

Article



Homotopy Analysis Method for a Fractional Order Equation with Dirichlet and Non-Local Integral Conditions

Said Mesloub * and Saleem Obaidat

Mathematics Department, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia; saleem@ksu.edu.sa

* Correspondence: mesloub@ksu.edu.sa

Received: 1 November 2019; Accepted: 22 November 2019; Published: 2 December 2019



Abstract: The main purpose of this paper is to obtain some numerical results via the homotopy analysis method for an initial-boundary value problem for a fractional order diffusion equation with a non-local constraint of integral type. Some examples are provided to illustrate the efficiency of the homotopy analysis method (HAM) in solving non-local time-fractional order initial-boundary value problems. We also give some improvements for the proof of the existence and uniqueness of the solution in a fractional Sobolev space.

Keywords: BIVP; weighted non-local conditions; parabolic fractional differential equation; homotpy method; numerical solution

MSC: 35D35; 35L20

1. Introduction

In this paper, we use a theoretical method to prove that the non-local initial-boundary value problem for a singular fractional order parabolic equation is well posed, and use a numerical method to investigate approximate solutions for the given problem, namely the homotopy analysis method. For theoretical purpose, we apply the energy inequality method based mainly on some a priori estimates, and on the density of the range of the operator generated by the considered problem. This method is an important component of linear and nonlinear functional analysis theory. It is one of the crucial tools to build the existence and uniqueness of solutions for a large variety of local and non-local initial-boundary value problems in partial differential equations. The model we study is a one-dimensional fractional order diffusion heat equation, associated with a classical and a non-local condition of integral type (see [1–3]). The fractional order derivative in the equation can be viewed as the degree of memory in the diffusing substance [4]. Many results concerning the existence and uniqueness of fractional order initial-boundary value problems have been studied by many researchers during the last few decades. These fractional order problems arise in many scientific and engineering areas, for example in control theory, blood flow, aerodynamics, biology, in the description of stochastic transport, viscoelasticity, in quantum mechanics, nuclear physics, and many other physical and biological processes, etc., see [5–15] and the references therein. For the proof of the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of the posed problem, we use the energy inequality method based mainly on some a priori estimates and on the density of the range of the operator generated by the considered problem. In the literature, there are few articles using the method of energy inequalities for the proof of existence and uniqueness of fractional initial-boundary value problems in the fractional case (see [16–19]).

For numerical purposes, we use the homotopy analysis method (HAM), which was firstly introduced by Liao [20] to efficiently handle nonlinear problems. It provides the solution in the form of a rapid convergent series, which in most cases gives a very accurate solution, after only a few iterations. The method has been widely used by many authors to successfully solve a wide range of mathematical problems in different disciplines. Recently, it is employed to generate reliable approximate solutions for fractional partial differential equations. For example, it is utilized to investigate approximate solutions of linear and nonlinear fractional diffusion wave equations in [21], for a system of nonlinear fractional partial differential equations in [22], a time fractional wave-like equation in [23], and a nonlinear type problems in [24]. Many authors have analytically and numerically studied many models of time-fractional differential equations, especially for the existence and uniqueness of solutions; see, for example, [6,19,25–30].

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we pose and set the problem to be solved, and write it in its operator form. In Section 3, we give some notations, introduce the functional frame and state some important inequalities that will be used in the sequel. In Section 4, we establish the uniqueness of the solution and its dependence on the given data of the posed problem. Section 5 is devoted to the solvability of the stated problem. In the last section, we use the homotopt analysis method to solve the posed problem, and provide some examples to test the efficiency of the method.

2. Problem Setting

We consider a fractional order parabolic equation with a Caputo derivative associated with Dirichlet and non-local conditions of integral type

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u = g(x,t), \ (x,t) \in Q = \Omega \times [0,T], \\ l_1 u = u(x,0) = \omega(x), \ x \in \Omega = (0,1), \\ \int_0^1 x u(x,t) dx = 0, \ u(1,t) = 0, \ t \in (0,T), \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $\mathcal{L} = \partial_t^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + f$ and the functions f and g are in $L^2_{\rho}(Q)$, which is defined below. The time fractional Caputo derivative of order $0 < \alpha < 1$ for a differential function is defined by

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} \mathcal{H}(x,t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathcal{H}(x,\tau) d\tau, \ t > 0,$$
(2)

where $\Gamma(1 - \alpha)$ denotes the Gamma function.

For more details about the Caputo fractional derivative, we refer the reader to the references [5,31].

In order to establish the existence and uniqueness of the solution of problem (1), we write it in an equivalent operator form.

The solution of problem (1) can be regarded as the solution of the operator equation $\mathcal{K}u = (\mathcal{L}u, \ell_1 u) = \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{K} is an unbounded operator which acts from \mathcal{S} to H, with the domain of definition being the set of functions $u \in L^2_\rho(Q) : u_x, u_{xx}, \partial_t^\alpha u \in L^2_\rho(Q)$ satisfying the boundary conditions, where \mathcal{S} is a Banach space of functions u associated with the finite norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{S}}^{2} = \|u\|_{L^{2}(0;T,H^{\alpha,t}_{\rho}(0,1))}^{2} = \int_{0}^{T} \left(\|u\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \right) dt,$$
(3)

and *H* is the weighted Hilbert space $L^2_{\rho}(Q) \times H^1_{\rho}(0, 1)$ consisting of vector valued functions $\mathcal{F} = (g, \omega)$ for which the norm

$$\left|\mathcal{F}\right|_{H}^{2} = \left\|\omega\right\|_{H^{1}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \left\|g\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(Q)}^{2}.$$
(4)

is finite.

We will not outline here the basic ideas of the homotopy analysis method, but rather we refer the reader to [32].

3. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some function spaces and some basic tools.

We denote by $L^2_{\rho}(0,1)$ the Hilbert space of weighted square integrable functions with inner product $(U, V)_{\rho} = \int_0^1 x UV dx$, and by $H^1_{\rho}(0,1)$ the weighted Sobolev space with the norm $||u||^2_{H^1_{\rho}(0,1)} = ||u||^2_{L^2_{\rho}(0,1)} + ||u_x||^2_{L^2_{\rho}(0,1)}$. We also introduce the Hilbert space $L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha,t}_{\rho}(0,1))$ consisting of all abstract strongly measurable functions u on [0, T] into $H^{\alpha,t}_{\rho}(0,1)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0,T;H^{\alpha,t}_{\rho}(0,1)\right)}^{2} = \int_{0}^{T} \|u(.,t)\|_{H^{\alpha,t}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} dt = \int_{0}^{T} \left(\|u\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2}\right) dt < \infty.$$
(5)

 $H_{\rho}^{\alpha,t}(0,1)$ denotes the weighted Sobolev space whose norm is defined by

$$\|u\|_{H^{\alpha,t}_{\rho}(0,1)} = \|u\|^{2}_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)} + \|\partial^{\alpha}_{t}u\|^{2}_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}.$$
(6)

Lemma 1 ([18]). For any absolutely continuous function Z(t) on the interval [0,T], the following inequality holds

$$Z(t) \partial_t^{\alpha} Z(t) \ge \frac{1}{2} \partial_t^{\alpha} Z^2(t), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$
(7)

Lemma 2 ([18]). Let a nonnegative absolutely continuous function $\mathcal{J}(s)$ satisfy the inequality

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} \mathcal{J}(t) \le r_1 \mathcal{J}(t) + r_2(t), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \tag{8}$$

for almost all $t \in [0, T]$, where r_1 is a positive constant and $r_2(t)$ is an integrable nonnegative function on [0, T]. Then

$$\mathcal{J}(t) \le \mathcal{J}(0) E_{\alpha}(r_1 t^{\alpha}) + \Gamma(\alpha) E_{\alpha,\alpha}(r_1 t^{\alpha}) D_t^{-\alpha} r_2(t),$$
(9)

where

$$E_{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n+1)} \text{ and } E_{\alpha,\mu}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n+\mu)},$$
(10)

are Mittag-Leffler functions.

Young's inequality with ε : For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have the inequality

$$aW \leq \frac{1}{p} |\varepsilon a|^p + \frac{p-1}{p} \left| \frac{W}{\varepsilon} \right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, \quad a, W \in \mathbb{R}, \ p > 1,$$
(11)

which is the generalization of the Cauchy inequality with ε :

$$aW \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}W^2, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$
 (12)

where *a* and *W* are nonnegative numbers.

A Poincaré type inequality [33]

$$\|\mathcal{P}_{x}\left(\xi U\right)\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,l)}^{2} \leq \frac{l^{3}}{2} \|U\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,l)}^{2},$$
(13)

where

$$\mathcal{P}_{x}\left(V\right) = \int_{0}^{x} V(\xi, t) d\xi.$$
(14)

We also need the Riemann–Liouville integral of order $0 < \alpha < 1$, which is defined by

$$D_t^{-\alpha} \mathcal{H}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{H}(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau.$$
 (15)

4. Uniqueness of Solution

In this section, on the basis of an a priori estimate, we establish a uniqueness result for the solution of the given problem and its dependence on the given data of the posed problem.

Theorem 1. Suppose that the function f satisfies

$$i) f(x,t) \ge A_0, \ ii) f_x(x,t) \ge A_1, \ f(x,t) \le A_2, \ \forall (x,t) \in Q$$
(16)

where A_0 , A_1 and A_2 are positive constants and $g \in L^2_{\rho}(Q)$. Then we have the a priori estimate

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{S}}^{2} = \|u\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{\alpha,t}_{\rho}(0,1))}^{2} \leq C^{**} \left(\|\omega\|_{H^{1}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \|g\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(Q)}^{2}\right),$$
(17)

for all $u \in D(\mathcal{K})$, where C^* and C^{**} are positive constants given by

$$C^{**} = \max\left\{C^*, \frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\right\}, \ C^* = \frac{\frac{1}{2A_1} + 4}{\min\left(A_0, 1/4\right)}.$$
(18)

Proof. Consider the inner product in $L^2(0,1)$ of the integro-differential operator $\mathcal{M}u = xu - x\mathcal{P}_x(\xi u) + x\partial_t^{\alpha}u$ and $\mathcal{L}u$

$$(\mathcal{L}u, \mathcal{M}u)_{L^{2}(0,1)} = \left(\partial_{t}^{\alpha}u - \frac{1}{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) + f(x,t)u, \ xu - x\mathcal{P}_{x}\left(\xi u\right) + x\partial_{t}^{\alpha}u\right)_{L^{2}(0,1)} = \left(g, \ xu - x\mathcal{P}_{x}\left(\xi u\right) + x\partial_{t}^{\alpha}u\right)_{L^{2}(0,1)}.$$
(19)

where

$$\mathcal{P}_x(\xi u) = \int_0^x \xi u(\xi, t) d\xi.$$

Boundary and initial conditions in Equation (1), give

$$-\left(\partial_t^{\alpha} u, x \mathcal{P}_x\left(\xi u\right)\right)_{L^2(0,1)} = -\int_0^1 x \,\partial_t^{\alpha} u \mathcal{P}_x\left(\xi u\right) dx,\tag{20}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right), \mathcal{P}_{x}\left(\xi u\right)\right)_{L^{2}(0,1)} = \|u\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}, \qquad (21)$$

$$-(fu, x\mathcal{P}_{x}(\xi u))_{L^{2}(0,1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sqrt{f_{x}}\mathcal{P}_{x}(\xi u) \right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2},$$
(22)

$$(\partial_t^{\alpha} u, xu)_{L^2(0,1)} = \int_0^1 xu \partial_t^{\alpha} u dx,$$
(23)

$$-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right), u\right)_{L^2(0,1)} = \|u_x\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2,$$
(24)

$$(fu, xu)_{L^{2}(0,1)} = \left\| \sqrt{f}u \right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2},$$
(25)

$$(\partial_t^{\alpha} u, x \partial_t^{\alpha} u)_{L^2(0,1)} = \|\partial_t^{\alpha} u\|_{L^2_{\rho}(0,1)}^2,$$
(26)

$$-\left(\partial_t^{\alpha} u, \ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)\right)_{L^2(0,1)} = \left(\partial_t^{\alpha} u_x, u_x\right)_{L\rho(0,1)},\tag{27}$$

$$(x\partial_t^{\alpha}u, fu)_{L^2(0,1)} = \int_0^1 x fu \partial_t^{\alpha} u dx.$$
⁽²⁸⁾

Substitution of Equalities (20)–(28) into (19), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_x\|_{L^2_{\rho(0,1)}}^2 + \left\|\sqrt{f}u\right\|_{L^2_{\rho(0,1)}}^2 + (\partial_t^{\alpha}u, u)_{L\rho(0,1)} + (\partial_t^{\alpha}u_x, u_x)_{L\rho(0,1)} + \|\partial_t^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{\rho(0,1)}}^2 \\ + (\partial_t^{\alpha}u, fu)_{L\rho(0,1)} + \|u\|_{L^{\rho(0,1)}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\|\sqrt{f_x}\mathcal{P}_x\left(\xi u\right)\right\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\ = (\mathcal{P}_x\left(\xi u\right), xg)_{L^2(0,1)} + (xu, g)_{L^2(0,1)} + (x\partial_t^{\alpha}u, g)_{L^2(0,1)} \\ + (\partial_t^{\alpha}u, x\mathcal{P}_x\left(\xi u\right))_{L^2(0,1)}. \end{aligned}$$
(29)

By using Cauchy inequality, Inequality (12), Conditions (16) and Lemma 1, we infer from Equation (29) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{x}\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + (1+A_{0}) \|u\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + (A_{0} + \frac{1}{2}) \partial_{t}^{\alpha} \|u\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{t}^{\alpha} \|u_{x}\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{A_{1}}{2} \|\mathcal{P}_{x} \left(\xi u\right)\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{2} \|\mathcal{P}_{x} \left(\xi u\right)\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_{1}} \|g\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{2} \|\partial_{t}^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_{2}} \|\mathcal{P}_{x} \left(\xi u\right)\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} + \varepsilon_{3} \|u\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{3}} \|g\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\ &+ \varepsilon_{4} \|\partial_{t}^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{4}} \|g\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$(30)$$

By dropping the first term on the left-hand side of Equation (30), taking $\varepsilon_1 = A_1$, $\varepsilon_2 = 1/2$, $\varepsilon_3 = 1/2$ and $\varepsilon_4 = 1/2$, and applying the Poincaré inequality for the fourth term on the right-hand side of Equation (30), we obtain

$$\|u\|_{H^{\alpha,t}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \partial_{t}^{\alpha} \|u\|_{H^{1}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \leq C^{*} \|g\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2},$$
(31)

where

$$C^* = \frac{\frac{1}{2A_1} + 4}{\min\left(A_0, 1/4\right)}.$$
(32)

Integrating both sides of Equation (31) over (0, t) gives

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|u(x,\nu)\|_{H^{\alpha,t}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} d\nu + D^{\alpha-1} \|u\|_{H^{1}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\
\leq C^{*} \int_{0}^{t} \|g(x,\nu)\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} d\nu + \frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \|\omega\|_{H^{1}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\
\leq C^{**} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \|g(x,\nu)\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} d\nu + \|\omega\|_{H^{1}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2}\right),$$
(33)

where

$$C^{**} = \max\left\{C^{*}, \frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\right\}.$$
(34)

5 of 18

If we discard the second term on the left hand side of Equation (33) and replace *t* by *T*, we obtain the desired inequality:

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{\alpha,t}_{\rho}(0,1))}^{2} \leq C^{**}\left(\|\omega\|_{H^{1}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \|g\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(Q)}^{2}\right).$$
(35)

5. Solvability of the Posed Problem

In this section, we prove a result concerning the existence of the solution of the given problem. It follows from Inequality (17) that the operator \mathcal{K} admits an inverse $\mathcal{K}^{-1} : \text{Im}(\mathcal{K}) \to \mathcal{S}$. Since $\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}) \subset H$, we then can construct its closure $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ such that Inequality (17) holds for $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\text{Im}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}) = H$.

Corollary 1. *The operator* $\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{S} \to H$ *has a closure.*

A priori bound Inequality (17) can be then extended to

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{S}}^{2} \leq \mu \left(\|\omega\|_{H^{1}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \|g\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(Q)}^{2} \right),$$
(36)

for all $u \in D(\overline{\mathcal{K}})$.

It follows from Equation (36) that $\overline{\mathcal{K}}u = H$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}) = H$ is a closed subset in H and $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{K}) = \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\mathcal{K}})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{K}}^{-1} = \overline{\mathcal{K}^{-1}}$. Hence the solvability result.

Theorem 2. Assume that conditions of Theorem 4.1 hold. Then for all $\mathcal{F} = (g, \omega) \in H$, there exists a unique strong solution $u = \overline{\mathcal{K}}^{-1}\mathcal{F} = \overline{\mathcal{K}}^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ of Problem (1).

Proof. Corollary 5.2 asserts that in order to show that Problem (1) has a strong solution for any $\mathcal{F} = (g, \omega) \in H$, it is sufficient to show that $\overline{\text{Im}(\mathcal{K})} = H$ for every $u \in \mathcal{S}$. \Box

Proposition 1. (Special case of density). Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4.1 hold. If for all $u \in D(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\ell_1 u = 0$, and for some function $\Phi \in L^2(Q)$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left(\mathcal{L}u, \Phi \right)_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)} dt = 0, \tag{37}$$

then Φ is zero a.e in Q.

Proof. Identity (37) can be expressed as

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left(\partial_{t}^{\alpha} u - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + f(x, t) u, \Phi \right)_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0, 1)} dt = 0.$$
(38)

Suppose that a function $\Gamma(x, t)$ satisfies boundary and initial conditions in Equation (1) and such that Γ , Γ_x , $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x, s))$, and $\partial_t^{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x, s)) \in L^2(Q_t)$; we then let

$$u(x,t) = \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s)) = \int_0^t \Gamma(x,s) ds.$$
(39)

Equation (38) then takes the form

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \partial_{t}^{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)) - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(x \mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma_{x}(x,s)) \right) \\ &+ f(x,t) \left(\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)) \right), \Phi \right)_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)} \right\} dt \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

$$(40)$$

We now consider the function

$$\Phi(x,t) = \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s)) + \mathcal{P}_x\left(\xi \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s))\right) + \partial_t^{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s))$$
(41)

Consequently, Equation (40) becomes

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left(\partial_{t}^{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)), x\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)) + x\mathcal{P}_{x}\left(\xi\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right) + x\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right)_{L^{2}(0,1)} dt \\
+ \int_{0}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma_{x}(x,s))\right), -\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)) - \mathcal{P}_{x}\left(\xi\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right) - \partial_{t}^{\alpha}\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right)_{L^{2}(0,1)} dt \\
+ \int_{0}^{T} \left(f(x,t)\left(\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right), x\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)) + x\mathcal{P}_{x}\left(\xi\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)) + x\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right)\right)_{L^{2}(0,1)} dt \\
= 0.$$
(42)

Since Γ satisfies boundary conditions in Equation (1), then we have

$$(\partial_t^{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s)), x \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s)))_{L^2(0,1)} \ge \partial_t^{\alpha} \left\| \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s)) \right\|_{L^2_\rho(0,1)}^2,$$
(43)

$$\left(\partial_t^{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s)), x \partial_t^{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s))\right)_{L^2(0,1)} = \left\|\partial_t^{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^2_\rho(0,1)}^2,\tag{44}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x\mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma_x(x,s))\right), -\mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s))\right)_{L^2(0,1)} = \left\|\mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma_x(x,s))\right\|_{L^2_\rho(0,1)}^2,\tag{45}$$

$$-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x\mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma_x(x,s))\right), \mathcal{P}_x\left(\xi\mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s))\right)\right)_{L^2(0,1)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(1,s))\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^2_\rho(0,1)}^2, \quad (46)$$

$$-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma_{x}(x,s))\right),\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right)_{L^{2}(0,1)} = \left(x\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma_{x}(x,s)),\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right)_{L^{2}(0,1)}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2}, \qquad (47)$$

$$(f(x,t) (\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))), x\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)))_{L^{2}(0,1)} = \left\| \sqrt{f} \mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)) \right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\ \geq A_{0} \left\| \mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)) \right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2},$$
(48)

$$(f(x,t)\left(\mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s))\right), x\partial_t^{\alpha}\mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s))\right)_{L^2(0,1)} \ge A_0\partial_t^{\alpha} \left\|\mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2,$$
(49)

A combination of Equations (42)–(49) gives the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(1,s))\right)^{2} + \partial_{t}^{\alpha} \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma_{x}(x,s))\right\|_{L_{\rho}^{2}(0,1)}^{2} + 2 \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma_{x}(x,s))\right\|_{L_{\rho}^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \\ + 2 \left\|\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L_{\rho}^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \left(2 + 2A_{0}\right)\partial_{t}^{\alpha} \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L_{\rho}^{2}(0,1)}^{2} + 2A_{0} \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L_{\rho}^{2}(0,1)}^{2} \\ \leq \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L_{\rho}^{2}(0,1)}^{2} - 2 \left(f(x,t)\left(\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right), x\mathcal{P}_{x}\xi\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right)_{L^{2}(0,1)} \\ - 2 \left(\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s)), x\mathcal{P}_{x}\left(\xi\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right)\right)_{L^{2}(0,1)}. \end{aligned}$$
(50)

Poincaré type Inequality (11), the Cauchy-inequality and Condition (16) reduce Equation (50) to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(1,s))\right)^{2} + \partial_{t}^{\alpha} \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma_{x}(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + 2 \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma_{x}(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\ + 2 \left\|\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + (2 + 2A_{0})\partial_{t}^{\alpha} \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\ + 2A_{0} \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\ \leq \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \varepsilon_{1}A_{2} \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\ + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon_{1}} \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} + \varepsilon_{2} \left\|\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} \\ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{2}} \left\|\mathcal{I}_{t}(\Gamma(x,s))\right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(51)$$

Put $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{8A_0}$, $\varepsilon_2 = 2$ into Equation (51) and ignore the first three terms on the left-hand side of Equation (51), it follows that

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} \left\| \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s)) \right\|_{L^2_{\rho}(0,1)}^2 \le \frac{10A_0 + A_2}{16A_0(1+A_0)} \left\| \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s)) \right\|_{L^2_{\rho}(0,1)}^2.$$
(52)

Integration over (0, t) in Equation (52), leads to

$$D_t^{\alpha-1} \left\| \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x,s)) \right\|_{L^2_{\rho}(0,1)}^2 \le \subset^* \int_0^t \left\| \mathcal{I}_\tau(\Gamma(x,s)) \right\|_{L^2_{\rho}(0,1)}^2 d\tau.$$
(53)

where

$$\subset^* = \frac{10A_0 + A_2}{16A_0(1 + A_0)}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 to Equation (53), after putting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(t) &= \int_0^t \|\mathcal{I}_{\tau}(\Gamma(x,s))\|_{L^2_{\rho}(0,1)}^2 \, d\tau \\ \mathcal{Y}(0) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$
(54)

and

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} \mathcal{Y}(t) = D_t^{\alpha - 1} \left\| \mathcal{I}_t(\Gamma(x, s)) \right\|_{L^2_{\rho}(0, 1)}^2,$$
(55)

then

$$\int_0^t \|\mathcal{I}_{\tau}(\Gamma(x,s))\|_{L^2_{\rho}(0,1)}^2 d\tau$$

$$\leq \mathcal{Y}(0) E_{\alpha}(\subset^* t^{\alpha}) + \Gamma(\alpha) E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\subset^* t^{\alpha}) D_t^{-\alpha}(0) = 0.$$
(56)

Replacing t by T, It follows then from Equation (56) that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left\| \mathcal{I}_{\tau}(\Gamma(x,s)) \right\|_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)}^{2} d\tau \leq 0.$$
(57)

Hence $\Phi = 0$ *a.e* in *Q*.

We now complete the proof of Theorem 5.3, We suppose that for $(\psi, \zeta_1) \in \text{Im}(\mathcal{K})^{\perp}$, we have

$$\int_0^T \left(\mathcal{L}u, \psi \right)_{L^2_\rho(0,1)} dt + \left(\ell_1 u, \zeta_1 \right)_{H^1_\rho(0,1)} = 0, \tag{58}$$

then we should show that $\psi = 0$, $\zeta_1 = 0$. Take $u \in D(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\ell_1 u = 0$ in (58), then we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} (\mathcal{L}u, \psi)_{L^{2}_{\rho}(0,1)} dt = 0, \, \forall u \in D(\mathcal{K}).$$
(59)

It follows from Theorem 5.4, and Equation (59), that $\psi = 0$ *a.e* in *Q*. Hence Equation (58) takes the forms

$$(\ell_1 u, \zeta_1)_{H^1_0(0,1)} = 0 \ \forall u \in D(\mathcal{K}).$$
(60)

Since $\text{Im}\ell_1$ is dense in $H^1_\rho(0,1)$, we deduce from Equation (60) that $\zeta_1 = 0$.

6. Application of the Method

To test the efficiency of the HAM for solving the fractional non-local mixed problem with the Bessel operator, we consider the equivalent initial-boundary value problem

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} u - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + f(x,t) u(x,t) = g(x,t), \ 0 < x, \ \alpha < 1, \ 0 < t < T,$$
(61)

$$u(x,0) = \omega(x), x \in (0,1),$$
(62)

$$u_x(1,t) = 0, \ u(1,t) = d(t),$$
 (63)

for some given functions f, g, d and ω .

To apply the HAM to Equation (61) with the initial Condition (62), we consider the initial approximation

$$u_0(x,t) = u(x,0),$$
 (64)

and the linear operator with the non-integer order

$$\mathcal{L}[\phi(x,t;q)] = \partial_t^{\alpha} \phi(x,t;q) , 0 < \alpha < 1,$$
(65)

which satisfies the property $\mathcal{L}(c) = 0$, where *c* represents an integral constant. Thus, in view of Equation (61), we consider the fractional partial differential operator

$$\mathcal{F}[\phi(x,t;q)] = \partial_t^{\alpha} \phi(x,t;q) - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + f(x,t) \phi((x,t) - g(x,t)),$$

hence the zeroth-order deformation equation is given by

$$(1-q)\mathcal{L}[\phi(x,t;q)-u_0(x,t)]=q\hbar\mathcal{F}[\phi(x,t;q)],$$

then, at q = 0 and q = 1, we have

$$\phi(x,t;0) = u_0(x,t) = u(x,0)$$
, and $\phi(x,t;1) = u(x,t)$,

respectively.

On the other hand, the *m*th-order deformation equation is given by

$$\mathcal{L}[u_m(x,t) - \chi_m u_{m-1}(x,t)] = \hbar \mathcal{R}_m(\vec{u}_{m-1}),$$
(66)

Mathematics 2019, 7, 1167

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{m}(\vec{u}_{m-1}(x,t)) = \partial_{t}^{\alpha} u_{m-1} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^{2} u_{m-1}}{\partial x^{2}} + f(x,t) u_{m-1} - (1-\chi_{m})g(x,t), \tag{67}$$

and

or

$$\chi_m = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} 0, & m \leq 1, \ 1, & m > 1. \end{array}
ight.$$

Now, for $m \ge 1$, the solution of the *m*th-order deformation Equation (66) can be obtained recessively through the iterative scheme:

$$u_m(x,t) = \chi_m u_{m-1}(x,t) + \hbar \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\mathcal{R}_m(\vec{u}_{m-1}(x,t)) \right],$$

$$u_m(x,t) = \chi_m u_{m-1}(x,t) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_m(\vec{u}_{m-1}(x,t)) \right].$$
(68)

To illustrate the efficiency of the HAM in solving fractional partial differential equations in the form of Equation (61), we apply this method to the following test examples:

Example 1. Consider the fractional homogeneous initial/boundary value problem

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \partial_t^{\alpha} u - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ u(x,0) = -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ -1 + x^2 - 2\ln(x) \right\}, \quad x \in (0,1), \\ u(1,t) = \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \quad u_x(1,t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in (0,T). \end{array} \right\}$$
(69)

Taking f(x,t) = g(x,t) = 0, $d(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$ and $u_0(x,t) = u(x,0) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2}$, then in view of *Equation (68) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x,t) &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_1(\vec{u}_0(x,t)) \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} u_0 - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x^2} \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} u_2(x,t) &= \chi_2 u_1 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_2(\vec{u}_1(x,t)) \right], \\ &= u_1 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} u_1 - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x^2} \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} \left(\hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right) \right) \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right) + \hbar^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right), \\ &= \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right) \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} u_{3}(x,t) &= \chi_{3}u_{2} + \hbar \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_{2}(\vec{u}_{2}(x,t)) \right], \\ &= u_{2} + \hbar \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_{t}^{\alpha} u_{2} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right) + \hbar^{2} \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right) + \hbar \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_{t}^{\alpha} \left(\hbar \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right) + \hbar^{2} \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right) \right) \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right) + 2\hbar^{2} \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right) + \hbar^{3} \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right). \\ &= \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right)^{2} \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right). \end{split}$$

10 of 18

Mathematics 2019, 7, 1167

$$\begin{split} u_4(x,t) &= \chi_4 u_3 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_2(\vec{u}_3(x,t)) \right], \\ &= u_3 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} u_3 - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial x^2} \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + 2\hbar^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + \hbar^3 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + \\ &\quad \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} \left(\hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + 2\hbar^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + \hbar^3 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) \right) \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + 2\hbar^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + \hbar^3 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + \hbar^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + 2\hbar^3 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + \hbar^4 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1), \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + 3\hbar^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + 3\hbar^3 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + \hbar^4 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1), \\ &= \hbar (1 + \hbar)^3 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1). \end{split}$$

and so on. Thus, the series solution is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x,t) &= u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + u_3(x,t) + \cdots, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2} + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + \hbar (1+\hbar) \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + \hbar (1+\hbar)^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) + \cdots, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2} + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) \left\{ 1 + (1+\hbar) + (1+\hbar)^2 + \cdots \right\}, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2} + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} (1) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+\hbar)^j. \end{aligned}$$

If the auxiliary parameter \hbar *is selected so that* $|1 + \hbar| < 1$ *, then the last power series converges, and gives*

$$\begin{aligned} u(x,t) &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2} - \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 \right), \\ &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2} + \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

which is the exact solution for $0 < \alpha < 1$. Moreover, for $\alpha = 1$, setting $u_0(x, t) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2}$, then successive applications of Equation (68) implies

$$u_{1}(x,t) = \hbar t,
u_{2}(x,t) = \hbar (1+\hbar) t,
u_{3}(x,t) = \hbar (1+\hbar)^{2} t,
u_{4}(x,t) = \hbar (1+\hbar)^{3} t,
\dots$$

Hence, the series solution becomes

$$\begin{split} u(x,t) &= u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + u_3(x,t) + \cdots, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2} + \hbar t + \hbar (1+\hbar) t + \hbar (1+\hbar)^2 t + \cdots, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2} + \hbar t \left\{ 1 + (1+\hbar) + (1+\hbar)^2 + \cdots \right\}, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2} + \hbar t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+\hbar)^j, \\ &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{\ln x}{2} - t, \ \text{provided that } |1+\hbar| < 1, \end{split}$$

which is the exact solution in this case. Figure 1 shows the h-curve corresponding to the truncated series solution of order 8, which indicates that the permissible values of \hbar should satisfy $-2 < \hbar < 0$.



Figure 1. The h-curve based on the 8th order approximation and $\alpha = 1$.

Example 2. Consider the fractional nonhomogeneous initial/boundary value problem

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \partial_{t}^{\alpha} u - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} = 4 - e^{-t}, \ 0 < x < 1, \ 0 < t < T, \ , 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ u(x,0) = 1 - x^{2} + 2\ln(x), x \in (0,1), \\ u(1,t) = -\partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right), \ u_{x}(1,t) = 0, \ \forall \ t \in (0,T). \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(70)$$

Taking f(x,t) = 0, $g(x,t) = 4 - e^{-t}$, $d(t) = -\partial_t^{-\alpha} (e^{-t})$ and $u_0(x,t) = u(x,0) = 1 - x^2 + 2\ln(x)$, *then in view of Equation (68) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x,t) &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_1(\vec{u}_0(x,t)) \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} u_0 - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x^2} - (1-\chi_1) g(x,t) \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} u_2(x,t) &= \chi_2 u_1 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_2(\vec{u}_1(x,t)) \right], \\ &= u_1 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} u_1 - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x^2} - (1 - \chi_2) g(x,t) \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} \left(\hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) \right) \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) + \hbar^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right), \\ &= \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right) \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} u_{3}(x,t) &= \chi_{3}u_{2} + \hbar \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_{2}(\vec{u}_{2}(x,t)) \right], \\ &= u_{2} + \hbar \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_{t}^{\alpha} u_{2} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^{2} u_{2}}{\partial x^{2}} - (1 - \chi_{3}) g(x,t) \right], \\ &= \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right) \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) + \hbar \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_{t}^{\alpha} \left(\hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right) \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) \right) \right], \\ &= \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right) \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) + \hbar^{2} \left(1 + \hbar \right) \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right), \\ &= \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right)^{2} \partial_{t}^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} u_4(x,t) &= \chi_4 u_3 + \hbar \,\partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_2(\vec{u}_3(x,t)) \right], \\ &= u_3 + \hbar \,\partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} u_3 - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial x^2} - (1 - \chi_4) \,g(x,t) \right], \\ &= \hbar \,(1 + \hbar)^2 \,\partial_t^{-\alpha} \,(e^{-t}) + \hbar \,\partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} \left(\hbar \,(1 + \hbar)^2 \,\partial_t^{-\alpha} \,(e^{-t}) \right) \right], \\ &= \hbar \,(1 + \hbar)^3 \,\partial_t^{-\alpha} \,(e^{-t}) \,. \end{split}$$

and so on. Thus, the series solution is given by

$$\begin{split} u(x,t) &= u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + u_3(x,t) + \cdots, \\ &= 1 - x^2 + 2\ln(x) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) + \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right) \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) + \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right)^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) + \cdots, \\ &= 1 - x^2 + 2\ln(x) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) \left\{ 1 + (1 + \hbar) + (1 + \hbar)^2 + \cdots \right\}, \\ &= 1 - x^2 + 2\ln(x) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(e^{-t} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 + \hbar)^i. \end{split}$$

Again, if we select the auxiliary parameter \hbar so that $|1 + \hbar| < 1$, then the power series in the last term converges, and we obtain

$$u(x,t) = 1 - x^2 + 2 \ln(x) - \partial_t^{-\alpha} (e^{-t})$$
, for $0 < \alpha < 1$.

For $\alpha = 1$ and $u_0(x,t) = 1 - x^2 + 2 \ln(x)$, successive applications of Equation (68) imply

$$u_{1}(x,t) = \hbar (1-e^{-t}),
u_{2}(x,t) = \hbar (1+\hbar) (1-e^{-t}),
u_{3}(x,t) = \hbar (1+\hbar)^{2} (1-e^{-t}),
u_{4}(x,t) = \hbar (1+\hbar)^{3} (1-e^{-t}),
\dots$$

Thus, the series solution is

$$\begin{split} u(x,t) &= u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + u_3(x,t) + u_4(x,t) + \cdots, \\ &= 1 - x^2 + 2\ln(x) + \hbar \left(1 - e^{-t}\right) + \hbar \left(1 + \hbar\right) \left(1 - e^{-t}\right) + \hbar \left(1 + \hbar\right)^2 \left(1 - e^{-t}\right) + \cdots, \\ &= 1 - x^2 + 2\ln(x) + \hbar \left(1 - e^{-t}\right) \left\{1 + (1 + \hbar) + (1 + \hbar)^2 + \cdots\right\}, \\ &= 1 - x^2 + 2\ln(x) + \hbar \left(1 - e^{-t}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 + \hbar)^i, \\ &= e^{-t} - x^2 + 2\ln(x), \text{ provided that } |1 + \hbar| < 1, \end{split}$$

which is the exact solution in this case. Figure 2, shows the \hbar -curve corresponding to the truncated series solution of order 12, which indicates that the parameter \hbar should satisfy $-2 < \hbar < 0$.



Figure 2. The h-curve based on the 12th order approximation and $\alpha = 1$.

Example 3. Consider the fractional nonhomogeneous initial/boundary value problem

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \partial_t^{\alpha} u - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + u = g(x,t), \ 0 < x < 1, \ 0 < t < T, \ 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ u(x,0) = 1 + x^2 - 2\ln(x), x \in (0,1), \\ u(1,t) = 2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \partial_t^{-n\alpha} \left(1 - 2e^t \right), \ u_x(1,t) = 0, \ \forall t \in (0,T), \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(71)$$

Taking f(x,t) = 1, $g(x,t) = 2e^t - 4 + x^2 - 2\ln(x)$ and $u_0(x,t) = u(x,0) = 1 + x^2 - 2\ln(x)$, then in view of Equation (68) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x,t) &= \hbar \,\partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_1(\vec{u}_0(x,t)) \right], \\ &= \hbar \,\partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} u_0 - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x^2} + u_0 - (1-\chi_1) \,g(x,t) \right], \\ &= \hbar \,\partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 - 2e^t \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} u_2(x,t) &= \chi_2 u_1 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_2(\vec{u}_1(x,t)) \right], \\ &= u_1 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} u_1 - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x^2} + u_1 - (1 - \chi_2) g(x,t) \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} \left(\hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right) \right) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right) \right], \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right) + \hbar^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right) + \hbar^2 \partial_t^{-2\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right), \\ &= \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right) \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right) + \hbar^2 \partial_t^{-2\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} u_3(x,t) &= \chi_3 u_2 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_2(\vec{u}_2(x,t)) \right], \\ &= u_2 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} u_2 - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x^2} + u_2 - (1-\chi_3) g(x,t) \right], \\ &= \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right)^2 \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 - 2e^t \right) + 2\hbar^2 \left(1 + \hbar \right) \partial_t^{-2\alpha} \left(1 - 2e^t \right) + \hbar^3 \partial_t^{-3\alpha} \left(1 - 2e^t \right). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} u_4(x,t) &= \chi_4 u_3 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\mathcal{R}_2(\vec{u}_3(x,t)) \right], \\ &= u_3 + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\partial_t^{\alpha} u_3 - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 u_3}{\partial x^2} + u_3 - (1 - \chi_4) g(x,t) \right], \\ &= \hbar \left(1 + \hbar \right)^3 \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right) + 3 \hbar^2 \left(1 + \hbar \right)^2 \partial_t^{-2\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right) + 3 \hbar^3 \left(1 + \hbar \right) \partial_t^{-3\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right) + \hbar^4 \partial_t^{-4\alpha} \left(1 - 2 e^t \right), \\ &= \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[\left(1 + \hbar \right) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \right]^3 \left(1 - 2 e^t \right), \end{split}$$

continuing in this manner we obtain

$$u_n(x,t) = \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[(1+\hbar) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \right]^{n-1} \left(1 - 2e^t \right) \quad n \ge 1.$$

Hence, the series solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(x,t) &= u_0(x,t) + u_1(x,t) + u_2(x,t) + u_3(x,t) + \cdots, \\ &= 1 + x^2 - 2\ln(x) + \hbar \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_t^{-\alpha} \left[(1+\hbar) + \hbar \partial_t^{-\alpha} \right]^{n-1} (1-2e^t). \end{aligned}$$

If we take $\hbar = -1$, then all terms involving the factor $(1 + \hbar)$ will vanish, and we are left with the dominant terms involving the operator $\partial_t^{-\alpha}$. Thus, the series solution takes the form

$$u(x,t) = 1 + x^2 - 2\ln(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \partial_t^{-n\alpha} (1 - 2e^t).$$

Figure 3, shows the \hbar -curve corresponding to the truncated series solution of order 12, which indicates that the values of \hbar should lie in the range $-2 < \hbar < 0$.



Figure 3. The h-curve based on the 12th order approximation and $\alpha = 1$.

Table 1 shows the absolute error in approximating the solution of the fractional equation in example 3, generated by the truncated series solution $u^{(10)} = \sum_{i=0}^{9} u_i(x, t)$, using $\hbar = -1$ and $\alpha = 1$, for different values of x and t, where the exact solution in this case is

$$u(x,t) = e^t + x^2 - 2\ln(x).$$

Table 1. Absolute error $|u - u^{(10)}|$ corresponding to the values of the approximate solution $u^{(10)}$ of example 3 with $\hbar = -1$, $\alpha = 1$.

$t \setminus x$	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.7
0.1	$9.628 imes 10^{-13}$	5.471×10^{-13}	5.844×10^{-13}	$4.219 imes 10^{-13}$	1.217×10^{-13}
0.2	2.215×10^{-12}	7.052×10^{-13}	6.679×10^{-13}	1.678×10^{-12}	1.134×10^{-12}
0.5	1.444×10^{-11}	1.595×10^{-11}	1.599×10^{-11}	$1.498 imes 10^{-11}$	1.552×10^{-11}
0.7	5.240×10^{-10}	5.255×10^{-10}	5.255×10^{-10}	5.245×10^{-10}	$5.250 imes 10^{-10}$
0.9	8.490×10^{-9}	$8.491 imes 10^{-9}$	$8.491 imes 10^{-9}$	$8.490 imes 10^{-9}$	$8.491 imes 10^{-9}$
1	2.731×10^{-8}				

Let us mention that the accuracy in these results can be improved by increasing the order of the truncated series solution. On the other hand Tables 2–7 show the approximate solutions generated by an *m*th order truncated series, $u^{(m)}$, for several values of *m*, with $\hbar = -1$, using different values of $\alpha = 0.5$, t = 0.2 and *x*.

Table 2. Approximate solutions of Problem (71) generated by $u^{(m)}$, at $\alpha = 0.5$ and t = 0.2, with different values of *x* and *m*.

m	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$
3	0.1	6.509	0.3	4.392	0.8	2.980	0.9	2.914
5		6.610		4.493		3.081		3.016
7		6.618		4.500		3.089		3.023
8		6.618		4.501		3.089		3.023
9		6.618		4.501		3.089		3.024
10		6.618		4.501		3.089		3.024
11		6.618		4.501		3.089		3.024
12		6.618		4.501		3.089		3.024
13		6.618		4.501		3.089		3.024

m	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$
3	0.1	6.111	0.3	3.982	0.8	2.570	0.9	2.505
5		6.113		3.995		2.584		2.518
7		6.113		3.996		2.584		2.518
8		6.113		3.996		2.584		2.518
9		6.113		3.996		2.584		2.518
10		6.113		3.996		2.584		2.518

Table 3. Approximate solutions of Problem (71) generated by $u^{(m)}$, at $\alpha = 0.75$ and t = 0.2, with different values of *x* and *m*.

Table 4. Approximate solutions of Problem (71) generated by $u^{(m)}$, at $\alpha = 0.35$ and t = 0.2, with different values of *x* and *m*.

m	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$
4	0.1	7.126	0.3	5.007	0.8	3.59697	0.9	3.53141
8		7.293		5.176		3.764		3.698
12		7.297		5.180		3.768		3.702
14		7.297		5.180		3.768		3.702
15		7.29		5.180		3.768		3.702
16		7.297		5.180		3.768		3.702
17		7.297		5.180		3.768		3.702
18		7.297		5.180		3.768		3.702

Table 5. Approximate solutions of Problem (71) generated by $u^{(m)}$, at $\alpha = 0.5$ and x = 0.2, with different values of *t* and *m*.

		(m)		(m)		(m)		(m)
	x	<i>u</i> ^(<i>m</i>)						
3	0.1	4.776	0.2	5.15228	0.5	6.50956	0.8	8.43529
5		4.807		5.254		7.071		9.944
8		4.808		5.262		7.178		10.393
11		4.808		5.262		7.182		10.423
12		4.808		5.262		7.182		10.430
13		4.808		5.262		7.182		10.431
14		4.808		5.262		7.182		10.431
15		4.808		5.262		7.182		10.431
16		4.808		5.262		7.182		10.431

Table 6. Approximate solutions of Problem (71) generated by $u^{(m)}$, at $\alpha = 0.75$ and x = 0.2, with different values of *t* and *m*.

m	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$
3	0.1	4.501	0.2	4.743	0.5	5.742	0.8	7.306
5		4.504		4.756		5.880		7.812
6		4.504		4.757		5.885		7.848
7		4.504		4.757		5.886		7.857
8		4.504		4.757		5.887		7.859
10		4.504		4.757		5.887		7.860
12		4.504		4.757		5.887		7.860
13		4.504		4.757		5.887		7.860
14		4.504		4.757		5.887		7.860

m	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$	x	$u^{(m)}$
10	0.1	5.232	0.2	5.937	0.5	8.798	0.8	13.373
12		5.232		5.941		8.871		13.730
13		5.232		5.941		8.873		13.746
14		5.232		5.941		8.874		13.754
15		5.232		5.941		8.874		13.759
16		5.232		5.941		8.874		13.761
17		5.232		5.941		8.874		13.762
18		5.232		5.941		8.874		13.762
19		5.232		5.941		8.874		13.763
20		5.232		5.941		8.874		13.763
21		5.232		5.941		8.874		13.763
22		5.232		5.941		8.874		13.763

Table 7. Approximate solutions of Problem (71) generated by $u^{(m)}$, at $\alpha = 0.35$ and x = 0.2, with different values of *t* and *m*.

In view of the numerical results presented in Tables 2–7, it is noticed that when the value of α is decreased and the value of t is increased, higher order truncated series solutions are required to obtain the desired accuracy.

7. Conclusions

Some results concerning whether the non-local initial-boundary value problem for a fractional order parabolic equation is well posed are obtained. The homotopy analysis method is applied to obtain some numerical results . A set of examples is provided to illustrate the efficiency of the HAM in solving some non-local time-fractional order initial-boundary value problems.

Author Contributions: Investigation, S.M.; Methodology, S.O.; Software, S.O.; Supervision, S.M.; Writing—original draft, S.M.; Writing—review and editing, S.O. Both authors have contributed equally to this paper.

Funding: This research was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University grant number RGP-117.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for its funding of this research through the Research Group Project number RGP-117.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Tuan, N.H.; Ngoc, T.B.; Tatar, S.; Long, L.D. Recovery of the solute concentration and dispersion flux in an inhomogeneous time fractional diffusion equation. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2018**, *342*, 96–118. [CrossRef]
- Wei, T.; Li, Y.S. Identifying a diffusion coefficient in a time-fractional diffusion equation. *Math. Comput. Simul.* 2018, 151, 77–95. [CrossRef]
- 3. Widder, D.V. The Heat Equation; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1975.
- 4. Gorenflo, R.; Mainardi, F.; Moretti, D.; Paradisi, P. Time fractional diffusion: A discrete random walk approach. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **2002**, *29*, 129–143. [CrossRef]
- 5. Kilbas, A.A.; Srivastava, H.; Trujillo, J.J. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- Bagley, R.L.; Torvik, P.J. A theoretical basis for the application of fractional calculus toviscoelasticity. *J. Rheol.* 1983, 27, 201–210. [CrossRef]
- 7. Sorrentinos, G. Fractional derivative linear models for describing the viscoelastic dynamic behaviorof polymeric beams. In Proceedings of the IMAS, Saint Louis, MO, USA, 30 January–2 February 2006.
- 8. Mainardi, F. Fractional Calculus and Waves in linear Viscoelasticity: An Introduction to Mathematical Models; World Scientific: Singapore, 2010.

- 9. Goloviznin, V. M.; Kisilev, V. P.; Korotkin, I. A.; Yurkov, Y.I. Direct Problems of Nonclassical Radionuclide Transfer in Geological Formations. *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Energ.* **2004**, *4*, 121–130.
- 10. Chukbar, K.V. The Stochastic Transfer and Fractional Derivatives. Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 1995, 108, 1875–1884.
- 11. Sabatier, J., Agrawal, O.P., Machado, J.A.T. (Eds.) *Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering*; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2007.
- 12. Benchohra, M; Hamani, S; Ntouyas, S.K. Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order and nonlocal conditions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2009**, *71*, 2391–2396. [CrossRef]
- 13. Lazarević, M.P; Spasić, A.M. Finite-time stability analysis of fractional order time-delay systems: Gronwall's approach. *Math. Comput. Model.* **2009**, *49*, 475–481. [CrossRef]
- 14. Nieto, J.J. Maximum principles for fractional differential equations derived from Mittag–Leffler functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2010**, *23*, 1248–1251. [CrossRef]
- 15. Zhang, S.Q. Positive solutions to singular boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2010**, *59*, 1300–1309. [CrossRef]
- 16. Mesloub, S; Aldosari, F. Even Higher Order Fractional Initial Boundary Value Problem with Nonlocal Constraints of Purely Integral Type. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 305. [CrossRef]
- 17. Akilandeeswari, A.; Balachandran, K.; Annapoorani, N. Solvability of hyperbolic fractional partial differential equations. *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.* **2017**, *7*, 1570–1585.
- 18. Alikhanov, A.A. A Priori Estimates for Solutions of Boundary Value Problems for Fractional-Order Equations. *arXiv* **2011**, arXiv:1105.4592v1.
- 19. Mesloub, S. Existence and uniqueness results for a fractional two-times evolution problem with constraints of purely integral type. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2016**, *39*, 1558–1567. [CrossRef]
- 20. Liao, S.J. The Proposed Homotopy Analysis Techniques for the Solution of Nonlinear Problems. Ph.D. Thesis, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, 1992. (In English)
- 21. Jafari, H.; Seifi, S. Homotopy Analysis Method for solving Linear and Nonlinear Fractional Diffusion-Wave Eequation. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **2009**, *14*, 2006–2012
- 22. Chowdhury, M.S.H.; Hashim, I.; Abdulaziz, O. Comparison of homotopy analysis method and homotopypetrubation method for purely nonlinear fin-type problems. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 2009, 14, 371–378. [CrossRef]
- 23. Liao, S.J. *Beyond Perturbation, Introduction to the Homotopy Analysis Method;* Chapman and Hall CRC: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2004.
- 24. Liao, S.J. On the homotopy analysis method for nonlinear problems. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2004**, *147*, 499–513. [CrossRef]
- 25. Li, X.; Xu, C. A Space-Time Spectral Method for the Time Fractional Diffusion Equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **2009**, 47, 2108–2131. [CrossRef]
- Liu, F.; Anh, V.; Turner, I.; Zhuang, P. Time fractional advection dispersion equation. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* 2003, 13, 233–245. [CrossRef]
- 27. Baleanu, D.; Mustafa, O.G. On the global existence of solutions to a class of fractional di erential equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2010**, *59*, 1835–1841. [CrossRef]
- 28. Baleanu, D.; Mustafa, O. G.; Agarwal, R. P. An existence result for a superlinear fractional di erential equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2010**, *23*, 1129–1132. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, T. Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for fractional differential equations. *Bound. Value Probl.* 2019, 2019, 22. [CrossRef]
- 30. Peiguo, Z.; Xinan, H.; Lishan, L. Existence and uniqueness of the global solution for a class of nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations in a Banach space. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 2019, 135.
- 31. Podlubny, I. Fractional Differential Equations; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1999.
- 32. Liao, S.J. Notes on the homotopy analysis method: Some definitions and theorems. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Num. Simul.* **2009**, *14*, 983–997. [CrossRef]
- Mesloub, S. A nonlinear non-local mixed problem for a second order parabolic equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2006, 316, 189–209. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).