

Article

On the Equilibrium Locus of a Parameterized Dynamical System with Independent First Integrals

Yirmeyahu Kaminski ^{1,*} and Pierre Lochak ^{2,†}¹ School of Mathematical Sciences, Holon Institute of Technology, Holon 5810201, Israel² CNRS & Institut de Mathématiques de Jussier, Sorbonne Université, 75005 Paris, France; pierre.lochak@imj-prg.fr

* Correspondence: kaminsj@hit.ac.il

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: For a family of dynamical systems with $k > 0$ independent first integrals evolving in a compact region of a Euclidean space, we study the equilibrium locus. We show that under mild and generic conditions, it is a smooth manifold that can be viewed as the total space of a certain fiber bundle and that this bundle comes equipped with a natural connection. We then proceed to show parallel transport for this connection does exist and explore some of its properties. In particular, we elucidate how one can to some extent measure the variation of the system eigenvalues restricted to a given fiber.

Keywords: equilibrium; fiber bundles; connection; holonomy; monodromy

MSC: 53B15; 53C05; 53Z05; 53Z10



Citation: Kaminski, Y.; Lochak, P. On the Equilibrium Locus of a Parameterized Dynamical System with Independent First Integrals. *Mathematics* **2024**, *12*, 457. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math12030457>

Academic Editor: Jonathan Blackledge

Received: 1 December 2023

Revised: 10 January 2024

Accepted: 15 January 2024

Published: 31 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

We study the equilibrium locus of a family of dynamical systems with $k > 0$ independent first integrals; in other words we explore the zero set of a vector field in Euclidean space, with at least one first integral, giving rise to a foliation of the ambient space. More precisely the system is assumed to evolve inside a compact set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of maximal dimension, whose boundary ∂V has the structure of a stratified manifold.

We show that if the vector field is analytic and satisfies some genericity conditions, the equilibrium locus E is a smooth manifold of dimension $m + k$, where m is the number of parameters and k the number of independent first integrals. We then focus on the projection from E onto the space of parameters Λ . We prove that this projection has the structure of a fiber bundle, that we call *the equilibrium bundle*, and that an Ehresmann connection can be naturally associated to it. We explicitly prove that parallel transport exists for this connection and discuss its holonomy group. Next, we prove that it can be made equivalent to a Riemannian submersion provided one chooses an appropriate metric on E . Finally, we show how one can extract some information about the variation of the (nonzero) eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of the vector field along a loop embedded in a fiber of the above projection.

The viewpoint developed in this article does not seem to appear as such in the literature. Yet, it is clearly connected with works in dynamical systems in general, more specifically differential equations. It is worth in this respect quoting papers by the Russian school. In particular, several articles by Valery Romanovski and coworkers provide a useful entry point ([1]). Equilibria of systems admitting at least one first integral have been studied in particular in [2] and related papers (see the reference list of [2]). A more topological viewpoint is developed in [3] and other papers by these authors (see again their reference list). It is also worth noting that the stability problem for equilibria is pervasive in the literature, at least since ... Poincaré. In the present paper, it is addressed in Section 7.

Finally, we remark that a natural generalization of the considerations of the present paper would consist in addressing the same issues for more general trajectories, starting with the periodic ones.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3, we lay down all the definitions and assumptions, and we deduce the first consequences. The other sections are the core of our work. Sections 4 and 5 deal with the definition of the *equilibrium bundle*, the natural connection on it and the construction of the parallel transport. In Section 6, the connection is analyzed from the perspective a Riemannian submersion. Section 7, we discuss the variation of the non-zero eigenvalues of the vector field along a loop embedded in a fiber of the equilibrium bundle.

In Section 8, we are finally in a position to discuss examples and possible applications. The first example was investigated in [4]. It is a dynamical system that describes the behavior of circular net of cells. Our work can therefore be seen as a vast generalization of this particular case. We also discuss other possible examples and applications.

2. Definitions and First Properties

Let us consider an n -dimensional ($n > 0$) smooth dynamical model $\dot{x} = f(\lambda, x)$, depending on m parameters λ ($m > 0$). We make the following assumptions:

1. $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where Λ is a connected open set of \mathbb{R}^m ($m > 0$),
2. $x \in V$, where $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, is a compact submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n of codimension zero, whose boundary ∂V is a stratified manifold. The system is assumed to stay inside V , that is on ∂V , the vector field either points inward or is tangent to ∂V .
3. There exist k smooth independent first integrals h_1, \dots, h_k ($k > 0$), independent of the parameter(s) λ , so that for every i , $h_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Here the independence of the functions h_1, \dots, h_k means that their gradients are linearly independent at every points.

Writing $M = \Lambda \times V$, for $(\lambda, x) \in M$, $f(\lambda, x) \in T_x V \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$, the tangent space of V at x , so that we may and do consider f as a smooth map $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ giving the components of the “velocity” at the point $x \in V$ with parameter $\lambda \in \Lambda$. We denote by $E \subset M$ the equilibrium locus defined by the vanishing condition $f(\lambda, x) = 0$ and assume throughout that E is not empty.

Proposition 1. *In the setting described by Assumption 3 above, the Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ has rank at most $n - k$ on E .*

Proof. Consider $1 \leq l \leq k$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then a solution $x(t)$ of the system satisfies $h_l(x(t)) = Cst$ and taking the derivative with respect to t , we get: $f(\lambda, x(t)) \cdot \nabla h_l(x(t)) = 0$ where the dot denotes the usual scalar product on \mathbb{R}^n . Since through every point $x \in V$ and any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there is an integral curve of the vector field $f(\lambda, \cdot)$ passing through x , this is valid for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $x \in V$:

$$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \forall x \in V, \langle f(\lambda, x), \nabla h_l(x) \rangle = 0.$$

Taking a further derivative, we get:

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial h_l}{\partial x_i} + f_i \frac{\partial^2 h_l}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \right) = 0,$$

for every j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) where we write f componentwise: $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. This is equivalent to writing:

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^T \nabla h_l + D^2 h_l f = 0, \quad (1)$$

where D^2h_l denotes the Hessian matrix of h_l and the superscript T denotes transposition (both ∇h_l and f are regarded as n -vectors). Now at an equilibrium point ($f = 0$), the second term vanishes and thus the kernel of $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^T$ contains the k vectors $\nabla h_l(x)$. Since the first integrals h_l ($l = 1, \dots, k$) are assumed to be independent, that kernel has at least dimension k and $\text{rank}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) \leq n - k$. \square

Observe now that the functions h_1, \dots, h_k being independent of λ , the vanishing identities $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}\right)^T \nabla h_l = 0$ are also obtained for $l = 1, \dots, k$. We may therefore require the further:

Non-degeneracy Conditions: (i) The rank of the matrix $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}$ is constant equal to $\min(m, n - k)$; (ii) The rank of the matrix $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ is constant equal to $n - k$ for $(\lambda, x) \in E$ and $n - p(\lambda, x)$ at points $(\lambda, x) \notin E$, where $p(\lambda, x) \leq k$; (iii) For every $(\lambda, x) \in E$, $\ker\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\lambda, x)\right) \oplus \text{im}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\lambda, x)\right) = \mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 1. Conditions (i) and (ii) simply express the fact that there are no further degeneracies, beyond the one exhibited in Proposition 1. The third condition (iii) requires that the kernel and image of the partial Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ be transverse subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n , which is true for a dense open set of the matrices with a fixed a given rank.

Example 1.

1. Consider the following vector field in \mathbb{R}^2 : $f = (v(\lambda, x, y), 0)$, such that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda = \mathbb{R}$, $v(\lambda, x, y)x \leq 0$ when $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, so that V can be taken to be the Euclidean unit ball of \mathbb{R}^2 . Obviously, $h_1 = y$ is a first integral and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Of course, $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^T \nabla h_1 = 0$. A similar result is obtained if one consider $\frac{1}{2}y^2$ instead of y . The set of equilibrium points $E = \{(\lambda, x, y) | v(\lambda, x, y) = 0\}$ has dimension 2.

2. Consider now a vector field f is \mathbb{R}^3 , such that $h_1 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ and $h_2 = 4x^2 + 4y^2 + z^2/4$ are first integrals. These functions are obviously differentially independent, outside the union of the plane $z = 0$ and the line $x = y = 0$. Take V to be the union of the points $\{(x, y, z)\}$ such that $h_1 = R_1$ and $h_2 = R_2$, with $R_1, R_2 \in [1, 3] \times [5, 15]$ and $R_2 \geq 5R_1$. Then let us consider $f = (-\lambda y(z - x), \lambda x(z - x), 0)$ with $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}_+^*$; it is straightforward to compute

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda y & -\lambda(z - x) & -\lambda y \\ \lambda z - 2\lambda x & 0 & \lambda x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $E = \{(\lambda, x, y, z) \in \Lambda \times V | x = z\}$. Then for $(\lambda, x, y, z) \in E$, as expected: $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^T \nabla h_1 = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^T \nabla h_2 = 0$. Also, obviously, $\dim(E) = 3$.

Proposition 2. Consider the map $f : M = \Lambda \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and assume the nondegeneracy conditions above hold true. If f is an analytic vector field, the equilibrium locus $E = f^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth real analytic variety of dimension $m + k$.

Proof. Since f is analytic, E is analytic by definition. Moreover, the Jacobian matrix of f has constant rank along E . Indeed, let J be this Jacobian matrix: $J = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)$. Then by the non-degeneracy condition, the kernel of the transpose J^T is precisely the span of ∇h_l for $1 \leq l \leq k$. Therefore, $\text{rank}(J) = n - k$ along E which is a smooth analytic variety of dimension $m + n - (n + k) = m + k$. \square

This proposition fails to be true if the field f is smooth but not analytic. Indeed, for such a field there may exist an open set $U \subset M$ included in $f^{-1}(0)$. In that case, the equilibrium locus will have dimension $m + n > m + k$.

We assume from now on that f is analytic and write $\pi : E \subset \Lambda \times V \rightarrow \Lambda$. This is an analytic and proper map whose image we denote by $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$.

3. On the Nature of Λ_0

We start with the following observation.

Lemma 1. *The set Λ_0 is closed in Λ .*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the fact that π is proper. Alternatively, in a more down-to-earth and detailed way, consider $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_0$, i.e., for every x in V , $f(\lambda, x) \neq 0$. By continuity, there are open sets U_x and V_x , respectively, in Λ and V , such that for all $(\mu, y) \in U_x \times V_x$, $f(\mu, y) \neq 0$. The collection $\{V_x\}_{x \in V}$ is an open cover of V . Since V compact we can extract a finite subcover, V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_p} . Writing $U = U_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{x_p}$, for all $(\mu, x) \in U \times V$, $f(\mu, x) \neq 0$ which shows that $\Lambda \setminus \Lambda_0$ is open in Λ . \square

Lemma 2. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$, the fiber E_λ of π over λ is a smooth real analytic k -dimensional manifold.*

Proof. Given $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ let $f_\lambda = f(\lambda, \cdot) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. By the non-degeneracy condition, the rank of f_λ is constant equal to $n - k$ over $E_\lambda = f_\lambda^{-1}(0)$ which is therefore as in the statement of the lemma. \square

Proposition 3. *The closed set Λ_0 has non empty interior in Λ .*

Proof. Assume Λ_0 had empty interior and consider a point (λ, x) where π has a maximal rank. Then this rank is constant over a neighborhood U of this point. If the rank is m , then π restricted to U is a submersion, so that $\pi(U)$ is open in Λ , which is impossible if Λ_0 has empty interior. Therefore, the rank of π is always strictly smaller than m and consequently the dimension of the fibers has to be at least $k + 1$, contradicting Lemma 2 above. \square

From now on, we will focus on the case where λ lies in the interior of Λ_0 . Restricting attention to a connected component of Λ if need be, we may and will assume in the sequel that Λ_0 is open and connected. Finally and for the sake of notational simplicity, we rename Λ_0 just Λ . In other words, we henceforth assume that Λ is open and connected in \mathbb{R}^m and that the projection $\pi : E \rightarrow \Lambda$ is surjective.

4. Fibers over the Parameter Space

Let us refine Lemma 2 slightly

Proposition 4. *For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the fiber $E_\lambda = \pi^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a compact smooth real analytic variety of dimension k whose boundary (if any) is contained in ∂V .*

Proof. With the notations used in the proof of Lemma 2, we know that $E_\lambda = f_\lambda^{-1}(0)$ is indeed a smooth real analytic variety of dimension k . Being a closed set in V , it is compact.

Its boundary is nonempty if and only if f_λ vanishes on the boundary ∂V of V . Indeed if x_0 is a point in E_λ not in ∂V , then by the implicit function theorem, E_λ is locally the graph of a function of k variables, so x_0 cannot lie on the boundary of E_λ . \square

Corollary 1. *If $k = 1$, the fibers over Λ have connected components which are diffeomorphic either to circles or to line segments. In the latter case, the ends of the segment lie on ∂V .*

Proposition 5. *The rank of the projection π is constant equal to m . It is a surjective submersion.*

Proof. The tangent space of E at $u = (\lambda, x)$ is $\ker(J) = \ker\left(\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right]\right)$. Moreover $(a, b) \in T_u(E) \cap \ker(\pi_*)$ if and only if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}a + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}b = 0$ and $\pi_*(a, b) = a = 0$. Hence, $\ker(\pi_{*,u}) = \{(0, b) \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}b = 0\}$. Therefore $\dim(\ker(\pi_{*,u})) = k$ and $\text{rank}(\pi_{*,u}) = m + k - k = m$. \square

Note that this result is consistent with Lemma 2 and Proposition 4.

Proposition 6. *The triplet (E, π, Λ) defines a fiber bundle.*

Proof. By Erhesmann's theorem, it is enough to show that π is a proper surjective submersion to conclude that it is a fiber bundle. Now it is surjective by definition, and we already know it is proper (because V is compact). Finally, it is a submersion by Proposition 5. \square

Since Λ is assumed to be connected, following Section 3, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *The fibers of E_λ of E are all diffeomorphic.*

In the sequel the bundle (E, π, Λ) will be called *the equilibrium bundle*.

5. Parallel Transport of the Fibers

5.1. Connection

In this section, we aim at defining a connection on the equilibrium bundle and showing that parallel transport exists. In a very general setting, a connection on a bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ is defined by an horizontal distribution, that is a subbundle of the tangent bundle $TE \rightarrow E$, say \mathcal{H} , such that for every $u \in E$, we have:

$$T_u E = V_u \oplus H_u,$$

where V_u is the vertical summand of the tangent space, that is the set of tangent vectors X at u , such that $\pi_*(X) = 0$. In other words $V_u \subset T_u E$ is spanned by the vectors which are tangent to the fiber over $\pi(u)$. We will denote by \mathcal{V} the vertical subbundle of TE .

5.2. Transversality between Fibers and Integral Manifolds

Given $u = (\lambda_0, x_0) \in E$, a basis of $\ker\left(\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(u)\right]^T\right)$ is given by $(\nabla h_l(x_0))_{1 \leq l \leq k}$. Therefore the family $(\nabla h_l(x_0))_{1 \leq l \leq k}$ is also a basis of the subspace orthogonal to $\text{im}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(u)\right)$, so that:

$$\text{im}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(u)\right) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid v^T \nabla h_l(x_0) = 0, l = 1, \dots, k\} \quad (2)$$

Let $a = h(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ where $h = (h_1, \dots, h_k)$ and let N_a be the manifold defined by $N_a = V \cap \left(\cap_{l=1}^k Z(h_l - a_l)\right)$, i.e., $h_l = a_l$ on N_a , with $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$. Equation (2) simply means that:

$$\text{im}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(u)\right) = T_{x_0} N_a \quad (3)$$

From this equation, one can state:

Proposition 7. *Assuming the vector field $f(\lambda, x)$ satisfies the genericity condition iii) in §2, the manifold $N_{h(x)}$ and the fiber E_λ are transverse at x in V for every $(\lambda, x) \in \Lambda \times E_\lambda$.*

5.3. Lifting Curves

We now show how a curve in Λ can be naturally lifted to E . So let $\lambda(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Lambda$ define a curve from $\lambda(0) = \lambda_1$ to $\lambda(1) = \lambda_2$ in Λ (both ends are included).

Given $x \in E_{\lambda_1}$ we want to build a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow E \cap N_{h(x)}$ starting from $x = \gamma(0)$. So $\gamma(t)$ must satisfy:

$$\forall t \in [0, 1], \begin{cases} \pi(\gamma(t)) = \lambda(t) \\ \forall i, h_i(\gamma(t)) = h_i(x) \end{cases}$$

This yields the following differential system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda(t), \gamma(t)) \dot{\lambda}(t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\lambda(t), \gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}(t) = 0 \\ \forall i, \nabla h_i(\gamma(t))^T \dot{\gamma}(t) = 0 \end{cases}$$

which can be written more compactly as:

$$A(t) \dot{\gamma}(t) = b(t), \quad (4)$$

with

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\lambda(t), \gamma(t)) \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\gamma(t)) \end{bmatrix}, \quad b(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda(t), \gamma(t)) \dot{\lambda}(t) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $h = (h_1, \dots, h_k)$.

Proposition 8. *The differential system defined by Equation (4), has a unique solution defined on the closed interval $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7, the matrix $A(t)$ has full rank n for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, Equation (4) can be written:

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \left(A(t)^T A(t) \right)^{-1} A(t)^T b(t)$$

where the right-hand side actually depends on $\gamma(t)$. The functions entering on the right-hand side being smooth, a fortiori Lipschitz, elementary results about differential equations (the Cauchy–Kovaleska theorem) guarantee the local existence (in time) and uniqueness of a solution with given initial condition, thus also over a compact interval. \square

Theorem 1. *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and any $x \in E_\lambda$, the intersection $E_\lambda \cap N_{h(x)}$ consists of a finite set of points. Moreover, the number of points in $E_\lambda \cap N_{h(x)}$ is independent of λ .*

Proof. By Proposition 7, the intersection $E_\lambda \cap N_{h(x)}$ is discrete, hence finite since E_λ is compact. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ and consider a curve $\lambda(t)$, defined on $[0, 1]$ joining these two points. For every $x \in E_{\lambda_1}$, there is a unique lift of $\lambda(t)$ drawn on $N_{h(x)}$ and starting at x , which ends at some $y \in E_{\lambda_2}$. Lifts starting from a point in $E_{\lambda_2} \cap N_{h(x)}$ are also uniquely defined. This sets up a one-to-one correspondence between the points of $E_{\lambda_1} \cap N_{h(x)}$ and those of $E_{\lambda_2} \cap N_{h(x)}$. \square

5.4. The Natural Connection

Let $\pi' : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the projection to the second summand. Consider again the function $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, ($h = (h_1, \dots, h_k)$) and note that $h \circ \pi'$ is a submersion. Now for $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$, if $(h \circ \pi')^{-1} \neq \emptyset$ it is a submanifold of E of dimension m . Let $u = (\lambda, x) \in (h \circ \pi')^{-1}(a)$. Then the tangent space of $(h \circ \pi')^{-1}(a)$ at u is $\ker \left([0_{k,m}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x)] \right)$.

Lemma 3. *The tangent space of $(h \circ \pi')^{-1}(a)$ at $u = (\lambda, x)$ is the complement of the tangent space of E_λ at x in $T_u E$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Equation (3) and the non-degeneracy condition (iii). \square

This results shows that we can define a connection on E choosing as the horizontal space:

$$H_u = T_u((h \circ \pi')^{-1}(h(x))).$$

We call it *the natural connection of the equilibrium bundle*.

Theorem 2. *The natural connection of the equilibrium bundle is flat (i.e., its curvature vanishes).*

Proof. The curvature of a connection measures the failure of the horizontal distribution to be integrable. Here however, for $u \in E$ the subspace H_u is tangent to an embedded submanifold of E . Therefore the distribution $(H_u)_u$ is indeed integrable and the curvature vanishes. \square

Theorem 3. *Parallel transport exists for the natural connection on the equilibrium bundle.*

Proof. It is well-known that when the fibers are compact, parallel transport exists (see [5] (p. 204) for details). By Proposition 4, the fibers in our case are indeed compact, so this result applies. Here in fact Proposition 8 makes parallel transport explicit.

Consider two points $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ and a curve $\lambda(t)$ joining them. Consider a point x in the fiber E_{λ_1} and the manifold $(h \circ \pi')^{-1}(h(x))$. By Proposition 8, there exists a curve $\gamma(t)$ on $E \cap (h \circ \pi')^{-1}(h(x))$ starting at x , such that $\forall t, \pi(\gamma(t)) = \lambda(t)$ and $\gamma'(t) \in H_{(\lambda(t), \gamma(t))}$, which explicitly shows that parallel transport exists. \square

The connection being flat, assuming the base manifold Λ is simply connected, the holonomy groups vanish, which we record in the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Assuming that the base manifold Λ is simply connected, the holonomy groups of the equilibrium bundle are trivial and the fiber bundle is trivial.*

Proof. The horizontal lift of a smooth path in Λ entirely lies in $(h \circ \pi')^{-1}(a)$ for some a . Since every fiber intersects $h^{-1}(a)$ in the same finite number of points, there are finitely many horizontal lifts of a smooth loop. Therefore, the holonomy groups are finite and are Lie transformation groups of the fibers. Now, since the curvature of the connection identically vanishes, the restricted holonomy groups are in fact trivial, applying a result of [6] which generalizes the Ambrose–Singer theorem to general fiber bundles.

Since the base space Λ is assumed to simply connected, the holonomy group at a point is identical to the restricted holonomy group at this point. This leads to the conclusion. \square

6. The Natural Connection via a Riemannian Submersion

Let us Φ be the natural connection form, $\Phi \in \Omega^1(E, TE)$ (that is for each $p \in E$, we have $\Phi_p \in \text{End}(T_p E)$), which is the projection over the vertical bundle, so that for each $p \in E$, we have $\Phi_p \circ \Phi_p = \Phi_p$ and $\text{im}(\Phi) = \mathcal{V}$, i.e., the vertical bundle.

Let us define a Riemann metric on E as follows:

$$g(X, Y) = \langle \Phi(X), \Phi(Y) \rangle_1 + \langle \pi_*(I - \Phi(X)), \pi_*(I - \Phi(Y)) \rangle_2,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$, respectively, denote the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and in \mathbb{R}^m .

When E is endowed with this metric, as we shall assume from now, the projection π becomes a Riemannian submersion. Indeed H_u is the orthogonal complement of V_u in $T_u E$ and the restriction $\pi_* : H_u \rightarrow T_{\pi(u)} \Lambda$ is an isometry.

Therefore, the natural connection coincides with the connection defined by π as a Riemannian submersion.

There is apparently no reason for which the parallel transport would define an isometry between two different fibers with the given metric.

However, since by theorem 4, the holonomy groups are trivial if we further assume that Λ is simply connected, then in that case the parallel transport does not depend on the chosen curve on Λ . Therefore, we have a well-defined diffeomorphism $\gamma_{\lambda_2 \lambda_1} : E_{\lambda_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\lambda_2}$ between any two fibers, such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\lambda \lambda} &= Id_{E_\lambda} \\ \gamma_{\lambda_3 \lambda_1} &= \gamma_{\lambda_3 \lambda_2} \circ \gamma_{\lambda_2 \lambda_1} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This observation allows transporting the metric from one fiber to another such that the parallel transport becomes an isometry between these two fibers. Choosing one fiber and transporting its metric to other fibers makes the parallel transport between any two fibers an isometry, thanks to the cocycle condition (5).

Corollary 3. *If parallel transport is an isometry between fibers, the fibers E_λ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ are totally geodesic in E for the Levi-Civita connection induced by the metric on E .*

Proof. Since parallel transport defines an isometry, between fibers, these latter are totally geodesic. See [7] (proposition 1.1). \square

7. The Eigenvalues along the Fibers

The stability of an equilibrium point is controlled by the sign of the real parts of the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix. In this section we set up, in the general framework of our discussion, a map at the level of the fundamental groups which can provide some information on these signs, or rather their changes. First, note that the stability of an equilibrium is determined by the non-zero eigenvalues, since the system is constrained to evolve along the submanifold defined by the k first integrals. We shall now consider the variation of the nonzero eigenvalues along a homotopy class of loops for a given value of the parameter.

Consider a given value of $\lambda \in \Lambda$. It is natural to look at the map $e : (\lambda, x) \in E_\lambda \mapsto \mu$ where $\mu = [\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-k}]$ denotes the set of the nonzero eigenvalues. In other words $\mu \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-k} / S_{n-k}$ where for $p > 0$, S_p denotes the permutation group on p objects and the action here is by permutations of the factors.

Slightly more generally consider a manifold Y and its p -fold product $X = Y^p$ for some $p > 0$. Let $S = S_p$ act by permutation of the factors and let $Z = X/S$ denote the quotient (Here and below, for the sake of simplicity, the topological objects and morphisms are assumed to be smooth). One may consider Z either as a topological space, or as an orbifold. In this section, we will make use of both categories. The case at hand is relatively elementary because we are dealing with a global quotient under the action of a finite group. The theory of orbifolds is more general and adapted to the proper discontinuous action of a topological groups. Given that in our example the application is fairly intuitive, we refrain from recalling the details, referring instead to [8] for much more.

Given a (smooth) map $f : E \rightarrow Z$ where E is a manifold, we may consider the topological fundamental group (or functor rather) denoted π_1^{top} or just π_1 , giving rise to a map

$$\pi_1(f) : \pi_1(E) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$$

where Z is considered as a topological space. We may also consider the orbifold fundamental group π_1^{orb} and the attending map

$$\pi_1^{orb}(f) : \pi_1^{orb}(E) \rightarrow \pi_1^{orb}(Z).$$

But in fact $\pi_1^{orb}(E) = \pi_1^{top}(E) (= \pi_1(E))$ because E is just a manifold (a “trivial” orbifold). So we get two maps $\pi_1(f)$ and $\pi_1^{orb}(f)$ with the same source, namely $\pi_1(E)$, and respective targets $\pi_1(Z)$ and $\pi_1^{orb}(Z)$ according to whether Z is considered in the category of topological spaces or that of orbifolds.

There remains to compute the groups $\pi_1(Z)$ and $\pi_1^{orb}(Z)$, which can be done easily (in our case), using [9,10] to which we refer the reader. Indeed, assume that G is a topological group acting properly discontinuously on a connected smooth manifold X . The quotient $Z = X/G$ exists as a topological space and $\pi_1(X/G)$ is then an extension of G/I by $\pi_1(X)$, where I (the letter stands for “inertia”) is the normal subgroup of G generated by the elements whose action has fixed points in X . If $X = Y^p$ and $G = S_p$ acts by permutations, it is easy to see that $I = G$ and so $\pi_1(X/G) = \pi_1(X) = (\pi_1(Y))^p = \mathbb{Z}^p$ if $Y = \mathbb{C}^*$.

On the other hand, $\pi_1^{orb}(X/G)$ is (essentially by definition) an extension of G itself by $\pi_1(X)$. Now if again $X = Y^p$ and $G = S_p$ the extension splits and $\pi_1^{orb}(X/G)$ is given as a semi-direct product $\pi_1^{orb}(X/G) = S_p \ltimes (\pi_1(Y))^p$ where again the action of S_p on $(\pi_1(Y))^p$ is by permutations of the factors. In our case, $\pi_1(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$ and so returning to the original situation we get a natural map

$$\pi_1^{orb}(e) : \pi_1(E_\lambda) \rightarrow S_p \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^p$$

which records both the monodromy of the individual (nonzero) eigenvalues and their permutations.

What does this tell us about stability in this very general setting? Let us restrict attention to the topological fundamental group $\pi_1(\mu) = \mathbb{Z}^p$ ($p = n - k$). Then for any nonzero element (m_1, \dots, m_p) in the image of $\pi_1(e)$, with a nonzero component—say— m_i for some index i , we can assert that the eigenvalue μ_i has crossed the imaginary axis ($\operatorname{Re}(z) = 0$) at least $2m_i$ times, so that the sign of its real part has changed at least that many times. Although at this level of generality it seems difficult to extract more information from the map e , this may be feasible in specific, concrete cases.

8. Examples and Applications

Our first and motivating example concerns mathematical *biology* and consists of a circular network of cells, i.e., a finite set of cells that are connected along a ring such that the last cell is connected to the first. An instance of this kind of model is the ribosome flow model on a ring, which has been introduced in [11].

Such a model is a parametric dynamical system defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 &= \lambda_n x_n (1 - x_1) - \lambda_1 x_1 (1 - x_2) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \lambda_1 x_1 (1 - x_2) - \lambda_2 x_2 (1 - x_3) \\ &\vdots \\ \dot{x}_{n-1} &= \lambda_{n-2} x_{n-2} (1 - x_{n-1}) - \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1} (1 - x_n) \\ \dot{x}_n &= \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1} (1 - x_n) - \lambda_n x_n (1 - x_1) \end{cases}$$

The parameters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are real strictly positive numbers. They define the degree of diffusion between the cells. As shown in [11], if the initial point lies in the hypercube $[0, 1]^n$, then the system always stays within these limits. Then this system models the occupancy levels of a circular chain of n sites (for example, a circular DNA), while $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are transition rates. In [4], the author analysed the structure of the equilibrium locus of this system.

Coming to dynamical systems in general, one is looking for systems such that (a) the trajectories are confined to a compact region of phase space (b) they possess more or less natural first integrals (conserved quantities) and (c) they display varieties of equilibria of positive dimensions. Requirements (a) and (b) are quite common and easy to satisfy: think of parametrized Hamiltonian systems and the conservation of energy, global momentum, possibly angular momentum, or conserved quantities coming from some continuous group of geometric symmetries, via Noether's theorem.

Requirement (c) is less commonly fulfilled. The system which first comes to mind, stemming from celestial mechanics, the oldest and in some sense motivating domain in dynamical systems, is the so-called N -body problem, i.e., the system of differential equations which governs the motion (in ordinary three dimensional Euclidean space) of $N > 1$ massive bodies interacting according to Newton's law of gravitation. This horrendously difficult problem (for $N > 2$) was first studied in "modern" times by Lagrange and Euler, who isolated by now famous discrete sets of relative equilibria. Because the problem is so old, so natural and so difficult, literally dozens of variants of all kinds have been produced. All of them satisfy (a) and (b) and so does the original system. Some of them, especially those which involve blowups of certain singularities, also satisfy (c) (see [12]). A precise investigation of such systems would lead us too far afield. We hope to return to such and similar problems at some later time.

Finally, we remark that it would also be interesting to explore the field of mathematical economy, where similar systems may exist in some abundance. Again, such an exploration is postponed to later studies, possibly by other, more specialized authors.

In addition to these examples, one would consider the application of our results to control theory. Having first integrals, the system is not controllable. However, lying on the manifold defined by fixed values $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ of the first integrals, there is a non denumerable set $E_a = E \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi'^{-1} \circ h_i^{-1}(a_i) \right)$ of possible equilibrium points according to the value of the parameter vector. The notations are defined in Section 5.4. According to the discussion there, this set is actually a manifold of dimension m .

By Proposition 8, every curve in Λ can be lifted into a curve that lies in E_a . Therefore, if the parameters are considered as commands, one can change the set of available equilibrium point(s) continuously. The stabilization around an equilibrium is then a classical control problem that we do not recall here.

Author Contributions: The two authors have similar contributions. Writing—original draft, Y.K.; Writing—review & editing, P.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Zhou, Z.; Romanovski, V.G.; Yu, J. Centers and limit cycles of a generalized cubic Riccati system. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos Appl. Sci. Eng.* **2020**, *30*, 2050021. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Dudoladov, S.L. Stability criteria for the equilibrium resonance position in systems admitting a first integral. *Regul. Khaoticheskaya Din.* **1996**, *1*, 77–86. (In Russian)
3. Dancer, E.N.; Toland, J.F. Equilibrium states in the degree theory of periodic orbits with a first integral. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1991**, *3*, 569–594. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Kaminski, Y. Equilibrium locus of the flow on circular networks of cells. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst.—S* **2018**, *11*, 1169–1177. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Michor, P. *Topics in Differential Geometry*; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 2008.
6. Reckziegel, H.; Willehlms, E. How the curvature generates the holonomy for a connection in an arbitrary fibre bundle. *Results Math.* **2006**, *49*, 339–359. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

7. Ziller, W. Fatness Revisited, Lecture Notes, Preliminary Version. Available online: <https://www2.math.upenn.edu/~wziller/papers/Fat-09.pdf> (accessed on 1 January 2024).
8. Thurston, W.P. *The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds*; Princeton University Lecture Notes; Princeton University: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1979; Chapter 13.
9. Armstrong, M.A. The fundamental group of the orbit space of a discontinuous group. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1968; Volume 64, pp. 299–301.
10. Armstrong, M.A. Calculating the fundamental group of an orbit space. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1982**, *84*, 267–271. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Raveh, A.; Zarai, Y.; Margaliot, M.; Ruller, T. Ribosome Flow Model on a Ring. *IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biol. Bioinform.* **2015**, *12*, 1429–1439. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Devaney, R. Blowing Up Singularities in Classical Mechanical Systems. *Am. Math. Mon.* **1982**, *89*, 535–552. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.