

Article

Boundedness and Compactness of Weighted Composition Operators from α -Bloch Spaces to Bers-Type Spaces on Generalized Hua Domains of the First Kind

Jiaqi Wang and Jianbing Su *

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China; 15935305160@163.com

* Correspondence: sujib@jssu.edu.cn

Abstract: We address weighted composition operators ψC_ϕ from α -Bloch spaces to Bers-type spaces of bounded holomorphic functions on Y , where Y is a generalized Hua domain of the first kind, and obtain some necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness and compactness of those operators.

Keywords: generalized Hua domain of the first type; α -Bloch space; Bers-type space; weighted composition operators; boundedness and compactness

MSC: 32A27; 47B33

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $H(\Omega)$ the class of all holomorphic functions on Ω . Then, consider a holomorphic self-map ϕ of Ω and a function $\psi \in H(\Omega)$. The linear operator

$$(\psi C_\phi f)(z) = \psi(z)f(\phi(z)),$$

is referred to as a weighted composition operator for $f \in H(\Omega)$. If $\psi(z) \equiv 1$, it reduces to the composition operator, whereas for $\phi(z) = z$, it becomes the multiplication operator. For any given holomorphic function f , $(\psi C_\phi f)(z)$ represents a generalized composition/multiplication operator. The reader is referred to book [1] for an extensive introduction to the topic.

In this paper, we study the boundedness and the compactness of weighted composition operators from α -Bloch spaces $\mathcal{B}^\alpha$ to Bers-type spaces built on generalized Hua domains of the first kind. On GHE_I the α -Bloch space $\mathcal{B}^\alpha$ consists of all $f \in H(\text{GHE}_I)$, such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} := |f(0,0)| + \sup_{(Z,\xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha |\nabla f(Z,\xi)| < \infty,$$

where

$$\nabla f(Z,\xi) = \left(\frac{\partial f(Z,\xi)}{\partial z_{11}}, \frac{\partial f(Z,\xi)}{\partial z_{12}}, \dots, \frac{\partial f(Z,\xi)}{\partial z_{mn}}, \frac{\partial f(Z,\xi)}{\partial \xi_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f(Z,\xi)}{\partial \xi_r} \right).$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$ is a Banach space.

In 1930, Cartan [2] was the first to characterize the six types of irreducible bounded symmetric domains, which consist of four types of bounded symmetric classical domains, also referred to as Cartan domains, and two exceptional domains, whose complex dimension are 16 and 27, respectively. The Cartan domains are defined as follows:



Citation: Wang, J.; Su, J. Boundedness and Compactness of Weighted Composition Operators from α -Bloch Spaces to Bers-Type Spaces on Generalized Hua Domains of the First Kind. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 4403. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11204403>

Academic Editor: Teodor Bulboacă

Received: 2 September 2023

Revised: 18 October 2023

Accepted: 19 October 2023

Published: 23 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}_I(m, n) &:= \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} : I_m - Z\bar{Z}' > 0\}, \\
\mathfrak{R}_{II}(p) &:= \left\{Z \in \mathbb{C}^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} : I_m - Z\bar{Z}' > 0, Z = Z'\right\}, \\
\mathfrak{R}_{III}(q) &:= \left\{Z \in \mathbb{C}^{\frac{q(q-1)}{2}} : I_m - Z\bar{Z}' > 0, Z = -Z'\right\}, \\
\mathfrak{R}_{IV}(n) &:= \left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : 1 + |zz'|^2 - 2z\bar{z}' > 0, 1 - |zz'|^2 > 0\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where Z' denotes the transpose of Z , $\bar{Z}$ denotes the conjugate of Z , and m, n, p, q are positive integers. In 1998, building on the notion of bounded symmetric domains, Yin and Roos constructed a new type of domain called the Cartan–Hartogs domain [3], and Yin introduced the so-called Hua domains [4], which include the Cartan–Hartogs domains, the Cartan–Egg domains, the Hua domains, the generalized Hua domains, and the Hua construction. The generalized Hua domains are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{GHE}_I(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_r; m, n; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r; k) \\
&= \left\{ \xi_j \in \mathbb{C}^{N_j}, Z \in \mathfrak{R}_I(m, n) : \sum_{j=1}^r |\xi_j|^{2p_j} < \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k, j = 1, 2, \dots, r \right\} \\
&\text{GHE}_{II}(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_r; p; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r; k) \\
&= \left\{ \xi_j \in \mathbb{C}^{N_j}, Z \in \mathfrak{R}_{II}(p) : \sum_{j=1}^r |\xi_j|^{2p_j} < \det(I - Z\bar{Z})^k, j = 1, 2, \dots, r \right\} \\
&\text{GHE}_{III}(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_r; q; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r; k) \\
&= \left\{ \xi_j \in \mathbb{C}^{N_j}, Z \in \mathfrak{R}_{III}(q) : \sum_{j=1}^r |\xi_j|^{2p_j} < \det(I + Z\bar{Z})^k, j = 1, 2, \dots, r \right\} \\
&\text{GHE}_{IV}(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_r; n; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r; k) \\
&= \left\{ \xi_j \in \mathbb{C}^{N_j}, z \in \mathfrak{R}_{IV}(n) : \sum_{j=1}^r |\xi_j|^{2p_j} < (1 + |zz'|^2 - 2z\bar{z}')^k, j = 1, 2, \dots, r \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_j = (\xi_{j1}, \dots, \xi_{jN_j})$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, $\mathfrak{R}_I(m, n)$, $\mathfrak{R}_{II}(p)$, $\mathfrak{R}_{III}(q)$, $\mathfrak{R}_{IV}(n)$ denote, respectively, the Cartan domains of the first type, second type, third type, and fourth type, Z' denotes the transpose of Z , $\bar{Z}$ denotes the conjugate of Z , $N_1, \dots, N_r, m, n, p, q$ are positive integers, and $p_1, \dots, p_r$ are positive real numbers. For $k = 1, m = 1, p_1 = \dots = p_r = 1$, the generalized Hua domain of the first kind reduces to the unit ball. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $N_j = 1$, then $\xi_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)$ and $\|\xi\|_p^2 = \sum_{j=1}^r |\xi_j|^{2p_j}$. We define

$$\langle \xi, t \rangle_p = \langle \xi_1, t_1 \rangle^{p_1} + \langle \xi_2, t_2 \rangle^{p_2} + \dots + \langle \xi_r, t_r \rangle^{p_r}.$$

We also write

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \xi, t \rangle_p| &\leq |\langle \xi_1, t_1 \rangle^{p_1}| + |\langle \xi_2, t_2 \rangle^{p_2}| + \dots + |\langle \xi_r, t_r \rangle^{p_r}| \\
&\leq |\xi_1|^{p_1} |t_1|^{p_1} + \dots + |\xi_r|^{p_r} |t_r|^{p_r} \\
&= |\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle| \leq |\alpha| |\beta| = \|\xi\|_p \|t\|_p,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\xi_i|^{p_i} = \alpha_i$, $|t_i|^{p_i} = \beta_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$), $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$.

For the sake of convenience, the four types of generalized Hua domains will be referred to as GHE_I , GHE_{II} , GHE_{III} , and GHE_{IV} .

On GHE_I , a Bers-type space $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ consists of all $f \in H(\text{GHE}_I)$, such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} := \sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^\beta] |f(Z, \xi)| < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$.

The boundedness and the compactness of weighted composition operators on (or between) spaces of holomorphic functions on various domains have received considerable attention. Wang and Liu [5] studied the boundedness and the compactness of the weighted composition operators on the Bers-type space on the open unit disc, whereas Zhou and Xu [6] characterized the boundedness and the compactness of the weighted composition operators between α -Bloch space and β -Bloch space, Li [7] investigated the boundedness and the compactness of the weighted composition operators from Hardy space to Bers-type space, and Zhu [8] characterized the boundedness and compactness of $D_{\phi,u}^n : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty$. For the unit poly-disk, Li and Stević [9,10] presented some necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness and the compactness of the weighted composition operators between H^∞ and α -Bloch space, whereas for the open unit ball, Li and Stević [11] studied the boundedness and the compactness of the weighted composition operators between H^∞ and Bloch space (see also [12–15]).

The boundness and compactness of weighted composition have wide applications in differential equations, functional analysis, numerical mathematics, and control theory. For example, in differential equations, the compactness of the operator plays a vital role in proving the global existence of weak/strong solutions of fluid mechanics, see for example, the well-known Aubin–Lions argument [16]; in functional analysis, the compactness of the operator is crucial for the existence of critical points in studying the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions of nonlinear Dirac equations [17]; in numerical mathematics, the boundness and compactness of the operator are applied in an implicit robust numerical scheme with graded meshes for the modified Burgers model with nonlocal dynamic properties [18], a space-time spectral order sinc-collocation method for the fourth-order nonlocal heat model arising in viscoelasticity [19], and a high-order and efficient numerical technique for the nonlocal neutron diffusion equation representing neutron transport in a nuclear reactor [20].

Jiang [21] has characterized the boundedness and the compactness of the weighted composition operators on the Bers-type space on the Hua domains. Yet, the boundedness and the compactness of the weighted composition operators from α -Bloch to $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ have not been studied in detail. In this paper, we obtain some necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness and the compactness of the weighted composition operators from α -Bloch to $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ on generalized Hua domain of the first kind by using a generalization of Hua's inequalities.

2. Preliminaries

Lemma 1. Let $\beta > 0$, then

$$|f(Z, \xi)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}}{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta}, \quad (1)$$

for all $(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$.

Proof. By the very definition of Bers-type space $\mathcal{A}_\beta$, we know that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} = \sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |f(Z, \xi)| < \infty,$$

and so,

$$|f(Z, \xi)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}}{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta}.$$

□

Lemma 2. Let $0 < a \leq 1, 0 < b \leq 1$, and $b \leq a$, with q as a positive integer, then

$$a - b \leq q(a^{\frac{1}{q}} - b^{\frac{1}{q}}). \quad (2)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} a - b &= (a^{\frac{1}{q}})^q - (b^{\frac{1}{q}})^q \\ &= (a^{\frac{1}{q}} - b^{\frac{1}{q}})(a^{\frac{1}{q} \times (q-1)} + a^{\frac{1}{q} \times (q-2)}b^{\frac{1}{q}} + \dots + b^{\frac{1}{q} \times (q-1)}) \\ &\leq q(a^{\frac{1}{q}} - b^{\frac{1}{q}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3 (see [22]). Let $x \geq -1$, if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then

$$(1+x)^\alpha \leq 1 + \alpha x, \quad (3)$$

if $\alpha < 0$ or $\alpha > 1$, then

$$(1+x)^\alpha \geq 1 + \alpha x, \quad (4)$$

and “=” holds if and only if $x = 0$ or $\alpha = 1$.

Lemma 4 (see [22]). Let $a_k \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then

$$(a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m}{m}, \quad (5)$$

where the equality holds if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_m$.

Lemma 5 (see [22]). Let $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$, if $p \geq 1$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^p \leq \left[\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| \right]^p \leq n^{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^p. \quad (6)$$

If $0 < p < 1$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^p \geq \left[\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| \right]^p \geq n^{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^p, \quad (7)$$

where the equality holds if and only if $p > 1$, then $|a_1| = \cdots = |a_n|$. If $p = 1$, the equality always holds. If $0 < p < 1$, then at most one of the $a_1, \dots, a_n$ is not zero.

Lemma 6 (see [23]). Let

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \cdots & z_{1n} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & \cdots & z_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{m1} & z_{m2} & \cdots & z_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

be an $m \times n$ matrix ($m \leq n$). Then, there exists an $m \times m$ unitary matrix U and an $n \times n$ unitary matrix V , such that

$$Z = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} V \quad (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_m \geq 0),$$

and

$$Z\bar{Z}' = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_m^2 \end{pmatrix} \bar{U}',$$

where $\lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_m^2$ are the characteristic values of $Z\bar{Z}'$. $I - Z\bar{Z}' > 0 \iff \lambda_1 < 1$.

Lemma 7 (see [23]). Let

$$\Lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_m \end{pmatrix} \quad (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m \geq 0),$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mu_m \end{pmatrix} \quad (\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_m \geq 0),$$

satisfying

$$\lambda_j \mu_k < 1 \quad (j, k = 1, \dots, m).$$

Then, there exists a square matrix P , such that

$$\inf_{U\bar{U}'=I, V\bar{V}'=I} |\det(I - \Lambda_1 U \Lambda_2 \bar{U}' V)| = |\det(I - \Lambda_1 P \Lambda_2 P')|,$$

and the minimum value is obtained for $U = \Theta P$ and $V = I$, where

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{i\theta_m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 8 (see [22], the Minkowski inequality of integration formula). Let $a_k, b_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\left[\prod_{k=1}^n (a_k + b_k) \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \left(\prod_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (8)$$

where the equal sign holds if and only if $a_k = cb_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 9. Let p_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) be positive integers, $0 < km \leq 1$, and $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$1 - \det(I - t^2 Z\bar{Z}')^k + \|t\bar{\xi}\|_p^2 \leq t^2 \left[1 - \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \|\bar{\xi}\|_p^2 \right],$$

for $(Z, \bar{\xi}) \in \text{GHE}_I$.

Proof. Decomposition in polar coordinates gives

$$\det(I - tZ\bar{Z}')^k = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - t\lambda_i^2)^k.$$

Given $\lambda_i^2 = \hbar_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we may consider the function

$$f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - t\hbar_i)^k, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

$$\ln f(t) = k \sum_{i=1}^m \ln(1 - t\hbar_i).$$

Upon differentiating with respect to t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= f(t)k \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{-\hbar_i}{1 - t\hbar_i} \leq 0, \\ f''(t) &= f'(t)k \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{-\hbar_i}{1 - t\hbar_i} - f(t)k \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\hbar_i^2}{(1 - t\hbar_i)^2} \\ &= f(t)k^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\hbar_i}{1 - t\hbar_i} \right)^2 - f(t)k \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\hbar_i^2}{(1 - t\hbar_i)^2} \\ &= f(t)k \left[k \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\hbar_i}{1 - t\hbar_i} \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\hbar_i^2}{(1 - t\hbar_i)^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

An application of (6) then gives

$$\begin{aligned} f''(t) &= f(t)k \left[k \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\hbar_i}{1 - t\hbar_i} \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\hbar_i^2}{(1 - t\hbar_i)^2} \right] \\ &\leq f(t)k \left[(km - 1) \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\hbar_i^2}{(1 - t\hbar_i)^2} \right] \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f(t)$ is a concave function. It follows that

$$g(t) = 1 - f(t) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - t\hbar_i)^k, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

is a convex function, and we have

$$1 - \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - t\hbar_i)^k \leq t \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \hbar_i)^k \right]. \quad (9)$$

The very definition of $\|\xi\|_p^2$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|t\xi\|_p^2 &= |t\xi_1|^{2p_1} + |t\xi_2|^{2p_2} + \dots + |t\xi_r|^{2p_r} \\ &\leq t^2 (|\xi_1|^{2p_1} + |\xi_2|^{2p_2} + \dots + |\xi_r|^{2p_r}) \\ &= t^2 \|\xi\|_p^2. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Hence, by inequalities (9) and (10), we obtain

$$1 - \det(I - t^2 Z \bar{Z}')^k + \|t\xi\|_p^2 \leq t^2 \left[1 - \det(I - Z \bar{Z}')^k + \|\xi\|_p^2 \right].$$

□

Lemma 10. Let us consider $0 < mk \leq 1$, some positive intergers p_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, r$), $t \in [0, 1]$, $(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I$, $q = \max\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$. Then, the following inequality holds

$$|(\overline{Z}, \overline{\xi})| \leq M \sqrt{1 - \det(I - Z\overline{Z}')^{\frac{k}{q}} + \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{2}{q}}},$$

where $M = \max\{\sqrt{\frac{q}{k}}, \sqrt{r^{1-\frac{1}{q}}}\}$.

Proof. If $t \in [0, 1]$, $\forall (Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I$, then $(tZ, t\xi) \in \text{GHE}_I$, $|Z|^2 = \text{tr}(Z\overline{Z}') = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_m^2$. By Lemma 4 and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \det(I - Z\overline{Z}')^{\frac{k}{q}} &= \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i^2)^{\frac{k}{q}} = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i^2)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right\}^{\frac{mk}{q}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{m} (m - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^2) \right\}^{\frac{mk}{q}} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^2 \right)^{\frac{mk}{q}} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{mk}{q} \cdot \frac{1}{m} |Z|^2 \\ &= 1 - \frac{k}{q} |Z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$|Z|^2 \leq \frac{q}{k} [1 - \det(I - Z\overline{Z}')^{\frac{k}{q}}]. \quad (11)$$

Using (7), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{2}{q}} &= (|\xi_1|^{2p_1} + |\xi_2|^{2p_2} + \dots + |\xi_r|^{2p_r})^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\geq r^{\frac{1}{q}-1} (|\xi_1|^{\frac{2p_1}{q}} + |\xi_2|^{\frac{2p_2}{q}} + \dots + |\xi_r|^{\frac{2p_r}{q}}) \\ &\geq r^{\frac{1}{q}-1} (|\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2 + \dots + |\xi_r|^2) \\ &= r^{\frac{1}{q}-1} |\xi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$|\xi|^2 \leq r^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{2}{q}}. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, by combining (11) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\overline{Z}, \overline{\xi})| &= \sqrt{|Z|^2 + |\xi|^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{q}{k} [1 - \det(I - Z\overline{Z}')^{\frac{k}{q}}] + r^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{2}{q}}} \\ &\leq M \sqrt{1 - \det(I - Z\overline{Z}')^{\frac{k}{q}} + \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{2}{q}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $M = \max\{\sqrt{\frac{q}{k}}, \sqrt{r^{1-\frac{1}{q}}}\}$. $\square$

Lemma 11. Given $0 < km \leq 1$, p_j some positive integers ($j = 1, 2, \dots, r$), $\forall (Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I$, $q = \max\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$, and f a holomorphic function on $\mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$, then there exists a constant C , such that

$$|f(Z, \xi)| \leq \begin{cases} C\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} & 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ C\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \ln \frac{2q}{\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2} & \alpha = 1 \\ C\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \frac{1}{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^{\alpha-1}} & \alpha > 1 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where $(Z, \xi) = (z_{11}, z_{12}, \dots, z_{mn}, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r)$.

Proof. According to Lemmas 2 and 9 and (13),

$$\begin{aligned} |f(Z, \xi)| &= |f(0, 0) + \int_0^1 \langle \nabla f(tZ, t\xi), \overline{(Z, \xi)} \rangle dt| \\ &\leq |f(0, 0)| + \int_0^1 |\nabla f(tZ, t\xi)| |\overline{(Z, \xi)}| dt \\ &= |f(0, 0)| + |\overline{(Z, \xi)}| \int_0^1 \frac{[\det(I - t^2 Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|t\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha |\nabla f(tZ, t\xi)|}{[\det(I - t^2 Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|t\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha} dt \\ &\leq \left[1 + \int_0^1 \frac{|\overline{(Z, \xi)}|}{[\det(I - t^2 Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|t\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha} dt \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &= \left[1 + \int_0^1 \frac{|\overline{(Z, \xi)}|}{[1 - (1 - \det(I - t^2 Z\bar{Z}')^k + \|t\xi\|_p^2)]^\alpha} dt \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &\leq \left[1 + M \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1 - \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^{\frac{k}{q}} + \|\xi\|_p^{\frac{2}{q}}}}{[1 - t^2(1 - \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \|\xi\|_p^2)]^\alpha} dt \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &\leq \left[1 + M \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{q}(\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2)}}{[1 - t^2(1 - \frac{1}{q}(\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2))]^\alpha} dt \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &= \left[1 + M \int_0^1 \frac{\Im}{[1 - t^2 \Im^2]^\alpha} dt \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &= \left[1 + M \int_0^1 \frac{\Im}{[(1 - t\Im)(1 + t\Im)]^\alpha} dt \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &\leq \left[1 + M \int_0^1 \frac{\Im}{(1 - t\Im)^\alpha} dt \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Im = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{q}(\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2)}$.

Case 1: $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(Z, \xi)| &\leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{M}{1-\alpha} [1 - (1 - \Im)^{1-\alpha}] \right\} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{M}{1-\alpha} \right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $C = 1 + \frac{M}{1-\alpha}$.

Case 2: $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(Z, \xi)| &\leq \left[1 + M \int_0^1 \frac{\Im}{1-t\Im} dt \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\
 &= \left[1 + M \ln \frac{1}{1-\Im} \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\
 &= \left[1 + M \ln \frac{1+\Im}{(1-\Im)(1+\Im)} \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\
 &\leq \left[1 + M \ln \frac{2}{1-\Im^2} \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\ln 2} \ln \frac{2}{1-\Im^2} + M \ln \frac{2}{1-\Im^2} \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\ln 2} + M \right] \ln \frac{2}{1-\Im^2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\
 &= C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \ln \frac{2q}{\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2},
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $C = \frac{1}{\ln 2} + M$.

Case 3: $\alpha > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(Z, \xi)| &\leq \left[1 + \frac{M}{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1}{(1-\Im)^{\alpha-1}} - 1 \right) \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\
 &\leq \left[C' + C' \left(\frac{1}{(1-\Im)^{\alpha-1}} - 1 \right) \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\
 &= C' \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \frac{1}{(1-\Im)^{\alpha-1}} \\
 &= C' \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \frac{(1+\Im)^{\alpha-1}}{[(1-\Im)(1+\Im)]^{\alpha-1}} \\
 &\leq 2^{\alpha-1} C' \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \frac{1}{(1-\Im^2)^{\alpha-1}} \\
 &= C \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \frac{1}{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^{\alpha-1}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $C = (2q)^{\alpha-1} C'$, $C' = \max\{1, \frac{M}{\alpha-1}\}$.

By combining (15)–(17), the proof of the Lemma is complete. $\square$

Lemma 12. Let $\phi = (\phi_{11}, \phi_{12}, \dots, \phi_{mn+r})$ be a holomorphic self-map of GHE_I and $\psi \in H(\text{GHE}_I)$. The weighted composition operator $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact if and only if ψC_ϕ is bounded and for any bounded sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$ converging to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of GHE_I , $\|\psi C_\phi f_n\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Assume that $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact. Let $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$ and $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of GHE_I as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If $\|\psi C_\phi f_n\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} \not\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$ of $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, such that

$$\inf_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|\psi C_\phi f_{n_j}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} > 0.$$

Since ψC_ϕ is compact, there exists a subsequence of the bounded sequence $\{f_{n_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$ (without loss of generality, we still write $\{f_{n_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$), such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi C_\phi f_{n_j} - f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} = 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I).$$

Let K be a compact subspace of GHE_I . From Lemma 1, it follows that

$$|(\psi C_\phi f_{n_j} - f)(Z, \xi)| \leq \frac{\|\psi C_\phi f_{n_j} - f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}}{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta}, \quad j \rightarrow \infty$$

for $\forall (Z, \xi) \in K \subset \text{GHE}_I$. Thus, $\psi C_\phi f_{n_j} - f \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on K . This means that for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N_1 > 0$, such that for $j > N_1$, we have

$$|\psi(Z, \xi)f_{n_j}(\phi(Z, \xi)) - f(Z, \xi)| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $(Z, \xi) \in K$. Since $f_{n_j} \rightrightarrows 0$ on compact subsets of GHE_I as $j \rightarrow \infty$, there also exists a positive integer N_2 , $|f_{n_j}(\phi(Z, \xi))| < \varepsilon$ for $(Z, \xi) \in K$ whenever $j > N_2$. Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ and $M = \max_{(Z, \xi) \in K} |\psi(Z, \xi)|$, whenever $j > N$, we have

$$|f(Z, \xi)| \leq |f_{n_j}(\phi(Z, \xi))| \max_{(Z, \xi) \in K} |\psi(Z, \xi)| + \varepsilon \leq (M + 1)\varepsilon, \quad \forall (Z, \xi) \in K.$$

From the arbitrariness of ε , we obtain $f(Z, \xi) \equiv 0$, $\forall (Z, \xi) \in K$. By the uniqueness theorem of analytic functions, we have $f(Z, \xi) \equiv 0$, $\forall (Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I$. This shows that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi C_\phi f_{n_j}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} = 0$, which contradicts the assumption $\inf_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|\psi C_\phi f_{n_j}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} > 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$, then $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \leq D_1$ for all n . Clearly $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of GHE_I . By Montel's theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$ of $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, such that $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on every compact subset of GHE_I and $f \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$. For all $(Z_0, \xi_0) \in \text{GHE}_I$, there exists a compact set $K_{(Z_0, \xi_0)}$, such that $(Z_0, \xi_0) \in K_{(Z_0, \xi_0)} \subset \text{GHE}_I$. By Weierstrass' theorem and because $f_{n_j} \rightrightarrows f$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for $(Z, \xi) \in K_{(Z_0, \xi_0)}$, we obtain $\nabla f_{n_j} \rightrightarrows \nabla f$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then, there exists a $J_0 > 0$, such that for $j > J_0$, we have $|\nabla f_{n_j}(Z, \xi) - \nabla f(Z, \xi)| < 1$ for $(Z, \xi) \in K_{(Z_0, \xi_0)}$. In addition, $|\nabla f(Z_0, \xi_0)| \leq |\nabla f(Z_0, \xi_0) - \nabla f_{n_j}(Z_0, \xi_0)| + |\nabla f_{n_j}(Z_0, \xi_0)|$, which suffices to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [\det(I - Z_0\bar{Z}_0')^k - \|\xi_0\|_p^2]^\alpha |\nabla f(Z_0, \xi_0)| \\ & \leq [\det(I - Z_0\bar{Z}_0')^k - \|\xi_0\|_p^2]^\alpha |\nabla f(Z_0, \xi_0) - \nabla f_{n_j}(Z_0, \xi_0)| \\ & + [\det(I - Z_0\bar{Z}_0')^k - \|\xi_0\|_p^2]^\alpha |\nabla f_{n_j}(Z_0, \xi_0)| \\ & \leq 1 + \|f_{n_j}\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ & \leq 1 + D_1. \end{aligned}$$

For all $(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I$, $[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha |\nabla f(Z, \xi)| \leq 1 + D_1$. We thus have $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \leq 1 + D_1$ and $\|f_{n_j} - f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \leq \|f_{n_j}\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \leq 2D_1 + 1$ and $f_{n_j} - f \rightrightarrows 0$ on every compact subset of GHE_I as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi C_\phi(f_{n_j} - f)\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi C_\phi f_{n_j} - \psi C_\phi f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} = 0,$$

which shows that $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact. $\square$

Lemma 13. Let $(Z, \xi), (S, t) \in \text{GHE}_I$, if $0 < km \leq 1$, then

$$\det(I_m - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \det(I_m - S\bar{S}')^k \leq 2|\det(I_m - Z\bar{S}')^k|, \quad (18)$$

and “=” holds if and only if $(Z, \xi) = (S, t)$. If $km > 1$, then

$$\det(I_m - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \det(I_m - S\bar{S}')^k \leq 2^{mk} |\det(I_m - Z\bar{S}')^k|. \quad (19)$$

Proof. For $m = n$, since $(Z, \xi), (S, t) \in \text{GHE}_1$, there exists two $m \times m$ unitary matrices U_1, U_2 and two $n \times n$ unitary matrices V_1, V_2 (by Lemma 6), such that

$$Z = U_1 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_m \end{pmatrix} V_1 = U_1 \Lambda_1 V_1 \quad (1 > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m \geq 0)$$

$$S = U_2 \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mu_m \end{pmatrix} V_2 = U_2 \Lambda_2 V_2 \quad (1 > \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_m \geq 0).$$

Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \det(I - Z\bar{S}') &= \det(I - U_1 \Lambda_1 V_1 \bar{V}_2' \bar{\Lambda}_2' \bar{U}_2') \\ &= \det(U_1 \bar{U}_1' - U_1 \Lambda_1 V_1 \bar{V}_2' \bar{\Lambda}_2' \bar{U}_2') \\ &= \det U_1 \det(\bar{U}_1' - \Lambda_1 V_1 \bar{V}_2' \bar{\Lambda}_2' \bar{U}_2') \\ &= \det(I - \Lambda_1 V_1 \bar{V}_2' \bar{\Lambda}_2' V_2 \bar{V}_1' V_1 \bar{V}_2' \bar{U}_2' U_1). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7, there exists a square matrix P , such that

$$|\det(I - Z\bar{S}')| \geq |\det(I - \Lambda_1 P \Lambda_2 P')| = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i \mu_{k_i}),$$

where $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m$ is a permutation of $1, 2, \dots, m$.

If $0 < km \leq 1$, and using (7) and Lemma 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| &= 2^{1-mk} \cdot 2^{mk} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| \\ &= 2^{1-mk} [2^m |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|]^k \\ &\geq 2^{1-mk} \left[2^m \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i \mu_{k_i}) \right]^k \\ &\geq 2^{1-mk} \left\{ \left[\prod_{i=1}^m [(1 - \lambda_i^2) + (1 - \mu_{k_i}^2)] \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \right\}^{mk} \\ &\geq 2^{1-mk} \left\{ \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} + \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \mu_{k_i}^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \right\}^{mk} \\ &\geq 2^{1-mk} \cdot 2^{mk-1} \left\{ \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{m} \times mk} + \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \mu_{k_i}^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{m} \times mk} \right\} \\ &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i^2) \right]^k + \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \mu_{k_i}^2) \right]^k \\ &= \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \det(I - S\bar{S}')^k. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

If $km > 1$, by using (6) and Lemma 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 2^{mk} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| &= [2^m |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|]^k \\
 &\geq \left[2^m \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i \mu_{k_i}) \right]^k \\
 &\geq \left\{ \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m [(1 - \lambda_i^2) + (1 - \mu_{k_i}^2)] \right\}^{\frac{1}{m}} \right\}^{mk} \\
 &\geq \left\{ \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} + \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \mu_{k_i}^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \right\}^{mk} \\
 &\geq \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{m} \times mk} + \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \mu_{k_i}^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{m} \times mk} \\
 &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \lambda_i^2) \right]^k + \left[\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \mu_{k_i}^2) \right]^k \\
 &= \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \det(I - S\bar{S}')^k.
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

For $m < n$, there exists a unitary matrix $U^{(n)}$, such that

$$Z = (Z_1^{(m)}, 0)U, \quad S = (S_1^{(m)}, S_2)U.$$

According to (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| &= 2 |\det(I - Z_1 \bar{S}_1')^k| \\
 &\geq \det(I - Z_1 \bar{Z}_1')^k + \det(I - S_1 \bar{S}_1')^k \\
 &\geq \det(I - Z_1 \bar{Z}_1')^k + \det(I - S_1 \bar{S}_1' - S_2 \bar{S}_2')^k \\
 &= \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \det(I - S\bar{S}')^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the inequality

$$2 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| \geq \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \det(I - S\bar{S}')^k, \tag{22}$$

holds when $m \leq n$, whereas the equal sign holds if and only if $Z = S$.

According to (21), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 2^{mk} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| &= 2^{mk} |\det(I - Z_1 \bar{S}_1')^k| \\
 &\geq \det(I - Z_1 \bar{Z}_1')^k + \det(I - S_1 \bar{S}_1')^k \\
 &\geq \det(I - Z_1 \bar{Z}_1')^k + \det(I - S_1 \bar{S}_1' - S_2 \bar{S}_2')^k \\
 &= \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \det(I - S\bar{S}')^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the inequality

$$2^{mk} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| \geq \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \det(I - S\bar{S}')^k, \tag{23}$$

holds when $m \leq n$, with the equality holding if and only if $Z = S$ and $mk = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 14. Assume $(Z, \xi), (S, t) \in \text{GHE}_I$ and $0 < km \leq 1$, then

$$[\det(I_m - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2] + [\det(I_m - S\bar{S}')^k - \|t\|_p^2] \leq 2 |\det(I_m - Z\bar{S}')^k| - \|\xi\|_p \|t\|_p, \tag{24}$$

with equality that holds if and only if $(Z, \xi) = (S, t)$.

Proof. Starting from the inequality $a^2 + b^2 \geq 2ab$, we obtain

$$\|\xi\|_p^2 + \|t\|_p^2 \geq 2\|\xi\|_p\|t\|_p.$$

Then, by (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| - \|\xi\|_p\|t\|_p &= 2|\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| - 2\|\xi\|_p\|t\|_p \\ &\geq \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k + \det(I - S\bar{S}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2 - \|t\|_p^2 \\ &= [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2] + [\det(I - S\bar{S}')^k - \|t\|_p^2]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 15. Assume $(Z, \xi), (S, t) \in \text{GHE}_I$ and $0 < km \leq 1$, then

$$\left[\det(I_m - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2 \right] \left[\det(I_m - S\bar{S}')^k - \|t\|_p^2 \right] \leq \|\det(I_m - Z\bar{S}')^k - \|\xi\|_p\|t\|_p\|^2, \quad (25)$$

Proof. By the elementary inequality $\frac{a+b}{2} \geq \sqrt{ab}$ and Lemma 14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\det(I_m - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2 \right] \left[\det(I_m - S\bar{S}')^k - \|t\|_p^2 \right] \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{[\det(I_m - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2] + [\det(I_m - S\bar{S}')^k - \|t\|_p^2]}{2} \right\}^2 \\ &\leq \|\det(I_m - Z\bar{S}')^k - \|\xi\|_p\|t\|_p\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 16 (see [24]). Assume $Z, S \in \mathfrak{R}_I(m, n)$, then there exists a constant C , such that

$$|\det(I_m - Z\bar{S}')| \left\{ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq g \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} |\text{tr}[(I_m - Z\bar{S}')^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}']|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C, \quad (26)$$

where I_{gl} is an $m \times n$ matrix, where the elements of the g th row and l th column are one and the other elements are zero.

3. Boundedness of $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta$

Theorem 1. Assume that $\alpha = 1, \beta > 0, 0 < km \leq 1$, and that p_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, r$) are positive integers. Let $\phi = (\phi_{11}, \phi_{12}, \dots, \phi_{mn+r})$ be a holomorphic self-map of GHE_I , with $\psi \in H(\text{GHE}_I)$ and $(Z_\phi, \xi_\phi) = \phi(Z, \xi)$. If

$$K_1 := \sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} |\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \ln \frac{2q}{\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2} < \infty. \quad (27)$$

Then, the weighted composition operator $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is bounded.

Conversely, if the weighted composition operator $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is bounded, then

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 := \sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} |\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^{1-k} \\ \times \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Proof. Assume that (27) holds. By Lemma 11 and for $f \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f)(Z, \xi)| \\ &= [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi)| |f(\phi(Z, \xi))| \\ &\leq C |\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \times \ln \frac{2q}{\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &\leq CK_1 \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

For all $(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I$, we have

$$\|\psi C_\phi f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} = \sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f)(Z, \xi)| \leq CK_1 \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha},$$

which implies that $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is bounded.

Conversely, assume that $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is bounded. For any $(S, t) \in \text{GHE}_I$, let us introduce a test function $f_{(S, t)} \in H(\text{GHE}_I)$, such that

$$f_{(S, t)}(Z, \xi) := \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k} \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi, t \rangle_p}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_{(S, t)}}{\partial z_{gl}} &= \frac{k \cdot \det(I - Z\bar{S}')^{k-1} \cdot \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k}}{\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi, t \rangle_p} \times \det(I - Z\bar{S}') \text{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}')^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}'], \\ &1 \leq g \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n, \\ \frac{\partial f_{(S, t)}}{\partial \xi_j} &= \frac{\det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k} \cdot p_j \bar{\xi}_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}}{\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi, t \rangle_p}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (18), it follows that

$$2|\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|^{\frac{1}{m}} \geq \det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^{\frac{1}{m}} + \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{m(1-k)} [|\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|^{\frac{1}{m}}]^{m(1-k)} &\geq [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^{\frac{1}{m}} + \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{\frac{1}{m}}]^{m(1-k)} \\ &\geq [\det(I - S\bar{S}')^{\frac{1}{m}}]^{m(1-k)}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$2^{m(1-k)} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|^{1-k} \geq \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k}. \quad (29)$$

According to (29) and Lemmas 14 and 16, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2| |\nabla f_{(S,t)}(Z, \xi)| \\
&= \frac{\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi, t \rangle_p|} \times \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k} \times \left\{ k^2 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^{k-1}|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq g \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}') \operatorname{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}')^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}']|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \left. \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2] \times \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k}}{||\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| - |\langle \xi, t \rangle_p||} \times \left\{ k |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|^{k-1} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\sum_{\substack{1 \leq g \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|^2 |\operatorname{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}')^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}']|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left. \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]}{||\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k| - \|\xi\|_p \|t\|_p|} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ k C_1 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|^{k-1} \times \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k} + \left[\sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k} \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{2[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]}{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2] + [\det(I - S\bar{S}')^k - \|t\|_p^2]} \times \left\{ 2^{m(1-k)} k C_1 + \left[\sum_{j=1}^r |p_j|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{2[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]}{\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2} \times \left\{ 2^{m(1-k)} k C_1 + \left[\sum_{j=1}^r |p_j|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\
&\leq 2 \times \left\{ 2^{m(1-k)} k C_1 + \left[\sum_{j=1}^r |p_j|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\
&\leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $f_{(S,t)}(0,0) \leq \ln 2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_{(S,t)}\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} &= |f_{(S,t)}(0,0)| + \sup_{(Z,\xi) \in \text{GHE}_1} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha |\nabla f_{(S,t)}(Z, \xi)| \\
&\leq C + \ln 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\infty &> (C + \ln 2) \|\psi C_\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta} \\
&\geq \|\psi C_\phi f_{(S,t)}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} \\
&= \sup_{(Z,\xi) \in \text{GHE}_1} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi) f_{(S,t)}(\phi(Z, \xi))| \\
&\geq |\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k} \times \left| \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi_\phi, t \rangle_p} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider

$$(S, t) = (Z_\phi, \xi_\phi) = \phi(Z, \xi),$$

so that

$$\sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} |\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^{1-k} \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2} < \infty.$$

The proof is thus completed. $\square$

Theorem 2. Assume that $\alpha > 1, \beta > 0, 0 < km \leq 1$, and that p_j are positive integers ($j = 1, 2, \dots, r$). Let $\phi = (\phi_{11}, \phi_{12} \dots \phi_{mn+r})$ be a holomorphic self-map of GHE_I , with $\psi \in H(\text{GHE}_I)$ and $(Z_\phi, \xi_\phi) = \phi(Z, \xi)$. If

$$K_3 := \sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} |\psi(Z, \xi)| \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta}{[\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2]^{\alpha-1}} < \infty, \quad (30)$$

then, the weighted composition operator $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is bounded.

Conversely, if the weighted composition operator $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is bounded, then,

$$K_4 := \sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} |\psi(Z, \xi)| \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^{1-k}}{[\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2]^{\alpha-1}} < \infty. \quad (31)$$

Proof. Assume that (30) holds. By Lemma 11 and for $f \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f)(Z, \xi)| &= [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi) \cdot (C_\phi f)(Z, \xi)| \\ &= [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi)| |f(\phi(Z, \xi))| \\ &\leq C |\psi(Z, \xi)| \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta}{[\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2]^{\alpha-1}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &\leq CK_3 \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

For all $(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I$, we obtain

$$\|\psi C_\phi f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} = \sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f)(Z, \xi)| \leq CK_3 \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}.$$

This implies that $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is bounded.

Conversely, assume that $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is bounded. For $(S, t) \in \text{GHE}_I$, define a test function $f_{(S, t)} \in H(\text{GHE}_I)$, such that

$$f_{(S, t)}(Z, \xi) := \frac{\det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k}}{[\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi, t \rangle_p]^{\alpha-1}}.$$

For the test function f , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_{(S, t)}}{\partial z_{gl}} &= \frac{k(\alpha - 1) \cdot \det(I - Z\bar{S}')^{k-1} \cdot \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k}}{[\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi, t \rangle_p]^\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \det(I - Z\bar{S}') \text{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}')^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}'], \quad 1 \leq g \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n, \\ \frac{\partial f_{(S, t)}}{\partial \xi_j} &= \frac{(\alpha - 1) p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j} \cdot \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k}}{[\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi, t \rangle_p]^\alpha}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r. \end{aligned}$$

From (29) and Lemmas 14 and 16, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2|^\alpha |\nabla f_{(S,t)}(Z, \xi)| \\
 &= \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi, t \rangle_p|^\alpha} \times \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k} \times (\alpha - 1) \times \left\{ k^2 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^{k-1}|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq g \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}') \operatorname{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}')^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}']|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \left. \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha \times \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k}}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi, t \rangle_p|^\alpha} \times (\alpha - 1) \times \left\{ k |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|^{k-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left[\sum_{\substack{1 \leq g \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|^2 |\operatorname{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}')^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}']|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left. \right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}')^k - \|\xi\|_p \|t\|_p|^\alpha} \times (\alpha - 1) \times \left\{ k C_1 \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}')|^{k-1} \right. \\
 &\quad + \left[\sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k} \left. \right\} \\
 &\leq \left\{ \frac{2[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]}{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2] + [\det(I - S\bar{S}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]} \right\}^\alpha (\alpha - 1) \times (k C_1 2^{m(1-k)} + C_2) \\
 &\leq \left\{ \frac{2[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]}{\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^p - \|\xi\|_p^2} \right\}^\alpha (\alpha - 1) \times (k C_1 2^{m(1-k)} + C_2) \\
 &\leq 2^\alpha (\alpha - 1) C_3 \\
 &= C_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_{(S,t)}(0,0) \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f_{(S,t)}\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} &= |f_{(S,t)}(0,0)| + \sup_{(Z,\xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha |\nabla f_{(S,t)}(Z, \xi)| \\
 &\leq C_4 + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \infty &> (C_4 + 1) \|\psi C_\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta} \geq \|\psi C_\phi f_{(S,t)}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} \\
 &= \sup_{(Z,\xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi) f_{(S,t)}(\phi(Z, \xi))| \\
 &\geq |\psi(Z, \xi)| \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \det(I - S\bar{S}')^{1-k}}{|\det(I - Z\phi\bar{S}')^k - \langle \xi_\phi, t \rangle_p|^{\alpha-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We write $(S, t) = (Z_\phi, \xi_\phi) = \phi(Z, \xi)$, then

$$\sup_{(Z,\xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} |\psi(Z, \xi)| \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \det(I - Z_\phi\bar{Z}_\phi')^{1-k}}{[\det(I - Z_\phi\bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2]^{\alpha-1}} < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Corollary 1. For $\alpha > 1$, $k = m = 1$, $p_1 = \dots = p_r = 1$, we have the case of the unit ball $\mathbf{B} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+r} : |z|^2 < 1\}$ and $\psi_{C_\phi} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\mathbf{B})$ is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{B}} \frac{|\psi(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\beta}{(1 - |\phi(z)|^2)^{\alpha-1}} < \infty,$$

when $\beta = 0$. This result is equivalent to that obtained by Li and Stević in [11].

4. Compactness of $\psi_{C_\phi} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta$

Theorem 3. Assume that $\alpha = 1$, $\beta > 0$, $0 < km \leq 1$, and that p_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, r$) are positive integers. Let $\phi = (\phi_{11}, \phi_{12}, \dots, \phi_{mn+r})$ be a holomorphic self-map of GHE_I , with $\psi \in H(\text{GHE}_I)$ and $(Z_\phi, \xi_\phi) = \phi(Z, \xi)$. If $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and

$$\lim_{\phi(Z, \xi) \rightarrow \partial \text{GHE}_I} |\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \ln \frac{2q}{\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2} = 0, \quad (32)$$

then the weighted composition operator $\psi_{C_\phi} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact.

Conversely, if the weighted composition operator $\psi_{C_\phi} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact, then $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and

$$\lim_{\phi(Z, \xi) \rightarrow \partial \text{GHE}_I} |\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^{1-k} \times \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Proof. Assume that (32) holds. We have

$$\sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} |\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \ln \frac{2q}{\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2} < \infty.$$

If ψ_{C_ϕ} is bounded, consider the bounded sequence $\{f_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ in $\mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$, which converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of GHE_I . Hence, there exists $M_1 > 0$, such that $\|f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \leq M_1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. By (32), this means that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta \in (0, 1)$, such that for $\text{dist}(\phi(Z, \xi), \partial \text{GHE}_I) < \delta$, we have

$$|\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \ln \frac{2q}{\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2} < \varepsilon. \quad (34)$$

According to Lemma 11, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2|^\beta |(\psi_{C_\phi} f_k)(Z, \xi)| \\ &= [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi) \cdot (C_\phi f_k)(Z, \xi)| \\ &= [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi)| |f_k(\phi(Z, \xi))| \\ &\leq C |\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \ln \frac{2q}{\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2} \\ &\leq CM_1 \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

On the other hand, let us introduce the set

$$E_\delta := \{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I : \text{dist}(\phi(Z, \xi), \partial \text{GHE}_I) \geq \delta\},$$

which is a compact subset of GHE_I . By the assumptions, f_k converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subset of GHE_I . From this, and since $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$, for such ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2|^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f_k)(Z, \xi)| \\ &= |\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2|^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi) \cdot (C_\phi f_k)(Z, \xi)| \\ &= |\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2|^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi)| |f_k(\phi(Z, \xi))| \\ &\leq \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Combining (35) and (36), we have

$$\|\psi C_\phi f_k\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} = \sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} |\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2|^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f_k)(Z, \xi)| \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently, making use of Lemma 12, we finally have that $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact.

Conversely, suppose $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact. Let $f \equiv 1$, we have

$$|\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2|^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi)| = |\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2|^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f)(Z, \xi)| < \infty.$$

This shows that $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$. Consider now a sequence $(S^i, t^i) = \phi(Z^i, \xi^i)$ in GHE_I , such that $\phi(Z^i, \xi^i) \rightarrow \partial \text{GHE}_I$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. If such a sequence does not exist, then condition (33) obviously holds. Moreover, let us introduce the following sequence of test functions $\{f_i\}_{i \geq 1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(Z, \xi) &= \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \times \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p} \right\}^2 \\ &\quad \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^{1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_{gl}} &= \frac{2k \times \det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{k-1} \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^{1-k}}{\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p} \times \frac{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p}}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\ &\quad \times \det(I - Z\bar{S}^i) \times \text{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}^i], \quad 1 \leq g \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n, \\ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \xi_j} &= \frac{2p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j} \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^{1-k}}{\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p} \times \frac{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p}}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r. \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

From (29) and Lemmas 14 and 16, there exists a constant $C_5 > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2| |\nabla f_i(Z, \xi)| \\
&= \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2] \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^{1-k}}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|} \times \left| \frac{\ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|}}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \right| \\
&\times \left\{ 4k^2 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{k-1}|^2 \right. \\
&\times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq g \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)' \operatorname{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}^i']|^2 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \bar{\xi}_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \Big\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2] [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^{1-k}]}{\|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p\|} \times \frac{\left| \frac{\ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|}}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \right| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
&\times \left\{ 2k |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{k-1}| \right. \\
&\times \left[\sum_{\substack{1 \leq g \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)' \operatorname{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}^i']|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2 \left[\sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \bar{\xi}_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big\} \\
&\leq \frac{\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2}{\|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p\|} \times \frac{\left| \frac{\ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|}}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \right| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
&\times \left\{ 2k C_5 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{k-1}| \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^{1-k} + 2 \left[\sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \bar{\xi}_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^{1-k} \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{2[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]}{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2] + [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t\|_p^2]} \times \frac{\left| \frac{\ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|}}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \right| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
&\times \left\{ 2k C_5 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{k-1}| \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^{1-k} + C_6 \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{2[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]}{\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2} \times \frac{\left| \frac{\ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|}}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \right| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \times (2k C_5 \cdot 2^{m(1-k)} + C_6) \\
&\leq 2 \times (2k C_5 \cdot 2^{m(1-k)} + C_6) \times \frac{\left| \frac{\ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|}}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \right| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
&\leq C_7 \times \frac{\left| \frac{\ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|}}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \right| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now have two cases.

Case A₁. If $|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p| \leq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\left| \ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|} \right| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} &\leq \frac{\ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k| - |\langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|} + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k| - \|\xi\|_p \|t^i\|_p} + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\ln \frac{4}{[\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k] - \|\xi\|_p^2 + [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]} + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\ln \frac{4}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
 &\leq 2 + \frac{\pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
 &\leq C_8,
 \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where $C_8 = 2 + \frac{\pi}{\ln 2}$.

Case A₂. If $|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p| > 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\left| \ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|} \right| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} &= \frac{|\ln 2 - \ln |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\ln |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\ln(|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k| + |\langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|) + \pi}{\ln 2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Since $Z\bar{S}^{i'} = C = (c_{ij})_{m \times m}$, $c_{ij} = \sum_{g=1}^n z_{ig} s_{jg}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, m$), we may write $I - C = D = (d_{ij})_{m \times m}$ with

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 - \left(\sum_{g=1}^n z_{ig} s_{jg} \right) & i = j \\ - \sum_{g=1}^n z_{ig} s_{jg} & i \neq j \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

Using (39), we have $\det(I - C) = \sum_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m} (-1)^{\tau(j_1 j_2 \dots j_m)} d_{1j_1} d_{2j_2} \dots d_{mj_m}$ and

$$|\det(I - C)| \leq m!(n+1)^m = G$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\left| \ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|} \right| + \pi}{\ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}} \leq \frac{\ln(G^k + \|\xi\|_p \|t^i\|_p) + \pi}{\ln 2} \leq \frac{\ln(G^k + 1) + \pi}{\ln 2} \leq C_9.$$

By using both cases A_1 and A_2 , we have $[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}') - \|\xi\|_p^2] |\nabla f_i(Z, \xi)| \leq QC_7$ and then $\|f_i\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \leq QC_7$, which means that $\|f_i\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}$ is bounded, where $Q = \max\{C_8, C_9\}$. It follows that $\{f_i\}_{i \geq 1} \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |f_i(Z, \xi)| &= \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \times \left| \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p} \right|^2 \\ &\quad \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &\leq \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \left| \ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|} \right| + \pi \right\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p| \leq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_i(Z, \xi)| &\leq \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|} + \pi \right\}^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|\xi\|_p \|t^i\|_p} + \pi \right\}^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \ln \frac{4}{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2] + [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]} + \pi \right\}^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \ln \frac{4}{\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2} + \pi \right\}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \leq 1$, we take $i \rightarrow \infty$ and obtain $(S^i, t^i) \rightarrow \partial \text{GHE}_I$. This implies $\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2 \rightarrow 0$, then $\left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \rightarrow 0$. Let us now consider a compact subset E of GHE_I . For $(Z, \xi) \in E$, it is easy to see that $\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k -$

$\|\xi\|_p^2$ has a positive lower bound. Thus, we have $f_i(Z, \xi) \rightrightarrows 0$, $i \rightarrow \infty$ on all compact subsets of GHE_I . If $|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p| > 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_i(Z, \xi)| &\leq \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ |\ln 2 - \ln(|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|)| + \pi \right\}^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \ln(|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^{i'})^k| + |\langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|) + \pi \right\}^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &\quad \times \{\ln(G^k + 1) + \pi\}^2 \end{aligned}$$

From $0 < \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \leq 1$ and $\left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, one concludes that $f_i(Z, \xi) \rightarrow 0$, $i \rightarrow \infty$.

The above proof shows that $f_i(Z, \xi) \rightrightarrows 0$, $i \rightarrow \infty$ on all compact subsets of GHE_I . By Lemma 12, this implies that $\|\psi C_\phi f_i\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leftarrow \|\psi C_\phi f_i\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} \\ &= \sup_{\phi(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi)| \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left| \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi_\phi, t^i \rangle_p} \right|^2 \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &\geq [\det(I - Z^i \bar{Z}^{i'})^k - \|\xi^i\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z^i, \xi^i)| \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2} \right\}^2 \times \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \\ &= |\psi(Z^i, \xi^i)| [\det(I - Z^i \bar{Z}^{i'})^k - \|\xi^i\|_p^2]^\beta \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^{1-k} \times \ln \frac{2}{\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4. Assume that $\alpha > 1, \beta > 0, 0 < km \leq 1$, and that p_j are some positive integers ($j = 1, 2, \dots, r$). Let $\phi = (\phi_{11}, \phi_{12} \dots \phi_{mn+r})$ be a holomorphic self-map of GHE_I , with $\psi \in H(\text{GHE}_I)$ and $(Z_\phi, \xi_\phi) = \phi(Z, \xi)$. If $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and

$$\lim_{\phi(Z, \xi) \rightarrow \partial \text{GHE}_I} \frac{|\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta}{[\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2]^{\alpha-1}} = 0, \quad (40)$$

then the weighted composition operator $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact.

Conversely, if the weighted composition operator $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact, then $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and

$$\lim_{\phi(Z, \xi) \rightarrow \partial \text{GHE}_I} \frac{|\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta}{[\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2]^{\alpha - \frac{1}{k}}} = 0. \quad (41)$$

Proof. Assume that (40) holds. We have

$$\sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} \frac{|\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta}{[\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2]^{\alpha - 1}} < \infty.$$

From Theorem 2, it follows that $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is bounded. Let $\{f_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$ with f_k that converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of GHE_I . There exists $M_2 > 0$, such that $\|f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \leq M_2$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. By (40), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $\delta \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$\frac{|\psi(Z, \xi)| [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta}{[\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2]^{\alpha - 1}} < \varepsilon, \quad (42)$$

for $\text{dist}(\phi(Z, \xi), \partial \text{GHE}_I) < \delta$. Using Lemma 11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f_k)(Z, \xi)| \\ &= [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi) \cdot (C_\phi f_k)(Z, \xi)| \\ &= [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi)| |f_k(\phi(Z, \xi))| \\ &\leq C |\psi(Z, \xi)| \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \frac{[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta}{[\det(I - Z_\phi \bar{Z}_\phi')^k - \|\xi_\phi\|_p^2]^{\alpha - 1}} \\ &\leq CM_2 \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

On the other hand, if we set

$$E_\delta := \{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I : \text{dist}(\phi(Z, \xi), \partial \text{GHE}_I) \geq \delta\},$$

we have that E_δ is a compact subset of GHE_I . For ε defined in (42), f_k converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subset of GHE_I . For $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f_k)(Z, \xi)| \\ &= [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi) \cdot (C_\phi f_k)(Z, \xi)| \\ &= [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi)| |f_k(\phi(Z, \xi))| \\ &\leq \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

According to inequalities (43) and (44), we see that

$$\|\psi C_\phi f_k\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} = \sup_{(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f_k)(Z, \xi)| \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently, making use of Lemma 12, we have that $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact.

Conversely, suppose that $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is compact. Then, $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\text{GHE}_I)$ is bounded. Let $f \equiv 1$, we obtain

$$[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi)| = [\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |(\psi C_\phi f)(Z, \xi)| < \infty.$$

This shows that $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$. Consider now a sequence $(S^i, t^i) = \phi(Z^i, \xi^i)$ in GHE_I such that $\phi(Z^i, \xi^i) \rightarrow \partial \text{GHE}_I$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. If such a sequence does not exist, then condition (41) obviously holds.

Moreover, let us introduce a sequence of test functions $\{f_i\}_{i \geq 1}$:

$$f_i(Z, \xi) := \frac{[\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\alpha}}{[\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p]^{2\alpha-1}}.$$

Differentiation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_{gl}} &= \frac{(2\alpha-1)k \cdot \det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{k-1} [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\alpha}}{[\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p]^{2\alpha}} \\ &\quad \times \det(I - Z\bar{S}^i) \text{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}^i], \\ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \xi_j} &= \frac{(2\alpha-1)p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j} [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\alpha}}{[\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p]^{2\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (29) and Lemma 15, it follows that there exists a constant $C_{10} > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha |\nabla f_i(Z, \xi)| \\ &= \frac{(2\alpha-1)[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\alpha}}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|^{2\alpha}} \times \left\{ k^2 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{k-1}|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq g \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i) \text{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}^i]|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \Big\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{(2\alpha-1)[\det(I - Z\bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\alpha [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^\alpha}{|\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|^{2\alpha}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ k |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{k-1}| \times [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{\substack{1 \leq g \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i) \text{tr}[(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{-1} I_{gl} \bar{S}^i]|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \left. + \left[\sum_{j=1}^r |p_j \xi_j^{p_j-1} \bar{t}_j^{p_j}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1} \right\} \\ &\leq (2\alpha-1) \times \left\{ k \cdot C_1 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{k-1}| [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^k]^{\frac{1}{k}-1} + C_{10} \right\} \\ &\leq (2\alpha-1) \times \left\{ k \cdot C_1 |\det(I - Z\bar{S}^i)^{k-1}| \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^i)^{1-k} + C_{10} \right\} \\ &\leq (2\alpha-1) \times \left\{ C_1 \cdot k \cdot 2^{m(1-k)} + C_{10} \right\} \\ &\leq C''. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f_i \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\text{GHE}_I)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |f_i(Z, \xi)| &= \frac{[\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\alpha}}{|\det(I - Z \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|^{2\alpha-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{[\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\alpha}}{||\det(I - Z \bar{S}^{i'})^k| - |\langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p||^{2\alpha-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{[\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\alpha}}{|\det(I - Z \bar{S}^{i'})^k| - \|\xi\|_p \|t^i\|_p^{2\alpha-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{2\alpha-1} [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\alpha}}{[\det(I - Z \bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2 + \det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{2\alpha-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{2\alpha-1} [\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\alpha}}{[\det(I - Z \bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^{2\alpha-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $i \rightarrow \infty$, we have $(S^i, t^i) \rightarrow \partial \text{GHE}_I$. This implies that $\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2 \rightarrow 0$. If E is a compact subset of GHE_I , for $(Z, \xi) \in E$, we have that $\det(I - Z \bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2$ has a positive lower bound. Thus, we have $f_i(Z, \xi) \rightarrow 0$, $i \rightarrow \infty$ on all compact subsets of GHE_I . According to Lemma 12, we have that $\|\psi C_\phi f_i\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leftarrow \|\psi C_\phi f_i\|_{\mathcal{A}_\beta} &= \sup_{\phi(Z, \xi) \in \text{GHE}_I} [\det(I - Z \bar{Z}')^k - \|\xi\|_p^2]^\beta |\psi(Z, \xi)| \frac{[\det(I - S^i \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \|t^i\|_p^2]^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\alpha}}{|\det(I - Z \bar{S}^{i'})^k - \langle \xi, t^i \rangle_p|^{2\alpha-1}} \\ &\geq [\det(I - Z^i \bar{Z}^{i'})^k - \|\xi^i\|_p^2]^\beta \frac{|\psi(Z^i, \xi^i)|}{[\det(I - Z_\phi^i \bar{Z}_\phi^{i'})^k - \|\xi_\phi^i\|_p^2]^{\alpha-\frac{1}{k}}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2. For $\alpha > 1$, $k = m = 1$, $p_1 = \dots = p_r = 1$, we are back to the case of the unit ball $\mathbf{B} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+r} : |z|^2 < 1\}$, and $\psi C_\phi : \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\beta(\mathbf{B})$ is compact if and only if $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and

$$\lim_{\phi(z) \rightarrow \partial B} \frac{|\psi(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^\beta}{(1 - |\phi(z)|^2)^{\alpha-1}} = 0,$$

when $\beta = 0$. Also, in this case, the result is analog to that obtained by Li and Stević in [11].

Author Contributions: Writing—original draft, J.W. and J.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by The National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Numbers: 11771184; Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province, Grant/Award Numbers: KYCX20 2210.

Data Availability Statement: Our arguments and results are all self innovative except for citations and we don't have any experimental data.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Cowen, C.; MacCluer, B. *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1995.
2. Cartan, E. Sur les domaines bornés homogènes de l'espace de n variables complexes. In *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1935; Volume 11, pp. 116–162.

3. Yin, W. *From Cartan Domains to Hua Domains*; Capital Normal University Press: Beijing, China, 2003. (In Chinese)
4. Yin, W.; Su, J. Extremal problem on super-Cartan domain of the first type. *Compt. Var.* **2003**, *48*, 441–452.
5. Wang, M.; Liu, Y. Weighted composition operators between Bers-type spaces. *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A* **2007**, *27*, 665–671.
6. Xu, N.; Zhou, Z. Difference of weighted composition operators from α -Bloch spaces to β -Bloch spaces. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **2021**, *51*, 2237–2250. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Li, S. Weighted composition operators from Hardy space to the Bers-type space. *J. Huzhou Norm. Univ.* **2004**, *1*, 8–11.
8. Zhu, X. Generalized weighted composition operators from Bloch spaces into Bers-type spaces. *Filomat* **2012**, *26*, 1163–1169. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Li, S.; Stević, S. Weighted composition operators from H^∞ to the Bloch space on the polydisc. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2007**, *2007*, 048478. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Li, S.; Stević, S. Weighted composition operators from α -Bloch space to H^∞ on the polydisc. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **2007**, *28*, 911–925. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Li, S.; Stević, S. Weighted composition operators between H^∞ and α -Bloch spaces in the unit ball. *Taiwan. J. Math.* **2008**, *12*, 1625–1639. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Li, S.; Wulan, H.; Zhao, R.; Zhu, K. A characterization of Bergman spaces on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. *Glas. Math. J.* **2009**, *51*, 315–330. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Li, H.; Liu, P. Weighted composition operators between H^∞ and generally weighted Bloch spaces on polydisks. *Int. J. Math.* **2010**, *21*, 687–699. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Zhang, M.; Chen, H. Weighted composition operators of H^∞ into α -Bloch spaces on the unit ball. *Acta. Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **2009**, *25*, 265–278. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Zhu, X. Generalized weighted composition operators from H^∞ to the logarithmic Bloch space. *Filomat* **2016**, *30*, 3867–3874. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Wu, J.; Xu, X.; Ye, Z. Global smooth solutions to the n-dimensional damped models of incompressible fluid mechanics with small initial datum. *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **2015**, *25*, 157–192. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Ding, Y.; Liu, X. Periodic waves of nonlinear Dirac equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2014**, *109*, 252–267. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Tian, Q.; Yang, X.; Zhang, H.; Xu, D. An implicit robust numerical scheme with graded meshes for the modified Burgers model with nonlocal dynamic properties. *Comput. Appl. Math.* **2023**, *42*, 246. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Yang, X.; Wu, L.; Zhang, H. A space-time spectral order sinc-collocation method for the fourth-order nonlocal heat model arising in viscoelasticity. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2023**, *457*, 128192. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Wang, W.; Zhang, H.; Jiang, X.; Yang, X. A high-order and efficient numerical technique for the nonlocal neutron diffusion equation representing neutron transport in a nuclear reactor. *Ann. Nucl. Energy* **2024**, *195*, 110163. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Jiang, Z.; Li, Z. Weighted composition operators on Bers-type spaces of Loo-Keng Hua domains. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **2020**, *57*, 583–595.
22. Kung, J. *Applied Inequalities*; Shandong Science and Technology Press: Qingdao, China, 2004. (In Chinese)
23. Lu, Q. *The Classical Manifolds and the Classical Domains*; Science Press: Beijing, China, 2011. (In Chinese)
24. Wu, X. *Weighted Composition Operators from u -Bloch Spaces to v -Bloch Spaces on Hartogs Domains*; Jiangsu Normal University: Xuzhou, China, 2020.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.