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#### Abstract

Given a compact Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ with smooth boundary $\partial M$, we give an explicit expression for the full symbol of the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$ with variable coefficients $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$. We prove that $\Lambda_{g}$ uniquely determines partial derivatives of all orders of these coefficients on the boundary $\partial M$. Moreover, for a nonempty smooth open subset $\Gamma \subset \partial M$, suppose that the manifold and these coefficients are real analytic up to $\Gamma$. We show that $\Lambda_{g}$ uniquely determines these coefficients on the whole manifold $\bar{M}$.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we will study the thermoelastic Calderón problem, i.e., whether one can uniquely determine the Lamé coefficients $\lambda, \mu$, and the other two physical coefficients $\alpha, \beta$ of a thermoelastic body by boundary information. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ with smooth boundary $\partial M$. We consider the manifold $M$ as an inhomogeneous, isotropic, thermoelastic body. Assume that the coefficient $\beta \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$, the Lamé coefficients $\lambda, \mu \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$, and the heat conduction coefficient $\alpha \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$ of the thermoelastic body satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu>0, \quad \lambda+\mu \geqslant 0, \quad \alpha>0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.1. Thermoelastic Operator

We denote by grad, div, $\Delta_{g}, \Delta_{B}$, and Ric, respectively, the gradient operator, the divergence operator, the Laplace-Beltrami operator, the Bochner Laplacian, and the Ricci tensor with respect to the metric $g$. For the displacement vector field $\boldsymbol{u} \in\left[C^{\infty}(M)\right]^{n}$ and the temperature variation $\theta \in C^{\infty}(M)$, we define the thermoelastic operator $T_{g}$ with variable coefficients as (cf. [1-4])

$$
T_{g}\left[\begin{array}{l}
u  \tag{2}\\
\theta
\end{array}\right]:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
L_{g}+\rho \omega^{2} & -\beta \operatorname{grad} \\
i \omega \theta_{0} \beta \operatorname{div} & \alpha \Delta_{g}+i \omega \gamma
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
u \\
\theta
\end{array}\right],
$$

where the Lamé operator $L_{g}$ with variable coefficients is defined by (see [4])

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{g} \boldsymbol{u}:= & \mu \Delta_{B} \boldsymbol{u}+(\lambda+\mu) \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}+\mu \operatorname{Ric}(\boldsymbol{u}) \\
& +(\operatorname{grad} \lambda) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}+(S \boldsymbol{u})(\operatorname{grad} \mu) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the strain tensor $S$ (also called the deformation tensor) of type ( 1,1 ) is defined by (see [5], p. 562)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \boldsymbol{u}:=\nabla \boldsymbol{u}+(\nabla \boldsymbol{u})^{t}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscript $t$ denotes the transpose. The coefficient $\beta \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$ depends on the Lamé coefficients and the linear expansion coefficient of the thermoelastic body, $\gamma$ is the specific heat per unit volume, $\theta_{0}$ is the reference temperature, $\rho$ is the density of the thermoelastic body, $\omega$ is the angular frequency, and $i=\sqrt{-1}$.

In particular, the Lamé operator with constant coefficients has the form $L \boldsymbol{u}=\mu \Delta \boldsymbol{u}+$ $(\lambda+\mu) \nabla(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})$ in Euclidean bounded domains (see $[1,6]$ ).

### 1.2. Thermoelastic Calderón Problem

We first consider the following Dirichlet boundary value problem for the thermoelastic system

$$
\begin{cases}T_{g} \boldsymbol{U}=0 & \text { in } M  \tag{5}\\ \boldsymbol{U}=\boldsymbol{V} & \text { on } \partial M\end{cases}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{U}=(\boldsymbol{u}, \theta)^{t}$. Problem (5) is an extension of the boundary value problem for classical elastic system. Particularly, when $M$ is a bounded Euclidean domain and the temperature is not taken into consideration, problem (5) reduces to the corresponding problem for classical elastic system.

For any vector $\boldsymbol{V} \in\left[H^{1 / 2}(\partial M)\right]^{n+1}$, there is a unique solution $\boldsymbol{U} \in\left[H^{1}(M)\right]^{n+1}$ solving problem (5) by the theory of elliptic operators. Therefore, we define the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}:\left[H^{1 / 2}(\partial M)\right]^{n+1} \rightarrow\left[H^{-1 / 2}(\partial M)\right]^{n+1}$ associated with the thermoelastic operator $T_{g}$ as (see [3])

$$
\Lambda_{g}\left(\left.\boldsymbol{U}\right|_{\partial M}\right):=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda \nu \operatorname{div}+\mu \nu S & -\beta \nu  \tag{6}\\
0 & \alpha \partial_{v}
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{U} \quad \text { on } \partial M
$$

where $v$ is the outward unit normal vector to the boundary $\partial M$. The thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann $\operatorname{map} \Lambda_{g}$ is an elliptic, self-adjoint pseudodifferential operator of order one defined on the boundary. For the studies about other types of Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, we also refer the reader to [3,7-9] and references therein.

In this paper, we will study the thermoelastic Calderón problem on a Riemannian manifold, which determines the coefficients $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$ by the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$. By giving explicit expressions for $\Lambda_{g}$ and its full symbol $\sigma\left(\Lambda_{g}\right)$, we show that $\Lambda_{g}$ uniquely determines the coefficients $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta$.

We briefly recall some uniqueness results for the classical Calderón problem and the elastic Calderón problem. The classical Calderón problem [10] is concerned with whether one can uniquely determine the electrical conductivity of a medium by making voltage and current measurements at the boundary of the medium. This problem has been studied for decades. For a bounded Euclidean domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, n \geqslant 2$, Kohn and Vogelius [11] proved a famous uniqueness result on the boundary for $C^{\infty}$-conductivities, that is, if $\Lambda_{\gamma_{1}}=\Lambda_{\gamma_{2}}$, then, $\left.\frac{\partial^{|J|} \gamma_{1}}{\partial x^{I}}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=\left.\frac{\partial^{|J|} \gamma_{2}}{\partial x^{\prime}}\right|_{\partial \Omega}$ for all multi-indices $J \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. This settled the uniqueness problem on the boundary in the real analytic category. They extended the uniqueness result to piecewise real analytic conductivities in [12]. In dimensions $n \geqslant 3$, in a celebrated paper [13], Sylvester and Uhlmann proved the uniqueness of the $C^{\infty}$-conductivities by constructing the complex geometrical optics solutions. The classical Calderón problem has attracted much attention for decades (see, for example, [14-18] in two dimensional cases, and [19-22] in higher dimensional cases). We also refer the reader to the survey articles $[23,24]$ for the classical Calderón problem and related topics.

For the elastic Calderón problem, partial uniqueness results for determination of Lamé coefficients from boundary measurements were obtained. For a bounded Euclidean domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, Nakamura and Uhlmann [25] proved that one can determine the full Taylor series of Lamé coefficients on the boundary in all dimensions $n \geqslant 2$ and for a generic anisotropic elastic tensor in two dimensions. In [26], Imanuvilov and Yamamoto also proved the global uniqueness of the Lamé coefficients $\lambda, \mu \in C^{10}(\bar{\Omega})$. In three-dimensional Euclidean domains, Nakamura and Uhlmann [27] and Eskin and

Ralston [28] proved the global uniqueness of Lamé coefficients provided that $\nabla \mu$ is small in a suitable norm. However, in dimensions $n \geqslant 3$, the global uniqueness of the Lamé coefficients $\lambda, \mu \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ without the smallness assumption $\left(\|\nabla \mu\|<\varepsilon_{0}\right.$ for some small positive $\varepsilon_{0}$ ) remains an open problem (see [29], p.210). We also refer the reader to [30-33] for the elastic Calderón problem.

Recently, Tan and Liu [4] gave an explicit expression for the full symbol of the elastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on a Riemannian manifold $M$, and showed that the elastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map uniquely determines partial derivatives of all orders of the Lamé coefficients on the boundary. Moreover, for a nonempty open subset $\Gamma \subset \partial M$, suppose that the manifold and the Lamé coefficients are real analytic up to $\Gamma$, they proved that the elastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map uniquely determines the Lamé coefficients on the whole manifold $\bar{M}$.

In mathematics, physics, and engineering, there are lots of inverse problems have been studied for decades. Here we do not list all the references about these topics. We refer the reader to [34-38] for Maxwell's equations, to [39-49] for incompressible fluid, Schrödinger operator, elastic operator, and the related problems. For the studies about other types of Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, we also refer the reader to [3,7-9,50,51] and references therein.

Before we state the main results of this paper, we recall some basic concepts about boundary normal coordinates, pseudodifferential operators and symbols.

### 1.3. Boundary Normal Coordinates

We briefly introduce the construction of geodesic coordinates with respect to the boundary $\partial M$ (see [21], [52], p. 532).

For each boundary point $x^{\prime} \in \partial M$, let $\gamma_{x^{\prime}}:[0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \bar{M}$ denote the unit-speed geodesic starting at $x^{\prime}$ and normal to $\partial M$. If $x^{\prime}:=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right\}$ are any local coordinates for $\partial M$ near $x_{0} \in \partial M$, we can extend them smoothly to functions on a neighborhood of $x_{0}$ in $\bar{M}$ by letting them be constant along each normal geodesic $\gamma_{x^{\prime}}$. If we then define $x_{n}$ to be the parameter along each $\gamma_{x^{\prime}}$, it follows easily that $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ form coordinates for $\bar{M}$ in some neighborhood of $x_{0}$, which we call the boundary normal coordinates determined by $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right\}$. In these coordinates $x_{n}>0$ in $M$, and the boundary $\partial M$ is locally characterized by $x_{n}=0$. A standard computation shows that the metric has the form $g=g_{\alpha \beta} d x_{\alpha} d x_{\beta}+d x_{n}^{2}$.

### 1.4. Pseudodifferential Operators and Symbols

We recall some concepts of pseudodifferential operators and their symbols (cf. [52], Chapter 7).

Assuming $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $S_{1,0}^{m}=S_{1,0}^{m}\left(U, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to consist of $C^{\infty}$-functions $p(x, \xi)$ satisfying for every compact set $V \subset U$,

$$
\left|D_{x}^{\beta} D_{\xi}^{\alpha} p(x, \xi)\right| \leqslant C_{V, \alpha, \beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{m-|\alpha|}, \quad x \in V, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, where $D^{\alpha}=D^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots D^{\alpha_{n}}, D_{j}=-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$ and $\langle\xi\rangle=\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. The elements of $S_{1,0}^{m}$ are called symbols of order $m$. It is clear that $S_{1,0}^{m}$ is a Fréchet space with semi-norms given by

$$
\|p\|_{V, \alpha, \beta}:=\sup _{x \in V}\left|\left(D_{x}^{\beta} D_{\xi}^{\alpha} p(x, \xi)\right)(1+|\xi|)^{-m+|\alpha|}\right| .
$$

Let $p(x, \xi) \in S_{1,0}^{m}$ and $\hat{u}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i y \cdot \xi} u(y) d y$ be the Fourier transform of $u$. A pseudodifferential operator in an open set $U$ is essentially defined by a Fourier integral operator

$$
P(x, D) u(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} p(x, \xi) e^{i x \cdot \xi} \hat{u}(\xi) d \xi
$$

for $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(U)$. In such a case, we say the associated operator $P(x, D)$ belongs to OPS ${ }^{m}$. We denote $O P S^{-\infty}=\bigcap_{m} O P S^{m}$. If there are smooth $p_{m-j}(x, \xi)$, homogeneous in $\xi$ of degree $m-j$ for $|\xi| \geqslant 1$, that is, $p_{m-j}(x, r \xi)=r^{m-j} p_{m-j}(x, \xi)$ for $r>0$, and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j \geqslant 0} p_{m-j}(x, \xi) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense that

$$
p(x, \xi)-\sum_{j=0}^{N} p_{m-j}(x, \xi) \in S_{1,0}^{m-N-1}
$$

for all $N$, then, we say $p(x, \xi) \in S_{c l}^{m}$, or just $p(x, \xi) \in S^{m}$. We denote $S^{-\infty}=\bigcap_{m} S^{m}$. We call $p_{m}(x, \xi)$ the principal symbol of $P(x, D)$. We say $P(x, D) \in O P S^{m}$ is elliptic of order $m$ if on each compact $V \subset U$ there are constants $C_{V}$ and $r<\infty$ such that

$$
\left|p(x, \xi)^{-1}\right| \leqslant C_{V}\langle\xi\rangle^{-m}, \quad|\xi| \geqslant r .
$$

We can now define a pseudodifferential operator on a manifold $M$. In particular,

$$
P: C_{0}^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M)
$$

belongs to $O P S_{1,0}^{m}(M)$ if the kernel of $P$ is smooth off the diagonal in $M \times M$ and if for any coordinate neighborhood $U \subset M$ with $\Phi: U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ a diffeomorphism onto an open subset $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the map $\tilde{P}: C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$ given by

$$
\tilde{P} u:=P(u \circ \Phi) \circ \Phi^{-1}
$$

belongs to $\operatorname{OPS}_{1,0}^{m}(\mathcal{O})$. We refer the reader to [53-55] for more details.

### 1.5. The Main Results of This Paper

For the sake of simplicity, we denote by $i=\sqrt{-1}, \xi^{\prime}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}\right), \xi^{\alpha}=g^{\alpha \beta} \xi_{\beta}$, $\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\sqrt{\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha}}, I_{n}$ the $n \times n$ identity matrix,

$$
\left[a_{\beta}^{\alpha}\right]:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1}^{1} & \ldots & a_{n-1}^{1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{1}^{n-1} & \ldots & a_{n-1}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[a_{k}^{j}\right]:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{1}^{1} & \ldots & a_{n}^{1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{1}^{n} & \ldots & a_{n}^{n}
\end{array}\right],
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[a_{k}^{j}\right]} & {\left[b^{j}\right]} \\
{\left[c_{k}\right]} & d
\end{array}\right]:=\left[\begin{array}{cc:c}
{\left[a_{\beta}^{\alpha}\right]} & {\left[a_{n}^{\alpha}\right]} & {\left[b^{\alpha}\right]} \\
{\left[a_{\beta}^{n}\right]} & a_{n}^{n} & b^{n} \\
\hdashline\left[c_{\beta}\right] & c_{n} & d
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc:c}
a_{1}^{1} & \ldots & a_{n}^{1} & b^{1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{1}^{n} & \ldots & a_{n}^{n} & b^{n} \\
\hdashline c_{1} & \ldots & c_{n} & d
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $1 \leqslant \alpha, \beta \leqslant n-1$, and $1 \leqslant j, k \leqslant n$.
The main results of this paper are the following three theorems.
Theorem 1. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ with smooth boundary $\partial M$. Assume that the coefficient $\beta \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$, the Lamé coefficients $\lambda, \mu \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$, and the heat conduction coefficient $\alpha \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$ satisfy $\mu>0, \lambda+\mu \geqslant 0$, and $\alpha>0$. Let $\sigma\left(\Lambda_{g}\right) \sim$
$\sum_{j \leqslant 1} p_{j}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ be the full symbol of the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$. Then, in boundary normal coordinates,

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| I_{n-1}+\frac{\mu(\lambda+\mu)}{(\lambda+3 \mu)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & -\frac{2 i \mu^{2}}{\lambda+3 \mu}\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
\frac{2 i \mu^{2}}{\lambda+3 \mu}\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & \frac{2 \mu(\lambda+2 \mu)}{\lambda+3 \mu}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|
\end{array}\right],  \tag{8}\\
& p_{0}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu I_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda+2 \mu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha
\end{array}\right] q_{0}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\lambda\left[\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\alpha}\right] & \lambda \Gamma_{\alpha n}^{\alpha} & -\beta \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],  \tag{9}\\
& p_{-m}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu I_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda+2 \mu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha
\end{array}\right] q_{-m}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right), \quad m \geqslant 1, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q_{-m}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right), m \geqslant 0$, are the remain symbols of a pseudodifferential operator (see (42) in Section 2).

By studying the full symbol of the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$, we prove the following result:

Theorem 2. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ with smooth boundary $\partial M$. Assume that the coefficient $\beta \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$, the Lamé coefficients $\lambda, \mu \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$, and the heat conduction coefficient $\alpha \in C^{\infty}(\bar{M})$ satisfy $\mu>0, \lambda+\mu \geqslant 0$, and $\alpha>0$. Then, the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$ uniquely determines $\frac{\partial^{|I|} \lambda}{\partial x^{\top}}, \frac{\partial^{|J|} \mu}{\partial x^{\top}}, \frac{\partial^{I \mid \|_{\alpha}}}{\partial x^{I}}$, and $\frac{\partial^{|I|} \beta}{\partial x^{\prime}}$ on the boundary $\partial M$ for all multi-indices $J$.

The uniqueness result in Theorem 2 can be extended to the whole manifold for the real analytic setting.

Theorem 3. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ with smooth boundary $\partial M$, and let $\Gamma \subset \partial M$ be a nonempty open subset. Suppose that the manifold is real analytic up to $\Gamma$, and the coefficients $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta$ are also real analytic up to $\Gamma$ and satisfy $\mu>0, \lambda+\mu \geqslant 0$, and $\alpha>0$. Then, the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$ uniquely determines $\lambda, \mu, \alpha$, and $\beta$ on $\bar{M}$.

Theorem 3 shows that the global uniqueness of real analytic coefficients on a real analytic Riemannian manifold. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first global uniqueness result for variable coefficients in thermoelasticity on a Riemannian manifold. It is clear that Theorem 3 also holds for any real analytic bounded Euclidean domain with smooth boundary.

### 1.6. The Main Ideas of this Paper

The main ideas of this paper are as follows. First, Liu [2] established a method such that one can calculate the full symbol of the elastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map with constant coefficients. In [4], the full symbol of the elastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map with variable coefficients was obtained. The full symbol of the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map with constant coefficients was obtained in [3]. Combining the methods and the results in $[2-4]$, we can deal with the case for variable coefficients in thermoelasticity.

In boundary normal coordinates, there is a factorization for the thermoelastic operator $T_{g}$ as follows:

$$
A^{-1} T_{g}=I_{n+1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}+B \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+C=\left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+B-Q\right)\left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+Q\right),
$$

where $B, C$ are two differential operators, and $Q=Q\left(x, \partial_{x^{\prime}}\right)$ is a pseudodifferential operator. As a result, we obtain the equation

$$
Q^{2}-B Q-\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}, Q\right]+C=0
$$

where $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}, Q\right]$ is the commutator. The corresponding full symbol equation of the above equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{J} \frac{(-i)^{|J|}}{J!} \partial_{\zeta^{\prime}}^{J} q \partial_{x^{\prime}}^{J} q-\sum_{J} \frac{(-i)^{|J|}}{J!} \partial_{\zeta^{\prime}}^{J} b \partial_{x^{\prime}}^{J} q-\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_{n}}+c=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ matrix equation, where the sum is over all multi-indices $J$, $\xi^{\prime}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}\right)$, and $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$. Here $b, c$, and $q$ are the full symbols of the operators $B, C$, and $Q$, respectively.

Note that the computations of the full symbols of matrix-valued pseudodifferential operators (i.e., solving the above full symbol Equation (11)) are quite difficult tasks. There are two major difficulties:
(i) How to solve the unknown matrix $q_{1}$ from the following matrix equation?

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}^{2}-b_{1} q_{1}+c_{2}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{1}, b_{1}$, and $c_{2}$ are the principal symbols of $Q, B$, and $C$, respectively.
(ii) How to solve the unknown matrix $q_{-m-1}, m \geqslant-1$, from the following Sylvester equation?

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1}-b_{1}\right) q_{-m-1}+q_{-m-1} q_{1}=E_{-m}, \quad m \geqslant-1, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{-m-1}, m \geqslant-1$, are the remain symbols, and $E_{-m}, m \geqslant-1$, are given by (38)-(40).

For the first part of the problem, generally, the quadratic matrix equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}+U_{1} X+V_{1}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

can not be solved exactly, where $X$ is an unknown matrix, $U_{1}$ and $V_{1}$ are given matrices. In other words, there is not a general formula of the solution represented by the coefficients of matrix equation (14). Fortunately, in our setting, $b_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ can be represented as special block matrices. This implies that the $q_{1}$ can also be represented as a block matrix, which is a linear combination of $I_{n+1}$ and a special matrix $F_{1}$ with the property $F_{1}^{2}=0$ (see (56) in Section 2). Then, by solving a system of the coefficients, we can obtain the explicit expression for $q_{1}$ (see (41)).

For the second part of the problem, the matrix equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2} X+X V_{2}=Y \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Sylvester equation (see [56], Chapter 9), where $X$ is an unknown matrix, $U_{2}$, $V_{2}$, and $Y$ are given matrices. Let

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1}^{1} & a_{2}^{1} & \cdots & a_{n}^{1} \\
a_{1}^{2} & a_{2}^{2} & \cdots & a_{n}^{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{1}^{n} & a_{2}^{n} & \cdots & a_{n}^{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The vectorization $\operatorname{vec} \mathcal{A}$ of the matrix $\mathcal{A}$ is a column vector, which is defined by (see [56], Chapter 9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vec} \mathcal{A}:=\left(a_{1}^{1}, a_{1}^{2}, \ldots, a_{1}^{n}, a_{2}^{1}, a_{2}^{2}, \ldots, a_{2}^{n}, \ldots, a_{n}^{1}, a_{n}^{2}, \ldots, a_{n}^{n}\right)^{t} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kronecker product $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ of two matrices $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by (see [56], Chapter 9)

$$
\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}:=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1}^{1} \mathcal{B} & a_{2}^{1} \mathcal{B} & \cdots & a_{n}^{1} \mathcal{B}  \tag{17}\\
a_{1}^{2} \mathcal{B} & a_{2}^{2} \mathcal{B} & \cdots & a_{n}^{2} \mathcal{B} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{1}^{n} \mathcal{B} & a_{2}^{n} \mathcal{B} & \cdots & a_{n}^{n} \mathcal{B}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

There are some properties of Kronecker product and vectorization as follows (see [56], Chapter 9):

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{C} & =\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}  \tag{18}\\
\mathcal{C} \otimes(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}) & =\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}  \tag{19}\\
(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})^{-1} & =\mathcal{A}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{-1}  \tag{20}\\
(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}) & =\mathcal{A C} \otimes \mathcal{B D}  \tag{21}\\
\operatorname{vec}(\mathcal{A B}) & =\left(I_{n} \otimes \mathcal{A}\right) \operatorname{vec} \mathcal{B}  \tag{22}\\
\operatorname{vec}(\mathcal{B C}) & =\left(\mathcal{C}^{t} \otimes I_{n}\right) \operatorname{vec} \mathcal{B}  \tag{23}\\
\operatorname{vec}(\mathcal{A B C}) & =\left(\mathcal{C}^{t} \otimes \mathcal{A}\right) \operatorname{vec} \mathcal{B} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (15), (22), and (23) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{vec} Y & =\operatorname{vec}\left(U_{2} X+X V_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(I_{n} \otimes U_{2}\right)+\left(V_{2}^{t} \otimes I_{n}\right)\right) \operatorname{vec}(X) \\
& :=G \operatorname{vec} X \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, (15) has a unique solution if and only if $G$ is invertible and

$$
\operatorname{vec} X=G^{-1} \operatorname{vec} Y
$$

Thus, we can obtain $X$ from vec $X$. Finally, we obtain the symbols $q_{j}, j \leqslant 1$, of the pseudodifferential operator $Q$. Finally, using this method, we solve (13) and obtain the symbols $q_{-m-1}$ for $m \geqslant-1$. This implies that we obtain $Q\left(x, \partial_{x^{\prime}}\right)$ (modulo a smoothing operator) on the boundary.

Next, we flatten the boundary and induce a Riemannian metric in a neighborhood of the boundary, and give a local representation for the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann $\operatorname{map} \Lambda_{g}$ with variable coefficients in boundary normal coordinates, that is,

$$
\Lambda_{g}=A\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right)-K \quad \text { on } \partial M
$$

where $A$ and $K$ are two matrices. Note that, in boundary normal coordinates, the operator $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right|_{\partial M}$ can be represented as a pseudodifferential operator (modulo a smoothing operator) of order one in $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ depending smoothly on $x_{n}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\Lambda_{g}=\left.(A Q-K)\right|_{\partial M}
$$

modulo a smoothing operator (see (72) in Section 2).
Finally, we obtain the full symbol of the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$, which contain the information about the coefficients $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta$, and their derivatives on the boundary. Thus, we can prove that they can be uniquely determined by the thermoelastic

Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. Furthermore, we prove that the coefficients can be uniquely determined on the whole manifold $\bar{M}$ by the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map provided the manifold and these coefficients are real analytic.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive a factorization of thermoelastic operator $T_{g}$ with variable coefficients, and compute the full symbol of the pseudodifferential operator $Q$, we then give the explicit expression of the thermoelastic Dirichlet-toNeumann map $\Lambda_{g}$ in boundary normal coordinates. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 for boundary determination. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to proving Theorem 3 for global uniqueness.

## 2. Symbols of the Pseudodifferential Operators

Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ with smooth boundary $\partial M$. In the local coordinates $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$, we denote by $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ and $\left\{d x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$, respectively, the natural basis for the tangent space $T_{x} M$ and the cotangent space $T_{x}^{*} M$ at the point $x \in M$. We will use the Einstein summation convention. The Greek indices run from 1 to $n-1$, whereas the Roman indices run from 1 to $n$, unless otherwise specified. Then, the Riemannian metric $g$ is given by $g=g_{j k} d x_{j} \otimes d x_{k}$.

Let $\nabla_{j}=\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}}$ be the covariant derivative with respect to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$ and $\nabla^{j}=g^{j k} \nabla_{k}$. Then, for displacement vector field $\boldsymbol{u}$, we denote by div the divergence operator, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}=\nabla_{j} u^{j}=\frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial x_{j}}+\Gamma_{j k}^{j} u^{k}, \quad \boldsymbol{u}=u^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \in \mathfrak{X}(M) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the Christoffel symbols

$$
\Gamma_{j k}^{m}=\frac{1}{2} g^{m l}\left(\frac{\partial g_{j l}}{\partial x_{k}}+\frac{\partial g_{k l}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial g_{j k}}{\partial x_{l}}\right),
$$

and $\left[g^{j k}\right]=\left[g_{j k}\right]^{-1}$. For smooth function $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, the gradient operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad} f=\left(\nabla^{j} f\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}=g^{j k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad f \in C^{\infty}(M) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplace-Beltrami operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g} f=g^{j k}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}}-\Gamma_{j k}^{l} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{l}}\right), \quad f \in C^{\infty}(M) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lamé operator (3) with variable coefficients can be rewritten as (see [4])

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L_{g} u\right)^{j}= & \mu \Delta_{g} u^{j}+(\lambda+\mu) \nabla^{j} \nabla_{k} u^{k}+\left(\nabla^{j} \lambda\right) \nabla_{k} u^{k}+\left(\nabla^{k} \mu\right)\left(\nabla_{k} u^{j}+\nabla^{j} u_{k}\right) \\
& +\mu g^{k l}\left(2 \Gamma_{k m}^{j} \frac{\partial u^{m}}{\partial x_{l}}+\frac{\partial \Gamma_{k l}^{j}}{\partial x_{m}} u^{m}\right), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

In boundary normal coordinates, we write the Laplace-Beltrami operator as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}+\Gamma_{\alpha n}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}+\left(g^{\alpha \beta} \Gamma_{\gamma \alpha}^{\gamma}+\frac{\partial g^{\alpha \beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this and (2), (3), (26)-(29), we deduce that (cf. [3,4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{-1} T_{g}=I_{n+1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}+B \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+C, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu I_{n-1} & 0 & 0  \tag{32}\\
0 & \lambda+2 \mu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha
\end{array}\right],
$$

$B=B_{1}+B_{0}, C=C_{2}+C_{1}+C_{0}$, and
$B_{1}=(\lambda+\mu)\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & \frac{1}{\mu}\left[g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$,
$B_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\Gamma_{\alpha n}^{\alpha} I_{n-1}+2\left[\Gamma_{n \beta}^{\alpha}\right] & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\alpha}\right] & \Gamma_{n \alpha}^{\alpha} & -\frac{\beta}{\lambda+2 \mu} \\ 0 & \frac{i \omega \beta \theta_{0}}{\alpha} & \Gamma_{n \alpha}^{\alpha}\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{n}} I_{n-1} & \frac{1}{\mu}\left[\nabla^{\alpha} \lambda\right] & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \frac{\partial(\lambda+2 \mu)}{\partial x_{n}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$,
$C_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\left(g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}\right) I_{n-1}+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\mu}\left[g^{\alpha \gamma} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\gamma} \partial x_{\beta}}\right] & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu} g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}\end{array}\right]$,
$C_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\left(\left(g^{\alpha \beta} \Gamma_{\alpha \gamma}^{\gamma}+\frac{\partial g^{\alpha \beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right) I_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left(g^{\alpha \beta} \Gamma_{\alpha \gamma}^{\gamma}+\frac{\partial g^{\alpha \beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \left(g^{\alpha \beta} \Gamma_{\alpha \gamma}^{\gamma}+\frac{\partial g^{\alpha \beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\end{array}\right]$
$+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\mu}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}{\left[g^{\alpha \gamma} \Gamma_{\rho \beta}^{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right]} & {\left[g^{\alpha \gamma} \Gamma_{\rho n}^{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$
$+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2\left[g^{\gamma \rho} \Gamma_{\rho \beta}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right] & 2\left[g^{\gamma \rho} \Gamma_{\rho n}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right] & -\frac{\beta}{\mu}\left[g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] \\ \frac{2 \mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[g^{\gamma \rho} \Gamma_{\rho \beta}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right] & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{i \omega \beta \theta_{0}}{\alpha}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$
$+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\nabla^{\alpha} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right) I_{n-1}+\frac{1}{\mu}\left[\nabla^{\alpha} \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}+g^{\alpha \gamma} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right] & \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{n}}\left[g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{n}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \nabla^{\alpha} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$,
$C_{0}=(\lambda+\mu)\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{\mu}\left[g^{\alpha \gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\rho \beta}^{\rho}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right] & \frac{1}{\mu}\left[g^{\alpha \gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\rho n}^{\rho}}{\partial x_{\gamma}}\right] & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{n}}\right] & \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\alpha n}^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{n}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}{\left[g^{m l} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{m l}^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right]} & {\left[g^{m l} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{m l}^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{n}}\right]} & 0 \\ \frac{\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[g^{m l} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{m l}^{n}}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & \frac{\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu} g^{m l} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{m l}^{n}}{\partial x_{n}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$
$+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{\rho \omega^{2}}{\mu} I_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\rho \omega^{2}}{\lambda+2 \mu} & 0 \\ \frac{i \omega \beta \theta_{0}}{\alpha}\left[\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\alpha}\right] & \frac{i \omega \beta \theta_{0}}{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha n}^{\alpha} & \frac{i \omega \gamma}{\alpha}\end{array}\right]$
$+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{\mu}\left[\left(\nabla^{\alpha} \lambda\right) \Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{\gamma}-\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial g^{\alpha \gamma}}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & \frac{1}{\mu}\left[\left(\nabla^{\alpha} \lambda\right) \Gamma_{\beta n}^{\beta}-\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{\beta}} \frac{\partial g^{\alpha \beta}}{\partial x_{n}}\right] & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{n}}\left[\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\alpha}\right] & \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{n}} \Gamma_{\alpha n}^{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$.
Let

$$
b\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=b_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)+b_{0}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
c\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=c_{2}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)+c_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)+c_{0}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)
$$

be the full symbols of $B$ and $C$, respectively. We denote

$$
\xi^{\alpha}=g^{\alpha \beta} \xi_{\beta}, \quad\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=\sqrt{\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha}} .
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=i(\lambda+\mu)\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{1}{\mu}\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],  \tag{33}\\
& b_{0}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=B_{0},  \tag{34}\\
& c_{2}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} I_{n-1}+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\mu}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right],  \tag{35}\\
& c_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=i\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\xi^{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\beta}+\frac{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right) I_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left(\xi^{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\beta}+\frac{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \xi^{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\beta}+\frac{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\frac{i(\lambda+\mu)}{\mu}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
{\left[\xi^{\alpha} \Gamma_{\gamma \beta}^{\gamma}\right]} & \Gamma_{\beta n}^{\beta}\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 i\left[\xi^{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma \beta}^{\alpha}\right] & 2 i\left[\xi^{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma n}^{\alpha}\right] & -\frac{i \beta}{\mu}\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] \\
\frac{2 i \mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[\xi^{\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma \beta}^{n}\right] & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\omega \beta \theta_{0}}{\alpha}\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& +i\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\xi_{\alpha} \nabla^{\alpha} \mu\right) I_{n-1}+\frac{1}{\mu}\left[\xi_{\beta} \nabla^{\alpha} \lambda+\xi^{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{n}}\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{n}}\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \xi_{\alpha} \nabla^{\alpha} \mu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],  \tag{36}\\
& c_{0}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=C_{0} . \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

For the convenience of stating the following proposition, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1}:= & i \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial\left(q_{1}-b_{1}\right)}{\partial \xi_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}+b_{0} q_{1}+\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}-c_{1}  \tag{38}\\
E_{0}: & =i \sum_{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial\left(q_{1}-b_{1}\right)}{\partial \xi_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}+\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial \xi_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\partial^{2} q_{1}}{\partial \xi_{\alpha} \partial \xi_{\beta}} \frac{\partial^{2} q_{1}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}} \\
& -q_{0}^{2}+b_{0} q_{0}+\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}-c_{0}, \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{-m}:=b_{0} q_{-m}+\frac{\partial q_{-m}}{\partial x_{n}}-i \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial b_{1}}{\partial \xi_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial q_{-m}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}-\sum_{\substack{-m \leqslant j, k \leqslant 1 \\|J|=j+k+m}} \frac{(-i)^{|J|}}{J!} \partial_{\xi^{\prime}}^{J} q_{j} \partial_{x^{\prime}}^{J} q_{k} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m \geqslant 1$.

We then derive the microlocal factorization of the thermoelastic operator $T_{g}$.

Proposition 4. There exists a pseudodifferential operator $Q\left(x, \partial_{x^{\prime}}\right)$ of order one in $x^{\prime}$ depending smoothly on $x_{n}$ such that

$$
A^{-1} T_{g}=\left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+B-Q\right)\left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+Q\right)
$$

modulo a smoothing operator. Moreover, let $q\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) \sim \sum_{j \leqslant 1} q_{j}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ be the full symbol of $Q\left(x, \partial_{x^{\prime}}\right)$. Then, in boundary normal coordinates,

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)= & \left|\xi^{\prime}\right| I_{n+1}+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+3 \mu} F_{1},  \tag{41}\\
q_{-m-1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)= & \frac{1}{2\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|} E_{-m}-\frac{\lambda+\mu}{4(\lambda+3 \mu)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(F_{2} E_{-m}+E_{-m} F_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}{4(\lambda+3 \mu)^{2}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{3}} F_{2} E_{-m} F_{1}, \quad m \geqslant-1, \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left.\left.\frac{1}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|} \right\rvert\, \xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & i\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
i\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & -\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],  \tag{43}\\
& F_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & -\frac{i(\lambda+2 \mu)}{\mu}\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
-\frac{i \mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & -\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] . \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It follows from (31) that

$$
I_{n+1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}+B \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+C=\left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+B-Q\right)\left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+Q\right)
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{2}-B Q-\left[I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}, Q\right]+C=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the commutator $\left[I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}, Q\right]$ is defined by, for any $v \in C^{\infty}(M)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}, Q\right] v } & :=I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}(Q v)-Q\left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right) v \\
& =\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_{n}} v
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that if $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two pseudodifferential operators with full symbols $g_{1}=g_{1}(x, \xi)$ and $g_{1}=g_{2}(x, \xi)$, respectively, then the full symbol $\sigma\left(G_{1} G_{2}\right)$ of the operator $G_{1} G_{2}$ is given by (see [52], p. 11, [54], p. 71 and also [53,57])

$$
\sigma\left(G_{1} G_{2}\right) \sim \sum_{J} \frac{(-i)^{|J|}}{J!} \partial_{\xi}^{J} g_{1} \partial_{x}^{J} g_{2}
$$

where the sum is over all multi-indices $J$. Let $q=q\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ be the full symbol of the operator $Q\left(x, \partial_{x^{\prime}}\right)$, we write $q\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) \sim \sum_{j \leqslant 1} q_{j}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ with $q_{j}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ homogeneous of degree $j$ in $\xi^{\prime}$. Hence, we get the following full symbol equation of (45)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{J} \frac{(-i)^{|J|}}{J!} \partial_{\xi^{\prime}}^{J} q \partial_{x^{\prime}}^{J} q-\sum_{J} \frac{(-i)^{|J|}}{J!} \partial_{\xi^{\prime}}^{J}, b \partial_{x^{\prime}}^{J} q-\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_{n}}+c=0 . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall determine $q_{j}$ recursively so that (46) holds modulo $S^{-\infty}$. Grouping the homogeneous terms of degree two in (46), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}^{2}-b_{1} q_{1}+c_{2}=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $c_{2}$ can be rewritten as (see (35))

$$
c_{2}=-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} I_{n+1}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\mu}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0  \tag{48}\\
0 & -\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

In our notations, $\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right]=\left(\xi^{1}, \ldots, \xi^{n-1}\right)^{t}$ is a column vector, $\left[\xi_{\beta}\right]=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}\right)$ is a row vector, and $\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right]$ is an $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ matrix. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] \cdot\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] } & =\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right], \\
{\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] \cdot\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] } & =\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}, \\
{\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] \cdot\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] } & =\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right], \\
{\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] \cdot\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] } & =\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left[\xi_{\beta}\right], \\
{\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] \cdot\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] } & =\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \left|\xi^{\prime}\right| & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{2} } & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & {\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right]} & 0 \\
{\left[\xi_{\beta}\right]} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right]} & 0 \\
0 & \left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left.\left.\frac{1}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|} \right\rvert\, \xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \left|\xi^{\prime}\right| & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & {\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right]} & 0 \\
{\left[\xi_{\beta}\right]} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & {\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right]} & 0 \\
{\left[\xi_{\beta}\right]} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \left|\xi^{\prime}\right| & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & {\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right]} & 0 \\
{\left[\xi_{\beta}\right]} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the special forms of $b_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, we set $q_{1}$ has the form

$$
q_{1}=\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| I_{n+1}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
s_{1} \frac{1}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & i s_{2}\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0  \tag{49}\\
i s_{3}\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & -s_{4}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $s_{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant 4$, are coefficients to be determined. Substituting (49), (33), and (48) into (47), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} I_{n+1}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(s_{1}^{2}-s_{2} s_{3}\right)\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & i s_{2}\left(s_{1}-s_{4}\right)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
i s_{3}\left(s_{1}-s_{4}\right)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & \left(s_{4}^{2}-s_{2} s_{3}\right)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& +2\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
s_{1}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & i s_{2}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
i s_{3}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & -s_{4}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]-i(\lambda+\mu)\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{1}{\mu}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{s_{3}}{\mu}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & -\frac{s_{4}}{\mu}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
\frac{s_{1}}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & \frac{i s_{2}}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right\}-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} I_{n+1}+\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\mu}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have the following equations of coefficients:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{1}^{2}-s_{2} s_{3}+2 s_{1}+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\mu}\left(s_{3}-1\right)=0  \tag{50}\\
s_{2}\left(s_{1}-s_{4}\right)+2 s_{2}+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\mu}\left(s_{4}-1\right)=0 \\
s_{3}\left(s_{1}-s_{4}\right)+2 s_{3}-\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left(s_{1}+1\right)=0 \\
s_{4}^{2}-s_{2} s_{3}-2 s_{4}+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left(s_{2}+1\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Because we have chosen the outer normal vector $v$ on the boundary, we should take

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1} \geqslant 0, \quad 1-s_{4}>0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a choice implies that the real part of $q_{1}$ is positive definite. Solving the above equations with the conditions (51) and (1), we then get

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=s_{2}=s_{3}=s_{4}=\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+3 \mu} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
F_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left[\tilde{\xi}^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & i\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0  \tag{53}\\
i\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & -\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then, we obtain (41) immediately by combining (49), (52), and (53).
Grouping the homogeneous terms of degree $-m(m \geqslant-1)$ in (46), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1}-b_{1}\right) q_{-m-1}+q_{-m-1} q_{1}=E_{-m} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{-m}, m \geqslant-1$, are given by (38)-(40). Equation (54) is called the Sylvester equation (see [56], Chapter 9).

Let

$$
F_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left[\xi^{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}\right] & -\frac{i(\lambda+2 \mu)}{\mu}\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0  \tag{55}\\
-\frac{i \mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & -\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then, from (33), (52), (53), and (55), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1}^{2} & =F_{2}^{2}=0,  \tag{56}\\
b_{1} & =s_{1}\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right),  \tag{57}\\
b_{1} F_{1} & =-s_{1} F_{2} F_{1},  \tag{58}\\
b_{1} F_{2} & =s_{1} F_{1} F_{2} . \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

By (41) and (57), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}-b_{1}=\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| I_{n+1}+s_{1} F_{2} . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that, in (17) and (16), $\otimes$ and vec denote the Kronecker product and the vectorization of matrices, respectively. It follows from (25) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{vec} E_{-m} & =\operatorname{vec}\left(\left(q_{1}-b_{1}\right) q_{-m-1}+q_{-m-1} q_{1}\right) \\
& =H \operatorname{vec} q_{-m-1}, \quad m \geqslant-1, \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\left(I_{n+1} \otimes\left(q_{1}-b_{1}\right)\right)+\left(q_{1}^{t} \otimes I_{n+1}\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (62) and (60), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =I_{n+1} \otimes\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| I_{n+1}+s_{1} F_{2}\right)+\left(\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| I_{n+1}+s_{1} F_{1}^{t}\right) \otimes I_{n+1}\right) \\
& =2\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| I_{n+1} \otimes I_{n+1}+s_{1}\left(I_{n+1} \otimes F_{2}+F_{1}^{t} \otimes I_{n+1}\right) . \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (18)-(21), (56), and $H$ is of order one in $\xi^{\prime}$, thus, we set $H^{-1}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{-1}=\frac{1}{2\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|} I_{n+1} \otimes I_{n+1}+\frac{s_{5}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(I_{n+1} \otimes F_{2}+F_{1}^{t} \otimes I_{n+1}\right)+\frac{s_{6}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left(F_{1}^{t} \otimes F_{2}\right), \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{5}$ and $s_{6}$ are coefficients to be determined. From (61), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vec} q_{-m-1}=H^{-1} \operatorname{vec} E_{-m}, \quad m \geqslant-1 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (64), (65), and (22)-(24), we obtain, for $m \geqslant-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{-m-1}=\frac{1}{2\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|} E_{-m}+\frac{s_{5}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(F_{2} E_{-m}+E_{-m} F_{1}\right)+\frac{s_{6}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{3}} F_{2} E_{-m} F_{1} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (21), (56), (63), and (64) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{(n+1)^{2}}= & H H^{-1} \\
= & I_{n+1} \otimes I_{n+1}+\frac{2 s_{5}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left(I_{n+1} \otimes F_{2}+F_{1}^{t} \otimes I_{n+1}\right)+\frac{2 s_{6}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(F_{1}^{t} \otimes F_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{s_{1}}{2\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left(I_{n+1} \otimes F_{2}+F_{1}^{t} \otimes I_{n+1}\right)+\frac{s_{1} s_{5}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(I_{n+1} \otimes F_{2}^{2}+\left(F_{1}^{t}\right)^{2} \otimes I_{n+1}\right. \\
& \left.+2 F_{1}^{t} \otimes F_{2}\right)+\frac{s_{1} s_{6}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\left(F_{1}^{t} \otimes F_{2}^{2}+\left(F_{1}^{t}\right)^{2} \otimes F_{2}\right) \\
= & I_{n+1} \otimes I_{n+1}+\left(2 s_{5}+\frac{s_{1}}{2}\right) \frac{1}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left(I_{n+1} \otimes F_{2}+F_{1}^{t} \otimes I_{n+1}\right) \\
& +2\left(s_{6}+s_{1} s_{5}\right) \frac{1}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(F_{1}^{t} \otimes F_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $I_{n+1} \otimes I_{n+1}=I_{(n+1)^{2}}$. This implies that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 s_{5}+\frac{s_{1}}{2}=0 \\
s_{6}+s_{1} s_{5}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Recall that $s_{1}=\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+3 \mu}$ by (52). Thus, solving the above equations, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{5}=-\frac{s_{1}}{4}=-\frac{\lambda+\mu}{4(\lambda+3 \mu)}  \tag{67}\\
s_{6}=\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{4}=\frac{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}{4(\lambda+3 \mu)^{2}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Substituting (67) into (66), we immediately get (42).
From Proposition 4, we get the full symbol of the pseudodifferential operator $Q\left(x, \partial_{x^{\prime}}\right)$. This implies that we obtain $Q\left(x, \partial_{x^{\prime}}\right)$ (modulo a smoothing operator) on the boundary.

Proposition 5. In boundary normal coordinates, the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{g}=A\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right)-K \quad \text { on } \partial M \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is given by (32), and

$$
K=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \mu\left[g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & 0  \tag{69}\\
\lambda\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}+\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\alpha}\right] & \lambda \Gamma_{\alpha n}^{\alpha} & -\beta \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Proof. By (4), we have

$$
((S \boldsymbol{u}) v)^{j}=(S \boldsymbol{u})_{k}^{j} v^{k}=\left(\nabla^{j} u_{k}+\nabla_{k} u^{j}\right) v^{k} .
$$

In boundary normal coordinates, we take $v=(0, \ldots, 0,-1)^{t}$ and $\partial_{v}=-\partial_{x_{n}}$. In particular, $u_{n}=u^{n}$ since $g_{j n}=\delta_{j n}$ in boundary normal coordinates. We get

$$
((S \boldsymbol{u}) v)^{j}=-\left(\nabla^{j} u_{n}+\nabla_{n} u^{j}\right)
$$

Note that $\Gamma_{n k}^{n}=\Gamma_{n n}^{k}=0$ and $g^{\alpha \beta} \Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{n}+\Gamma_{n \gamma}^{\alpha}=0$ in boundary normal coordinates. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
((S \boldsymbol{u}) v)^{\alpha} & =-\left(\nabla^{\alpha} u_{n}+\nabla_{n} u^{\alpha}\right) \\
& =-\left[g^{\alpha \beta}\left(\frac{\partial u^{n}}{\partial x_{\beta}}+\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{n} u^{\gamma}\right)+\frac{\partial u^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{n}}+\Gamma_{n \gamma}^{\alpha} u^{\gamma}\right] \\
& =-g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial u^{n}}{\partial x_{\beta}}-\frac{\partial u^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{n}},  \tag{70}\\
((S \boldsymbol{u}) v)^{n} & =-\left(\nabla^{n} u_{n}+\nabla_{n} u^{n}\right)=-2 \frac{\partial u^{n}}{\partial x_{n}} . \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we immediately obtain (68) by combining (26), (6), (70), and (71).
In boundary normal coordinates, the operator $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right|_{\partial M}$ can be represented as the pseudodifferential operator $Q\left(x, \partial_{x^{\prime}}\right)$ (modulo a smoothing operator) of order one in $x^{\prime}$ depending smoothly on $x_{n}$. Hence, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6. In boundary normal coordinates, the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{g}=\left.(A Q-K)\right|_{\partial M} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

modulo a smoothing operator, where $A$ and $K$ are given by (32) and (69), respectively.
Proof. We use the boundary normal coordinates $\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)$ with $x_{n} \in[0, T]$. Since the principal symbol of the thermoelastic operator $T_{g}$ is negative definite, the hyperplane $x_{n}=0$ is non-characteristic. Hence, $T_{g}$ is partially hypoelliptic with respect to this boundary (see [58], p. 107). Therefore, the solution to the equation $T_{g} \boldsymbol{U}=0$ is smooth in normal variable, that
is, $\boldsymbol{U} \in\left[C^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)\right)\right]^{n+1}$ locally. From Proposition 4 , we see that (5) is locally equivalent to the following system of equations for $\boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{W} \in\left[C^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)\right)\right]^{n+1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+Q\right) \boldsymbol{U} & =\boldsymbol{W},\left.\quad \boldsymbol{U}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=\boldsymbol{V} \\
\left(I_{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}+B-Q\right) \boldsymbol{W} & =\boldsymbol{Y} \in\left[C^{\infty}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)\right]^{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inspired by [2] (cf. [21]), if we substitute $t=T-x_{n}$ into the second equation above, then, we get a backwards generalized heat equation

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}{\partial t}-(B-Q) \boldsymbol{W}=-\boldsymbol{Y}
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{U}$ is smooth in the interior of the manifold $M$ by interior regularity for elliptic operator $T_{g}$, it follows that $\boldsymbol{W}$ is also smooth in the interior of $M$, and so $\left.W\right|_{x_{n}=T}$ is smooth. In view of the real part of $q_{1}$ (the principal symbol of $Q$ ) is positive definite (see (41)), we get that the solution operator for this heat equation is smooth for $t>0$ (see [57], p. 134). Therefore,

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial x_{n}}+Q \boldsymbol{U}=\boldsymbol{W} \in\left[C^{\infty}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)\right]^{n+1}
$$

locally. If we set $\mathcal{R} V=\left.W\right|_{\partial M}$, then, $\mathcal{R}$ is a smoothing operator and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}}{\partial x_{n}}\right|_{\partial M}=-\left.Q \boldsymbol{U}\right|_{\partial M}+\mathcal{R} \boldsymbol{V} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (73) and (68), we immediately obtain (72).

## 3. Determining Coefficients on the Boundary

In this section we will prove the uniqueness results for the coefficients $\lambda, \mu, \alpha$, and $\beta$ on the boundary. We first prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\sigma\left(\Lambda_{g}\right) \sim \sum_{j \leqslant 1} p_{j}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ be the full symbol of the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$. According to (72) and (69) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) & =A q_{1}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)-k_{1},  \tag{74}\\
p_{0}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) & =A q_{0}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)-k_{0},  \tag{75}\\
p_{-m}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) & =A q_{-m}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right), \quad m \geqslant 1, \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A$ is given by (32), and

$$
k_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & i \mu\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0  \tag{77}\\
i \lambda\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad k_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\lambda\left[\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\alpha}\right] & \lambda \Gamma_{\alpha n}^{\alpha} & -\beta \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Substituting (41), (32), and (77) into (74), we immediately obtain (8). Similarly, (9) and (10) can also be obtained.

We then prove the uniqueness of the coefficients on the boundary.
Proof of Theorem 2. It follows from (8)-(10) that the Lamé coefficients $\lambda$ and $\mu$ only appear in the $n \times n$ submatrices. In the Lamé system, the uniqueness of $\frac{\partial^{J \mid} \lambda}{\partial x^{I}}$ and $\frac{\partial^{I I} \mu}{\partial x^{\prime}}$ on the boundary for all multi-indices $J$ has been proved in [4]. Clearly, this particular result also holds in thermoelastic system, and the proof is the same as that of [4]. Thus, we only need to prove the uniqueness of the coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on the boundary.

From (8), we know that the $(n+1, n+1)$-entry of $p_{1}$ is

$$
\left(p_{1}\right)_{n+1}^{n+1}=\alpha\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| .
$$

This shows that $p_{1}$ uniquely determines $\alpha$ on the boundary. Furthermore, the tangential derivatives $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{\gamma}}$ for $1 \leqslant \gamma \leqslant n-1$ can also be uniquely determined by $p_{1}$ on the boundary.

Let $b_{0}^{\prime}$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}$ be the terms that only involve the partial derivatives of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ in the expressions (34) and (36), respectively. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{n}} I_{n-1} & \frac{1}{\mu}\left[\nabla^{\alpha} \lambda\right] & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & \frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \frac{\partial(\lambda+2 \mu)}{\partial x_{n}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
& c_{1}^{\prime}=i\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\xi_{\alpha} \nabla^{\alpha} \mu\right) I_{n-1}+\frac{1}{\mu}\left[\tilde{\xi}_{\beta} \nabla^{\alpha} \lambda+\xi^{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right] & \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{n}}\left[\xi^{\alpha}\right] & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha} \nabla^{\alpha} \mu & 0 \\
\left.\frac{1}{\lambda+2 \mu} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{n}} \tilde{\xi}_{\beta}\right] & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (42) that

$$
q_{0}=\tilde{q_{0}}+\frac{1}{2\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|} E_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\lambda+\mu}{4(\lambda+3 \mu)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\left(F_{2} E_{1}^{\prime}+E_{1}^{\prime} F_{1}\right)+\frac{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}{4(\lambda+3 \mu)^{2}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{3}} F_{2} E_{1}^{\prime} F_{1},
$$

where $E_{1}^{\prime}=b_{0}^{\prime} q_{1}-c_{1}^{\prime}$, and $\tilde{q_{0}}$ is the solution of the corresponding equation with constant coefficients (see [3], p. 13). Hence, we see that $q_{0}$ has the form (see [3], p. 13)

$$
q_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
* & * & \frac{i \beta}{(\lambda+3 \mu)\left|\xi^{\top}\right|}\left[\xi_{\alpha}\right]  \tag{78}\\
* & * & -\frac{\beta}{\lambda+3 \mu} \\
\frac{\mu \omega \beta \theta_{0}}{\alpha(\lambda+3 \mu)\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}\left[\xi_{\beta}\right] & \frac{i \mu \omega \beta \theta_{0}}{\alpha(\lambda+3 \mu)} & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $*$ denotes the terms which we do not care (of course, they can be computed explicitly).
Therefore, combining (78), (75), and (77), we get the ( $n, n+1$ )-entry $\left(p_{0}\right)_{n+1}^{n}$, that is,

$$
\left(p_{0}\right)_{n+1}^{n}=\beta-\frac{\beta(\lambda+2 \mu)}{\lambda+3 \mu}=\frac{\beta \mu}{\lambda+3 \mu} .
$$

This implies that $p_{0}$ uniquely determines $\beta$ on the boundary and the tangential derivatives $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{\gamma}}$ on the boundary for $1 \leqslant \gamma \leqslant n-1$, since $\lambda$ and $\mu$ have been determined on the boundary by the previous arguments.

According to the above discussion, we see from (75) that $q_{0}$ is uniquely determined by $p_{0}$ since the boundary values of $\lambda, \mu, \alpha$, and $\beta$ have been uniquely determined. By (54), we can determine $E_{1}$ from the knowledge of $q_{0}$. For $k \geqslant 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{T}_{-k}=\mathcal{T}_{-k}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ the terms that involve only the boundary values of $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta$, and their normal derivatives of order ar most $k$ (which have been uniquely determined). Note that $\mathcal{T}_{-k}$ may be different in different expressions.

From (38), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=b_{0} q_{1}+\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}-c_{1}+\mathcal{T}_{0} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (76) and (54), we know that $q_{-1}$ is uniquely determined by $p_{-1}$, and $E_{0}$ can be determined from the knowledge of $q_{-1}$. From (39), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}+\mathcal{T}_{-1} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (78), we find that the $(n, n+1)$-entry $\left(\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)_{n+1}^{n}$ and the $(n+1, n)$-entry $\left(\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)_{n}^{n+1}$ of $\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}$ are, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)_{n+1}^{n} & =-\frac{\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{n}}(\lambda+3 \mu)-\beta\left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{n}}+3 \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{n}}\right)}{(\lambda+3 \mu)^{2}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\lambda+3 \mu} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{n}}+\mathcal{T}_{-1},  \tag{81}\\
\left(\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)_{n}^{n+1} & =\frac{-\beta \mu(\lambda+3 \mu) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{n}}+\alpha \mu(\lambda+3 \mu) \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{n}}+\alpha \beta\left(\lambda \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{n}}-\mu \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{n}}\right)}{\alpha^{2}(\lambda+3 \mu)^{2}} \\
& =-\frac{\beta \mu}{\alpha^{2}(\lambda+3 \mu)} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{n}}+\frac{\mu}{\alpha(\lambda+3 \mu)} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{n}}+\mathcal{T}_{-1} . \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu, \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{n}}$ and $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{n}}$ have been determined on the boundary, then, $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{n}}$ can be determined by $\left(\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)_{n+1}^{n}$ on the boundary, and $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{n}}$ can be determined by $\left(\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)_{n}^{n+1}$ on the boundary. This implies that $p_{-1}$ uniquely determines $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{n}}$ and $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{n}}$ on the boundary.

By (54), we have

$$
\left(q_{1}-b_{1}\right) \frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}+\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}} q_{1}=\frac{\partial E_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}+\mathcal{T}_{-1} .
$$

This implies that $\frac{\partial E_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}$ can be determined from the knowledge of $\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}$. By (76) and (54), we know that $q_{-2}$ is uniquely determined by $p_{-2}$, and $E_{-1}$ can be determined from the knowledge of $q_{-2}$. From (40), we see that

$$
E_{-1}=\frac{\partial q_{-1}}{\partial x_{n}}+\mathcal{T}_{-2}
$$

By (54), we have

$$
\left(q_{1}-b_{1}\right) \frac{\partial q_{-1}}{\partial x_{n}}+\frac{\partial q_{-1}}{\partial x_{n}} q_{1}=\frac{\partial E_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}+\mathcal{T}_{-2} .
$$

This implies that $\frac{\partial E_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}$ can be determined from the knowledge of $\frac{\partial q_{-1}}{\partial x_{n}}$. From (80), we have

$$
\frac{\partial E_{0}}{\partial x_{n}}=\frac{\partial^{2} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}+\mathcal{T}_{-2}
$$

Thus, it follows from (81) and (82) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}\right)_{n+1}^{n} & =-\frac{1}{\lambda+3 \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} \beta}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}+\mathcal{T}_{-2} \\
\left(\frac{\partial^{2} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}\right)_{n}^{n+1} & =-\frac{\beta \mu}{\alpha^{2}(\lambda+3 \mu)} \frac{\partial^{2} \alpha}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}+\frac{\mu}{\alpha(\lambda+3 \mu)} \frac{\partial^{2} \beta}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}+\mathcal{T}_{-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta, \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{n}}, \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x_{n}}, \frac{\partial^{2} \lambda}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}, \frac{\partial^{2} \mu}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{n}}$, and $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{n}}$ have been determined on the boundary, then, $\frac{\partial^{2} \beta}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}$ can be determined by $\left(\frac{\partial^{2} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}\right)_{n+1}^{n}$ on the boundary, and $\frac{\partial^{2} \alpha}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}$ can be determined by $\left(\frac{\partial^{2} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}\right)_{n}^{n+1}$ on the boundary. This implies that $p_{-2}$ uniquely determines $\frac{\partial \alpha^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} \beta}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}$ on the boundary.

Finally, we consider $p_{-m-1}$ for $m \geqslant 1$. By (76) and (54), we have $p_{-m-1}$ uniquely determines $q_{-m-1}$, and $E_{-m}$ can be determined from the knowledge of $q_{-m-1}$. From (40), we obtain

$$
E_{-m}=\frac{\partial q_{-m}}{\partial x_{n}}+\mathcal{T}_{-m-1}
$$

We see from (54) that

$$
\left(q_{1}-b_{1}\right) \frac{\partial q_{-m}}{\partial x_{n}}+\frac{\partial q_{-m}}{\partial x_{n}} q_{1}=\frac{\partial E_{-m+1}}{\partial x_{n}}+\mathcal{T}_{-m-1}
$$

This implies that $\frac{\partial E_{-m+1}}{\partial x_{n}}$ can be determined from the knowledge of $\frac{\partial q_{-m}}{\partial x_{n}}$.
We end this proof by induction. Suppose we have shown that, by iteration, $E_{-m}$ uniquely determines

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{m} E_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{m}}=\frac{\partial^{m+1} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{m+1}}+\mathcal{T}_{-m-1} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

which further determines $\frac{\partial^{m+1} \alpha}{\partial x_{n}^{m+1}}$ and $\frac{\partial^{m+1} \beta}{\partial x_{n}^{m+1}}$ on the boundary since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial^{m+1} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{m+1}}\right)_{n+1}^{n} & =-\frac{1}{\lambda+3 \mu} \frac{\partial^{m+1} \beta}{\partial x_{n}^{m+1}}+\mathcal{T}_{-m-1} \\
\left(\frac{\partial^{m+1} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{m+1}}\right)_{n}^{n+1} & =-\frac{\beta \mu}{\alpha^{2}(\lambda+3 \mu)} \frac{\partial^{m+1} \alpha}{\partial x_{n}^{m+1}}+\frac{\mu}{\alpha(\lambda+3 \mu)} \frac{\partial^{m+1} \beta}{\partial x_{n}^{m+1}}+\mathcal{T}_{-m-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (76) and (54), we know that $q_{-m-2}$ is uniquely determined by $p_{-m-2}$, and $E_{-m-1}$ can be determined from the knowledge of $q_{-m-2}$. Hence, $E_{-m-1}$ uniquely determines $\frac{\partial^{m+2} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{m+2}}$ by iteration. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial^{m+2} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{m+2}}\right)_{n+1}^{n} & =-\frac{1}{\lambda+3 \mu} \frac{\partial^{m+2} \beta}{\partial x_{n}^{m+2}}+\mathcal{T}_{-m-2} \\
\left(\frac{\partial^{m+2} q_{0}}{\partial x_{n}^{m+2}}\right)_{n}^{n+1} & =-\frac{\beta \mu}{\alpha^{2}(\lambda+3 \mu)} \frac{\partial^{m+2} \alpha}{\partial x_{n}^{m+2}}+\frac{\mu}{\alpha(\lambda+3 \mu)} \frac{\partial^{m+2} \beta}{\partial x_{n}^{m+2}}+\mathcal{T}_{-m-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $p_{-m-2}$ uniquely determines $\frac{\partial^{m+2} \alpha}{\partial x_{n}^{m+2}}$ and $\frac{\partial^{m+2} \beta}{\partial x_{n}^{m+2}}$ on the boundary.
Therefore, by combining the uniqueness result of $\frac{\partial^{\mid J \|_{\lambda}}}{\partial x^{J}}, \frac{\partial^{I J}{ }_{\mu}}{\partial x^{J}}$ (see [4]), and the above arguments, we conclude that the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$ uniquely determines $\frac{\partial^{I J} \lambda}{\partial x^{J}}, \frac{\partial^{I J} \mu}{\partial x^{J}}, \frac{\partial^{\left.I J\right|_{\alpha}}}{\partial x^{J}}$, and $\frac{\partial^{I J \mid} \beta}{\partial x^{J}}$ on the boundary for all multi-indices $J$.

## 4. Global Uniqueness of Real Analytic Coefficients

This section is devoted to proving the global uniqueness of real analytic coefficients $\lambda, \mu, \alpha$, and $\beta$ on a real analytic manifold. More precisely, we prove that the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$ uniquely determines the real analytic coefficients on the whole manifold $\bar{M}$.

We recall that the definitions of real analytic functions and real analytic hypersurfaces of a Riemannian manifold. Let $f(x)$ be a real-valued function defined on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $y \in \Omega$, we call $f(x)$ real analytic at $y$ if there exist $a_{J} \in \mathbb{R}$ and a neighborhood $N_{y}$ of $y$ such that $f(x)=\sum_{J} a_{J}(x-y)^{J}$ for all $x \in N_{y}$ and $J \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. We say $f(x)$ is real analytic on an open set $\Omega$ if $f(x)$ is real analytic at each $y \in \Omega$.

Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. A subset $U$ of $M$ is said to be an $(n-1)$ dimensional real analytic hypersurface if $U$ is nonempty and if for every point $x \in U$,
there is a real analytic diffeomorphism of a unit open ball $B(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ onto an open neighborhood $N_{x}$ of $x$ such that $B(0,1) \cap\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x_{n}=0\right\}$ maps onto $N_{x} \cap U$.

In order to prove Theorem 3, we need the following lemma (see [59], p. 65).
Lemma 7. (Unique continuation of real analytic functions) Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a connected open set and $f(x)$ be a real analytic function defined on $M$. Let $y \in M$. Then, $f(x)$ is uniquely determined in $M$ if we know $\frac{\partial^{|J|} f(y)}{\partial x^{J}}$ for all $J \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$. In particular, $f(x)$ is uniquely determined in $M$ by its values in any nonempty open subset of $M$.

Note that Lemma 7 still holds for real analytic functions defined on real analytic manifolds. Finally, we prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. According to Theorem 2, it has been proved that the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$ uniquely determines $\frac{\partial^{|I|_{\lambda}}}{\partial x^{J}}, \frac{\partial^{I I \|_{\mu}}}{\partial x^{J}}, \frac{\partial^{\left.I I\right|_{\alpha}}}{\partial x^{J}}$, and $\frac{\partial^{I I \|} \beta}{\partial x^{J}}$ on the boundary for all multi-indices $J$. Hence, for any point $x_{0} \in \Gamma$, the coefficients can be uniquely determined in some neighborhood of $x_{0}$ by the analyticity of the coefficients on $M \cup \Gamma$. Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 7 that the coefficients can be uniquely determined in $M$. Therefore, by combining Theorem 2 , we conclude that the coefficients $\lambda, \mu, \alpha$, and $\beta$ can be uniquely determined on $\bar{M}$ by the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$.

Remark 8. By applying the method of Kohn and Vogelius [12], we can also prove that the thermoelastic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_{g}$ uniquely determines the coefficients $\lambda, \mu, \alpha$, and $\beta$ on $\bar{M}$, provided the manifold and the coefficients are piecewise analytic.
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