set in $Y$ defined as follows: for each $x \in X,$

\[ f^{-1}(h_Y)(x) = h_Y \circ f(x). \]

**Result 2.** ([24], Proposition 3.16). Let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping, and let $h_X, h_{X_1}, h_{X_2} \in HS(X),$ $(h_{X_i})_{i \in J} \subset HS(Y),$ $h_Y, h_{Y_1}, h_{Y_2} \in HS(Y)$ and $(h_{Y_i})_{j \in I} \subset HS(Y).$ Then:

(1) if $h_{X_1} \subseteq h_{X_2}$ then $f(h_{X_1}) \subseteq f(h_{X_2}),$
(2) $f(h_{X_1} \cap h_{X_2}) = f(h_{X_1}) \cap f(h_{X_2}), f(\bigcup_{i \in J} h_{X_i}) = \bigcup_{i \in J} f(h_{X_i}),$
(3) if $f$ is injective, then $f(h_{X_1} \cap h_{X_2}) = f(h_{X_1}) \cap f(h_{X_2}), f(\bigcap_{i \in J} h_{X_i}) = \bigcap_{i \in J} f(h_{X_i}),$
(4) $f(A) = h_0$ if and only if $A = h_0,$
(5) if $h_{Y_1} \subseteq h_{Y_2}$ then $f^{-1}(h_{Y_1}) \subseteq f^{-1}(h_{Y_2}),$
(6) $f^{-1}(h_{Y_1} \cup h_{Y_2}) = f^{-1}(h_{Y_1}) \cup f^{-1}(h_{Y_2}), f^{-1}(\bigcup_{j \in I} h_{Y_j}) = \bigcup_{j \in I} f^{-1}(h_{Y_j}),$
(7) $f^{-1}(h_{Y_1} \cap h_{Y_2}) \subseteq f^{-1}(h_{Y_1}) \cap f^{-1}(h_{Y_2}), f^{-1}(\bigcap_{j \in I} h_{Y_j}) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in I} f^{-1}(h_{Y_j}),$
(8) $f^{-1}(h_Y) = h_1$ if and only if $h_Y \cap f(h_1) = h_1,$
(9) $h_X \subset f^{-1} \circ f(h_X);$ in particular, $h_X = f^{-1} \circ f(h_X),$ if $f$ is injective,
(10) $f \circ f^{-1}(h_Y) \subset h_Y;$ in particular, $f \circ f^{-1}(h_Y) = h_Y,$ if $f$ is surjective.

**Definition 6.** ([26]). Let $h \in HS(X).$ Then, $h$ is called a hesitant fuzzy point with the support $x \in X$ and the value $\lambda,$ denoted by $x_\lambda,$ if $x_\lambda : X \to [0,1]$ is the mapping given by: for each $y \in X,$

\[ x_\lambda(y) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } y = x \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]
In particular, $H_P(X)$ is called the set of all hesitant fuzzy points in $X$.

**Definition 7.** ([26]). Let $h \in HS(X)$, and let $x_\lambda \in H_P(X)$. Then, $x_\lambda$ is said to belong to $h$, denoted by $x_\lambda \in h$, if $\lambda \subset h(x)$.

It is obvious that $h = \bigcup_{x_\lambda \in h} x_\lambda$.

**Result 3.** ([26], Theorem 3.3). Let $h_1, h_2 \in HS(X)$, and let $(h_j)_{j \in J} \subset HS(X)$. Then:

1. $h_1 \subset h_2$ if and only if $x_\lambda \in h_2$, for each $x_\lambda \in h_1$.
2. $x_\lambda \in h_1 \cap h_2$ if and only if $x_\lambda \in h_1$ and $x_\lambda \in h_2$.
3. If $x_\lambda \in h_1$ or $x_\lambda \in h_2$, then $x_\lambda \in h_1 \cup h_2$.
4. $x_\lambda \in \bigcap_{j \in J} h_j$ if and only if $x_\lambda \in h_j$, for each $j \in J$.
5. If $x_\lambda \in h_j$ for some $j \in J$, then $x_\lambda \in \bigcup_{j \in J} h_j$.

**Remark 1.** ([26], Theorem 3.3). From Definitions 2.6 and 2.9, we can easily see that for any mapping $f : X \to Y$ and each $x_\lambda \in H_P(X)$, $f(x_\lambda) = f(x)$.

3. **Topology of Hesitant Fuzzy Sets**

A fuzzy topology is a concept that combines order structure and topology. Chang [2] studied fuzzy topological spaces in the sense of point-like structure. Ekici [3] showed that the concept of fuzzy topology may be relevant to quantum particle physics in connection with string theory. Silva and Landim [4] showed that the notion of a fuzzy space topology can be used to explain the origin of the black hole entropy. Since a hesitant fuzzy topology is a more flexible variation of a fuzzy topology, we expect that a hesitant fuzzy topology may be more effectively applicable.

In this section, we introduce the concepts of hesitant fuzzy topologies, bases, and sub-bases in hesitant fuzzy topological spaces, find some of their basic properties, and give examples. One can easily see that our definition of a hesitant fuzzy topology is different from the one introduced by Mathew et al. [25]. Moreover, in order to apply hesitant fuzzy sets to a topology, we refer mainly to [27,28].

**Definition 8.** Let $X$ be a nonempty set, and let $\tau \subset HS(X)$. Then, $\tau$ is called a hesitant topology (HFT) on $X$, if it satisfies the following axioms:

- \text{(HFT1)} $h^0, h^1 \in \tau$, \text{(HFT2)} $h_1 \cap h_2 \in \tau$, for any $h_1, h_2 \in \tau$, \text{(HFT3)} $\bigcup_{j \in J} h_j \in \tau$, for each $(h_j)_{j \in J} \subset \tau$.

Especially, the pair $(X, \tau)$ is called a hesitant fuzzy topological space. Each member of $\tau$ is called a hesitant fuzzy open set (HFOS) in $X$. A hesitant fuzzy set $h$ in $X$ is called a hesitant fuzzy closed set (HFCS) in $(X, \tau)$, if $h^c \in \tau$.

We will denote the set of all HFT on $X$ (resp. HFOS and HFCS in $X$) as $HFT(X)$ (resp. HFO(X) and HFC(X)).

**Example 1.** (1) Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, and consider hesitant fuzzy sets in $X$ given by:

- $h_1(a) = [0.8, 1], h_1(b) = [0.3, 0.6, 0.9], h_1(c) = [0.8, 1],$
- $h_2(a) = [0.6, 1], h_2(b) = [0.3, 0.6, 0.8], h_2(c) = [0.8, 1],$
- $h_3(a) = [0.8, 1], h_3(b) = [0.3, 0.6], h_3(c) = [0.8, 1],$
- $h_4(a) = [0.8, 1], h_4(b) = [0.3, 0.6, 0.8, 0.9], h_4(c) = [0.8, 1].$

Then, we can easily see that $\tau = \{h^0, h^1, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\} \in HFT(X)$.

(2) Let $X$ be a nonempty set. Then, clearly, the class $\{h^0, h^1\}$ will be called a hesitant fuzzy indiscrete topology on $X$ and denoted by $\tau_0$. The pair $(X, \tau_0)$ is called a hesitant fuzzy indiscrete space.
(3) Let $X$ be a nonempty set. Then, we can easily see that the class $HS(X)$ is a hesitant fuzzy topology on $X$. In particular, $HS(X)$ will be called a hesitant fuzzy discrete topology on $X$ and denoted by $\tau_1$. The pair $(X, \tau_1)$ is called a hesitant fuzzy discrete space.

From Definition 8, we have the following result.

**Proposition 1.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space. Then:

1. $h^0, h^1 \in HFC(X)$,
2. $h_1 \bigcup h_2 \in HFC(X)$, for any $h_1, h_2 \in HFC(X)$,
3. $\bigcap_{j \in J} h_j \in HFC(X)$, for each $(h_j)_{j \in J} \subset HFC(X)$.

**Proposition 2.** If $(\tau_j)_{j \in J} \subset HFT(X)$, then $\bigcap_{j \in J} \tau_j \in HFT(X)$.

**Proof.** Let $\tau = \bigcap_{j \in J} \tau_j$. Then, clearly, $h^0, h^1 \in \tau_j$, for each $j \in J$. Thus, $h^0, h^1 \in \bigcap_{j \in J} \tau_j$. Therefore, $h^0, h^1 \in \tau$. Hence, $\tau$ satisfies Axiom (HFT1).

Let $h_1, h_2 \in \tau$. Then, $h_1, h_2 \in \tau_j$, for each $j \in J$. Thus, $h_1 \bigcap h_2 \in \tau_j$, for each $j \in J$. Therefore, $h_1 \bigcap h_2 \in \bigcap_{j \in J} \tau_j$, i.e., $h_1 \bigcap h_2 \in \tau$. Hence, $\tau$ satisfies the axiom (HFT2).

Now, let $(h_k)_{k \in K} \subset \tau$. Then, $(h_k)_{k \in K} \subset \tau_j$, for each $j \in J$. Therefore, $\bigcup_{k \in K} h_k \in \bigcap_{j \in J} \tau_j$, i.e., $\bigcup_{k \in K} h_k \in \tau$. Hence, $\tau$ satisfies Axiom (HFT3). This completes the proof. ∎

For any $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in HFT(X)$, we say that $\tau_1$ is weaker or coarser than $\tau_2$, if $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$. In this case, we say that $\tau_2$ is stronger or finer than $\tau_1$.

The following is the immediate result of Example 1 and Proposition 2.

**Proposition 3.** Let $(\tau_j)_{j \in J} \subset HFT(X)$. Then, it forms a complete lattice with respect to the set inclusion relation of which $\tau_0$ is the smallest element and $\tau_1$ is the largest element.

**Definition 9.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $B \subset \tau$. Then, $B$ is called a base for $\tau$, if for each $h \in \tau$, $h = h^0$, or there is $B' \subset B$ such that $h = \bigcup B'$.

**Example 2.** (1) Let $X$ be a nonempty set. Then, $H_P(X)$ is a base for $\tau_1$.

(2) Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, and consider $B = \{h_1, h_2, h^1\}$,

where $h_1(a) = \{0.6 \cup \{0.7, 1\}, h_1(b) = \{0.4, 0.6\}, h_1(c) = \{0, 0.6\} \cup \{0.8\}$,

$h_2(a) = \{0.3 \cup \{0.8, 1\}, h_2(b) = \{0.6, 0.8\}, h_2(c) = \{0.5, 0.6\} \cup \{0.7\}$.

Suppose $B$ is a base for an HFT $\tau$ on $X$. Then, by the definition of a base, $B \subset \tau$. Since $h_1, h_2 \in \tau$, $h_1 \bigcap h_2 \in \tau$. It is clear that $h_1 \bigcap h_2 \neq h^0$. However, for any $B' \subset B$, $h_1 \bigcap h_2 \neq \bigcup B'$. Thus, by Definition 9, $B$ is not a base for some hesitant fuzzy topology on $X$.

**Theorem 1.** Let $X$ be a nonempty set. Then, $B \subset HS(X)$ is a base for some HFT on $X$ if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. $h^1 = \bigcup B$,
2. if $B_1, B_2 \in B$ and $x_\lambda \in B_1 \bigcap B_2$, then there is $B \in B$ such that:

$$x_\lambda \in B \text{ and } B \subset B_1 \bigcap B_2.$$  

**Proof.** Suppose $B \subset HS(X)$ is a base for some HFT $\tau$ on $X$. Since $h^1 \in \tau$, it is clear that $h^1 = \bigcup B$. Then, the condition (1) is satisfied.

Now, suppose $B_1, B_2 \in B$ and $x_\lambda \in B_1 \bigcap B_2$. Then, clearly, $B_1, B_2 \in \tau$. Thus, $B_1 \bigcap B_2 \in \tau$ and $B_1 \bigcap B_2 \neq h^0$. Since $B$ is a base for $\tau$, there is $B' \subset B$ such that $B_1 \bigcap B_2 = \bigcup B'$. Since $x_\lambda \in B_1 \bigcap B_2$, there is $B \in B'$ such that:

$$x_\lambda \in B \text{ and } B \subset B_1 \bigcap B_2.$$
Therefore, Condition (2) is satisfied. Conversely, suppose the necessary conditions hold, and let:

\[ \tau = \{h^0\} \cup \{h : h = \bigcup B', \text{ for some } B' \subseteq B\} . \]

Then, clearly, \( h^0, h^1 \in \tau \). Furthermore, we can easily see that \( \tau \) is closed under arbitrary union. Thus, \( \tau \) satisfies Axioms (HFT1) and (HFT3).

Let \( h_1, h_2 \in \tau \), and let \( x_\lambda \in h_1 \cap h_2 \). Then, by the definition of \( \tau \), there are \( B_1, B_2 \in B \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B_1 \subseteq h_1 \) and \( x_\lambda \in B_2 \subseteq h_2 \). Thus, by Condition (2), there is \( B \in B \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2 \). Therefore, \( h_1 \cap h_2 = \bigcup B' \), for some \( B' \subseteq B \). Hence, \( h_1 \cap h_2 \in \tau \). Therefore, \( \tau \) satisfies Axiom (HFT2).

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Definition 10.** If \( B \) is a base for an HFT \( \tau \) on \( X \), then \( \tau \) is called the hesitant fuzzy topology generated by \( B \).

In fact,

\[ \tau = \{h^0\} \cup \{h : h = \bigcup B', \text{ for some } B' \subseteq B\} . \]

**Example 3.** Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), and consider \( B = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\} \), where \( h_1(a) = (0.6) \cup (0.7, 1] \), \( h_1(b) = \{0\} \cup (0.6, 1] \), \( h_1(c) = [0, 0.6) \),

\begin{align*}
  h_2(a) &= [0, 0.8] \cup [0.9], \quad h_2(b) = [0.07] \cup [0.8], \quad h_2(c) = [0.3] \cup (0.5, 1], \\
  h_3(a) &= [0.6, 0.9) \cup (0.7, 0.8], \quad h_3(b) = (0.6, 0.7) \cup [0.8], \\
  h_3(c) &= \{0.3\} \cup (0.5, 0.6).
\end{align*}

Then, clearly, \( h_1 \cap h_2 \cap h_3 = h^1 \). Furthermore, we can easily show that \( B \) satisfies Condition (2) of Theorem 1. Thus, \( B \) is a base for some HFT \( \tau \) on \( X \). In fact,

\[ \tau = \{h^0, h^1, h_1, h_2, h_3\} \]

**Definition 11.** Let \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \text{HFT}(X) \), and let \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) be bases for \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \), respectively. Then, \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are said to be equivalent, if \( \tau_1 = \tau_2 \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \text{HFT}(X) \), and let \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) be bases for \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \), respectively. Then, the following are equivalent:

1. \( \tau_2 \) is finer than \( \tau_1 \), i.e., \( \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2 \).
2. For each \( x_\lambda \in H_P(X) \) and each \( B_1 \in B_1 \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B_1 \), there is \( B_2 \in B_2 \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B_2 \subseteq B_1 \).

**Proof.** (1)\( \Rightarrow \) (2): Suppose \( \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2 \); let \( x_\lambda \in H_P(X) \), and let \( B_1 \in B_1 \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B_1 \). Then, clearly, \( B_1 \in \tau_1 \). Since \( \tau_2 \) is generated by \( B_2 \) and \( x_\lambda \in B_1 \), there is \( B_2 \in B_2 \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B_2 \subseteq B_1 \).

(2)\( \Rightarrow \) (1): Suppose the necessary condition holds; let \( h \in \tau_1 \), and let \( x_\lambda \in h \). Since \( \tau_1 \) is generated by \( B_1 \), there is \( B_1 \in B_1 \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B_1 \subseteq h \). Then, by Condition (2), there is \( B_2 \in B_2 \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B_2 \subseteq B_1 \). Thus, \( B_2 \subseteq h \). Therefore, \( h = \bigcup B'_2 \), for some \( B'_2 \subseteq B \). Hence, \( h \in \tau_2 \). Therefore, \( \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2 \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.** Let \( (X, \tau) \) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space. Assume \( B \subseteq \tau \) such that for each \( x_\lambda \in H_P(X) \) and each \( U \in \tau \) with \( x_\lambda \in U \), there is \( B \in \tau \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B \subseteq U \). Then, \( B \) is a base for \( \tau \).

**Proof.** Let \( x_\lambda \in H_P(X) \). Since \( h^1 \in \tau \), there is \( B \in \tau \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B \subseteq \bigcup B \). Then, \( h^1 = \bigcup B \).

Suppose \( B_1, B_2 \in B \) and \( x_\lambda \in B_1 \cap B_2 \). Since \( B_1, B_2 \in \tau \), \( B_1 \cap B_2 \in \tau \). Then, there is \( B \in \tau \) such that \( x_\lambda \in B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2 \). Thus, by Theorem 1, \( B \) is a base for some HFT \( \tau' \) on \( X \). By Theorem 2, \( \tau' \) is finer than \( \tau \). Since \( B \subseteq \tau \), arbitrary unions of members of \( B \) are members of \( \tau \). Therefore, \( \tau' \subseteq \tau \). Hence, \( \tau' = \tau \). Therefore, \( B \) is a base for \( \tau \). \( \square \)
Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \(S \subseteq \tau\). Then, \(S\) is called a sub-base for \(\tau\), if the family:

\[
\{h \in HS(X) : h = \cap_{i=1}^{n} S_i, S_i \in S \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}
\]

is a base for \(\tau\).

Proposition 5. Let \(X\) be a nonempty set, and let \(S \subseteq HS(X)\) such that \(h^1 = \bigcup S\). Then, there is a unique HFT \(\tau\) such that \(S\) is a sub-base for \(\tau\).

Proof. Let \(B = \{B \in HS(X) : B = \cap_{i=1}^{n} S_i, S_i \in S \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}\), and let:

\[
\tau = \{h \in HS(X) : h = h^0 \text{ or } h = \bigcup B' \text{ for some } B' \subseteq B\}.
\]

Since \(h^1 = \bigcup S, h^1 \in \tau\). By the definition of \(\tau\), \(h^1 \in \tau\). Then, \(\tau\) satisfies Axiom (HFT1).

Let \((h_1)_{i \in I} \subseteq \tau\). Then, for each \(j \in J\), there is \(B_j \subseteq B\) such that \(h_j = \bigcup B_j\). Thus, \(\bigcup_{i \in I} h_j = \bigcup_{i \in I} (\bigcup B_j)\). Therefore, \(\bigcup_{i \in I} h_j \subseteq \tau\). Hence, \(\tau\) satisfies Axiom (HFT3).

Now, let \(h_1, h_2 \subseteq \tau\), and let \(x_1 \in h_1 \cap h_2\). Then, there are \(B_1, B_2 \subseteq B\) such that \(x_1 \in B_1 \cap h_1 B_2, B_1 \subseteq h_1\) and \(B_2 \subseteq h_2\). Since \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) are finite intersection of members of \(S\), respectively, \(B_1, B_2 \subseteq B\). Thus, \(h_1 \cap h_2 = \bigcup B', \text{ for some } B' \subseteq B\). Therefore, \(h_1 \cap h_2 \subseteq \tau\). Hence, \(\tau\) satisfies Axiom (HFT2). Therefore, \(\tau \in HFT(X)\). Furthermore, we can easily show that \(\tau\) is unique. \(\square\)

Example 4. Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}\), and consider \(S = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}\), where

- \(h_1(a) = \{0.6\} \cup (0.7, 1)\), \(h_1(b) = \{0\} \cup (0.6, 1)\), \(h_1(c) = (0, 0.6)\),
- \(h_2(a) = (0, 0.7), \ h_2(b) = (0, 0.6) \cup (0.8), \ h_2(c) = (0.3) \cup (0.5, 1),\)
- \(h_3(a) = (0.7), \ h_3(b) = (0.5, 0.7), \ h_3(c) = \{0\} \cup (0.8, 1)\).

Then, clearly, \(\bigcup S = h_1 \cup h_2 \cup h_3 = h^1\). Thus, \(\bigcup S\) is a sub-base for the HFT \(\tau\) on \(X\). Furthermore, \(B = \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5, h_6\}\) is a base for \(\tau\), where

- \(h_4(a) = \{0.6\}, \ h_4(b) = \{0.8\}, \ h_4(c) = (0.3) \cup (0.5, 0.6),\)
- \(h_5(a) = \phi, \ h_5(b) = (0.5, 0.6), \ h_5(c) = (0.8, 1),\)
- \(h_6(a) = \phi, \ h_6(b) = (0.6, 0.7), \ h_6(c) = \phi\).

Therefore, \(\tau = \{h^0, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5, h_6, h_7, h_8, h_9, h_{10}, h_{11}, h_{12}, h_{13}, h_{14}, h_{15}, h_{16}\}\), where

- \(h_7 = h_1 \cup h_3, \ h_8 = h_2 \cup h_3, \ h_9 = h_1 \cup h_5, \ h_{10} = h_1 \cup h_6, \)
- \(h_{11} = h_2 \cup h_9, \ h_{12} = h_3 \cup h_9, \ h_{13} = h_4 \cup h_5, \ h_{14} = h_4 \cup h_6, \)
- \(h_{15} = h_5 \cup h_6, \ h_{16} = h_4 \cup h_5 \cup h_6\).

4. Hesitant Fuzzy Neighborhoods, Interiors, and Closures

It is well known that a neighborhood system generates a topology in a classical topological space. Then, the definition of a hesitant fuzzy neighborhood is necessary.

In this section, we define a hesitant fuzzy neighborhood of a hesitant fuzzy point, a hesitant fuzzy Q-neighborhood of a hesitant fuzzy quasi-coincident point, the hesitant fuzzy closure, interior, and exterior, study some of their properties, and give some examples.

Definition 13. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space; let \(x_\lambda \in H_P(X)\); and let \(N \subseteq HS(G)\). Then, \(N\) is called a hesitant fuzzy neighborhood (HFN) of \(x_\lambda\), if there is \(U \in \tau\) such that \(x_\lambda \in U \subseteq N\).

We will denote the set of all HFNs of \(x_\lambda\) in \((X, \tau)\) as \(HFN(x_\lambda)\).

The following is the immediate result of Definition 13.

Theorem 3. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \(U \subseteq HS(X)\). Then, \(U \in \tau\) if and only if it is an HFN of each of its HFP, i.e., for each \(x_\lambda \in U, U \subseteq HFN(x_\lambda)\).
From the following result, we can see that the hesitant fuzzy neighborhoods have the property of the classical neighborhoods.

**Proposition 6.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $x_\lambda \in H_F(X)$. Then, $HFN(x_\lambda)$ has the following properties:

(HFN1) $x_\lambda \in N$, for each $N \in HFN(x_\lambda)$,

(HFN2) if $N \in HFN(x_\lambda)$, then $M \in HFN(x_\lambda)$, for each $M \in HS(X)$ such that $N \subseteq M$,

(HFN3) if $N, M \in HFN(x_\lambda)$, then $N \cap M \in HFN(x_\lambda)$,

(HFN4) if $N \in HFN(x_\lambda)$, then there is $M \in HFN(x_\lambda)$ such that $N \in HFN(y_\mu)$, for each $y_\mu \in M$.

**Proof.** (1) The proof is clear.

(2) Suppose $N \in HFN(x_\lambda)$, and let $N \cap M \in HFN(x_\lambda)$. Then, there is $U \in \tau$ such that $x_\lambda \in U \subseteq N$. Thus, $x_\lambda \in U \subseteq M$. Therefore, $M \in HFN(x_\lambda)$.

(3) Suppose $N, M \in HFN(x_\lambda)$. Then, there are $U, V \in \tau$ such that $x_\lambda \in U \subseteq N$ and $x_\lambda \in V \subseteq M$. Thus, $x_\lambda \in U \cap V \subseteq N \cap M$ and $U \cap V \in \tau$. Therefore, $N \cap M \in HFN(x_\lambda)$.

(4) Suppose $N \in HFN(x_\lambda)$. Then, there is $M \in \tau$ such that $x_\lambda \in M \subseteq N$. Since $M \in \tau$, by Theorem 3, $M \in HFN(y_\mu)$, for each $y_\mu \in M$. Thus, for each $y_\mu \in M$, there is $V \in \tau$ such that $y_\mu \in V \subseteq M \subseteq N$. Therefore, $N \in HFN(y_\mu)$. This completes the proof. □

**Proposition 7.** Let $X$ be a nonempty set, and let for each $x_\lambda \in H_F(X)$ there be a nonempty collection $B(x_\lambda)$ of hesitant fuzzy sets in $X$ satisfying properties (HFN1)–(HFN4). Then, there is a unique HFT function $\tau$ on $X$ such that for each $x_\lambda \in H_F(X)$, $B(x_\lambda) = HFN_\tau(x_\lambda)$, where $HFN_\tau(x_\lambda)$ denotes the set of all $HFNs$ of $x_\lambda$ in $(X, \tau)$.

**Proof.** Let $\tau = \{ U \in HS(X) : U \in B(x_\lambda) \}$, for each $x_\lambda \in U \}$. Then, clearly, $h^0 \in \tau$. By (HFN2), $h^1 \in \tau$. Thus, $\tau$ satisfies Axiom (HFT1).

Let $U, V \in \tau$, and let $x_\lambda \in U \cap V$. Then, $x_\lambda \in U$ and $x_\lambda \in V$. Thus, $U, V \in B(x_\lambda)$. By (HFN3), $U \cap V \in B(x_\lambda)$. Therefore, $U \cap V \in \tau$. Hence, $\tau$ satisfies Axiom (HFT2).

Let $(U_j)_{j \in J} \subseteq \tau$, and let $x_\lambda \in \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$. Then, there is $j \in J$ such that $x_\lambda \in U_j$. Since $(U_j)_{j \in J} \subseteq \tau$, $U_j \in B(x_\lambda)$. Thus, by (HFN2), $\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j \in B(x_\lambda)$. Therefore, $\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j \in \tau$. Hence, $\tau$ satisfies Axiom (HFT3).

Now, we will show that $B(x_\lambda) = HFN_\tau(x_\lambda)$. Let $N \in HFN_\tau(x_\lambda)$. Then, there is $U \in \tau$ such that $x_\lambda \in U \subseteq N$. Thus, by the definition of $\tau$, $U \in B(x_\lambda)$, for each $x_\lambda \in U$. Therefore, by (HFN2), $N \in B(x_\lambda)$. Hence, $HFN_\tau(x_\lambda) \subseteq B(x_\lambda)$. Suppose $N \in B(x_\lambda)$, and let $U = \bigcup\{ y_\mu \in H_F(X) : N \in HFN_\tau(y_\mu) \}$. Then, clearly, $U \in HS(X)$ and $x_\lambda \in U$. By (HFN1), we can easily see that $U \subseteq N$. Let us show that $U \in \tau$, i.e., $U \in B(y_\mu)$, for each $y_\mu \in U$. Let $y_\mu \in U$. By the definition of $U$, $N \in HFN_\tau(y_\mu)$. Since $HFN_\tau(y_\mu) \subseteq B(y_\mu)$, $N \subseteq B(y_\mu)$. Then, by (HFN4), there is $M \in B(y_\mu)$ such that $N \in B(z_\mu)$, for each $z_\mu \in M$. Thus, by the definition of $\tau$, $z_\mu \in U$. Therefore, $M \subseteq U$. Hence, by (HFN2), $U \in B(y_\mu)$. This completes the proof. □

**Definition 14.** Let $X$ be a nonempty set; let $x_\lambda \in H_F(X)$; and let $h \in HS(X)$. Then, $x_\lambda$ is said to:

(i) be quasi-coincident with $h$, denoted by $x_\lambda \pitchfork h$, if $\lambda \not\supseteq h^c(x)$,

(ii) be not quasi-coincident with $h$, denoted by $x_\lambda \not\pitchfork h$, if $\lambda \subseteq h^c(x)$.

**Definition 15.** Let $X$ be a nonempty set, and let $h_1, h_2 \in HS(X)$. Then, $h_1$ is said to be quasi-coincident with $h_2$, denoted by $h_1 \pitchfork h_2$, if there is $x \in X$ such that $h_1(x) \supseteq h_2(x)$.

In this case, we say that $h_1$ and $h_2$ are quasi-coincident (with each other) at $x_\lambda$.

It is obvious that if $h_1$ and $h_2$ are quasi-coincident at $x_\lambda$, then $(h_1 \cap h_2)(x) \neq \phi$.

**Theorem 4.** Let $X$ be a nonempty set; let $x_\lambda \in H_F(X)$; and let $h, h_1, h_2 \in HS(X)$. Then, $h_1 \subseteq h_2$ if and only if $h_1 \pitchfork h_2$. In particular, $x_\lambda \subseteq h$ if and only if $x_\lambda \not\pitchfork h$. 
Let $x \in X$,

$$h_1 \subset h_2 \text{ iff } h_1(x) \supseteq h_2(x) \text{ iff } h_1(x) \cup h_2(x)^c \subseteq h_2(x) \cup h_2(x)^c = (h_2 \cup h_2^c)(x) = [0, 1].$$

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 5.** Let $X$ be a nonempty set; let $x_\lambda \in H_P(X)$; and let $(h_j)_{j \in J} \subset HS(X)$. Then, $x_\lambda q j \cap h_j$ if and only if there is $j \in J$ such that $x_\lambda q h_j$.

**Proof.** Suppose $x_\lambda q j \cap h_j$. Then, $\lambda \not\supseteq \left( \bigcup_{j \in J} h_j \right)^c (x) = (\bigcap_{j \in J} h_j^c)(x) = \bigcap_{j \in J} h_j(x)^c$. Thus, there is $j \in J$ such that $\lambda \not\supseteq h_j(x)^c$.

Conversely, suppose there is $j \in J$ such that $\lambda \not\supseteq h_j(x)^c$. Then, $\lambda \not\supseteq \bigcap_{j \in J} h_j(x)^c$ and $\bigcap_{j \in J} h_j(x)^c = \left( \bigcup_{j \in J} h_j \right)^c (x)$. Thus, $x_\lambda q j \cap h_j$. \[ \square \]

**Proposition 8.** Let $X$, $Y$ be nonempty sets; let $x_\lambda \in H_P(X)$; let $h \in HS(X)$; and let $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping. If $x_\lambda q h$, then $f(x_\lambda) q f(h)$.

**Proof.** Suppose $x_\lambda q h$. Then, $\lambda \not\supseteq h^c (x) = h(x)^c$ and $f(h)(f(x)) = h(x)$. Thus,

$$f(h)^c (f(x)) = f(h)(f(x))^c = h(x)^c \not\supseteq \lambda = f(x_\lambda)(f(x)) = f(x_\lambda)(f(x)).$$

Therefore, $f(x_\lambda) q f(h)$. \[ \square \]

**Definition 16.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space; let $N \in HS(X)$; and let $x_\lambda \in H_P(X)$. Then, $N$ is called an HQ-neighborhood (HQN) of $x_\lambda$, if there is a $U \in \tau$ such that $x_\lambda q U \subset N$. The set of all HQ-neighborhoods of $x_\lambda$ is called the system of of HQ-neighborhoods of $x_\lambda$ and denoted by $HQN(x_\lambda)$.

**Proposition 9.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $x_\lambda \in H_P(X)$. Then, $HQN(x_\lambda)$ has the following properties:

- **(HQN1)** $x_\lambda q N$, for each $N \in HQN(x_\lambda)$,
- **(HQN2)** if $N \in HQN(x_\lambda)$, then $M \in HQN(x_\lambda)$, for each $M \in HS(X)$ such that $N \subset M$,
- **(HQN3)** if $N$, $M \in HQN(x_\lambda)$, then $N \cap M \in HQN(x_\lambda)$,
- **(HQN4)** if $N \in HQN(x_\lambda)$, then there is $M \in HQN(x_\lambda)$ such that $N \in HQN(y_M)$, for each $y_M q M$.

**Proof.** The proofs are similar to Proposition 6. \[ \square \]

**Proposition 10.** Let $X$ be a nonempty set, and let for each $x_\lambda \in H_P(X)$ there be a nonempty collection $B(x_\lambda)$ of hesitant fuzzy sets in $X$ satisfying Properties (HQN1)–(HQN4). Then, there is a unique HFT $\tau$ on $X$ such that for each $x_\lambda \in H_P(X)$, $B(x_\lambda) = HQN_\tau(x_\lambda)$, where $HQN_\tau(x_\lambda)$ denotes the set of all HQNs of $x_\lambda$ in $(X, \tau)$.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to Proposition 7. \[ \square \]

**Theorem 6.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $B \subset HS(X)$. Then, $B$ is a base for $\tau$ if and only if for each $x_\lambda \in H_P(X)$ and each $U \in HQN(x_\lambda)$ such that $U \in \tau$, there is $B \in B$ such that $x_\lambda q B \subset U$.

**Proof.** The necessary condition follows directly from the definition of a base and Proposition 8. Let us show that the sufficient condition. Assume that $B$ is not a base for $\tau$. Then, there is $A \subset B$ such that $V = \bigcup \{ B \in B : B \subset A \} \neq A$. Thus, there is $x \in X$ such that $V(x) \subseteq A$. Let $\lambda = [0, 1] \setminus V(x) = V^c(x)$. Then, clearly, $A(x) \cup \lambda \not\supseteq V(x) \cup \lambda = [0, 1]$. Thus, $A(x) \cup \lambda \not\supseteq V(x) = [0, 1]$. Therefore, $x_\lambda q A$. \[ \square \]
On the other hand, for any $B \subseteq B$ such that $B \subseteq A$, $A \subseteq V$. Then, $B(x) \cup A \subseteq V(x) \cup A = [0, 1]$. Thus, $x \notin B$. Since $B \subseteq A$, $x \notin A$. Therefore, this contradicts the assumption. This completes the proof. □

**Definition 17.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $h \in HS(G)$. Then, the hesitant fuzzy interior points of $h$, denoted by $int_H(h)$, are a hesitant fuzzy set in $X$ defined by:

$$int_H(h) = \bigcup \{ U \subseteq \tau : U \supseteq h \}.$$  

**Example 5.** Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, and let $\tau = \{h^0, h^1, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\} \subseteq HFT(X)$, where $h_1(a) = \{0.4\} \cup [0.5, 1), h_1(b) = (0.1, 0.9], h_1(c) = [0.3, 0.8] \cup \{0.1, 0.9\}$,

$$h_2(a) = (0.3, 0.5) \cup [0.6, 0.9], h_2(b) = (0.2) \cup (0.8, 0.9), h_2(c) = (0.4, 1] \cup \{0.1\},$$

$$h_3(a) = (0.3, 1], h_3(b) = (0.1, 0.9], h_3(c) = [0.3, 1] \cup \{0.1\},$$

$$h_4(a) = [0.4] \cup [0.6, 0.9], h_4(b) = \{0.2\} \cup (0.8, 0.9), h_4(c) = (0.4, 0.8) \cup \{0.1, 0.9\}.$$  

Let $h$ be the hesitant fuzzy set in $X$ given by:

$$h(a) = \{0.4, 1\}, h(b) = (0.1, 0.9], h(c) = [0.1, 0.8] \cup \{0.9\}.$$  

Then, clearly $h^0 \subseteq h$, $h_1 \subseteq h$ and $h_4 \subseteq h$. Thus, $int_H(h) = h^0 \cap h_1 \cap h_4 = h_1 \cap h_4$.

From Definition 17, we have the following result.

**Proposition 11.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $h, h_1, h_2 \in HS(X)$. Then,

1. $int_H(h^0) = h^0$,  
2. $int_H(h)$ is the largest hesitant fuzzy open set contained in $h$,  
3. $h \in \tau$ if and only if $int_H(h) = h$,  
4. if $h_1 \subseteq h_2$, then $int_H(h_1) \subseteq int_H(h_2)$.

**Theorem 7.** Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space; let $x_\lambda \in H_P(X)$; and let $A \in HS(X)$. Then, $x_\lambda \in int_H(A)$ if and only if there is $N \in HFN(x_\lambda) such that $N \subseteq A$.

**Proof.** The proof is straightforward. □

**Proposition 12.** (Hesitant fuzzy interior axioms). Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $h, h_1, h_2 \in HS(X)$. Then:

(HF1) $int_H(h^1) = h^1$,  
(HF2) $int_H(h) \subseteq h$,  
(HF3) $int_H(int_H(h)) = int_H(h)$,  
(HF4) $int_H(h_1 \cap h_2) = int_H(h_1) \cap int_H(h_2)$.

**Proof.** From Definition 17 and Proposition 11 (1), the proofs of (HF1) and (HF2) are clear.  
(HF3) By (HF2), it is obvious that $int_H(int_H(h)) \subseteq int_H(h)$. Let $x_\lambda \in int_H(h)$. Then, there is $U \subseteq \tau$ such that $x_\lambda \in U \subseteq h$. Thus, by Proposition 11 (2) and (3) and (HF2), $x_\lambda \in U \subseteq int_H(h)$. Therefore, $x_\lambda \in int_H(int_H(h))$. Hence, $int_H(h) \subseteq int_H(int_H(h))$. Therefore, $int_H(int_H(h)) = int_H(h)$.

(HF4) It is clear that $h_1 \cap h_2 \subseteq h_1$ and $h_1 \cap h_2 \subseteq h_2$. Then, by Proposition 11 (3), $int_H(h_1 \cap h_2) \subseteq int_H(h_1) \cap int_H(h_2)$. Therefore, $int_H(h_1 \cap h_2) \subseteq int_H(h_1) \cap int_H(h_2)$. Let $x_\lambda \in int_H(h_1) \cap int_H(h_2)$. Then, $x_\lambda \in int_H(h_1) \cap int_H(h_2)$. Therefore, $x_\lambda \in int_H(h_1) \cap int_H(h_2)$. □

**Definition 18.** Let $X$ be a nonempty set. Then, a mapping $\circ_H : HS(X) \to HS(X)$ is called a hesitant fuzzy interior operator on $X$, if it satisfies Properties (HF1)–(HF4) of Proposition 12.
The following result shows that a hesitant fuzzy interior operator completely determines a hesitant fuzzy topology on \( X \) and that in this topology, the operator is the hesitant fuzzy interior.

**Proposition 13.** Let \( \phi H \) be hesitant fuzzy interior operator on a set \( X \). Let:

\[
\tau = \{ U \in HS(X) : U^{\phi H} = U \}.
\]

Then, \( \tau \in HFT(X) \), and if \( int_H \) is the hesitant fuzzy interior defined by \( \tau \), then

\[
U^{\phi H} = int_H(U), \text{ for each } U \in HS(X).
\]

**Proof.** By (HFI1) and (HFI2), \( (h^1)^{\phi H} = h^1 \) and \( (h^0)^{\phi H} = h^0 \). Thus, \( h^0, h^1 \in \tau \). Thus, \( \tau \) satisfies Axiom (HFT1).

Let \( U, V \in \tau \). Then, \( U^{\phi H} = U \) and \( V^{\phi H} = V \). Thus, by (HFI4),

\[
(U \cap V)^{\phi H} = U^{\phi H} \cap V^{\phi H} = U \cap V.
\]

Therefore, \( U \cap V \in \tau \). Hence, \( \tau \) satisfies Axiom (HFT2).

Let \( (U_j)_{j \in J} \subset \tau \), and let \( U = \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j \). Then clearly, \( U_j \subset U \), for each \( j \in J \). By (HFI2), \( U_j^{\phi H} \subset U^{\phi H} \), for each \( j \in J \). Thus, \( \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j^{\phi H} \subset U^{\phi H} \). Since \( (U_j)_{j \in J} \subset \tau \), \( U_j^{\phi H} = U_j \), for each \( j \in J \). Therefore, \( U \subset U^{\phi H} \), i.e., \( U^{\phi H} = U \). Hence, \( \tau \) satisfies Axiom (HFT3). Therefore, \( \tau \in HFT(X) \). 

Suppose \( int_H \) is the hesitant fuzzy interior defined by \( \tau \), and let \( h \in HS(X) \). Then clearly, \( int_H(h) \) is the largest hesitant fuzzy open set in \( X \) contained in \( h \). Thus, \( h^{\phi H} = h \). By (HFI3), \( h^{\phi H}(h^{\phi H}) = h^{\phi H} \). Therefore, \( h^{\phi H} \in \tau \). By (HFI2), \( h^{\phi H} \subset int_H(h) \). Hence, \( h^{\phi H} \subset int_H(h) \). On the other hand, by (HFI2), \( int_H(h) \subset h \). Then, \( h^{\phi H} \subset h^{\phi H}(int_H(h)) = int_H(h) \). Thus, \( h^{\phi H} = int_H(h) \). Therefore, \( U^{\phi H} = int_H(U) \), for each \( U \in HS(X) \). \( \square \)

**Definition 19.** Let \( (X, \tau) \) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \( h \in HS(X) \). Then, the hesitant fuzzy closure of \( h \), denoted by \( cl_H(h) \), is a hesitant fuzzy set in \( X \) defined by:

\[
cl_H(h) = \bigcap \{ F \in HFC(X) : h \subset F \}.
\]

**Example 6.** Let \( X = \{ a, b, c \} \), and let \( \tau = \{ h^0, h^1, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 \} \in HFT(X) \), where

\[
h_1(a) = \{ 0.4 \} \cup (0.5, 1), \quad h_1(b) = \{ 0.1, 0.9 \}, \quad h_1(c) = \{ 0.3, 0.8 \} \cup \{ 0.1, 0.9 \},
\]

\[
h_2(a) = \{ 0.3, 0.5 \} \cup [0.6, 0.9], \quad h_2(b) = \{ 0.2 \} \cup (0.8, 0.9), \quad h_2(c) = \{ 0.4, 1 \} \cup \{ 0.1 \},
\]

\[
h_3(a) = \{ 0.3, 0.5 \} \cup (0.5, 1), \quad h_3(b) = \{ 0.1, 0.9 \}, \quad h_3(c) = \{ 0.3, 1 \} \cup \{ 0.1 \},
\]

\[
h_4(a) = \{ 0.4 \} \cup [0.6, 0.9], \quad h_4(b) = \{ 0.2 \} \cup (0.8, 0.9), \quad h_4(c) = \{ 0.4, 0.8 \} \cup \{ 0.1, 0.9 \}.
\]

Then, \( HFC(X) = \{ h^0, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 \} \), where

\[
h_1(a) = \{ 0.4 \} \cup (0.4, 0.5) \cup \{ 1 \}, \quad h_1(b) = \{ 0.0, 1 \} \cup [0.9, 1],
\]

\[
h_2(a) = \{ 0.0, 0.1 \} \cup (0.1, 0.3) \cup [0.8, 0.9) \cup (0.9, 1],
\]

\[
h_3(a) = \{ 0.1, 0.3 \} \cup (0.5, 0.6) \cup [0.9, 1], \quad h_3(b) = \{ 0.0, 2 \} \cup [0.2, 0.8) \cup [0.9, 1],
\]

\[
h_4(a) = \{ 0.0, 0.1 \} \cup (0.1, 0.4), \quad h_4(b) = \{ 0.0, 0.3 \} \cup (0.9, 1), \quad h_4(c) = \{ 0.1, 0.3 \},
\]

\[
h_5(a) = \{ 0.0, 0.4 \} \cup (0.4, 0.6) \cup [0.9, 1], \quad h_5(b) = \{ 0.0, 2 \} \cup (0.2, 0.8) \cup [0.9, 1],
\]

\[
h_6(a) = \{ 0.0, 0.1 \} \cup (0.1, 0.4) \cup [0.8, 0.9) \cup [0.9, 1).
\]

Let \( h \) be the hesitant fuzzy set in \( X \) given by:

\[
h(a) = \{ 0.0, 2 \} \cup [0.9, 1], \quad h(b) = \{ 0.9, 1 \}, \quad h(c) = \{ 0.0, 2 \} \cup [0.9, 1].
\]

Then clearly, \( h \subset h^1 \), \( h \subset h^2 \) and \( h \subset h^3 \). Thus, \( cl_H(h) = h^0 \cap h_1 \cap h^2 \cap h_3 \).

From Definition 19, we have the following result.
Proposition 14. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \(h, h_1 h_2 \in HS(X)\). Then,
1. \(cl_H(h^1) = h^1\),
2. \(cl_H(h)\) is the smallest hesitant fuzzy closed set containing \(h\),
3. \(h \in HFC(X)\) if and only if \(cl_H(h) = h\),
4. if \(h_1 \subseteq h_2\), then \(cl_H(h_1) \subseteq cl_H(h_2)\).

Theorem 8. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space; let \(x_\lambda \in H_P(X)\); and let \(A \in HS(X)\). Then, \(x_\lambda \in cl_H(A)\) if and only if for each \(N \in HQN(x_\lambda), NqA\).

In this case, \(x_\lambda\) is called a hesitant fuzzy closure point of \(A\). In fact,
\[
cl_H(A) = \bigcup \{x_\lambda \in H_P(X) : x_\lambda\ \text{is a hesitant fuzzy closure point of } A\}.
\]

Proof. \(x_\lambda \in cl_H(A)\) iff for each \(F \in HFC(X)\) with \(F \supseteq A\), \(x_\lambda \in F\), i.e., \(\lambda \subseteq F(x)\)
iff for each \(F^c = U \in \tau\) with \(U \subseteq A^c\), \(U(x) \subseteq A(x)^c\)
iff for each \(U \subseteq \tau\) with \(U(x) \supseteq A^c(x)\), \(U \not\subseteq A^c\)
iff by Theorem 4, \(UqA\)
iff for each \(N \in HQN(x_\lambda), NqA\). \(\square\)

Proposition 15. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \(h \in HS(X)\). Then:
\[
int_H(h) = (cl_H(h^c))^c, \ \text{i.e.,} \ (int_H(h))^c = cl_H(h^c).
\]

Proof. Let \(x_\lambda \in int_H(h)\). Then clearly, \(x_\lambda \in int_H(h) \in \tau\) such that \(int_H(h)^c \cap h^c = h^0\). Thus, \(\lambda \supseteq h^c(x)\), i.e., \(x_\lambda \not\in h^c\). Since \(h^c \subseteq cl_H(h^c)\), \(x_\lambda \not\in cl_H(h^c)\). Therefore, \(\lambda \subseteq (cl_H(h^c))^c\). Hence, \(int_H(h) \subseteq (cl_H(h^c))^c\).

Now, let \(x_\lambda \in (cl_H(h^c))^c\). Then, \(x_\lambda \not\in cl_H(h^c)\). Thus, \(x_\lambda \not\in h^c\), i.e., \(x_\lambda \not\in h\). Since \(x_\lambda \not\in cl_H(h^c)\), there is \(U \subseteq \tau\) such that \(x_\lambda \not\in U\) and \(Um^c = h^0\). Therefore, \(U \not\subseteq h\). Hence, \(x_\lambda \in int_H(h)\), i.e., \((cl_H(h^c))^c \subseteq int_H(h)\). Therefore, \(int_H(h) = (cl_H(h^c))^c\). \(\square\)

From Definition 19 and Proposition 15, we have the following result.

Proposition 16. (Hesitant fuzzy Kuratowski closure axioms). Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \(h, h_1 h_2 \in HS(X)\). Then:

(HFC1) \(cl_H(h^0) = h^0\),
(HFC2) \(h \subseteq cl_H(h)\),
(HFC3) \(cl_H(cl_H(h)) = cl_H(h)\),
(HFC4) \(cl_H(h_1 \cup h_2) = cl_H(h_1) \cup cl_H(h_2)\).

Definition 20. Let \(X\) be a nonempty set. Then, a mapping \(^{-H} : HS(X) \rightarrow HS(X)\) is called a hesitant fuzzy closure operator on \(X\), if it satisfies Properties (HFC1)–(HFC4) of Proposition 16.

As expected, a result analogous to Proposition 13 holds for the hesitant fuzzy closure operator. Then, a hesitant fuzzy closure operator completely determines a hesitant fuzzy topology, and in that topology, the hesitant fuzzy closure operator is the hesitant fuzzy closure.

Proposition 17. Let \(^{-H}\) be a hesitant fuzzy closure operator on a set \(X\). Let \(F = \{F \in HS(X) : F^{-H} = F\}\), and let \(\tau = \{U \in HS(X) : U^c \in F\}\). Then, \(\tau \in HFT(X)\), and if \(cl_H\) is the hesitant fuzzy closure defined by \(\tau\), then:
\[
F^{-H} = cl_H(F), \ \text{for each } F \in HS(X).
\]

Proof. It is similar to Proposition 13. \(\square\)
Definition 21. Let \( X \) be a nonempty set, and let \( h_1, h_2 \in HS(X) \). Then, the difference of \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \), denoted by \( h_1 \setminus h_2 \), is a hesitant fuzzy set in \( X \) defined by:

\[
h_1 \setminus h_2 = h_1 \cap h_2^c.
\]

Definition 22. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \( h \in HS(X) \). Then, \( x_\lambda \in H_\pi(X) \) is called a hesitant fuzzy limit point or an accumulation point of \( h \), if \( h^c \setminus U \setminus \{x_\lambda\} \neq h^0 \), for each \( U \in \tau \) with \( x_\lambda \in U \), where \( U \setminus \{x_\lambda\} = U \cap \{x_\lambda\}^c \). The union of all hesitant fuzzy limit points of \( h \) will be called the hesitant fuzzy derived set of \( h \) and will be denoted by \( D_H(h) \). Then clearly,

\[
D_H(h) = \bigcup \{x_\lambda \in H_\pi(X) : x_\lambda \text{ is a hesitant fuzzy limit point of } h\}.
\]

Example 7. (1) Let \( X \) be the hesitant fuzzy discrete space. Then, \( D_H(h) = h^0 \), for each \( h \in HS(X) \).

(2) Let \( X \) be the hesitant fuzzy indiscrete space. Then, \( D_H(h) = h^1 \), for each \( h \in HS(X) \).

From Definition 22, we have the following result.

Proposition 18. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \( h, h_1, h_2 \in HS(X) \). Then:

(HFD1) \( D_H(h^0) = h^0 \),

(HFD2) if \( h_1 \subseteq h_2 \), then \( D_H(h_1) \subseteq D_H(h_2) \),

(HFD3) if \( x_\lambda \in D_H(h) \), then \( x_\lambda \in D_H(h \cap \{x_\lambda\}) \),

(HFD4) \( D_H(h_1 \cup h_2) = D_H(h_1) \cup D_H(h_2) \).

Definition 23. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \( h \in HS(X) \). Then, the hesitant fuzzy exterior of \( h \), denoted by \( e_H(h) \), is a hesitant fuzzy set in \( X \) defined by \( e_H(h) = int_H(h^c) \).

It is obvious that \( int_H(h) = e_H(h^c) \).

By the above definition, we have the following result.

Proposition 19. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \( h, h_1, h_2 \in HS(X) \). Then:

(HFD1) \( e_H(h^0) = h^1 \),

(HFD2) \( e_H(h) \subseteq h^c \),

(HFD3) \( e_H(h) = e_H([e_H(h)]^c) \),

(HFD4) \( e_H(h_1 \cup h_2) = e_H(h_1) \cup e_H(h_2) \).

Definition 24. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let \( h \in HS(X) \). Then, the hesitant fuzzy boundary of \( h \), denoted by \( b_H(h) \), is a hesitant fuzzy set in \( X \) defined by \( b_H(h) = [int_H(h)]^c \cup int_H(h^c) \).

It is clear that \( b_H(h) = b_H(h^c) = cl_H(h^c) \cap cl_H(h^c) = cl_H(h) \setminus int_H(h) \).

5. Hesitant Fuzzy Continuous Mappings

We define a hesitant fuzzy continuous mapping and a hesitant fuzzy open (resp. closed) mapping and prove that each concept has similar properties in classical topological spaces.

Definition 25. Let \((X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces. Then, a mapping \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) is said to be hesitant fuzzy continuous, if \( f^{-1}(V) \in \tau \), for each \( V \in \sigma \).

From Result 2 and Definition 25, we have the following result.

Proposition 20. Let \((X, \tau), (Y, \sigma), (Z, \delta)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces.

(1) The identity mapping \( id : (X, \tau) \to (X, \tau) \) is continuous.
(2) If \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) and \( g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \delta) \) are continuous, then \( g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \delta) \) is continuous.

**Remark 2.** From Proposition 20, we can see that the class of all hesitant fuzzy topological spaces and hesitant fuzzy continuous mappings forms a concrete category.

**Definition 26.** Let \((X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces. Then, a mapping \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) is said to be hesitant fuzzy open (resp. closed), if \( f \) is hesitant fuzzy continuous at each hesitant fuzzy point of \( X \).

**Theorem 9.** Let \((X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces. Then, a mapping \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) is hesitant fuzzy continuous if and only if \( f \) is hesitant fuzzy continuous at each hesitant fuzzy point of \( X \).

**Theorem 10.** Let \((X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces, and let \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) be a mapping. Then, the following are equivalent:

1. \( f \) is continuous,
2. \( f^{-1}(F) \in HFC(X), \) for each \( F \in HFC(Y) \),
3. \( f^{-1}(S) \in \tau, \) for each \( S \in \mathcal{S}, \) where \( \mathcal{S} \) is the sub-base for \( \sigma \),
4. \( f \) is continuous at each \( x_\lambda \in H_P(X) \),
5. for each \( x_\lambda \in H_P(X) \) and each \( V \in HFN(f(x_\lambda)) \), there is \( U \in HFN(x_\lambda) \) such that \( f(U) \subset V \),
6. \( f(cl_H(h)) \subset cl_H(f(h)), \) for each \( h \in HS(X) \),
7. \( cl_H(f^{-1}(h)) \subset f^{-1}(cl_H(h)), \) for each \( h \in HS(Y) \).

**Proof.** (1)\(\Rightarrow\) (2): The proof is clear from Definitions 8 and 25.

(2)\(\Rightarrow\) (3): Suppose \( f^{-1}(F) \in HFC(X), \) for each \( F \in HFC(Y) \), and let \( S \in \mathcal{S} \). Then, clearly, \( S^c \in HFC(Y) \). Thus, by the hypothesis, \( f^{-1}(S^c) \in HFC(X) \). It is obvious that \( f^{-1}(S^c) = (f^{-1}(S))^c \). Therefore, \( f^{-1}(S)^c \in HFC(X) \). Hence, \( f^{-1}(S) \in \tau \).

(3)\(\Rightarrow\) (4): Suppose (3); and let \( x_\lambda \in H_P(X) \), and let \( V \in HFN(f(x_\lambda)) \). Then, there is \( S \in \mathcal{S} \) such that \( f(x_\lambda) \in S \subset V \), where \( \mathcal{S} \) is the sub-base for \( \sigma \). Thus, by (3), \( f^{-1}(S) \in \tau \). Since \( f(x_\lambda) \in S \subset V \), \( x_\lambda \in f^{-1}(S) \subset f^{-1}(V) \). Therefore, \( f^{-1}(V) \in HFN(x_\lambda) \). Hence, \( f \) is continuous at each \( x_\lambda \in H_P(X) \).

(4)\(\Rightarrow\) (5): The proof is obvious.

(5)\(\Rightarrow\) (6): Suppose (5); and let \( y_\mu \in f(cl_H(h)) \), for each \( h \in HS(X) \). Then, there is \( x \in X \) such that \( y_\mu = f(x_\lambda) \) and \( x_\lambda \in cl_H(h) \). Let \( V \in HFN(f(x_\lambda)) \). Then, by (5), there is \( U \in HFN(x_\lambda) \) such that \( f(U) \subset V \). Since \( x_\lambda \in cl_H(h), \) \( U \cap h = \emptyset \). Thus, \( f(U \cap h) = \emptyset \). Therefore, \( V \cap f(h) = \emptyset \). Hence, \( y_\mu \in cl_H(f(h)) \). Therefore, \( f(cl_H(h)) \subset cl_H(f(h)) \).

(6)\(\Rightarrow\) (7): Suppose (6); and let \( A = f^{-1}(h) \), for each \( h \in HS(Y) \). Then, by (6), \( f(cl_H(A)) \subset cl_H(f(A)) \). Thus, \( f(cl_H(f^{-1}(h))) \subset cl_H(f^{-1}(h)) \subset cl_H(f(h)) \). Therefore, \( cl_H(f^{-1}(h)) \subset f^{-1}(cl_H(h)) \).

(7)\(\Rightarrow\) (2): The proof is clear. \(\Box\)

The following is the immediate result of Theorem 10.

**Corollary 1.** \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) is continuous if and only if \( f^{-1}(int_H(h)) \subset int_H(f^{-1}(h)) \), for each \( h \in HS(Y) \).

**Definition 27.** Let \((X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces. Then, a mapping \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) is said to be hesitant fuzzy open (resp. closed), if \( f(U) \in \sigma, \) for each \( U \in \tau \) (resp. \( f(F) \in HFC(Y), \) for each \( F \in HFC(X) \)).

**Proposition 21.** Let \((X, \tau), (Y, \sigma), (Z, \delta)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces. If \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) and \( g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \delta) \) are open (resp. closed), then \( g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \delta) \) is open (resp. closed).
Theorem 11. Let \((X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces, and let \(f : X \to Y\) be a mapping. Then, \(f\) is closed if and only if \(f(\text{int}_H(h)) \subseteq \text{int}_H(f(h))\), for each \(h \in \text{HS}(X)\).

Proof. Suppose \(f\) is continuous, and let \(h \in \text{HS}(X)\). Then, clearly, \(\text{int}_H(f(h)) \subseteq \sigma\). Thus, by the hypothesis, \(f(\text{int}_H(h)) \subseteq h\). Since \(\text{int}_H(f(h))\) is the largest hesitant fuzzy open set contained in \(f(h)\), \(f(\text{int}_H(h)) \subseteq \text{int}_H(f(h))\).

Conversely, assume the necessary condition holds, and let \(U \in \tau\). Then, clearly, \(U = \text{int}_H(U)\). Thus, by the hypothesis, \(f(U) = f(\text{int}_H(U)) \subseteq \text{int}_H(f(U))\). Since \(\text{int}_H(f(U)) \subseteq f(U)\), \(f(U) = \text{int}_H(f(U))\). Therefore, \(f(U) \in \sigma\). Hence, \(f\) is open. \(\square\)

Corollary 3. Let \((X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces. Then, a mapping \(f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) is continuous and closed if and only if \(f(\text{cl}_H(F)) = f(\text{cl}_H(f(F)))\), for each \(F \in \text{HFC}(X)\).

Definition 28. Let \((X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces. Then, a mapping \(f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) is called a hesitant fuzzy homeomorphism, if it is bijective, continuous, and open.

Remark 3. For any hesitant fuzzy discrete spaces \(X\) and \(Y\), \(f : X \to Y\) is a hesitant fuzzy homeomorphism if and only if it is bijective.

6. Hesitant Fuzzy Subspaces

We define a hesitant fuzzy subspace topology, and we obtain some of its similar properties in classical topological spaces. Moreover, we prove that the “Pasting lemma” holds in hesitant fuzzy topological spaces.
Definition 29. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $h \in HS(X)$. Then, the collection $\tau_h = \{U \cap h : U \in \tau\}$ is called a hesitant fuzzy subspace topology or hesitant fuzzy relative topology on $h$. The pair $(h, \tau_h)$ is called a hesitant fuzzy subspace, and each member of $\tau_h$ is called a hesitant fuzzy open set in $h$.

Example 8. (1) Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, and let $\tau = \{h^0, h^1, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\} \in HFT(X)$, where $h_1(a) = (0.4) \cup (0.5, 1)$, $h_1(b) = (0.1, 0.9)$, $h_1(c) = (0.3, 0.8) \cup (0.1, 0.9)$, $h_1 = (0.3, 0.5) \cup [0.6, 0.9]$, $h_2(b) = (0.2) \cup (0.8, 0.9)$, $h_2(c) = (0.4, 1) \cup (0.1)$, $h_3(a) = (0.3, 1)$, $h_3(b) = (0.1, 0.9)$, $h_3(c) = (0.3, 1) \cup (0.1)$, $h_4(a) = (0.4) \cup [0.6, 0.9]$, $h_4(b) = (0.2) \cup (0.8, 0.9)$, $h_4(c) = (0.4, 0.8) \cup (0.1, 0.9)$.

Let $h$ be the hesitant fuzzy set in $X$ given by: $h(a) = (0.4, 0.9) \cup \{1\}$, $h(b) = (0.1, 0.3) \cup (0.7, 0.8)$, $h(c) = (0.2, 0.4) \cup (0.5, 1)$.

Then, $\tau_h = \{h^0, h_1 \cap h, h_2 \cap h, h_3 \cap h, h_4 \cap h\}$.

(2) If $X$ is a hesitant fuzzy discrete space and $h \in HS(X)$, then $(h, \tau_h)$ is a hesitant fuzzy discrete space.

(3) If $X$ is a hesitant fuzzy indiscrete space and $h \in HS(X)$, then $(h, \tau_h)$ is a hesitant fuzzy indiscrete space.

Proposition 23. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $h \in HS(X)$. Then, $\tau_h$ is a hesitant fuzzy topology on $h$.

Proof. Since $h^0, h^1 \in \tau$, $h^0 \cap h \in \tau_h$ and $h = h^1 \cap h \in \tau_h$.

Let $U, V \in \tau$. Then, there are $h_1, h_2 \in \tau$ such that $U = h_1 \cap h$ and $V = h_2 \cap h$. Thus, $U \cap V = (h_1 \cap h) \cap (h_2 \cap h) = (h_1 \cap h_2) \cap h$. Since $h_1 \cap h_2 \in \tau$, $U \cap V \in \tau_h$.

Now, let $(U_j)_{j \in J} \subset \tau_h$. Then, there is $h_j \in \tau$ such that $U_j = h_j \cap h$, for each $j \in J$. Thus, $\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j = \bigcap_{j \in J} (h_j \cap h) = \left(\bigcap_{j \in J} h_j\right) \cap h$. Since $\bigcap_{j \in J} h_j \in \tau$, $\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j \in \tau_h$. Therefore, $\tau_h$ is a hesitant fuzzy topology on $h$. □

Proposition 24. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $h_1, h_2 \in HS(X)$ such that $h_1 \subset h_2$. Then, $\tau_{h_1} = (\tau_{h_2})_{h_1}$.

Proof. Let $U \in \tau_{h_1}$. Then, $V \in \tau$ such that $U = V \cap h_1$. Since $h_1 \subset h_2$, $h_1 \cap h_2 = h_1 \cap h_2$. Thus, $U = V \cap (h_1 \cap h_2) = (V \cap h_2) \cap h_1$. Since $V \cap h_2 \in \tau_{h_2}$, $U \in (\tau_{h_2})_{h_1}$. Therefore, $\tau_{h_1} \subset (\tau_{h_2})_{h_1}$. Let $U \in (\tau_{h_2})_{h_1}$. Then, there is $V \in \tau_{h_2}$ such that $U = V \cap h_1$. Since $V \subset \tau_{h_2}$, there is $h \in \tau$ such that $V = h \cap h_2$. Thus, $U = (h \cap h_2) \cap h_1 = h \cap (h_2 \cap h_1)$. Since $h_1 \subset h_2, h_2 \cap h_1 = h_1$. Therefore, $U = h \cap h_1$. Hence, $U \in \tau_{h_1}$, i.e., $(\tau_{h_2})_{h_1} \subset \tau_{h_1}$. Therefore, $\tau_{h_1} = (\tau_{h_2})_{h_1}$. □

Proposition 25. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space; let $h \in HS(X)$; and let $B$ be a base for $\tau$. Then, $B_h = \{B \cap h : B \in B\}$ is a base for $\tau_h$.

Proof. Let $U \in \tau$, and let $x_\lambda \in U \cap h$. Then, there is $B \in B$ such that $x_\lambda \in B \subset U$. Thus, $x_\lambda \in B \cap h \subset U \cap h$. Therefore, by Proposition 4, $B_h$ is a base for $\tau_h$. □

The following gives a special situation in which every member of the hesitant fuzzy space topology is also a member of the hesitant fuzzy space topology on $X$.

Proposition 26. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $h \in \tau$. If $U \in \tau_h$, then $U \in \tau$.

Proof. Suppose $U \in \tau_h$. Then, there is $V \in \tau$ such that $U = V \cap h$. Since $h \in \tau$, $V \cap h \in \tau$. Thus, $U \in \tau$. □

Theorem 13. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space; let $h \in HS(X)$; and $A \in HS(X)$ such that $A \subset h$. Then, $A$ is closed in $h$ if and only if there is $F \in HFC(X)$ such that $A = F \cap h$. 

Proposition 27. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $h \in HFC(X)$. If $A$ is closed in $(h, \tau_h)$, then $A \in HFC(X)$.

Proposition 28. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space; let $h \in HFC(X)$; and let $A \subset h$. Then, $cl_{\tau_h}(A) = h^ncl_H(A)$, where $cl_{\tau_h}(A)$ denotes the closure of $A$ in $(h, \tau_h)$.

Proof. It is clear that $cl_H(A)$ is closed in $X$. Then, by Theorem 13, $h^ncl_H(A)$ is closed in $(h, \tau_h)$. Since $A \subset h$ and $A \subset cl_H(A)$, $A \subset h^ncl_H(A)$. Since:

$$cl_{\tau_h}(A) = \bigcap\{F \in HS(X) : A \subset F \text{ and } F \text{ is closed in } (h, \tau_h)\},$$

$cl_{\tau_h}(A) \subset h^ncl_H(A)$. Since $cl_{\tau_h}(A)$ is closed in $(h, \tau_h)$, by Theorem 13, there is $F \in HFC(X)$ such that $cl_{\tau_h}(A) = h^nF$. Since $A \subset cl_{\tau_h}(A)$, $A \subset F$. Since $F \in HFC(X)$, $cl_H(A) \subset F$. Thus, $h^ncl_H(A) \subset h^nF = cl_{\tau_h}(A)$. Therefore, $cl_{\tau_h}(A) = h^ncl_H(A)$. This completes the proof. □

From Definitions 13 and 29, we have the following result.

Theorem 14. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space; let $h \in HFC(X)$; let $N \subset h$; and let $a_\lambda \in h$. Then, $N \in HFN(h, \tau_h)(a_\lambda)$ if and only if there is $U \in HFN(a_\lambda)$ such that $N = U \cap h$, where $HFN(h, \tau_h)(a_\lambda)$ denotes the set of all neighborhoods of $a_\lambda$ in $(h, \tau_h)$.

Let $X$ be a nonempty set, and let $A$ be a subset of $X$. Then, we can consider $A$ as the mapping $A : X \to P[0,1]$ defined by: for each $x \in X$,

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} [0,1] & \text{if } x \in A \\ \phi & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this case, $A$ is also a hesitant fuzzy set, and we will write $A$ as $h^nA$.

Remark 4. Let $(X, \tau)$ be a hesitant fuzzy topological space, and let $A \subset X$. Then, we can easily see that the collection $\tau_A = \{U \cap h^nA : U \in \tau\}$ is a hesitant fuzzy subspace topology on $A$. Furthermore, we can see that all the propositions and all theorems obtained in the above hold in $(A, \tau_A)$. 

Proposition 29. Let $(X, \tau)$, $(Y, \sigma)$ be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces, and let $A \subset X$, $B \subset Y$.

1. The inclusion mapping $i : (A, \tau_A) \to (X, \tau)$ is continuous.
2. If $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is continuous, then $f |_A : (A, \tau_A) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is continuous.
3. If $f : (X, \tau) \to (B, \sigma_b)$ is continuous, then the mapping $g : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ defined by $g(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in X$ is continuous.
4. If $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ and $f(X) \subset B$, then the mapping $g : (X, \tau) \to (B, \sigma_b)$ defined by $g(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in X$ is continuous.

Proof. (1) Let $V \in \tau$. Then, clearly, $i^{-1}(V) = V \cap h^nA$. Thus, $i^{-1}(V) \in \tau_A$. Therefore, $i$ is continuous.
Then, clearly, \((f_A)^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(V) \bar{h}_b^A\). Since \(f\) is continuous, \(f^{-1}(V) \in \tau\). Thus, \((f_A)^{-1}(V) \in \tau\). Therefore, \((f_A)^{-1}(V) \in \tau\).

(3) Let \(V \in \sigma\). Then clearly, \(V \bar{h}_b^A \in \sigma\). Since \(f\) is continuous,

\[
f^{-1}(V \bar{h}_b^A) = f^{-1}(V) \bar{h}_b^A = f^{-1}(V) \bar{h}_b^X = f^{-1}(V) \in \tau.
\]

Thus, by the definition of \(g\), \(g^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(V)\). Therefore, \(g^{-1}(V) \in \tau\). Hence, \(g\) is continuous.

(4) Suppose \(f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) and \(f(X) \subset B\). Let \(V \in \sigma\). Then, there is \(U \in \sigma\) such that \(V = U \bar{h}_b^B\). Thus, by the hypothesis, \(f^{-1}(U) \in \tau\). Since \(f(X) \subset B\), by the definition of \(g\),

\[
g^{-1}(V) = g^{-1}(U \bar{h}_b^B) = g^{-1}(U) \bar{h}_b^A = f^{-1}(U) \bar{h}_b^X = f^{-1}(U).
\]

Therefore, \(g^{-1}(V) \in \tau\). Hence, \(g\) is continuous.

**Proposition 30.** Let \((X, \tau), \ (Y, \sigma)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces, and let \(f : X \to Y\) be a mapping. Let \((U_j)_{j \in J}\) be any family of subsets of \(X\) such that \(X = \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j\), and let \((U_j)_{j \in J} \subset \tau\). If \(f|_{U_j} : (U_j, \tau_{U_j}) \to (Y, \sigma)\) is continuous, for each \(j \in J\), then \(f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) is continuous.

**Proof.** Let \(V \in \sigma\). Then, by the hypothesis, \((f|_{U_j})^{-1}(V) \in \tau_{U_j}\). Since \(U_j \in \tau\), by Proposition 26, \((f|_{U_j})^{-1}(V) \in \tau\). On the other hand, \(f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{j \in J} (f|_{U_j})^{-1}(V)\). Thus, \(f^{-1}(V) \in \tau\). Therefore, \(f\) is continuous. 

**Proposition 31.** (Pasting lemma.) Let \((X, \tau), \ (Y, \sigma)\) be hesitant fuzzy topological spaces, and let \(A, B \subset X\) such that \(X = A \cup B\) and \(A, B \in HFC(X)\). Let \(f : (A, \tau_A) \to (Y, \sigma)\) and \(g : (B, \tau_B) \to (Y, \sigma)\) be continuous mappings such that \(f(x) = g(x)\) for each \(x \in A \cap B\). Then, the mapping \(h : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) defined by \(h(x) = f(x)\) for each \(x \in A\) and \(h(x) = g(x)\) for each \(x \in B\) is continuous.

**Proof.** Let \(F \in HFC(Y)\). Since \(f\) and \(g\) are continuous, by Theorem 10, \(f^{-1}(F)\) is closed in \((A, \tau_A)\), and \(g^{-1}(F)\) is closed in \((B, \tau_B)\). Since \(A, B \in HFC(X)\), by Proposition 26, \(f^{-1}(F), g^{-1}(F) \in HFC(X)\). Then, \(h^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}(F) \bar{g}^{-1}(F) \in HFC(X)\). Thus, by Theorem 10, \(h\) is continuous.

7. Hesitant Fuzzy Product Topologies and Initial Topologies

We define a hesitant fuzzy product topology and prove that there exists an initial structure in hesitant fuzzy topological spaces (See Theorem 16).

**Definition 30.** Let \((X_j, \tau_j)_{j \in J}\) be a family of hesitant fuzzy topological spaces; let \(X = \prod_{j \in J} X_j\); and let \((\pi_j : X \to X_j)_{j \in J}\) be a family of projections. For each \(j \in J\), let \(S_j = \{\pi_j^{-1}(U) : U \in \tau_j\}\), and let \(S = \bigcup_{j \in J} S_j\). Then, \(S\) is a sub-base for a hesitant fuzzy topology \(\tau\) on \(X\) induced by \((\pi_j : X \to (X_j, \tau_j))_{j \in J}\).

In this case, \(\tau\) is called the hesitant fuzzy product topology on \(X\) and will be denoted by \(\prod_{j \in J} \tau_j\). The pair \((X, \tau)\) is called a hesitant fuzzy product space.

**Proposition 32.** Let \((X_j, \tau_j)_{j \in J}\) be a family of hesitant fuzzy topological spaces. Then, the hesitant fuzzy product topology \(\prod_{j \in J} \tau_j\) is the coarsest hesitant fuzzy topology on \(\prod_{j \in J} X_j\) for which each \(\pi_i : \prod_{j \in J} X_j \to (X_i, \tau_i)\) is continuous.

**Proof.** Let \(S\) be the sub-base for the hesitant fuzzy product topology \(\prod_{j \in J} \tau_j\). For each \(i \in J\), let \(U \in \tau_i\). Then clearly, \(\pi_i^{-1}(U) \in S\). Since \(S \subset \prod_{j \in J} \tau_j, \pi_i^{-1}(U) \in \prod_{j \in J} \tau_j\). Thus, by Theorem 10 (3), \(\pi_i\) is continuous, for each \(i \in J\).
Suppose \( \tau \) is any hesitant fuzzy topology on \( \prod_{j \in J} X_j \) for which each \( \pi_j \) is continuous, where \( \pi_i : (\prod_{j \in J} X_j, \prod_{j \in J} \tau_j) \to (X_j, \tau_j) \) is the projection mapping. Let \( S \in \tau \subseteq \prod_{j \in J} \tau_j \). Then, there are \( j \in J \) and \( U \in \tau_j \) such that \( S = \pi_j^{-1}(U) \). Thus, by the hypothesis, \( \pi_j^{-1}(U) \in \tau \), i.e., \( S \in \tau \). Therefore, \( \prod_{j \in J} \tau_j \in \tau \).

**Theorem 15.** Let \( (Y_j, \tau_j)_{j \in J} \) be a family of hesitant fuzzy topological spaces, and let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space. Then, a mapping \( f : (X, \tau) \to (\prod_{j \in J} Y_j, \prod_{j \in J} \tau_j) \) is continuous if and only if \( \pi_j \circ f : (X, \tau) \to (Y_j, \tau_j) \) is continuous, for each \( j \in J \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( f \) is continuous, and let \( j \in J \). Then, by Proposition 32, \( \pi_j \) is continuous, where \( \pi_j : (\prod_{j \in J} Y_j, \prod_{j \in J} \tau_j) \to (Y_j, \tau_j) \) is the projection mapping. Thus, by Proposition 20, \( \pi_j \circ f \) is continuous.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds, and let \( S \) be the sub-base for the hesitant fuzzy product topology \( \prod_{j \in J} \tau_j \) on \( \prod_{j \in J} Y_j \) given by Definition 30. For each \( j \in J \) and each \( U \in \tau_j \), let \( \pi_j^{-1}(U) \in S \). Then, \( f^{-1}(\pi_j^{-1}(U)) = (\pi_j \circ f)^{-1}(U) \). Thus, by the hypothesis, \( (\pi_j \circ f)^{-1}(U) \in \tau \). Therefore, \( f^{-1}(\pi_j^{-1}(U)) \in \tau \). Hence, by Theorem 10 (3), \( f \) is continuous.

The following is the immediate result of Theorem 15.

**Corollary 4.** Let \( (Y_j, \tau_j)_{j \in J} \) be a family of hesitant fuzzy topological spaces; let \((X, \tau)\) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space and for each \( j \in J \); let \( f_j : X \to Y_j \) be a mapping. We define a mapping \( f : X \to \prod_{j \in J} Y_j \) as follows:

\[
\text{for each } x \in X \text{ and each } j \in J, \text{ the value of } f(x) \text{ at } j \text{ is } f_j(x).
\]

Then, \( f \) continuous if and only if \( f_j \) is continuous, for each \( j \in J \).

Proposition 32 is the motivation of the following definition.

**Definition 31.** Let \( X \) be a nonempty set; let \( (X_j, \tau_j)_{j \in J} \) be a family of hesitant fuzzy topological spaces; and let \( (f_j : X \to (X_j, \tau_j))_{j \in J} \) be a family of mappings. For each \( j \in J \), let \( S_j = \{f_j^{-1}(U) : U \in \tau_j\} \), and let \( S = \bigcup_{j \in J} S_j \). Then, the coarsest hesitant fuzzy topology \( \tau \) on \( X \) with the sub-base \( S \) for which each \( f_j : (X, \tau) \to (X_j, \tau_j) \) is continuous.

Especially, \( \tau \) is called the hesitant fuzzy initial (or weak) topology on \( X \) induced by \( (f_j : X \to (X_j, \tau_j))_{j \in J} \).

From Proposition 32, we can easily see that the hesitant fuzzy product topology \( \prod_{j \in J} \tau_j \) on \( \prod_{j \in J} X_j \) is the hesitant fuzzy initial topology induced by the family \( (\pi_j : \prod_{j \in J} X_j \to X_j)_{j \in J} \) of projection mappings. By Theorem 15, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 16.** Let \( (X_j, \tau_j)_{j \in J} \) be a family of hesitant fuzzy topological spaces; let \( x \) be a set and for each \( j \in J \); let \( f_j : X \to (X_j, \tau_j) \) be a mapping. Let \( \tau \) be the hesitant fuzzy initial topology on \( X \) with the sub-base \( S \) induced by \( (f_j : X \to (X_j, \tau_j))_{j \in J} \), where \( S = \{f_j^{-1}(U) : U \in \tau_j, j \in J\} \). Let \( (Y, \sigma) \) be a hesitant fuzzy topological space. Then, a mapping \( f : (Y, \sigma) \to (X, \tau) \) is continuous if and only if \( f_j \circ f : (Y, \sigma) \to (X_j, \tau_j) \) is continuous, for each \( j \in J \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( f \) is continuous, and let \( j \in J \). Then, by the definition of the hesitant fuzzy initial topology, \( f_j : (X, \tau) \to (Y_j, \tau_j) \) is continuous. Thus, by Proposition 20, \( f_j \circ f \) is continuous.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds, and let \( S \) be the sub-base for the hesitant fuzzy initial topology \( \tau \) on \( X \) given by Definition 31. For each \( j \in J \) and each \( U \in \tau_j \), let \( f_j^{-1}(U) \in S \). Then, \( f^{-1}(f_j^{-1}(U)) = (f_j \circ f)^{-1}(U) \). Thus, by the hypothesis, \( (f_j \circ f)^{-1}(U) \in \tau \). Therefore, \( f^{-1}(f_j^{-1}(U)) \in \tau \). Hence, by Theorem 10 (3), \( f \) is continuous.
Proposition 33. Let \((X_j, \tau_j)\) be a family of hesitant fuzzy topological spaces; let \(X\) be a set and for each \(j \in J\); let \(f_j : X \rightarrow (X_j, \tau_j)\) be a mapping. Let \(\tau\) be the hesitant fuzzy initial topology on \(X\) with the sub-base \(S\) induced by \((f_j : X \rightarrow (X_j, \tau_j))\)\(\in J\), and let \(A \subset X\). Then, \(\tau_h^*_A\) is the hesitant fuzzy initial topology on \(A\) induced by \((f_j |_A)\)\(\in J\).

**Proof.** Let \(\delta\) be the hesitant fuzzy initial topology on \(A\) induced by \((f_j |_A)\)\(\in J\), and let \(S' = \{(f_j |_A)^{-1}(U_j) : U_j \in \tau_j, j \in J\}\). Then, clearly, \(S'\) is a sub-base for \(\delta\). In order to prove that \(\delta \subset \tau_h^*_A\), for each \(j \in J\) and \(U_j \in \tau_j\), let \((f_j |_A)^{-1}(U_j) \in S'\). Then, clearly, \((f_j |_A)^{-1}(U_j) = f_j^{-1}(U) \cap h^1_A\). Since \(\tau\) is the hesitant fuzzy initial topology on \(X\) induced by \((f_j : X \rightarrow (Y_j, \tau_j))\)\(\in J\), \(f_j^{-1}(U_j) \in \tau\), thus, by Remark 4, \(f_j^{-1}(U_j) \cap h^1_A \in \tau_h^*_A\). Therefore, \((f_j |_A)^{-1}(U_j) \in \tau_h^*_A\). Hence, \(\delta \subset \tau_h^*_A\).

Now, let us show that \(\tau_h^*_A \subset \delta\). For each \(j \in J\) and \(U_j \in \tau_j\), let \(f_j^{-1}(U_j) \in S \subset \tau\). Then, clearly, \(f_j^{-1}(U_j) \cap h^1_A \in \delta\) and \(f_j^{-1}(U_j) \cap h^1_A = (f_j |_A)^{-1}(U_j)\). Thus, \((f_j |_A)^{-1}(U_j) \in \delta\). Therefore, \(\tau_h^*_A \subset \delta\). This completes the proof. \(\Box\)

8. Conclusions

We defined a hesitant fuzzy topology, a hesitant fuzzy base and sub-base, a hesitant fuzzy neighborhood and Q-neighborhood, a hesitant fuzzy closure and interior, a hesitant fuzzy continuous mapping, a hesitant fuzzy subspace, and a hesitant fuzzy product space, and obtained some of their properties, respectively. In the future, we will try to separate the axioms, compactness, and connectedness in hesitant fuzzy topological space.
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