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#### Abstract

We study the structure of self-orthogonal and self-dual codes over two non-unital rings of order four, namely, the commutative ring $I=\left\langle a, b \mid 2 a=2 b=0, a^{2}=b, a b=0\right\rangle$ and the noncommutative ring $E=\left\langle a, b \mid 2 a=2 b=0, a^{2}=a, b^{2}=b, a b=a, b a=b\right\rangle$. We use these structures to give mass formulas for self-orthogonal and self-dual codes over these two rings, that is, we give the formulas for the number of inequivalent self-orthogonal and self-dual codes, of a given type, over the said rings. Finally, using the mass formulas, we classify self-orthogonal and self-dual codes over each ring, for small lengths and types.
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## 1. Introduction

Coding theory has been classically studied using finite fields as alphabets [1-11]. In the last thirty years, rings have been used instead of finite fields, i.e., linear codes are defined as modules over a ring [12-17]. There have been many studies on codes over different rings, but most of these are commutative rings, and in almost all cases rings with unity. Recently, there has been interest in non-unital rings of order four [18-22]. The nonexistence of a unity in this ring makes it difficult to deal with usual concepts such as self-duality. For this reason, the notion of quasi self-dual (QSD) codes was introduced. Naturally, it is interesting to look at a bigger class of codes called self-orthogonal codes, which contains self-dual, or in this case, QSD codes.

We begin with some basic concepts about codes over rings. A linear code $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ over a ring $R$ is an $R$-submodule of the $R^{n}$ module if $R$ is a commutative ring or a one-sided $R$-submodule of the $R^{n}$ module if $R$ is a noncommutative ring. All codes in this paper are linear codes. Every element of the code is called a codeword. A generator matrix for $\mathcal{C}$ is a matrix $G \in M_{k \times n}(R)$ whose rows generate the code, and none of the rows can be written as a linear combination of the other rows. For a matrix $G \in M_{k \times n}(R)$, we denote by $R^{k} G$ the code of length $n$ over $R$ with generator matrix $G$. In this paper, we will consider two non-unital rings of order four, namely, the rings $I$ and $E$ in the classification of $[23,24]$. These rings are given by

$$
I=\left\langle a, b \mid 2 a=2 b=0, a^{2}=b, a b=0\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
E=\left\langle a, b \mid 2 a=2 b=0, a^{2}=a, b^{2}=b, a b=a, b a=b\right\rangle .
$$

It is clear from the multiplication tables that both of these rings do not contain a unity and, in addition, the ring $I$ is commutative while the ring $E$ is noncommutative. We will look at the structures of codes over these rings. Like in the case of linear codes over rings with unity of order four, like $\mathbb{Z}_{4}[25]$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2}+u \mathbb{F}_{2}$ [26], we establish the generator matrix for codes over the rings $I$ and $E$ and introduce the notion of residue and torsion codes. From the dimensions of these codes, we define the type of code. Then, given a generator matrix for a code $\mathcal{C}$ of a given type over the said rings, we also want to obtain the generator matrices for the residue and torsion codes for $\mathcal{C}$. We will look at the conditions for the residue and torsion codes so that $\mathcal{C}$ is self-orthogonal, as well as self-dual. Using these conditions, we will establish a mass formula for self-orthogonal and self-dual codes over each of the rings $I$ and $E$, similar to the work presented in [27]. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the class of codes of length $n$ and of the given type we need to classify. Then, counting orbits under the action of the symmetric group $S_{n}$ on $n$ letters leads to the equation

$$
\sum_{D} \frac{n!}{|A u t(D)|}=|\mathcal{C}|
$$

where $D$ runs over a system of distinct representatives of equivalence classes of codes of $\mathcal{C}$. Classically this equation is written in the form

$$
\sum_{D} \frac{1}{|A u t(D)|}=\frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{n!}
$$

Computing the left-hand side one orbit at a time works as a stopping criterion in the classification of codes when it adds up to reach the quantity in the right-hand side. Calculating $|\mathcal{C}|$ is a challenging problem in linear algebra over rings, and that is what we accomplish in this article.

The material is arranged as follows. Section 2 sets up basic notions and notation for codes over I. Section 3 studies them in light of the residue and torsion codes. Sections 4 and 5 follow the same course as Sections 2 and 3 but for codes over E. The mass formulas are established in Section 6. Section 7 is dedicated to classification in short lengths for fixed types.

## 2. Codes over I

In this section, we consider the ring $I$ and recall some concepts about this ring from [19,21]. The ring $I$ is given by

$$
I=\left\langle a, b \mid 2 a=2 b=0, a^{2}=b, a b=0\right\rangle .
$$

It has characteristic two and consists of four elements: $I=\{0, a, b, c\}$, with $c=a+b$. The addition and multiplication tables are as follows.

| + | 0 | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | 0 | $c$ | $b$ |
| $b$ | $b$ | $c$ | 0 | $a$ |
| $c$ | $c$ | $b$ | $a$ | 0 |


| $\times$ | 0 | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $a$ | 0 | $b$ | 0 | $b$ |
| $b$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $c$ | 0 | $b$ | 0 | $b$ |

Observe that $I$ is a non-unital commutative ring. It is a local ring whose maximal ideal is $J=\{0, b\}$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, the binary field. We define a natural action of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ on $I$ by the rule $r 0=0 r=0$ and $r 1=1 r=r$, for all $r \in I$. For all $r \in I$, this action is distributive in the sense that $r(s \oplus t)=r s+r t$, where $\oplus$ denotes the addition in $\mathbb{F}_{2}, s, t \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$. Moreover, every $r \in I$ can be written as

$$
r=a s+b t
$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$. Denote by $\alpha_{I}: I \rightarrow I / J \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}$ the map of the reduction modulo $J$. We have $\alpha_{I}(0)=\alpha_{I}(b)=0$ and $\alpha_{I}(a)=\alpha_{I}(c)=1$. This map can be extended in the natural way from $I^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$.

A (linear) code over $I$, or simply an $I$-code, of length $n$ is an $I$-submodule of $I^{n}$. There are two binary codes associated with a code $\mathcal{C}$ over $I$, namely,

$$
\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})=\left\{\alpha_{I}(y) \mid y \in \mathcal{C}\right\} \text { and } \operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \mid b x \in \mathcal{C}\right\}
$$

called the residue and torsion codes of $\mathcal{C}$, respectively. Note that $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$. If $k_{1}$ is the dimension of $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$ and $k_{1}+k_{2}$ is the dimension of $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$, then

$$
|\mathcal{C}|=|\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})||\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})|=2^{2 k_{1}+k_{2}}
$$

Such code $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be of type $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$. An $I$-code $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be free if and only if $k_{2}=0$, that is, $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})=\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$.

By Theorem 1 in [19], every code of length $n$ over $I$ of type $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$ is permutationequivalent to a code with a generator matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a I_{k_{1}} & a X & Y  \tag{1}\\
0 & b I_{k_{2}} & b Z
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{j}$ is the $j \times j$ identity matrix, $X$ and $Z$ are binary matrices, and $Y \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}(I)$. In fact, generator matrices of $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$ are given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
I_{k_{1}} & X & \alpha_{I}(Y)
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{1}} & X & \alpha_{I}(Y) \\
0 & I_{k_{2}} & Z
\end{array}\right),
$$

respectively.
We equip $I^{n}$ with the standard inner product $x \cdot y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}$, for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in I^{n}$. The dual of an $I$-code $\mathcal{C}$ is defined as $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}=\left\{v \in I^{n} \mid u \cdot v=0\right.$ for all $u \in \mathcal{C}\}$. We say that a code $\mathcal{C}$ is self-orthogonal if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$, and self-dual if $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$. An $I$-code $\mathcal{C}$ is self-orthogonal if and only if $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$ is a binary self-orthogonal code.

Example 1. Any I-code $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ and type $\left\{0, k_{2}\right\}$ is a self-orthogonal code for all $k_{2} \leq n$.
If $\mathcal{C}$ is a self-dual $I$-code of length $n$ with type $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$, then $n=2 k_{1}+k_{2}$ (see Theorem 2 in [19]). We state the following useful results from [21].

Theorem 1 (Theorem 5 in [21]). A linear code $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ over I is self-dual if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

1. $\quad \operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$ is a self-dual binary code;
2. $\quad \operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$.

Corollary 1 (Corollary 2 in [21]). If B is a self-dual binary code of length $n$, then $B$ is a residue code of a self-dual code of length $n$ over $I$.

A code of length $n$ is called quasi self-dual (QSD) if it is self-orthogonal and of size $2^{n}$. A linear $I$-code can never simultaneously be both QSD and self-dual (see Proposition 2 in [21]). We end this section with the following proposition.

## Proposition 1.

1. For any positive integer $n$, there exists a QSD code over I of length $n$.
2. $\quad$ Let $\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{ares}(\mathcal{C})+b \operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$ be an I-code with $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})=\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ will be a QSD code.

## Proof.

1. Let $\mathcal{C}=b \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. Since $u \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ for every $u \in I, \mathcal{C} \subsetneq \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ of size $2^{n}$.
2. If $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$, then $\mathcal{C}$ is a free code with $n=2 k$, where $k$ is the dimension of res $(\mathcal{C})$. By Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, we have a self-orthogonal code $\mathcal{C}$ of size $2^{2 k}=2^{n}$.

## 3. Codes over I with Prescribed Residue and Torsion

Let $C_{1}$ be a binary code of length $n$ with dimension $k_{1}$ and generator matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I_{k_{1}} & A \tag{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and $C_{2}$ be a binary code of length $n$ with dimension $k_{1}+k_{2}$ and generator matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{1}} & A  \tag{3}\\
0 & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$, and $D \in M_{k_{2} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ is of full row rank. Observe that $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2}$.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a code of length $n$ over I with $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=C_{2}$. Then, there exists a matrix $N \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ such that the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}} & a A+b N  \tag{4}\\
0 & b D
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a generator matrix of $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a free code, such a matrix $N$ is unique.
Proof. Since the residue and torsion codes of $\mathcal{C}$ are $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, respectively, then for some $M_{1} \in M_{k_{1} \times k_{1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ and $M_{2} \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$,

$$
I^{k_{1}+k_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}}+b M_{1} & a A+b M_{2} \\
0 & b D
\end{array}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}
$$

By an elementary row operation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} & \supseteq I^{k_{1}+k_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{1}}+c M_{1} & 0 \\
0 & I_{k_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}}+b M_{1} & a A+b M_{2} \\
0 & b D
\end{array}\right) \\
& =I^{k_{1}+k_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}} & a A+b\left(M_{2}+M_{1} A\right) \\
0 & b D
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $N=M_{2}+M_{1} A$, we have

$$
|\mathcal{C}| \geq\left|I^{k_{1}+k_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}} & a A+b N \\
0 & b D
\end{array}\right)\right|=2^{2 k_{1}+k_{2}}=\left|C_{1}\right|\left|C_{2}\right|=|\mathcal{C}| .
$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{C}$ has a generator matrix (4). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a free code and suppose there exist $N_{1}, N_{2} \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
I^{k_{1}}\left(a I_{k_{1}} a A+b N_{1}\right)=I^{k_{1}}\left(a I_{k_{1}} a A+b N_{2}\right)
$$

Then, $a A+b N_{1}=a A+b N_{2}$, which implies that $N_{1}=N_{2}$.
For the remainder of this section, assume that $C_{1} \subseteq C_{1}^{\perp}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k_{1}}+A A^{\top}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5) that $A$ is of full row rank.
Lemma 2. The number of free self-orthogonal codes over I with residue code $C_{1}$ is

$$
2^{k_{1}\left(n-k_{1}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a free $I$-code with residue code $C_{1}$. Then, by Lemma $1, \mathcal{C}$ has a generator matrix $\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+b N\right)$, for some unique $N \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. Note that $\mathcal{C}$ is self-orthogonal if and only if

$$
\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+b N\right)\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+b N\right)^{\top}=0 .
$$

Observe that by (5),

$$
\left(a I_{k_{1}}\right)\left(a I_{k_{1}}\right)+(a A+b N)(a A+b N)^{\top}=b\left(I_{k_{1}}+A A^{\top}\right)=0
$$

for any $N \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. Hence, the number of free self-orthogonal codes $\mathcal{C}$ over $I$ with residue code $C_{1}$ is

$$
\left|\left\{N \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)} \left\lvert\,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}} & a A+b N \tag{6}
\end{array}\right)\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+b N\right)^{\top}=0\right.\right\}\right|=2^{k_{1}\left(n-k_{1}\right)} .
$$

Now, we define the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =\left\{\mathcal{C} \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq I^{n}, \text { type }\left\{k_{1}, 0\right\}, \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp}, \text { res }(\mathcal{C})=C_{1}\right\} \text { and } \\
X^{\prime} & =\left\{\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \mid \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \subseteq I^{n}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime \perp}, \operatorname{res}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=C_{1}, \operatorname{tor}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=C_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3. Suppose $\mathcal{C} \in X$. Then, there exists a unique code $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.
Proof. Since $\mathcal{C} \in X$, by Lemma $1, \mathcal{C}$ has a generator matrix $\left(a I_{k_{1}} a A+b N\right)$ for some unique matrix $N$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}$ be a code with generator matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}} & a A+b N \\
0 & b D
\end{array}\right)
$$

The code $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}$ satisfies res $\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}\right)=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}\right)=C_{2}$.
Clearly, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathcal{C} \in X, \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}$ is self-orthogonal and hence, $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Suppose $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ for some $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Note that $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has torsion code $C_{2}$. Thus, by Lemma 1, $I^{k_{2}}(0 \quad b D) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and so $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Observe that $\left|\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}\right|=\left|C_{1}\right|\left|C_{2}\right|=2^{2 k_{0}+k_{1}}=\left|\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right|$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}=\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4. If $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, then $\left|\left\{\mathcal{C} \in X \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\}\right|=2^{k_{1} k_{2}}$.
Proof. By Lemma 1, $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has a generator matrix (4). We define the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi: M_{k_{1} \times k_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) & \longrightarrow\left\{\mathcal{C} \in X \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\} \\
M & \longmapsto I^{k_{1}}\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+b(N+M D)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $\psi$ is well defined. Now, we will show that $\psi$ is bijective. If $M_{1}, M_{2} \in$ $M_{k_{1} \times k_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ such that $\psi\left(M_{1}\right)=\psi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then

$$
I^{k_{1}}\left(a I_{k_{1}} a A+b\left(N+M_{1} D\right)\right)=I^{k_{1}}\left(a I_{k_{1}} a A+b\left(N+M_{2} D\right)\right)
$$

Therefore, $a A+b\left(N+M_{1} D\right)=A+b\left(N+M_{2} D\right)$. Hence, $N+M_{1} D=N+M_{2} D$. Since $D$ is of full row rank, we have $M_{1}=M_{2}$. Therefore, $\psi$ is injective.

Suppose $\mathcal{C} \in X$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. By Lemma $1, \mathcal{C}=I^{k_{1}}\left(a I_{k_{1}} a A+b H\right)$, for some matrix $H$. From the inclusion $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
a A+b H=a A+b(N+M D)
$$

for some matrix $M$. Therefore, $H=N+M D$, which shows that $\psi$ is surjective. Hence, $\psi$ is bijective. Thus,

$$
\left|\left\{\mathcal{C} \in X \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\}\right|=\left|M_{k_{1} \times k_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)\right|=2^{k_{1} k_{2}}
$$

Now, we count self-orthogonal $I$-codes $\mathcal{C}$ with a given residue code and torsion code.
Theorem 2. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be binary codes of length $n$ where $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2}$ and $C_{1} \subseteq C_{1}^{\perp}$. If $\operatorname{dim} C_{1}=k_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dim} C_{2}=k_{1}+k_{2}$, then the number of self-orthogonal I-codes $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ with $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=C_{2}$ is

$$
2^{k_{1}\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}
$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are binary codes with generator matrices (2) and (3), respectively. Now, we compute $\left|X^{\prime}\right|$. By Lemmas 3 and 4, we have

$$
2^{k_{1} k_{2}}\left|X^{\prime}\right|=\sum_{\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}}\left|\left\{\mathcal{C} \in X \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\}\right|=\sum_{\mathcal{C} \in X}\left|\left\{\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in X^{\prime} \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\}\right|=\sum_{\mathcal{C} \in X} 1=|X|
$$

The result follows from Lemma 2.
Theorem 3. Let $C_{1}$ be a binary self-dual code of length $n$. Then, the number of self-dual I-codes $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ with $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})=C_{1}$ is equal to the number of self-dual binary codes of length $n$.

Proof. From Theorem 1, the number of self-dual $I$-codes $\mathcal{C}$ depends on the number of self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, and $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$.

## 4. Codes over $E$

In this section, we consider another ring, $E$. We recall some concepts from [20,21]. The ring $E$ is given by

$$
E=\left\langle a, b \mid 2 a=2 b=0, a^{2}=a, b^{2}=b, a b=a, b a=b\right\rangle .
$$

Similar to $I$, this ring has characteristic two and consists of four elements: $E=\{0, a, b, c\}$, with $c=a+b$. The addition and multiplication tables are as follows.

| + | 0 | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | 0 | $c$ | $b$ |
| $b$ | $b$ | $c$ | 0 | $a$ |
| $c$ | $c$ | $b$ | $a$ | 0 |


| $\times$ | 0 | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $a$ | 0 | $a$ | $a$ | 0 |
| $b$ | 0 | $b$ | $b$ | 0 |
| $c$ | 0 | $c$ | $c$ | 0 |

Observe that $E$ is a non-unital and noncommutative ring. It is a local ring whose maximal ideal is $J=\{0, c\}$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. We define a natural action of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ on $E$ by the rule $r 0=0 r=0$ and $r 1=1 r=r$ for all $r \in E$. For all $r \in E$, this action is distributive in the sense that $r(s \oplus t)=r s+r t$, where $\oplus$ denotes the addition in $\mathbb{F}_{2}, s, t \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$. Moreover, every $r \in E$ can be written as

$$
r=a s+c t
$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$. Denote by $\alpha_{E}: E \rightarrow E / J \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}$ the map of reduction modulo $J$. We have $\alpha_{E}(0)=\alpha_{E}(c)=0$ and $\alpha_{E}(a)=\alpha_{E}(b)=1$. This map can be extended in the natural way from $E^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$.

A (linear) code over $E$, or $E$-code, of length $n$ is a one-sided $E$-submodule of $E^{n}$. We also associate the two following binary codes with a code $\mathcal{C}$ over $E$ :

$$
\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})=\left\{\alpha_{E}(y) \mid y \in \mathcal{C}\right\} \text { and } \operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \mid c x \in \mathcal{C}\right\}
$$

called the residue and torsion codes of $\mathcal{C}$, respectively. Note that $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$. If $k_{1}$ is the dimension of $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$ and $k_{1}+k_{2}$ is the dimension of $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$, then

$$
|\mathcal{C}|=|\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})||\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})|=2^{2 k_{1}+k_{2}}
$$

As in $I$-codes, such a code $\mathcal{C}$ over $E$ is said to be of type $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$ and an $E$-code $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be free if and only if $k_{2}=0$, that is, $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})=\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$.

By Theorem 1 in [20], every code $C$ of length $n$ over $E$ is permutation-equivalent to a code with the generator matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a I_{k_{1}} & X & Y  \tag{7}\\
0 & c I_{k_{2}} & c Z
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{j}$ is the $j \times j$ identity matrix, $Z$ is a binary matrix, $X \in M_{k_{1} \times k_{2}}(E)$, and $Y \in$ $M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}(E)$. In fact, generator matrices of $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$ and tor $(\mathcal{C})$ are given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{1}} & \alpha_{E}(X) & \alpha_{E}(Y)
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{k_{1}} & \alpha_{E}(X) & \alpha_{E}(Y) \\
0 & I_{k_{2}} & Z
\end{array}\right),
$$

respectively.
We equip $E^{n}$ with the standard inner product $x \cdot y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}$, for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in E^{n}$. The right dual of $\mathcal{C}$ is the right module defined as

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{R}}=\left\{y \in E^{n} \mid \forall x \in \mathcal{C}, x \cdot y=0\right\}
$$

and the left dual of $\mathcal{C}$ is the left module defined by

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{L}}=\left\{y \in E^{n} \mid \forall x \in \mathcal{C}, y \cdot x=0\right\} .
$$

$\mathcal{C}$ is said to be left nice (respectively, right nice) if $|\mathcal{C}|\left|\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{L}}\right|=4^{n}$ (respectively, $|\mathcal{C}|\left|\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{R}}\right|=4^{n}$ ). If it is both left and right nice, it is said to be nice.

Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}$ is called right self-dual if $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{R}}$ and left self-dual if $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{L}}$. The two-sided dual of $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$, is given by $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}=\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{L}} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\perp_{R}}$. We have $\mathcal{C}$ is self-orthogonal if for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}, x \cdot y=0$, that is, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$, and self-dual if $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$. We see that if $\mathcal{C}$ is self-dual and of type $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$, then $n=2 k_{1}+k_{2}$.

The following lemma shows the relationship between the residue and torsion codes of a self-orthogonal code over $E$. The proof is given in [20].

Lemma 5. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a self-orthogonal code of length $n$ over $E$. Then,

1. $\quad \operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$ is self-orthogonal, i.e., $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp}$;
2. $\quad \operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp}$;
3. $\quad \operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp}$ if $|\mathcal{C}|=2^{n}$.

In addition, $\mathcal{C}$ is quasi self-dual (QSD) if it is self-orthogonal and of size $2^{n}$. By Remark 2 in [21], the notions of QSD codes and self-dual codes over $E$ are equivalent. We state the following theorems from [21].

Theorem 4 (Theorem 14 in [21]). If $\mathcal{C}$ is a linear code of length $n$ over $E$, then the following hold:

1. $\mathcal{C}$ is left self-dual if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is free and $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$ is self-dual.
2. $\mathcal{C}$ is right self-dual if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is of type $\{0, n\}$.

Theorem 5 (Theorem 15 in [21]). A linear code $\mathcal{C}$ over $E$ is self-dual if and only if $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})=\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})^{\perp}$.

## 5. Codes over $E$ with Prescribed Residue and Torsion

Similar to Section 2, we take $C_{1}$ to be a binary code of length $n$ with dimension $k_{1}$ and generator matrix (2) and $C_{2}$ be a binary code of length $n$ with dimension $k_{1}+k_{2}$ and has a generator matrix (3), where $A \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$, and $D \in M_{k_{2} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ is of full row rank. Also, $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2}$.

Lemma 6. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a code of length $n$ over $E$ with $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=C_{2}$. Then, there exists a matrix $N \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ such that the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}} & a A+c N  \tag{8}\\
0 & c D
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a generator matrix of $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a free code, such a matrix $N$ is unique.
Proof. Because the residue and torsion codes of $\mathcal{C}$ are $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, respectively, then for some $M_{1} \in M_{k_{1}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ and $M_{2} \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$,

$$
E^{k_{1}+k_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}}+c M_{1} & a A+c M_{2} \\
0 & c D
\end{array}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{C} .
$$

By an elementary row operation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} & \supseteq E^{k_{1}+k_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k_{1}}+c M_{1} & 0 \\
0 & I_{k_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}}+c M_{1} & a A+c M_{2} \\
0 & c D
\end{array}\right) \\
& =E^{k_{1}+k_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}} & a A+c\left(M_{2}+M_{1} A\right) \\
0 & c D
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $N=M_{2}+M_{1} A$, we have

$$
|\mathcal{C}| \geq\left|E^{k_{1}+k_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}} & a A+c N \\
0 & c D
\end{array}\right)\right|=2^{2 k_{1}+k_{2}}=\left|C_{1}\right|\left|C_{2}\right|=|\mathcal{C}| .
$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{C}$ has a generator matrix (8). The proof that such matrix $N$ is unique if $\mathcal{C}$ is a free code is similar to Lemma 1.

For the remainder of this section, assume that $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2} \subseteq C_{1}^{\perp}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k_{1}}+A A^{\top} & =0  \tag{9}\\
D A^{\top} & =0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (9) that $A$ is of full row rank. We define the mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{X}: M_{k \times m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) & \longrightarrow M_{k \times k}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \\
N & \longmapsto X N^{\top},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X \in M_{k \times m}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. Note that $\beta_{X}$ is surjective if $X$ is of full row rank.

Lemma 7. The number of free self-orthogonal codes over $E$ with residue code $C_{1}$ is

$$
2^{k_{1}\left(n-2 k_{1}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a free $E$-code with residue code $C_{1}$. By Lemma $6, \mathcal{C}$ has a generator matrix $\left(a I_{k_{1}} a A+c N\right)$, for some unique $N \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. Note that $\mathcal{C}$ is self-orthogonal if and only if

$$
\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+c N\right)\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+c N\right)^{\top}=0
$$

That is,

$$
\left(a I_{k_{1}}\right)\left(a I_{k_{1}}\right)+(a A+c N)(a A+c N)^{\top}=a\left(I_{k_{1}}+A A^{\top}\right)+c N A^{\top}=c N A^{\top}=0
$$

by (9). Since $c \neq 0$ and $N A^{\top}$ is a binary matrix, we have $N A^{\top}=0$. Hence, the number of free self-orthogonal codes $\mathcal{C}$ with residue code $C_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{N \in M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)} \mid A N^{\top}=0\right\}\right|=\left|\operatorname{ker} \beta_{A}\right|=\frac{\left|M_{k_{1} \times\left(n-k_{1}\right)}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Im} \beta_{A}\right|}=2^{k_{1}\left(n-k_{1}\right)-k_{1} k_{1}}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $A$ is of full row rank.
Similar to ring $I$, we define the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y & =\left\{\mathcal{C} \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq E^{n}, \text { type }\left\{k_{1}, 0\right\}, \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp}, \text { res }(\mathcal{C})=C_{1}\right\} \text { and } \\
Y^{\prime} & =\left\{\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \mid \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \subseteq E^{n}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime \perp}, \operatorname{res}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=C_{1}, \operatorname{tor}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)=C_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 8. Let $\mathcal{C} \in Y$. Then, there exists a unique code $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$ such that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.
Proof. Since $\mathcal{C} \in Y$, by Lemma $6, \mathcal{C}$ has a generator matrix $\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+c N\right)$ for some unique matrix $N$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}$ be a code with generator matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a I_{k_{1}} & a A+c N \\
0 & c D
\end{array}\right)
$$

The code $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}$ satisfies $\operatorname{res}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{C}_{2}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathcal{C} \in Y$, (10) implies $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}$ is self-orthogonal and hence, $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$. Suppose $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ for some $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$. Since $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has torsion code $\mathcal{C}_{2}, E^{k_{2}}(0 c D) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ by Lemma 6. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Moreover, $\left|\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}\right|=\left|C_{1}\right|\left|C_{2}\right|=2^{2 k_{0}+k_{1}}=\left|\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right|$. Hence, $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}=\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 9. If $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$, then $\left|\left\{\mathcal{C} \in Y \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\}\right|=2^{k_{1} k_{2}}$.
Proof. By Lemma $6, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has a generator matrix (8). Define the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi: M_{k_{1} \times k_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) & \longrightarrow\left\{\mathcal{C} \in X \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\} \\
M & \longmapsto E^{k_{1}}\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+c(N+M D)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\psi$ is well-defined. We will show that $\psi$ is bijective. If $M_{1}, M_{2} \in M_{k_{1} \times k_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ such that $\psi\left(M_{1}\right)=\psi\left(M_{2}\right)$, then

$$
E^{k_{1}}\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+c\left(N+M_{1} D\right)\right)=E^{k_{1}}\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+c\left(N+M_{2} D\right)\right)
$$

which means $a A+c\left(N+M_{1} D\right)=A+c\left(N+M_{2} D\right)$. Therefore, $N+M_{1} D=N+M_{2} D$. Since $D$ is of full row rank, we have $M_{1}=M_{2}$. Hence, $\psi$ is injective.

Suppose $\mathcal{C} \in Y$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 6, $\mathcal{C}=E^{k_{1}}\left(a I_{k_{1}} \quad a A+c F\right)$, for some matrix $F$. The inclusion $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ implies that

$$
a A+c F=a A+c(N+M D)
$$

for some matrix $M$. Therefore, $F=N+M D$. Hence, the map $\psi$ is surjective, and it follows that $\psi$ is bijective. Thus,

$$
\left|\left\{\mathcal{C} \in Y \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\}\right|=\left|M_{k_{1} \times k_{2}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)\right|=2^{k_{1} k_{2}}
$$

Now, we count self-orthogonal $E$-codes $\mathcal{C}$ with a given residue code and torsion code.
Theorem 6. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be binary codes of length $n$ where $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2} \subseteq C_{1}^{\perp}$. If $\operatorname{dim} C_{1}=k_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dim} C_{2}=k_{1}+k_{2}$, then the number of self-orthogonal $E$-codes $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ with res $(\mathcal{C})=C_{1}$ and $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=C_{2}$ is

$$
2^{k_{1}\left(n-2 k_{1}-k_{2}\right)} .
$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are binary codes with generator matrices (2) and (3), respectively. The codes $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ satisfy the conclusions (1)-(2) of Lemma 5. Now, we have to compute $\left|Y^{\prime}\right|$. By Lemmas 8 and 9, we have

$$
2^{k_{1} k_{2}}\left|Y^{\prime}\right|=\sum_{\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}}\left|\left\{\mathcal{C} \in Y \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\}\right|=\sum_{\mathcal{C} \in Y}\left|\left\{\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime} \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\}\right|=\sum_{\mathcal{C} \in Y} 1=|Y|
$$

The result follows from Lemma 7.

## 6. Mass Formula for Self-Orthogonal Codes over $I$ and $E$

Let $\Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right)$ denote the number of distinct self-orthogonal binary codes of length $n$ and dimension $k_{1}$, given in [28]. We define the Gaussian coefficient $\left[\begin{array}{c}n \\ m\end{array}\right]_{q}$ for $m \leq n$ as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
m
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\frac{\left(q^{n}-1\right)\left(q^{n}-q\right) \cdots\left(q^{n}-q^{m-1}\right)}{\left(q^{m}-1\right)\left(q^{m}-q\right) \cdots\left(q^{m}-q^{m-1}\right)},
$$

which gives the number of subspaces of dimension $m$ contained in an $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

We now have the following mass formula for self-orthogonal codes over $I$.
Theorem 7. Let $M_{I}\left(n, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ denote the number of distinct self-orthogonal codes of length $n$ over I of type $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$. We have

$$
M_{I}\left(n, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-k_{1} \\
k_{2}
\end{array}\right]_{2} 2^{k_{1}\left(n-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)}
$$

Proof. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a self-orthogonal code of length $n$ over $I$ of type $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$, then by setting $C_{1}=\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$ and $C_{2}=\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$, we see that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ satisfy Lemma 3 in [19] and $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$. There are $\Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right)$ self-orthogonal binary codes $C_{1}$ of length $n$. Given $C_{1}$, there are $\left[\begin{array}{c}n-k_{1} \\ k_{2}\end{array}\right]_{2}$ codes $C_{2}$ such that $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. Then, the result follows from Theorem 2.

We have the following mass formula for quasi self-dual codes over $I$ as a direct consequence of the previous theorem.

Corollary 2. The number of quasi self-dual codes of length $n$ over I is given by

$$
\sum_{0 \leq k_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-k_{1}  \tag{12}\\
n-2 k_{1}
\end{array}\right]_{2} 2^{k_{1}^{2}}
$$

Proof. Since quasi self-dual codes are self-orthogonal codes of type $\left\{k_{1}, n-2 k_{1}\right\}$, the number of quasi distinct self-dual codes of length $n$ over $I$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0 \leq k_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} M_{I}\left(n, k_{1}, n-2 k_{1}\right) & =\sum_{0 \leq k_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-k_{1} \\
n-2 k_{1}
\end{array}\right]_{2} 2^{k_{1}\left(n-k_{1}-n+2 k_{1}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq k_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-k_{1} \\
n-2 k_{1}
\end{array}\right]_{2} 2^{k_{1}\left(k_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that Corollary 2 agrees with Theorem 5 in [19].
Corollary 3. For an even integer $n$, the number of self-dual codes of length $n$ over $I$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(n, \frac{n}{2}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi\left(n, \frac{n}{2}\right)$ is the number of self-dual binary codes of length $n$.
Proof. By Theorem 3, the number of self-dual $I$-codes $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ depends on the number of self-dual binary residue codes and $\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})=\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$.

And we have the following mass formula for self-orthogonal codes over $E$.
Theorem 8. Let $M_{E}\left(n, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ denote the number of distinct self-orthogonal codes of length $n$ over $E$ of type $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$. We have

$$
M_{E}\left(n, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-2 k_{1} \\
k_{2}
\end{array}\right]_{2} 2^{k_{1}\left(n-2 k_{1}-k_{2}\right)} .
$$

Proof. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a self-orthogonal code of length $n$ over $E$ of type $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$, then by setting $C_{1}=\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C})$ and $C_{2}=\operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$, we see that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ satisfy (1)-(2) of Lemma 5 and $\operatorname{res}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \operatorname{tor}(\mathcal{C})$. There are $\Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right)$ self-orthogonal binary codes $C_{1}$ of length $n$. Given $C_{1}$, there are $\left[\begin{array}{c}n-2 k_{1} \\ k_{2}\end{array}\right]_{2}$ codes $C_{2}$ such that $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2} \subseteq C_{1}^{\perp}$. Then, the result follows from Theorem 6.

We now have the following mass formula for self-dual codes over $E$ as a direct consequence of the previous theorem.

Corollary 4. The number of self-dual codes of length n over E is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0 \leq k_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that self-dual codes are self-orthogonal codes of type $\left\{k_{1}, n-2 k_{1}\right\}$. Therefore, the number of distinct self-dual codes of length $n$ over $E$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0 \leq k_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} M_{E}\left(n, k_{1}, n-2 k_{1}\right) & =\sum_{0 \leq k_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-2 k_{1} \\
n-2 k_{1}
\end{array}\right] 2^{k_{1}\left(n-2 k_{1}-n+2 k_{1}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq k_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \Phi\left(n, k_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that Corollary 4 means classifying self-dual codes over $E$ reduces to a classification of binary self-orthogonal codes, which agrees with [20], page 13.

Corollary 5. The number of left self-dual codes of length $n$ over $E$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(n, \frac{n}{2}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 4, the number of left self-dual codes is given by

$$
M_{E}\left(n, \frac{n}{2}, 0\right)=\Phi\left(n, \frac{n}{2}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-2 \frac{n}{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right]_{2} 2^{\frac{n}{2}\left(n-2 \frac{n}{2}-0\right)}=\Phi\left(n, \frac{n}{2}\right),
$$

since left self-dual codes are free codes with self-dual binary residue codes.
Proposition 2. There is a unique right self-dual code over E of length $n$.
Proof. By Theorem 4, the code $\mathcal{C}=c \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ with type $\{0, n\}$ is the unique right self-dual code over $E$ of length $n$.

## 7. Classification

We illustrate how the mass formula can be used in the classification of self-orthogonal codes, that is, we find representatives for the equivalence classes of self-orthogonal codes for each length and type. The set of representatives $\mathscr{C}$ is complete if the mass formula in Theorem 7 or 8 equals

$$
\sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathscr{C}} \frac{n!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})|}
$$

where $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is the automorphism group of $\mathcal{C}$.
Example 2. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the set of self-orthogonal codes of length 3 over I of type $\{1,1\}$ given by the following generator matrices:

$$
\left\{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & a & 0 \\
0 & b & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & a & 0 \\
0 & b & b
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & a & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & c & 0 \\
0 & b & b
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & c & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & a & b \\
0 & b & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

The codes in $\mathscr{C}$ are inequivalent and each has an automorphism group of order 2. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathscr{C}} \frac{3!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})|}=6\left(\frac{6}{2}\right)=18
$$

From 7,

$$
M_{I}(3,1,1)=\Phi(3,1)\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{2} 2^{1(3-1-1)}=(3)(3)(2)=18
$$

which shows that there are exactly six self-orthogonal codes over I of length 3 and type $\{1,1\}$, up to equivalence.

Table 1 is the classification of self-orthogonal codes over $I$ for short lengths.
Table 1. Inequivalent self-orthogonal codes of length $n \leq 3$ over $I$.

| $n$ | $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$ | Generator Matrix | $\|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})\|$ | Weight Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\{0,1\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & b\end{array}\right)$ | 1 | [ $\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 1,1\rangle$ ] |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}b & b\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[<0,1\rangle,<2,1\rangle$ ] |
|  | \{0,2\} | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}b & 0 \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[<0,1\rangle,\langle 1,2\rangle,\langle 2,1\rangle$ ] |
|  | $\{1,0\}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & u \end{array}\right) \\ & u \in\{a, c\} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 2,3\rangle$ ] |
|  | $\{1,1\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & a \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 1,2\rangle,\langle 2,5\rangle$ ] |
| 3 | $\{0,1\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}b & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[<0,1\rangle,<1,1\rangle$ ] |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}b & b & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 2,1\rangle$ ] |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}b & b & b\end{array}\right)$ | 6 | $[<0,1\rangle,\langle 3,1\rangle$ ] |
|  | $\{0,2\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[<0,1\rangle,\langle 1,2\rangle,\langle 2,1\rangle$ ] |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}b & 0 & b \\ 0 & b & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,<1,1\rangle,<2,1\rangle,<3,1\rangle]$ |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}b & 0 & b \\ 0 & b & b\end{array}\right)$ | 6 | $[<0,1\rangle,\langle 2,3\rangle$ ] |
|  | $\{0,3\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b\end{array}\right)$ | 6 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,<1,3\rangle,<2,3\rangle,<3,1\rangle]$ |
|  | $\{1,0\}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & u & 0 \end{array}\right) \\ u \in\{a, c\} \end{gathered}$ | 2 | $[<0,1\rangle,<2,3\rangle]$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & u & b \end{array}\right) \\ u \in\{a, c\} \end{gathered}$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 2,1\rangle,\langle 3,2\rangle$ ] |
|  | $\{1,1\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & a & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 1,2\rangle,\langle 2,5\rangle]$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & u & 0 \\ 0 & b & b \end{array}\right) \\ u \in\{a, c\} \end{gathered}$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 2,5\rangle,\langle 3,2\rangle]$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{array}\right) \\ u \in\{a, c\} \end{gathered}$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 1,1\rangle,\langle 2,3\rangle,\langle 3,3\rangle]$ |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & a & b \\ 0 & b & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,<1,2\rangle,\langle 2,1\rangle,\langle 3,4\rangle]$ |
|  | $\{1,2\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & a & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 1,3\rangle,\langle 2,7\rangle,\langle 3,5\rangle]$ |

Example 3. Consider the self-orthogonal code $\mathcal{C}$ of length 3 over $E$ of type $\{1,1\}$ given by the generator matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c\end{array}\right)$. Its automorphism group has order two and hence,

$$
\frac{3!}{|A u t(\mathcal{C})|}=\frac{6}{2}=3 .
$$

From Theorem $8, M_{E}(3,1,1)=\Phi(3,1)\left[\begin{array}{c}3-2 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{2}^{2^{3-2-1}=3 \text {. Therefore, there is a unique }}$ self-orthogonal code over $E$ of length 3 and type $\{1,1\}$, up to equivalence.

We also have the classification of self-orthogonal codes over $E$ for short lengths in Table 2.

Table 2. Inequivalent self-orthogonal codes of length $n \leq 4$ over $E$. The generator matrices for the codes where $k_{1}=0$ are the same as those in Table 1, but with $b$ replaced with $c$.

| $n$ | $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$ | Generator Matrix | $\|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C})\|$ | Weight Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\{1,0\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & a\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 2,3\rangle$ ] |
| 3 | $\{1,0\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a & a & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | [ $\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 2,3\rangle$ ] |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & a & c\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[<0,1\rangle,\langle 2,1\rangle,<3,2\rangle$ ] |
|  | $\{1,1\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 1,1\rangle,<2,3\rangle,\langle 3,3\rangle$ ] |
| 4 | $\{1,0\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 4 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 2,3\rangle$ ] |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & a & a\end{array}\right)$ | 24 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 4,3\rangle$ ] |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & b & b\end{array}\right)$ | 8 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,<4,3\rangle$ ] |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & c & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 2,1\rangle,<3,2\rangle]$ |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & c & c\end{array}\right)$ | 4 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 2,1\rangle,\langle 4,2\rangle$ ] |
|  | $\{1,1\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 1,1\rangle,<2,3\rangle,\langle 3,3\rangle]$ |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & c\end{array}\right)$ | 4 | $[<0,1\rangle,<2,4\rangle,<4,3\rangle$ ] |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c\end{array}\right)$ | 2 | $[<0,1\rangle,<1,1\rangle,<2,1\rangle,\langle 3,3\rangle,\langle 4,2\rangle]$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \left(\begin{array}{cccc} a & u & a & u \\ 0 & 0 & c & c \end{array}\right) \\ u \in\{a, b\} \end{gathered}$ | 8 | $[<0,1\rangle,\langle 2,2\rangle,<4,5\rangle]$ |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & c\end{array}\right)$ | 4 | $[<0,1\rangle,\langle 2,2\rangle,<3,4\rangle,<4,1\rangle]$ |
|  | \{1,2\} | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c\end{array}\right)$ | 4 | $[\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 1,2\rangle,\langle 2,4\rangle,\langle 3,6\rangle,\langle 4,3\rangle$ ] |
|  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & a & a \\ 0 & c & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & c\end{array}\right)$ | 24 | $[<0,1\rangle,<2,6\rangle,<4,9\rangle]$ |
|  | $\{2,0\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{llll}a & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & a\end{array}\right)$ | 8 | $[<0,1\rangle,\langle 2,6\rangle,<4,9\rangle]$ |

The generators, order of automorphism group, and weight distribution of inequivalent self-orthogonal $I$-codes for $n=4,5$ and inequivalent self-orthogonal $E$-codes for $n=5$ may be requested by the interested reader from the authors.

In Table 3, we give a summary of the number of inequivalent self-orthogonal codes for small lengths over both the rings $I$ and $E$ of every possible type. The computations were performed in the MAGMA computer algebra system [29] using the so-called additive generator matrix, similar to the method used in [19,20].

Table 3. Number of inequivalent self-orthogonal codes over $I$ and $E$ of length $n \leq 5$. Entries marked with * are QSD codes, and those marked with ** are self-dual codes.

| $n$ | $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$ | I-Codes | Remark | E-Codes | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | \{0,1\} | 2 |  | 2 |  |
|  | $\{0,2\}$ | 1 | * | 1 | **, [20] |
|  | \{1,0\} | 2 | *, [19] | 1 | **, [20] |
|  | $\{1,1\}$ | 1 | ** | - |  |
| 3 | \{0,1\} | 3 |  | 3 |  |
|  | $\{0,2\}$ | 3 |  | 3 |  |
|  | $\{0,3\}$ | 1 | * | 1 | **, [20] |
|  | $\{1,0\}$ | 4 |  | 2 |  |
|  | \{1,1\} | 6 | *, [19] | 1 | **, [20] |
|  | $\{1,2\}$ | 1 |  | - |  |
| 4 | $\{0,1\}$ | 4 |  | 4 |  |
|  | $\{0,2\}$ | 6 |  | 6 |  |
|  | $\{0,3\}$ | 4 |  | 4 |  |
|  | $\{0,4\}$ | 1 | * | 1 | **, (Table 1 in [20]) |
|  | $\{1,0\}$ | 9 |  | 5 |  |
|  | \{1,1\} | 23 |  | 6 |  |
|  | $\{1,2\}$ | 14 | * | 2 | **, (Table 1 in [20]) |
|  | \{1,3\} | 2 |  | - |  |
|  | \{2,0\} | 10 | * | 1 | **, (Table 1 in [20]) |
|  | \{2,1\} | 7 |  | - |  |
|  | $\{2,2\}$ | 1 | ** | - |  |
| 5 | \{0,1\} | 5 |  | 5 |  |
|  | $\{0,2\}$ | 10 |  | 10 |  |
|  | $\{0,3\}$ | 10 |  | 10 |  |
|  | $\{0,4\}$ | 5 |  | 5 |  |
|  | $\{0,5\}$ | 1 | * | 1 | **, (Table 2 in [20]) |
|  | $\{1,0\}$ | 14 |  | 8 |  |
|  | \{1,1\} | 59 |  | 18 |  |
|  | \{1,2\} | 66 |  | 12 |  |
|  | \{1,3\} | 24 | * | 2 | **, (Table 2 in [20]) |
|  | \{1,4\} | 2 |  | - |  |
|  | \{2,0\} | 36 |  | 3 |  |
|  | \{2,1\} | 60 | * | 1 | **, (Table 2 in [20]) |
|  | \{2,2\} | 17 |  | - |  |
|  | \{2,3\} | 1 |  | - |  |

## 8. Conclusions and Open Problems

In the present paper, we have derived mass formulas for self-dual and self-orthogonal codes over two non-unitary rings of order four, namely, $E$ and $I$ in the notation of Fine [23]. These formulas have been employed to classify these codes in short lengths and small types. To reach higher lengths would require more computer power or sharper algorithms.

A natural generalization would be to consider similar rings of order $p^{2}$ for $p$ being a prime greater than 2.
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