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#### Abstract

We study properties of generalized solutions of the Dirichlet-Robin problem for an elasticity system in the exterior of a compact, as well as the asymptotic behavior of solutions of this mixed problem at infinity, with the condition that the energy integral with the weight $|x|^{a}$ is finite. Depending on the value of the parameter $a$, we have proved uniqueness (or non-uniqueness) theorems for the mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem, and also given exact formulas for the dimension of the space of solutions. The main method for studying the problem under consideration is the variational principle, which assumes the minimization of the corresponding functional in the class of admissible functions.
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## 1. Introduction

Dedicated to the blessed memory of my parents who went to heaven this year.
Let $\Omega$ be an unbounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2, \Omega=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \bar{G}$ with the boundary $\partial \Omega \in C^{1}$, where $G$ is a bounded simply connected domain (or a union of finitely many such domains) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \Omega \cup \partial \Omega=\bar{\Omega}$ is the closure of $\Omega, x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),|x|=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}}, u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$.

In the domain $\Omega$, we consider the linear system of elasticity theory

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \equiv(L u)_{i}=\sum_{j, k, h=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{h}}\right)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in what follows, we assume summation from 1 to $n$ over repeated indices. We also assume that the coefficients are constant and the following conditions hold:

$$
a_{k h}^{i j}=a_{h k}^{j i}=a_{i h^{\prime}}^{k j} \quad \lambda_{1}|\xi|^{2} \leq a_{k h}^{i j} \xi_{k}^{i} \xi_{h}^{j} \leq \lambda_{2}|\xi|^{2}
$$

where $\xi$ is an arbitrary symmetric matrix, $\left\{\tilde{\zeta}_{k}^{i}\right\}, \quad \xi_{k}^{i}=\xi_{i}^{k}, \quad|\xi|^{2}=\xi_{k}^{i} \xi^{i}{ }_{k}^{\prime} \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are positive constants.
We consider the following boundary-value problem for the system (1): find a vector-valued function $u$ that satisfies (1) in $\Omega$ along with the homogeneous Dirichlet-Robin boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\quad(\sigma(u)+\tau u)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}_{1} \cup \bar{\Gamma}_{2}=\partial \Omega, \Gamma_{1} \cap \Gamma_{2}=\varnothing, \operatorname{mes}_{n-1} \Gamma_{1} \neq 0, \sigma(u)=\left(\sigma_{1}(u), \ldots, \sigma_{n}(u)\right), \sigma_{i}(u) \equiv a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{j}(x)}{\partial x_{h}} v_{k}$, $i=1, \ldots, n, v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ is the unit outward normal vector to $\partial \Omega, \tau$ is an infinitely differentiable function on $\partial \Omega$ with uniformly bounded derivatives, and $\tau \geq 0, \tau \not \equiv 0$.

General boundary value problems for elliptic systems in domains with smooth boundaries were studied in [1-4]. Boundary value problems for the elasticity system in bounded domains are quite well studied. A presentation of the basic facts of this theory can be found in Fichera's monograph [5]. In [6-8], Kondratiev and Oleinik established generalizations of Korn's inequality and Hardy's inequality for bounded domains and a large class of unbounded domains, and applied these to investigate the main boundary value problems for the elasticity system, which were also considered in [9,10]. The paper [10] uses Korn's inequality and Hardy's inequality to study the uniqueness and stability of generalized solutions of mixed boundary value problems for the elasticity system in an unbounded domain provided that $E(u, \Omega)$ is finite.

In $[11,12]$, shells of variable thickness are considered in three-dimensional Euclidean space around surfaces that have a limited principal curvature. Here the author derives the Korn interpolation inequality, the inequality also introduced in [13], and the second Korn inequality in domains in which no boundary or normalization conditions are imposed on the vector function $u$. The constants in the estimates are asymptotically optimal in terms of the thickness of the region. Note that this is the first paper that defines the asymptotic behavior of the optimal constant in the classical Korn second inequality for shells over the thickness of the domain in almost complete generality, and the inequality holds for almost all thin domains. In [14], the author extends the $L^{2}$ Korn interpolation inequality, as well as the second Korn inequalities, in thin domains, proved in [12], to the space $L^{p}$ for any $1<p<\infty$. Note the paper [15], in which the authors prove asymptotically sharp weighted Korn and Korn-type inequalities in thin domains with singular weights. The choice of weights is based on some factors; in particular, the spatial case arises when transforming Cartesian variables to polar change of variables in two dimensions.

In [16], a regularity result is proved for a system of linear elasticity theory with mixed boundary conditions on a curved polyhedral domain in weighted Sobolev spaces, for which the weight is determined by the distance to the set of edges. These results are then extended to other strongly elliptic systems and higher dimensions.

In $[17,18]$ methods are proposed that allow one to construct the asymptotics of solutions of the Laplace and poly-Laplace equations in a neighborhood of singular points, which are zero and infinity, as well as the asymptotics of these equations on manifolds with singularities. In [19], asymptotics were constructed for the solution of the Laplace equation on manifolds with a beak-type singularity in a neighborhood of the singular point.

We also note the papers [20-22], in which the basic boundary value problems and problems with mixed boundary conditions for the biharmonic (polyharmonic) equation are studied. In particular, the existence and uniqueness of solutions in the ball were established, and necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of boundary value problems for the biharmonic (polyharmonic) equation, including those with a polynomial right-hand side, were obtained.

It is well known that if $\Omega$ is unbounded, then one must also characterize the behavior of a solution at infinity. This is usually done by requiring that the Dirichlet integral $D(u, \Omega)$ or the energy integral $E(u, \Omega)$ be finite, or a condition on the nature of the decay of the modulus of a solution as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

In this paper we study the properties of generalized solutions of the mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem for the elasticity system in an unbounded domain $\Omega$ with a finiteness condition of the weighted energy integral:

$$
E_{a}(u, \Omega) \equiv \int_{\Omega}|x|^{a} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2} d x<\infty, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Imposing the same constraint on the behavior of the solution at infinity in various classes of unbounded domains, the author [23-37] studied the uniqueness (non-uniqueness) problem and found the dimensions of the spaces of solutions of boundary value problems for the elasticity system and the biharmonic (polyharmonic) equation.

The main research method for constructing solutions to the mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem is the variational principle, which assumes the minimization of the corresponding functional in the class of admissible functions. Further, using Korn's and Hardy's-type inequalities [6-8], we obtain a criterion for the uniqueness (or non-uniqueness) of solutions to this problem in weighted spaces.

This article contains proofs of the results announced in [36].
Notation: $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is the space of infinitely differentiable functions in $\Omega$ with compact support in $\Omega$.

We denote by $H^{1}(\Omega, \Gamma), \Gamma \subset \bar{\Omega}$ the Sobolev space of functions in $\Omega$ obtained by the completion of $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ vanishing in a neighborhood of $\Gamma$ with respect to the norm

$$
\left\|u ; H^{1}(\Omega, \Gamma)\right\|=\left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1}\left|\partial^{\alpha} u\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $\partial^{\alpha} u=\partial^{|\alpha|} u / \partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}, \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ is a multi-index, $\alpha_{j} \geq 0$ are integers, and $|\alpha|=$ $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$; if $\Gamma=\varnothing$, we denote $H^{1}(\Omega, \Gamma)$ by $H^{1}(\Omega)$.
$\stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ is the space of functions in $\Omega$ obtained by the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\left\|u ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right\|$;
$\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ is the space of functions in $\Omega$ obtained by the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the family of semi-norms

$$
\left\|u ; H^{1}\left(\Omega \cap B_{0}(R)\right)\right\|=\left(\int_{\Omega \cap B_{0}(R)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1}\left|\partial^{\alpha} u\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all open balls $B_{0}(R):=\{x:|x|<R\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for which $\Omega \cap B_{0}(R) \neq \varnothing$.
We set $\partial^{\alpha} u=\partial^{|\alpha|} u / \partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$, with $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$, where $\alpha_{i} \geq 0$ are integers, and $|\alpha|=$ $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(u, \Omega)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x, \quad E(u, \Omega)=\int_{\Omega}|\varepsilon(u)|^{2} d x \\
D_{a}(u, \Omega)=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}|\nabla u|^{2} d x, \quad E_{a}(u, \Omega)=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}|\varepsilon(u)|^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\nabla u|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)^{2}, \quad|\varepsilon(u)|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}, \\
& \Omega_{R}=\Omega \cap\{x:|x|<R\}, \quad \partial \Omega_{R}=\partial \Omega \cup\{x:|x|=R\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the cone $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with vertex at $x_{0}$ we mean a domain such that if $x-x_{0} \in K$, then $\lambda\left(x-x_{0}\right) \in K$ for all $\lambda>0$. We assume that the origin $x_{0}=0$ lies outside $\bar{\Omega}$.

Let $\binom{n}{k}$ be the $(n, k)$-binomial coefficient, $\binom{n}{k}=0$ for $k>n$.

## 2. Definitions and Auxiliary Statements

Definition 1. A solution of the system (1) in $\Omega$ is a vector-valued function $u \in H_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that for any vector-valued function $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the following integral identity holds

$$
\int_{\Omega} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} d x=0
$$

Before proceeding to the consideration of the boundary value problem (1), (2), we establish two auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $u$ be a solution of the system (1) in $\Omega$ such that $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=P(x)+\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x), x \in \Omega \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(x)$ is a polynomial, ord $P(x) \leq m=\max \{1,1-n / 2-a / 2\}, \Gamma(x)$ is the fundamental solution of the system (1), $C_{\alpha}=$ const, $\beta_{0}=1-n / 2+a / 2, \beta \geq 0$ is an integer, and the function $u^{\beta}$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\left|\partial^{\gamma} u^{\beta}(x)\right| \leq C_{\gamma \beta}(a, u)|x|^{1-n-\beta-|\gamma|}
$$

for every multi-index $\gamma, C_{\gamma \beta}=$ const.
Remark 1. It is known [38], that there exists a fundamental solution $\Gamma(x)$, which for $n>2$ has the following estimate

$$
\left|\partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x)\right| \leq C(\alpha)|x|^{2-n-|\alpha|}, \quad C(\alpha)=\text { const }
$$

For $n=2$ the fundamental solution has a representation $\Gamma(x)=S(x) \ln |x|+T(x)$, where $S(x)$ and $T(x)$ are square matrices of order 2 whose entries are homogeneous functions of order zero [39].

Proof of Lemma 1. Consider the vector-valued function $v(x)=\theta_{N}(x) u(x)$, where $\theta_{N}(x)=\theta(|x| / N)$, $\theta \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, \theta(s)=0$ for $s \leq 1, \theta(s)=1$ for $s \geq 2$, and also $N \gg 1$ and $G \subset\{x:|x|<N\}$. We extend $v$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by setting $v=0$ on $G=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$. Then the vector-valued function $v$ belongs to $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and satisfies the system

$$
L v=F_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $F_{i} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \operatorname{supp} F_{i} \subset\{x:|x|<2 N\}$. It is easy to see that $E_{a}\left(v, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)<\infty$. If $a+n \neq 0$, then Korn's inequality ([7], $\S 3$, inequality (1)) implies that $v(x)=w(x)+A x$, where $A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix and $w$ satisfies $D_{a}(w, \Omega)<\infty$.

We can now use Theorem 1 of [40] since it is based on Lemma 2 of [40], which imposes no constraints on the sign of $\sigma^{\prime}$. Hence the expansion

$$
w(x)=P_{0}(x)+\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+w^{\beta}(x)
$$

holds for each $a$, where $P_{0}(x)$ is a polynomial, ord $P_{0}(x) \leq \max \{1,1-n / 2-a / 2\}, C_{\alpha}=$ const, $\beta_{0}=1-n / 2+a / 2$ and

$$
\left|\partial^{\gamma} w^{\beta}(x)\right| \leq C_{\gamma \beta}|x|^{1-n-\beta-|\gamma|}, \quad C_{\gamma \beta}=\text { const }
$$

Hence, by the definition of $v$, we obtain (3) with $P(x)=P_{0}(x)+A x$.
Now let $a+n=0$. Then for each $\delta>0$,

$$
E_{-n-\delta}\left(v, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq E_{-n}\left(v, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)<\infty
$$

By Korn's inequality ([7], §3, inequality (1)), there exists a constant skew-symmetric matrix $A$ such that

$$
D_{-n-\delta}\left(v-A x, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq C E_{-n-\delta}\left(v, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)<\infty
$$

where the constant $C$ is independent of $v(x)$. Hence, using Theorem 1 of [40], we get

$$
v(x)-A x=P_{0}(x)+\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+v^{\beta}(x),
$$

where $P_{0}(x)$ is a polynomial, ord $P_{0}(x) \leq 1, C_{\alpha}=$ const, $\beta_{0}=1-n / 2+a / 2$ and

$$
\left|\partial^{\gamma} v^{\beta}(x)\right| \leq C_{\gamma \beta}|x|^{1-n-\beta-|\gamma|}, \quad C_{\gamma \beta}=\text { const } .
$$

Thus,

$$
v(x)-A x=P_{0}(x)+\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+v^{\beta}(x),
$$

which proves the Lemma for $a=-n$.
Lemma 2. Let $u$ be a solution of the system (1) in $\Omega$ such that $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ for some $a \geq 0$. Then for all $x \in \Omega$ equality (3) holds with $u^{\beta}$, satisfying an estimate similar to that in Lemma 1; in addition, $P(x)=A x+B$, where $A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix and $B$ is a constant vector.

Proof. Let $u$ be a solution of the system (1) in $\Omega$. Then by Lemma 1 , we have

$$
u(x)=P(x)+R(x)
$$

where $P(x)$ is a polynomial, ord $P(x) \leq 1$, and

$$
R(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x), \quad R(x)=O\left(|x|^{2-n}\right), \quad n>2 .
$$

Let us prove that $P(x)=A x+B$, where $A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix and $B$ is a constant vector. Obviously, if $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ and $a \geq 0$, then $E(u, \Omega)<\infty$.

Assume that $n>2$. It is easy to verify that $E(R(x), \Omega)<\infty$ for $n>2$. Hence $E(P(x), \Omega)<\infty$ by the triangle inequality.

Let $P(x)=A x+B$, that is, $P_{i}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}+b_{i}$. Then

$$
E(P(x), \Omega)=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}+a_{j i}\right)^{2} d x
$$

where the $a_{i j}$ are the entries of $A$. The last integral converges if and only if $a_{i j}=-a_{j i}$, that is, $A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix.

We consider now the case $n=2$. It is known [39] that $\Gamma(x)=S(x) \ln |x|+T(x)$, where $S(x)$ and $T(x)$ are $2 \times 2$ matrices whose entries are homogeneous functions of order zero. Then $\nabla \Gamma(x)=$ $O\left(|x|^{-1} \ln |x|\right)$, and therefore, $\nabla R(x)=O\left(|x|^{-1} \ln |x|\right)$. Assume that there exists $k$ and $l$ such that $a_{k l}+a_{l k} \neq 0$. Then

$$
\left|\varepsilon_{k l}(u)\right|=\left|a_{k l}+a_{l k}+O\left(|x|^{-1} \ln |x|\right)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|a_{k l}+a_{l k}\right| \quad \text { for } \quad|x| \gg 1
$$

Hence,

$$
\infty>E(u, \Omega)=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|\varepsilon_{i j}(u)\right|^{2} d x \geq \int_{\Omega}\left|\varepsilon_{k l}(u)\right|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{|x|>H}\left|a_{k l}+a_{l k}\right|^{2} d x=\infty
$$

This contradiction demonstrates that $a_{k l}=-a_{l k}$ for all $k$ and $l$, which completes the proof.
Definition 2. A solution of the mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem (1), (2) is a vector-valued function $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{l o c}\left(\Omega, \Gamma_{1}\right)$, such that for each vector-valued function $\varphi \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{l o c}^{1}\left(\Omega, \Gamma_{1}\right) \cap C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the following integral identity holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau u \varphi d s=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$ be the space of generalized solutions of the mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem (1), (2), that have a finite energy integral, that is,

$$
\operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)=\left\{u: L u=0,\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.(\sigma(u)+\tau u)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, E(u, \Omega)<\infty\right\}
$$

We set by definition

$$
\operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=\left\{u: L u=0,\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.(\sigma(u)+\tau u)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty\right\}
$$

Let $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$ be dimensions of $\operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$, respectively. We shall calculate the values of $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$ in their dependence on the parameter $a$.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 1. The mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem (1), (2) with the condition $E(u, \Omega)<\infty$ has $n(n+1) / 2$ linearly independent solutions if $n \geq 3$, and at least one linearly independent solution if $n=2$.

Proof. Step 1. Let $n \geq 3$. For any constant skew-symmetric matrix $A$, we construct a generalized solution $u_{A}$ of the Dirichlet-Robin problem for the system (1) in $\Omega$ with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{A}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=A x,\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{A}\right)+\tau u_{A}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the conditions $E\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty, D\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{A}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a solution may be constructed by the variational method, minimizing the functional

$$
\Phi(v) \equiv \int_{\Omega} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial v_{j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} d x
$$

in the class of admissible functions $\left\{v: v \in H^{1}(\Omega),\left.v\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=A x, \quad v\right.$ has compact support in $\left.\bar{\Omega}\right\}$. The boundedness of the Dirichlet follows from Korn's inequality ([7]; §3, inequality (43)). Condition (6) is a consequence of Hardy's inequality [7].

Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p}, p=\left(n^{2}-n\right) / 2$, be linearly independent constant skew-symmetric $n \times n$-matrices. We consider the solutions $u_{A_{1}}, \ldots, u_{A_{p}}$.

Step 2. Now in the same way, for any constant vector $\vec{e}=\vec{e}_{k} \neq 0$,

$$
e_{k}=\left(e_{k}^{1}, \ldots, e_{k}^{n}\right), \quad e_{k}^{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & k=j, \\
0, & k \neq j,
\end{array} \quad k, j=1, \ldots, n,\right.
$$

we construct a generalized solution $u_{e_{k}}$ of the Dirichlet-Robin problem for the system (1) with the boundary conditions

$$
\left.u_{e_{k}}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\vec{e}_{k},\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{e_{k}}\right)+\tau u_{e_{k}}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0
$$

and with conditions $E\left(u_{e_{k}}, \Omega\right)<\infty, \quad D\left(u_{e_{k}}, \Omega\right)<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{e_{k}}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a solution may be constructed by a variational method, minimizing the corresponding functional in the class of functions $\left\{v \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}),\left.v_{e}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\vec{e}, v\right.$ has compact support in $\left.\bar{\Omega}\right\}$. The boundedness of the Dirichlet follows from Korn's inequality ([7]; §3, inequality (43)). Condition (7) follows from Hardy's inequality ([7]; §3, inequality (27)).

Step 3. The solutions $u_{A_{1}}-A_{1} x, \ldots, u_{A_{p}}-A_{p} x, u_{e_{1}}-e_{1}, \ldots, u_{e_{n}}-e_{n}$ are linearly independent. Indeed, if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{i}\left(u_{A_{i}}-A_{i} x\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\left(u_{e_{i}}-e_{i}\right)=0
$$

where $C_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ are constants, then $v \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{i} A_{i} x \equiv 0$, since

$$
v=\sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{i} u_{A_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\left(u_{e_{i}}-e_{i}\right)
$$

has a finite Dirichlet integral $D(v, \Omega)<\infty$. Therefore $C_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, p$. Hence

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} u_{e_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} e_{i}=B
$$

where $B$ is a constant vector. Since the $u_{e_{i}}$ satisfy (7), we have $B=0$. The vectors $e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ are linearly independent, and therefore $c_{i}=0, i=1$, $\qquad$
Thus, we have proved that the homogenous Dirichlet-Robin problem has at least $n(n+2) / 2$ linearly independent generalized solutions.

Step 4. Let us now prove that any generalized solution $u$ of the homogenous Dirichlet-Robin problem with the condition $E(u, \Omega)<\infty$ is a linear combination of the constructed solutions. According to Korn's inequality ([7]; §3, inequality (43)), there is skew-symmetric matrix $A$ such that

$$
D(u-A x, \Omega) \leq C E(u, \Omega), \quad C=\text { const } .
$$

Let $A=\sum_{i=1}^{p} m_{i} A_{i}, m_{i}=$ const, $i=1, \ldots, p$. For the function $v=u+\left(u_{A}-A x\right)$ we have $D(v, \Omega)<\infty$, since $D\left(u_{A_{i}}, \Omega\right)<\infty$. Hardy's inequality implies that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|v-B|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x \leq C D(v, \Omega)<\infty, \quad C=\text { const }
$$

where $B$ is a constant vector.
Let $B=\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} e_{i}$. We set $w=u+\left(u_{A}-A x\right)+\left(u_{B}-B\right)$, where $u_{B}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} u_{e_{i}}$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.w\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\quad(\sigma(w)+\tau w)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, \\
D(w, \Omega)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}|w|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us show that $w \equiv 0$. We substitute in the integral identity (4) for $w$ the vector-valued function $\varphi=w \theta_{N}(x)$, where $\theta_{N}(x)=\theta(|x| / N), \theta(s)=1$ for $s \leq 1, \theta(s)=0$ for $s \geq 2, \theta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(w) \theta_{N}(x) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|w|^{2} \theta_{N}(x) d s=-\int_{\Omega} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial \theta_{N}(x)}{\partial x_{k}} w d x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}(w) \equiv a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}$.
We claim that the right-hand side of (8) approaches zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields that

$$
\left|-\int_{\Omega} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial \theta_{N}(x)}{\partial x_{k}} w d x\right| \leq 2 C J_{1}(w) J_{2}(w)
$$

where

$$
J_{1}(w) \equiv\left(\int_{\{x:|x|>N\}}|\nabla w|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad J_{2}(w) \equiv\left(\int_{\{x: N<|x|<2 N\}}|w|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\Omega}|w|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty, \quad D(w, \Omega)<\infty
$$

it follows that $J_{2}(w) \rightarrow 0$ and $J_{1}(w) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(w) \theta_{N}(x) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|w|^{2} \theta_{N}(x) d s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad N \rightarrow \infty
$$

Using the integral identity

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(w) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|w|^{2} d s=0
$$

we find that if $w$ is a solution of the homogeneous problem (1), (2), then $w=A_{1} x+B_{1}$. The set of points where $A_{1} x+B_{1}=0$ is a linear manifold of dimension less than $n-1$, since the rank of the matrix $A_{1}$ is $\geq 2$ if $A_{1} \not \equiv 0$. Consequently, $w=0$. This conclusion follows from the fact that

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|w|^{2} d s=0
$$

and hence $w \equiv 0$ on a subset of $\partial \Omega$ of positive measure. This means that $u=-\left(u_{A}-A x\right)-\left(u_{B}-B\right)$. The theorem is proved for $n \geq 3$.

Let now $n=2$. For a nontrivial constant skew-symmetric matrix $A$, we construct a generalized solution $u_{A}$ of the Dirichlet-Robin problem for the system (1) in $\Omega$ with the boundary conditions (5), minimizing the corresponding functional $\Phi(v)$ in the class of functions $\left\{v: v \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}),\left.v_{A}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=A x, v\right.$ has a compact support in $\left.\bar{\Omega}\right\}$. This solution satisfies $E\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ and $D\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$. By Hardy's inequality [6] we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x|>N}\left|u_{A}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \gg 1$ is such that $G \subset\{x:|x|<N\}$.
We prove further that any generalized solution $u$ of the homogeneous Dirichlet-Robin problem (1), (2) has the form $u=c_{0}\left(u_{A}-A x\right)$, where $c_{0}=$ const, $A$ is a skew-symmetric matrix, and $A \neq 0$.

We observe that $u_{A}-A x \neq 0$, since $D\left(u_{A}-A x\right)=\infty$. By Korn's inequality ([7]; §3, inequality (43)), there is a skew-symmetric matrix $A_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(u-A_{0} x, \Omega\right) \leq C E(u, \Omega), \quad C=\text { const } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $w=u+\left(u_{A_{0}}-A_{0} x\right)$, where $u_{A_{0}}=c_{0} u_{A}$, if $A_{0}=c_{0} A$. It is easy to see that $D\left(w, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)<\infty$, since (10) and $D\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ by construction. Therefore, for $w$, by Hardy's inequality, the inequality of the form (9) holds.

Let us prove that $w=0$. Substituting in the integral identity (4) for $w$ the function $\varphi=w \theta_{N}(x)$, where $\theta_{N}(x)=\theta(\ln |x| / \ln N), \theta(s)=1$ for $s \leq 1, \theta(s)=0$ for $s \geq 2, \theta \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Further, as above, we obtain that $w \equiv 0$. This concludes the proof.

Theorem 2. If $-n \leq a<n-2, n \geq 2$, then $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=n(n+1) / 2$.
Proof. We first consider the case $0 \leq a<n-2, n \geq 3$. $\operatorname{Obviously}^{2} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L) \subset \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$ for $a \geq 0$.
We claim that $\operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L) \subset \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$ if $a<n-2$. Indeed, let $u \in \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$. By Lemma 2 we have equality (3):

$$
u(x)=P(x)+R(x)
$$

where $P(x)=A x+B, A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix, $B$ is a constant vector, $R(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x)$.

It is easy to verify that $E_{a}(R(x), \Omega)<\infty$ and $E_{a}(P(x), \Omega)=0$ for $0 \leq a<n-2$. Hence $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$, that is, $u \in \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$. $\operatorname{Therefore~}^{\operatorname{Ker}}{ }_{0}(L) \subset \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$.

Thus, $\operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=\operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$. Using Theorem 1 we have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=n(n+1) / 2$.

Consider now the case when $-n \leq a<0$ and $n>2$.
Let $u \in \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$, where $-n \leq a<0$. By Korn's inequality ([7]; §3, inequality (43)), there is a constant skew-symmetric matrix $A$ such that

$$
D_{a}(u-A x, \Omega) \leq C E_{a}(u, \Omega)
$$

where the constant $C$ is independent of $u$.
For the function $v=u-A x$ we have $D_{a}(v, \Omega)<\infty$ and $E_{a}(v, \Omega)<\infty$. Moreover, $v$ is a solution of (1) in $\Omega$. Hence, by Lemma 1, it has the form (3):

$$
v=P(x)+R(x)
$$

where $P(x)$ is a polynomial, and $R(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x)$.
Let us prove that ord $P(x)=0$. First, establish the inequality $D_{a}(P(x), \Omega)<\infty$. We have $D_{a}(v, \Omega)<\infty$, and it is easy to verify that $D_{a}(R(x), \Omega)<\infty$ for $-n \leq a<0$. Hence $D_{a}(P(x), \Omega)<\infty$ by the triangle inequality..

Let ord $P(x)=k$, where $k \neq 0$. Then we have $|\nabla P(x)| \geq C|x|^{k-1}$ in the interior of some cone $K$. Hence,

$$
\infty>D_{a}(P(x), \Omega)=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}|\nabla P(x)|^{2} d x \geq C \int_{K \cap\{x:|x|>H\}}|x|^{a+2 k-2+n}|x|^{-1} d|x|
$$

The last integral converges if and only if $a+2 k-2+n<0$. Hence $k<1$ and, therefore, ord $P(x)=0$ and $P(x)=B$, where $B$ is a constant vector. Thus, $v(x)=B+R(x)$.

On the other hand, $v(x)=u(x)-A x$. Hence we have $u=A x+B+R(x)$, where $A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix and $B$ is a constant vector. It is easy to verify that $E(R(x), \Omega)<\infty$ and $E(A x+B, \Omega)=0$. Hence $E(u, \Omega)<\infty$, that is, $u \in \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$. We obtain the embedding $\operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L) \subset \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$. In addition, it is obvious that $\operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L) \subset \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$ for $a<0$.

Thus, $\operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=\operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$. By Theorem 1 we obtain $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)=n(n+1) / 2$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=n(n+1) / 2$.

Step 1. Now let $n=2$. For a non-trivial constant skew-symmetric matrix $A$, we construct a generalized solution $u_{A}(x)$ of the mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem for the system (1) in $\Omega$ with boundary conditions

$$
\left.u_{A}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=A x,\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{A}\right)+\tau u_{A}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0,
$$

by minimizing the functional $\Phi(v)$ in the class of admissible functions $\left\{v: v \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}),\left.v\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=A x, v\right.$ has compact support in $\left.\bar{\Omega}\right\}$. The resulting solution satisfies $E\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ and $D\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$. By Hardy's inequality [6] we obtain

$$
\left.\int_{|x|>N}\left|u_{A}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x\right|^{-2} d x<\infty,
$$

where $N \gg 1$ and $G \subset\{x:|x|<N\}$.
Step 2. In this same way we obtain generalized solutions of the mixed Dirichlet-Robin for the system (1) in $\Omega$ with the boundary conditions

$$
\left.u_{i}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\Gamma(x) \tilde{C}_{i},\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{i}\right)+\tau u_{i}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, i=1,2, \tilde{C}_{1}=\binom{1}{0}, \tilde{C}_{2}=\binom{0}{1}
$$

and with the properties $E\left(u_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty, D\left(u_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty$, and

$$
\left.\int_{|x|>N}\left|u_{i}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x\right|^{-2} d x<\infty, i=1,2 .
$$

The solutions $u_{A}-A x$ and $u_{i}-\Gamma(x) \tilde{C}_{i}, i=1,2$, are linearly independent. Indeed, if

$$
\left(u_{A}-A x\right) d_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(u_{i}-\Gamma(x) \tilde{C}_{i}\right) d_{i}=0
$$

for some constants $d_{0}$ and $d_{i}, i=1,2$, then

$$
v \equiv d_{0} A x+\Gamma(x)\left(d_{1} \tilde{C}_{1}+d_{2} \tilde{C}_{2}\right) \equiv 0
$$

because $v=d_{0} u_{A}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} d_{i} u_{i}$ has a finite Dirichlet integral $D(v, \Omega)<\infty$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|v|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty
$$

Thus, $A x d_{0}+\Gamma(x) \vec{d}=0$, where $\vec{d}=d_{1} \tilde{C}_{1}+d_{2} \tilde{C}_{2}$.
Since $|A x| \geq|x|$ and $|\Gamma(x) \vec{d}| \leq C \ln |x|$, it follows that $d_{0}=0$. Hence $\Gamma(x) \vec{d}=0$, and applying the elasticity operator to this equation, we obtain

$$
0=L(\Gamma(x) \vec{d})=L(\Gamma(x)) \vec{d}=I \delta(x) \vec{d}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta(x) & 0 \\
0 & \delta(x)
\end{array}\right)\binom{d_{1}}{d_{2}}
$$

where $I$ is the $2 \times 2$ unit matrix, $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac function. Hence it follows that $d_{1}=d_{2}=0$. It is easy to verify that $E_{a}\left(u_{A}-A x, \Omega\right)<\infty$ and $E_{a}\left(u_{i}-\Gamma(x) \tilde{C}_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty, i=1,2$, for $-2 \leq a<0$.

Hence the Dirichlet-Robin problem (1), (2) has at least three linearly independent solutions satisfying $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$.

Step 3. We claim that each generalized solution $u$ of the Dirichlet-Robin problemn (1), (2) with condition $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ is a linear combination of the solutions constructed above. By Korn's inequality ([7]; §3, inequality (43)), there is a constant skew-symmetric matrix $A_{1}$ such that

$$
D_{a}\left(u-A_{1} x, \Omega\right) \leq C E_{a}(u, \Omega)
$$

where the constant $C$ is independent of $u$. For the function $v_{1}=u-A_{1} x$ we have $D_{a}\left(v_{1}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ and $E_{a}\left(v_{1}, \Omega\right)<\infty$. Since $v_{1}$ is a solution of the system (1) in $\Omega$, it follows by Lemma 1 that

$$
v_{1}(x)=P(x)+R(x)
$$

where $P(x)$ is a polynomial and $R(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x)$.
In a similar way to the above we can show that ord $P(x)=0$ and $P(x)=B_{1}, B_{1}$ is a constant vector. Thus, $u=A_{1} x+B_{1}+\Gamma(x) C_{0}+R_{1}(x)$, where

$$
R_{1}(x)=\sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x), \quad R_{1}(x)=O\left(|x|^{-1} \ln |x|\right)
$$

Let $A_{1}=-C A$ and $C_{0}=-\left(d_{1} \tilde{C}_{1}+d_{2} \tilde{C}_{2}\right)$. We set

$$
w=u-\left[C\left(u_{A}-A x\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{2} d_{i}\left(u_{i}-\Gamma(x) \tilde{C}_{i}\right)\right] .
$$

Obviously, $w$ is a solution of the system (1) in $\Omega,\left.w\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.(\sigma(w)+\tau w)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$ and

$$
w=B_{1}+R_{1}(x)-C u_{A}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} d_{i} u_{i}
$$

It is easy to see that $D(w, \Omega)<\infty$ and $\int_{\Omega}|w|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty$. Thus, $w(x)$ is a solution of the following problem $(w)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L w=0 \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.w\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\quad(\sigma(w)+\tau w)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0 \\
D(w, \Omega)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}|w|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us prove that the solution $w(x)$ of problem $(w)$ is unique, that is, $w(x) \equiv 0, x \in \Omega$. To this end, we write the integral identity (4) for the vector-valued function $\varphi=w \theta_{N}(x)$, where $\theta_{N}(x)=$ $\theta(\ln |x| / \ln N), \theta(s)=1$ for $s \leq 1, \theta(s)=0$ for $s \geq 2, \theta \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(w) \theta_{N}(x) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|w|^{2} \theta_{N}(x) d s=-\int_{\Omega} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial \theta_{N}(x)}{\partial x_{k}} w d x \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}(w) \equiv a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}$.
We claim that the right-hand side of (11) approaches zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|-\int_{\Omega} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial \theta_{N}(x)}{\partial x_{k}} w d x\right| \leq C \int_{\Omega \cap\left\{x: N<|x|<N^{2}\right\}}|\nabla w| \frac{|w|}{|x| \ln N} d x \leq \\
& \leq 2 C J_{1}(w) J_{2}(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
J_{1}(w) \equiv\left(\int_{\{x:|x|>N\}}|\nabla w|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad J_{2}(w) \equiv\left(\int_{\left\{x: N<|x|<N^{2}\right\}} \frac{|w|^{2}}{|x|^{2}|\ln N|^{2}} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\Omega}|w|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty, D(w, \Omega)<\infty,
$$

it follows that $J_{2}(w) \rightarrow 0$ and $J_{1}(w) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(w) \theta_{N}(x) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|w|^{2} \theta_{N}(x) d s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad N \rightarrow \infty
$$

Using the integral identity

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(w) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|w|^{2} d s=0,
$$

we find that if $w$ is a solution to the homogeneous problem (1), (2), then $w=A_{2} x+B_{2}$. The set of all $x$ such that $A_{2} x+B_{2}=0$ is a linear manifold whose dimension is less than $n-1$, since the rank of the matrix $A_{2}$ is $\geq 2$ if $A_{2} \not \equiv 0$. Therefore, $w=0$. The relation

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|w|^{2} d s=0,
$$

implies that $w \equiv 0$ on a set of positive measure on $\partial \Omega$, and therefore, $w(x) \equiv 0, x \in \Omega$. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 3. If $n-2 \leq a<n, n \geq 2$, then $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=n(n-1) / 2$.
Proof. Step 1. Assume that $n \geq 3$. For each constant vector $\vec{e}=\vec{e}_{k} \neq 0$ :

$$
e_{k}=\left(e_{k}^{1}, \ldots, e_{k}^{n}\right), \quad e_{k}^{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & k=j, \\
0, & k \neq j,
\end{array} \quad k, j=1, \ldots, n,\right.
$$

we construct a generalized solution $u_{e}$ of the Dirichlet-Robin problem for the system (1) with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{e}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\left.\vec{e}_{,} \quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{e}\right)+\tau u_{e}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and with the additional conditions $E\left(u_{e}, \Omega\right)<\infty, \quad D\left(u_{e}, \Omega\right)<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{e}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a solution is constructed by the variational method. We minimize the corresponding functional over the class of admissible functions $\left\{v \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}),\left.v_{e}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\vec{e}, v\right.$ has compact support in $\bar{\Omega}\}$. The boundedness of the Dirichlet integral follows from Korn's inequality ([7]; $\S 3$, inequality (43)). Condition (13) follows from Hardy's inequality ([7]; $\S 3$, inequality (27)). By Lemma 2 the solution $u_{e}(x)$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{e}(x)=P_{e}(x)+R_{e}(x), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{e}(x)$ is a polynomial, $P_{e}(x)=A x+B$, with $A$ being a constant skew-symmetric matrix and $B$ being a constant vector, and

$$
R_{e}(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}^{\prime}+u_{e}^{\beta}(x), R_{e}(x) \rightarrow 0,|x| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

We claim that $P_{e}(x) \equiv 0$. Assume that $P_{e}(x) \not \equiv 0$. Then in the interior of a certain cone $K$ we have $\left|P_{e}(x)\right|>C$ and

$$
\infty>\int_{\Omega \cap K}\left|u_{e}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x>\frac{C^{2}}{2} \int_{K \cap\{x:|x|>H\}}|x|^{-2} d x=\infty .
$$

This contradiction shows that $P_{e}(x) \equiv 0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{e}(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}^{\prime}+u_{e}^{\beta}(x) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{e j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial u_{e i}}{\partial x_{k}} d x=\int_{\partial \Omega} u_{e} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{e j}}{\partial x_{h}} v_{k} d s \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a proof we consider a ball $Q_{R}=\{x:|x|<R\}$ with centre at the origin suck that $G \subset \subset Q_{R}$. Let $\Omega_{R}=\Omega \cap Q_{R}, \partial \Omega_{R}=\partial \Omega \cup\{x:|x|=R\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{R}} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{e j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial u_{e i}}{\partial x_{k}} d x=\left(\int_{\partial \Omega}+\int_{|x|=R}\right) u_{e} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{e j}}{\partial x_{h}} v_{k} d s . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists a sequence of domains $\Omega_{R_{k}}$ such that $\Omega_{R_{k}} \subset \Omega_{R_{k+1}} \subset \cdots \subset \Omega_{R_{n}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\cup_{k} \Omega_{R_{k}}=\Omega$.

We claim that the integrals in the right- and left-hand sides of (17) converge. Indeed, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields that

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega_{R}} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{e j}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial u_{e i}}{\partial x_{k}} d x\right| \leq C\left[\int_{\Omega_{R}}\left|\frac{\partial u_{e j}}{\partial x_{h}}\right|^{2} d x\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\int_{\Omega_{R}}\left|\frac{\partial u_{e i}}{\partial x_{k}}\right|^{2} d x\right]^{1 / 2}<\infty
$$

because $\Omega_{R} \subset \Omega$ and $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{e}\right|^{2} d x<\infty$.
We now claim that

$$
\int_{|x|=R} u_{e} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{e j}}{\partial x_{h}} v_{k} d s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

In fact, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the estimates

$$
\left|u_{e}\right| \leq C|x|^{2-n}, \quad\left|\nabla u_{e}\right| \leq C|x|^{1-n}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{|x|=R} u_{e} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{e j}}{\partial x_{h}} v_{k} d s\right| \leq \mathrm{const} \int_{|x|=R}\left|u_{e}\right|\left|\nabla u_{e}\right| d s \\
\leq c\left[C \int_{|x|=R}|x|^{4-2 n} d s\right]^{1 / 2}\left[C \int_{|x|=R}|x|^{2-2 n} d s\right]^{1 / 2}=\operatorname{const} R^{2-n} \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$ for $n>2$. The constants $c$ and $C$ are independent of $R$.
Letting $R$ in (17) tend to infinity, we obtain the required Equation (16):

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}\left(u_{e}\right) d x=\left(\int_{\Gamma_{1}}+\int_{\Gamma_{2}}\right) u_{e} \sigma\left(u_{e}\right) d s
$$

and bearing in mind that $\left.u_{e}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\vec{e},\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{e}\right)+\tau u_{e}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}\left(u_{e}\right) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau\left|u_{e}\right|^{2} d s=\vec{e} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \sigma\left(u_{e}\right) d s \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma\left(u_{e}\right) \equiv a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{e j}}{\partial x_{h}} v_{k}$.
We claim that the constant $C_{0}^{\prime}$ is non-zero in (15). Indeed, if $C_{0}^{\prime}=0$, then $\left|u_{e}\right| \leq C|x|^{1-n}$ and $\left.\sigma\left(u_{e}\right)|\leq C| x\right|^{-n}$. Taking the scalar product of the system (1) and 1 and integrating over $\Omega_{R}$, we obtain

$$
\left(\int_{\partial \Omega}+\int_{|x|=R}\right) \sigma\left(u_{e}\right) d s=0
$$

Since

$$
\left|\int_{|x|=R} \sigma\left(u_{e}\right) d s\right| \leq C \int_{|x|=R}|x|^{-n} d s=\text { const } R^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow \infty,
$$

it follows that

$$
\int_{|x|=R} \sigma\left(u_{e}\right) d s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow \infty
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \sigma\left(u_{e}\right) d s=\left(\int_{\Gamma_{1}}+\int_{\Gamma_{2}}\right) \sigma\left(u_{e}\right) d s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow \infty,
$$

and by (18) we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}\left(u_{e}\right) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau\left|u_{e}\right|^{2} d s=-\vec{e} \cdot \int_{\Gamma_{2}} \sigma\left(u_{e}\right) d s .
$$

Using the integral identity

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}\left(u_{e}\right) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau\left|u_{e}\right|^{2} d s=0
$$

we get $\sigma\left(u_{e}\right)=0$, since $\vec{e} \neq 0$. By [5], it follows that $u_{e}=A x+B$, where $A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix and $B$ is a constant vector.

On the other hand, Formula (15) with $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=0$ yields that

$$
u_{e}(x)=C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x)+u_{e}^{0}(x) .
$$

Hence,

$$
A x+B=C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x)+u_{e}^{0}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty,
$$

that is, $A x+B \rightarrow 0$, which is possible only if $A=0$ and $B=0$, that is, $u_{e} \equiv 0$.
However, $u_{e} \mid \Gamma_{1}=\vec{e} \neq 0$ and $\left.\left(\sigma\left(u_{e}\right)+\tau u_{e}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$. This contradiction shows that if $\vec{e} \neq 0$, then $C_{0}^{\prime} \neq 0$.

Step 2. Let $\vec{e} \neq 0$ be an arbitrary vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We consider the solution $u_{e}$ such that $\left.u_{e}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\vec{e}$, $\left.\left(\sigma\left(u_{e}\right)+\tau u_{e}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$, and $u_{e}(x)=C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x)+u_{e}^{0}(x)$, where $\vec{C}_{0}^{\prime} \neq 0$.

We can associate with each vector $\vec{e}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ the corresponding vector $\vec{C}_{0}^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, thus obtaining a transformation $S: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $S: \vec{e} \rightarrow \vec{C}_{0}^{\prime}$, where $\vec{e} \neq 0, \vec{C}_{0}^{\prime} \neq 0$. It is easy to verify that the transformation $S$ is linear and non-degenerate.

Let $e=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be a basis in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For arbitrary linearly independent vectors $C_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{C_{01}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{0 n}^{\prime}\right\}$ there exists a unique linear transformation (matrix) $S$ such that $\vec{C}_{0}^{\prime}=S \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{e}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{e}=S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}^{\prime} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. Consider now the elasticity system (1) in $\Omega$ with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{A}(x)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=A x,\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{A}\right)+\tau u_{A}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix. For every such matrix $A$ we construct a generalized solution of the system (1) with the boundary conditions (20) and the properties $E\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty, D\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{A}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a solution can be constructed using the variational method and minimizing the corresponding functional over the set of admissible functions $\left\{u: u \in H^{1}(\Omega),\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=A x, u\right.$ has
compact support in $\bar{\Omega}\}$. The Dirichlet integral is bounded by Korn's inequality ([7]; $\S 3$, inequality (43)). Condition (21) follows from Hardy's inequality [7]. By Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{A}(x)=P_{A}(x)+\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u_{A}^{\beta}(x) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we can show that $P_{A}(x) \equiv 0$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{A}(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u_{A}^{\beta}(x) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4. Consider now the difference

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\left(u_{A}-A x\right)-\left(u_{e}-\vec{e}\right), \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{e}=S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}$, and $u_{e}$ and $u_{A}$ are defined by (15) and (23) respectively. Obviously, $v$ is a solution of (1) in $\Omega$ and $\left.v\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.(\sigma(v)+\tau v)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$.

We claim that $E_{a}(v, \Omega)<\infty$ for $n-2 \leq a<n$. Since $\vec{C}_{0}^{\prime}=S \vec{e}=S\left(S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}\right)=S S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}=\vec{C}_{0}$, it follows by (15) and (23) that

$$
u_{A}-u_{e}=\sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}+u_{0}^{\beta}(x)
$$

where $C_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}=C_{\alpha}-C_{\alpha}^{\prime}, u_{0}^{\beta}=u_{A}^{\beta}-u_{e}^{\beta}$.
It is easy to verify that $E_{a}\left(\partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ and $E_{a}\left(u_{0}^{\beta}, \Omega\right)<\infty$. Hence $E_{a}\left(u_{A}-u_{e}, \Omega\right)<\infty$. Note also that $E_{a}(A x, \Omega)=0, E_{a}\left(S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}, \Omega\right)=0$. Therefore $E_{a}(v, \Omega)<\infty$.

We now claim that $v \not \equiv 0$. For let $v \equiv 0$, that is, $u_{A}-A x-u_{S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}}+S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0} \equiv 0$, where $u_{A}(x) \rightarrow 0$ and $u_{S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Then we obtain

$$
|A x|=\left|u_{A}-u_{S^{-1}} \vec{C}_{0}+S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}\right|<\text { const } .
$$

On the other hand, $|A x| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty, A x \neq 0$. This contradiction shows that $v \not \equiv 0$.
Let us prove that if $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p}$ is a basis in the space of skew-symmetric matrices, then $v_{A_{1}}, \ldots, v_{A_{p}}$ are linearly independent solutions, i.e., from the equality

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} v_{A_{i}}=0, \quad c_{i}=\mathrm{const}
$$

follows that $c_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, p$. Indeed, assume that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}\left[u_{A_{i}}-u_{e_{i}}-A_{i} x+e_{i}\right]=0
$$

where $c_{i}=$ const, $i=1, \ldots, p$, that is, let

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} A_{i} x=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} u_{A_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}\left(u_{e_{i}}-e_{i}\right)
$$

Then we set $W_{1} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} A_{i} x$, so that

$$
W_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} u_{A_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}\left(u_{e_{i}}-e_{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad D_{a}\left(W_{1}, \Omega\right)<\infty .
$$

To prove that $W_{1} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} A_{i} x \equiv 0$, we put $T=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} A_{i}, \quad T x=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} A_{i} x$, where $T=\left\|t_{i j}\right\|_{n \times n}$. Then

$$
\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}|\nabla T x|^{2} d x<\infty
$$

because $T x=W_{1}$ and $\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}\left|\nabla W_{1}\right|^{2} d x<\infty$. On the other hand,

$$
\infty>\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}|\nabla T x|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}\left|t_{i j}\right|^{2} d x
$$

and the integral on the right-hand side is finite if and only if $t_{i j}=0$, that is, $T=0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} A_{i}=0$, so that, $c_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, p$.

Thus, the mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem (1), (2) has at least $p=\left(n^{2}-n\right) / 2$ linearly independent generalized solutions.

Step 5. Let us show that each generalized solution $u(x)$ of the homogeneous problem (1), (2) such that $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ is a linear combination of the solutions $v_{A_{1}}, \ldots, v_{A_{p}}$, that is,

$$
u=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}^{\prime} v_{A_{i}}, \quad c_{i}^{\prime}=\text { const }, i=1, \ldots, p
$$

By Lemma 2, the solution of the system (1) in $\Omega$ has a representation (3). Let us prove that there are $c_{i}^{\prime}=$ const, $i=1, \ldots, p$, such that the following equation holds for all $x \in \Omega$ :

$$
P(x)+\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}^{\prime}\left(u_{A_{i}}-u_{e_{i}}-A_{i} x+e_{i}\right) .
$$

Since $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p}, p=\left(n^{2}-n\right) / 2$, is a basis in the space of skew-symmetric matrices, there are $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}$, such that $A=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} A_{i}$. We put

$$
u_{0} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}^{\prime}\left(u_{A_{i}}-u_{e_{i}}-A_{i} x+e_{i}\right)
$$

where $c_{i}^{\prime}=-c_{i}$. Obviously, $u_{0}$ is a solution of (1) in $\Omega,\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\left(\sigma\left(u_{0}\right)+\tau u_{0}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$, and $E_{a}\left(u_{0}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ for $n-2 \leq a<n$.

Step 6. Consider now the difference $W=u-u_{0}$. By construction, $\left.W\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0$ and $\left.(\sigma(W)+\tau W)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$. It follows by the triangle inequality that $E_{a}(W, \Omega)<\infty$ for $n-2 \leq a<n$.

We claim that $W \equiv 0$ in $\Omega$. Indeed, let $A=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} A_{i}$. Then

$$
W(x)=b+Z(x)
$$

where

$$
b=B-\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}^{\prime} e_{i}, \quad Z(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}^{\prime}\left[u_{A_{i}}-u_{e_{i}}\right],
$$

that is, $Z=W-\vec{b}$ and $\left.Z\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=-\vec{b},\left.\quad(\sigma(Z)+\tau Z)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$.

It is easy to see that $D(Z, \Omega)<\infty$ and $\int_{\Omega}|Z|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty$. Thus, we obtain problem $\left(Z_{b}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L Z=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
\left.Z\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=-\vec{b},\left.\quad(\sigma(Z)+\tau Z)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0 \\
D(Z, \Omega)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}|Z|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

By construction, we have problem (e):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L u_{e}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
\left.u_{e}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\vec{e},\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{e}\right)+\tau u_{e}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, \\
D\left(u_{e}, \Omega\right)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{e}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

We shall now prove the uniqueness of a solution of problem $(e)$. Let $u_{e}^{\prime}$ and $u_{e}^{\prime \prime}$ be solutions such that

$$
\left.u_{e}^{\prime}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\vec{e},\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{e}^{\prime}\right)+\tau u_{e}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0,\left.\quad u_{e}^{\prime \prime}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\vec{e},\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{e}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\tau u_{e}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0
$$

Then the function $u_{0}^{\prime}=u_{e}^{\prime}-u_{e}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.u_{0}^{\prime}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{0}^{\prime}\right)+\tau u_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, \quad E\left(u_{0}^{\prime}, \Omega\right)<\infty, \quad D\left(u_{0}^{\prime}, \Omega\right)<\infty \\
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

We claim that $u_{0}^{\prime} \equiv 0$ in $\Omega$. Indeed, consider the integral identity (4) for $u_{0}^{\prime}$ and put $\varphi=u_{0}^{\prime} \theta_{N}(x)$, where $\theta_{N}(x)=\theta(|x| / N), \theta(s)=1$ for $s \leq 1, \theta(s)=0$ for $s \geq 2, \theta \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}\left(u_{0}^{\prime}\right) \theta_{N}(x) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau\left|u_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \theta_{N}(x) d s=-\int_{\Omega} a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{0 j}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial \theta_{N}(x)}{\partial x_{k}} u_{0}^{\prime} d x \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}\left(u_{0}^{\prime}\right) \equiv a_{k h}^{i j} \frac{\partial u_{0 j}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial u_{0 i}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{k}}$.
In the same way as in (11) (Theorem 2, case $n=2$ ), we can show that the right-hand side of (25) tends to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}\left(u_{0}^{\prime}\right) \theta_{N}(x) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau\left|u_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \theta_{N}(x) d s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for } \quad N \rightarrow \infty
$$

Using the integral identity

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}\left(u_{0}^{\prime}\right) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau\left|u_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s=0
$$

we find that if $u_{0}^{\prime}$ is a solution to the homogeneous problem (1), (2), then $u_{0}^{\prime}=A_{0} x+B_{0}$. The set of all $x$ such that $A_{0} x+B_{0}=0$ is a linear manifold whose dimension is less than $n-1$, since the rank of the matrix $A_{0}$ is $\geq 2$ if $A_{0} \not \equiv 0$. Therefore, $u_{0}^{\prime}=0$. The relation

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau\left|u_{0}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s=0
$$

implies that $u_{0}^{\prime} \equiv 0$ on a set of positive measure on $\partial \Omega$, and therefore, $u_{0}^{\prime} \equiv 0, x \in \Omega$. Thus, the solution to problem $(e)$ is unique.

We now claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a}\left(u_{e}, \Omega\right)=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}\left|\varepsilon\left(u_{e}\right)\right|^{2} d x=\infty \quad \text { for } \quad \vec{e} \neq 0 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all we show that if $C_{0}^{\prime} \neq 0$ in (15), then

$$
E_{a}\left(C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x), \Omega\right)=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}\left|\varepsilon\left(C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x)\right)\right|^{2} d x=\infty
$$

By the properties of the fundamental solution of the elasticity system [38], if $\Gamma(x)=|x|^{2-n} U(x)$, where $U(x)$ is a homogeneous function of order zero, then $|x|^{a}\left|\varepsilon\left(C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x)\right)\right|^{2}$ is a homogeneous function of order $a+2(1-n)$, that is,

$$
0 \not \equiv|x|^{a}\left|\varepsilon\left(C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x)\right)\right|^{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f(x)=C\left(C_{0}^{\prime}\right)|x|^{a+2-2 n} f_{0}(x),
$$

where $f_{0}(x)$ is a homogeneous function of order zero. We fix a point $x_{0}$ such that $f_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. By continuity, $f_{0}(x) \neq 0$ in a neighborhood $Q_{\delta}\left(x_{0}\right)$ of $x_{0}$. We consider a cone $K$ with vertex at the origin such that $Q_{\delta}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset K$. Then

$$
E_{a}\left(C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x), \Omega\right)=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}\left|\varepsilon\left(C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x)\right)\right|^{2} d x \geq C\left(C_{0}^{\prime}\right) \int_{K \cap\{x:|x|>H\}}|x|^{a+2-2 n} d x=\infty
$$

for $C_{0}^{\prime} \neq 0$.
Applying the triangle inequality to the Formula (15) of the type $C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x)=u_{e}-R_{1 e}(x)$, where

$$
R_{1 e}(x)=\sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}^{\prime}+u_{e}^{\beta}(x),
$$

we obtain

$$
\infty=E_{a}\left(C_{0}^{\prime} \Gamma(x), \Omega\right) \leq E_{a}\left(u_{e}, \Omega\right)+E_{a}\left(R_{1 e}(x), \Omega\right) .
$$

It is easy to verify that $E_{a}\left(R_{1 e}(x), \Omega\right)<\infty$. Hence $E_{a}\left(u_{e}, \Omega\right)=\infty$ for $\vec{e} \neq 0$, and we obtain the problem $\left(e_{a}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L u_{e}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u_{e}\left|\Gamma_{\Gamma_{1}}=\vec{e}, \quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{e}\right)+\tau u_{e}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, \\
D\left(u_{e}, \Omega\right)<\infty, \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{e}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty, \\
E_{a}\left(u_{e}, \Omega\right)=\infty, \quad \text { for } \vec{e} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Formula (26),

$$
\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}|\varepsilon(Z)|^{2} d x=\infty \quad \text { for } \quad \vec{b} \neq 0(\vec{e} \neq 0)
$$

For the function $Z=W-\vec{b}$ we have

$$
\infty=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}|\varepsilon(Z)|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}|\varepsilon(W)|^{2} d x<\infty .
$$

This contradiction shows that $\vec{b}=0$, that is, $\vec{e}=0$. Hence $W=Z$ is a solution of the following problem $\left(z_{0}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L Z=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.\left.Z\right|_{\Gamma_{1}=0,} \quad(\sigma(Z)+\tau Z)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, \\
D(Z, \Omega)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}|Z|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the unique solubility of the problem (e), we have $Z \equiv 0$ in $\Omega$. Hence $W=0$, and since $\left.W\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.(\sigma(W)+\tau W)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$, it follows that $W \equiv 0$ in $\Omega$. This proves the theorem for $n \geq 3$.

The proof in the case $n=2$ is carried out in a similar way. For a non-trivial constant skew-symmetric matrix $A$, we construct a generalized solution $u_{A}(x)$ of the mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem for the system (1) in $\Omega$ with the boundary conditions (20) by minimizing the corresponding functional over the class of admissible functions $\left\{u: u \in H^{1}(\Omega),\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=A x, \quad u\right.$ has a compact support in $\bar{\Omega}\}$. This solution satisfies $E\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ and $D\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$. By Hardy's inequality [6] we obtain

$$
\left.\int_{|x|>N}\left|u_{A}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x\right|^{-2} d x<\infty
$$

where $N \gg 1$ and $G \subset\{x:|x|<N\}$.
Let us prove that each generalized solution $u$ of the problem (1), (2) satisfying the condition $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ has the following form:

$$
u=u_{A}-A x
$$

where $A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix. By Lemma 2, the solution of the system (1) has the form (22) with $P_{A}(x)=A x+B$, where $A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix and $B$ is a constant vector.

We claim that $A=0$. For assuming that $A \neq 0$, we can write (22) in the following form:

$$
u_{A}(x)=A x+B+\Gamma(x) C_{0}+R_{1}(x), \quad R_{1}(x)=\sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x)
$$

By construction, $D\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$, that is,

$$
\int_{\rho<|x|<R}\left|\nabla u_{A}\right|^{2} d x<C_{1}^{\prime}
$$

for each $R$. It is easy to see that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla R_{1}(x)\right|^{2} d x<\infty
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{\rho<|x|<R}\left|\nabla R_{1}(x)\right|^{2} d x<C_{2}^{\prime}
$$

for any $R$. Since $\Gamma(x)$ is a fundamental solution of (1), $\Gamma(x)=S(x) \ln |x|+T(x)$, where $S(x)$ and $T(x)$ are $(2 \times 2)$-matrices whose entries are homogeneous functions of order zero (see [39]), and so $\left|\nabla\left(\Gamma(x) C_{0}\right)\right| \leq C\left(C_{0}\right)|\ln | x| ||x|^{-1}$. It follows that

$$
\int_{\rho<|x|<R}\left|\nabla\left(\Gamma(x) C_{0}\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq C^{\prime}\left(C_{0}\right) \int_{\rho<|x|<R}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{2} d x \leq C^{\prime}\left(C_{0}\right)(\ln R)^{3}
$$

By (22) and the triangle inequality, we have

$$
\int_{|x|<R}\left|\nabla P_{A}(x)\right|^{2} d x \leq C_{1}^{\prime}+C_{2}^{\prime}+C^{\prime}\left(C_{0}\right)(\ln R)^{3}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\nabla P_{A}(x)=A, \quad \int_{|x|<R}\left|\nabla P_{A}(x)\right|^{2} d x=\int_{|x|<R}|A|^{2}|x| d|x|=C_{3}^{\prime} R^{2}
$$

Hence $C_{3}^{\prime} R^{2} \leq C_{1}^{\prime}+C_{2}^{\prime}+C^{\prime}\left(C_{0}\right)(\ln R)^{3}$ for each $R \gg 1$. This contradiction shows that $A=0$ and $P_{A}(x)=B$. Hence, $u_{A}=B+\Gamma(x) C_{0}+R_{1}(x)$.

We now claim that in (22) the constant $C_{0}=0$. Assume that $C_{0} \neq 0$. Then by the triangle inequality we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(\Gamma(x) C_{0}\right)\right|^{2} d x<\infty
$$

On the other hand, $\Gamma(x) C_{0}=(S(x) \ln |x|+T(x)) C_{0}$. Hence in a certain cone $K$ we have the inequality $\left|\nabla\left(\Gamma(x) C_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \geq C\left(C_{0}\right)|x|^{-2}(\ln |x|)^{2}$. Consequently,

$$
\infty>\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(\Gamma(x) C_{0}\right)\right|^{2} d x \geq C\left(C_{0}\right) \int_{K \cap\{x:|x|>H\}}|\ln | x| |^{2}|x|^{-2} d x=\infty
$$

his contradiction shows that $C_{0}=0$. Thus $u_{A}=B+R_{1}(x)$.
It is easy to verify that $E_{a}\left(u_{A}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ for $0 \leq a<2$. Hence $E_{a}\left(u_{A}-A x, \Omega\right)<\infty$ and $\left(u_{A}-A x\right) \in \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$, that is, the problem (1), (2), with condition $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty, 0 \leq a<2$ has at least one non-zero solution, so that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L) \geq 1$.

On the other hand, $\operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L) \subset \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$ for $a \geq 0$, and therefore, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L) \leq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$. By Theorem 1, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)=1$. Thus, we have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=1$ for $0 \leq a<2$. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 4. If $n \leq a<\infty, \quad n \geq 2$, then $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=0$.
Proof. Consider the case $n \geq 3$. Let $a=n$. We shall prove the theorem by contradiction. Assume that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)>0$. Then there is a $u$ such that $u \in \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$ and $u \not \equiv 0$. Since $a=n$, we have $u \in \operatorname{Ker}_{n}(L) \subset \operatorname{Ker}_{n-2}(L)$. Hence by Theorem 3 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{A}-A x-u_{e}+\vec{e}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{e}=S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}$ (see (19)) and $\vec{C}_{0}$ is defined by Formula (23). Substituting (15) and (23) in (27), we obtain

$$
u=P(x)+\left(\vec{C}_{0}-\vec{C}_{0}^{\prime}\right) \Gamma(x)+\sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) \tilde{C}_{\alpha}+u_{0}^{\beta}(x)
$$

where $P(x)=-A x+\vec{e}, \quad \tilde{C}_{\alpha}=C_{\alpha}-C_{\alpha}^{\prime}, \quad u_{0}^{\beta}(x)=u_{A}^{\beta}(x)-u_{e}^{\beta}(x)$. Since $\vec{C}_{0}^{\prime}=S \vec{e}=S\left(S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}\right)=$ $S S^{-1} \vec{C}_{0}=\vec{C}_{0}$, it follows that $\vec{C}_{0}-\vec{C}_{0}^{\prime}=0$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=P(x)+\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \nabla\right) \Gamma(x)+R_{2}(x) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R_{2}(x)=\sum_{1<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) \tilde{C}_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x)
$$

We claim that $\tilde{C}_{1} \neq 0$ in (28). Indeed, we assume that $\tilde{C}_{1}=0$. Taking the scalar product of (1) with $u$ and integrating over $\Omega_{R}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{R}} \mathcal{E}(u) d x=\left(\int_{\partial \Omega}+\int_{|x|=R}\right) u \sigma(u) d s . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\int_{|x|=R} u \sigma(u) d s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for } \quad R \rightarrow \infty
$$

It is easy to verify that $|u| \leq C|x|$ and $|\sigma(u)| \leq C|x|^{-n-1}$ for $\tilde{C}_{1}=0$. Next, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{|x|=R} u \sigma(u) d s\right| \leq c \int_{|x|=R}|u||\sigma(u)| d s
$$

$$
\leq c\left[\int_{|x|=R}|x|^{2} d s\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\int_{|x|=R}|x|^{(-n-1) 2} d s\right]^{1 / 2}=c R^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow \infty
$$

There exists a sequence of domains $\Omega_{R_{k}}$ such that $\Omega_{R_{k}} \subset \Omega_{R_{k+1}} \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \cup_{k} \Omega_{R_{k}}=\Omega$. In equality (29) we pass to the limit as $R=R_{k} \rightarrow \infty$. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega_{R}} \mathcal{E}(u) d x\right| \leq c\left[\int_{\Omega_{R}}\left|\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{h}}\right|^{2} d x\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\int_{\Omega_{R}}\left|\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}\right|^{2} d x\right]^{1 / 2}<\infty
$$

because $\Omega_{R} \subset \Omega$ and $\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x<\infty$. Thus,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(u) d x=\left(\int_{\Gamma_{1}}+\int_{\Gamma_{2}}\right) u \sigma(u) d s
$$

On the other hand, $u=u_{A}-A x-\left(u_{e}-\vec{e}\right)$ and $\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\quad(\sigma(u)+\tau u)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$. Hence,

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} u \sigma(u) d s=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(u) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|u|^{2} d s=0
$$

Using the integral identity

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(u) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|u|^{2} d s=0
$$

we find that if $u$ is a solution to the homogeneous problem (1), (2), then $u=A_{0} x+B_{0}$. The set of all $x$ such that $A_{0} x+B_{0}=0$ is a linear manifold whose dimension is less than $n-1$, since the rank of the matrix $A_{0}$ is $\geq 2$ if $A_{0} \not \equiv 0$. Therefore, $u=0$. The relation

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|u|^{2} d s=0
$$

implies that $u \equiv 0$ on a set of positive measure on $\partial \Omega$, and therefore, $u \equiv 0$.
This is a contradiction, since $u \in \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$ and $u \not \equiv 0$. Thus, $\tilde{C}_{1} \neq 0$ in (28).
By assumption, $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$. It is easy to verify that $E_{a}\left(R_{2}(x), \Omega\right)<\infty$ and $E_{a}(P(x), \Omega)=0$. Now, by the triangle inequality we obtain

$$
E_{a}\left(\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \nabla\right) \Gamma(x), \Omega\right)<\infty \quad \text { for } \quad a=n
$$

By the properties of the fundamental solution of the system (1) (see [38]) we have $\Gamma(x)=|x|^{2-n} U(x)$, where $U(x)$ is a homogeneous function of order zero. Hence $|x|^{n}\left|\varepsilon\left(\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \nabla\right) \Gamma(x)\right)\right|^{2}$ is a homogeneous function of order $(-n)$, that is,

$$
0 \not \equiv|x|^{n}\left|\varepsilon\left(\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \nabla\right) \Gamma(x)\right)\right|^{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f(x)=|x|^{-n} f_{0}(x)
$$

where $f_{0}(x)$ is a homogeneous function of order zero. We fix a point $x_{0}$ such that $f_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. By continuity, $f_{0}(x) \neq 0$ in a neighborhood $Q_{\delta}\left(x_{0}\right)$ of $x_{0}$. We consider a cone $K$ with vertex at the origin such that $Q_{\delta}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset K$. Then

$$
\infty>\int_{\Omega}|x|^{n}\left|\varepsilon\left(\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \nabla\right) \Gamma(x)\right)\right|^{2} d x \geq C\left(\tilde{C}_{1}\right) \int_{\{x:|x|>H\}}|x|^{-n} d x=\infty
$$

This contradiction shows that $u \equiv 0$. This completes the proof for $n \geq 3$.

Consider now the case $n=2$. It sufficient to show that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=0$ for $a=2$. Assume that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)>0$, that is, there exists $u$ such that $u \in \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)$ and $u \not \equiv 0$. Since $a=2$, it follows that $u \in \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L) \subset \operatorname{Ker}_{0}(L)$. Hence by Theorem 3 we obtain

$$
u=u_{A}-A x
$$

and for $u_{A}$ Lemma 2 yields a representation (22), that is,

$$
u_{A}(x)=P_{A}(x)+R(x)
$$

where $P_{A}(x)=A x+B, A$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix and $B$ is a constant vector. Substituting the expansion of $u_{A}(x)$ in the representation of $u(x)$, we obtain

$$
u=B+\Gamma(x) C_{0}+R_{1}(x), \quad R_{1}(x)=\sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u_{A}^{\beta}(x)
$$

We prove that $C_{0}=0$ by contradiction. Indeed, assume that $C_{0} \neq 0$. Then we have $E_{a}(R(x), \Omega)=E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$.

On the other hand, $\Gamma(x)=S(x) \ln |x|+T(x)$, where $S(x)$ and $T(x)$ are $(2 \times 2)$ - matrices whose entries are homogeneous functions of order zero (see [39]). Hence,

$$
|\varepsilon(R(x))|^{2} \geq C|x|^{-2}(\ln |x|)^{2}
$$

in some cone $K$, and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \infty>E_{a}(R(x), \Omega)=\int_{\Omega}|x|^{a}|\varepsilon(R(x))|^{2} d x \\
\geq & C\left(C_{0}\right) \int_{K \cap\{x:|x|>H\}}|x|^{a-2}(\ln |x|)^{2} d x=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a \geq 2$. This contradiction shows that $C_{0}=0$. Thus,

$$
u=B+R_{1}(x), \quad R_{1}(x)=O\left(|x|^{-1} \ln |x|\right)
$$

Taking the scalar product of (1) and $u$ and integrating over $\Omega_{R}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega_{R}} \mathcal{E}(u) d x=\left(\int_{\partial \Omega}+\int_{|x|=R}\right) u \sigma(u) d s
$$

In view of the boundary conditions $\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\quad(\sigma(u)+\tau u)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$, and $\int_{\Gamma_{1}} u \sigma(u) d s=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(u) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|u|^{2} d s=\int_{|x|=R} u \sigma(u) d s \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|u| \leq C$ and $|\sigma(u)| \leq C|x|^{-2} \ln |x|$, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{|x|=R} u \sigma(u) d s\right| \leq \int_{|x|=R}|u||\sigma(u)| d s \\
\leq C \int_{|x|=R}|x|^{-2} \ln |x| d s=C R^{-1} \ln R \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow \infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Passing to the limit as $R \rightarrow \infty$ in equality (30), we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E}(u) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \tau|u|^{2} d s=0
$$

Using the obtained integral identity, we conclude that if $u$ is a solution to the homogeneous problem (1), (2), then $u=A_{1} x+B_{1}$, where $A_{1}$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix, $B_{1}$ is a constant vector. Hence,

$$
A_{1} x+B_{1}=B+R_{1}(x)
$$

where $R_{1}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we have $A_{1} x+\left(B_{1}-B\right) \rightarrow 0$, which is possible only if $A_{1}=0$ and $B_{1}=B$. In view of boundary conditions (2), $B=0$ and $u \equiv 0$, so that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=0$ for $n=2$ and $2 \leq a<\infty$. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 5. The mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem (1), (2) with the condition $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ has $k(r, n)$ linearly independent solutions for $-2 r-n \leq a<-2 r-n+2$, that is,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}_{a}(L)=k(r, n)
$$

where $r>0$ and

$$
k(r, n)= \begin{cases}n\left(\binom{r+n-1}{n-1}+\binom{r+n-2}{n-1}\right), & \text { if } n \geq 3 \\ 4 r+2, & \text { if } n=2\end{cases}
$$

Here $\binom{r}{s}$ is binomial coefficient from $r$ to $s,\binom{r}{s}=0$ if $s>r$.
Proof. Assume that $n>2$. To prove the theorem, we need to determine the number of linearly independent polynomial solutions of a system (1), the degree of which does not exceed the fixed number.

Let $P=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)$ be a polynomial solution of the system (1) of degree $r$. Then the degree of the polynomial $P_{i}$ does not exceed $r$, and $P$ can be represented in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\sum_{s=0}^{r} P^{(s)} \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{(s)}=\left(P_{1}^{(s)}, \ldots, P_{n}^{(s)}\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $s$, satisfying the system (1) (see [38]).

The space of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of degree at most $r$ has dimension $(r+n)!/ r!n!$ (see [41]). Hence the dimension of the space of vector-valued polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of degree at most $r$ is equal to

$$
\frac{n(r+n)!}{r!n!}=\frac{(r+n)!}{r!(n-1)!}
$$

Polynomials of this kind solving the elasticity system form a space of dimension

$$
\frac{(r+n)!}{r!(n-1)!}-\frac{(r+n-2)!}{(r-2)!(n-1)!}=n\left(\frac{(r+n)!}{r!n!}-\frac{(r+n-2)!}{(r-2)!n!}\right)
$$

because each equation of the elasticity system is equivalent to the vanishing of some polynomial of degree $(r-2)$.

We denote by $k(r, n)$ the number of linearly independent polynomial solutions of (1) whose degree is at most $r$, and let $l(r, n)$ be the number of linearly independent homogeneous polynomials of degree $r$ that are solutions of (1). Using representation $(P)$ we obtain

$$
k(r, n)=\sum_{s=0}^{r} l(s, n)
$$

where

$$
l(s, n)=n\left(\binom{s+n-2}{n-2}+\binom{s+n-3}{n-2}\right) \text { for } s \geq 1, \quad l(0, n)=n
$$

We now prove the following statements are true:
(i) The Dirichlet-Robin problem (1), (2) with the condition $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ has $k(r, n)$ linearly independent solutions for $-2 r-n \leq a<-2 r-n+2$;
(ii) Each system of $k(r, n)+1$ solutions is linearly dependent.
(i) Let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ be a basis in the space of polynomial solutions of (1) whose degrees do not exceed $r$. Since ord $w_{i} \leq r$, it follows that $E_{a}\left(w_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ for $-2 r-n \leq a<-2 r-n+2$. For each $w_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$ we consider the solution $v_{i}$ of the system (1) such that $\left.v_{i}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=w_{i},\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(v_{i}\right)+\tau v_{i}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$ and

$$
D\left(v_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty
$$

Such a solution we can construct by the variational method, minimizing the corresponding functional over the class of admissible functions $\left\{v: v \in H^{1}(\Omega),\left.v\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=w\right.$, $v$ has compact support in $\left.\bar{\Omega}\right\}$.

Consider next the difference: $z_{i}=w_{i}-v_{i}$. We have $L z_{i}=0$ in $\Omega,\left.z_{i}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\left(\sigma\left(z_{i}\right)+\tau z_{i}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$ and $E_{a}\left(z_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty$.

Let us prove that $z_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$, are linearly independent. Indeed, if

$$
Z \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} z_{i}=0, c_{i}=\text { const, then } \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}\left(w_{i}-v_{i}\right)=0
$$

that is,

$$
W \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} w_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} v_{i}=V
$$

Hence, $|W|^{2}=|V|^{2},|\nabla W|^{2}=|\nabla V|^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
D(W, \Omega) & =D(V, \Omega)<\infty \\
\int_{\Omega}|W|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x & =\int_{\Omega}|V|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 1, the solution $V$ of the system (1) in $\Omega$ has the following form:

$$
V(x)=P(x)+R(x)
$$

where $P(x)$ is a polynomial, and

$$
R(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+V^{\beta}(x), \quad R(x)=O\left(|x|^{2-n}\right)
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
D(R(x), \Omega)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}|R(x)|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty \quad \text { for } \quad n>2
$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$
D(P(x), \Omega)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}|P(x)|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty
$$

We claim that $P(x) \equiv 0$. Indeed, assume that ord $P(x)=r$. Then in the interior of a certain cone $K$ we have $|\nabla P(x)| \geq C|x|^{r-1}$. Hence,

$$
\infty>D(P(x), \Omega) \geq C \int_{K \cap\{x:|x|>H\}}|x|^{(r-1) 2} d x=C \int_{|x|>H}|x|^{2 r-2+n}|x|^{-1} d|x|
$$

This integral converges only when $r<0$. Therefore, $P(x) \equiv 0$.

Thus, $V(x)=R(x)$, where $R(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} w_{i} \equiv W=V \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

and by the estimates (31) we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} w_{i} \equiv 0$.
Since $w_{i}$ is a basis in the space of polynomial solutions of (1) whose degrees do not exceed $r$, it follows that $c_{i}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, k$. Hence the problem has at least $k(r, n)$ linearly independent solutions.
(ii) Let us prove that each solution $u$ of the system (1) with boundary conditions $\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0$, $\left.(\sigma(u)+\tau u)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$ and $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ can be represented as a linear combination of the solutions $z_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k, z_{i}=w_{i}-v_{i}$. By Lemma 1, every solution of the system (1) in $\Omega$ may be written as

$$
u(x)=P(x)+R(x)
$$

where $P(x)$ is a polynomial of degree ord $P(x) \leq m=[1-n / 2-a / 2]$,

$$
R(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x)
$$

Since $-2 r-n \leq a<-2 r-n+2$, it follows that $-n / 2-a / 2 \leq r<1-n / 2-a / 2$ and, therefore, $r=[1-n / 2-a / 2]=m$. Hence ord $P(x) \leq r$.

We claim that $P(x)$ is a solution of the system (1). Indeed,

$$
0=L u=L P(x)+L R(x), \quad \text { where } \quad L R(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

Since $L P(x)$ is a polynomial and $L P(x)=-L R(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $L P(x) \equiv 0$, that $P(x)$ is a polynomial solution of the system (1). Hence it is represented as a linear combination of the functions $w_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$ :

$$
P(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} w_{i}
$$

We claim that $u=\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} z_{i}$. We set

$$
u_{0}=u-\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} z_{i}
$$

By our construction of the solutions, after elementary transformations we obtain

$$
u_{0}=R(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} v_{i} .
$$

Let us prove that $u_{0} \equiv 0$. Indeed, $u_{0}$ is a solution, that is, $L u_{0}=0$ in $\Omega,\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0$, and $\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(u_{0}\right)+\tau u_{0}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$. By the construction of the solutions $v_{i}$ we have

$$
D\left(v_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty, i=1, \ldots, k
$$

Moreover, it is easy to verify that

$$
D(R(x), \Omega)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}|R(x)|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty
$$

Hence,

$$
D\left(u_{0}, \Omega\right)<\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2} d x<\infty
$$

Since $u_{e}(x)$ is a unique solution of problem $(e)$ in Theorem 3 , it follows that $u_{0} \equiv 0$. This proves the theorem for $n>2$.

The proof for $n=2$ is similar. We claim that
(i) The Dirichlet-Robin problem (1), (2) along with the condition $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ has $k(r, 2)$ linearly independent solutions for $-2 r-2 \leq a<-2 r$;
(ii) Each system of $k(r, 2)+1$ solutions is linearly dependent.
(i) Let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ be a basis in the space of polynomial solutions of (1) whose degrees do not exceed $r$. The vector-valued functions $u_{1}=($ const, 0$)$ and $u_{2}=(0$, const) are linearly independent polynomial solutions of (1). Therefore we can assume without loss of generality that $w_{1} \equiv(1,0), w_{2} \equiv(0,1)$. The condition ord $w_{i} \leq r$ shows that $\left|w_{i}\right| \leq c|x|^{r}$ and, therefore, $E_{a}\left(w_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ for $-2 r-2 \leq a<-2 r$.

For each $w_{i}, i=3, \ldots, k$ we consider a solution $v_{i}$ of the system (1) such that $\left.v_{i}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=$ $w_{i},\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(v_{i}\right)+\tau v_{i}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, \quad D\left(v_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ and, by Hardy's inequality [6], we have

$$
\int_{|x|>N}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty
$$

where $N \gg 1$ such that $G \subset\{x:|x|<N\}$.
Such a solution may be constructed by the variational method, by minimizing the corresponding functional over the class of admissible functions. In the same way, we can construct solutions of (1) with boundary conditions $\left.v_{i}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\Gamma(x) \tilde{c}_{i},\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(v_{i}\right)+\tau v_{i}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0, \quad i=1,2$, where $\tilde{c}_{1}=(1,0)$ and $\tilde{c}_{2}=(0,1)$ such that $E\left(v_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ and $D\left(v_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty$. By Hardy's inequality [6] we obtain

$$
\int_{|x|>N}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty
$$

where $N \gg 1$ and $G \subset\{x:|x|<N\}$.
Let $z_{i}=w_{i}-v_{i}, i=3, \ldots, k, k+1, k+2$, where $w_{k+1}=\Gamma(x) \tilde{c}_{1}$ and $w_{k+2}=\Gamma(x) \tilde{c}_{2}$. Then $L z_{i}=0$ in $\Omega,\left.z_{i}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\quad\left(\sigma\left(z_{i}\right)+\tau z_{i}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$, and

$$
E_{a}\left(z_{i}, \Omega\right) \leq C D_{a}\left(z_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty
$$

We claim that $z_{i}, i=3, \ldots, k+2$ are linearly independent. Indeed, if

$$
\mathrm{Z} \equiv \sum_{i=3}^{k+2} c_{i}^{\prime} z_{i}=0, c_{i}^{\prime}=\mathrm{const}, \quad \text { then } \sum_{i=3}^{k+2} c_{i}^{\prime}\left(w_{i}-v_{i}\right)=0
$$

that is,

$$
W \equiv \sum_{i=3}^{k+2} c_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}=\sum_{i=3}^{k+2} c_{i}^{\prime} v_{i} \equiv V
$$

Hence $|W|^{2}=|V|^{2},|\nabla W|^{2}=|\nabla V|^{2}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(W, \Omega) & =D(V, \Omega)<\infty \\
\left.\int_{|x|>N}|W|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x\right|^{2} d x & =\int_{|x|>N}|V|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 1, the solution $V$ of the system (1) in $\Omega$ has a representation

$$
V(x)=P(x)+R(x)
$$

where $P(x)$ is a polynomial and $R(x)=\sum_{\beta_{0}<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+v^{\beta}(x)$.

We prove that ord $P(x)=0$ by contradiction. Indeed, assume that ord $P(x)=k$, where $k \neq 0$. Then in the interior of a certain cone $K$ we have $|P(x)| \geq C|x|^{k}$. In addition, $R(x) \sim \ln |x|$. Hence,

$$
|V(x)|=|P(x)+R(x)| \geq|P(x)|-|R(x)| \geq C|x|^{k}-C \ln |x| \geq \frac{C}{2}|x|^{k}
$$

for $|x| \gg 1$. This yields the inequalities

$$
\infty>\left.\int_{|x|>N}|V|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x\right|^{-2} d x \geq \frac{C}{2} \int_{|x|>N}|x|^{2 k-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x
$$

The resulting integral diverges for $k \geq 1$. Hence ord $P(x)=0$ and $P(x)=C=$ const. Thus,

$$
V=C+\Gamma(x) C_{0}+R_{1}(x), \quad R_{1}(x)=\sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+v^{\beta}(x)
$$

We now claim that $C_{0}=0$. Indeed, if $C_{0} \neq 0$, then

$$
|V|=\left|C+\Gamma(x) C_{0}+R_{1}(x)\right| \geq \operatorname{const}\left(C_{0}\right)|\ln | x| |-C-\left|R_{1}(x)\right| \geq \frac{\operatorname{const}\left(C_{0}\right)}{2} \ln |x|
$$

because $\left|R_{1}(x)\right| \ll 1$ for $|x| \gg 1$. By Hardy's inequality [6],

$$
\begin{gathered}
\infty>\left.\int_{|x|>N}|V|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x\right|^{-2} d x \\
\geq \frac{\operatorname{const}\left(C_{0}\right)}{2} \int_{|x|>N}|\ln | x| |^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x=\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

This contradiction shows that $C_{0}=0$. Hence,

$$
C+R_{1}(x)=\sum_{i=3}^{k+2} c_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}
$$

and, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=3}^{k+2} c_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}-C=R_{1}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C=-\left(c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, then by our choice of the function $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, we obtain

$$
-C=c_{1}^{\prime} w_{1}+c_{2}^{\prime} w_{2}
$$

Hence, by (32),

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k+2} c_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}=0, \quad \text { that is, } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}=-c_{k+1}^{\prime} w_{k+1}-c_{k+2}^{\prime} w_{k+2}
$$

Since $w_{k+1}=\Gamma(x) \tilde{c}_{1}$ and $w_{k+2}=\Gamma(x) \tilde{c}_{2}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}=-c_{k+1}^{\prime} \Gamma(x) \tilde{c}_{1}-c_{k+2}^{\prime} \Gamma(x) \tilde{c}_{2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side of (33) is a polynomial while its right-hand side has logarithmic growth as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, therefore they both vanish, and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}=0$.

Since $w_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k$, form a basis in the space of polynomial solutions, it follows that $c_{i}^{\prime}=0, i=1, \ldots, k, \Gamma(x)\left(c_{k+1}^{\prime} \tilde{c}_{1}+c_{k+2}^{\prime} \tilde{c}_{2}\right)=0$, and in view of the linear independence of the vectors $\tilde{c}_{1}$ and $\tilde{c}_{2}$, we obtain $c_{k+1}^{\prime}=c_{k+2}^{\prime}=0$.

Hence the $z_{i}, i=3, \ldots, k+2$, are linearly independent solutions, that is, the Dirichlet-Robin problem (1), (2) supplemented with the condition $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ has at least, $k(r, 2)$ linearly independent solutions.
(ii) Let us prove that each solution $u$ of (1) with the boundary conditions (2) and $E_{a}(u, \Omega)<\infty$ for $-2 r-2 \leq a<-2 r$ may be represented as a linear combination of the sulutions $z_{i}, i=3, \ldots, k+2, z_{i}=w_{i}-v_{i}$.

By Lemma 1, a sloution $u$ of (1) in $\Omega$ has the form

$$
u=P(x)+\Gamma(x) C_{0}+R_{1}(x)
$$

where $P(x)$ is a polynomial of degree ord $P(x) \leq m=[-a / 2]$ and $R_{1}(x)=\sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq \beta} \partial^{\alpha} \Gamma(x) C_{\alpha}+u^{\beta}(x)$.
Since $-2 r-2 \leq a<-2 r$, we have $-1-a / 2 \leq r<-a / 2$ and, therefore, $r=[-a / 2]=m$. Hence ord $P(x) \leq r$.

We claim that $P(x)$ is a solution of (1). Indeed, we have

$$
0=L u(x)=L P(x)+L R(x)
$$

where $L R(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.
Since $L P(x)$ is a polynomial and $L P(x)=-L R(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $L P(x) \equiv 0$, that is, $P(x)$ is a polynomial solution of (1). Hence it is a finite linear combination of the functions $w_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$ :

$$
P(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i} w_{i}, \quad d_{i}=\text { const }
$$

Let $C_{0}=d_{k+1} \tilde{c}_{1}+d_{k+2} \tilde{c}_{2}$. To show that $u=\sum_{i=3}^{k+2} d_{i} z_{i}$, we put

$$
u_{0}=u-\sum_{i=3}^{k+2} d_{i} z_{i}
$$

After elementary transformations we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{0}=P(x)+\Gamma(x) C_{0}+R_{1}(x)-\sum_{i=3}^{k+2} d_{i}\left(w_{i}-v_{i}\right. \\
=\Psi+R_{1}(x)+\sum_{i=3}^{k+2} d_{i} v_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\Psi=\sum_{i=1}^{2} d_{i} w_{i}$.
We claim that $u_{0} \equiv 0$. Indeed, we have $L u_{0}=0$ in $\Omega,\left.u_{0}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\left(\sigma\left(u_{0}\right)+\tau u_{0}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=0$. By the construction of the solutions $v_{i}$ we get

$$
D\left(v_{i}, \Omega\right)<\infty, \quad \int_{|x|>N}\left|v_{i}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty, \quad i=\overline{3, k+2}
$$

It is easy to verify that $D\left(R_{1}(x), \Omega\right)<\infty, D(\Psi, \Omega)<\infty$ and

$$
\left.\int_{|x|>N}\left|R_{1}(x)\right|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x\right|^{-2} d x<\infty, \quad \int_{|x|>N}|\Psi|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty
$$

Hence it follows by the triangle inequality that $D\left(u_{0}, \Omega\right)<\infty$ and

$$
\int_{|x|>N}\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}|x|^{-2}|\ln | x| |^{-2} d x<\infty
$$

Using the unique solubility of problem $(w)$, we now see from Theorem 3 that $u_{0} \equiv 0$. Hence,

$$
u=\sum_{i=3}^{k+2} d_{i}\left(w_{i}-v_{i}\right)
$$

Thus the problem (1), (2) has $(k+2)-2=k=k(r, 2)$ linearly independent solutions. The proof is complete.

## 4. Conclusions

The problem of studying boundary value problems for the system of elasticity theory began to be dealt with at the beginning of the 20th century. One of the first papers initiating the systematic investigation of these problems was Fredholm's classical paper [42], in which the first boundary value problem for the linear elasticity system in the case of an isotropic homogeneous body was studied by the method of integral equations. The second boundary value problem for the elasticity system in the case of a bounded domain was studied by Korn [43], who was the first to establish inequalities between the Dirichlet integral $D(u, \Omega)$ of the solution and the energy $E(u, \Omega)$ of the system, which are now known as Korn's inequalities. Friedrichs's paper [44] played a major role in the analysis of the mathematical aspects of the stationary elasticity theory. In that paper Korn's inequalities are proved and the first and the second boundary value problems of the elasticity theory are analyzed in a bounded domain by the variational method. Here we also note Fichera's monograph [5], who used Korn's inequalities and functional methods to study various boundary value problems for the elasticity system. For a wide class of unbounded domains Kondratiev and Oleinik [6-8] established generalizations of Korn's and Hardy's inequalities and used them for the analysis of the main boundary value problems for the elasticity system. In particular, they investigated the existence, uniqueness and stability of solutions of boundary value problems with a finite energy integral.

This article considers the boundary value problem for the elasticity system in the exterior of a compact set with the mixed boundary conditions: the Dirichlet condition on one part of the boundary and the Robin condition on the other; and also with the condition of boundedness of the energy integral $E_{a}(u, \Omega)$ with the weight $|x|^{a}$, which characterizes the behavior of the solution of this problem at infinity. Depending on the value of the parameter $a$, for each interval, we determine the dimension of the kernel of the operator of the theory of elasticity. The main research method for constructing solutions to the mixed Dirichlet-Robin problem is the variational principle, which assumes the minimization of the corresponding functional in the class of admissible functions. Further, using Korn's and Hardy's-type inequalities, we obtain a criterion for the uniqueness (or non-uniqueness) of solutions to this problem in weighted spaces. These results find their practical application in the field of shell theory, mechanics of deformable solids, as well as in the study of some problems in the theory of scattering, optics, applied and astrophysics.

Note that a new inequality called the Korn's interpolation inequality (since it interpolates between the first and second Korn's inequalities) was applied to study shells. An asymptotically exact version of the interpolation estimate was proved by Harutyunyan (see [12], and other papers) for practically any thin domains and any vector field.

Further, this theory has found its development in many papers in the field of mathematical physics and applied mathematics; some of them are given in the bibliography.

## 5. Application

As an application, we note the book [45], in which astronomical optics and the elasticity theory give a very complete and comprehensive description of what is known in this field. After extensive introduction to optics and elasticity, this book discusses a multimode deformable mirror of variable curvature, as well as in-depth active optics, its theory, and fields of application.
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