

Article

# A Mizoguchi–Takahashi Type Fixed Point Theorem in Complete Extended $b$ -Metric Spaces

Nayab Alamgir <sup>1</sup>, Quanita Kiran <sup>2,\*</sup> , Hassen Aydi <sup>3,4,\*</sup>  and Aiman Mukheimer <sup>5</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> School of Natural Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Sector H-12, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan; nayab@sns.nust.edu.pk

<sup>2</sup> School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (SEECS), National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Sector H-12, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup> Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et des Techniques de Communication, Université de Sousse, H. Sousse 4000, Tunisia

<sup>4</sup> China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

<sup>5</sup> Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University Riyadh, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia; mukheimer@psu.edu.sa

\* Correspondence: quanita.kiran@seecs.edu.pk (Q.K.); hassen.aydi@isima.rnu.tn (H.A.)

Received: 13 April 2019; Accepted: 24 May 2019; Published: 26 May 2019



**Abstract:** In this paper, we prove a new fixed point theorem for a multi-valued mapping from a complete extended  $b$ -metric space  $\mathbf{U}$  into the non empty closed and bounded subsets of  $\mathbf{U}$ , which generalizes Nadler's fixed point theorem. We also establish some fixed point results, which generalize our first result. Furthermore, we establish Mizoguchi–Takahashi's type fixed point theorem for a multi-valued mapping from a complete extended  $b$ -metric space  $\mathbf{U}$  into the non empty closed and bounded subsets of  $\mathbf{U}$  that improves many existing results in the literature.

**Keywords:** complete extended  $b$ -metric space; Hausdorff metric; fixed point theorems

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  is an extended  $b$ -metric space. We denote by  $\mathcal{CL}(\mathbf{U})$  the set of all subsets of  $\mathbf{U}$  that are non empty and closed, by  $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  the set of all subsets of  $\mathbf{U}$  that are non empty closed and bounded and by  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U})$  the set of all subsets of  $\mathbf{U}$  that are non empty compacts.

An element  $u' \in \mathbf{U}$  is called a fixed point of a multi-valued map  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  if  $u' \in Fu'$ . An orbit for a mapping  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  at a point  $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$  denoted by  $O(F)$  is a sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  in  $\mathbf{U}$  such that  $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n$ . A mapping  $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $F$ -orbitally lower semi-continuous if for any sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  in  $O(F)$  and  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  implies  $f(u) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf f(u_n)$ .

Define a function  $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$ . For a constant  $q \in (0, 1)$ , define the set  $I_q^u \subset \mathbf{U}$  as

$$I_q^u = \{v \in Fu \mid qd_\phi(u, v) \leq d_\phi(u, Fu)\}.$$

The Pompeiu–Hausdorff distance measuring the distance between the subsets of a metric space was initiated by D. Pompeiu in [1]. The fixed point theory of set-valued contractions was initiated by Nadler [2], but later many authors extrapolated it multi directionally (see [3,4]).

**Theorem 1** (Reich [5]). *Let  $(\mathbf{U}, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U})$ . Assume that there exists a map  $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that*

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \text{ for all } t \in (0, \infty),$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}(Fu, Fv) \leq \eta(d(u, v))d(u, v), \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathbf{U}.$$

Then  $F$  has a fixed point.

In [5] Reich raised the question if the above theorem is also true for  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ . In [6], Mizoguchi and Takahashi gave supportive solution to the conjecture of [5] under the hypothesis  $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1$ , for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . In particular, they proved the following result:

**Theorem 2** (Mizoguchi, Takahashi [6]). *Let  $(\mathbf{U}, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ . Assume that there exists a map  $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that*

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty),$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}(Fu, Fv) \leq \eta(d(u, v))d(u, v), \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathbf{U}, u \neq v.$$

Then  $F$  has a fixed point.

In [7], Feng and Liu extended Nadler’s fixed point theorem, other than the direction of Reich and Takahashi. They proved a theorem as follows:

**Theorem 3** (Feng, Liu [7]). *Let  $(\mathbf{U}, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ . Assume that:*

- (i) *The map  $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(u) = d(u, Fu)$ ,  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ , is lower semi-continuous;*
- (ii) *There exist  $p, q \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p < q$  such that for all  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  there exists  $v \in \{v \in Fu \mid qd(u, v) \leq d(u, Fu)\}$  satisfying*

$$d(v, Fv) \leq pd(u, v).$$

Then  $F$  has a fixed point.

Hicks and Rhodes [8] and Klim and Wardowski [9] proved the following results:

**Theorem 4** ([8]). *Let  $(\mathbf{U}, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $g : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ ,  $0 \leq h < 1$ . Suppose there exists  $q$  such that*

$$d(gv, g^2v) \leq hd(v, gv), \text{ for every } y \in \{x, gx, g^2x, \dots\}.$$

Then

- (i)  *$\lim_n g^n x = q$  exists;*
- (ii)  *$d(g^n x, q) \leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} d(x, gx)$ ;*
- (iii)  *$q$  is a fixed point of  $g$  iff  $G(x) = d(x, gx)$  is  $g$ -orbitally lower semi-continuous at  $q$ .*

**Theorem 5** ([9]). *Let  $(\mathbf{U}, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U})$ . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *The map  $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(u) = d(u, Fu)$ ,  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ , is lower semi-continuous;*
- (ii) *There exists a map  $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that*

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \text{ for all } t \in (0, \infty),$$

and for all  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  there exists  $v \in \{v \in Fu : d(u, v) \leq d(u, Fu)\}$  satisfying

$$d(v, Fv) \leq \eta(d(u, v))d(u, v).$$

Then  $F$  has a fixed point.

In 2007, Kamran [10] logically presented Mizoguchi–Takahashi’s type fixed point theorem, that simply generalizes Theorems 4 and 5.

The idea of generalizing metric spaces into  $b$ -metric spaces was initiated from the works of Bakhtin [11], Bourbaki [12], and Czerwik [13,14]. In [15], the notion of  $b$ -metric space was generalized further by introducing the concept of extended  $b$ -metric spaces (see also [16–18]) as follows:

**Definition 1** ([15]). Let  $\mathbf{U}$  be a non empty set and  $\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ . A function  $d_\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an extended  $b$ -metric, if for all  $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbf{U}$  it satisfies:

- (i)  $d_\phi(u_1, u_2) = 0$  if and only if  $u_1 = u_2$ ,
- (ii)  $d_\phi(u_1, u_2) = d_\phi(u_2, u_1)$ ,
- (iii)  $d_\phi(u_1, u_3) \leq \phi(u_1, u_3)[d_\phi(u_1, u_2) + d_\phi(u_2, u_3)]$ .

The pair  $(\mathbf{X}, d_\phi)$  is called an extended  $b$ -metric space.

**Remark 1** ([15]). Every  $b$ -metric space is an extended  $b$ -metric space with a constant function  $\phi(x_1, x_2) = s$ , for  $s \geq 1$ , but its converse is not true in general.

**Example 1.** Let  $\mathbf{U} = \{u \in \mathbb{R} : u \geq 1\}$ . Define  $d_\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  as follows:

$$d_\phi(u_1, u_2) = (u_1 - u_2)^2, \quad \phi(u_1, u_2) = 1 + u_1 + u_2,$$

for all  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$ . Then  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  is an extended  $b$ -metric space.

For more examples and recent results see [19]. Also, in [20] Muhammad Usman Ali et al. established fixed point results for new  $F$ -contractions of Hardy–Rogers type in the setting of  $b$ -metric space and proved the existence theorem for Volterra-type integral inclusion. Their results generalized many existence results in the literature. Finally in [21], authors introduced the notion of a generalized Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric induced by the extended  $b$ -metric as follows:

**Definition 2.** ([21]) Let  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  be an extended  $b$ -metric space, where  $\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  is bounded. Then for all  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ , where  $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  denotes the family of all non empty closed and bounded subsets of  $\mathbf{U}$ , the Hausdorff–Pompieu metric on  $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  induced by  $d_\phi$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{H}_\Phi(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \max\{\sup_{a \in \mathbf{A}} d_\phi(a, \mathbf{B}), \sup_{b \in \mathbf{B}} d_\phi(b, \mathbf{A})\},$$

where for every  $a \in \mathbf{A}$ ,  $d_\phi(a, \mathbf{B}) = \inf\{d_\phi(a, b) : b \in \mathbf{B}\}$  and  $\Phi : \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U}) \times \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  is such that

$$\Phi(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \sup\{\phi(a, b) : a \in \mathbf{A}, b \in \mathbf{B}\}.$$

**Theorem 6.** ([21]) Let  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  be an extended  $b$ -metric space. Then  $(\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U}), \mathbf{H}_\Phi)$  is an extended Hausdorff–Pompieu  $b$ -metric space.

In this paper, we extend Nadler’s fixed point theorem for the extended  $b$ -metric space. Moreover, we improve Mizoguchi–Takahashi’s type fixed point theorem (Theorem 1.2, [10]) for the extended  $b$ -metric space when  $F$  is a multi-valued mapping from  $\mathbf{U}$  to  $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ . Our results generalize Theorems 4 and 5 in the setting of extended  $b$ -metric spaces which in turn generalize many existing results including Theorems 1–3.

## 2. Main Results

We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{CLB}(U)$ , then for every  $\eta > 0$  and  $y \in Y$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that*

$$d_\phi(x, y) \leq H_\Phi(X, Y) + \eta.$$

**Proof.** By definition of the Hausdorff metric, for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{CLB}(U)$  and for any  $y \in Y$ , we have

$$d_\phi(X, y) \leq H_\Phi(X, Y).$$

By the definition of an infimum, we can let  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$d_\phi(y, x_n) < d_\phi(y, X) + \eta, \text{ where } \eta > 0. \tag{1}$$

We know that  $X$  is closed and bounded, so there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Therefore by (1), we have

$$d_\phi(x, y) < d_\phi(X, y) + \eta \leq H_\Phi(X, Y) + \eta.$$

□

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $(U, d_\phi)$  be a complete extended b-metric space. If  $F : U \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(U)$  satisfies the inequality*

$$H_\Phi(Fu, Fv) \leq \eta d_\phi(u, v), \text{ for all } u, v \in U, \tag{2}$$

where  $\eta \in [0, 1)$  is a real constant such that  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \eta d_\phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$ , then  $F$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Let us consider  $\eta > 0$ . Let  $u_0 \in U$  and choose  $u_1 \in Fu_0$ . Since  $Fu_0, Fu_1 \in \mathcal{CLB}(U)$  and  $u_1 \in Fu_0$ , then by Lemma 1, there exists  $u_2 \in Fu_1$  such that

$$d_\phi(u_1, u_2) \leq H_\Phi(Fu_0, Fu_1) + \eta.$$

Now since  $Fu_1, Fu_2 \in \mathcal{CLB}(U)$  and  $u_2 \in Fu_1$ , there is a point  $u_3 \in Fu_2$  such that

$$d_\phi(u_2, u_3) \leq H_\Phi(Fu_1, Fu_2) + \eta^2.$$

Continuing in this fashion, we obtain a sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of elements of  $U$  such that  $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n$  and

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq H_\Phi(Fu_{n-1}, Fu_n) + \eta^n, \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

By (2), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \eta d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) + \eta^n \\ &\leq \eta(\eta d_\phi(u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}) + \eta^{n-1}) + \eta^n \\ &\leq \eta^2 d_\phi(u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}) + 2\eta^n. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way, we have

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \eta^n d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + n\eta^n, \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \tag{3}$$

By the triangle inequality and (3) for  $m > n$ , we have

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq \phi(u_n, u_m)[\eta^n d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + n\eta^n] + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)[\eta^{n+1} d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + (n + 1)\eta^{n+1}] + \dots + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m) [\eta^{m-1} d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + (m - 1)\eta^{m-1}],$$

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq d_\phi(u_0, u_1)[\phi(u_n, u_m)\eta^n + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)\eta^{n+1} + \dots + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)\eta^{m-1}] + [\phi(u_n, u_m)n\eta^n + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)(n + 1)\eta^{n+1} + \dots + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)(m - 1)\eta^{m-1}],$$

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq d_\phi(u_0, u_1)[\phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)\eta^n + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)\eta^{n+1} + \dots + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)\eta^{m-1}] + [\phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)n\eta^n + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)(n + 1)\eta^{n+1} + \dots + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)(m - 1)\eta^{m-1}].$$

Since  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)\eta < 1$ , the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m) \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\eta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m)$$

converges by the ratio test for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m), \quad S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \eta^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_m),$$

and

$$S' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\eta^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m), \quad S'_n = \sum_{j=1}^n j\eta^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_m).$$

Thus for  $m > n$ , the above inequality implies

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq d_\phi(u_0, u_1)[S_{m-1} - S_n] + [S'_{m-1} - S'_n].$$

By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $\mathbf{U}$  is complete, there exists  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$  (so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n+1} = u$ ). Now by the triangle inequality

$$d_\phi(Fu, u) \leq \phi(Fu, u)[d_\phi(Fu, u_n) + d_\phi(u_n, u)] \leq \phi(Fu, u)[\eta d_\phi(u, u_{n-1}) + d_\phi(u_n, u)].$$

This implies that

$$d_\phi(Fu, u) \leq 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \\ d_\phi(Fu, u) = 0.$$

Hence  $u$  is a fixed point of  $F$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** Let us consider a multi-valued mapping  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ , where  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  is a complete extended  $b$ -metric space. Furthermore, let us consider that the following two conditions hold:

- (i) The map  $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$ ,  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ , is lower semi-continuous;
- (ii) There exist  $p, q \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p < q$  such that for all  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  there exists  $v \in I_q^u$  satisfying

$$d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq pd_\phi(u, v).$$

Moreover  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha\phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$ , for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $\mathbf{U}$ .

**Proof.** As  $Fu \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  for any  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $I_q^u$  is non void for any constant  $q \in (0, 1)$ . For some arbitrary point  $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ , there exists  $u_1 \in I_q^{u_0}$  such that

$$d_\phi(u_1, Fu_1) \leq pd_\phi(u_0, u_1).$$

And, for  $u_1 \in \mathbf{U}$ , there exists  $u_2 \in I_q^{u_1}$  satisfying

$$d_\phi(u_2, Fu_2) \leq pd_\phi(u_1, u_2).$$

Continuing in this fashion, we can get an iterative sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ , where  $u_{n+1} \in I_q^{u_n}$  and

$$d_\phi(u_{n+1}, Fu_{n+1}) \leq pd_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now we will prove that  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence. On the one hand,

$$d_\phi(u_{n+1}, Fu_{n+1}) \leq pd_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{4}$$

On the other hand,  $u_{n+1} \in I_q^{u_n}$  implies

$$qd_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By the above two equations, we have

$$d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \leq \frac{p}{q}d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{5}$$

$$d_\phi(u_{n+1}, Fu_{n+1}) \leq \frac{p}{q}d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By inequality (5), it is easy to prove that

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \frac{p^n}{q^n}d_\phi(u_0, u_1), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) \leq \frac{p^n}{q^n}d_\phi(u_0, Fu_0), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{6}$$

Let  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$ . Since  $p < q$  we have  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q} < 1$ . By taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (6), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) = 0. \tag{7}$$

By the triangle inequality and (6), for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > n$

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq \phi(u_n, u_m)[d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) + d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)],$$

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq \phi(u_n, u_m)d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)[d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + d_\phi(u_{n+2}, u_m)],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_\phi(u_n, u_m) &\leq \phi(u_n, u_m)d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) + \dots \\
 &\quad + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)d_\phi(u_{m-1}, u_m), \\
 d_\phi(u_n, u_m) &\leq \phi(u_n, u_m)\alpha^n d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)\alpha^{n+1}d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + \dots \\
 &\quad + \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)\alpha^{m-1}d_\phi(u_0, u_1), \\
 d_\phi(u_n, u_m) &\leq d_\phi(u_0, u_1)[\phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)\alpha^n + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \\
 &\quad \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m)\alpha^{n+1} + \dots + \phi(u_1, u_m)\phi(u_2, u_m) \dots \phi(u_n, u_m)\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \\
 &\quad \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m)\alpha^{m-1}].
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha < 1$  so  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha\phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$ . Therefore the series  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m)$  converges by ratio test for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha^n \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(u_i, u_m), \quad \text{and} \quad S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_m).$$

Thus for  $m > n$  the above inequality implies

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_m) \leq d_\phi(u_0, u_1)[S_{m-1} - S_n].$$

By taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence. As  $\mathbf{U}$  is complete, there exists  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ .

On the other hand as  $f$  is lower semi-continuous, so from (7) we have

$$0 \leq f(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(u_n) = 0.$$

Hence  $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu) = 0$ . Finally, by the closeness of  $Fu$ , we have  $u \in Fu$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** Let us consider a multi-valued mapping  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ , where  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  is a complete extended  $b$ -metric space. Furthermore, let us consider that the following two conditions hold:

- (i) The map  $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$ ,  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ , is lower semi-continuous;
- (ii) There exist  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, q)$  such that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < q, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty) \tag{8}$$

and for all  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ , there exists  $v \in I_q^u$  satisfying

$$d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u, v))d_\phi(u, v), \text{ for all } u \in \mathbf{U} \text{ and } v \in Fu. \tag{9}$$

Moreover  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha\phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$ , for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $\mathbf{U}$ .

**Proof.** Let us assume that  $F$  has no fixed point, so  $d_\phi(u, Fu) > 0$  for each  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ . Since  $Fu \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ , for any  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $I_q^u$  is non void for any constant  $q \in (0, 1)$ . If  $v = u$  then  $u \in Fu$ , which is a contradiction. Hence for all  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ , there exist  $v \in Fu$  with  $u \neq v$  such that

$$qd_\phi(u, v) \leq d_\phi(u, Fu). \tag{10}$$

Let us take an arbitrary point  $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ . By (10) and (ii), there exists  $u_1 \in Fu_0$  with  $u_1 \neq u_0$ , satisfying

$$qd_\phi(u_0, u_1) \leq d_\phi(u_0, Fu_0), \tag{11}$$

and

$$d_\phi(u_1, Fu_1) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))d_\phi(u_0, u_1), \quad \eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1)) < q. \tag{12}$$

From (11) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_0, Fu_0) - d_\phi(u_1, Fu_1) &\geq qd_\phi(u_0, u_1) - \eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))d_\phi(u_0, u_1) \\ &\geq [q - \eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))]d_\phi(u_0, u_1) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, for  $u_1$ , there exists  $u_2 \in Fu_1, u_2 \neq u_1$ , such that

$$qd_\phi(u_1, u_2) \leq d_\phi(u_1, Fu_1), \tag{13}$$

and

$$d_\phi(u_2, Fu_2) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u_1, u_2))d_\phi(u_1, u_2), \quad \eta(d_\phi(u_1, u_2)) < q. \tag{14}$$

By (13) and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_1, Fu_1) - d_\phi(u_2, Fu_2) &\geq qd_\phi(u_1, u_2) - \eta(d_\phi(u_1, u_2))d_\phi(u_1, u_2) \\ &\geq [q - \eta(d_\phi(u_1, u_2))]d_\phi(u_1, u_2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore from (12) and (13)

$$d_\phi(u_1, u_2) \leq \frac{1}{q}d_\phi(u_1, Fu_1) \leq \frac{1}{q}\eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))d_\phi(u_0, u_1) < d_\phi(u_0, u_1).$$

Continuing in this fashion, for  $u_n, n > 1$ , there exists  $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n, u_{n+1} \neq u_n$  satisfying

$$qd_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n), \tag{15}$$

and

$$d_\phi(u_{n+1}, Fu_{n+1}) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}))d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}), \quad \eta(d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1})) < q. \tag{16}$$

From (15) and (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) - d_\phi(u_{n+1}, Fu_{n+1}) &\geq qd_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) - \eta(d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}))d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \\ &\geq [q - \eta(d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}))]d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) < d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n). \tag{17}$$

From above both equations, it follows that the sequences  $\{d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n)\}$  and  $\{d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1})\}$  are decreasing, and hence convergent. Now from (8), there exists  $q' \in [0, q)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \eta(d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1})) = q'$ . Therefore for any  $q_0 \in (q', q)$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\eta(d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1})) < q_0, \quad \text{for all } n > n_0 \tag{18}$$

Consequently from (15) and (16), we have

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) < \alpha d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n), \tag{19}$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{q_0}{q}$  and  $n > n_0$ . Furthermore, from (15)–(17), for  $n > n_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) &\leq \eta d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) \leq \frac{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))}{q} d_\phi(u_{n-1}, Fu_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \frac{(\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n)) \dots \eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1)))}{q^n} d_\phi(u_0, Fu_0) \\ &= \frac{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n)) \dots \eta(d_\phi(u_{n_0+1}, u_{n_0+2}))}{q^{n-n_0}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n_0}, u_{n_0+1})) \dots \eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))}{q^{n_0}} d_\phi(u_0, Fu_0) \\ &< \left(\frac{q_0}{q}\right)^{n-n_0} \frac{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n_0}, u_{n_0+1})) \dots \eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))}{q^{n_0}} d_\phi(u_0, Fu_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q_0 < q$ , clearly  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{q_0}{q}\right)^{n-n_0} = 0$ . This gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) = 0.$$

Let  $m > n > n_0$ , from the triangle inequality and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_m) &\leq \phi(u_n, u_m) d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \phi(u_n, u_m) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) + \dots \\ &\quad + \phi(u_n, u_m) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m) d_\phi(u_{m-1}, u_m), \\ d_\phi(u_n, u_m) &\leq \phi(u_n, u_m) \alpha^n d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + \phi(u_n, u_m) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \alpha^{n+1} d_\phi(u_0, u_1) + \dots \\ &\quad + \phi(u_n, u_m) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_m) \dots \phi(u_{m-1}, u_m) \alpha^{m-1} d_\phi(u_0, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

By using the analogous procedure as in Theorem 8, there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  such that  $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n, u_{n+1} \neq u_n$ . As  $\mathbf{U}$  is complete, therefore there exists  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$ . By (i), we obtain

$$0 \leq d_\phi(u, Fu) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) = 0.$$

By the closedness of  $Fu$ , we have  $u \in Fu$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $F$  has no fixed point.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** Let  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U})$  be a multi-valued mapping, where  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  is a complete extended b-metric space. Furthermore, let us consider that the following conditions hold:

- (i) The map  $f : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu), u \in \mathbf{U}$ , is lower semi-continuous;
- (ii) There exists  $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty),$$

and for all  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ , there exists  $v \in I_1^u$  satisfying

$$d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u, v)) d_\phi(u, v), \text{ for all } u \in \mathbf{U} \text{ and } v \in Fu.$$

Moreover  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$ , for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $\mathbf{U}$ .

**Proof.** Let us assume that  $F$  has no fixed point, so  $d_\phi(u, Fu) > 0$  for any  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ . Since  $Fu \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{U})$  for any  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $I_1^u$  is non empty. If  $v = u$  then  $u \in Fu$ , which is a contradiction. Hence for all  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ , there exists  $v \in Fu$  with  $u \neq v$  such that

$$d_\phi(u, v) \leq d_\phi(u, Fu). \tag{20}$$

Let us consider an arbitrary point  $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ . From (20), by using the analogous procedure as in Theorem 9, we obtain the existence of a Cauchy sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  such that  $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n$ ,  $u_{n+1} \neq u_n$ , satisfying

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) = d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n)$$

and

$$d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n), \quad \eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n)) < 1.$$

Since  $\mathbf{U}$  is complete, there exists  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$ . By (i), we obtain

$$0 \leq d_\phi(u, Fu) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) = 0.$$

By the closedness of  $Fu$ , we have  $u \in Fu$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $F$  has no fixed point.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  be an extended  $b$ -metric space. Then for any  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  and  $\alpha > 1$ , there exists an element  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ , where  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  such that

$$d_\phi(u, x) \leq \alpha d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}). \tag{21}$$

**Proof.** Let us suppose that  $d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}) = 0$  then  $u \in \mathbf{X}$ , since  $\mathbf{X}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbf{U}$ . Further, let us suppose that  $x = u$ , so (21) holds. Now, suppose that  $d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}) > 0$  and choose

$$\epsilon = (\alpha - 1)d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}). \tag{22}$$

Then using the definition of  $d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X})$ , there exists  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  such that

$$d_\phi(u, x) \leq d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}) + \epsilon, \quad \text{where } \epsilon > 0. \tag{23}$$

By putting (22) in (23), we get

$$d_\phi(u, x) \leq \alpha d_\phi(u, \mathbf{X}).$$

$\square$

**Theorem 10.** Let  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  be a complete extended  $b$ -metric space and  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  be a multi-valued mapping satisfying

$$d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u, v))d_\phi(u, v), \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbf{U} \text{ and } v \in Fu, \tag{24}$$

where  $\eta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  such that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty). \tag{25}$$

Moreover, let us suppose that  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \phi(u_n, u_m) < 1$ , for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then

- (i) There exists an orbit  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of  $F$  for each  $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$  for  $u \in \mathbf{U}$ ;
- (ii)  $u$  is a fixed point of  $F$ , if and only if the function  $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$  is  $F$ -orbitally lower semi-continuous at  $u$ .

**Proof.** Let us assume  $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$  and choose  $u_1 \in Fu_0$ , since  $Fu_0 \neq 0$ . If  $u_0 = u_1$ , then  $u_0$  is a fixed point of  $F$ . Let  $u_0 \neq u_1$ , by taking  $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))}}$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that there exists  $u_2 \in Fu_1$  such that

$$d_\phi(u_1, u_2) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))}} d_\phi(u_1, Fu_1).$$

Continuing in this fashion, we produce a sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of points in  $\mathbf{U}$  such that  $u_{n+1} \in Fu_n$  and

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))}} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n). \tag{26}$$

Now assume that  $u_{n-1} \neq u_n$ , for otherwise  $u_{n-1}$  is fixed point of  $F$ . Using (24), it follows from (26) that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))} d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) \\ &< d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Hence  $\{d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1})\}$  is a decreasing sequence, so it converges to some non-negative real number. Let  $a$  be the limit of  $\{d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1})\}$ . Clearly,  $a = 0$ , for otherwise by taking limits in (27), we obtain  $a \leq \sqrt{c}a$ , where  $c = \limsup_{s \rightarrow a^+} \eta(s)$ . From (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))} \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}))} d_\phi(u_{n-2}, u_{n-1}) \dots \\ &\dots \leq \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))} \dots \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))} d_\phi(u_0, u_1). \end{aligned}$$

From (25), we can choose  $\delta > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\eta(t) < \alpha^2, \text{ for } t \in (0, \delta).$$

Let  $N$  be such that  $d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) < \delta$  for  $n \geq N$ . From (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) \leq \dots \\ &\leq \alpha^{n-N+1} d_\phi(u_{N-1}, u_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence from the inequality (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha^{n-N+1} [\sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_{N-2}, u_{N-1}))} \dots \sqrt{\eta(d_\phi(u_0, u_1))}] d_\phi(u_0, u_1) \\ &< \alpha^{n-N+1} d_\phi(u_0, u_1). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Therefore from the triangle inequality and (28) for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) &\leq \phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+1}) + \phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+m}) d_\phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + \\ &\dots + \phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+m}) \dots \phi(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m}) \\ &d_\phi(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) &\leq \alpha^{n-N+1} [\phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) + \alpha^2 \phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+m}) + \dots + \alpha^{m-n-1} \\ &\phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) \phi(u_{n+1}, u_{n+m}) \dots \phi(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m})] d_\phi(u_0, u_1), \end{aligned}$$

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) \leq \alpha^{n-N+1} [\phi(u_1, u_{n+m})\phi(u_2, u_{n+m}) \dots \phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) + \phi(u_1, u_{n+m})\phi(u_2, u_{n+m}) \dots \phi(u_{n+m-1}, u_{n+m})] d_\phi(u_0, u_1).$$

Since  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \phi(u_n, u_m)\alpha < 1$ , the series  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_{n+m})$  converges by the ratio test for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_{n+m}), \quad S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j \prod_{i=1}^j \phi(u_i, u_{n+m}).$$

Thus for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ , the above inequality implies

$$d_\phi(u_n, u_{n+m}) \leq \alpha^{n-N+1} [S_{m-1} - S_n].$$

By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbf{U}$ . As  $\mathbf{U}$  is complete, there exists  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ . Since  $u_n \in Fu_{n-1}$ , it follows from (24) that

$$d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n))d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n) < d_\phi(u_{n-1}, u_n).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , from the above inequality we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) = 0.$$

Suppose  $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$  is  $F$  orbitally semi-continuous at  $u$ ,

$$d_\phi(u, Fu) = f(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(u_n) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\phi(u_n, Fu_n) = 0.$$

Hence  $u \in Fu$ , since  $Fu$  is closed. Conversely let us suppose that  $u$  is a fixed point of  $F$  ( $u \in Fu$ ), then  $f(u) = 0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf f(u_n)$ . Hence  $f$  is  $F$  orbitally semi-continuous at  $u$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.** Theorem 10 improves Theorem 1, since  $F$  may take values in  $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$ . Since  $d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq H(Fu, Fv)$  for  $v \in Fu$ . We have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  be a complete extended  $b$ -metric space and  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  be such that

$$H_\Phi(Fu, Fv) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u, v))d_\phi(u, v), \quad \text{for each } u \in \mathbf{U} \text{ and } v \in Fu,$$

where  $\eta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is such that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \eta(s) < 1, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Then

- (i) there exist an orbit  $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of  $F$  for each  $u_0 \in \mathbf{U}$  and  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$ ;
- (ii)  $u$  is a fixed point of  $F$ , if and only if the function  $f(u) = d_\phi(u, Fu)$  is  $F$ -orbitally lower semi-continuous at  $u$ .

**Remark 3.** Theorem 7 extends Nadler’s fixed point theorem when  $\mathbf{U}$  is the extended  $b$ -metric space.

**Remark 4.** Theorem 8 is a generalization of 7. The following example shows that generalization.

**Example 2.** Let  $\mathbf{U} = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^n}, \dots\} \cup \{0, 1\}$  and  $d_\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a mapping defined as  $d_\phi(u_1, u_2) = (u_1 - u_2)^2$ , for  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$ , where  $\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  is a mapping defined by  $\phi(u_1, u_2) = u_1 + u_2 + 2$ . Then  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  is a complete extended b-metric space. Define  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  as

$$F(u) = \begin{cases} \{\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, 1\}, & u = \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}, & u = 0. \end{cases}$$

In a sense of Theorem 7, clearly  $F$  is not contractive, in fact

$$\mathbf{H}_\Phi\left(F\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right), F(0)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2^{2n}} = d_\phi(u_1, u_2), \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

On the other way,

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2^{n+1}})^2, & u = \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \\ u, & u = 0, 1 \end{cases}$$

Hence  $f$  is continuous, so it is clearly lower semi-continuous. Furthermore there exists  $v \in I_{0.7}^u$  for any  $u \in \mathbf{U}$  such that

$$d_\phi(v, F(v)) = \frac{1}{4}d_\phi(u, v).$$

Thus the existence of a fixed point follows from Theorem 8. Hence Theorem 8 is a generalization of Theorem 7.

**Remark 5.** Theorem 9 is an extension of Theorem 8. In fact, let us consider a constant map  $\eta = c$ , where  $0 < c < q$ . Thus the hypotheses of Theorem 9 are fulfilled. On the other hand, there exists a map which fulfills the hypotheses of Theorem 9, but does not fulfill the hypotheses of Theorem 8. See the following example:

**Example 3.** Let  $\mathbf{U} = [0, 1]$  and  $d_\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a mapping defined as  $d_\phi(u_1, u_2) = (u_1 - u_2)^2$ , for  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$ , where  $\phi : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  is a mapping defined by  $\phi(u_1, u_2) = u_1 + u_2 + 2$ . Then  $(\mathbf{U}, d_\phi)$  is a complete extended b-metric space. Let  $F : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  be such that

$$F(u) = \begin{cases} \{\frac{1}{2^{u^2}}\}, & u \in [0, \frac{15}{32}) \cup (\frac{15}{32}, 1], \\ \{\frac{17}{96}, \frac{1}{4}\}, & u = \frac{15}{32}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $q = \frac{3}{4}$  and let  $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, q)$  be of the form

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}t, & \text{for } t \in [0, \frac{7}{24}) \cup (\frac{7}{24}, \frac{1}{2}), \\ \frac{425}{768}, & \text{for } t = \frac{7}{24}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for } t = [\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Since

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} (u - \frac{1}{2}u^2)^2, & \text{for } u \in [0, \frac{15}{32}) \cup (\frac{15}{32}, 1], \\ \frac{49}{1024}, & \text{for } u = \frac{15}{32}. \end{cases}$$

Obviously  $f$  is a lower semi-continuous. Further, for any  $u \in [0, \frac{15}{32}) \cup (\frac{15}{32}, 1]$  and  $v = \frac{1}{2}u^2$ , we have

$$qd_\phi(u, v) \leq d_\phi(u, Fu),$$

and

$$d_\phi(v, Fv) \leq \eta(d_\phi(u, v))d_\phi(u, v).$$

Of course these both inequalities hold for  $u = \frac{15}{32}$  and  $v = \frac{17}{96}$ . Hence all the hypotheses of Theorem 9 are satisfied and the fixed point of  $F$  is  $\{0\}$ . Next let us suppose that, if  $q \in (0, \frac{3}{4}]$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$  is such that  $p < q$ , then, for  $u = 1$ , we have  $v = 1/2$  and consequently

$$d_{\phi}\left(\frac{1}{2}, F\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) > pd_{\phi}\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

If  $q \in (3/4, 1)$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$  is such that  $p < q$ , then for  $u = \frac{15}{32}$ , we have  $Fu = \{\frac{17}{96}, \frac{1}{4}\}$ . Thus, in the case  $v = \frac{17}{96}$ , we obtain

$$qd_{\phi}\left(\frac{15}{32}, \frac{17}{96}\right) > d_{\phi}\left(\frac{15}{32}, F\left(\frac{15}{32}\right)\right),$$

and, in the case  $v = \frac{1}{4}$ , we have

$$d_{\phi}\left(\frac{1}{4}, F\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right) > pd_{\phi}\left(\frac{15}{32}, \frac{1}{4}\right).$$

Hence hypotheses of Theorem 8 are not fulfilled.

**Remark 6.** Theorem 10 is an extension of (Theorem 2.1, [10]) for the case when  $F$  is a multi-valued mapping from  $\mathbf{U}$  to  $\mathcal{CLB}(\mathbf{U})$  and hence generalizes Theorems 4 and 5 and also the results of [2,5,7,22].

**Author Contributions:** All authors contributed equally in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding:** The fourth author would like to thank Prince Sultan University for funding this work through research group Nonlinear Analysis Methods in Applied Mathematics (NAMAM) group number RG-DES-2017-01-17.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Pompeiu, D. Sur la continuité des fonctions de variables complexes. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse* **1905**, *7*, 264–315.
- Nadler, S.B., Jr. Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Not. Am. Math. Soc.* **1967**, *14*, 930. [CrossRef]
- Aydi, H.; Abbas, M.; Vetro, C. Partial Hausdorff Metric and Nadler's Fixed Point Theorem on Partial Metric Spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **2012**, *159*, 3234–3242. [CrossRef]
- Aydi, H.; Abbas, M.; Vetro, C. Common Fixed points for multivalued generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *RACSAM* **2014**, *108*, 483–501. [CrossRef]
- Reich, S. Fixed points of contractive functions. *Boll. Unione Mater. Ital.* **1972**, *4*, 26–42.
- Mizoguchi, N.; Takahashi, W. Fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings on complete metric space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **1989**, *141*, 177–188. [CrossRef]
- Feng, Y.; Liu, S. Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractive mappings and multi-valued Caristi type mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2006**, *317*, 103–112. [CrossRef]
- Hicks, T.L.; Rhoades, B.E. A Banach type fixed point theorem. *Math. Jpn.* **1979**, *24*, 327–330.
- Klim, D.; Wardowski, D. Fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in complete metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2007**, *334*, 132–139. [CrossRef]
- Kamran, T. Mizoguchi-Takahashi's type fixed point theorem. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2009**, *57*, 507–511. [CrossRef]
- Bakhtin, I.A. The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces. *Funct. Anal.* **1989**, *30*, 26–37.
- Bourbaki, N. *Topologie Generale*; Hermann: Paris, France, 1974.
- Czerwik, S. Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* **1993**, *1*, 5–11.
- Czerwik, S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Atti Sem. Mater. Fis. Univ. Modena* **1998**, *46*, 263–276.
- Kamran, T.; Samreen, M.; UL Ain, Q. A generalization of  $b$ -metric space and some fixed point theorems. *Mathematics* **2017**, *5*, 19. [CrossRef]
- Mlaiki, N.; Aydi, H.; Souayah, N.; Abdeljawad, T. Controlled metric type spaces and the related contraction principle. *Mathematics* **2018**, *6*, 194. [CrossRef]

17. Abdeljawad, T.; Mlaiki, N.; Aydi, H.; Souayah, N. Double Controlled Metric Type Spaces and Some Fixed Point Results. *Mathematics* **2018**, *6*, 320. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Kiran, Q.; Alamgir, N.; Mlaiki, N.; Aydi, H. On some new fixed point results in complete extended  $b$ -metric spaces. *Mathematics* **2019**, in press.
19. Samreen, M.; Kamran, T.; Postolache, M. Extended  $b$ -metric space, extended  $b$ -comparison function and nonlinear contractions. *Univ. Politeh. Buchar. Sci. Bull. Ser. A* **2018**, *4*, 21–28.
20. Ali, M.U.; Kamran, T.; Postolache, M. Solution of Volterra integral inclusion in  $b$ -metric spaces via new fixed point theorem. *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control* **2017**, *22*, 17–30. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Subashi, L.; Gjini, N. Some results on extended  $b$ -metric spaces and Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric. *J. Progres. Res. Math.* **2017**, *12*, 2021–2029.
22. Suzuki, T. Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem is a real generalization of Nadler's. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2007**. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).