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Abstract: Let $g$ be an analytic function with the normalization in the open unit disk. Let $L(r)$ be the length of $g(\{z:|z|=r\})$. In this paper we present a correspondence between $g$ and $L(r)$ for the case when $g$ is not necessary univalent. Furthermore, some other results related to the length of analytic functions are also discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the family of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the subfamily of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all univalent functions in $\mathbb{D}$.

Let $C(r)$ denote the image curve of the $|z|=r<1$ under the function $g \in \mathcal{A}$ which bound the area $A(r)$. Furthermore, let $L(r)$ be the length of $C(r)$ and $M(r)=\max _{|z|=r<1}|g(z)|$.

If $g \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right\}>0, z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

then $g$ is said to be starlike with respect to the origin in $\mathbb{D}$ and we write $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$. It is known (for details, see $[1,2])$ that $\mathcal{S}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}$.

The aim of the present paper is to prove, using a modified methodology, that in the following implication

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \quad \Rightarrow \quad L(r)=\mathcal{O}\left(M(r) \log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ denotes the Landau's symbol, the assumption that $g$ is starlike univalent can be changed by a weaker one. Result (2) was proved by Keogh [3]. Moreover, some other length problems for analytic functions are investigated. Several interesting developments related to length problems for univalent functions were considered in [4-15].

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $g$ be of the form (1) and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \leq\left|\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
L(r)=\mathcal{O}\left(M(r) \log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
$$

where

$$
M(r)=\max _{|z|=r<1}|g(z)|
$$

and $\mathcal{O}$ means Landau's symbol.
Proof. Let $z=r e^{i v}$. We have $g \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$. In fact, if $g=0$ in $\mathbb{D}$, it contradicts hypothesis (3). Applying [3] (Theorem 1) and the hypothesis of Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(r) & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z g^{\prime}(z)\right| \mathrm{d} v=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right||g(z)| \mathrm{d} v \\
& \leq M(r) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \mathrm{d} v \leq M(r) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{1+r e^{i v}}{1-r e^{i v}}\right| \mathrm{d} v \\
& \leq M(r)\left(2 \pi+4 \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. If $g$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 1 , then $g$ is not necessary univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. It is well known that if $g \in \mathcal{S}$, then it follows that

$$
\frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} \leq\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

(for details, see [1] (Vol. 1, p. 69)).
If $g \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g^{1-\gamma}(z) h^{\gamma}(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

for some $h \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and some $\gamma \in(0, \infty)$, then $g$ is said to be a Bazilevič function of type $\gamma$ [13]. The class of Bazilevič functions of type $\gamma$ is denoted by $g \in \mathcal{B}(\gamma)$. We note that Theorem 1 improves the implication (2) by Keogh [3] and it is also related to Theorem 3 given by Thomas [13].

We will need the following Tsuji's result.
Lemma 1 ([16] (p. 226)). (Theorem 3) If $0 \leq r<R$ and $z=e^{i v}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R-r}{R+r} \leq \mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{R e^{i \phi}+z}{R e^{i \phi}-z}\right\}=\frac{R^{2}-r^{2}}{R^{2}-2 R r \cos (\phi-v)+r^{2}} \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{R^{2}-r^{2}}{R^{2}-2 R r \cos (\phi-v)+r^{2}} \mathrm{~d} v=1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $g$ be of the form (1) and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \leq\left|\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(r, \beta)=\max _{|z|=r<1}|g(z)| \leq\left|\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right|^{\beta} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<\beta$. Then

$$
L(r)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{\beta}}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ means Landau's symbol.
Proof. From the hypotheses (6) and (7), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(r) & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z g^{\prime}(z)\right| \mathrm{d} v=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right||g(z)| \mathrm{d} v \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right|\left|\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right|^{\beta} \mathrm{d} v \leq 2^{1+\beta} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{|1-z|^{1+\beta}} \mathrm{d} v \\
& =\frac{2^{1+\beta}}{(1-r)^{\beta-1}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{1-2 r \cos v+r^{2}} \mathrm{~d} v
\end{aligned}
$$

From (5), we have

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{1-2 r \cos v+r^{2}} \mathrm{~d} v=\frac{2 \pi}{1-r^{2}}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(r) & \leq \frac{2^{1+\beta}}{(1-r)^{\beta-1}} \frac{2 \pi}{1-r^{2}} \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{\beta}}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.
Let us recall the following Fejér-Riesz's result.
Lemma 2 ([16]). Let $h$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}|h(z)|^{p}|\mathrm{~d} z| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{|z|=1}|h(z)|^{p}|\mathrm{~d} z|
$$

where $p>0$.
Theorem 3. Let $g$ be of the form (1) and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|z|}{1+|z|} \leq\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(m(r) \log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \leq L(r) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M(r)}{1-r}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(r)=\min _{|z|=r<1}|g(z)|, \quad M(r)=\max _{|z|=r<1}|g(z)| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{O}$ means Landau's symbol.
Proof. From the assumption, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(r) & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z g^{\prime}(z)\right| \mathrm{d} v=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right||g(z)| \mathrm{d} v \\
& \geq m(r) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \mathrm{d} v
\end{aligned}
$$

because $g(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$. In fact, if $g(z)=0$ in $\mathbb{D}$, it contradicts hypothesis (8). Applying Fejér-Riesz's Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(r) & \geq m(r) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \mathrm{d} v \geq 2 m(r) \int_{-r}^{r} \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} \mathrm{d} \rho \\
& \geq 2 m(r) \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}-2 r \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left(m(r) \log \frac{1}{(1-r)}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

While, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(r) & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z g^{\prime}(z)\right| \mathrm{d} v=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right||g(z)| \mathrm{d} v \\
& =M(r) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \mathrm{d} v=2 \pi M(r) \frac{1+r}{1-r} \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M(r)}{1-r}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.
From Theorem 3, we have the following result.

Corollary 1. Let $g$ be of the form (1) and suppose that $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(m(r) \log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \leq L(r) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M(r)}{1-r}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
$$

where $m(r)$ and $M(r)$ are given by (9), respectively.
Proof. From the hypothesis, we have

$$
\frac{1-|z|}{1+z \mid} \leq\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

which completes the proof.

Lemma 3 ([17] (p. 280) and [18] (p. 491)).

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\left|1-r e^{i v}\right|^{\beta}}= \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}\left((1-r)^{1-\beta}\right) & \text { for the case } 1<\beta \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) & \text { for the case } \beta=1 \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \text { for the case } 0 \leq \beta<1\end{cases}
$$

where $0<r<1,0 \leq v \leq 2 \pi, 0 \leq \beta$ and $\mathcal{O}$ means Landau's symbol.
Theorem 4. Let $g$ be of the form (1) and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{1-|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(z)| \leq \frac{1}{|1-z|^{\beta}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
L(r) \leq \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}\left((1-r)^{-3 / 2}\right) & \text { for } 1<\beta \leq 3 / 2 \\ \mathcal{O}\left((1-r)^{-3 / 2} \log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) & \text { for the case } \beta=3 / 2 \\ \mathcal{O}\left((1-r)^{-\beta}\right) & \text { for the case } 3 / 2<\beta\end{cases}
$$

where $0<|z|=r<1$ and $\mathcal{O}$ means Landau's symbol.
Proof. From the hypothesis (10), it follows that $g(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(r) & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|r e^{i v} g^{\prime}\left(r e^{i v}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} v=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right||g(z)| \mathrm{d} v \\
& <\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|^{\beta}}\right) \mathrm{d} v \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right)\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|^{\beta-1}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right) \mathrm{d} v \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1}{|1-z|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} v\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|^{2 \beta-2}}\right) \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} v\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Hayman's Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(r) & \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right) \mathcal{O}(1) \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{3 / 2}}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

for the case $1<\beta<3 / 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(r) & \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{3 / 2}} \log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

for the case $\beta=3 / 2$ and

$$
L(r)=\left(\frac{1}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{(2 \beta-3) / 2} \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 1
$$

for the case $3 / 2<\beta$.
Lemma 4 ([16] (p. 227)). If $g(z)=u(z)+i v(z)$ is analytic in $|z| \leq R$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\left(R^{i \phi}\right) \frac{R e^{i \phi}+z}{R e^{i \phi}-z} \mathrm{~d} \phi+i v(0) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $|z|<R$ and $v(0)=0$, then

$$
|g(z)|=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|u\left(R e^{i \phi}\right)\right|\left|\frac{R e^{i \phi}+z}{R e^{i \phi}-z}\right| \mathrm{d} \phi
$$

Theorem 5. Let $g$ be of the form (1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(r)=\mathcal{O}\left(A(r) \log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \text { as } r \rightarrow 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<|z|=r<1$ and $\mathcal{O}$ means Landau's symbol.
Proof. It follows that

$$
M(r)=\max _{|z|=r<1}\left|\int_{0}^{z} g^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right|=\max _{|z|=r<1}\left|\int_{0}^{r} g^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i v}\right) \mathrm{d} \rho\right|
$$

Applying (12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(r) & =\max _{|z|=r<1}\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Re \mathfrak{R e}^{\prime}\left(t e^{i v}\right) \frac{t e^{i \phi}+\rho e^{i v}}{t e^{i \phi}-\rho e^{i v}} \mathrm{~d} \phi \mathrm{~d} \rho\right| \\
& \leq \max _{|z|=r<1} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g^{\prime}\left(t e^{i v}\right)\right|\left|\frac{t e^{i \phi}+\rho e^{i v}}{t e^{i \phi}-\rho e^{i v}}\right| \mathrm{d} \phi \mathrm{~d} \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq \rho \leq r<t<1$. Then, applying Schwarz's lemma, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(r) & \leq \max _{|z|=r<1}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g^{\prime}\left(t e^{i v}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi \mathrm{~d} \rho\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{t e^{i \phi}+\rho e^{i v}}{t e^{i \phi}-\rho e^{i v}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi \mathrm{~d} \rho\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \max _{|z|=r<1}\left(I_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(I_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \text { say. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $0<r_{1}<r$ and $t=\sqrt{\left(1+\rho^{2}\right) / 2}$, we have

$$
\rho \mathrm{d} \rho=2 \sqrt{\frac{1+\rho^{2}}{2}} \mathrm{~d} t<2 \mathrm{~d} t
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{r_{1}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \phi}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi \mathrm{~d} \rho+\frac{1}{2 \pi r_{1}^{2}} \int_{\sqrt{\left(1+r_{1}^{2}\right) / 2}}^{\sqrt{\left(1+r^{2}\right) / 2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} t\left|g^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \phi}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq C+\frac{1}{2 \pi r_{1}^{2}} A\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+r^{2}}{2}}\right) \\
& =C+\frac{1}{2 \pi r_{1}^{2}} A\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+r^{2}}{2 r^{2}}} r\right) \\
& =\mathcal{O}(A(r)) \text { as } r \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a bounded positive constant. On the other hand, putting $t \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{t e^{i \phi}+\rho e^{i v}}{t e^{i \phi}-\rho e^{i v}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi \mathrm{~d} \rho \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{4}{\left|t e^{i \phi}-\rho e^{i v}\right|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \phi \mathrm{~d} \rho \\
& =\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{4}{t^{2}-2 \rho t \cos (\phi-v)+\rho^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \phi \mathrm{~d} \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq 8 \pi \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{t^{2}-\rho^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \rho \\
& =\frac{4 \pi}{t} \int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{t+\rho}+\frac{1}{t-\rho}\right) \mathrm{d} \rho \\
& =\frac{4 \pi}{t} \log \frac{t+r}{t-r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we complete the proof of (13).
Remark 2. In Theorem 5, we do not suppose that $g$ is univalent in $|z|<1$ and therefore, it improves the result by Pommerenke [2].
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