



# Article **Fixed Points for a Pair of** *F***-Dominated Contractive Mappings in Rectangular** *b***-Metric Spaces with Graph**

# Tahair Rasham <sup>1,\*</sup>, Giuseppe Marino <sup>2</sup> and Abdullah Shoaib <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, International Islamic University, H-10, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan
   <sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Universita della Calabria, 87036 Arcavacata di Rende (CS), Italy;
- giuseppe.marino@unical.it
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Riphah International University, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan; bdullahshoaib15@yahoo.com
- \* Correspondence: tahir\_resham@yahoo.com

Received: 8 June 2019; Accepted: 15 July 2019; Published: 23 September 2019



**Abstract:** Recently, George et al. (in Georgea, R.; Radenovicb, S.; Reshmac, K.P.; Shuklad, S. Rectangular b-metric space and contraction principles. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 2015, 8, 1005–1013) furnished the notion of rectangular b-metric pace (RBMS) by taking the place of the binary sum of triangular inequality in the definition of a b-metric space ternary sum and proved some results for Banach and Kannan contractions in such space. In this paper, we achieved fixed-point results for a pair of *F*-dominated mappings fulfilling a generalized rational *F*-dominated contractive condition in the better framework of complete rectangular *b*-metric spaces complete rectangular *b*-metric spaces. Some new fixed-point results with graphic contractions for a pair of graph-dominated mappings on rectangular *b*-metric space have been obtained. Some examples are given to illustrate our conclusions. New results in ordered spaces, partial *b*-metric space, dislocated metric space, and metric space can be obtained as corollaries of our results.

**Keywords:** fixed point; generalized *F*-contraction;  $\alpha_*$ -dominated mapping; graphic contractions

MSC: 46Txx; 47H10; 54H25

# **1. Introduction and Preliminaries**

Fixed-point theory is a basic tool in functional analysis. Banach [1] has shown significant result for contraction mappings. Due to its significance, a large number of authors have proved newsworthy of this result (see [1–28]). In the sequel George et al. [2] furnished the notion of rectangular *b*-metric space (RBMS) by taking the place of the binary sum of triangular inequality in the definition of a *b*-metric space ternary sum and proved some results for Banach and Kannan contractions in such space. Further recent results on rectangular *b*-metric spaces can be seen in [10,11]. In this paper, we achieved fixed-point results for a pair of  $\alpha$ -dominated mappings fulfilling a generalized rational *F*-dominated contractive condition in complete rectangular *b*-metric spaces. Therefore, here, we investigate our results in a better framework of rectangular *b*-metric space. Some new fixed-point results with graphic contractions for a pair of graph-dominated mappings on rectangular *b*-metric space have been obtained. New results in ordered spaces, partial *b*-metric space, dislocated metric space, dislocated *b*-metric space, partial metric space, *b*-metric space, rectangular metric spaces, and metric space can be obtained as corollaries of our results. First, we give the precise definitions that we will use.

**Definition 1** ([2]). Let Z be a nonempty set and let  $d_1 : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function, called a rectangular *b*-metric (or simply  $d_1$ -metric), if there exists  $b \ge 1$  such that the following conditions hold:

(i)  $d_l(g, p) = 0$ , if and only if g = p; (ii)  $d_l(g, p) = d_l(p, g)$ ; (iii)  $d_l(g, p) \le b[d_l(g, q) + d_l(q, h) + d_l(h, p)]$  for all  $g, p \in Z$  and all distinct points  $q, h \in Z \setminus \{g, p\}$ . The pair  $(Z, d_l)$  is said a rectangular b-metric space (in short R.B.M.S) with coefficient b.

**Definition 2** ([2]). *Let*  $(Z, d_l)$  *be a* R.B.M.S.

(*i*) A sequence  $\{g_n\}$  in  $(Z, d_l)$  said to be Cauchy sequence if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there corresponds  $n_0 \in N$  such that for all  $n, m \ge n_0$  we have  $d_l(g_m, g_n) < \varepsilon$  or  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} d_l(g_n, g_m) = 0$ .

(ii) A sequence  $\{g_n\}$  rectangular b-converges (for short  $d_l$  -converges) to g if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_l(g_n,g) = 0$ . In this case, g is called a  $d_l$ -limit of  $\{g_n\}$ .

(iii)  $(Z, d_l)$  is complete if every Cauchy sequence in Z converges to a point  $g \in Z$  for which  $d_l(g, g) = 0$ .

**Example 1** ([2]). Let Z = N define  $d : Z \times Z \rightarrow Z$  such that d(u, v) = d(v, u) for all  $u, v \in Z$  and

$$d(u,v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u = v; \\ 10\alpha, & \text{if } u = 1, v = 2; \\ \alpha, & \text{if } u \in \{1,2\} \text{ and } v \in \{3\}; \\ 2\alpha, & \text{if } u \in \{1,2,3\} \text{ and } v \in \{4\}; \\ 3\alpha, & \text{if } u \text{ or } v \notin \{1,2,3,4\} \text{ and } u \neq v. \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is a constant. Then (Z,d) is a R.B.M.S with coefficient b = 2 > 1, but (Z,d) does not be a rectangular metric, since

$$d(1,2) = 10\alpha > 5\alpha = d(1,3) + d(3,4) + d(4,2).$$

**Definition 3** ([26]). Let  $(Z, d_l)$  be a metric space,  $S : Z \to P(Z)$  be a multivalued mapping and  $\alpha : Z \times Z \to [0, +\infty)$ . Let  $A \subseteq Z$ , the mapping S is said semi  $\alpha_*$ -admissible on A, if  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  implies  $\alpha_*(Sx, Sy) \ge 1$ , for all  $x \in A$ , where  $\alpha_*(Sx, Sy) = \inf\{\alpha(a, b) : a \in Sx, b \in Sy\}$ . When A = Z, we say that the S is  $\alpha_*$ -admissible on Z. In the case in which S is a single valued mapping, the previous definition becomes.

**Definition 4.** Let  $(Z, d_l)$  be a R.B.M.S. Let  $S : Z \to Z$  be a mapping and  $\alpha : Z \times Z \to [0, +\infty)$ . If  $A \subseteq Z$ , we say that the S is  $\alpha$ -dominated on A, whenever  $\alpha(i, Si) \ge 1$  for all  $i \in A$ . If A = Z, we say that S is  $\alpha$ -dominated.

**Definition 5** ([28]). *Let* (Z, d) *be a metric space. A mapping*  $H : Z \to Z$  *is said to be an* A *–contraction if there exists*  $\tau > 0$  *such that* 

$$\forall j,k \in \mathbb{Z}, d(Hj,Hk) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + A(d(Hj,Hk)) \leq A(d(j,k))$$

with  $A : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  real function which satisfies three assumptions:

(F1) A is strictly increasing

(F2) For any sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive real numbers,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$  is equivalent to  $\lim_{n\to\infty} A(\alpha_n) = -\infty$ ;

(F3) There is  $k \in (0, 1)$  for which  $\lim \alpha \to 0^+ \alpha^k A(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Example 2** ([19]). Let  $Z = \mathbb{R}$ . Define the mapping  $\alpha : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x,y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ if } x > y \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Define the self-mappings  $S, T : Z \to Z$  by  $Sx = \frac{x}{4}$ , and  $Ty = \frac{y}{2}$ , where  $x, y \in Z$ . Suppose x = 3 and y = 2. As 3 > 2, then  $\alpha(3,2) \ge 1$ . Now,  $\alpha(S3,T2) = \frac{1}{2} \ge 1$ , this means the pair (S,T) is not  $\alpha$ -admissible. Also,  $\alpha(S3, S2) \not\geq 1$  and  $\alpha(T3, T2) \not\geq 1$ . This implies *S* and *T* are not  $\alpha$ -admissible individually. Now,  $\alpha(x, Sx) \geq 1$ , for all  $x \in Z$ . Hence *S* is  $\alpha$ -dominated mapping. Similarly it is clear that  $\alpha(y, Ty) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in Z$ . Hence it is clear that *S* and *T* are  $\alpha$ -dominated but not  $\alpha$ -admissible.

#### 2. Main Result

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(Z, d_l)$  be a complete R.B.M.S with coefficient  $b \ge 1$ . Let  $\alpha : Z \times Z \to [0, \infty)$  be a function and  $S, T : Z \to Z$  be the  $\alpha$ -dominated mappings on Z. Suppose that the following condition is satisfied:

*There exist*  $\tau$ ,  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ ,  $\eta_3$ ,  $\eta_4 > 0$  *satisfying*  $b\eta_1 + b\eta_2 + (1+b)b\eta_3 + \eta_4 < 1$  *and a continuous and strictly increasing real function F such that* 

$$\tau + F(d_l(Se, Ty)) \le F\left(\begin{array}{c} \eta_1 d_l(e, y) + \eta_2 d_l(e, Se) \\ + \eta_3 d_l(e, Ty) + \eta_4 \frac{d_l^2(e, Se) \cdot d_l(y, Ty)}{1 + d_l^2(e, y)} \end{array}\right),\tag{1}$$

whenever  $e, y \in \{g_n\}$ ,  $\alpha(e, y) \ge 1$  and  $d_l(Se, Ty) > 0$  "where the sequence  $g_n$  is defined by  $g_0$  arbitrary in Z,  $g_{2n+1} = S(TS)^n g_0$  and  $g_{2n} = (TS)^{n+1} g_0$ ". Then  $\alpha(g_n, g_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\{g_n\} \to u \in Z$ . Also, if the inequality (1) holds for u and either  $\alpha(g_n, u) \ge 1$  or  $\alpha(u, g_n) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then S and T have a common fixed point u in Z.

**Proof.** Chose a point  $g_0$  in Z such that  $g_1 = Sg_0$  and  $g_2 = Tg_1$ . Continuing this process we construct a sequence  $\{g_n\}$  of points in Z such that  $g_{2n+1} = Sg_{2n}$  and  $g_{2n+2} = Tg_{2n+1}$  for all for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $g_1, \dots, g_j \in Z$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . If j is odd, then j = 2i + 1 for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $S, T : Z \to Z$ be the  $\alpha$ -dominated mappings on Z, so  $\alpha(g_{2i}, Sg_{2i}) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha(g_{2i+1}, Tg_{2i+1}) \ge 1$ . As  $\alpha(g_{2i}, Sg_{2i}) \ge 1$ , this implies  $\alpha(g_{2i}, Sg_{2i}) = \alpha(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1}) \ge 1$  where  $g_{2i+1} = Sg_{2i}$ . Now, by using inequality (1),

$$\begin{split} \tau + F(d_l(g_{2i+1}, g_{2i+2})) &\leq \tau + F(d_l(Sg_{2i}, Tg_{2i+1})) \\ &\leq F \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 d_l(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1}) + \eta_2 d_l(g_{2i}, Sg_{2i}) + \eta_3 d_l(g_{2i}, Tg_{2i+1}) \\ &+ \eta_4 \frac{d_l^2(g_{2i}, Sg_{2i}) \cdot d_l(g_{2i+1}, Tg_{2i+1})}{1 + d_l^2(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1})} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\leq F \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 d_l(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1}) + \eta_2 d_l(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1}) + b\eta_3 d_l(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1}) \\ &+ b\eta_3 d_l(g_{2i+1}, g_{2i+2}) + \eta_4 \frac{d_l^2(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1}) \cdot d_l(g_{2i+1}, g_{2i+2})}{1 + d_l^2(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1})} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\leq F \begin{bmatrix} (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + b\eta_3) d_l(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1}) + (b\eta_3 + \eta_4) d_l(g_{2i+1}, g_{2i+2}) \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

This implies

$$F(d_l(g_{2i+1}, g_{2i+2})) < F \begin{bmatrix} (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + b\eta_3)d_l(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1}) \\ +(b\eta_3 + \eta_4)d_l(g_{2i+1}, g_{2i+2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

As *F* is strictly increasing. Therefore, we have

$$d_l(g_{2l+1},g_{2l+2}) < \begin{bmatrix} (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + b\eta_3)d_l(g_{2l},g_{2l+1}) \\ +(b\eta_3 + \eta_4)d_l(g_{2l+1},g_{2l+2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - b\eta_3 - \eta_4) d_l(g_{2i+1}, g_{2i+2}) &< (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + b\eta_3) d_l(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1}) \\ d_l(g_{2i+1}, g_{2i+2}) &< \left(\frac{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + b\eta_3}{1 - b\eta_3 - \eta_4}\right) d_l(g_{2i}, g_{2i+1}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we note that by assumption of inequality (1) it immediately follows  $\lambda = \frac{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + b\eta_3}{1 - b\eta_3 - \eta_4} < 1$ . Hence

$$d_l(g_{2i+1},g_{2i+2}) < \lambda d_l(g_{2i},g_{2i+1}) < \lambda^2 d_l(g_{2i-1},g_{2i}) < \cdots < \lambda^{2i+1} d_l(g_0,g_1).$$

Similarly, if *j* is even, we have

$$d_l(g_{2i+2}, g_{2i+3}) < \lambda^{2i+2} d_l(g_0, g_1).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Now, we have

$$d_l(g_j, g_{j+1}) < \lambda^j d_l(g_0, g_1) \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3)

Also  $\alpha(g_n, g_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Now,

$$d_l(g_n, g_{n+1}) < \lambda^n d_l(g_0, g_1) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(4)

Now, for any positive integers  $m, n \ (m > n)$ , we have

$$d_{l}(g_{n},g_{m}) \leq b[d_{l}(g_{n},g_{n+1}) + d_{l}(g_{n+1},g_{n+2}) + d_{l}(g_{n+2},g_{m})]$$
  
$$\leq b[d_{l}(g_{n},g_{n+1}) + d_{l}(g_{n+1},g_{n+2})] + b^{2}[d_{l}(g_{n+2},g_{n+3}) + d_{l}(g_{n+3},g_{n+4}) + d_{l}(g_{n+4},g_{m})]$$

$$\leq b[\lambda^{n} + \lambda^{n+1}]d_{l}(g_{0}, g_{1}) + b^{2}[\lambda^{n+2} + \lambda^{n+3}]d_{l}(g_{0}, g_{1}) \\ + b^{3}[[\lambda^{n+4} + \lambda^{n+5}]d_{l}(g_{0}, g_{1}) + \cdots \\ + b^{2m-1}\lambda^{m-n}d_{l}(g_{0}, g_{1}), \qquad (by (2.4)) \\ \leq b\lambda^{n}[1 + b\lambda^{2} + b^{2}\lambda^{4} + \cdots]d_{l}(g_{0}, g_{1}) \\ + b\lambda^{n+1}[1 + b\lambda^{2} + b^{2}\lambda^{4} + \cdots]d_{l}(g_{0}, g_{1}) \\ \leq \frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - b\lambda^{2}}b\lambda^{n}d_{l}(g_{0}, g_{1}).$$

As  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4 > 0$ ,  $b \ge 1$  and  $b\eta_1 + b\eta_2 + (1+b)b\eta_3 + \eta_4 < 1$ , so  $|b\lambda^2| < 1$ . Then, we have

$$d_l(g_n,g_m) < \frac{1+\lambda}{1-b\lambda^2}b\lambda^n d_l(g_0,g_1) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Hence  $\{g_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in *Z*. Since  $(Z, d_l)$  is a complete metric space, so there exist  $u \in Z$  such that  $\{g_n\} \to u$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_l(g_n, u) = 0.$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

By assumption,  $\alpha(u, g_n) \ge 1$ . Suppose that  $d_l(u, Su) > 0$ , then there exists positive integer k such that  $d_l(Tg_{2n+1}, Su) > 0$  for all  $n \ge k$ . For  $n \ge k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_l(u,Su) &\leq b[d_l(u,g_n) + d_l(g_n,g_{2n+2}) + d_l(g_{2n+2},Su)] \\ &\leq b[d_l(u,g_n) + d_l(g_n,g_{2n+1}) + d_l(Tg_{2n+1},Su)] \\ &\leq b[d_l(u,g_n) + d_l(g_n,g_{2n+1}) + d_l(Su,Tg_{2n+1})] \\ &< b \begin{bmatrix} d_l(u,g_n) + d_l(g_n,g_{2n+1}) + \eta_1 d_l(u,g_{2n+1}) \\ &+ \eta_2 d_l(u,Su) + \eta_3 d_l(g_{2n+1},Tg_{2n+1}) \\ &+ \eta_4 \frac{d_l(u,Su).d_l^2(g_{2n+1},Tg_{2n+1})}{1 + d_l^2(g_{2n+1},u)}. \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , and by using the inequalities (4) and (5) we get

$$d_l(u, Su) < \eta_3 d_l(u, Su) < d_l(u, Su),$$

which is a contradiction. So, our supposition is wrong. Hence  $d_l(u, Su) = 0$ . Similarly, by using the above inequlity

$$\begin{aligned} d_l(u, Tu) &\leq b[d_l(u, g_n) + d_l(g_n, g_{2n+1}) + d_l(g_{2n+1}, Tu)] \\ d_l(u, Tu) &\leq b[d_l(u, g_n) + d_l(g_n, g_{2n+1}) + d_l(S_{2n}, Tu)] \end{aligned}$$

we can get  $d_l(u, Tu) = 0$ . This shows that *u* is a common fixed point of *S* and *T*.

**Example 3.** Let  $Z = A \cup B$ , where  $A = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}\}$  and B = [1, 2]. Define  $d_l : Z \times Z \to [0, \infty)$  such that defined by  $d_l(x, y) = d_l(y, x)$  for  $x, y \in Z$  and

$$\begin{cases} d_l(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{3}) = d_l(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{5}) = 0.03 \\ d_l(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{5}) = d_l(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{4}) = 0.02 \\ d_l(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4}) = d_l(\frac{1}{5},\frac{1}{3}) = 0.6 \\ d_l(x,y) = |x-y|^2 \quad otherwise. \end{cases}$$

be the complete R.B.M.S with coefficient b = 4 > 1 but  $(Z, d_l)$  is neither a metric space nor a rectangular metric space. Take  $\eta_1 = \frac{1}{10}$ ,  $\eta_2 = \frac{1}{20}$ ,  $\eta_3 = \frac{1}{60}$ ,  $\eta_4 = \frac{1}{30}$ ,  $\tau \in (0, \frac{12}{95}]$  then  $b\eta_1 + b\eta_2 + (1+b)b\eta_3 + \eta_4 < 1$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{11}{56}$  and  $F(x) = \ln x$ . Consider the mapping  $\alpha : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x,y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 & \text{if } x > y \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right\}$$

Let  $S, T : Z \to Z$  be defined as

$$Sx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in A \\ \frac{x}{4} & \text{if } x \in B. \end{cases} \quad Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } x \in A \\ \frac{x}{4} & \text{if } x \in B. \end{cases}$$

 $As_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \in Z$ ,  $\alpha(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) > 1$  taking  $F(x) = \ln x$ , for any  $\tau \in (0, \frac{12}{95}]$ . Then S and T satisfy the condition of Theorem 1.

*If, we take* S = T *in Theorem 1, then we are left with result.* 

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(Z, d_l)$  be a complete R.B.M.S with coefficient  $b \ge 1$ . Let  $\alpha : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function and  $S : Z \rightarrow Z$  be the  $\alpha$ -dominated mapping on Z. Suppose that the following condition is satisfied:

*There exist*  $\tau$ ,  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ ,  $\eta_3$ ,  $\eta_4 > 0$  *satisfying*  $b\eta_1 + b\eta_2 + (1+b)b\eta_3 + \eta_4 < 1$  *and a continuous and strictly increasing real function F such that* 

$$\tau + F(d_l(Se, Sy)) \le F\left(\begin{array}{c} \eta_1 d_l(e, y) + \eta_2 d_l(e, Se) \\ + \eta_3 d_l(e, Sy) + \eta_4 \frac{d_l^2(e, Se) \cdot d_l(y, Sy)}{1 + d_l^2(e, y)} \end{array}\right),\tag{6}$$

whenever  $e, y \in \{g_n\}, \alpha(e, y) \ge 1$  and  $d_l(Se, Sy) > 0$  "where the sequence  $g_n$  is defined by  $g_0$  arbitrary in Z,  $g_{2n+1} = S^{2n}g_0$ ". Then  $\alpha(g_n, g_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\{g_n\} \to u \in Z$ . Also, if the inequality (6) holds for u and either  $\alpha(g_n, u) \ge 1$  or  $\alpha(u, g_n) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then S and T have a common fixed point u in Z.

If, we take  $\eta_2 = 0$  in Theorem 1, then we are left with the result.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(Z, d_l)$  be a complete R.B.M.S with constant  $b \ge 1$ . Let  $\alpha : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function and  $S, T : Z \rightarrow Z$  be the  $\alpha$ -dominated mappings on Z. Suppose that the following condition is satisfied:

*There exist*  $\tau$ ,  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_3$ ,  $\eta_4 > 0$  *satisfying b* $\eta_1 + (1+b)b\eta_3 + \eta_4 < 1$  *and a continuous and strictly increasing real function F such that* 

$$\tau + F(d_l(Se, Ty)) \le F\left(\begin{array}{c} \eta_1 d_l(e, y) + \eta_3 d_l(e, Ty) \\ + \eta_4 \frac{d_l^2(e, Se) \cdot d_l(y, Ty)}{1 + d_l^2(e, y)} \end{array}\right),$$
(7)

whenever  $e, y \in \{g_n\}$ ,  $\alpha(e, y) \ge 1$  and  $d_l(Se, Ty) > 0$  "where the sequence  $g_n$  is defined by  $g_0$  arbitrary in Z,  $g_{2n+1} = S(TS)^n g_0$  and  $g_{2n} = (TS)^{n+1} g_0$ ". Then  $\alpha(g_n, g_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\{g_n\} \to u \in Z$ . Also, if the inequality (7) holds for u and either  $\alpha(g_n, u) \ge 1$  or  $\alpha(u, g_n) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then S and T have common fixed point u in Z.

If, we take  $\eta_3 = 0$  in Theorem 1, then we are left with the result.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $(Z, d_l)$  be a complete R.B.M.S with constant  $b \ge 1$ . Let  $\alpha : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function and  $S, T : Z \rightarrow Z$  be the  $\alpha$ -dominated mappings on Z. Suppose that the following condition is satisfied: There exist  $\tau, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_4 > 0$  satisfying  $b\eta_1 + b\eta_2 + \eta_4 < 1$  and a continuous and strictly increasing real function F such that

$$\tau + F(d_l(Se, Ty)) \le F\left(\begin{array}{c} \eta_1 d_l(e, y) + \eta_2 d_l(e, Se) \\ + \eta_4 \frac{d_l^2(e, Se) \cdot d_l(y, Ty)}{1 + d_l^2(e, y)} \end{array}\right),$$
(8)

whenever  $e, y \in \{g_n\}, \alpha(e, y) \ge 1$  and  $d_l(Se, Ty) > 0$  "where the sequence  $g_n$  is defined by  $g_0$  arbitrary in Z,  $g_{2n+1} = S(TS)^n g_0$  and  $g_{2n} = (TS)^{n+1} g_0$ ". Then  $\alpha(g_n, g_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\{g_n\} \to u \in Z$ . Also, if the inequality (8) holds for u and either  $\alpha(g_n, u) \ge 1$  or  $\alpha(u, g_n) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then S and T have common fixed point u in Z.

If, we take  $\eta_4 = 0$  in Theorem 1, then we are left with the result.

**Corollary 4.** Let  $(Z, d_l)$  be a complete R.B.M.S with coefficient  $b \ge 1$ . Let  $\alpha : Z \times Z \to [0, \infty)$  be a function and  $S, T : Z \to Z$  be the  $\alpha$ -dominated mappings on Z. Suppose that the following condition is satisfied:

*There exist*  $\tau$ ,  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ ,  $\eta_3$ ,  $\eta_4 > 0$  *satisfying*  $b\eta_1 + b\eta_2 + (1+b)b\eta_3 + \eta_4 < 1$  *and a continuous and strictly increasing real function* F *such that* 

$$\tau + F(d_l(Se, Ty)) \le F\left(\begin{array}{c} \eta_1 d_l(e, y) + \eta_2 d_l(e, Se) \\ + \eta_3 d_l(e, Ty) \end{array}\right),\tag{9}$$

whenever  $e, y \in \{g_n\}, \alpha(e, y) \ge 1$  and  $d_l(Se, Ty) > 0$  "where the sequence  $g_n$  is defined by  $g_0$  arbitrary in Z,  $g_{2n+1} = S(TS)^n g_0$  and  $g_{2n} = (TS)^{n+1} g_0$ ", Then  $\alpha(g_n, g_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\{g_n\} \to u \in Z$ . Also, if the inequality (9) holds for u and either  $\alpha(g_n, u) \ge 1$  or  $\alpha(u, g_n) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then S and T have a common fixed point u in Z.

#### 3. Fixed Points for Graphic Contractions

Lastly, we give a realization of Theorem 1 in graph theory. Jachymski, [14], shown the particular case for contraction mappings on metric space with a graph. Hussain et al. [12], introduced the concept of graphic contractions and obtained a point fixed result. Further results on graphic contraction can be seen in [8,21,27]. Shang [25], discussed briefly basic notions of graph limit theory and fix some necessary notations and presented many interesting applications.

**Definition 6.** Let Z be a nonempty set and Q = (V(Q), W(Q)) be a graph such that V(Q) = Z,  $A \subseteq Z$ . A mapping  $S : Z \to Z$  is said to be a graph dominated on A if  $(p,q) \in W(Q)$ , for all  $q \in Sp$  and  $q \in A$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(Z, d_l)$  be a complete R.B.M.S endowed with a graph Q with coefficient  $b \ge 1$ . Let  $S, T : Z \rightarrow Z$  be two self mappings. Suppose that the following satisfy:

(*i*) *S* and *T* are graph dominated on *Z*.

(ii) There exist  $\tau$ ,  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ ,  $\eta_3$ ,  $\eta_4 > 0$  satisfying  $b\eta_1 + b\eta_2 + (1+b)b\eta_3 + \eta_4 < 1$  and a continuous and strictly increasing real function F such that

$$\tau + F(H_{d_l}(Sp, Tq)) \le F\left(\begin{array}{c} \eta_1 d_l(p, q) + \eta_2 d_l(p, Sp) \\ + \eta_3 d_l(p, Tq) + \eta_4 \frac{d_l^2(p, Sp) \cdot d_l(q, Tq)}{1 + d_l^2(p, q)} \end{array}\right),\tag{10}$$

whenever  $p, q \in \{g_n\}, (p,q) \in W(Q)$  and  $d_l(Sp, Tq) > 0$  "where the sequence  $g_n$  is defined by  $g_0$  arbitrary in  $Z, g_{2n+1} = S(TS)^n g_0$  and  $g_{2n} = (TS)^{n+1} g_0$ ". Then  $(g_n, g_{n+1}) \in W(Q)$  and  $\{g_n\} \to m^*$ . Also, if the inequality (10) holds for  $m^*$  and  $(g_n, m^*) \in W(Q)$  or  $(m^*, g_n) \in W(Q)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then S and Thave common fixed point  $m^*$  in Z.

**Proof.** Define,  $\alpha : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(p,q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \in Z, \ (p,q) \in W(Q) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As *S* and *T* are graph dominated on *Z*, then for  $p \in Z$ ,  $(p,q) \in W(Q)$  for all  $q \in Sp$  and  $(p,q) \in W(Q)$  for all  $q \in Tp$ . Therefore,  $\alpha(p,q) = 1$  for all  $q \in Sp$  and  $\alpha(p,q) = 1$  for all  $q \in Tp$ . Hence  $\alpha_*(p,Sp) = 1$ ,  $\alpha_*(p,Tp) = 1$  for all  $p \in Z$ . Therefore,  $S, T : Z \to Z$  are the  $\alpha$ -dominated mappings on *Z*. Moreover, inequality (10) can be written as

$$\tau + F(H_{d_l}(Sp, Tq)) \le F\left(\begin{array}{c} \eta_1 d_l(p, q) + \eta_2 d_l(p, Sp) \\ + \eta_3 d_l(p, Tq) + \eta_4 \frac{d_l^2(p, Sp) \cdot d_l(q, Tq)}{1 + d_l^2(p, q)} \end{array}\right)$$

whenever  $p, q \in \{g_n\}, \alpha(p,q) \ge 1$  and  $d_l(Sp, Tq) > 0$ . Also, (ii) holds. Then, by Theorem 1, we have  $\{g_n\} \to s^* \in Z$ . Now,  $g_n, s^* \in Z$  and either  $(g_n, s^*) \in W(Q)$  or  $(s^*, g_n) \in W(Q)$  implies that either  $\alpha(g_n, s^*) \ge 1$  or  $\alpha(s^*, g_n) \ge 1$ . Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Hence, by Theorem 1, *S* and *T* have a common fixed point  $s^*$  in *Z* and  $d_l(s^*, s^*) = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

### 4. Conclusions

In the present work, we have achieved fixed-point results for new generalized *F*-contraction for a more general class of  $\alpha$ -dominated mappings rather than  $\alpha_*$ -admissible mappings and for a weaker class of strictly increasing mapping *F* rather than class of mappings *F* used by Wordowski [28]. We introduced the concept of a pair of graph-dominated mappings and given a fixed-point existence result of a fixed point for graphic contractions. Our results generalized and extended many recent fixed-point results of Rasham et al. [16,20], Wordowski's result [28], Ameer et al. [6] and many classical results in the current literature (see [4,7,9,13,17,18,23,24]).

Author Contributions: Each author equally contributed to this paper, read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding:** This paper is funded by Ministero dell'Istruzione, Universita e Ricerca (MIUR) and Gruppo Nazionale di Analisi Matemarica e Probabilita e Applicazioni (GNAMPA).

Acknowledgments: The authors are very grateful to the reviewers that with their suggestions have significantly improved the presentation of the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

- 1. Banach, S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations itegrales. *Fund. Math.* **1922**, *3*, 133–181. [CrossRef]
- 2. Georgea, R.; Radenovicb, S.; Reshmac, K.P.; Shuklad, S. Rectangular b-metric space and contraction principles. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2015**, *8*, 1005–1013. [CrossRef]
- 3. Abbas, M.; Ali, B.; Romaguera, S. Fixed and periodic points of generalized contractions in metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 2013, 243. [CrossRef]
- 4. Acar, Ö.; Durmaz, G.; Minak, G. Generalized multivalued *F*-contractions on complete metric spaces. *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* **2014**, *40*, 1469–1478.
- 5. Ali, M.U.; Kamranb, T.; Postolache, M. Solution of Volterra integral inclusion in b-metric spaces via new fixed point theorem. *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control* **2017**, *22*, 17–30. [CrossRef]
- 6. Ameer, E.; Arshad, M. Two new generalization for *F*-contraction on closed ball and fixed point theorem with application. *J. Math. Exten.* **2017**, *11*, 1–24.
- 7. Arshad, M.; Khan, S.U.; Ahmad, J. Fixed point results for *F*-contractions involving some new rational expressions. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2016**, *11*, 79–97. [CrossRef]
- 8. Bojor, F. Fixed point theorems for Reich type contraction on metric spaces with a graph. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2012**, 75, 3895–3901. [CrossRef]
- 9. Chen, C.; Wen, L.; Dong, J.; Gu, Y. Fixed point theorems for generalized *F*-contractions in b-metric-like spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2016**, *9*, 2161–2174. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ding, H.S.; Imdad, M.; Radenović, S.; Vujaković, J. On some fixed point results in b-metric, rectangular and b-rectangular metric spaces. *Arab. J. Math. Sci.* **2016**, *22*, 151–164.
- 11. Dung, N.V. The metrization of rectangular b-metric spaces. Topol. Appl. 2019, 261, 22-28. [CrossRef]
- 12. Hussain, N.; Al-Mezel, S.; Salimi, P. Fixed points for  $\psi$ -graphic contractions with application to integral equations. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, 2013, 575869. [CrossRef]
- 13. Hussain, A.; Arshad, M.; Nazim, M. Connection of Ciric type *F*-contraction involving fixed point on closed ball. *Ghazi Univ. J. Sci.* **2017**, *30*, 283–291.
- 14. Jachymski, J. The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **2008**, *1*, 1359–1373. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kamran, T.; Postolache, M.; Ali, M.U.; Kiran, Q. Feng and Liu type F-contraction in b-metric spaces with application to integral equations. *J. Math. Anal.* **2016**, *7*, 18–27.
- Mahmood, Q.; Shoaib, A.; Rasham, T.; Arshad, M. Fixed Point Results for the Family of Multivalued F-Contractive Mappings on Closed Ball in Complete Dislocated b-Metric Spaces. *Mathematics* 2019, 7, 56. [CrossRef]
- 17. Piri, H.; Kumam, P. Some fixed point theorems concerning *F*-contraction in complete metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, 2014, 210. [CrossRef]
- 18. Piri, H.; Rahrovi, S.; Morasi, H.; Kumam, P. Fixed point theorem for *F*-Khan-contractions on complete metric spaces and application to the integral equations. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2017**, *10*, 4564–4573. [CrossRef]
- Rasham, T.; Shoaib, A.; Alamri, B.A.S.; Arshad, M. Multivalued Fixed Point Results for New Generalized F-Dominated Contractive Mappings on Dislocated Metric Space with Application. J. Funct. Spaces 2018, 2018, 4808764. [CrossRef]
- 20. Rasham, T.; Shoaib, A.; Hussain, N.; Arshad, M.; Khan, S.U. Common fixed point results for new Ciric-type rational multivalued *F*-contraction with an application. *J. Fixed Point Theory. Appl.* **2018**, *20*, 45. [CrossRef]
- Rasham, T.; Shoaib, A.; Alamri, B.A.S.; Asif, A.; Arshad, M. Fixed Point Results for α<sub>\*</sub>-ψ-Dominated Multivalued Contractive Mappings Endowed with Graphic Structure. *Mathematics* 2019, 7, 307. [CrossRef]
- 22. Rasham, T.; Shoaib, A.; Hussain, N.; Alamri, B.A.S.; Arshad, M. Multivalued Fixed Point Results in Dislocated b-Metric Spaces with Application to the System of Nonlinear Integral Equations. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 40. [CrossRef]
- 23. Secelean, N.A. Iterated function systems consisting of *F*-contractions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 2013, 2077. [CrossRef]
- 24. Sgroi, M.; Vetro, C. Multi-valued *F*-contractions and the solution of certain functional and integral equations. *Filomat* **2013**, *27*, 1259–1268. [CrossRef]

- 25. Shang, Y. Limit of a nonpreferential attachment multitype network model. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* 2017, 31, 1750026. [CrossRef]
- 26. Shoaib, A.; Hussain, A.; Arshad, M.; Azam, A. Fixed point results for  $\alpha_*$ - $\psi$ -Ciric type multivalued mappings on an intersection of a closed ball and a sequence with graph. *J. Math. Anal.* **2016**, *7*, 41–50.
- 27. Tiammee, J.; Suantai, S. Coincidence point theorems for graph-preserving multi-valued mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, 2014, 70. [CrossRef]
- 28. Wardowski, D. Fixed point theory of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, 2012, 94. [CrossRef]



 $\odot$  2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).