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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the variational principle and the existence of periodic solutions for a new class of second-order ordinary $p$-Laplacian systems. The variational principle is given by making use of two methods. We obtain three existence theorems of periodic solutions to this problem on various sufficient conditions on the potential function $F(t, x)$ or nonlinearity $\nabla F(t, x)$. Four examples are presented to illustrate the feasibility and effectiveness of our results.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the following second-order ordinary $p$-Laplacian system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)\right)+g(t)|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)-A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)+\nabla F(t, u(t))=0 \text {, a.e } t \in[0, T],  \tag{1}\\
u(0)-u(T)=\dot{u}(0)-\dot{u}(T)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where we can see $p>1, T>0, g \in L^{1}(0, T ; R)$, and where $A(t)=\left[a_{i j}(t)\right]$ is a positivedefinite symmetric $N \times N$ matrix-valued function defined in $[0, T]$ with $a_{i j} \in C(0, T)$. Moreover, $F:[0, T] \times R^{N} \rightarrow R$ satisfies the following assumption:
$\left(H_{0}\right) F(t, x)$ is measurable in $t$ for every $x \in R^{N}$ and continuously differentiable in $x$ for a.e $t \in[0, T]$, and there exist $a \in C\left(R^{+}, R^{+}\right)$and $b \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; R^{+}\right)$such that

$$
|F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|) b(t),|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|) b(t), x \in R^{N} \text {, a.e } t \in[0, T] .
$$

when $p=2, g(t) \equiv 0$ and $A(t)=0$, the second-order ordinary $p$-Laplacian system of form (1) becomes the following second-order Hamiltonian system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u}(t)+\nabla F(t, u(t))=0,  \tag{2}\\
u(0)-u(T)=\dot{u}(0)-\dot{u}(T)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Over the last few decades, system (2) has been studied by using the variational method. The existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions to problem (2) were obtained on various hypotheses on the potential function $F(t, x)$ or nonlinearity $\nabla F(t, x)$ (see, Refs. [1-6]).

Given that $p=2$, the second-order ordinary $p$-Laplacian system of form (1) reduces to the following second-order damped vibration system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\ddot{u}(t)+g(t) \dot{u}(t)-A(t) u(t)+\nabla F(t, u(t))=0,  \tag{3}\\
u(0)-u(T)=\dot{u}(0)-\dot{u}(T)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Wu, Chen and Teng [7] provided the variational principle of system (3). At the same time, some existence results of system (3) were obtained by using critical point theorem.

Taking $g(t) \equiv 0$ and $A(t)=0$ in problem (1), many scholars have studied the following second-order ordinary $p$-Laplacian system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)\right)=\nabla F(t, u(t)),  \tag{4}\\
u(0)-u(T)=\dot{u}(0)-\dot{u}(T)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

A lot of important existence and multiplicity results of periodic solutions to problem (4) have been obtained by using the critical point theory (see, Refs. [8-12]). In particular, Lv [10] gave the following existence theorem of periodic solutions to problem (4).

Theorem 1. Let $F(t, x)=F_{1}(t, x)+F_{2}(x)$, where $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ satisfy assumption $\left(H_{0}\right)$ and the following conditions:
(i) There exist $k, m \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; R^{+}\right)$and $\gamma \in[0, p-1)$ such that

$$
\left|\nabla F_{1}(t, x)\right| \leq k(t)|x|^{\gamma}+m(t)
$$

for all $x \in R^{N}$ and a.e $t \in[0, T]$;
(ii) There exist constants $0 \leq r_{1}<\frac{1}{T^{p}}$ and $r_{2} \in[0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\left(\nabla F_{2}(x)-\nabla F_{2}(y), x-y\right) \geq-r_{1}|x-y|^{p}-r_{2}|x-y|
$$

for all $x \in R^{N}$ and a.e $t \in[0, T]$;
(iii) $\frac{1}{|x|^{\gamma q}} \int_{0}^{T} F(t, x) \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$, where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.

Then, problem (4) has at least one solution which minimizes $\varphi$ on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.
In addition, taking $g(t) \equiv 0$ in problem (1), then one has

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)\right)-A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)+\nabla F(t, u(t))=0,  \tag{5}\\
u(0)-u(T)=\dot{u}(0)-\dot{u}(T)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Zhang and Tang [13] studied the more general second-order ordinary $p$-Laplacian system of form (5). Some existence theorems of periodic solutions to problem (5) were obtained by using minimax methods in critical point theory.

In the paper, inspired by the results of $[7,10,13]$, we study the second-order ordinary $p$-Laplacian system of form (1) under the conditions $p>1, g(t) \neq 0$ and, $A(t) \neq 0$. Obviously, the second-order ordinary $p$-Laplacian system of form (1) is more general than systems (2)-(5). Namely, systems (2)-(5) are only special cases of system (1). As far as we know, there is no relevant research or any results by using the variational method to study the ordinary $p$-Laplacian system of form (1). Therefore, system (1) is proved to be a more general new system. The remaining part of the paper is as follows. We first study the variational principle of problem (1) by two methods in Section 2. Furthermore, as an application, we obtain three existence theorems for problem (1) by using the critical point theorem in Section 3. Finally, four examples are given to illustrate our results in Section 4. Our results generalize existing relevant conclusions.

For convenience, the meanings of the main symbols used in the paper are as follows: $a=\max _{i, j=1, \cdots, N}\left\{a_{i j}\right\}$ where $a_{i j}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{\left|a_{i j}(t)\right|\right\}, d_{1}=\max _{t \in[0, T]} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}, d_{2}=\min _{t \in[0, T]} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}$, $\|\widetilde{u}\|_{L^{p}}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\widetilde{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},\|u\|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}|u(t)|$, and various positive constants as $C_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots)$.

## 2. The Variational Principle

In this section, we will obtain the variational principle of problem (1) by two methods.
$W_{T}^{1, p}$ is a Sobolev space defined by $W_{T}^{1, p}=\left\{u:[0, T] \rightarrow R^{N} \mid u\right.$ is absolutely continuous, $u(0)=u(T)$ and $\left.\dot{u} \in L^{p}\left([0, T] ; R^{N}\right)\right\}$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, u \in W_{T}^{1, p} .
$$

Let $\bar{u}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} u(t) \mathrm{d} t$ and $\widetilde{u}(t)=u(t)-\bar{u}$ for any $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$. Then, one has $W_{T}^{1, p}=\widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p} \oplus R^{N}$ , where $\widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}=\left\{u \in W_{T}^{1, p} \mid \bar{u}=0\right\}$. Using the technique of [14] (Proposition 1.3), one has

$$
\|\widetilde{u}\|_{L^{p}} \leq T\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}(\text { Wirtinger's inequality })
$$

and

$$
\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty} \leq T^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}(\text { Sobolev's inequality })
$$

for all $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$, where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ (see, Ref. [10]).
Lemma 1. ([14] (Proposition 1.1)). There exists $c>0$ such that, if $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$, then $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq$ $c\|u\|$. Moreover, if $\int_{0}^{T} u(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$, then $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq c\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}$.

Lemma 2. ([14] (Fundamental Lemma)). Let $u, v \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; R^{N}\right)$. If for every $f \in$ $C_{T}^{\infty}, \int_{0}^{T}\left(u(t), f^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t=-\int_{0}^{T}(v(t), f(t)) \mathrm{d} t$, then $\int_{0}^{T} v(s) \mathrm{d} s=0$ and there exists $c \in R^{N}$ such that $u(t)=\int_{0}^{t} v(s) \mathrm{d} s+c$ a.e on $[0, T]$. By Lemma 1 , there is a constant $C_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq C_{0}\|u\|, \forall u \in W_{T}^{1, p} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Method 1. We first offer an expression for the functional $I(u)$ and further prove that one solution to problem (1) is the critical point of the functional $I(u)$ in the sense of a weak derivative.

Define the functional $I(u)$ on $W_{T}^{1, p}$ by
$I(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t$,
where $Q(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) \mathrm{d} s$. We know that $I(u)$ is continuously differentiable and the weak lower semi-continuity on $W_{T}^{1, p}$. The detailed proof is similar to the corresponding parts in [14] (pp. 10-11) and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(I^{\prime}(u), v\right)=\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), v(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}(\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t)) \mathrm{d} t, u, v \in W_{T}^{1, p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 2. If $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$ is a solution to $I^{\prime}(u)=0$ (i.e., $u$ is a critical point of $I(u)$ ), then $u$ is a solution to problem (1).

Proof. As $I^{\prime}(u)=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\left(I^{\prime}(u), v\right)=\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} & \left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), v(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}(\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t)) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u, v \in W_{T}^{1, p}$. That is,

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t=-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)-\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

for all $v \in W_{T}^{1, p}$. By Lemma 2, it can be seen that $\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)$ has a weak derivative, and

$$
\left(\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)\right) \prime=\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)-\nabla F(t, u(t)), \text { a.e } t \in[0, T] .\right.
$$

Hence, $u$ satisfies the following equation

$$
\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)\right) \prime+g(t)|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)-A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)+\nabla F(t, u(t)=0 \text {, a.e } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Then, $u$ is a solution to problem (1). This completes the proof.
Method 2. By the semi-inverse method [15], we can obtain the variational principle of problem (1). Its derivation process is as follows.

The problem (5) has the following variational principle:

$$
\varphi_{1}(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

To acquire the variational principle for problem (1), we introduce an integrating factor $f(t)$ and consider the following integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u)=\int_{0}^{T}\left\{f(t)\left[\frac{1}{p}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p}+\frac{1}{p}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u(t)\right)-F(t, u(t))\right]+L\left(u, u_{t}, u_{t t}, \cdots\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is an unknown function of $u$ and/or its derivatives. The Euler-Lagrange equation of Equation (7) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-f(t) \nabla F(t, u(t))+f(t) A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)-\left(f(t)|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)\right)_{t}^{\prime}+\frac{\delta L}{\delta u}=0, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{\delta L}{\delta u}$ is called the variational derivative $[16,17]$ and is defined as

$$
\frac{\delta L}{\delta u}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial u}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{t}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{t t}}-\cdots .
$$

We simplify (8) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)\right) \prime+\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)\right)=-\nabla F(t, u(t))+A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)+\frac{1}{f} \frac{\delta L}{\delta u} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparison between Equation (9) and problem (1), we set

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}=g(t), \frac{\delta L}{\delta u}=0
$$

Hence, we have

$$
f=\exp \int_{0}^{t} g(s) \mathrm{d} s=\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}, L=0
$$

Consequently, we obtain the energy functional for problem (1) (i.e., the variational principle of problem (1)), which is

$$
\varphi(u)=\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left[\frac{1}{p}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p}+\frac{1}{p}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u(t)\right)-F(t, u(t))\right] \mathrm{d} t .
$$

Obviously, $I(u)=\varphi(u)$.

## 3. Existence of Solutions for the Ordinary $p$-Laplacian System

Lemma 3. ([10] (Lemma 2.1)). In Sobolev space $W_{T}^{1, p}$, for $u \in W_{T}^{1, p},\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty$ if and only if $\left(|\bar{u}|^{p}+\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Theorem 3. Let $F(t, x)=F_{1}(t, x)+F_{2}(t, x)$, and suppose that $F_{1}(t, x)$ and $F_{2}(t, x)$ satisfy assumption $\left(H_{0}\right)$. If the following conditions hold:
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ There exists a function $h_{1} \in C([0,+\infty) ;[0,+\infty))$ with the properties:
(i) $\quad h_{1}(s) \leq h_{1}(t) \quad \forall s \leq t, s, t \in[0,+\infty)$,
(ii) $h_{1}(s+t) \leq h_{1}(s)+h_{1}(t) \quad \forall s, t \in[0,+\infty)$,
(iii) $h_{1}(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

Moreover, there exist $r \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; R^{+}\right), K_{1}>0$ and $\alpha \in[0, p)$ such that

$$
F_{1}(t, x) \leq h_{1}(|x|)+r(t), \forall x \in R^{N} \text {, a.e } t \in[0, T]
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{h_{1}(s)}{s^{\alpha}} \leq K_{1}
$$

$\left(H_{2}\right)$ There exist $m_{1}, m_{2} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; R^{+}\right), K_{2}>0, \beta \in[0, p-1)$ and a function $h_{2} \in$ $C([0,+\infty) ;[0,+\infty))$ which satisfies the conditions (i)-(iii) such that

$$
\left|\nabla F_{2}(t, x)\right| \leq m_{1}(t) h_{2}(|x|)+m_{2}(t), \forall x \in R^{N} \text {, a.e } t \in[0, T]
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\limsup _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{h_{2}(s)}{s^{\beta}} \leq K_{2} ; \\
\left(H_{3}\right)\left(A(t)|x|^{p-2} x, x\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}|x|^{p}, \forall x \in R^{N} \text {, a.e } t \in[0, T] ; \\
\left(H_{4}\right) \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|x|^{q \beta}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(F_{2}(t, x)+h_{1}(|x|)\right) \mathrm{d} t=-\infty, \text { where } \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, problem (1) has no less than one solution on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.
Proof. By $\left(H_{1}\right)$, for all $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F_{1}(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t & \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(h_{1}(|u(t)|)+r(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} h_{1}\left(|\bar{u}|+\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} h_{1}(|\bar{u}|) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} h_{1}\left(\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r(t) \mathrm{d} t  \tag{10}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} h_{1}(|\bar{u}|) \mathrm{d} t+T d_{1}\left(K_{1}\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{\alpha}+C_{1}\right)+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} h_{1}(|\bar{u}|) \mathrm{d} t+T^{1+\frac{\alpha}{q}} d_{1} K_{1}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{\alpha}+C_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By $\left(H_{2}\right)$, Sobolev's inequality and $\varepsilon$-Young's inequality, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(F_{2}(t, u(t))-F_{2}(t, \bar{u})\right) \mathrm{d} t\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\nabla F_{2}(t, \bar{u}+s \widetilde{u}(t)), \widetilde{u}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} m_{1}(t)\left(h_{2}(|\bar{u}|)|\widetilde{u}(t)|+h_{2}(|\widetilde{u}(t)|)|\widetilde{u}(t)|\right) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} m_{2}(t)|\widetilde{u}(t)| \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} m_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t h_{2}(|\bar{u}|)\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} m_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t h_{2}\left(\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}\right)\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} m_{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \varepsilon\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{p}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{-q}{p}}}{q} h_{2}^{q}(|\bar{u}|)\left(\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} m_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)^{\mathrm{q}}+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} m_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t\left(K_{2}\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{\beta}+\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)\|\widetilde{u}\| \infty \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} m_{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t \quad\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \varepsilon\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{p}+C_{4}|\bar{u}|^{q \beta}+C_{5}+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} m_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t\left(K_{2}\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{\beta}+\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} m_{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \varepsilon T^{\frac{p}{q}}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+\mathrm{C}_{6}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{\beta+1}+\mathrm{C}_{7}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}+\mathrm{C}_{4}|\bar{u}|^{q \beta}+\mathrm{C}_{5} . \\
& \quad \text { for all } u \in W_{T}^{1, p}, \text { where } \varepsilon T^{\frac{p}{q}}<\frac{d_{2}}{2 p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (10), (11) and $\left(H_{3}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F_{1}(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(F_{2}\left(t, u(t)-F_{2}(t, \bar{u})\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F_{2}(t, \bar{u}) \mathrm{d} t\right. \\
& \geq \frac{d_{2}}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{d_{2}}{2 p} \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} h_{1}(|\bar{u}|) \mathrm{d} t-T^{1+\frac{\alpha}{q}} d_{1} K_{1}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{\alpha}-C_{2}  \tag{12}\\
& -\varepsilon T^{\frac{p}{q}}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}-\mathrm{C}_{6}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{\beta+1}-\mathrm{C}_{7}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}-\mathrm{C}_{4}|\bar{u}| q \overline{q \beta}-\mathrm{C}_{5}-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F_{2}(t, \bar{u}) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{d_{2}}{2 p}\|u\|^{p}+\left(\frac{d_{2}}{2 p}-\varepsilon T^{p}\right)\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}-T^{1+\frac{\alpha}{q}} d_{1} K_{1}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{6}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{\beta+1}-\mathrm{C}_{7}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}} \\
& -|\bar{u}|^{q \beta}\left(\frac{1}{|\bar{u}|^{q \beta}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(F_{2}(t, \bar{u})+h_{1}(|\bar{u}|)\right) \mathrm{d} t+C_{4}\right)-C_{8}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$. Since $\alpha \in[0, p), \beta \in[0, p-1)$ and Lemma 3, we have $I(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty$ by $\left(H_{4}\right)$ and (12). Namely, $I(u)$ is coercive. Therefore, by using the least action principle [14], problem (1) has no less than one solution on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.

Theorem 4. Let $F(t, x)=F_{1}(t, x)+F_{2}(x)$, suppose that $F_{1}(t, x)$ and $F_{2}(x)$ satisfy assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)$. If $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ and the following conditions hold:
$\left(H_{5}\right)$ There exist $r_{1}, r_{2} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; R^{+}\right)$and $\alpha \in[0, p-1)$ such that

$$
\left|\nabla F_{1}(t, x)\right| \leq r_{1}(t)|x|^{\alpha}+r_{2}(t), \forall x \in R^{N} \text {, a.e } t \in[0, T] ;
$$

$\left(H_{6}\right)$ There exist $0<K<\frac{d_{2}}{2 d_{1} p T^{\frac{p}{q}+1}}$ and an increasing function $h \in C([0,+\infty) ;[0,+\infty))$ such that

$$
\left(\nabla F_{2}(x)-\nabla F_{2}(y), x-y\right) \leq h(|x-y|), \forall x \in R^{N} \text {, a.e } t \in[0, T]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s^{p}} & \leq K ; \\
\left(H_{7}\right) \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha q}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, x) \mathrm{d} t & =-\infty, \text { where } \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, problem (1) has no less than one solution on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.
Proof. By $\left(H_{5}\right), \varepsilon$-Young's inequality and Sobolev's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(F_{2}(t, u(t))-F_{2}(t, \bar{u})\right) \mathrm{d} t\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\nabla F_{1}(t, \bar{u}+s \widetilde{u}(t)), \widetilde{u}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r_{1}(t)|\bar{u}+s \widetilde{u}(t)|^{\alpha} \cdot|\widetilde{u}(t)| \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r_{2}(t)|\widetilde{u}(t)| \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq 2^{\alpha}|\bar{u}|^{\alpha}\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t+2^{\alpha}\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{\alpha+1} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r_{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t  \tag{13}\\
& \leq \varepsilon\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{p}+\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{-q}{p}}}{q} 2^{\alpha q}|\bar{u}|^{\alpha q}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)^{\mathrm{q}}+2^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{\alpha+1}+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} r_{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \varepsilon T^{\frac{p}{q}}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+\mathrm{C}_{9}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{\alpha+1}+\mathrm{C}_{10}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}+\mathrm{C}_{11}|\bar{u}|^{\alpha q} \\
& \quad \text { for all } u \in W_{T}^{1, p}, \text { where } \varepsilon T^{\frac{p}{q}}<\frac{d_{2}}{2 p}-d_{1} K T^{\frac{p}{q}+1} . \\
& \quad \operatorname{By}\left(H_{6}\right) \text { and Sobolev's inequality, we obtain }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left[F_{2}(u(t))-F_{2}(\bar{u})\right] \mathrm{d} t & =\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\nabla F_{2}(\bar{u}+s \widetilde{u}(t)), \widetilde{u}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\nabla F_{2}(\bar{u}+s \widetilde{u}(t))-\nabla F_{2}(\bar{u}), \widetilde{u}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{s} h(|s \widetilde{u}(t)|) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} \int_{0}^{1} K s{ }^{p-1}\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{p} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t+C_{12} \\
& \leq d_{1} K T^{\frac{p}{q}+1}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+\mathrm{C}_{12} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (13), (14) and $\left(H_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left[F_{1}(t, u(t))-F_{1}(t, \bar{u})\right] \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(F_{2}(u(t))-F_{2}(\bar{u})\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, \bar{u}) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \frac{d_{2}}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{d_{2}}{2 p} \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-\varepsilon T^{\frac{p}{q}}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}-\mathrm{C}_{9}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{\alpha+1}-\mathrm{C}_{10}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}  \tag{15}\\
& -\mathrm{C}_{11}|\bar{u}|^{\alpha q}-d_{1} K T^{\frac{p}{q}}+1\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}-\mathrm{C}_{12}-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, \bar{u}) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{d_{2}}{2 p}\|u\|^{p}+\left(\frac{d_{2}}{2 p}-d_{1} K T^{\frac{p}{q}+1}-\varepsilon T^{\frac{p}{q}}\right)\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}-\mathrm{C}_{9}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{\alpha+1}-\mathrm{C}_{10}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}} \\
& -|\bar{u}|^{\alpha q}\left(\frac{1}{|\bar{u}|^{\alpha q}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(F(t, \bar{u}) \mathrm{d} t+C_{11}\right)-C_{12} \text { for all } u \in W_{T}^{1, p} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

As $\alpha \in[0, p-1)$ and Lemma 3, we have $I(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty$ by $\left(H_{7}\right)$ and (15). Namely, $I(u)$ is coercive. Hence, by the least action principle [14], problem (1) has no less than one solution on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.

Remark 1. In a sense, the conditions " $\left(\nabla F_{2}(x)-\nabla F_{2}(y), \quad x-y\right) \leq h(|x-y|)$ " and " $\lim \sup \frac{h(s)}{s^{p}} \leq K$ " in Theorem 4 are weaker than the condition " $\left(\nabla F_{2}(x)-\nabla F_{2}(y), x-y\right) \geq-r_{1} \mid x-$
 0 and $A(t)=0$. For another, the difference between Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 lies in the different decomposition formula of $F(t, x)$, and as an auxiliary function is used, the condition satisfied by $F_{1}(t, x)$ in Theorem 3 is weaker than that satisfied by $F_{1}(t, x)$ in Theorem 4.

Theorem 5. Let $F:[0, T] \times R^{N} \rightarrow R$ satisfy assumption $\left(H_{0}\right)$. If the following conditions hold: $\left(H_{8}\right)|x|^{p} \leq\left(A(t)|x|^{p-2} x, x\right), \forall x \in R^{N}$, a.e $t \in[0, T]$;
$\left(H_{9}\right)$ There exist $M_{1}>0$ and $h \in C([0,+\infty) ;[0,+\infty))$ with $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} h(s)=+\infty$, and $\frac{h(s)}{s^{p}}$ is non-increasing in $s$ for all $s \in R^{+}$such that

$$
(\nabla F(t, x), x)-p F(t, x) \geq h(|x|) \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}}
$$

and

$$
F(t, x)>0
$$

for all $|x| \geq M_{1}$ and a.e $t \in[0, T]$.

$$
\left(H_{10}\right) \frac{d_{1} a N}{p}<\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}} \leq \limsup _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}}<\frac{d_{2}}{p}+\frac{d_{2}}{p T^{p}} \text {, a.e } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Then, problem (1) has no less than one solution on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.
To prove our Theorem 5, we need the following result.
Lemma 4. Suppose $F(t, x)$ satisfies assumption $\left(H_{0}\right)$ and the conditions $\left(H_{8}\right),\left(H_{9}\right)$ of Theorem 5. Then, the functional $I(u)$ satisfies the condition (C), that is, for every sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset$ $W_{T}^{1, p},\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence if $I\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|=0$.

Proof. Suppose $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W_{T}^{1, p}, I\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|=0$. Then, there exists a constant $L>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \leq L,\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \leq L, \forall n \in Z^{+} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $[0, T]=\left\{t| | u_{n}(t) \mid \geq M_{1}, t \in[0, T]\right\} \cup\left\{t| | u_{n}(t) \mid<M_{1}, t \in[0, T]\right\}$, we can set $E_{n}=\left\{t| | u_{n}(t) \mid \geq M_{1}, t \in[0, T]\right\}$. From (16), ( $H_{9}$ ) and assumption ( $H_{0}$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(p+1) L \geq & \geq p I\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left[\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)-p F\left(t, u_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \int_{E_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{Q}(t)}\left[\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)-p F\left(t, u_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t+\int_{[0, T] \backslash E_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left[\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right)-p F\left(t, u_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t  \tag{17}\\
\geq & \geq \int_{E_{n}} h\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{Q}(t)} F\left(t, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}} \mathrm{~d} t-d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_{1}(t) \mathrm{d} t, \forall n \in Z^{+}, \\
& \quad \text { where } \gamma_{1}(t)=\left(p+M_{1}\right) \max _{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq M_{1}} a\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right) b(t) \geq 0 . \text { We have } \\
& \quad \int_{E_{n}} h\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F\left(t, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}^{p}} \mathrm{~d} t \leq \int_{E_{n}} h\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F\left(t, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}} \mathrm{~d} t<C_{13} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (6) and (18), one has

$$
\int_{E_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F\left(t, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} t<C_{13} \frac{C_{0}^{P}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{P}}{h\left(C_{0}\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)} .
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F\left(t, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} t\right| \leq \mid & \int_{E_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F\left(t, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} t\left|+\left|\int_{[0, T] \backslash E_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F\left(t, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} t\right| \leq C_{13} \frac{C_{0}^{P}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{P}}{h\left(C_{0}\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)}+d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t,\right. \\
& \text { where } \gamma_{2}(t)=\max _{\left|u_{n}\right| \leq M_{1}} a\left(\left|u_{n}\right|\right) b(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{8}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{9}\right)$ and (19), we have

$$
L \geq I\left(u_{n}\right)
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} u_{n}(t), u_{n}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geq \frac{1}{p} d_{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-C_{13} \frac{C_{0}^{P}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{P}}{h\left(C_{0}\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)}-d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\geq\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}-\frac{C_{13} C_{0}^{P}}{h\left(C_{0}\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_{2}(t) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

Since $\lim _{\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{d_{2}}{p}-\frac{C_{13} C_{0}^{P}}{h\left(C_{0}\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)}=\frac{d_{2}}{p}>0$, we can confirm $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Or else, we suppose $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ and obtain a contradiction by (20). In the same manner [14] (Proposition 4.3), it can be induced that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Hence, $I(u)$ satisfies the condition (C).

Lemma 5. ([14] (Theorem 4.7)). Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $\varphi \in C^{1}(X, R)$. Assume that $X$ splits into a direct sum of closed subspaces $X=X^{-} \oplus X^{+}$with $\operatorname{dim} X^{-}<\infty$ and $\sup \varphi<$ $\inf _{X^{+}} \varphi$, where $S_{R}^{-}=\left\{u \in X^{-}:|u|=R\right\}$. Let $B_{R}^{-}=\left\{u \in X^{-}:|u| \leq R\right\}, M=\left\{g \in C\left(B_{R}^{-}, X\right):\right.$ $g(s)=s$ if $\left.s \in S_{R}^{-}\right\}$and $c=\inf _{g \in M_{s} \in B_{R}^{-}} \varphi(g(s))$. Then, if $\varphi$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$-condition, $c$ is a critical value of $\varphi$.

Now, we provide the proof of Theorem 5.
Proof of Theorem 5. As shown in [18], the deformation lemma is proved to be true by replacing the (PS) condition with the weaker condition (C), and it can further demonstrate that Lemma 5 holds true under the condition (C). Hence, by Lemma 5, we only need to prove

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(l_{1}\right) I(u) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty, \quad u \in \widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p} \\
\left(l_{2}\right) I(u) \rightarrow-\infty \text { as }|u| \rightarrow+\infty, \quad u \in R^{N}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, we prove $\left(l_{1}\right)$. By $\left(H_{10}\right)$, for

$$
\varepsilon=\frac{d_{2}}{p}+\frac{d_{2}}{p T^{p}}-\sup _{t \in[0, T]|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \lim \sup \frac{\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}}>0
$$

there exists $M_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, x) \leq\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}+\frac{d_{2}}{p T^{p}}-\varepsilon\right)|x|^{p}, \forall|x| \geq M_{2} \text {, a.e } t \in[0, T] . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be induced from (21) and assumption $\left(H_{0}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, x) \leq\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}+\frac{d_{2}}{p T^{p}}-\varepsilon\right)|x|^{p}+d_{1} \gamma_{3}(t), \forall x \in R^{N}, \text { a.e } t \in[0, T] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{3}(t)=\max _{|x| \leq M_{2}} a(|x|) b(t) \geq 0$.
For $u \in \widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}$, by $\left(H_{8}\right)$ and (22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u) & =\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \frac{d_{2}}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{d_{2}}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geq \frac{d_{2}}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{d_{2}}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{T}\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p}+\frac{d_{2}}{p^{p}}-\varepsilon\right)|u(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_{3}(t) \mathrm{d} t  \tag{23}\\
& =\frac{d_{2}}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p T^{p}}-\varepsilon\right) \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_{3}(t) \mathrm{d} t .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we discuss two cases:
Case 1. When $\frac{d_{2}}{p T^{p}}-\varepsilon>0$, by (23) and Wirtinger's inequality, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq\left[\frac{d_{2}}{p}-\left(\frac{d_{2}}{p T^{p}}-\varepsilon\right) T^{p}\right]\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}-d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_{3}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\varepsilon T^{p}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}-d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_{3}(t) \mathrm{d} t . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. When $\frac{d_{2}}{p T^{p}}-\varepsilon \leq 0$, by (23), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq \frac{d_{2}}{p}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p}-d_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \gamma_{3}(t) \mathrm{d} t . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Wirtinger's inequality, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty \Leftrightarrow\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}} \rightarrow+\infty, \quad u \in \widetilde{W}_{T}^{1, p} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (24)-(26), $\left(l_{1}\right)$ is proved.
Now, we prove ( $l_{2}$ ).
For $u \in R^{N}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
I(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(A(t)|u|^{p-2} u, u\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \\
\leq \frac{1}{p} d_{1} a N T|u|^{p}-\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, u) \mathrm{d} t  \tag{27}\\
\quad=-|u|^{p}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} \frac{F(t, u)}{|u|^{p}}-\frac{d_{1} a N}{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, by $\left(H_{10}\right)$, (27) and Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$
I(u) \rightarrow-\infty, \text { as }|u| \rightarrow+\infty, u \in R^{N} .
$$

Thus, $\left(l_{2}\right)$ is proved.

## 4. Examples

Now, we provide four examples of potential function $F(t, x)$ and matrix $A(t)$ to illustrate the effectiveness of Theorems 3, 4 and 5, respectively.

Example 1. Let $p=\frac{7}{2}, \alpha=3$ and $\beta=1$. Moreover, we can put $F_{1}(t, x)=\frac{|x|}{\ln \left(100+x^{2}\right)}+$ $r(t), F_{2}(t, x)=-(T-t)|x|^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad h_{1}(|x|)=\frac{|x|}{\ln \left(100+x^{2}\right)}, h_{2}(|x|)=|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}, A(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\right.$ $\left.\sin \omega \mathrm{t}, \cdots, \frac{3}{2}+\sin \omega \mathrm{t}\right), \omega=\frac{2 \pi}{T}$.

Therefore, we have
(1) $\quad h_{1}(s+t)=\frac{s+t}{\ln \left(100+(s+t)^{2}\right)} \leq \frac{s}{\ln \left(100+s^{2}\right)}+\frac{t}{\ln \left(100+t^{2}\right)}=h_{1}(s)+h_{1}(t) ; F_{1}(t, x) \leq h_{1}(|x|)+$ $r(t) ; \limsup _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{h_{1}(s)}{s^{\alpha}}=\limsup _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{s^{2} \ln \left(100+s^{2}\right)}=0 \leq K_{1} ;$
(2) $\quad h_{2}(s+t)=(s+t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq s^{\frac{1}{2}}+t^{\frac{1}{2}}=h_{2}(s)+h_{2}(t) ;\left|\nabla F_{2}(t, x)\right|=\frac{3}{2}|T-t||x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq m_{1}(t) h_{2}$ $(|x|)+m_{2}(t)$;
(3) $\quad\left(A(t)|x|^{p-2} x, x\right)=\left(\frac{3}{2}+\sin \omega \mathrm{t}\right)|x|^{p} \geq \frac{1}{2}|x|^{p}$;
(4) $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|x|^{q \beta}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left(F_{2}(t, x)+h_{1}(|x|)\right) \mathrm{d} t$
$=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|x|^{7}} \int_{0}^{T}-\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left((T-t)|x|^{\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{|x|}{\ln \left(100+x^{2}\right)}\right) \mathrm{d} t=-\infty$.
Then, $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ are true. By Theorem 3, problem (1) has no less than one solution on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.

Example 2. Let $p=\frac{7}{2}, \alpha=3$ and $\beta=1$. We can also put $F_{1}(t, x)=\frac{|x|}{\ln \left(100+x^{2}\right)}+$ $r(t), F_{2}(t, x)=-(T-t)|x|^{2}, \quad h_{1}(|x|)=\frac{|x|}{\ln \left(100+x^{2}\right)}, h_{2}(|x|)=|x|, A(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\right.$ $\left.\cos \omega \mathrm{t}, \cdots, \frac{3}{2}+\cos \omega \mathrm{t}\right), \omega=\frac{2 \pi}{T}$.

It can be seen from the derivation process of Example 1 that $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ are true. By Theorem 3, problem (1) has no less than one solution on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.

Example 3. Let $p=2$ and $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$. We can also choose $F_{1}(t, x)=(T-t)|x|^{\frac{3}{2}}, F_{2}(x)=-|x|^{2}$, $h(|x-y|)=K|x-y|^{p}\left(0<K<\frac{d_{2}}{2 d_{1} p T^{\frac{p}{q}}}\right), A(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\sin \omega \mathrm{t}, \cdots, \frac{3}{2}+\sin \omega \mathrm{t}\right)$, $\omega=\frac{2 \pi}{T}$.

Then, we have
(1) $\left|\nabla F_{1}(t, x)\right|=\frac{3}{2}|T-t| \cdot|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq r_{1}(t)|x|^{\alpha}+r_{2}(t)$;
(2) $\quad\left(\nabla F_{2}(x)-\nabla F_{2}(y), x-y\right)=-2|x-y|^{2} \leq h(|x-y|)$; $\limsup _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s^{p}}=\limsup _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{K s^{p}}{s^{p}} \leq K$;
(3) $\quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha q}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, x) \mathrm{d} t=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{Q(t)}\left((T-t)|x|^{\frac{3}{2}}-|x|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t=-\infty$.

We can know that $\left(H_{3}\right),\left(H_{5}\right),\left(H_{6}\right)$ and $\left(H_{7}\right)$ hold. By Theorem 4, problem (1) has no less than one solution on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.

Example 4. Let $p=2$. We can choose $A(t)=\operatorname{diag}(2+\sin \omega \mathrm{t}, \cdots, 2+\sin \omega \mathrm{t}), F(t, x)=$ $\lambda(2+\sin \omega t)\left(|x|^{2}-\ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\right), h(|x|)=\frac{|x|^{2} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)-\frac{|x|^{4}}{1+|x|^{2}}}{3 \lambda\left(|x|^{2}-\ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\right)}$, where $\frac{d_{1} a N}{2 d_{2}}<\lambda<\frac{d_{2}}{6 d_{1}}(1+$ $\left.\frac{1}{T^{2}}\right)$.

Hence, we obtained the following results:
(1) $|x|^{2} \leq(A(t) x, x)$;
(2) $(\nabla F(t, x), x)-2 F(t, x) \geq \frac{|x|^{2} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)-\frac{|x|^{4}}{1+|x|^{2}}}{3 \lambda\left(|x|^{2}-\ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\right)} \cdot \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{2}}=h(|x|) \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{2}}$;
(3) $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} h(|x|)=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{|x|^{2} \ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)-\frac{|x|^{4}}{1+\left.x\right|^{2}}}{3 \lambda\left(|x|^{2}-\ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\right)}=+\infty$;
(4) $\frac{h(s)}{s^{2}}$ is non-increasing on $(0,+\infty)$;
(5) $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\ell(t)} F(t, x)}{|x|^{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\lambda(2+\sin \omega t)\left(|x|^{2}-\ln \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\right)}{|x|^{2}}=e^{Q(t)} \lambda(2+\sin \omega t)$,
then
$\frac{d_{1} a N}{2}<\lambda d_{2} \leq \liminf _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, x)}{|x|^{2}} \leq \limsup _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{Q(t)} F(t, x)}{|x|^{2}} \leq 3 \lambda d_{1}<\frac{d_{2}}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{T^{2}}\right)$.
Therefore, we can know that $\left(H_{8}\right),\left(H_{9}\right)$ and $\left(H_{10}\right)$ hold. By Theorem 5, problem (1) has no less than one solution on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied a class of ordinary $p$-Laplacian systems by using the variational method. We have obtained the variational principle and the existence of periodic solutions to this system. We can further explore system (1) by using the critical point theorem in the future.
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