

Article

Comparison of Differential Operators with Lie Derivative of Three-Dimensional Real Hypersurfaces in Non-Flat Complex Space Forms

George Kaimakamis ¹, Konstantina Panagiotidou ^{1,*} and Juan de Dios Pérez ²
¹ Faculty of Mathematics and Engineering Sciences, Hellenic Army Academy, Varía, 16673 Attiki, Greece; gmiamis@gmail.com or gmiamis@sse.gr

² Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain; jdperez@ugr.es

* Correspondence: konpanagiotidou@gmail.com

Received: 29 March 2018; Accepted: 14 May 2018; Published: 20 May 2018



Abstract: In this paper, three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms, whose shape operator satisfies a geometric condition, are studied. Moreover, the tensor field $P = \phi A - A\phi$ is given and three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms whose tensor field P satisfies geometric conditions are classified.

Keywords: k -th generalized Tanaka–Webster connection; non-flat complex space form; real hypersurface; Lie derivative; shape operator

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53B25

1. Introduction

A *real hypersurface* is a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold with a real co-dimensional one. Among the Riemannian manifolds, it is of great interest in the area of Differential Geometry to study real hypersurfaces in complex space forms. A *complex space form* is a Kähler manifold of dimension n and constant holomorphic sectional curvature c . In addition, complete and simply connected complex space forms are analytically isometric to complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ if $c > 0$, to complex Euclidean space \mathbb{C}^n if $c = 0$, or to complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$ if $c < 0$. The notion of non-flat complex space form refers to complex projective and complex hyperbolic space when it is not necessary to distinguish between them and is denoted by $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$.

Let J be the Kähler structure and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Levi–Civita connection of the non-flat complex space form $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$. Consider M a connected real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$ and N a locally defined unit normal vector field on M . The Kähler structure induces on M an *almost contact metric structure* (ϕ, ξ, η, g) . The latter consists of a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ ϕ called *structure tensor field*, a one-form η , a vector field ξ given by $\xi = -JN$ known as the *structure vector field* of M and g , which is the induced Riemannian metric on M by G . Among real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms, the class of *Hopf hypersurfaces* is the most important. A Hopf hypersurface is a real hypersurface whose structure vector field ξ is an eigenvector of the shape operator A of M .

Takagi initiated the study of real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms. He provided the classification of homogeneous real hypersurfaces in complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and divided them into five classes (A), (B), (C), (D) and (E) (see [1–3]). Later, Kimura proved that homogeneous real hypersurfaces in complex projective space are the unique Hopf hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures, i.e., the eigenvalues of the shape operator A are constant (see [4]). Among the above real hypersurfaces, the three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ are geodesic hyperspheres of radius r , $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$, called real hypersurfaces of type (A) and tubes of radius r , $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$, over the complex

quadric called real hypersurfaces of type (B). Table 1 includes the values of the constant principal curvatures corresponding to the real hypersurfaces above (see [1,2]).

Table 1. Principal curvatures of real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

Type	α	λ_1	ν	m_α	m_{λ_1}	m_ν
(A)	$2\cot(2r)$	$\cot(r)$	-	1	2	-
(B)	$2\cot(2r)$	$\cot(r - \frac{\pi}{4})$	$-\tan(r - \frac{\pi}{4})$	1	1	1

The study of Hopf hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$, $n \geq 2$, was initiated by Montiel in [5] and completed by Berndt in [6]. They are divided into two types: type (A), which are open subsets of horospheres (A_0), geodesic hyperspheres ($A_{1,0}$), or tubes over totally geodesic complex hyperbolic hyperplane $\mathbb{C}H^{n-1}$ ($A_{1,1}$) and type (B), which are open subsets of tubes over totally geodesic real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{R}H^n$. Table 2 includes the values of the constant principal curvatures corresponding to above real hypersurfaces for $n = 2$ (see [6]).

Table 2. Principal curvatures of real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}H^2$.

Type	α	λ	ν	m_α	m_λ	m_ν
(A_0)	2	1	-	1	2	-
($A_{1,1}$)	$2\coth(2r)$	$\coth(r)$	-	1	2	-
($A_{1,2}$)	$2\coth(2r)$	$\tanh(r)$	-	1	2	-
(B)	$2\tanh(2r)$	$\tanh(r)$	$\coth(r)$	1	1	1

The Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ of the non-flat complex space form $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$ induces on M a Levi-Civita connection ∇ . Apart from the last one, Cho in [7,8] introduces the notion of the k -th generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ on a real hypersurface in non-flat complex space form given by

$$\hat{\nabla}_X^{(k)} Y = \nabla_X Y + g(\phi AX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi AX - k\eta(X)\phi Y, \quad (1)$$

for all X, Y tangent to M , where k is a nonnull real number. The latter is an extension of the definition of generalized Tanaka-Webster connection for contact metric manifolds given by Tanno in [9] and satisfying the relation

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + (\nabla_X \eta)(Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi - \eta(X)\phi Y.$$

The following relations hold:

$$\hat{\nabla}^{(k)} \eta = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{(k)} \xi = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{(k)} g = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{(k)} \phi = 0.$$

In particular, if the shape operator of a real hypersurface satisfies $\phi A + A\phi = 2k\phi$, the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection coincides with the Tanaka-Webster connection.

The k -th Cho operator on M associated with the vector field X is denoted by $\hat{F}_X^{(k)}$ and given by

$$\hat{F}_X^{(k)} Y = g(\phi AX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi AX - k\eta(X)\phi Y, \quad (2)$$

for any Y tangent to M . Then, the torsion of the k -th generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ is given by

$$T^{(k)}(X, Y) = \hat{F}_X^{(k)} Y - \hat{F}_Y^{(k)} X,$$

for any X, Y tangent to M . Associated with the vector field X , the k -th torsion operator $T_X^{(k)}$ is defined and given by

$$T_X^{(k)}Y = T^{(k)}(X, Y),$$

for any Y tangent to M .

The existence of Levi–Civita and k -th generalized Tanaka–Webster connections on a real hypersurface implies that the covariant derivative can be expressed with respect to both connections. Let K be a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$; then, the symbols ∇K and $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}K$ are used to denote the covariant derivatives of K with respect to the Levi–Civita and the k -th generalized Tanaka–Webster connection, respectively. Furthermore, the Lie derivative of a tensor field K of type $(1, 1)$ with respect to Levi–Civita connection $\mathcal{L}K$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{L}_X K)Y = \nabla_X(KY) - \nabla_{KY}X - K\nabla_XY + K\nabla_YX, \quad (3)$$

for all X, Y tangent to M . Another first order differential operator of a tensor field K of type $(1, 1)$ with respect to the k -th generalized Tanaka–Webster connection $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(k)}K$ is defined and it is given by

$$(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)}K)Y = \hat{\nabla}_X^{(k)}(KY) - \hat{\nabla}_{KY}^{(k)}X - K(\hat{\nabla}_X^{(k)}Y) + K(\hat{\nabla}_Y^{(k)}X), \quad (4)$$

for all X, Y tangent to M .

Due to the existence of the above differential operators and derivatives, the following questions come up

1. Are there real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms whose derivatives with respect to different connections coincide?
2. Are there real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms whose differential operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(k)}$ coincides with derivatives with respect to different connections?

The first answer is obtained in [10], where the classification of real hypersurfaces in complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $n \geq 3$, whose covariant derivative of the shape operator with respect to the Levi–Civita connection coincides with the covariant derivative of it with respect to the k -th generalized Tanaka–Webster connection is provided, i.e., $\nabla_X A = \hat{\nabla}_X^{(k)}A$, where X is any vector field on M . Next, in [11], real hypersurfaces in complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $n \geq 3$, whose Lie derivative of the shape operator coincides with the operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(k)}$ are studied, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_X A = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)}A$, where X is any vector field on M . Finally, in [12], the problem of classifying three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms $M_2(c)$, for which the operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(k)}$ applied to the shape operator coincides with the covariant derivative of it, has been studied, i.e., $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)}A = \nabla_X A$, for any vector field X tangent to M .

In this paper, the condition $\mathcal{L}_X A = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)}A$, where X is any vector field on M is studied in the case of three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in $M_2(c)$.

The aim of the present paper is to complete the work of [11] in the case of three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms $M_2(c)$. The equality $\mathcal{L}_X A = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)}A$ is equivalent to the fact that $T_X^{(k)}A = AT_X^{(k)}$. Thus, the eigenspaces of A are preserved by the k -th torsion operator $T_X^{(k)}$, for any X tangent to M . First, three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in $M_2(c)$ whose shape operator A satisfies the following relation:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)}A = \mathcal{L}_X A, \quad (5)$$

for any X orthogonal to ξ are studied and the following Theorem is proved:

Theorem 1. *There do not exist real hypersurfaces in $M_2(c)$ whose shape operator satisfies relation (5).*

Next, three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in $M_2(c)$ whose shape operator satisfies the following relation are studied:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\xi}^{(k)} A = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} A, \quad (6)$$

and the following Theorem is provided:

Theorem 2. Every real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ whose shape operator satisfies relation (6) is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (A).

As an immediate consequence of the above theorems, it is obtained that

Corollary 1. There do not exist real hypersurfaces in $M_2(c)$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)} A = \mathcal{L}_X A$, for all $X \in TM$.

Next, the following tensor field P of type $(1, 1)$ is introduced:

$$PX = \phi AX - A\phi X,$$

for any vector field X tangent to M . The relation $P = 0$ implies that the shape operator commutes with the structure tensor ϕ . Real hypersurfaces whose shape operator A commutes with the structure tensor ϕ have been studied by Okumura in the case of \mathbb{CP}^n , $n \geq 2$, (see [13]) and by Montiel and Romero in the case of \mathbb{CH}^n , $n \geq 2$ (see [14]). The following Theorem provides the above classification of real hypersurfaces in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 3. Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$. Then, $A\phi = \phi A$, if and only if M is locally congruent to a homogeneous real hypersurface of type (A). More precisely:

In the case of \mathbb{CP}^n

(A₁) a geodesic hypersphere of radius r , where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,

(A₂) a tube of radius r over a totally geodesic \mathbb{CP}^k , ($1 \leq k \leq n - 2$), where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

In the case of \mathbb{CH}^n ,

(A₀) a horosphere in \mathbb{CH}^n , i.e., a Montiel tube,

(A₁) a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over a totally geodesic complex hyperbolic hyperplane \mathbb{CH}^{n-1} ,

(A₂) a tube over a totally geodesic \mathbb{CH}^k ($1 \leq k \leq n - 2$).

Remark 1. In the case of three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in $M_2(c)$, real hypersurfaces of type (A₂) do not exist.

It is interesting to study real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex spaces forms, whose tensor field P satisfies certain geometric conditions. We begin by studying three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in $M_2(c)$ whose tensor field P satisfies the relation

$$(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)} P)Y = (\mathcal{L}_X P)Y, \quad (7)$$

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M .

First, the following Theorem is proved:

Theorem 4. Every real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ whose tensor field P satisfies relation (7) for any X orthogonal to ξ and $Y \in TM$ is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (A).

Next, we study three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in $M_2(c)$ whose tensor field P satisfies relation (7) for $X = \xi$, i.e.,

$$(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\xi}^{(k)} P)Y = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi} P)Y, \quad (8)$$

for any vector field Y tangent to M . Then, the following Theorem is proved:

Theorem 5. *Every real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ whose tensor field P satisfies relation (8) is a Hopf hypersurface. In the case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (A) or to a real hypersurface of type (B) with $\alpha = -2k$ and in the case of $\mathbb{C}H^2$ M is a locally congruent either to a real hypersurface of type (A) or to a real hypersurface of type (B) with $\alpha = \frac{4}{k}$.*

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, basic relations and theorems concerning real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms are presented. In Section 3, analytic proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are provided. Finally, in Section 4, proofs of Theorems 4 and 5 are given.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all manifolds, vector fields, etc. are considered of class C^∞ and all manifolds are assumed to be connected.

The non-flat complex space form $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$ is equipped with a Kähler structure J and G is the Kählerian metric. The constant holomorphic sectional curvature c in the case of complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is $c = 4$ and in the case of complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$ is $c = -4$. The Levi-Civita connection of the non-flat complex space form is denoted by $\bar{\nabla}$.

Let M be a connected real hypersurface immersed in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, without boundary and N be a locally defined unit normal vector field on M . The shape operator A of the real hypersurface M with respect to the vector field N is given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -AX.$$

The Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the real hypersurface M satisfies the relation

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)N.$$

The Kähler structure of the ambient space induces on M an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) in the following way: any vector field X tangent to M satisfies the relation

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)N.$$

The tangential component of the above relation defines on M a skew-symmetric tensor field of type (1, 1) denoted by ϕ known as the *structure tensor*. The structure vector field ξ is defined by $\xi = -JN$ and the 1-form η is given by $\eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$ for any vector field X tangent to M . The elements of the almost contact structure satisfy the following relation:

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (9)$$

for all tangent vectors X, Y to M . Relation (9) implies

$$\phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi).$$

Because of $\bar{\nabla}J = 0$, it is obtained

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX$$

for all X, Y tangent to M . Moreover, the Gauss and Codazzi equations of the real hypersurface are respectively given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z] + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY, \quad (10)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \frac{c}{4} [\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi], \quad (11)$$

for all vectors X, Y, Z tangent to M , where R is the curvature tensor of M .

The tangent space $T_p M$ at every point $p \in M$ is decomposed as

$$T_p M = \text{span}\{\xi\} \oplus \mathbb{D}, \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbb{D} = \ker \eta = \{X \in T_p M : \eta(X) = 0\}$ and is called (*maximal*) *holomorphic distribution* (if $n \geq 3$).

Next, the following results concern any non-Hopf real hypersurface M in $M_2(c)$ with local orthonormal basis $\{U, \phi U, \xi\}$ at a point p of M .

Lemma 1. *Let M be a non-Hopf real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$. The following relations hold on M :*

$$\begin{aligned} AU &= \gamma U + \delta \phi U + \beta \xi, & A\phi U &= \delta U + \mu \phi U, & A\xi &= \alpha \xi + \beta U, \\ \nabla_U \xi &= -\delta U + \gamma \phi U, & \nabla_{\phi U} \xi &= -\mu U + \delta \phi U, & \nabla_\xi \xi &= \beta \phi U, \\ \nabla_U U &= \kappa_1 \phi U + \delta \xi, & \nabla_{\phi U} U &= \kappa_2 \phi U + \mu \xi, & \nabla_\xi U &= \kappa_3 \phi U, \\ \nabla_U \phi U &= -\kappa_1 U - \gamma \xi, & \nabla_{\phi U} \phi U &= -\kappa_2 U - \delta \xi, & \nabla_\xi \phi U &= -\kappa_3 U - \beta \xi, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$ are smooth functions on M and $\beta \neq 0$.

Remark 2. *The proof of Lemma 1 is included in [15].*

The Codazzi equation for $X \in \{U, \phi U\}$ and $Y = \xi$ implies, because of Lemma 1, the following relations:

$$\xi \delta = \alpha \gamma + \beta \kappa_1 + \delta^2 + \mu \kappa_3 + \frac{c}{4} - \gamma \mu - \gamma \kappa_3 - \beta^2, \quad (14)$$

$$\xi \mu = \alpha \delta + \beta \kappa_2 - 2\delta \kappa_3, \quad (15)$$

$$(\phi U) \alpha = \alpha \beta + \beta \kappa_3 - 3\beta \mu, \quad (16)$$

$$(\phi U) \beta = \alpha \gamma + \beta \kappa_1 + 2\delta^2 + \frac{c}{2} - 2\gamma \mu + \alpha \mu, \quad (17)$$

and for $X = U$ and $Y = \phi U$

$$U\delta - (\phi U)\gamma = \mu \kappa_1 - \kappa_1 \gamma - \beta \gamma - 2\delta \kappa_2 - 2\beta \mu. \quad (18)$$

The following Theorem refers to Hopf hypersurfaces. In the case of complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$, it is given by Maeda [16], and, in the case of complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$, it is given by Ki and Suh [17] (see also Corollary 2.3 in [18]).

Theorem 6. *Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$. Then,*

- (i) $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$ is constant.
- (ii) If W is a vector field, which belongs to \mathbb{D} such that $AW = \lambda W$, then

$$(\lambda - \frac{\alpha}{2})A\phi W = (\frac{\lambda\alpha}{2} + \frac{c}{4})\phi W.$$

(iii) If the vector field W satisfies $AW = \lambda W$ and $A\phi W = \nu \phi W$, then

$$\lambda \nu = \frac{\alpha}{2}(\lambda + \nu) + \frac{c}{4}. \quad (19)$$

Remark 3. Let M be a three-dimensional Hopf hypersurface in $M_2(c)$. Since M is a Hopf hypersurface relation $A\xi = \alpha\xi$, it holds when $\alpha = \text{constant}$. At any point $p \in M$, we consider a unit vector field $W \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $AW = \lambda W$. Then, the unit vector field ϕW is orthogonal to W and ξ and relation $A\phi W = \nu \phi W$ holds. Therefore, at any point $p \in M$, we can consider the local orthonormal frame $\{W, \phi W, \xi\}$ and the shape operator satisfies the above relations.

3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Suppose that M is a real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ whose shape operator satisfies relation (5), which because of the relation of k -th generalized Tanaka-Webster connection (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g((A\phi A + A^2\phi)X, Y)\xi - g((A\phi + \phi A)X, Y)A\xi + k\eta(AY)\phi X + \eta(Y)A\phi AX \\ - \eta(AY)\phi AX - k\eta(Y)A\phi X = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}$ and for all $Y \in TM$.

Let \mathcal{N} be the open subset of M such that

$$\mathcal{N} = \{p \in M : \beta \neq 0, \text{ in a neighborhood of } p\}.$$

The inner product of relation (20) for $Y = \xi$ with ξ due to relation (13) implies $\delta = 0$ and the shape operator on the local orthonormal basis $\{U, \phi U, \xi\}$ becomes

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U, \quad AU = \gamma U + \beta\xi \quad \text{and} \quad A\phi U = \mu\phi U. \quad (21)$$

Relation (20) for $X = Y = U$ and $X = \phi U$ and $Y = \xi$ due to (21) yields, respectively,

$$\gamma = k \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = 0. \quad (22)$$

Differentiation of $\gamma = k$ with respect to ϕU taking into account that k is a nonzero real number implies $(\phi U)\gamma = 0$. Thus, relation (18) results, because of $\delta = \mu = 0$, in $\kappa_1 = -\beta$. Furthermore, relations (14)–(17) due to $\delta = 0$ and relation (22) become

$$\alpha k + \frac{c}{4} = 2\beta^2 + k\kappa_3, \quad (23)$$

$$\kappa_2 = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$(\phi U)\alpha = \beta(\alpha + \kappa_3), \quad (25)$$

$$(\phi U)\beta = \alpha k - \beta^2 + \frac{c}{2}. \quad (26)$$

The inner product of Codazzi equation (11) for $X = U$ and $Y = \xi$ with U and ξ implies because of $\delta = 0$ and relation (21),

$$U\alpha = U\beta = \xi\beta = \xi\gamma = 0. \quad (27)$$

The Lie bracket of U and ξ satisfies the following two relations:

$$[U, \xi]\beta = U(\xi\beta) - \xi(U\beta),$$

$$[U, \xi]\beta = (\nabla_U \xi - \nabla_\xi U)\beta.$$

A combination of the two relations above taking into account relations of Lemma 1 and (27) yields

$$(k - \kappa_3)[(\phi U)\beta] = 0.$$

Suppose that $k \neq \kappa_3$, then $(\phi U)\beta = 0$ and relation (26) implies $\alpha k + \frac{c}{2} = \beta^2$. Differentiation of the last one with respect to ϕU results, taking into account relation (25), in $\kappa_3 = -\alpha$. The Riemannian curvature satisfies the relation

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z,$$

for any X, Y, Z tangent to M . Combination of the last relation with Gaussian Equation (10) for $X = U$, $Y = \phi U$ and $Z = U$ due to relation (22) and relation (24), $\kappa_1 = -\beta$, $\kappa_3 = -\alpha$ and $(\phi U)\beta = 0$ implies $c = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, on M , relation $k = \kappa_3$ holds. A combination of $R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$ with Gauss equation (10) for $X = U$, $Y = \phi U$ and $Z = U$ because of relations (22) and (26) and $\kappa_1 = -\beta$ yields

$$k^2 = -\alpha k - \frac{3c}{2}.$$

A combination of the latter with relation (23) implies

$$\beta^2 + k^2 = -\frac{5c}{8}.$$

Differentiation of the above relation with respect to ϕU gives, due to relation (26) and $k^2 = -\alpha k - \frac{3c}{2}$,

$$\beta^2 + k^2 = -\frac{c}{2}.$$

If the ambient space is the complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^2 with $c = 4$, then the above relation leads to a contradiction. If the ambient space is the complex hyperbolic space \mathbb{CH}^2 with $c = -4$, combination of the latter relation with $\beta^2 + k^2 = -\frac{5c}{8}$ yields $c = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Thus, N is empty and the following proposition is proved:

Proposition 1. *Every real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ whose shape operator satisfies relation (5) is a Hopf hypersurface.*

Since M is a Hopf hypersurface, Theorem 6 and remark 3 hold. Relation (20) for $X = W$ and for $X = \phi W$ implies, respectively,

$$(\lambda - k)(\nu - \alpha) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\nu - k)(\lambda - \alpha) = 0. \quad (28)$$

Combination of the above relations results in

$$(\nu - \lambda)(\alpha - k) = 0.$$

If $\lambda \neq \nu$, then $\alpha = k$ and relation $(\lambda - k)(\nu - \alpha) = 0$ becomes

$$(\lambda - \alpha)(\nu - \alpha) = 0.$$

If $\nu \neq \alpha$, then $\lambda = \alpha$ and relation (19) implies that ν is also constant. Therefore, the real hypersurface is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (B). Substitution of the values of

eigenvalues in relation $\lambda = \alpha$ leads to a contradiction. Thus, on M , relation $\nu = \alpha$ holds. Following similar steps to the previous case, we are led to a contradiction.

Therefore, on M , we have $\lambda = \nu$ and the first of relations (28) becomes

$$(\lambda - k)(\lambda - \alpha) = 0.$$

Supposing that $\lambda \neq k$, then $\lambda = \nu = \alpha$. Thus, the real hypersurface is totally umbilical, which is impossible since there do not exist totally umbilical real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms [18].

Thus, on M relation $\lambda = k$ holds. Relation (20) for $X = W$ and $Y = \phi W$ implies, because of $\lambda = \nu = k$, $\lambda = \alpha$. Thus, $\lambda = \nu = \alpha$ and the real hypersurface is totally umbilical, which is a contradiction and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Next, suppose that M is a real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ whose shape operator satisfies relation (6), which, because of the relation of the k -th generalized Tanaka-Webster connection (1), becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (A\phi - \phi A)AX - g(\phi A\xi, AX)\xi + \eta(AX)\phi A\xi + k\phi AX + g(\phi A\xi, X)A\xi \\ - \eta(X)A\phi A\xi - kA\phi X = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

for any $X \in TM$.

Let \mathcal{N} be the open subset of M such that

$$\mathcal{N} = \{p \in M : \beta \neq 0, \text{ in a neighborhood of } p\}.$$

The inner product of relation (29) for $X = U$ with ξ implies, due to relation (13), $\delta = 0$ and the shape operator on the local orthonormal basis $\{U, \phi U, \xi\}$ becomes

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U, \quad AU = \gamma U + \beta\xi \quad \text{and} \quad A\phi U = \mu\phi U. \quad (30)$$

Relation (29) for $X = \xi$ yields, taking into account relation (30), $\gamma = k$. Finally, relation (29) for $X = \phi U$ implies, due to relation (30) and the last relation,

$$(\mu^2 - 2k\mu + k^2) + \beta^2 = 0.$$

The above relation results in $\beta = 0$, which implies that \mathcal{N} is empty. Thus, the following proposition is proved:

Proposition 2. *Every real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ whose shape operator satisfies relation (6) is a Hopf hypersurface.*

Due to the above Proposition, Theorem 6 and Remark 3 hold. Relation (29) for $X = W$ and for $X = \phi W$ implies, respectively,

$$(\lambda - k)(\lambda - \nu) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\nu - k)(\lambda - \nu) = 0.$$

Suppose that $\lambda \neq \nu$. Then, the above relations imply $\lambda = \nu = k$, which is a contradiction.

Thus, on M , relation $\lambda = \nu$ holds and this results in the structure tensor ϕ commuting with the shape operator A , i.e., $A\phi = \phi A$ and, because of Theorem 3 M , is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (A), and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4. Proof of Theorems 4 and 5

Suppose that M is a real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ whose tensor field P satisfies relation (7) for any $X \in \mathbb{D}$ and for all $Y \in TM$. Then, the latter relation becomes, because of the relation of the k -th generalized Tanaka-Webster connection (1) and relations (3) and (4),

$$g(\phi AX, PY)\xi - \eta(PY)\phi AX - g(\phi APY, X)\xi + k\eta(PY)\phi X - g(\phi AX, Y)P\xi + \eta(Y)P\phi AX + g(\phi AY, X)P\xi - k\eta(Y)P\phi X = 0, \quad (31)$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}$ and for all $Y \in TM$.

Let N be the open subset of M such that

$$N = \{p \in M : \beta \neq 0, \text{ in a neighborhood of } p\}.$$

Relation (31) for $Y = \xi$ implies, taking into account relation (13),

$$\beta\{g(AX, U) + g(A\phi U, \phi X)\}\xi + P\phi AX + \beta^2 g(\phi U, X)\phi U - kP\phi X = 0, \quad (32)$$

for any $X \in \mathbb{D}$.

The inner product of relation (32) for $X = \phi U$ with ξ due to relation (13) yields $\delta = 0$. Moreover, the inner product of relation (32) for $X = \phi U$ with ϕU , taking into account relation (13) and $\delta = 0$, results in

$$\beta^2 + k(\gamma - \mu) = \mu(\gamma - \mu). \quad (33)$$

The inner product of relation (32) for $X = U$ with U gives, because of relation (13) and $\delta = 0$,

$$(\gamma - k)(\gamma - \mu) = 0.$$

Suppose that $\gamma \neq k$, then the above relation implies $\gamma = \mu$ and relation (33) implies $\beta = 0$, which is impossible.

Thus, relation $\gamma = k$ holds and relation (33) results in

$$\beta^2 + (\gamma - \mu)^2 = 0.$$

The latter implies $\beta = 0$, which is impossible.

Thus, N is empty and the following proposition has been proved:

Proposition 3. *Every real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ whose tensor field P satisfies relation (7) is a Hopf hypersurface.*

As a result of the proposition above, Theorem 6 and remark 3 hold. Thus, relation (31) for $X = W$ and $Y = \xi$ and for $X = \phi W$ and $Y = \xi$ yields, respectively,

$$(\lambda - k)(\lambda - \nu) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\nu - k)(\lambda - \nu) = 0.$$

Supposing that $\lambda \neq \nu$, the above relations imply $\lambda = \nu = k$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, relation $\lambda = \nu$ holds and this implies that $A\phi = \phi A$. Thus, because of Theorem 3, M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (A) and this completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Next, we study three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in $M_2(c)$ whose tensor field P satisfies relation (8). The last relation becomes, due to relation (2),

$$F_{\xi}^{(k)} PY - PF_{\xi}^{(k)} Y + \phi APY - P\phi AY = 0, \quad (34)$$

for any Y tangent to M .

Let N be the open subset of M such that

$$N = \{p \in M : \beta \neq 0, \text{ in a neighborhood of } p\}.$$

The inner product of relation (34) for $Y = \xi$ implies, taking into account relation (13), $\beta = 0$, which is impossible. Thus, N is empty and the following proposition has been proved

Proposition 4. Every real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ whose tensor field P satisfies relation (8) is a Hopf hypersurface.

Since M is a Hopf hypersurface, Theorems 6 and 3 hold. Relation (34) for $Y = W$ implies, due to $AW = \lambda W$ and $A\phi W = \nu\phi W$,

$$(\lambda - \nu)(\nu + \lambda - 2k) = 0.$$

We have two cases:

Case I: Supposing that $\lambda \neq \nu$, then the above relation implies $\nu + \lambda = 2k$. Relation (19) implies, due to the last one, that λ, ν are constant. Thus, M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface with three distinct principal curvatures. Therefore, it is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (B).

Thus, in the case of \mathbb{CP}^2 , substitution of the eigenvalues of real hypersurface of type (B) in $\nu + \lambda = 2k$ implies $\alpha = -2k$. In the case of \mathbb{CH}^2 , substitution of the eigenvalues of real hypersurface of type (B) in $\nu + \lambda = 2k$ yields $\alpha = \frac{4}{k}$.

Case II: Supposing that $\lambda = \nu$, then the structure tensor ϕ commutes with the shape operator A , i.e., $A\phi = \phi A$ and, because of Theorem 3, M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (A) and this completes the proof of Theorem 5.

As a consequence of Theorems 4 and 5, the following Corollary is obtained:

Corollary 2. A real hypersurface M in $M_2(c)$ whose tensor field P satisfies relation (7) is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (A).

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we answer the question if there are three-dimensional real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms whose differential operator $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ of a tensor field of type (1, 1) coincides with the Lie derivative of it. First, we study the case of the tensor field being the shape operator A of the real hypersurface. The obtained results complete the work that has been done in the case of real hypersurfaces of dimensions greater than three in complex projective space (see [11]). In table 3 all the existing results and also provides open problems are summarized.

Table 3. Results on condition $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)} A = \mathcal{L}_X A$.

Condition	$M_2(c)$	$\mathbb{CP}^n, n \geq 3$	$\mathbb{CH}^n, n \geq 3$
$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)} A = \mathcal{L}_X A, X \in \mathbb{D}$	does not exist	does not exist	open
$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi^{(k)} A = \mathcal{L}_\xi A$	type (A)	type (A)	open
$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)} A = \mathcal{L}_X A, X \in TM$	does not exist	does not exist	open

Next, we study the above geometric condition in the case of the tensor field being $P = A\phi - \phi A$, which is introduced here. In table 4, we summarize the obtained results.

Table 4. Results on condition $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)}P = \mathcal{L}_X P$.

Condition	$\mathbb{C}P^2$	$\mathbb{C}H^2$
$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)}P = \mathcal{L}_X P, X \in \mathbb{D}$	type (A)	type (A)
$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\zeta^{(k)}P = \mathcal{L}_\zeta P$	type (A) and type (B) with $\alpha = -2k$	type (A) and type (B) with $\alpha = \frac{4}{k}$
$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X^{(k)}P = \mathcal{L}_X P, X \in TM$	type (A)	type (A)

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referees for valuable comments on improving the paper.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to this research.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Takagi, R. On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space. *Osaka J. Math.* **1973**, *10*, 495–506.
2. Takagi, R. Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space with constant principal curvatures. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **1975**, *27*, 43–53.
3. Takagi, R. Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space with constant principal curvatures II. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **1975**, *27*, 507–516.
4. Kimura, M. Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1986**, *296*, 137–149.
5. Montiel, S. Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **1985**, *35*, 515–535.
6. Berndt, J. Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **1989**, *395*, 132–141.
7. Cho, J.T. CR-structures on real hypersurfaces of a complex space form. *Publ. Math. Debr.* **1999**, *54*, 473–487.
8. Cho, J.T. Pseudo-Einstein CR-structures on real hypersurfaces in a complex space form. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **2008**, *37*, 1–17.
9. Tanno, S. Variational problems on contact Riemannian manifolds. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1989**, *314*, 349–379.
10. Pérez, J.D.; Suh, Y.J. Generalized Tanaka–Webster and covariant derivatives on a real hypersurface in a complex projective space. *Monatsh. Math.* **2015**, *177*, 637–647.
11. Pérez, J.D. Comparing Lie derivatives on real hypersurfaces in complex projective space. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **2016**, *13*, 2161–2169.
12. Panagiotidou, K.; Pérez, J.D. On the Lie derivative of real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}H^2$ with respect to the generalized Tanaka–Webster connection. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **2015**, *52*, 1621–1630.
13. Okumura, M. On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1975**, *212*, 355–364.
14. Montiel, S.; Romero, A. On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, *Geom. Dedic.* **1986**, *20*, 245–261.
15. Panagiotidou, K.; Xenos, P.J. Real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}H^2$ whose structure Jacobi operator is Lie \mathbb{D} -parallel. *Note Mat.* **2012**, *32*, 89–99.
16. Maeda, Y. On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **1976**, *28*, 529–540.
17. Ki, U.-H.; Suh, Y.J. On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **1990**, *32*, 207–221.
18. Niebergall, R.; Ryan, P.J. Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms. In *Tight and Taut Submanifolds*; MSRI Publications: Cambridge, UK, 1997; Volume 32, pp. 233–305.

