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#### Abstract

We focus on the improvement of operator Kantorovich type inequalities. Among the consequences, we improve the main result of the paper [H.R. Moradi, I.H. Gümüş, Z. Heydarbeygi, A glimpse at the operator Kantorovich inequality, Linear Multilinear Algebra, doi:10.1080/ 03081087.2018.1441799].
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## 1. Notation and Preliminaries

At the beginning of this paper, we cite the following inequality which is called the operator Kantorovich inequality [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A^{-1}\right) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \Phi(A)^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi$ is a normalized positive linear map from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, (we represent $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ as complex Hilbert spaces throughout the paper) and $A$ is a positive operator with spectrum contained in $[m, M]$ with $0<m<M$. This is a non-commutative analogue of the classical inequality [2],

$$
\langle A x, x\rangle\left\langle A^{-1} x, x\right\rangle \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}
$$

where $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector.
In recent years, various attempts have been made by many authors to improve and generalize the operator Kantorovich inequality. One may see the basic references [3-5] and the excellent survey [6] on this topic. In [7], it was shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A^{-1}\right) \leq \Phi\left(m^{\frac{A-M I}{M-m}} M^{\frac{m I-A}{M-m}}\right) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \Phi(A)^{-1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main aim of the present short paper is to improve both inequalities in (2). Actually, we prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(A^{-1}\right) & \leq \Phi\left(\left(A-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(A)\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \leq \Phi\left(\left(m^{\frac{A-M I}{M-m}} M^{\frac{m I-A}{M-m}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \Phi(A)^{-1}-\left(\frac{(\sqrt{M}-\sqrt{m})^{2}}{M m}\right) r(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r(A)=\min \left\{\frac{M I-A}{M-m}, \frac{A-m I}{M-m}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} I-\frac{1}{M-m}\left|A-\frac{M+m}{2} I\right|$.
In what follows, an operator means a bounded linear one acting on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. As customary, we reserve $m, M$ for scalars and $I$ for the identity operator. A self-adjoint operator $A$ is said to be positive if $\langle A x, x\rangle \geq 0$ holds for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. A linear map $\Phi$ is positive if $\Phi(A) \geq 0$ whenever $A \geq 0$. It is said to be normalized if $\Phi(I)=I$. We denote by $\sigma(A)$ the spectrum of the operator $A$.

## 2. Main Results

Before we present the proof of our theorems, we begin with a general observation. We say that a non-negative function $f$ on $[0, \infty)$ is geometrically convex [8] when

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(a^{1-v} b^{v}\right) \leq f(a)^{1-v} f(b)^{v} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ and $v \in[0,1]$. Equivalently, a function $f$ is geometrically convex if and only if the associated function $F(y)=\log \left(f\left(e^{y}\right)\right)$ is convex.

Example 1 ([9] Example 2.12). Given real numbers $c_{i} \geq 0$ and $p_{i} \in(-\infty, 0] \cup[1, \infty)$ for $i=1, \cdots, n$, the function $f(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} t^{p_{i}}$ is geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$.

Kittaneh and Manasrah [10] Theorem 2.1 obtained a refinement of the weighted arithmetic-geometric mean inequality as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{1-v} b^{v} \leq(1-v) a+v b-r(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b})^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=\min \{v, 1-v\}$.
Now, if $f$ is a decreasing geometrically convex function, then

$$
\begin{align*}
f((1-v) a+v b) & \leq f\left(((1-v) a+v b)-r(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b})^{2}\right) \\
& \leq f\left(a^{1-v} b^{v}\right) \\
& \leq f(a)^{1-v} f(b)^{v}  \tag{5}\\
& \leq(1-v) f(a)+v f(b)-r(\sqrt{f(a)}-\sqrt{f(b)})^{2} \\
& \leq(1-v) f(a)+v f(b)
\end{align*}
$$

where the first inequality follows from the inequality $(1-v) a+v b-r(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b})^{2} \leq(1-v) a+v b$ and the fact that $f$ is decreasing function, in the second inequality we used (4), the third inequality is obvious by (3), and the fourth inequality again follows from (4) by interchanging $a$ by $f(a)$ and $b$ by $f(b)$.

Of course, each decreasing geometrically convex function is also convex. However, the converse does not hold in general.

The inequality (5) applied to $a=m, b=M, 1-v=\frac{M-t}{M-m}$, and $v=\frac{t-m}{M-m}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
f(t) & \leq f\left(t-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(t)\right) \\
& \leq f\left(m^{\frac{M-t}{M-m}} M^{\frac{t-m}{M-m}}\right) \\
& \leq f(m)^{\frac{M-t}{M-m}} f(M)^{\frac{t-m}{M-m}}  \tag{6}\\
& \leq \frac{M-t}{M-m} f(m)+\frac{t-m}{M-m} f(M)-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} r(t) \\
& \leq \frac{M-t}{M-m} f(m)+\frac{t-m}{M-m} f(M)
\end{align*}
$$

with $r(t)=\min \left\{\frac{t-m}{M-m}, \frac{M-t}{M-m}\right\}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{M-m}\left|t-\frac{M+m}{2}\right|$ whenever $t \in[m, M]$.
In order to establish our promised refinement of the operator Kantorovich inequality, we also use the well-known monotonicity principle for bounded self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space (see, e.g., [6] (p.3)): If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a self-adjoint operator, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \leq g(t), t \in \sigma(A) \Rightarrow f(A) \leq g(A) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $f$ and $g$ are real-valued continuous functions. Under the same assumptions, $h(t)=|t|$ implies $h(A)=|A|$.

Now, we are in a position to state and prove our main results. We remark that the following theorem can be regarded as an extension of [5] Remark 4.14 to the context of geometrical convex functions.

Theorem 1. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a self-adjoint operator with $\sigma(A) \subseteq[m, M]$ for some scalars $m$, $M$ with $0<m<M$ and $\Phi$ be a normalized positive linear map from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. If $f$ is strictly positive decreasing geometrically convex function, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(f\left(A-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(A)\right)\right) & \leq \Phi\left(f\left(m^{\frac{M I-A}{M-m}} M^{\frac{A-m I}{M-m}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \mu(m, M, f) f(\Phi(A))-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} \Phi(r(A))
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r(A)=\min \left\{\frac{A-m I}{M-m}, \frac{M I-A}{M-m}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} I-\frac{1}{M-m}\left|A-\frac{M+m}{2} I\right|$ and

$$
\mu(m, M, f)=\max \left\{\frac{1}{f(t)}\left(\frac{M-t}{M-m} f(m)+\frac{t-m}{M-m} f(M)\right): t \in[m, M]\right\} .
$$

Proof. On account of the assumptions, from parts of (6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(t-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(t)\right) & \leq f\left(m^{\frac{M-t}{M-m}} M^{\frac{t-m}{M-m}}\right) \\
& \leq L(t)-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} r(t) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
L(t)=\frac{M-t}{M-m} f(m)+\frac{t-m}{M-m} f(M) .
$$

Note that inequality (8) holds for all $t \in[m, M]$. On the other hand, $\sigma(A) \subseteq[m, M]$, which, by virtue of monotonicity principle (7) for operator functions, yields the series of inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(A-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(A)\right) & \leq f\left(m^{\frac{M I-A}{M-m}} M^{\frac{A-m I}{M-m}}\right) \\
& \leq L(A)-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} r(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the linearity and the positivity of the map $\Phi$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(f\left(A-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(A)\right)\right) & \leq \Phi\left(f\left(m^{\frac{M I-A}{M-m}} M^{\frac{A-m l}{M-m}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \Phi(L(A))-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} \Phi(r(A))
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by using [5] Corollary 4.12 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(f\left(A-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(A)\right)\right) & \leq \Phi\left(f\left(m^{\frac{M I-A}{M-m}} M^{\frac{A-m l}{M-m}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \Phi(L(A))-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} \Phi(r(A)) \\
& \leq \mu(m, M, f) f(\Phi(A))-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} \Phi(r(A)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
As discussed extensively in [6] Cahpter 2, for $f(t)=t^{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(m, M, t^{p}\right) & =\max \left\{\frac{1}{t^{p}}\left(\frac{M-t}{M-m} m^{p}+\frac{t-m}{M-m} M^{p}\right): t \in[m, M]\right\} \\
& =\frac{\left(m M^{p}-M m^{p}\right)}{(p-1)(M-m)}\left(\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{M^{p}-m^{p}}{m M^{p}-M m^{p}}\right)^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the following fact can be easily deduced from Theorem 1 and Example 1.

Corollary 1. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a positive operator with $\sigma(A) \subseteq[m, M]$ for some scalars $m, M$ with $0<m<M$ and $\Phi$ be a normalized positive linear map from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then for any $p<0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(A^{p}\right) & \leq \Phi\left(\left(A-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(A)\right)^{p}\right) \\
& \leq \Phi\left(\left(m^{\frac{A-M I}{M-m}} M^{\frac{m I-A}{M-m}}\right)^{p}\right) \\
& \leq K(m, M, p) \Phi(A)^{p}-\left(m^{p / 2}-M^{p / 2}\right)^{2} \Phi(r(A))
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
K(m, M, p)=\frac{\left(m M^{p}-M m^{p}\right)}{(p-1)(M-m)}\left(\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{M^{p}-m^{p}}{m M^{p}-M m^{p}}\right)^{p}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(A^{-1}\right) & \leq \Phi\left(\left(A-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(A)\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \leq \Phi\left(\left(m^{\frac{A-M I}{M-m}} M^{\frac{m I-A}{M-m}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \Phi(A)^{-1}-\left(\frac{(\sqrt{M}-\sqrt{m})^{2}}{M m}\right) \Phi(r(A))
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $K(m, M,-1)=\frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}$ is the original Kantorovich constant.
Theorem 2. Let all the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\Phi(A)-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(\Phi(A))\right) & \leq f\left(m^{\frac{M I-\Phi(A)}{M-m}} M^{\frac{\Phi(A)-m I}{M-m}}\right) \\
& \leq \mu(m, M, f) \Phi(f(A))-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} r(\Phi(A))
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By applying a standard functional calculus for the operator $\Phi(A)$ such that $m I \leq \Phi(A) \leq M I$, we get from (8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\Phi(A)-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(\Phi(A))\right) & \leq f\left(m^{\frac{M I-\Phi(A)}{M-m}} M^{\frac{\Phi(A)-m I}{M-m}}\right) \\
& \leq \Phi(L(A))-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} r(\Phi(A))
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\Phi(A)-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(\Phi(A))\right) & \leq f\left(m^{\frac{M I-\Phi(A)}{M-m}} M^{\frac{\Phi(A)-m l}{M-m}}\right) \\
& \leq L(\Phi(A))-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} r(\Phi(A)) \\
& =\Phi(L(A))-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} r(\Phi(A)) \\
& \leq \mu(m, M, f) \Phi(f(A))-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} r(\Phi(A))
\end{aligned}
$$

where at the last step we used the basic inequality [5] Corollary 4.12.
Hence, the proof is complete.
As a corollary of Theorem 2 we have:
Corollary 2. Let all the assumptions of Corollary 1 hold. Then for any $p<0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(A)^{p} & \leq\left(\Phi(A)-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(\Phi(A))\right)^{p} \\
& \leq\left(m^{\frac{M I-\Phi(A)}{M-m}} M^{\frac{\Phi(A)-m I}{M-m}}\right)^{p} \\
& \leq K(m, M, p) \Phi\left(A^{p}\right)-\left(\sqrt{m^{p}}-\sqrt{M^{p}}\right)^{2} r(\Phi(A)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. Notice that the inequalities in Corollary 2 are stronger than the inequalities obtained in [11] Corollary 2.1.
Recall that if $f$ is operator convex, the solidarities [12] or the perspective [13] of $f$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{f}(A \mid B)=A^{\frac{1}{2}} f\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) A^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Using a series of inequalities (6) we have the upper bounds of the perspective for non-negative decreasing geometrically convex function (not necessary operator convex $f$ ). We use the same symbol $\mathcal{P}_{f}(A \mid B)$ for a simplicity.

Proposition 1. Let $A, B>0$ with $m A \leq B \leq M A$ for some scalars $0<m<M$. For a non-negative decreasing geometrically convex function $f$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{f}(A \mid B) & \leq A^{1 / 2} f\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}-(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{M})^{2} r(A, B)\right) A^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq A^{1 / 2} f\left(m^{\frac{M I-A^{-1 / 2} 2_{A}-1 / 2}{M-m}} M^{\frac{A^{-1 / 2} 2_{B A}-1 / 2-m I}{M-m}}\right) A^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq A^{1 / 2} f(m)^{\frac{M I-A^{-1 / 2} 2_{B A}-1 / 2}{M-m}} f(M)^{\frac{A^{-1 / 2}-2_{B A}-1 / 2-m I}{M-m}} A^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{M f(m)-m f(M)}{M-m} A+\frac{f(M)-f(m)}{M-m} B-(\sqrt{f(m)}-\sqrt{f(M)})^{2} A^{1 / 2} r(A, B) A^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{M f(m)-m f(M)}{M-m} A+\frac{f(M)-f(m)}{M-m} B,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(A, B) & =\min \left\{\frac{A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}-m I}{M-m}, \frac{M I-A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}}{M-m}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} I-\frac{1}{M-m}\left|A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}-\frac{M+m}{2} I\right|
\end{aligned}
$$
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