



Article Ground State Solutions for Fractional Choquard Equations with Potential Vanishing at Infinity

Huxiao Luo¹, Shengjun Li^{2,*} and Chunji Li³

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China; luohuxiao@zjnu.edu.cn
- ² College of Information Sciences and Technology, Hainan University, Haikou 570228, China
- ³ Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110004, China; lichunji@mail.neu.edu.cn
- * Correspondence: shjli626@126.com

Received: 8 December 2018; Accepted: 1 February 2019; Published: 5 February 2019



Abstract: In this paper, we study a class of nonlinear Choquard equation driven by the fractional Laplacian. When the potential function vanishes at infinity, we obtain the existence of a ground state solution for the fractional Choquard equation by using a non-Nehari manifold method. Moreover, in the zero mass case, we obtain a nontrivial solution by using a perturbation method. The results improve upon those in Alves, Figueiredo, and Yang (2015) and Shen, Gao, and Yang (2016).

Keywords: variational methods; fractional Choquard equation; ground state solution; vanishing potential

MSC: 35J50; 58E30

1. Introduction

In this paper, we deal with the following nonlocal equation:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(y)F(u(y))}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dy\right) Q(x)f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,\\ u \in D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $N \ge 3$, 0 < s < 1, $0 < \mu < N$, $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, [0, \infty))$, $Q \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, (0, \infty))$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$. The fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ is defined as

$$(-\Delta)^{s}u(x) = C_{N,s}P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}}dy, \ u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{N}),$$

where P.V. denotes the *principal value* of the singular integral, $S(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the Schwartz space of rapidly decaying C^{∞} functions in \mathbb{R}^N , and

$$C_{N,s} = \frac{2^{2s}s\Gamma(N+s)}{\pi^{N/2}\Gamma(1-s)}.$$

 $(-\Delta)^s$ is a pseudo-differential operator, and can be equivalently defined via Fourier transform as

$$\mathscr{F}[(-\Delta)^{s}u](\xi) = |\xi|^{2s}\mathscr{F}[u](\xi), \ u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{N}),$$

where \mathscr{F} is the Fourier transform, that is,

$$\mathscr{F}[u](\xi) = rac{1}{(2\pi)^{rac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} u(x) dx, \ u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^s$ is the infinitesimal generator of Lévy stable diffusion processes, and appears in several areas such as the thin obstacle problem, anomalous diffusion, optimization, finance, phase transitions, crystal dislocation, multiple scattering, and materials science, see [1–5] and their references.

Recently, a great deal of work has been devoted to the study of the Choquard equations, see [6–14] and their references. For instance, Alves, Cassani, Tarsi, and Yang [7] studied the following singularly perturbed nonlocal Schrödinger equation:

$$-\varepsilon^{2}\Delta u + V(x)u = \varepsilon^{\mu-2} \left[\frac{1}{|x|^{\mu}} * F(u)\right] f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{2},$$

where $0 < \mu < 2$ and ε is a positive parameter, the nonlinearity *f* has critical exponential growth in the sense of Trudinger–Moser. By using variational methods, the authors established the existence and concentration of solutions for the above equation.

In [6], Alves, Figueiredo and Yang studied the following Choquard equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\mu}} * F(u)\right)f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N}.\\ u \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}). \end{cases}$$
(2)

Under the assumption $V(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, the authors obtained a nontrivial solution for (2) by using a penalization method.

In the physical case N = 3, $\mu = 1$, V(x) = 1 and $F(t) = \frac{t^2}{2}$, (2) is also known as the stationary Hartree equation [15]. It dates back to the description of the quantum mechanics of a polaron at rest by Pekar in 1954 [16]. In 1976, Choquard used (2) to describe an electron trapped in its own hole, in a certain approximation to the Hartree–Fock theory of one-component plasma [11]. In 1996, Penrose proposed (2) as a model of self-gravitating matter, in a programme in which quantum state reduction is understood as a gravitational phenomenon [15].

In addition, there is little literature on the fractional Choquard equations. Frank and Lenzmann [17] established the uniqueness and radial symmetry of ground state solutions for the following equation:

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}u + u = (|x|^{-1} * |u|^2)u, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

D'Avenia, Siciliano, and Squassina [18] obtained the existence, regularity, symmetry, and asymptotic of the solutions for the nonlocal problem

$$(-\Delta)^{s}u + \omega u = (|x|^{-\mu} * |u|^{p})|u|^{p-2}u, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N}.$$

In [19], Shen, Gao, and Yang studied the following fractional Choquard equation:

$$(-\Delta)^{s}u + u = (|x|^{-\mu} * F(u))f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N},$$
(3)

where $N \ge 3, s \in (0, 1)$, and $\mu \in (0, N)$. Under the general Berestycki–Lions-type conditions [20], the authors obtained the existence and regularity of ground states for (3). The authors also established the Pohožaev identity for (3):

$$\frac{N-2s}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = \frac{2N-\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|x|^{-\mu} * F(u))F(u) dx$$

Motivated by the above works, in the first part of this article, we study the ground state solution for (1). We assume

- (I) V(x), Q(x) > 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N, V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $Q \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$;
- (II) if $\{A_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a sequence of Borel sets such that meas $\{A_n\} \leq \delta$ for all *n* and some $\delta > 0$, then

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{A_n\cap B_r^c(0)}[Q(x)]^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}dx=0 \text{ uniformly in } n\in\mathbb{N};$$

(III) one of the below conditions occurs:

$$\frac{Q}{V} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N),\tag{4}$$

or there exists $p \in (2, 2_s^*)$ such that

$$\frac{[Q(x)]^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}}{[V(x)]^{\frac{2s}{2s}-2}} \to 0, \ |x| \to \infty,$$
(5)

where $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$ is the fractional critical exponent;

- (F1) $F(t) = o(|t|^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N}})$ as $t \to 0$ if (4) holds; or $F(t) = o(|t|^{\frac{p(2N-\mu)}{2N}})$ as $t \to 0$ if (5) holds;
- (F2) $F(t) = o(|t|^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}})$ as $t \to \infty$;
- (F3) f(t) is nondecreasing on \mathbb{R} ;
- (F4) $\lim_{|t|\to+\infty}\frac{F(t)}{|t|}=+\infty.$

It is necessary for us to point out that the original of assumptions (I)–(III) come from [21–23]. The assumptions can be used to prove that the work space *E* is compactly embedded into the weighted Lebesgue space $L_K^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, see Section 2 and Lemma 1.

Now, we can state the first result of this article.

Theorem 1. Suppose that (I), (II), (III) and (F1)–(F4) hold. Then (1) has a ground state solution.

Remark 1. Since the Nehari-type monotonicity condition for f is not satisfied, the Nehari manifold method used in [24] no longer works in our setting. To prove Theorem 2, we use the non-Nehari manifold method developed by Tang [25], which relies on finding a minimizing sequence outside the Nehari manifold by using the diagonal method (see Lemma 8).

In the second part of this article, we consider the following fractional Choquard equation with zero mass case:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s} u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\mu}} * F(u)\right) f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ u \in D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}), \end{cases}$$
(6)

where $N \ge 3, 0 < s < 1, 0 < \mu < \min\{N, 4s\}$. The homogeneous fractional Sobolev space $D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, also denoted by $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, can be characterized as the space

$$D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy < +\infty \right\}.$$

 $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the following Berestycki–Lions-type condition [19,20]:

- (*F*5) *F* is not trivial, that is, $F \neq 0$;
- (*F*6) there exists C > 0 such that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|tf(t)| \le C|t|^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}};$$

(F7)

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{F(t)}{|t|^2} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{F(t)}{|t|^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}}} = 0.$$

The second result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 2. Suppose that f satisfies (F5)–(F7). Then (6) has a nontrivial solution.

Remark 2. Notice that the method used in [13] is no longer applicable for (6), because it relies heavily on the constant potentials. In the zero mass case, we use the perturbation method and the Pohožaev identity established in [19] to overcome this difficulty.

In this article, we make use of the following notation:

- $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the usual norm of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$;
- $C, C_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots$, denote various positive constants whose exact values are irrelevant;
- o(1) denotes the infinitesimal as $n \to +\infty$.

2. Ground State Solutions for (1)

Set

$$D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \left\{ u \in L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy < +\infty \right\},$$

endowed with the Gagliardo (semi)norm

$$[u] := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N + 2s}} dx dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

From [5], we have the following identity:

$$[u]^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\mathscr{F}[u](\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

From [26], $D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, we can define the best constant S > 0 as

$$S:=\sup_{u\in D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^{2^*_s}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_s}}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2dx}.$$

Let

$$E:=\left\{u\in D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N):\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)u^2dx<+\infty\right\}.$$

Under the assumptions (I)–(III), following the idea of ([21], Proposition 2.1) or ([22], Proposition 2.2), we can prove that the Hilbert space *E* endowed with scalar product and norm

$$(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v + V(x) uv \right] dx, \quad \|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u \right|^2 + V(x) u^2 \right] dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is compactly embedded into the weighted space $L_K^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $q \in (2, 2_s^*)$, where $K(x) := [Q(x)]^{2N/(2N-\mu)}$ and

$$L^q_K(\mathbb{R}^N) := \left\{ u : \operatorname{meas}\{u\} < \infty \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |u|^q dx < \infty
ight\}, \ \forall q \geq 2.$$

Lemma 1. Assume that (I)–(III) hold. If (K1) holds, E is compactly embedded in $L_K^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \in (2, 2_s^*)$. If (K2) holds, E is compactly embedded in $L_K^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. If (K1) holds, then

$$\frac{K(x)}{V(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{V(x)} [Q(x)]^{\frac{\mu}{2N-\mu}} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and fixed $q \in (2, 2_s^*)$, there exist $0 < t_0 < t_1$ and C > 0 such that

$$K(x)|t|^{q} \leq \varepsilon C(V(x)|t|^{2} + |t|^{2^{*}_{s}}) + CK(x)\chi_{[t_{0},t_{1}]}(|t|)|t|^{2^{*}_{s}} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{B_r^c(0)} K(x) |u|^q dx \le \varepsilon CW(u) + CK(x) \int_{A \cap B_r^c(0)} K(x) dx \ \forall u \in E,$$
(7)

where

$$W(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*_s} dx$$

and

$$A = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : s_0 \le |u(x)| \le s_1 \}.$$

Let $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in *E*, then there exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} [|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_{n}|^{2} + V(x)|v_{n}|^{2}] dx \le M_{1} \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{n}|^{2^{*}_{s}} dx \le M_{1} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which implies that $\{W(v_n)\}$ is bounded. On the other hand, setting

$$A_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : s_0 \le |v_n(x)| \le s_1\},\$$

we have

$$|s_0^{2^*_s}|A_n| \le \int_{A_n} |v_n|^{2^*_s} dx \le M_1 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and so $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |A_n| < +\infty$. Therefore, from (*II*), there is r > 0 such that

$$\int_{A_n \cap B^c_r(0)} K(x) dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{s_1^{2^*_s}} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(8)

Combining (7) and (8), we have

$$\int_{B_r^c(0)} K(x) |v_n|^q dx < \varepsilon C M_1 + s_1^{2_s^*} \int_{F_n \cap B_r^c(0)} K(x) dx < (CM_1 + 1)\varepsilon \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\tag{9}$$

By $q \in (2, 2_s^*)$, we have from Sobolev embeddings that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{B_r(0)} K(x) |v_n|^q dx = \int_{B_r(0)} K(x) |v|^q dx.$$
(10)

Combining (9) and (10), we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |v_n|^q dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |v|^q dx,$$

which yields

$$v_n \to v \text{ in } L^q_K(\mathbb{R}^N) \ \forall q \in (2, 2^*_s).$$

Next, we suppose that (*K*2) holds. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ fixed, we observe that the function

$$g(t) = V(x)t^{2-p} + t^{2^*_s - p} \quad \forall t > 0$$

has $C_p V(x)^{\frac{2_s^*-p}{2_s^*-2}}$ as its minimum value, where

$$C_p = \left(\frac{p-2}{2_s^*-p}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2_s^*-2}} + \left(\frac{p-2}{2_s^*-p}\right)^{\frac{2_s^*-p}{2_s^*-2}}.$$

Hence

$$C_p V(x)^{\frac{2^*_s-p}{2^*_s-2}} \le V(x) t^{2-p} + t^{2^*_s-p} \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } t > 0.$$

Combining this inequality with (*K*2), given $\varepsilon \in (0, C_p)$, there exists r > 0 large enough such that

$$K(x)|t|^p \le \varepsilon(V(x)|t|^2 + |t|^{2^*_s}) \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } |x| \ge r,$$

leading to

$$\int_{B_r^c(0)} K(x) |u|^p dx \le \varepsilon \int_{B_r^c(0)} (V(x) |u|^2 + |u|^{2_s^*}) dx \ \forall u \in E.$$

Let $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in *E*, then there exists a constant $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |v_n|^2 dx \le M_2 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{2^*_s} dx \le M_2 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and so,

$$\int_{B_r^c(0)} K(x) |v_n|^p dx \le 2\varepsilon M_2 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(11)

Since $p \in (2, 2_s^*)$ and *K* is a continuous function, we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{B_r^c(0)} K(x) |v_n|^p dx = \int_{B_r^c(0)} K(x) |v|^p dx.$$
(12)

From (11) and (12), we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}K(x)|v_n|^pdx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}K(x)|v|^pdx.$$

Therefore

$$v_n \to v \text{ in } L^p_K(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Lemma 2. (*Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality, see* [26]). Let $1 < r, t < \infty$, and $\mu \in (0, N)$ with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{t} = 2 - \frac{\mu}{N}$. If $\phi \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\psi \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists a constant $C(N, \mu, r, t) > 0$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi(x)\psi(y)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy \leq C(N,\mu,r,t) \|\phi\|_r \|\psi\|_t.$$

Lemma 3. Assume that (I)–(III) and (F1)–(F3) hold. Then for $u \in E$

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)F(u(x))F(u(y))}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy\right| < +\infty,$$
(13)

and there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)F(u(x))f(u(y))v(y)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dxdy\right| < C_1 ||v||, \ \forall v \in E.$$

$$(14)$$

Furthermore, let $\{u_n\} \subset E$ *be a sequence such that* $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ *in* E*, then*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)[F(u_n(x))F(u_n(y)) - F(u(x))F(u(y))]}{|x - y|^{\mu}} dx dy = 0$$
(15)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)F(u_n(x))f(u_n(y))[u_n(y) - u(y)]}{|x - y|^{\mu}} dx dy = 0.$$
(16)

Proof. Set

$$\beta = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } (K1) \text{ holds,} \\ p, & \text{if } (K2) \text{ holds.} \end{cases}$$

By (F1), (F2), Lemma 2, Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) |F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx &\leq C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \left[|u|^{\frac{\beta(2N-\mu)}{2N}} + |u|^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}} \right]^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \\ &\leq C_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) |u|^{\beta} dx + C_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2^{*}_{s}} dx \\ &\leq C_{3} (||u||^{\beta} + |u|^{2^{*}_{s}}), \ \forall u \in E \end{split}$$
(17)

and

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) |f(u)v|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx &\leq C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x) \left[|u|^{\frac{\beta(2N-\mu)-2N}{2N}} + |u|^{\frac{N-\mu+2s}{N-2s}} \right]^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} |v|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \\ &\leq C_{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} [K(x)]^{\frac{\beta(2N-\mu)-2N}{\beta(2N-\mu)}} |u|^{\frac{\beta(2N-\mu)-2N}{2N-\mu}} [K(x)]^{\frac{2N}{\beta(2N-\mu)}} |v|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \\ &+ C_{5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{\frac{2N(N+2s-\mu)}{(N-2s)(2N-\mu)}} |v|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \\ &\leq C_{6} \left[||u||^{\frac{\beta(2N-\mu)-2N}{2N-\mu}} + ||u||^{\frac{2N(N+2s-\mu)}{(N-2s)(2N-\mu)}} \right] ||v||^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}, \ \forall u, v \in E. \end{split}$$

Applying Lemma 2 and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)F(u(x))F(u(y))}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy \right| \\ &\leq C_{7} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)|F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \right]^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N}} \\ &\leq C_{8} \left[\left\| u \right\|^{\frac{\beta(2N-\mu)}{N}} + \left\| u \right\|^{\frac{2(2N-\mu)}{N-2s}} \right], \quad \forall u \in E, \end{aligned}$$

$$(19)$$

which yields (13) holds. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)F(u(x))f(u(y))v(y)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy \right| \\ &\leq C_{9} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)|F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \right]^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2N}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)|f(u)v|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \right]^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2N}}, \ \forall u, v \in E, \end{aligned}$$
(20)

which, together with (17) and (18), implies that (14) holds.

Similar to ([21], Lemma 2), by (F_2) , (F_3) , and Lemma 2, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |F(u_n) - F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |f(u_n)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} |u_n - u|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx = 0.$$
(21)

Combining (18), (20), and (21), we deduce that (15) and (16) hold. \Box

The energy functional $\Phi : E \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\Phi(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)F(u(x))F(u(y))}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy.$$
(22)

By Lemmas 2 and 3, Φ is well-defined and belongs to C^1 -class. Moreover, we have

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)F(u(x))f(u(y))v(y)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy, \ \forall u, v \in E.$$

$$(23)$$

Lemma 4. Assume that (F1)–(F3) hold. Then, for all $t \ge 0$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$l(t,\tau_1,\tau_2) := F(t\tau_1)F(t\tau_2) - F(\tau_1)F(\tau_2) + \frac{1-t^2}{2}[F(\tau_1)f(\tau_2)\tau_2 + F(\tau_2)f(\tau_1)\tau_1] \ge 0.$$
(24)

Proof. Firstly, it follows from (*F*1) that f(0) = 0. By (*F*3), we have

$$f(\tau) \ge 0, \ \forall \tau \ge 0; \ \ f(\tau) \le 0, \ \forall \tau \le 0; \ \ F(\tau) \ge 0, \ \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$f(\tau)\tau \ge \int_0^\tau f(t)dt = F(\tau), \ \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(25)

It is easy to verify that (24) holds for t = 0. For $\tau \neq 0$, we have from (25) that

$$\left[\frac{F(\tau)}{\tau}\right]' = \frac{f(\tau)\tau - F(\tau)}{\tau^2} \ge 0.$$
(26)

For every $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we deduce from (*F*3) and (26) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}l(t,\tau_1,\tau_2) \\ &= \tau_1\tau_2t\left[\frac{F(t\tau_1)}{t\tau_1}f(t\tau_2) + \frac{F(t\tau_2)}{t\tau_2}f(t\tau_1) - \frac{F(\tau_1)}{\tau_1}f(\tau_2) - \frac{F(\tau_2)}{\tau_2}f(\tau_1)\right] \\ &\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \geq 0, \ t \geq 1, \\ \leq 0, \ 0 < t < 1, \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $l(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) \ge l(1, \tau_1, \tau_2) = 0$ for all t > 0 and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. \Box

Lemma 5. Assume that (I)–(III) and (F1)–(F4) hold. Then

$$\Phi(u) \ge \Phi(tu) + \frac{1 - t^2}{2} \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle, \ \forall u \in E, \ t \ge 0.$$
(27)

Proof. By (22), (23), and (24), we have

$$\begin{split} \Phi(u) &- \Phi(tu) - \frac{1 - t^2}{2} \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{\mu}} \left[F(tu(x)) F(tu(y)) - F(u(x)) F(u(y)) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1 - t^2}{2} \left(F(u(x)) f(u(y)) u(y) + F(u(y)) f(u(x)) u(x) \right) \right] dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{l(t, u(x), u(y))}{|x - y|^{\mu}} dx dy \\ &\ge 0, \ \forall u \in E, \ t \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Corollary 1. Assume that (I)-(III) and (F1)-(F4) hold. Let

$$\mathcal{N} := \{ u \in E \setminus \{0\} : \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle = 0 \}.$$

Then

$$\Phi(u) = \max_{t \ge 0} \Phi(tu), \ \forall u \in \mathcal{N}$$

Lemma 6. Assume that (I)–(III) and (F1)–(F4) hold. Then, for any $u \in E \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $t_u > 0$ such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}$.

Proof. Let $u \in E \setminus \{0\}$ be fixed. Define a function $\zeta(t) := \Phi(tu)$ on $(0, \infty)$. By (22) and (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'(t) &= 0 \Longleftrightarrow t \|u\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)F(tu(x))F(tu(y)))f(tu(y))u(y)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy = 0 \\ &\iff tu \in \mathcal{N}. \end{aligned}$$

By (19), we have for $u \in E$

$$\Phi(u) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \|u\| - C_8 \begin{bmatrix} \|u\|^{\frac{4N-2\mu}{N}} + \|u\|^{\frac{4N-2\mu}{N-2s}} \end{bmatrix}, & \text{if } (K1) \text{ holds,} \\ \frac{1}{2} \|u\| - C_8 \begin{bmatrix} \|u\|^{\frac{2pN-p\mu}{N}} + \|u\|^{\frac{4N-2\mu}{N-2s}} \end{bmatrix}, & \text{if } (K2) \text{ holds,} \end{cases}$$
(28)

which implies that there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$\delta_0 := \inf_{\|u\| = \rho_0} \Phi(u) > 0.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Therefore, $\lim_{t\to 0} \zeta(t) = 0$ and $\zeta(t) > 0$ for small t > 0. By (*F*4), for *t* large, we have

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \left[\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(x)F(tu(x))}{|tu(x)|} \frac{Q(y)F(tu(y))}{|tu(y)|} \frac{|u(x)u(y)|}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dxdy \right] < 0.$$
(30)

Therefore $\max_{t \in [0,\infty)} \zeta(t)$ is achieved at some $t_u > 0$ so that $\zeta'(t_u) = 0$ and $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}$. \Box

Lemma 7. Assume that (I)–(III) and (F1)–(F4) hold. Then

$$\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}}\Phi(u):=c=\inf_{u\in E\setminus\{0\}}\max_{t\geq 0}\Phi(tu)>0.$$

Proof. Corollary 1 and Lemma 6 imply that

$$c = \inf_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \ge 0} \Phi(tu).$$

By (22) and (29),

$$c\geq \inf_{u\in E\setminus\{0\}}\Phi\left(rac{
ho_0}{\|u\|}u
ight)=\inf_{\|u\|=
ho_0}\Phi(u)>0.$$

Next, we will seek a Cerami sequence for Φ outside N by using the diagonal method, which is used in [25,27,28].

Lemma 8. Assume that (I)–(III) and (F1)–(F4) hold. Then there exist $\{u_n\} \subset E$ and $c^* \in (0, c]$ such that

$$\Phi(u_n) \to c^*, \quad (1 + \|u_n\|) \|\Phi'(u_n)\| \to 0,$$
(31)

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. For $c = \inf_{\mathcal{N}} \Phi$, we can choose a sequence $\{v_k\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$c \le \Phi(v_k) < c + \frac{1}{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(32)

By (29) and (30), it is easy to verify that $\Phi(0) = 0$, $\Phi(Tv_k) < 0$ when *T* is large enough, and $\Phi(u) \ge \delta_0 > 0$ when $||u|| = \rho_0$. Therefore, from Mountain Pass Lemma ([29]), there is a sequence $\{u_{n,k}\}$ such that

$$\Phi(u_{k,n}) \to c_k \in [\delta_0, \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi(tv_k)], \quad (1 + \|u_{k,n}\|) \|\Phi'(u_{k,n})\| \to 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(33)

By Corollary 1 and $\{v_k\} \subset \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\Phi(tv_k) \le \Phi(v_k), \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$
(34)

It follows from (34) that $\Phi(v_k) = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi(tv_k)$. Hence, by (32)–(34), we have

$$\Phi(w_{k,n}) \to c_k \in \left[\delta_0, c + \frac{1}{k}\right), \quad (1 + \|u_{k,n}\|) \|\Phi'(u_{k,n})\| \to 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, we can choose $\{n_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Phi(u_{k,n_k}) \in \left[\delta_0, c + \frac{1}{k}\right), \quad (1 + \|u_{k,n_k}\|) \|\Phi'(u_{k,n_k})\| < \frac{1}{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $u_k = u_{k,n_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, up to a subsequence, we have

$$\Phi(u_n) \to c^* \in [\delta_0, c], \quad (1 + ||u_n||) ||\Phi'(u_n)|| \to 0.$$

Lemma 9. Assume that (I)–(III) and (F1)–(F4) hold. Then, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ satisfying (31) is bounded in E.

Proof. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $||u_n|| \to \infty$. Let $v_n = \frac{u_n}{||u_n||}$, then $||v_n|| = 1$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $v_n \to v$ in *E*. There are two possible cases: (i). v = 0; (ii) $v \neq 0$.

Case (i) v = 0. In this case

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)F(2\sqrt{c^{*}+1}v_{n}(x))F(2\sqrt{c^{*}+1}v_{n}(y))}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy \right|$$

$$\leq C_{1} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} K(x)|F(2\sqrt{c^{*}+1}v_{n}(x))|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \right]^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N}}$$

$$= o(1).$$
(35)

Combining (27), (31), and (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c^* + o(1) &= \Phi(u_n) \\ &\geq \Phi\left(\frac{2\sqrt{c^* + 1}}{\|u_n\|}u_n\right) + \frac{1 - \left(\frac{2\sqrt{c^* + 1}}{\|u_n\|}\right)^2}{2} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \Phi(2\sqrt{c^* + 1}v_n) + o(1) \\ &= 2(c^* + 1) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case (ii) $v \neq 0$. In this case, since $|u_n| = |v_n| ||u_n||$ and $u_n/||u_n|| \to v$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} |u_n(x)| = \infty$ for $x \in \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : v(x) \neq 0\}$. Hence, it follows from (22), (31), (F4), and Fatou's lemma that

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{c^* + o(1)}{\|u_n\|^2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(x)F(u_n(x))}{|u_n(x)|} \frac{Q(y)F(u_n(y))}{|u_n(y)|} \frac{|v_n(x)v_n(y)|}{|x - y|^{\mu}} dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{Q(x + k_n)F(u_n(x))}{|u_n(x)|} \frac{Q(y + k_n)F(u_n(y))}{|u_n(y)|} \frac{|v_n(x)v_n(y)|}{|x - y|^{\mu}} dx dy \\ &= -\infty. \end{split}$$

This contradiction shows that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in *E*. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1. In view of Lemmas 8 and 9, there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ such that (31) holds. Passing to a subsequence, we have $u_n \rightarrow u$ in *E*. Thus, it follows from (22), (23), (31), and Lemma 3 that

$$\|u_n - u\|^2 = \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{Q(x)Q(y)F(u_n(x))f(u_n(y))[u_n(y) - u(y)]}{|x - y|^{\mu}} = o(1).$$

which implies that $\Phi'(u) = 0$ and $\Phi(u) = c^* \in (0, c]$. Moreover, since $u \in \mathcal{N}$, we have $\Phi(u) \ge c$. Hence, $u \in E$ is a ground state solution for (1) with $\Phi(u) = c > 0$. \Box

3. Zero Mass Case

In this section, we consider the zero mass case, and give the proof of Theorem 2. In the following, we suppose that (F5)-(F7) and $\mu < 4s$ hold. Fix $q \in (2, \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s})$, by (F7), for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $C_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that

$$|f(t)t| \le \epsilon(|t|^2 + |t|^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}}) + C_{\epsilon}|t|^q, \ |F(t)| \le \epsilon(|t|^2 + |t|^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}}) + C_{\epsilon}|t|^q, \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(36)

To find nontrivial solutions for (6), we study the approximating problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + \varepsilon u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\mu}} * F(u)\right) f(u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ u \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N}), \end{cases}$$
(37)

Mathematics 2019, 7, 151

where $\varepsilon \ge 0$ is a small parameter. The energy functional associated to (37) is

$$\Phi_{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} [|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^{2} + \varepsilon u^{2}] dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F(u(x))F(u(y))}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy.$$
(38)

By using (*F*5)–(*F*7) and Lemma 2, it is easy to check that $\Phi_0 \in C^1(D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),\mathbb{R})$ and $\Phi_{\varepsilon} \in C_1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^N),\mathbb{R})$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, for every $\varepsilon \ge 0$,

$$\langle \Phi_{\varepsilon}'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v + \varepsilon uv] dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(u(x))f(u(y))v(y)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy.$$
(39)

In view of ([19], Proposition 2), for every $\varepsilon > 0$, any critical point u of Φ_{ε} in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ satisfies the following Pohožaev identity

$$\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(u) := \frac{N-2s}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^{2} dx + \frac{N}{2} \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2} dx - \frac{2N-\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F(u(x))F(u(y))}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy = 0.$$
(40)

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon} &:= \{ u \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \setminus \{0\} : \Phi_{\varepsilon}'(u) = 0 \}, \\ \Gamma_{\varepsilon} &:= \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N})) : \gamma(0) = 0, \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}, \\ c_{\varepsilon} &:= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t)). \end{split}$$

Lemma 10. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, (37) has a ground state solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ such that $0 < \Phi_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = \inf_{\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}} \Phi_{\varepsilon} = c_{\varepsilon}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $K_{0} > 0$ independent of ε such that $c_{\varepsilon} \leq K_{0}$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. In view of ([19], Theorem 1.3), under the assumption (*F*5)–(*F*7), for every $\varepsilon > 0$, (37) has a ground state solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ such that $0 < \Phi_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = \inf_{\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}} \Phi_{\varepsilon} = c_{\varepsilon}$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_{1}$, since $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(u) \leq \Phi_{1}(u)$ for $u \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, we have $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, and so

$$c_{\varepsilon} \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t)) = \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(t_{\varepsilon})) \leq \Phi_{1}(\gamma(t_{\varepsilon})) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi_{1}(\gamma(t)) := K_{0}, \ \forall \varepsilon \in (0,1],$$

where $t_{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1)$. \Box

Lemma 11. There exists a constant $K_1 > 0$ independent of ε such that

$$[u_{\varepsilon}] \ge K_1, \ \forall u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}.$$

$$(41)$$

Proof. Since $\langle \Phi'_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}), u_{\varepsilon} \rangle = 0$ for $u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}$, from (*F*6), (39), and Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \left[u_{\varepsilon}\right]^{2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left[\left|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} + \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right] dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F(u_{\varepsilon}(x)) f(u_{\varepsilon}(y)) u_{\varepsilon}(y)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy \\ &\leq C_{1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |F(u_{\varepsilon})|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx\right)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |f(u_{\varepsilon}) u_{\varepsilon}|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx\right)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2N}} \\ &\leq C_{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}} dx\right)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N}} \\ &\leq C_{2} S^{\frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s}} [u_{\varepsilon}]^{\frac{2(2N-\mu)}{N-2s}}, \ \forall u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}, \end{split}$$

which, together with $(2N - \mu)/(N - 2s) > 1$, implies that (41) holds. \Box

The following lemma is a version of Lions' concentration-compactness Lemma for fractional Laplacian.

Lemma 12. ([18]) Assume $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which satisfies

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_n(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

Then $u_n \to 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in (2, 2_s^*)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. We choose a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset (0, 1]$ such that $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$. In view of Lemma 10, there exists a sequence $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon_n}$ such that $0 < \Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) = \inf_{\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon_n}} \Phi_{\varepsilon_n} \leq K_0$. For simplicity, we use u_n instead of u_{ε_n} . Now, we prove that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = 0$ for $u_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon_n}$, it follows from (38) and (40) that

$$K_{0} \geq c_{\varepsilon_{n}} = \Phi_{\varepsilon_{n}}(u_{n}) - \frac{1}{2N - \mu} \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon_{n}}(u_{n})$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{N - 2s}{2(2N - \mu)}\right] [u_{n}]^{2} + \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{N}{2(2N - \mu)}\right] \varepsilon_{n} ||u_{n}||_{2}^{2}.$$
(42)

Thus, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If

$$\delta := \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_n|^2 dx = 0.$$

Then, by Lemma 12, for $q \in (2, \frac{2N-\mu}{N-2s})$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\frac{4N}{2N-\mu}} dx \to 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\frac{2Nq}{2N-\mu}} dx \to 0.$$

Therefore, by (36) and Sobolev embedding for $D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $C_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(u_n)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \right| \le \epsilon \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|u_n|^{\frac{4N}{2N-\mu}} + |u_n|^{2^*_s} \right) dx \right] + C_\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{\frac{2Nq}{2N-\mu}} dx$$
$$\le \epsilon C + o(1).$$

By the arbitrariness of ϵ , we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(u_n)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \to 0.$$
(43)

Combining (36), (43), and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{F(u_{n}(x))f(u_{n}(y))u_{n}(y)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} dx dy \right| \\ &\leq C_{1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |F(u_{n})|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \right)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |f(u_{n})u_{n}|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} dx \right)^{\frac{2N-\mu}{2N}} \tag{44} \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have from (44) and $u_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon_n}$ that $[u_n]^2 = o(1)$. This contradicts (41). Thus, we get $\delta > 0$. Passing to a subsequence, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(y_n)}|u_n|^2dx>\frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Let $\tilde{u}_n(x) = u_n(x+y_n)$. Then

$$\Phi_{arepsilon_n}'(ilde{u}_n)=0, \ \Phi_{arepsilon_n}(ilde{u}_n)=c_{arepsilon_n}$$

and

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)} |\tilde{u}_n|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}.$$
(45)

Passing to a subsequence, we have $\tilde{u}_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Clearly, (45) implies that $u_0 \neq 0$. By the standard argument, $u_0 \in D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a nontrivial solution for (6). \Box

4. Conclusions

In this work, we study a class of nonlinear Choquard equation driven by the fractional Laplacian. When potential function vanishes at infinity and the Nehari-type monotonicity condition for the nonlinearity is not satisfied, we prove that the fractional Choquard equation has a ground state solution by using the non-Nehari manifold method. Unlike the Nehari manifold method, the main idea of our approach lies in finding a minimizing sequence for the energy functional outside the Nehari manifold by using the diagonal method. Moreover, by using a perturbation method, we obtain a nontrivial solution in the zero mass case.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: This work is supported by Hainan Natural Science Foundation (Grant No.118MS002 and No.117005), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11861028 and No.11461016), Young Foundation of Hainan University (Grant No.hdkyxj201718).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Bertoin, J. Lévy Processes. In *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1996; Volume 121.
- 2. Brändle, C.; Colorado, E.; de Pablo, A.; Sánchez, U. A concave-convex elliptic problem involving the fractional Laplacian. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb.* **2013**, *A143*, 39–71. [CrossRef]
- 3. Caffarelli, L.; Roquejoffre, J.M.; Sire, Y. Variational problems for free boundaries for the fractional Laplacian. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **2010**, *12*, 1151–1179. [CrossRef]
- 4. Chang, S.Y.A.; González, M. Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry. *Adv. Math.* **2011**, 226, 1410–1432. [CrossRef]
- 5. Di Nezza, E.; Palatucci, G.; Valdinoci, E. Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **2012**, 136, 521–573. [CrossRef]
- 6. Alves, C.O.; Figueiredo, G.M.; Yang, M. Existence of solutions for a nonlinear Choquard equation with potential vanishing at infinity. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* **2015**, *5*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- 7. Alves, C.O.; Cassani, D.; Tarsi, C.; Yang, M. Existence and concentration of ground state solutions for a critical nonlocal Schrödinger equation in ℝ². *J. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, *261*, 1933–1972. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ding, Y.; Gao, F.; Yang, M. Semiclassical states for Choquard type equations with critical growth: Critical frequency case. *arXiv* **2017**, arXiv:1710.05255.
- 9. Gao, F.; Yang, M. On the Brezis-Nirenberg type critical problem for nonlinear Choquard equation. *arXiv* **2016**, arXiv:1604.00826.
- 10. Gao, F.; da Silva, E.D.; Yang, M.; Zhou, J. Existence of solutions for critical Choquard equations via the concentration compactness method. *arXiv* **2017**, arXiv:1712.08264.
- 11. Lieb, E.H. Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **1977**, *57*, 93–105. [CrossRef]
- 12. Ma, L.; Zhao, L. Classification of positive solitary solutions of the nonlinear Choquard equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **2010**, *195*, 455–467. [CrossRef]
- 13. Moroz, V.; van Schaftingen, J. Existence of groundstates for a class of nonlinear Choquard equations. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **2015**, *367*, 6557–6579. [CrossRef]
- 14. Shen, Z.; Gao, F.; Yang, M. On critical Choquard equation with potential well. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst. A* 2018, 38, 3669–3695. [CrossRef]
- 15. Moroz, I.M.; Penrose, R.; Tod, P. Spherically-symmetric solutions of the Schrödinger-Newton equations. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **1998**, *15*, 2733–2742. [CrossRef]
- 16. Pekar, S. Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle; Akademie Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 1954.
- 17. Frank, R.L.; Lenzmann, E. On ground states for the L^2 -critical boson star equation. *arXiv* **2009**, arXiv:0910.2721.
- 18. D'avenia, P.; Siciliano, G.; Squassina, M. On fractional Choquard equations. *Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci.* 2015, 25, 1447–1476. [CrossRef]
- 19. Shen, Z.; Gao, F.; Yang, M. Ground states for nonlinear fractional Choquard equations with general nonlinearities. *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.* 2016, *39*, 4082–4098. [CrossRef]
- Berestycki, H.; Lions, P.-L. Nonlinear scalar field equations, I Existence of a ground state. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 1983, *82*, 313–346. [CrossRef]
- 21. Alves, C.O.; Souto, M.A.S. Existence of solutions for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential vanishing at infinity. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, 254, 1977–1991. [CrossRef]

- 22. Barile, S.; Figueiredo, G.M. Existence of least energy positive, negative and nodal solutions for a class of pq-problems with potentials vanishing at infinity. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2015**, 427, 1205–1233. [CrossRef]
- 23. Opic, B.; Kufner, A. *Hardy-Type Inequalities*; Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series; Longman Scientific and Technical: Harlow, UK, 1990; Volume 219.
- 24. Szulkin, A.; Weth, T. Ground state solutions for some indefinite variational problems. *J. Funct. Anal.* **2009**, 257, 3802–3822. [CrossRef]
- 25. Tang, X. Non-Nehari manifold method for asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation. *Sci. China Math.* **2015**, *58*, 715–728. [CrossRef]
- 26. Lieb, E.; Loss, M. Analysis, Graduate Studies in Mathematics; AMS: Providence, RI, USA, 2001.
- 27. Luo, H.; Tang, X. Ground state and multiple solutions for the fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical Sobolev exponent. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **2018**, *42*, 24–52. [CrossRef]
- 28. Luo, H.; Tang, X.; Gao, Z. Sign-changing solutions for fractional kirchhoff equations in bounded domains. *J. Math. Phys.* **2018**, *59*, 031504. [CrossRef]
- 29. Willem, M. Minimax Theorems; Birkhäuser: Berlin, Germany, 1996.



 \odot 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).