

Article

# $p$ -Topologicalness—A Relative Topologicalness in $\top$ -Convergence Spaces

Lingqiang Li 

Department of Mathematics, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng 252059, China; lilingqiang0614@126.com;  
Tel.: +86-0635-8239926

Received: 24 January 2019; Accepted: 25 February 2019; Published: 1 March 2019

**Abstract:** In this paper,  $p$ -topologicalness (a relative topologicalness) in  $\top$ -convergence spaces are studied through two equivalent approaches. One approach generalizes the Fischer's diagonal condition, the other approach extends the Gähler's neighborhood condition. Then the relationships between  $p$ -topologicalness in  $\top$ -convergence spaces and  $p$ -topologicalness in stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces are established. Furthermore, the lower and upper  $p$ -topological modifications in  $\top$ -convergence spaces are also defined and discussed. In particular, it is proved that the lower (resp., upper)  $p$ -topological modification behaves reasonably well relative to final (resp., initial) structures.

**Keywords:** fuzzy topology; fuzzy convergence; lattice-valued convergence;  $\top$ -convergence space; relative topologicalness;  $p$ -topologicalness; diagonal condition; neighborhood condition

## 1. Introduction

The theory of convergence spaces [1] is natural extension of the theory of topological spaces. The topologicalness is important in the theory of convergence spaces since it mainly researches the condition of a convergence space to be a topological space. Generally, two equivalent approaches are used to characterize the topologicalness in convergence spaces. One approach is stated by the well-known Fischer's diagonal condition [2], the other approach is stated by Gähler's neighborhood condition [3]. In [4], by considering a pair of convergence spaces  $(X, p)$  and  $(X, q)$ , Wilde and Kent investigated a kind of relative topologicalness, called  $p$ -topologicalness. When  $p = q$ ,  $p$ -topologicalness is equivalent to topologicalness in convergence spaces. They also defined and discussed the lower and upper  $p$ -topological modifications in convergence spaces. Precisely, for a pair of convergence spaces  $(X, p)$  and  $(X, q)$ , the lower (resp., upper)  $p$ -topological modification of  $(X, q)$  is defined as the finest (resp., coarsest)  $p$ -topological convergence space which is coarser (resp., finer) than  $(X, q)$ . Similarly, a topological modification of  $(X, q)$  is defined as the finest topological convergence space which is coarser than  $(X, q)$ .

Lattice-valued convergence spaces are common extension of convergence spaces and lattice-valued topological spaces. It should be pinned out that lattice-valued convergence spaces are established on the basis of fuzzy sets. However, the lattice structure is used to replace the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  as the truth table for membership degrees. In recent years, two kinds of lattice-valued convergence spaces received much attention: (1) the theory of stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces based on  $L$ -filters, which is initiated by Jäger [5] and then developed by many researchers [6–25]; and (2) the theory of  $\top$ -convergence spaces based on  $\top$ -filters, which is investigated by Fang [26] in 2017. The topologicalness in stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces was studied by Jäger [27–29] and Li [30,31], the  $p$ -topologicalness and  $p$ -topological modifications in stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces were discussed by Li [32,33].

The topologicalness in  $\top$ -convergence spaces was researched by Fang [26] and Li [34]. In this paper, we shall consider the  $p$ -topologicalness and  $p$ -topological modifications in  $\top$ -convergence spaces.

The contents are arranged as follows. Section 2 recalls some basic notions as preliminary. Section 3 discusses the  $p$ -topologicalness in  $\top$ -convergence spaces by generalized Fischer’s diagonal condition and generalized Gähler’s neighborhood condition, respectively. Then the relationships between  $p$ -topologicalness in  $\top$ -convergence spaces and  $p$ -topologicalness in stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces are established. Section 4 focuses on  $p$ -topological modifications in  $\top$ -convergence spaces. The lower and upper  $p$ -topological modifications in  $\top$ -convergence spaces are defined and discussed. Particularly, it is proved that the lower (resp., upper)  $p$ -topological modification behaves reasonably well relative to final (resp., initial) structures.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $L$  be a complete lattice with the top element  $\top$  and the bottom element  $\perp$ . For a commutative quantale, we mean a pair  $(L, *)$  such that  $*$  is a commutative semigroup operation on  $L$  with the condition

$$\forall a \in L, \forall \{b_j\}_{j \in J} \subseteq L, a * \bigvee_{j \in J} b_j = \bigvee_{j \in J} (a * b_j).$$

$(L, *)$  is called integral if the top element  $\top$  is the unique unit, i.e.,  $\forall a \in L, \top * a = a$ . For any  $a \in L$ , each function  $a * (-) : L \rightarrow L$  has a right adjoint  $a \rightarrow (-) : L \rightarrow L$  defined as  $a \rightarrow b = \bigvee \{c \in L : a * c \leq b\}$ . In the following, we list the usual properties of  $*$  and  $\rightarrow$ [35].

- (1)  $a \rightarrow b = \top \Leftrightarrow a \leq b$ ;
- (2)  $a * b \leq c \Leftrightarrow b \leq a \rightarrow c$ ;
- (3)  $a * (a \rightarrow b) \leq b$ ;
- (4)  $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = (a * b) \rightarrow c$ ;
- (5)  $(\bigvee_{j \in J} a_j) \rightarrow b = \bigwedge_{j \in J} (a_j \rightarrow b)$ ;
- (6)  $a \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{j \in J} b_j) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} (a \rightarrow b_j)$ .

We call  $(L, *)$  to be a meet continuous lattice if the complete lattice  $L$  is meet continuous [36], that is,  $(L, \leq)$  satisfies the distributive law:  $a \wedge (\bigvee_{i \in I} b_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (a \wedge b_i)$ , for any  $a \in L$  and any directed subsets  $\{b_i | i \in I\}$  in  $L$ .

$L$  is said to be continuous if  $(L, \leq)$  is a continuous lattice [36], that is, for any nonempty family  $\{a_{j,k} | j \in J, k \in K(j)\}$  in  $L$  with  $\{a_{j,k} | k \in K(j)\}$  is directed for all  $j \in J$ , the identity

$$(DD) \quad \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bigvee_{k \in K(j)} a_{j,k} = \bigvee_{h \in N} \bigwedge_{j \in J} a_{j,h(j)}$$

holds, where  $N$  is the set of all choice functions on  $J$  with values  $h(j) \in K(j)$  for all  $j \in J$ . Obviously, continuity implies meet-continuity for  $L$ .

In this article, unless otherwise stated, we always assume that  $L = (L, *)$  is a commutative, integral, and meet continuous quantale.

A function  $\mu : X \rightarrow L$  is called an  $L$ -fuzzy set in  $X$ , and all  $L$ -fuzzy sets in  $X$  is denoted as  $L^X$ . The operations  $\vee, \wedge, *, \rightarrow$  on  $L$  can be translated pointwisely onto  $L^X$ . Said precisely, for any  $\mu, \nu \in L^X$  and any  $\{t_i | t \in T\} \subseteq L^X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \leq \nu &\text{ iff } \mu(x) \leq \nu(x) \text{ for any } x \in X, \\ (\bigvee_{i \in I} \mu_t)(x) &= \bigvee_{t \in T} \mu_t(x), (\bigwedge_{t \in T} \mu_t)(x) = \bigwedge_{t \in T} \mu_t(x), \\ (\mu * \nu)(x) &= \mu(x) * \nu(x), (\mu \rightarrow \nu)(x) = \mu(x) \rightarrow \nu(x). \end{aligned}$$

We don't distinguish between a constant function and its value because no confusion will occur.

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. We define  $f^\rightarrow : L^X \rightarrow L^Y$  and  $f^\leftarrow : L^Y \rightarrow L^X$  [35] by  $f^\rightarrow(\mu)(y) = \bigvee_{f(x)=y} \mu(x)$  for  $\mu \in L^X$  and  $y \in Y$ , and  $f^\leftarrow(\nu)(x) = \nu(f(x))$  for  $\nu \in L^Y$  and  $x \in X$ .

Let  $\mu, \nu$  be  $L$ -fuzzy sets in  $X$ . The subsethood degree [37–40] of  $\mu, \nu$ , denoted by  $S_X(\mu, \nu)$ , is defined by

$$S_X(\mu, \nu) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mu(x) \rightarrow \nu(x)).$$

### 2.1. $\top$ -Filters and Stratified $L$ -Filters

A filter on a set  $X$  is an upper set of  $(2^X, \subseteq)$  ( $2^X$  denotes the power set of  $X$ ) which is closed for finite meets and does not contain the empty set. The conception of filter has been generalized to the fuzzy setting in two methods; prefilters (or  $\top$ -filters more general) and  $L$ -filters. Both prefilters ( $\top$ -filters) and  $L$ -filters play important roles in the theory of fuzzy topology, see [26,27,34,35,41–44].

**Definition 1** ([35]). A nonempty subset  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq L^X$  is called a  $\top$ -filter on the set  $X$  whenever:

(TF1)  $\bigvee_{x \in X} \lambda(x) = \top$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ , (TF2)  $\lambda \wedge \mu \in \mathbb{F}$  for all  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

(TF3) if  $\lambda \in L^X$  such that  $\bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_X(\mu, \lambda) = \top$ , then  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ .

The set of all  $\top$ -filters on  $X$  is denoted as  $\mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$ .

**Definition 2** ([35]). A nonempty subset  $\mathbb{B} \subseteq L^X$  is called a  $\top$ -filter base on the set  $X$  provided:

(TB1)  $\bigvee_{x \in X} \lambda(x) = \top$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}$ , (TB2) if  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{B}$ , then  $\bigvee_{\nu \in \mathbb{B}} S_X(\nu, \lambda \wedge \mu) = \top$ .

Each  $\top$ -filter base generates a  $\top$ -filter  $\mathbb{F}_\mathbb{B}$  defined by

$$\mathbb{F}_\mathbb{B} := \{ \lambda \in L^X \mid \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{B}} S_X(\mu, \lambda) = \top \}.$$

**Example 1** ([26,45]). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function,  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  and  $\mathbb{G} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(Y)$ . Then

(1) The family  $\{f^\rightarrow(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}\}$  forms a  $\top$ -filter base on  $Y$ , and the  $\top$ -filter  $f^\rightarrow(\mathbb{F})$  generated by it is called the image of  $\mathbb{F}$  under  $f$ . It is easily seen that  $\mu \in f^\rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \iff f^\leftarrow(\mu) \in \mathbb{F}$ .

(2) The family  $\{f^\leftarrow(\mu) \mid \mu \in \mathbb{G}\}$  forms a  $\top$ -filter base on  $X$  if and only if  $\bigvee_{y \in f(X)} \mu(y) = \top$  holds for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{G}$ , and the  $\top$ -filter  $f^\leftarrow(\mathbb{G})$  (if exists) generated by it is called the inverse image of  $\mathbb{G}$  under  $f$ . Additionally,  $\mathbb{G} \subseteq f^\rightarrow(f^\leftarrow(\mathbb{G}))$  holds whenever  $f^\leftarrow(\mathbb{G})$  exists. Particularly,  $f^\leftarrow(\mathbb{G})$  always exists and  $\mathbb{G} = f^\rightarrow(f^\leftarrow(\mathbb{G}))$  if  $f$  is surjective.

(3) For any  $x \in X$ , the family  $[x]_\top =: \{ \lambda \in L^X \mid \lambda(x) = \top \}$  is a  $\top$ -filter on  $X$ , and  $f^\rightarrow([x]_\top) = [f(x)]_\top$ .

A stratified  $L$ -filter [35] on a set  $X$  is a function  $\mathcal{F} : L^X \rightarrow L$  such that:  $\forall \lambda, \mu \in L^X, \forall \alpha \in L$ , (LF1)  $\mathcal{F}(\perp) = \perp, \mathcal{F}(\top) = \top$ ; (LF2)  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) \wedge \mathcal{F}(\mu) = \mathcal{F}(\lambda \wedge \mu)$ ; (LFs)  $\mathcal{F}(\alpha * \lambda) \geq \alpha * \mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ .

The set of all stratified  $L$ -filters on  $X$  is denoted as  $\mathcal{F}_L^s(X)$ . A stratified  $L$ -filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is called tight if  $\mathcal{F}(\alpha) = \alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in L$ .

**Example 2** ([35]). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function,  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L^s(X)$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L^s(Y)$ . Then

(1) The function  $f^\rightarrow(\mathcal{F}) : L^Y \rightarrow L$  defined by  $\mu \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\mu \circ f)$  is a stratified  $L$ -filter on  $Y$  called the image of  $\mathcal{F}$  under  $f$ .

(2) For any  $x \in X$ , the function  $[x] : L^X \rightarrow L, [x](\lambda) = \lambda(x)$  is a stratified  $L$ -filter on  $X$ , and  $f^\rightarrow([x]) = [f(x)]$ .

For each  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$ , define  $\Lambda(\mathbb{F}) : L^X \rightarrow L$  as

$$\forall \lambda \in L^X, \Lambda(\mathbb{F})(\lambda) = \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_X(\mu, \lambda),$$

then  $\Lambda(\mathbb{F})$  is a tightly stratified  $L$ -filter on  $X$  [44].

Conversely, for each tightly stratified  $L$ -filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a set  $X$ , the family

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{F}) = \{\lambda \in L^X, \mathcal{F}(\lambda) = \top\}$$

is a  $\top$ -filter on  $X$  [44]. Given  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$ , we have  $\Gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{F}$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\{\mathbb{F}_j\}_{j \in J} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$ . If  $L$  is continuous then  $\Lambda(\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} \Lambda(\mathbb{F}_j)$ .

**Proof.** For any  $\lambda \in L^X$  and any  $j \in J$ , note that  $\{S_X(\mu_j, \lambda) \mid \mu_j \in \mathbb{F}_j\}$  is a directed subset of  $L$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{j \in J} \Lambda(\mathbb{F}_j)(\lambda) &= \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bigvee_{\mu_j \in \mathbb{F}_j} S_X(\mu_j, \lambda) \stackrel{(DD)}{=} \bigvee_{h \in N} \bigwedge_{j \in J} S_X(h(j), \lambda) \\ &= \bigvee_{h \in N} S_X(\bigvee_{j \in J} h(j), \lambda), \text{ by } \bigvee_{j \in J} h(j) \in \bigcap_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j \\ &\leq \bigvee_{v \in \bigcap_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j} S_X(v, \lambda) \leq \Lambda(\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\Lambda(\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j)(\lambda) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} \Lambda(\mathbb{F}_j)(\lambda)$  since  $\Lambda(\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j)(\lambda) \leq \bigwedge_{j \in J} \Lambda(\mathbb{F}_j)(\lambda)$  holds obviously.  $\square$

### 2.2. $\top$ -Convergence Spaces and Stratified $L$ -Generalized Convergence Spaces

**Definition 3.** A  $\top$ -convergence structure [26] on a set  $X$  is a function  $q : \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X) \rightarrow 2^X$  satisfying

(TC1)  $[x]_\top \xrightarrow{q} x$  for every  $x \in X$ ; (TC2) if  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$  and  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ , then  $\mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{q} x$ , where  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$  is shorthand for  $x \in q(\mathbb{F})$ . The pair  $(X, q)$  is called a  $\top$ -convergence space.

A function  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  between two  $\top$ -convergence spaces  $(X, q), (X', q')$  is called continuous if  $f^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q'} f(x)$  whenever  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$ .

The category whose objects are  $\top$ -convergence spaces and whose morphisms are continuous functions will be denoted by  $\top$ -CS. This category is topological over SET [26,46].

For a given source  $(X \xrightarrow{f_i} (X_i, q_i))_{i \in I}$ , the initial structure [47],  $q$  on  $X$  is defined by

$$\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x \iff \forall i \in I, f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q_i} f_i(x).$$

For a given sink  $((X_i, q_i) \xrightarrow{f_i} X)_{i \in I}$ , the final structure,  $q$  on  $X$  is defined as

$$\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x \iff \begin{cases} \mathbb{F} \supseteq [x]_\top, & x \notin \cup_{i \in I} f_i(X_i); \\ \mathbb{F} \supseteq f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{G}_i), & \exists i \in I, x_i \in X_i, \mathbb{G}_i \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X_i) \text{ s.t } f(x_i) = x, \mathbb{G}_i \xrightarrow{q_i} x_i. \end{cases}$$

Thus, when  $X = \cup_{i \in I} f_i(X_i)$ , the final structure  $q$  can be simplified as

$$\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x \iff \mathbb{F} \supseteq f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{G}_i) \text{ for some } \mathbb{G}_i \xrightarrow{q_i} x_i \text{ with } f(x_i) = x.$$

For a nonempty set  $X$ , we use  $\top(X)$  to denote all  $\top$ -convergence structures on  $X$ . For  $p, q \in \top(X)$ , we say that  $q$  is finer than  $p$ , or  $p$  is coarser than  $q$ , denoted by  $p \leq q$  for short, if the identity  $\text{id}_X : (X, q) \rightarrow (X, p)$  is continuous, that is,  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x \implies \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{p} x$ . It is easily observed from [26,47] that  $(\top(X), \leq)$  forms a completed lattice, and the discrete (resp., indiscrete) structure  $\delta$  (resp.,  $\iota$ ) is the top (resp., bottom) element of  $(\top(X), \leq)$ , where  $\delta$  is given by  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\delta} x$  iff  $\mathbb{F} \supseteq [x]_{\top}$ ; and  $\iota$  is given by  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\iota} x$  for all  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^{\top}(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 4.** (Jäger [5] and Yao [25]) A stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence structure on a set  $X$  is a function  $\text{lim}^q : \mathcal{F}_L^s(X) \rightarrow L^X$  satisfying

- (LC1)  $\text{lim}^q[x](x) = 1$  for every  $x \in X$ ; (LC2)  $\forall \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L^s(X), \mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G} \implies \text{lim}^q \mathcal{F} \leq \text{lim}^q \mathcal{G}$ .
- The pair  $(X, \text{lim}^q)$  is called a stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence space.

Let  $(X, q)$  be a  $\top$ -convergence space. We define  $\text{lim}^q : \mathcal{F}_L^s(X) \rightarrow L^X$  as

$$\text{lim}^q \mathcal{F}(x) = \begin{cases} \top, & \mathcal{F} \geq \Lambda(\mathbb{F}) \text{ for some } \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x; \\ \perp, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $[x] = \Lambda([x]_{\top})$ . It follows that  $(X, \text{lim}^q)$  is a stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence space.

**Remark 1.** When  $L = \{\perp, \top\}$ , both  $\top$ -convergence spaces and stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces all reduce to convergence spaces. Therefore, these two kinds of lattice-valued convergence spaces are all natural extensions of convergence spaces.

### 3. $p$ -Topologicalness in $\top$ -Convergence Spaces

In this section, we shall discuss the  $p$ -topologicalness in  $\top$ -convergence spaces by generalized Fischer’s diagonal condition and generalized Gähler’s neighborhood condition, respectively. We also try to establish the relationships between  $p$ -topologicalness in  $\top$ -convergence spaces and  $p$ -topologicalness in stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces.

#### 3.1. $p$ -Pretopologicalness in $\top$ -Convergence Spaces

Let  $(X, p)$  be a  $\top$ -convergence space. Then for any  $x \in X$ , the  $\top$ -filter

$$\mathbb{U}_p(x) = \cap \{ \mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^{\top}(X) \mid \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{p} x \}$$

is called the  $\top$ -neighborhood with respect to  $p$  at  $x$ . Then the family  $\mathbb{U}_p := \{ \mathbb{U}_p(x) \}_{x \in X}$  is called the  $\top$ -neighborhood system generated by  $(X, p)$  [26]. It is easily seen that if  $p, p' \in \top(X)$  and  $p \leq p'$  then  $\mathbb{U}_p(x) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{p'}(x)$  for any  $x \in X$ .

In the following, we shorten a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces  $(X, p)$  and  $(X, q)$  as  $(X, p, q)$ . It is easy to check that the following conditions are equivalent:

- $p$ -(TP1):  $\forall \{ \mathbb{F}_j \}_{j \in J} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_L^{\top}(X), \forall x \in X, \forall j \in J, \mathbb{F}_j \xrightarrow{p} x \implies \cap_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j \xrightarrow{q} x$ .
- $p$ -(TP2):  $\forall \mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^{\top}(X), \forall x \in X, \mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p(x) \implies \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$ .
- $p$ -(TP3):  $\forall x \in X, \mathbb{U}_p(x) \xrightarrow{q} x$ .

**Definition 5.** Assume that  $(X, p, q)$  is a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces. Then  $q$  is said to be  $p$ -pretopological if it fulfills either of the above three conditions.

**Remark 2.** When  $p = q$ ,  $p$ -pretopologicalness is precise the pretopologicalness in [26]. In this case, it is observed easily that the “ $\implies$ ” in  $p$ -(TP2) can be replaced with “ $\iff$ ”. In the following, when  $p = q$ , we omit the prefix “ $p$ ” in symbols  $p$ -(TP1)– $p$ -(TP3). This simplification is also used for the subsequent  $p$ -topological conditions.

**Proposition 1.** A  $\top$ -convergence structure  $q$  on  $X$  is pretopological iff it is  $p$ -pretopological for any  $q \in \top(X)$  with  $q \leq p$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, q)$  be pretopological and  $q \leq p$ . Then by  $q \leq p$  we have  $\mathbb{U}_p(x) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_q(x)$  for any  $x \in X$ . By pretopologicalness of  $q$  we get that  $\mathbb{U}_q(x) \xrightarrow{q} x$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{U}_p(x) \xrightarrow{q} x$ . Thus  $q$  is  $p$ -pretopological. The converse implication is obvious.  $\square$

The following example shows there is no  $p$ -pretopologicalness implies pretopologicalness in general.

**Example 3.** Let  $L$  be the linearly ordered frame  $(\{\perp, \alpha, \top\}, \wedge, \top)$  with  $\perp < \alpha < \top$ , and  $X = \{x, y\}$ . For each  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  and  $z \in X$ , let  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{p} z \iff \mathbb{F} \supseteq [z]$ . In [26], it is proved that  $(X, p)$  is a  $\top$ -convergence space and for each  $z \in X, \mathbb{U}_p(z) = [z]$ .

For  $x, y \in X$ , it is easily seen that the subsets  $\mathbb{F}_x, \mathbb{F}_y$  of  $L^X$  defined by

$$\mathbb{F}_x = \{\lambda \in L^X : \lambda(x) \geq \alpha, \lambda(y) = \top\}; \mathbb{F}_y(\lambda) = \{\lambda \in L^X : \lambda(y) \geq \alpha, \lambda(x) = \top\}$$

are all  $\top$ -filters on  $X$ . For each  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  and each  $z \in X$ , let  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} z \iff \mathbb{F} \supseteq [z]$  or  $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{F}_z$ . Then  $(X, q)$  is a  $\top$ -convergence space. For each  $z \in X, \mathbb{U}_q(z) = [z] \cap \mathbb{F}_z = \{\top_X\}$  and so  $[z] \cap \mathbb{F}_z \neq [z], \mathbb{F}_z$ .

Obviously,  $q$  satisfies  $p$ -(TP3). But  $q$  is not pretopological since we have no  $\mathbb{U}_q(z) \xrightarrow{q} z$ .

### 3.2. $p$ -Topologicalness in $\top$ -Convergence Spaces

At first, we fix the notions of diagonal  $\top$ -filter and neighborhood  $\top$ -filter to state  $p$ -topologicalness.

Let  $J, X$  be any sets and  $\phi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  be any function. Then a function  $\hat{\phi} : L^X \rightarrow L^J$  is defined as

$$\forall \lambda \in L^X, \forall j \in J, \hat{\phi}(\lambda)(j) = \bigwedge_{\mu \in \phi(j)} S_X(\mu, \lambda).$$

For all  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(J)$ , it is proved that a subset of  $L^X$  defined by

$$k\phi\mathbb{F} := \{\lambda \in L^X \mid \hat{\phi}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

is a  $\top$ -filter, called diagonal  $\top$ -filter of  $\mathbb{F}$  under  $\phi$  [26]. In addition, for any  $\lambda, \mu \in L^X$ , it was proved in [26] that  $S_X(\lambda, \mu) \leq S_J(\hat{\phi}(\lambda), \hat{\phi}(\mu))$ .

**Definition 6** ([34]). Let  $(X, p)$  be a  $\top$ -convergence space and  $\mathbb{U}_p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  be the  $\top$ -neighborhood system generated by  $(X, p)$ . Then for each  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$ , the  $\top$ -filter  $\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}) := k\mathbb{U}_p\mathbb{F}$ , is called neighborhood  $\top$ -filter of  $\mathbb{F}$  w.r.t.  $p$ .

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers including 0. Let  $(X, p)$  be a  $\top$ -convergence space and  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $\mathbb{U}_p^0(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{F}$ , and if  $\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F})$  has been defined, then we define the  $n + 1$  th iteration of the neighborhood  $\top$ -filter of  $\mathbb{F}$  inductive by  $\mathbb{U}_p^{n+1}(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}))$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $(X, p)$  be a  $\top$ -convergence space,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$ . Then

$$(1) \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{F},$$

- (2) if  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G})$ ,
- (3) if  $p' \in T(X)$  and  $p \leq p'$ , then  $\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{p'}^n(\mathbb{F})$ .

**Proof.** It is obvious.  $\square$

**Definition 7.** Let  $f : (X, q) \rightarrow (Y, p)$  be a function between  $\top$ -convergence spaces. Then  $f$  is said to be an interior function if  $f^{\rightarrow}(\widehat{\mathbb{U}}_q(\lambda)) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(f^{\rightarrow}(\lambda))$  for all  $\lambda \in L^X$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $f : (X, q) \rightarrow (Y, p)$  be a function between  $\top$ -convergence spaces and  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$ .

- (1) If  $f$  is continuous, then  $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q^n(\mathbb{F})) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}))$ .
- (2) If  $f$  is an interior function, then  $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q^n(\mathbb{F})) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}))$ .

**Proof.** (1) We prove  $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q^n(\mathbb{F})) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}))$  inductively.

For each  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$  and each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{U}_p(f(x))$  we have  $\lambda \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F})$ , i.e.,  $f^{\leftarrow}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}$  and then

$$f^{\leftarrow}(\lambda) \in \cap\{\mathbb{F} | \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x\} = \mathbb{U}_q(x),$$

i.e.,  $\lambda \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q(x))$ . Thus  $\mathbb{U}_p(f(x)) \subseteq f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q(x))$ .

Fixing  $\lambda \in L^Y$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda)(f(x)) &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{U}_p(f(x))} S_Y(\mu, \lambda) \leq \bigvee_{f^{\leftarrow}(\mu) \in \mathbb{U}_q(x)} S_X(f^{\leftarrow}(\mu), f^{\leftarrow}(\lambda)) \leq \\ &\bigvee_{v \in \mathbb{U}_q(x)} S_X(v, f^{\leftarrow}(\lambda)) = \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_q(f^{\leftarrow}(\lambda))(x). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $f^{\leftarrow}(\widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda)) = \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_q(f^{\leftarrow}(\lambda))$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in \mathbb{U}_p(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F})) &\implies \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}) \implies f^{\leftarrow}(\widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda)) \in \mathbb{F} \implies \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_q(f^{\leftarrow}(\lambda)) \in \mathbb{F} \\ &\implies f^{\leftarrow}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{U}_q(\mathbb{F}) \implies \lambda \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q(\mathbb{F})). \end{aligned}$$

So,  $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q^n(\mathbb{F})) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}))$  when  $n = 1$ .

We assume that  $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q^n(\mathbb{F})) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}))$  when  $n = k$ . Then we need to check that  $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q^n(\mathbb{F})) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}))$  when  $n = k + 1$ . Indeed,

$$f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q^{k+1}(\mathbb{F})) = f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q(\mathbb{U}_q^k(\mathbb{F}))) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q^k(\mathbb{F}))) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{U}_p^k(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}))) = \mathbb{U}_p^{k+1}(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F})).$$

(2) We check only the inequalities for  $n = 1$ .

Let  $f$  be an interior function. For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{U}_q(\mathbb{F})$ , i.e.,  $\widehat{\mathbb{U}}_q(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}$  we have  $f^{\rightarrow}(\widehat{\mathbb{U}}_q(\lambda)) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F})$  and then  $\widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(f^{\rightarrow}(\lambda)) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F})$  by  $f$  is an interior function. That means  $f^{\leftarrow}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{U}_p(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}))$ . Thus  $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_q(\mathbb{F})) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_p(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}))$ .  $\square$

Now, we tend our attention to  $p$ -topologicalness.

We say a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces  $(X, p, q)$  satisfy the Gähler  $\top$ -neighborhood condition if  $p$ -(TG):  $\forall \mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X), \forall x \in X, \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x \implies \mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ .

**Definition 8.** Let  $(X, p, q)$  be a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces. Then  $q$  is called  $p$ -topological if the condition  $p$ -(TG) is satisfied.

**Remark 3.** When  $L = \{\perp, \top\}$ , the condition  $p$ -(TG) is precise the Gähler neighborhood condition in [4], which is used to define  $p$ -topological convergence spaces. Therefore, our  $p$ -topologicalness is a natural extension of crisp  $p$ -topologicalness.

We say a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces  $(X, p, q)$  satisfy the Fischer  $\top$ -diagonal condition if

$p$ -(TF): Let  $J, X$  be any sets,  $\psi : J \rightarrow X$ , and  $\phi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  such that  $\phi(j) \xrightarrow{p} \psi(j)$ , for each  $j \in J$ . Then for each  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(J)$  and each  $x \in X$ ,  $\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$  implies  $k\phi\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$ .

Restricting  $J = X$  and  $\psi = \text{id}$  in  $p$ -(TF), we obtain a weaker condition  $p$ -(TK). When  $p = q$ ,  $p$ -(TF) is precise the Fischer  $\top$ -diagonal condition (TF), and  $p$ -(TK) is precise the Kowalsky  $\top$ -diagonal condition (TK) in [26].

**Proposition 4.** Let  $(X, p, q)$  be a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces. Then (1)  $p$ -(TF)  $\implies$   $p$ -(TP1) +  $p$ -(TK), and (2)  $p$ -(TK)  $\implies$   $p$ -(TF) if  $p$  satisfies (TP1).

**Proof.** (1) Obviously,  $p$ -(TF)  $\implies$   $p$ -(TK). Now, we check  $p$ -(TF)  $\implies$   $p$ -(TP1). Let  $\{\mathbb{F}_j\}_{j \in J} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  and  $x \in X$  satisfy  $\forall j \in J, \mathbb{F}_j \xrightarrow{p} x$ . Take  $\psi(j) \equiv x, \phi(j) = \mathbb{F}_j$  and  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_\perp$  (i.e.,  $\mathbb{F}_\perp = \{\top_j\}$ , the smallest  $\top$ -filter on  $J$ ) in  $p$ -(TF), then it is easily seen that  $\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}_\perp) = [x]_\top$  and  $k\phi\mathbb{F}_\perp = \bigcap_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j$ . Because  $\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}_\perp) \xrightarrow{q} x$  we have  $k\phi\mathbb{F}_\perp = \bigcap_{j \in J} \mathbb{F}_j \xrightarrow{q} x$  by  $p$ -(TF).

(2) Let  $J, X, \psi, \phi$  satisfy the condition of  $p$ -(TF). Then we define a function  $\tilde{\phi} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  as  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \bigcap\{\phi(j) : j \in J, \psi(j) = x\}$  if there exists  $j \in J$  such that  $\psi(j) = x$  and  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = [x]_\top$  if not so. For each  $x \in X$ , if  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = [x]_\top$  then  $\tilde{\phi}(x) \xrightarrow{p} x$ . If  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \bigcap\{\phi(j) : j \in J, \psi(j) = x\}$  then by  $\phi(j) \xrightarrow{p} x$  and (TP1) we have  $\tilde{\phi}(x) \xrightarrow{p} x$ . Let  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(J)$  and  $\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ . Then by  $p$ -(TK) we obtain  $k\tilde{\phi}\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ . One can prove that  $k\phi\mathbb{F} \supseteq k\tilde{\phi}\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F})$ . Thus  $k\phi\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(X, p, q)$  be a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces. If  $p$  satisfies (TP1) then  $p$ -(TF)  $\iff$   $p$ -(TK) +  $p$ -(TP1). In particular, when  $p = q$  we have (TF)  $\iff$  (TK) + (TP1) [26].

**Remark 4.** Let  $L, X$  and  $\mathbb{F}_z(z \in X)$  be defined as in Example 3. Let  $q$  be defined as  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} z$  for any  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  and any  $z \in X$ , and let  $p$  be defined as  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{p} z \iff \mathbb{F} \supset \mathbb{F}_\perp$ . Then  $(X, p, q)$  is a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces. Obviously, the axiom  $p$ -(TF) is satisfied. But  $p$  does not fulfill the axiom (TP1) since  $\mathbb{U}_p(z) = \mathbb{F}_\perp \not\xrightarrow{p} z$ . Thus this example shows that  $p$ -(TF) does not imply (TP1) of  $(X, p)$  generally. Therefore, we guess that the additional condition (TP1) in the above corollary can not be removed.

The following theorem shows that if we restricting the lattice-context slightly,  $p$ -topologicalness can be described by Fischer  $\top$ -diagonal condition  $p$ -(TF).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, p, q)$  be a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces. Then  $p$ -(TG)  $\implies$   $p$ -(TF), and the converse inclusion holds if  $L$  is continuous.

**Proof.**  $p\text{-(TG)} \implies p\text{-(TF)}$ . Let  $J, X, \phi, \psi$  satisfy the condition of  $p\text{-(TF)}$ . For any  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(J)$ , we prove below that  $\mathbb{U}_p(\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F})) \subseteq k\phi\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\lambda \in L^X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_X(\psi^\rightarrow(\mu), \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda)) &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{x \in X} ((\bigvee_{\psi(j)=x} \mu(j)) \rightarrow \bigvee_{v \in \mathbb{U}_p(x)} S_X(v, \lambda)) \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{j \in J} (\mu(j) \rightarrow \bigvee_{v \in \mathbb{U}_p(\psi(j))} S_X(v, \lambda)), \text{ by } \phi(j) \xrightarrow{p} \psi(j) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{j \in J} (\mu(j) \rightarrow \bigvee_{v \in \phi(j)} S_X(v, \lambda)) \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{j \in J} (\mu(j) \rightarrow \hat{\phi}(\lambda)(j)) = \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_J(\mu, \hat{\phi}(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{U}_p(\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F})) \implies \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_X(\psi^\rightarrow(\mu), \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda)) = \top \implies \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_J(\mu, \hat{\phi}(\lambda)) = \top \implies \lambda \in k\phi\mathbb{F}.$$

Thus  $\mathbb{U}_p(\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F})) \subseteq k\phi\mathbb{F}$ .

If  $\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$  then it follows by  $p\text{-(TG)}$  that  $\mathbb{U}_p(\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F})) \xrightarrow{q} x$ , and so  $k\phi\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$ . That is,  $p\text{-(TF)}$  is satisfied.

$p\text{-(TF)} \implies p\text{-(TG)}$ . Note that Lemma 1 holds since  $L$  is continuous. Take

$$J = \{(\mathbb{G}, y) \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X) \times X \mid \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{p} y\}; \psi : J \rightarrow X, (\mathbb{G}, y) \mapsto y; \phi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X), (\mathbb{G}, y) \mapsto \mathbb{G}.$$

Then  $\forall j \in J, \phi(j) \xrightarrow{p} \psi(j)$ . Because  $[y] \xrightarrow{p} y$  we have that  $\psi$  is a surjective function. Thus for each  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X), \mathbb{H} = \psi^\Leftarrow(\mathbb{F}) \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(J)$  exists and  $\psi^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{H}) = \mathbb{F}$ .

We prove below that  $k\phi\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F})$ . For any  $y \in X$ , denote  $I_y = \{\mathbb{G} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X) \mid \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{p} y\}$ . Then for any  $\lambda \in L^X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_J(\psi^\Leftarrow(\mu), \hat{\phi}(\lambda)) &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{(\mathbb{G}, y) \in J} (\psi^\Leftarrow(\mu)(\mathbb{G}, y) \rightarrow \hat{\phi}(\lambda)(\mathbb{G}, y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{y \in X} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{G} \in I_y} (\mu(y) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{G})(\lambda)) \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mu(y) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\mathbb{G} \in I_y} \Lambda(\mathbb{G})(\lambda)), \text{ by Lemma 1} \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mu(y) \rightarrow \Lambda(\bigcap_{\mathbb{G} \in I_y} \mathbb{G})(\lambda)) \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mu(y) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{U}_p(y))(\lambda)) \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mu(y) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda)(y)) = \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_X(\mu, \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in k\phi\mathbb{H} &\iff \hat{\phi}(\lambda) \in \psi^\Leftarrow(\mathbb{F}) \iff \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_J(\psi^\Leftarrow(\mu), \hat{\phi}(\lambda)) = \top \iff \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_X(\mu, \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda)) = \top \\ &\iff \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F} \iff \lambda \in \mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $k\phi\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F})$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F} = \psi^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{H}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ . Then by  $p$ -(TF) we have  $k\phi\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ . That is,  $p$ -(TG) holds.  $\square$

The following theorem shows that for pretopological  $\top$ -convergence spaces,  $p$ -topologicalness can be described by Fischer  $\top$ -diagonal condition  $p$ -(TF).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(X, p, q)$  be a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces and  $(X, p)$  be pretopological. Then  $p$ -(TF)  $\iff$   $p$ -(TG).*

**Proof.** Most of the proof can copy that of Theorem 1. We only check that

$$\bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_J(\psi^{\leftarrow}(\mu), \hat{\phi}(\lambda)) = \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_X(\mu, \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda)),$$

for any  $\lambda \in L^X$  in  $p$ -(TF)  $\implies$   $p$ -(TG). Indeed, since  $p$  is pretopological then  $\mathbb{U}_p(y) \in I_y$  for any  $y \in X$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_J(\psi^{\leftarrow}(\mu), \hat{\phi}(\lambda)) &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{(\mathbb{G}, y) \in J} (\psi^{\leftarrow}(\mu)(\mathbb{G}, y) \rightarrow \hat{\phi}(\lambda)(\mathbb{G}, y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{y \in X} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{G} \in I_y} (\mu(y) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{G})(\lambda)) \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mu(y) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\mathbb{G} \in I_y} \Lambda(\mathbb{G})(\lambda)), \text{ by } \mathbb{U}_p(y) \in I_y \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mu(y) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{U}_p(y))(\lambda)) \\ &= \bigvee_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}} S_X(\mu, \widehat{\mathbb{U}}_p(\lambda)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 1 and Theorem 2 we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** [34] *Let  $(X, p)$  be a  $\top$ -convergence space. Then (TF)  $\iff$  (TG).*

**Remark 5.** *The above corollary is one of the main results in [34]. Based on this equivalence, it was proved that  $\top$ -convergence spaces with (TF) or (TG) characterize precisely the conical L-topological spaces in [44].*

The following theorem shows that  $p$ -topologicalness is preserved under initial constructions.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\{(X_i, q_i, p_i)\}_{i \in I}$  be pairs of  $\top$ -convergence spaces with each  $q_i$  being  $p_i$ -topological. If  $q$  (resp.,  $p$ ) is the initial structure on  $X$  relative to the source  $(X \xrightarrow{f_i} (X_i, q_i))_{i \in I}$  (resp.,  $(X \xrightarrow{f_i} (X_i, p_i))_{i \in I}$ ), then  $(X, q)$  is  $p$ -topological.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$ . Then by definition of  $q$ , we have  $f_i^{\rightarrow}(f_i^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F})) \xrightarrow{q_i} f_i(x)$  for any  $i \in I$ . Because  $q_i$  is  $p_i$ -topological we have  $\mathbb{U}_{p_i}(f_i^{\rightarrow}(f_i^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}))) \xrightarrow{q_i} f_i(x)$ . Then by Proposition 3 (1) we have  $f_i^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F})) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_{p_i}(f_i^{\rightarrow}(f_i^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{F})))$  and so  $f_i^{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F})) \xrightarrow{q_i} f_i(x)$  for all  $i \in I$ . That is,  $\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ . Thus  $q$  is  $p$ -topological.  $\square$

The next theorem shows that  $p$ -topologicalness is preserved under final constructions with some additional conditions.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\{(X_i, q_i, p_i)\}_{i \in I}$  be pairs of  $\top$ -convergence spaces with each  $q_i$  being  $p_i$ -topological. Let  $q$  (resp.,  $p$ ) be the final structure on  $X$  w.r.t. The sink  $((X_i, q_i) \xrightarrow{f_i} X)_{i \in I}$  (resp.,  $((X_i, p_i) \xrightarrow{f_i} X)_{i \in I}$ ). If  $X = \cup_{i \in I} f_i(X_i)$  and each  $f_i : (X_i, p_i) \rightarrow (X, p)$  is an interior function, then  $(X, q)$  is  $p$ -topological.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$ . Then by definition of  $q$ , there exists  $i \in I, x_i \in X_i, \mathbb{G}_i \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X_i)$  such that  $f_i(x_i) = x, f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{G}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_i \xrightarrow{q_i} x_i$ .

By  $f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{G}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  and  $f_i$  is a interior function we have  $f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_{p_i}(\mathbb{G}_i)) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_p(f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{G}_i)) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F})$ .

By  $\mathbb{G}_i \xrightarrow{q_i} x_i$  and  $q_i$  is  $p_i$ -topological we have  $\mathbb{U}_{p_i}(\mathbb{G}_i) \xrightarrow{q_i} x_i$ , and then  $f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_{p_i}(\mathbb{G}_i)) \xrightarrow{q} f_i(x_i) = x$ .

Then it follows that  $\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ . By Theorem 1 we get that  $q$  is  $p$ -topological.  $\square$

From Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we conclude easily the following corollary. It will tell us that  $p$ -topologicalness is preserved under supremum and infimum in the lattice  $\top(X)$ .

**Corollary 3.** Let  $\{q_i | i \in I\} \subseteq \top(X)$  and  $p \in \top(X)$  such that each  $(X, q_i)$  is  $p$ -topological. Then both  $(X, \inf\{q_i\}_{i \in I})$  and  $(X, \sup\{q_i\}_{i \in I})$  are all  $p$ -topological.

### 3.3. On the Relationship between $p$ -Topologicalness in $\top$ -Convergence Spaces and in Stratified $L$ -Generalized Convergence Spaces

Let  $J, X$  be any set and  $\Phi : J \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_L^s(X)$  be any function. Then a function  $\hat{\Phi} : L^X \rightarrow L^J$  is defined as  $\forall \lambda \in L^X, \forall j \in J, \hat{\Phi}(\lambda)(j) = \Phi(j)(\lambda)$ . For all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L^s(J)$ , it is proved that the function  $K\Phi\mathcal{F} : L^X \rightarrow L$  defined by  $\forall \lambda \in L^X, K\Phi\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Phi}(\lambda))$  is a stratified  $L$ -filter, which is called the diagonal  $L$ -filter of  $\mathcal{F}$  under  $\Phi$  [27,30].

Let  $(X, \lim^p)$  be a stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence space. For any  $\alpha \in L, x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{U}_p^\alpha(x) = \bigwedge \{\mathcal{F} : \lim^p \mathcal{F}(x) \geq \alpha\}$ . Take  $\Phi = \mathcal{U}_p^\alpha : X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_L^s(X)$ , then for each  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L^s(X)$ , the stratified  $L$ -filter  $\mathcal{U}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) := K\mathcal{U}_p^\alpha\mathcal{F}$  is called  $\alpha$ -level neighborhood  $L$ -filter of  $\mathcal{F}$  w.r.t.  $\lim^p$  [29].

We say a pair of stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces  $(X, \lim^p, \lim^q)$  satisfy the Fischer  $L$ -diagonal condition if

$p$ -(LF): Let  $J, X$  be any sets,  $\Psi : J \rightarrow X$  and  $\Phi : J \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_L^s(X)$  be functions.

$$\forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L^s(J), \forall x \in X, \lim^q \Psi^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \lim^p \Phi(j)(\Psi(j)) \leq \lim^q K\Phi\mathcal{F}(x).$$

We say a pair of stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces  $(X, \lim^p, \lim^q)$  satisfy the Gähler  $L$ -neighborhood condition if  $p$ -(LG):  $\forall \alpha \in L, \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L^s(X), \alpha * \lim^q \mathcal{F} \leq \lim^q \mathcal{U}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{F})$ .

It was proved in [32] that  $p$ -(LF)  $\iff$   $p$ -(LG).

**Definition 9 ([32]).** Let  $(X, \lim^p, \lim^q)$  be a pair of stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces. Then  $\lim^q$  is called  $p$ -topological if the condition  $p$ -(LF) or  $p$ -(LG) is satisfied.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\phi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  be any function and  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(J)$ . Then

- (1)  $\Lambda(k\phi\mathbb{F}) \leq K(\Lambda \circ \phi)\Lambda(\mathbb{F})$ ;
- (2)  $k\phi\mathbb{F} = \Gamma(K(\Lambda \circ \phi)\Lambda(\mathbb{F}))$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $\lambda \in L^X$ . Then for any  $j \in J, \hat{\phi}(\lambda)(j) = \Lambda(\phi(j))(\lambda) = (\Lambda \circ \phi)(j)(\lambda) = \widehat{\Lambda \circ \phi}(\lambda)(j)$ . It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(k\phi\mathbb{F})(\lambda) &= \bigvee_{\mu \in k\phi\mathbb{F}} S_X(\mu, \lambda) = \bigvee_{\hat{\phi}(\mu) \in \mathbb{F}} S_X(\mu, \lambda) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{\hat{\phi}(\mu) \in \mathbb{F}} S_J(\hat{\phi}(\mu), \hat{\phi}(\lambda)) \leq \bigvee_{v \in \mathbb{F}} S_J(v, \hat{\phi}(\lambda)) \\ &= \bigvee_{v \in \mathbb{F}} S_J(v, \widehat{\Lambda \circ \phi}(\lambda)) = \Lambda(\mathbb{F})(\widehat{\Lambda \circ \phi}(\lambda)) = K(\Lambda \circ \phi)\Lambda(\mathbb{F})(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $\lambda \in L^X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in k\phi\mathbb{F} &\iff \widehat{\Lambda \circ \phi}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F} \iff \widehat{\Lambda \circ \phi}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F} \iff \Lambda(\mathbb{F})(\widehat{\Lambda \circ \phi}(\lambda)) = \top \\ &\iff K(\Lambda \circ \phi)\Lambda(\mathbb{F})(\lambda) = \top \iff \lambda \in \Gamma(K(\Lambda \circ \phi)\Lambda(\mathbb{F})). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $(X, p, q)$  be pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces and  $L$  be continuous. Then  $\lim^q$  is  $p$ -topological iff  $q$  is  $p$ -topological.

**Proof.** Let  $q$  be  $p$ -topological. We check that  $(X, \lim^p, \lim^q)$  satisfies  $p$ -(**LG**). Obviously, we need only prove that  $\lim^q \mathcal{F}(x) = \top$  implies  $\lim^q \mathcal{U}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{F})(x) = \top$  for any  $\alpha \neq \perp$ .

Note that for any  $\alpha \neq \perp$  and any  $x \in X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_p^\alpha(x) &= \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{F} \mid \lim^p \mathcal{F}(x) = \top \} = \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{F} \mid \mathcal{F} \geq \Lambda(\mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{p} x \} \\ &= \bigwedge \{ \Lambda(\mathbb{F}) \mid \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{p} x \} \stackrel{\text{Lemma 1}}{=} \Lambda(\bigcap \{ \mathbb{F} \mid \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{p} x \}) = \Lambda(\mathbb{U}_p(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\lim^q \mathcal{F}(x) = \top$  then  $\mathcal{F} \geq \Lambda(\mathbb{F})$  for some  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$ . It follows by  $p$ -(**TG**) that  $\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$  and

$$\mathcal{U}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mathcal{U}_p^\alpha(\Lambda(\mathbb{F})) = K\mathcal{U}_p^\alpha\Lambda(\mathbb{F}) = K(\Lambda \circ \mathbb{U}_p)\Lambda(\mathbb{F}) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 2(1)}}{\geq} \Lambda(k\mathbb{U}_p\mathbb{F}) = \Lambda(\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F})),$$

and so  $\lim^q \mathcal{U}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{F})(x) = \top$  as desired.

Conversely, let  $\lim^q$  be  $p$ -topological. We check that  $(X, p, q)$  satisfies  $p$ -(**TG**).

Assume that  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$ . It follows by  $p$ -(**LG**) that

$$\lim^q \mathcal{U}_p^\top(\Lambda(\mathbb{F}))(x) = \lim^q K\mathcal{U}_p^\top\Lambda(\mathbb{F}) = \lim^q K(\Lambda \circ \mathbb{U}_p)\Lambda(\mathbb{F}) = \top,$$

and then  $K(\Lambda \circ \mathbb{U}_p)\Lambda(\mathbb{F}) \geq \Lambda(\mathbb{G})$  for some  $\mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{q} x$ . By Lemma 2(2) we have

$$k\mathbb{U}_p\mathbb{F} = \Gamma(K(\Lambda \circ \mathbb{U}_p)\Lambda(\mathbb{F})) \supseteq \Gamma\Lambda(\mathbb{G}) = \mathbb{G}.$$

So,  $\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}) = k\mathbb{U}_p\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$  as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. Lower and Upper $p$ -Topological Modifications in $\top$ -Convergence Spaces

In this section, we shall discuss the  $p$ -topological modification in  $\top$ -convergence spaces.

At first, we fix a lemma for later use. The proof is obvious, so we omit it.

**Lemma 3.** (1) If  $(X, q)$  is  $p$ -topological, then  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x$  implies  $\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(2) If  $(X, q)$  is  $p$ -topological, then  $(X, q)$  is  $p'$ -topological for any  $p \leq p'$ .

(3)  $(X, \iota)$  is  $p$ -topological for any  $p \in \top(X)$ .

##### 4.1. Lower $p$ -Topological Modification

Corollary 3 shows that  $p$ -topologicalness is preserved under supremum in the lattice  $\top(X)$ . Lemma 3(3) shows that the indiscrete space  $(X, \iota)$  is  $p$ -topological for any  $p \in \top(X)$ . These two results make the following definition available.

**Definition 10.** Let  $(X, p, q)$  be a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces. Then there is a finest  $p$ -topological  $\top$ -convergence structure  $\tau_{pq}$  on  $X$  which is coarser than  $q$ . The structure  $\tau_{pq}$  is called the lower  $p$ -topological modification of  $q$ .

The next theorem gives a direct characterization on lower  $p$ -topological modification.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $p, q \in \top(X)$ . Then  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\tau_p q} x \iff \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{q} x$  s.t.  $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $q'$  be defined as  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q'} x \iff \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{q} x$  s.t.  $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G})$ . We need only check that  $\tau_p q = q'$ .

It is obvious that  $q' \in \top(X)$  and  $q' \leq q$ . We prove that  $q'$  is  $p$ -topological. Indeed, let  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q'} x$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{q} x$  such that  $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G})$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G})) = \mathbb{U}_p^{n+1}(\mathbb{G})$  and so  $\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q'} x$ , as desired. Thus  $q'$  is  $p$ -topological.

Let  $(X, r)$  be  $p$ -topological and  $r \leq q$ . We prove below  $r \leq q'$ . Indeed, let  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q'} x$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{q} x$  such that  $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G})$ , and then  $\mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{r} x$  by  $q \leq r$ . Since  $r$  is  $p$ -topological it follows by Lemma 3(1) we have  $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}) \xrightarrow{r} x$ . Thus  $r \leq q'$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** Let  $f : (X, q) \rightarrow (X', q')$  and  $f : (X, p) \rightarrow (X', p')$  be continuous function between  $\top$ -convergence spaces. Then  $f : (X, \tau_p q) \rightarrow (X', \tau_{p'} q')$  is also continuous.

**Proof.** For any  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  and  $x \in X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\tau_p q} x &\implies \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{q} x \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}) \\ &\implies \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, f^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{G}) \xrightarrow{q'} f(x) \text{ s.t. } f^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \supseteq f^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G})) \\ &\implies \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, f^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{G}) \xrightarrow{q'} f(x) \text{ s.t. } f^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \supseteq \mathbb{U}_{p'}^n(f^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{G})) \\ &\implies f^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\tau_{p'} q'} (f(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where the second implication holds for  $f : (X, q) \rightarrow (X', q')$  being continuous, and the third implication holds by  $f : (X, p) \rightarrow (X', p')$  being continuous and Proposition 3(1).  $\square$

The next theorem shows that lower  $p$ -topological modification behaves reasonably well relative to final structures.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\{(X_i, q_i, p_i)\}_{i \in I}$  be pairs of spaces in  $\top$ -CS and let  $q$  be the final structure w.r.t. The sink  $((X_i, q_i) \xrightarrow{f_i} X)_{i \in I}$  with  $X = \cup_{i \in I} f_i(X_i)$ . If  $(X, p)$  is in  $\top$ -CS such that each  $f_i : (X_i, p_i) \rightarrow (X, p)$  is a continuous interior function, then  $\tau_p q$  is the final structure w.r.t. the sink  $((X_i, \tau_{p_i} q_i) \xrightarrow{f_i} X)_{i \in I}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $s$  denote the final structure w.r.t. The sink  $((X_i, \tau_{p_i} q_i) \xrightarrow{f_i} X)_{i \in I}$ . Let  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^\top(X)$  and  $x \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{s} x &\implies \exists i \in I, x_i \in X_i, f_i(x_i) = x, \mathbb{G}_i \xrightarrow{\tau_{p_i} q_i} x_i \text{ s.t. } f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{G}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{F}, \text{ by Theorem 6} \\ &\implies \exists i \in I, x_i \in X_i, n \in \mathbb{N}, f_i(x_i) = x, \mathbb{H}_i \xrightarrow{q_i} x_i \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{U}_{p_i}^n(\mathbb{H}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{G}_i, f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{G}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{F}, \text{ Proposition 3(1)} \\ &\implies \exists i \in I, x_i \in X_i, n \in \mathbb{N}, f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{H}_i) \xrightarrow{q} x \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{U}_p^n(f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{H}_i)) \subseteq f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{U}_{p_i}^n(\mathbb{H}_i)) \subseteq f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{G}_i), f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{G}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\ &\implies \exists i \in I, x_i \in X_i, n \in \mathbb{N}, f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{H}_i) \xrightarrow{q} x \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{U}_p^n(f_i^\Rightarrow(\mathbb{H}_i)) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\ &\implies \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\tau_p q} x. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\tau_p q} x &\implies \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{q} x \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\
 &\implies \exists i \in I, x_i \in X_i, f_i(x_i) = x, n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H}_i \xrightarrow{q_i} x_i \text{ s.t. } f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{H}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\
 &\implies \exists i \in I, x_i \in X_i, f_i(x_i) = x, n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H}_i \xrightarrow{q_i} x_i \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{U}_p^n(f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{H}_i)) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}), \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\
 &\implies \exists i \in I, x_i \in X_i, f_i(x_i) = x, n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H}_i \xrightarrow{q_i} x_i \text{ s.t. } f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_{p_i}^n(\mathbb{H}_i)) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{H}_i)) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\
 &\implies \exists i \in I, x_i \in X_i, f_i(x_i) = x, n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{U}_{p_i}^n(\mathbb{H}_i) \xrightarrow{\tau_{p_i} q_i} x_i \text{ s.t. } f_i^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_{p_i}^n(\mathbb{H}_i)) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\
 &\implies \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{s} x,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the fourth implication uses Proposition 3(2).  $\square$

The following corollary shows that lower  $p$ -topological modification behaves reasonably well relative to infimum in the lattice  $\top(X)$ .

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\{q_i | i \in I\} \subseteq \top(X)$ ,  $p \in \top(X)$  and  $q = \inf\{q_i | i \in I\}$ . Then  $\tau_p q = \inf\{\tau_p q_i | i \in I\}$ .*

At last, we give the notion of topological modification. By Corollary 3, it is observed that topologicalness is preserved under supremum in the lattice  $\top(X)$ . Since the indiscrete space is topological, the following notion is available.

**Definition 11.** *Let  $(X, q)$  be a  $\top$ -convergence space. Then there exists a finest topological  $\top$ -convergence structure  $\tau q$  which is coarser than  $q$ . The structure  $\tau q$  is called the topological modification of  $(X, q)$ . Indeed,  $\tau q = \sup\{p | p \leq q \text{ and } p \text{ is topological}\}$ .*

#### 4.2. Upper $p$ -Topological Modification

Note that for an arbitrary  $p \in \top(X)$ , the discrete space  $(X, \delta)$  is generally not  $p$ -topological. Thus for a given  $q \in \top(X)$ , there may not exist  $p$ -topological  $\top$ -convergence structure on  $X$  which is finer than  $q$ .

**Definition 12.** *Let  $(X, p, q)$  be a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces. If there exists a coarsest  $p$ -topological  $\top$ -convergence structure  $\tau^p q$  on  $X$  which is finer than  $q$ , then it is called the upper  $p$ -topological modification of  $q$ .*

From Corollary 3 we easily conclude that the existence of  $\tau^p q$  depends on the existence of a  $p$ -topological  $\top$ -convergence structure on  $X$  which is finer than  $q$ . Additionally, note that  $\tau_p \delta$  is the finest  $p$ -topological  $\top$ -convergence structure on  $X$ . Then it follows immediately that  $\tau^p q$  exists if and only if  $q \leq \tau_p \delta$ . Using Theorem 6, this result can be stated as below.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $(X, p, q)$  be a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces. Then  $\tau^p q$  exists if and only if  $\mathbb{U}_p^n([x]_{\top}) \xrightarrow{q} x$  for all  $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof.** For each  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^{\top}(X)$  and each  $x \in X$ , by Theorem 6 we have

$$\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\tau_p \delta} x \iff \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{\delta} x \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}.$$

*Necessity.* Let  $\tau^p q$  exist. Then  $q \leq \tau_p \delta$ . It follows that for all  $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$[x]_{\top} \xrightarrow{\delta} x \implies \mathbb{U}_p^n([x]_{\top}) \xrightarrow{\tau_p \delta} x \implies \mathbb{U}_p^n([x]_{\top}) \xrightarrow{q} x.$$

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\mathbb{U}_p^n([x]_{\top}) \xrightarrow{q} x$  for all  $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for all  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{F}_L^{\top}(X)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\tau_p \delta} x &\implies \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{\delta} x \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\ &\implies \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, [x]_{\top} \subseteq \mathbb{G} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\ \text{Proposition 2(2)} &\implies \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{U}_p^n([x]_{\top}) \subseteq \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}) \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{G}) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\ &\implies \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{U}_p^n([x]_{\top}) \subseteq \mathbb{F} \\ &\implies \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q} x. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $q \leq \tau_p \delta$ , which means that  $\tau^p q$  exists.  $\square$

The next theorem gives a direct characterization on upper  $p$ -topological modification whenever it exists.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $(X, p, q)$  be a pair of  $\top$ -convergence spaces. If  $\tau^p q$  exists, then  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\tau^p q} x \iff \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ .

**Proof.** Let  $q'$  be defined as  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q'} x \iff \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ .

(1)  $q' \in \top(X)$ .

(TC1) Let  $x \in X$ . Then by Theorem 9 we have  $\mathbb{U}_p^n([x]_{\top}) \xrightarrow{q} x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which means  $[x]_{\top} \xrightarrow{q'} x$ .

(TC2) It is obvious.

(2)  $q \leq q'$ . Indeed, let  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q'} x$  then  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{U}_p^0(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ .

(3)  $(X, q')$  is  $p$ -topological. Indeed, let  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q'} x$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F})) = \mathbb{U}_p^{n+1}(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ , which means  $\mathbb{U}_p(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q'} x$ . Thus  $(X, q')$  is  $p$ -topological.

(4) Let  $(X, r)$  be  $p$ -topological and  $q \leq r$ . Then  $q' \leq r$ . Indeed, let  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{r} x$  then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Proposition 3(1) we have  $\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{r} x$  and so  $\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$  by  $q \leq r$ . That means  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{q'} x$ .

(1)–(4) show that  $q'$  is the coarsest  $p$ -topological  $\top$ -convergence structure on  $X$  which is finer than  $q$ . Thus  $\tau^p q = q'$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 11.** Let  $f : (X, q) \rightarrow (X', q')$  be a continuous function, and  $f : (X, p) \rightarrow (X', p')$  be an interior function between  $\top$ -convergence spaces. If  $\tau^p q$  and  $\tau^{p'} q'$  exist then  $f : (X, \tau^p q) \rightarrow (X', \tau^{p'} q')$  is also continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\tau^p q} x$ . Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x$ . Because  $f : (X, q) \rightarrow (X', q')$  is a continuous function and  $f : (X, p) \rightarrow (X', p')$  is an interior function we have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{U}_{p'}^n(f^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{F})) \supseteq f^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F})) \xrightarrow{q'} f(x),$$

which means  $f^{\Rightarrow}(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\tau^{p'} q'} f(x)$  as desired.  $\square$

The next theorem shows that the upper  $p$ -topological modification exhibits comparable behavior relative to initial structures.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $\{(X_i, q_i, p_i)\}_{i \in I}$  be pairs of spaces in  $\top$ -CS and  $q$  be the initial structure w.r.t. The source  $(X \xrightarrow{f_i} (X_i, q_i))_{i \in I}$ . Let  $(X, p)$  be in  $\top$ -CS such that each  $f_i : (X, p) \rightarrow (X_i, p_i)$  is continuous interior function. If  $\tau^{p_i} q_i$  exists for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\tau^p q$  exists and is the initial structure w.r.t. The source  $(X \xrightarrow{f_i} (X_i, \tau^{p_i} q_i))_{i \in I}$ .

**Proof.** To prove  $\tau^p q$  exists, it suffices, by Theorem 10, to show that  $\mathbb{U}_p^n([x]_\top) \xrightarrow{q} x$  for any  $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, by the existence of  $\tau^{p_i} q_i$  we have  $\mathbb{U}_{p_i}^n([f_i(x)]) \xrightarrow{q_i} f_i(x)$  for any  $i \in I, x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows by that each  $f_i : (X, p) \rightarrow (X_i, p_i)$  being a continuous interior function we get

$$f_i^\rightarrow(\mathbb{U}_p^n([x]_\top) = \mathbb{U}_{p_i}^n(f_i^\rightarrow([x]_\top)) = \mathbb{U}_{p_i}^n([f_i(x)]_\top) \xrightarrow{q_i} f_i(x),$$

which means  $\mathbb{U}_p^n([x]_\top) \xrightarrow{q} x$  for any  $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\tau^p q$  exists.

Let  $s$  denote the initial structure on  $X$  relative the source  $(X \xrightarrow{f_i} (X_i, \tau^{p_i} q_i))_{i \in I}$ . Then.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{s} x &\iff \forall i \in I, f_i^\rightarrow(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\tau^{p_i} q_i} f_i(x) \stackrel{\text{Theorem 10}}{\iff} \forall i \in I, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{U}_{p_i}^n(f_i^\rightarrow(\mathbb{F})) \xrightarrow{q_i} f_i(x) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Proposition 3}}{\iff} \forall i \in I, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_i^\rightarrow(\mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F})) \xrightarrow{q_i} f_i(x) \\ &\iff \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{U}_p^n(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{q} x \stackrel{\text{Theorem 10}}{\iff} \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\tau^p q} x. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following corollary shows that upper  $p$ -topological modification exhibits comparable behavior relative to supremum in the lattice  $\top(X)$ .

**Corollary 5.** Let  $\{q_i | i \in I\} \subseteq \top(X), p \in \top(X)$  and  $q = \sup\{q_i | i \in I\}$ . If  $\tau^{p_i} q_i$  exists for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\tau^p q$  exists and  $\tau^p q = \sup\{\tau^{p_i} q_i | i \in I\}$ .

### 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we discussed the  $p$ -topologicalness in  $\top$ -convergence spaces by a Fischer  $\top$ -diagonal condition and a Gähler  $\top$ -neighborhood condition, respectively. We proved that the  $p$ -topologicalness was preserved under the initial and final structures in the category  $\top$ -CS. As a straightforward conclusion, we further obtained that  $p$ -topologicalness was naturally preserved under the infimum and supremum in the lattice  $\top(X)$ . We also established the relationship between  $p$ -topologicalness in  $\top$ -convergence spaces and  $p$ -topologicalness in stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces. Furthermore, we defined and studied the lower and upper  $p$ -topological modifications in  $\top$ -convergence spaces. In particular, we proved that the lower (resp., upper)  $p$ -topological modification exhibited comparable behavior relative to final (resp., initial) structures.

**Funding:** This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11801248, 11501278).

**Acknowledgments:** The author thanks the reviewers and the editor for their valuable comments and suggestions.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Preuss, G. *Fundations of Topology*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: London, UK, 2002.
2. Kent, D.C.; Richardson, G.D. Convergence spaces and diagonal conditions. *Topol. Appl.* **1996**, *70*, 167–174. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Gähler, W. Monadic topology—A new concept of generalized topology. In *Recent Developments of General Topology*; Mathematical Research Volume 67; Akademie Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 1992; pp. 136–149.
4. Wilde, S.A.; Kent, D.C.  $p$ -topological and  $p$ -regular: dual notions in convergence theory. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **1999**, *22*, 1–12. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Jäger, G. A category of  $L$ -fuzzy convergence spaces. *Quaest. Math.* **2001**, *24*, 501–517. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Boustique, H.; Richardson, G. A note on regularity. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2011**, *162*, 64–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Boustique, H.; Richardson, G. Regularity: Lattice-valued Cauchy spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2012**, *190*, 94–104. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Fang, J.M. Stratified  $L$ -ordered convergence structures. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2010**, *161*, 2130–2149. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Flores, P.V.; Mohapatra, R.N.; Richardson, G. Lattice-valued spaces: Fuzzy convergence. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2006**, *157*, 2706–2714. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Flores, P.V.; Richardson, G. Lattice-valued convergence: Diagonal axioms. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2008**, *159*, 2520–2528. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Jäger, G. Subcategories of lattice-valued convergence spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2005**, *156*, 1–24. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Jäger, G. Lattice-valued convergence spaces and regularity. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2008**, *159*, 2488–2502. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Jäger, G. Stratified  $LMN$ -convergence tower spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2016**, *282*, 62–73. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Jin, Q.; Li, L.Q.; Meng, G.W. On the relationships between types of  $L$ -convergence spaces. *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.* **2016**, *1*, 93–103.
15. Jin, Q.; Li, L.Q.; Lv, Y.R.; Zhao, F.; Zou, J. Connectedness for lattice-valued subsets in lattice-valued convergence spaces. *Quaest. Math.* **2018**. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Li, L.Q.; Jin, Q. On adjunctions between  $\text{Lim}$ ,  $SL\text{-Top}$ , and  $SL\text{-Lim}$ . *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2011**, *182*, 66–78. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Li, L.Q.; Li, Q.G. A new regularity ( $p$ -regularity) of stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **2016**, *2*, 307–318.
18. Losert, B.; Boustique, H.; Richardson, G. Modifications: Lattice-valued structures. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2013**, *210*, 54–62. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Orpen, D.; Jäger, G. Lattice-valued convergence spaces: Extending the lattices context. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2012**, *190*, 1–20. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Pang, B.; Zhao, Y. Several types of enriched  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy convergence spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2017**, *321*, 55–72. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Pang, B. Degrees of separation properties in stratified  $L$ -generalized convergence spaces using residual implication. *Filomat* **2017**, *31*, 6293–6305. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Pang, B. Stratified  $L$ -ordered filter spaces. *Quaest. Math.* **2017**, *40*, 661–678. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Pang, B.; Xiu, Z.Y. Stratified  $L$ -prefilter convergence structures in stratified  $L$ -topological spaces. *Soft Comput.* **2018**, *22*, 7539–7551. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Yang, X.; Li, S. Net-theoretical convergence in  $(L, M)$ -fuzzy cotopological spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2012**, *204*, 53–65. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Yao, W. On many-valued stratified  $L$ -fuzzy convergence spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2008**, *159*, 2503–2519. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Fang, J.M.; Yue, Y.L.  $\top$ -diagonal conditions and Continuous extension theorem. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2017**, *321*, 73–89. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Jäger, G. Pretopological and topological lattice-valued convergence spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2007**, *158*, 424–435. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Jäger, G. Fischer's diagonal condition for lattice-valued convergence spaces. *Quaest. Math.* **2008**, *31*, 11–25. [[CrossRef](#)]

29. Jäger, G. Gähler's neighbourhood condition for lattice-valued convergence spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2012**, *204*, 27–39. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Li, L.Q.; Jin, Q. On stratified  $L$ -convergence spaces: Pretopological axioms and diagonal axioms. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2012**, *204*, 40–52. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Li, L.Q.; Jin, Q.; Hu, K. On Stratified  $L$ -Convergence Spaces: Fischer's Diagonal Axiom. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2015**, *267*, 31–40. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Li, L.Q.; Jin, Q.  $p$ -Topologicalness and  $p$ -Regularity for lattice-valued convergence spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2014**, *238*, 26–45. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Li, L.Q.; Jin, Q.; Meng, G.W.; Hu, K. The lower and upper  $p$ -topological ( $p$ -regular) modifications for lattice-valued convergence spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2016**, *282*, 47–61. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Li, L.Q.; Jin, Q.; Hu, K. Lattice-valued convergence associated with CNS spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2018**. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Höhle, U.; Šostak, A. Axiomatic foundations of fixed-basis fuzzy topology. In *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology and Measure Theory*; Höhle, U., Rodabaugh, S.E., Eds.; The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Boston, MA, USA; Dordrecht, The Netherlands; London, UK, 1999; Volume 3, pp. 123–273.
36. Gierz, G.; Hofmann, K.H.; Keimel, K.; Lawson, J.D.; Mislove, M.W.; Scott, D.S. *Continuous Lattices and Domains*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2003.
37. Li, L.Q.; Jin, Q.; Hu, K.; Zhao, F.F. The axiomatic characterizations on  $L$ -fuzzy covering-based approximation operators. *Int. J. Gen. Syst.* **2017**, *46*, 332–353. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Zhang, D. An enriched category approach to many valued topology. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2007**, *158*, 349–366. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Zhao, F.F.; Jin, Q.; Li, L.Q. The axiomatic characterizations on  $L$ -generalized fuzzy neighborhood system-based approximation operators. *Int. J. Gen. Syst.* **2018**, *47*, 155–173. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Bělohlávek, R. *Fuzzy Relational Systems: Foundations and Principles*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: New York, NY, USA, 2002.
41. García, J.G. On stratified  $L$ -valued filters induced by  $\top$ -filters. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2006**, *157*, 813–819. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Jin, Q.; Li, L.Q. Modified Top-convergence spaces and their relationships to lattice-valued convergence spaces. *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* **2018**, *35*, 2537–2546. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Jin, Q.; Li, L.Q. Stratified lattice-valued neighborhood tower group. *Quaest. Math.* **2018**, *41*, 847–861. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Lai, H.; Zhang, D. Fuzzy topological spaces with conical neighborhood system. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2018**, *330*, 87–104. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Reid, L.; Richardson, G. Connecting  $\top$  and Lattice-Valued Convergences. *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.* **2018**, *15*, 151–169.
46. Adámek, J.; Herrlich, H.; Strecker, G.E. *Abstract and Concrete Categories*; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1990.
47. Qiu, Y.; Fang, J.M. The category of all  $\top$ -convergence spaces and its cartesian-closedness. *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.* **2017**, *14*, 121–138.

