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#### Abstract

Degree sequence measurements on graphs have attracted a lot of research interest in recent decades. Multiplying the degrees of adjacent vertices in graph $\Omega$ provides the multiplicative first Zagreb index of a graph. In the context of graph theory, the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index of a graph $\Omega$ is defined as the product of the sum of the $\alpha$ th powers of the vertex degrees of $\Omega$, where $\alpha$ is a real number such that $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 1$. The focus of this work is on the extremal graphs for several classes of graphs including trees, unicyclic, and bicyclic graphs, with respect to the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index. In the initial step, we identify a set of operations that either increases or decreases the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index for graphs. We then involve analysis of the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index achieving sharp bounds by characterizing the maximum or minimum graphs for those classes. We present applications of the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ for predicting the $\pi$-electronic energy $E_{\pi}(\beta)$ of benzenoid hydrocarbons. In particular, we answer the question concerning the value of $\alpha$ for which the predictive potential of $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ with $E_{\pi}$ for lower benzenoid hydrocarbons is the strongest. In fact, our statistical analysis delivers that $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ correlates with $E_{\pi}$ of lower benzenoid hydrocarbons with correlation coefficient $\rho=-0.998$, if $\alpha=-0.00496$. In QSPR modeling, the value $\rho=-0.998$ is considered to be considerably significant.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

We call the "graphical invariant" a quantity associated with a graph whose value is preserved throughout automorphisms of the graph. These topological descriptors are also known as the topological invariants in chemical graph theory. Molecular descriptors may be useful for describing chemical and biological properties notably toxicity, physiochemical, and thermodynamical characteristics, and for quantitative structure-property relationships (QSPR) and quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSAR) analysis.

Without exception, all of the graphs in this paper will be undirected and simple (no loops or multiple edges). We denote $\Omega=(V(\Omega), E(\Omega)$ ), to be any graph where $V(\Omega)$ (resp. $E(\Omega)$ ), is the collection of vertices (resp. edges). Gutman and Trinajstić [1] investigated the effect of molecular structure on the total $\pi$-electron energy, then introduced the significant indices named, "Zagreb indices". They further studied the significance of these indices in mathematical chemistry as discussed herein [2]. The first and second Zagreb indices $M_{1}(\Omega)$ and $M_{2}(\Omega)$ for any (molecular) graph $\Omega$ are defined as

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{1}(\Omega)=\sum_{u \in V(\Omega)} d e g_{\Omega}(u)^{2}, \\
M_{2}(\Omega)=\sum_{u v \in E(\Omega)} d e g_{\Omega}(u) \cdot d e g_{\Omega}(v) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The topological indices $M_{1}(\Omega)$ and $M_{2}(\Omega)$, are used to measure the degree of branching in the molecular carbon skeleton [3,4]. Multiple chemical and mathematical uses of Zagreb indices provided remarkable results, (see [5-7]). Additionally, the classical Zagreb indices $M_{1}(\Omega)$ and $M_{2}(\Omega)$ have been discussed by many researchers [8-11]. Similarly, many researchers explored the connection and comparison between $M_{1}(\Omega)$ and $M_{2}(\Omega)$ in [12-16]. It should be noted that some academics have also referred to the first Zagreb index $M_{1}(\Omega)$ as the Gutman index (see quote [3]). Specifically, a synopsis of the most essential characteristics of $M_{1}(\Omega)$ and $M_{2}(\Omega)$ have been discussed in [17-19]. Deng [18] provided a unified method for determining the maximum and minimum Zagreb indices for trees, unicyclic graphs, and bicyclic graphs. For further up-to-date information on regular Zagreb indices, we refer the reader to $[20,21]$ and their corresponding cited works.

The multiplicative variants of the Zagreb indices are proposed in 2010 by Todeschini et al. [22]. They have been defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi_{1}=\Pi_{1}(\Omega)=\prod_{u \in V(\Omega)} d e g_{\Omega}(u)^{2} \\
\Pi_{2}=\Pi_{2}(\Omega)=\prod_{u v \in E(\Omega)} \operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}(u) \cdot \operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}(v) \\
\text { Note that, } \Pi_{2}(\Omega)=\prod_{u v \in E(\Omega)} d e g_{\Omega}(u) \cdot \operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}(v)=\prod_{u \in V(\Omega)} \operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}(u)^{\operatorname{deg} g_{\Omega}(u)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplicative Zagreb indices with given order and size of different graphs such as bipartite graphs, trees and certain nanotubes have been extensively studied in [5,23-25]. Similarly, Wang et al. [26] discussed the multiplicative Zagreb indices of extremal trees with a given number of vertices of maximum degree and Bozovic et al. [27] defined chemical trees with extreme values of a few types of multiplicative Zagreb indices. Then, Eliasi et al. $[28,29]$ discussed a simple approach to multiplicative Zagreb indices and multiplicative first Zagreb index for trees [28].

Using the definition $\Pi_{1}^{*}=\Pi_{1}^{*}(\Omega)=\prod_{u v \in E(\Omega)}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}(u)+d e g_{\Omega}(v)\right)$, Eliasi and Iranmanesh et al. [30] have recently presented a new index as the multiplicative form of conventional first Zagreb index $M_{1}(\Omega)$. For the same reason, the generalized multiplicative version of the standard first Zagreb index is defined as $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}=\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)=\prod_{u v \in E(\Omega)}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}(u)+\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{deg} g_{\Omega}(v)\right)^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha$ is a real number such that $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 1$.

Horoldagva and Xu [31] discussed the multiplicative first Zagreb index for extremal graphs and Xu and Das [32] defined the multiplicative first Zagreb index for trees, unicyclic, and bicyclic graphs. Similarly, Alfuraidan et al. and Vetrík et al. [24,33] discussed the general multiplicative Zagreb indices for trees and unicyclic graphs. In accordance with the concept, we refer to the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index as $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)$. According to the information provided in [22], the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index is different from the first multiplicative Zagreb index. For instance, $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(P_{3}\right)=9^{2 \alpha}$, whereas $\Pi_{1}\left(P_{3}\right)=4$.

Consider $\mathrm{T}_{n}, \mathrm{U}_{n}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ to be the collection of trees, unicyclic graphs, and bicyclic graph with $n$ vertices, respectively. The structure of the article is as followed. In order to understand the notations in the main results, Section 1 explains the introduction and preliminaries. Section 2, auxiliary results and a few transformations of graphs that increase/decrease the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index of graphs are classified. In Section 3, we illustrate proofs of the main results of the paper. Section 2 provides practical applicability of $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ for QSPR modeling of benzenoid hydrocarbons for determining their $\pi$-electronic energy $E_{\pi}$ measured in $\beta$ units.

## 2. Auxiliary Results

Here, we discuss certain graph changes that can either increase or decrease a graph's generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index. The graphs of types $\mathrm{T}_{n}, \mathrm{U}_{n}$, and $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ that are
extremal with respect to generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index are determined by using these transformations.

The following basic results has been shown in [30].
Theorem 1 ([30]). The path graph $P_{n}$ achieves the least multiplicative first Zagreb index among all connected graphs with given order $n$.

Specifically, we present a modification to graphs that minimizes the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index, $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$. The following results can be easily derived by using the definition of generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index.

Lemma 1 ([32]). Assume that $\Omega$ is a graph that comprises two nonadjacent vertices say $u, v$ and $e \in E(\Omega)$. We obtain $\Pi_{1}^{*}(\Omega)<\Pi_{1}^{*}(\Omega+u v)$ and $\Pi_{1}^{*}(\Omega)>\Pi_{1}^{*}(\Omega-e)$.

Lemma 2. Suppose a graph $\Omega$ with non-adjacent vertices $u, v \in V(\Omega)$ and $e \in E(\Omega)$. Then by employing the definition of the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb, we have $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}(\Omega)<$ $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}(\Omega+u v)$ and $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}(\Omega)>\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}(\Omega-e)$ for $\alpha>0$.

Transformation 1. Consider a connected graph $\Omega$ with vertex labeled by $v$. We deduce $\Omega^{\prime}$ from $\Omega$ by affixing two paths at vertex $v$ say, $X:\left\{v w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{k}\right\}$ (resp. $Y:\left\{v u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{l}\right\}$ ) of length $k$ (resp.l). Next, $\Omega^{\prime \prime}=\Omega^{\prime}-v u_{1}+w_{k} u_{l}$.

Lemma 3. Consider $\Omega^{\prime}$ and $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ are two graphs as constructed in Transformation 1. Then, $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime \prime}\right)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $v$ be a vertex with degree $y>0$ in a connected graph say, $\Omega$. Let $d e g^{1}, d e g^{2}, \ldots, d e g^{y}$ be the degrees of adjacent vertices of $v$. For some $k, l \geq 2$, according to the concept of the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)-\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\prod_{j=1}^{y}\left(y+2+d_{j}\right)^{\alpha}(y+4)^{\alpha}(y+4)^{\alpha} 3^{\alpha} 3^{\alpha} 4^{(k+l-4) \alpha} \\
& -\prod_{j=1}^{y}\left(d_{j}+y+1\right)^{\alpha}(y+3)^{\alpha} 3^{\alpha} 4^{(k+l-2) \alpha} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{y}\left(d_{j}+y+1\right)^{\alpha} 3^{\alpha} 4^{(k+l-4) \alpha}\left((y+4)^{2 \alpha}-(y+3)^{\alpha} 16^{\alpha}\right) \\
& \geq(y+4)^{2 \alpha}-(y+3)^{\alpha} 16^{\alpha} \\
& >0 \text { for } \alpha>0, y>0
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Remark 1. It is easy to see that continuously applying Transformation 1 can transform any tree $T$ with size $m$ associated with a graph $\Omega$ into a path $P_{m+1}$. Within this analysis, we demonstrate that Lemma 3 minimizes the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index.

By combining Theorem 1 with Lemma 1, we construct the following result, where generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index of trees from $\mathrm{T}_{n}$ decreases.

Theorem 2. Consider any tree $t_{n} \in \mathrm{~T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 4$ different from $P_{n}$. Then $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}\left(P_{n}\right)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}\left(t_{n}\right)$.
By repeatedly employing Lemma 3 and Remark 1, we acquire Theorem 2. Next, we present some auxiliary operations.

Transformation 2. Let $\Omega$ be a connected graph with uv edge such that $\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}(v) \geq 2$. Let $\left\{v, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\}$ be adjacent vertices to $u$ such that $\left\{u v_{1}, u v_{2}, \ldots, u v_{t}\right\}$ is a set of pendant edges. Next, we construct $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega-\left\{u v_{1}, u v_{2}, \ldots, u v_{t}\right\}+\left\{v v_{1}, v v_{2}, \ldots, v v_{t}\right\}$.

Lemma 4. Suppose $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$ represent two different graphs. Then, $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose $\Omega_{o}=\Omega-u, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}$. Suppose that $d e g_{\Omega_{o}}=y>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)-\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega) & =(y+t+2)^{t \alpha+\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{y}\left(d_{j}+y+t+1\right)^{\alpha} \\
& -(y+t+1)^{\alpha}(t+2)^{t \alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{y}\left(d_{j}+y+1\right)^{\alpha} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{y}\left(d_{j}+y+1\right)^{\alpha}\left((y+t+2)^{t \alpha+\alpha}-(y+t+1)^{\alpha}(t+2)^{t \alpha}\right) \\
& \geq\left((y+t+2)^{t \alpha+\alpha}-(y+t+1)^{\alpha}(t+2)^{t \alpha}\right. \\
& >0 \text { for } \alpha>0, y>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2. Note that, by repeatedly applying Transformation 2, any tree $T$ of size $m$ that is associated with $\Omega$ can be transformed to a star $P_{m+1}$. Generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index keeps increasing by employing Lemma 4, as long as, this analysis has been performed correctly.

Transformation 3. Let $u$ and $w$ be a non-pendant adjacent vertex with different neighbor vertices in a non-trivial connected graph say, $\Omega$. Next, we deduce a resulting graph symbolized by $\Omega^{\prime}$, which is acquired by associating the vertices $u$ and $w$ to a new vertex by $p$ and attaching a pendant vertex indicated by $q$ to the vertex $p$.

Lemma 5. Suppose $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$ are two graphs. Then $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose that the neighbors of $u$ are $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2} \ldots, u_{s}\right\}$ with degrees $\left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(u_{s}\right)\right\}$, respectively, and the neighbors of $w$ are $\left\{w_{1}, w_{1} \ldots, w_{t}\right\}$ with degrees $\left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(w_{t}\right)\right\}$, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)-\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega) & =(s+t+2)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}\right)+s+t+1\right)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{t}\left(d e g_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w_{j}\right)+s+t+1\right)^{\alpha} \\
& -(s+t+2)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}\left(u_{j}\right)+s+1\right)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{t}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}\left(w_{j}\right)+t+1\right)^{\alpha} \\
& \geq \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}\right)+s+t+1\right)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{t}\left(d e g_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w_{j}\right)+s+t+1\right)^{\alpha} \\
& -\prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}\left(u_{j}\right)+s+1\right)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{t}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}\left(w_{j}\right)+t+1\right)^{\alpha} \\
& >0 \text { for } \alpha>0, s, t>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Transformation 4. Let $\Omega$ be a connected graph that comprises pendant path $X=\left\{u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{t-1} u_{t}\right\}$ identifying at vertex $u_{1}$ such that $u_{1}$ is adjacent with two different vertices say $w$ and $x$ other than $u_{2}$. Next, we deduce $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega-\left\{w u_{1}+w u_{t}\right\}$.

Lemma 6. Assume that the two graphs are labeled $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$. Then $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)>\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}(w)=p>1$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega^{\prime}}(x)=q>1$. For $t \geq 2$, by using the concept of generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)-\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) & =(p+3)^{\alpha}(q+3)^{\alpha} 5^{\alpha} 4^{(t-2) \alpha} 3^{\alpha}-(p+2)^{\alpha}(q+2)^{\alpha} 4^{t \alpha} \\
& 4^{(t-2) \alpha}\left[(p+3)^{\alpha}(q+3)^{\alpha} 5^{\alpha} 3^{\alpha}-4^{2 \alpha}(p+2)^{\alpha}(q+2)^{\alpha}\right] \\
& >0 \text { for } \alpha>0,0 \leq p, q \leq 29
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Transformations 2 and 4, we can have the following transformation.
Transformation 5. Let $\Omega$ be connected graph with path $X=\left\{x u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{t} y\right\}$ such that deg $g_{\Omega}\left(u_{j}\right)=$ 2 and $\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}(p) \geq 2, \operatorname{deg}_{\Omega}(q) \geq 2$, for some $j=1,2, . ., t . \Omega^{\prime}=\Omega-\left\{u_{2} u_{3}, u_{3} u_{4}, \ldots, u_{t-1} u_{t}, u_{t} y\right\}$ $+\left\{u_{1} u_{3}, u_{1} u_{4}, \ldots, u_{1} u_{t}, u_{1} y\right\}$.

From Lemmas 4 and 6, the following lemma satisfies.
Lemma 7. Consider connected graphs say, $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$. then $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$
Lemma 8. Let $\operatorname{deg}_{1}$, deg $_{2}, \ldots$, deg $_{t}$ be $t$ non-negative integers. Now, we construct a function

$$
z(y)=(y+t+1)^{y \alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{t}\left(d e g_{j}+y+t\right)
$$

where $y>0$ is a variant.
Lemma 9. Suppose $z(y)$ be a function illustrated in Lemma 8. Then, for any non-negative integers $p$ and $q$, we obtain $z(p+q) z(0)>z(p) z(q)$.

Proof. Given that $z(y)>0$ for some $y>0$. Consequently, to reach a result, it is sufficient to show that $\ln z(p+q)+\ln z(0)>\ln z(p)+\ln z(q)$.

Now, we consider a new function $g(y)=\ln z(y)+\ln z(0)-\ln z\left(y_{1}\right)-\ln z\left(y-y_{1}\right)$ where $0<y_{1}<y$ is an invariant. Introduce new function $h(y)=\alpha \ln (y+t+1)+\frac{\alpha y}{y+t+1}+$ $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\alpha}{d e g_{i}+y+t}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\prime}(y) & =\frac{\alpha}{(y+t+1)}-\frac{m+1}{(y+t+1)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{\left(\left(\prod_{j=1}^{y} d e g_{j}+y+t\right)^{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{(y+1) \alpha+(\alpha-1) t+\alpha-1}{(y+t+1)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{y} d e g_{j}+y+t\right)^{2}} \\
& >0 \text { for } \alpha \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we claim that $h(y)$ is absolutely non-decreasing if $y>0$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(y) & =\ln (y+t+1)^{y \alpha}+\ln \left(\prod_{j=1}^{y} d e g_{j}+y+t\right)^{\alpha}-\ln \left(\left(y-y_{1}\right)+t+1\right)^{\left(y-y_{1}\right) \alpha} \\
& +\ln \left(\prod_{j=1}^{y} d e g_{j}+\left(y-y_{1}\right)+t\right)^{\alpha} \\
g^{\prime}(y) & =\frac{y \alpha}{(y+t+1)}+\alpha \ln (y+t+1)+\frac{\alpha}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{y} d_{j}+y+t\right)} \\
& -\frac{\left(y-y_{1}\right) \alpha}{\left(\left(y-y_{1}\right)+t+1\right)}+\alpha \ln \left(\left(y-y_{1}\right)+t+1\right)+\frac{\alpha}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{y}+\left(y-y_{1}\right)+t\right)} \\
& =h(y)-h\left(y-y_{1}\right) \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $g(y)$ is also absolutely non-decreasing for $y>0$. Therefore, as a result $g(y)>$ $g\left(y_{1}\right)=0$. Consider $y=p+q, y_{1}=p$, then we have $g(p+q)>g(p)=0$, which shows $\ln z(p+q)+\ln z(0)-\ln z(p)-\ln z(q)>0$. The proof is complete.

Transformation 6. Let $\Omega$ be connected graph comprises two vertices $u$ and $w$ such that pendent vertices $u_{1} u_{2}, \ldots, u_{p}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.w_{1} w_{2}, \ldots, w_{q}\right)$ identifying at vertex $u$ (resp. w). Construct $\Omega_{o}=\Omega-$ $\left\{u_{1} u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, w_{1} w_{2}, \ldots, w_{l}\right\}$. In $\Omega_{0}$, vertex $u$ (resp. w) has adjacent vertices say, $u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{r}^{\prime}$ (resp. $w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{r}^{\prime}$ ) with $\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega_{o}}\left(u_{j}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{\Omega_{o}}\left(w_{j}\right)=\operatorname{deg}^{j}$ for $j=1,2, . ., r$.

Next, we derive $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega-\left\{u u_{1}, u u_{2}, \ldots, u u_{p}\right\}+\left\{w u_{1}, w u_{2}, \ldots, w u_{p}\right\}$. Similarly, $\Omega^{\prime \prime}=$ $\Omega-\left\{w w_{1}, w w_{2}, \ldots, w w_{q}\right\}+\left\{u w_{1}, u w_{2}, \ldots, u w_{q}\right\}$.

Lemma 10. Let $\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}$, and $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ be a non-trivial connected graphs. Then $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)=$ $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime \prime}\right)$

Proof. By employing the definition of generalized multiplicative first Zagreb, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)-\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)=\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime \prime}\right)-\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega) \\
& \geq(p+q+t+1)^{p \alpha+q \alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{t}\left(d e g^{j}+p+q+t\right)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{t}\left(d e g^{j}+t\right)^{\alpha} \\
& -(p+t+1)^{p \alpha}(q+t+1)^{q \alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{t}\left(d e g^{j}+p+t\right)^{\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{t}\left(d e g^{j}+q+t\right)^{\alpha} \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

by employing Lemma 9. The proof is complete.

## 3. Main Results

If T is a tree, then it can be transformed into a path, usually described as a caterpillar, by removing all of the pendant vertices that are attached to it. The caterpillar tree is also recognized as the Gutman tree (for references, see [2,5]. Now we evaluate the $T_{n}$ tree with the maximum generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index.

Theorem 3. Consider a tree $t_{n} \in \mathrm{~T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 4$ dissimilar from $S_{n}$. Then $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}\left(t_{n}\right)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}\left(S_{n}\right)$.

Proof. The maximum generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index of a tree in $T_{n}$ is a caterpillar, as determined by employing Lemma 4 and Remark 2. We illustrate that any caterpillar can be transformed into a star $S_{n}$ with a bigger generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index by considering Transformations 3 and 5 derived from Lemmas 5 and 7. Consequently, the conclusion of this theorem follows directly.

Similarly, we can obtain the following result.
Theorem 4. Consider a graph $\Omega \in \mathrm{T}_{n}$ dissimilar from $S_{n}$ and $P_{n}$. Therefore, $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}\left(P_{n}\right)<$ $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(S_{n}\right)$.

Let $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{\prime}$ be a collection of trees with vertices $n$ such that there exists a vertex of degree at most 3 . Consider that $S_{n}^{\prime}$ is a resulting graph from $S_{n-1}$ by identifying isolated edges to isolated vertex of $S_{n-1}$. Eliasi and Iranmanesh [32] established the second minimum multiplicative first Zagreb index for all connected graphs with vertices $n$. The following result classifies the second maximum or the minimum generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index for graphs $\mathrm{T}_{n}$.

Theorem 5. Consider $\Omega \in \mathrm{T}_{n}$ to be a graph dissimilar from $S_{n}, P_{n}, S_{n}^{\prime}$ and any tree $t_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{T}_{n}^{\prime}$. Then we have $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}\left(t_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}(\Omega)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha *}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $t_{n} \in \mathrm{~T}_{n}$ be a graph different from $S_{n}, P_{n}, S_{n}^{\prime}$, and any tree $t_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{T}_{n}^{\prime}$. By repeatedly employing Remark 1 and Lemma $3, t_{n}$ can be transformed to any tree with $n$ vertices such that there exists a vertex of degree at most 3 , where the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index decreases. Consequently, the left inequality, is satisfied.

Equivalently, the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index increases when $t_{n} \in \mathrm{~T}_{n}$ is transformed to a caterpillar with diameter 3. A double star graph is basically a caterpillar with diameter 3 , symbolized by $S_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ for $1 \leq n_{1} \leq n_{2}$ and $n_{1}+n_{2}=n-2$, which is generated by identifying $n_{1}$ (resp. $n_{2}$ ) isolated vertices to isolated vertex $P_{2}$ (resp. other vertex). Next, we claim that $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha} S_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ have the largest value if $n_{1}=1$ and $n_{2}=n-3$. Otherwise, $n_{1}=2$. By employing Transformation 6 and Lemma 10, we obtain $S_{1, n-3}$ such that $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(S_{1, n-3}\right)>\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(S_{1, n-3}\right)\left(S_{n_{1}, n_{2}}\right)$, which satisfies the right inequality.

A graph $\Omega$ which comprises at most one cycle with a maximum degree of three and other vertices with a degree at most two is called a sun graph [34]. The following result shows the $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ decreases for graphs in $U_{n}$.

Theorem 6. Consider $\Omega \in \mathrm{U}_{n}$ is a graph that is dissimilar from $C_{n}^{\prime}$. Then, $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(C_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)$.
Proof. Given that the unicyclic graph $\Omega$ can be transformed to a sun graph which decreases the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ by employing Lemma 3 and Remark 1. The generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ gets decreased by repeatedly employing Lemma 6 to any sun graph as long as it is not the cycle $C_{n}^{\prime}$. Then $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(C_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ is satisfied.

A graph $\Omega$ which comprises at most one cycle and all its isolated vertices transform it into a cycle, called cycle-caterpillar. Consider cycle-caterpillar with cycle $C_{p}$ if $p$ is its girth. Consider $C_{n, p}$ is a resulting graph by joining $n-p$ isolated edges to a vertex of $C_{p}$. The following result shows that the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ increases for graphs in $\mathrm{U}_{n}$.

Theorem 7. Assume that $\Omega \in \mathrm{U}_{n}$ is a graph with at most one cycle that is dissimilar from $C_{n, 3}$. Then $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(C_{n, 3}\right)>\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)$.

Proof. We claim that the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index increases for graphs in $U_{n}$ are cyclic caterpillar by repeatedly employing Lemma 4 and Remark 2. Next, the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index increases when any cyclic caterpillar can be transformed to a cyclic caterpillar with triangle $C_{3}^{\prime}=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{1}$, by employing Transformations 3 and 5 and Lemmas 7 and 5.

Consider, $C_{3}^{\prime}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ be the cyclic caterpillar with $n$ vertices generated by joining $n_{k}$ isolated vertices to vertex $v_{k}$ for some $k=1,2,3$. By employing Transformation 6 at most twice and Lemma 10, we can construct the graph $C_{n, 3}$ with $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(C_{n}^{\prime 3}\right)>\Pi_{1}^{* \alpha}\left(C_{3}^{\prime}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)\right)$, ending the proof of this result.

The following result immediately follows by combining Theorems 6 and 7, where extremal graphs from $U_{n}$ with respect to the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index are classified.

Theorem 8. Let $\Omega \in \mathrm{U}_{n}$ be a graph that is dissimilar from $C_{3}^{\prime}$ and $C_{n}^{\prime}$. Then we have $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(C_{n}^{\prime}\right)<$ $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(C_{n}^{\prime 3}\right)$.

Next, we discuss extremal graphs from $B_{n}$ with respect to the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index. Let $\Omega \in \mathrm{B}_{n}$ be a graph with at least two cycles. The following three cases classified its structure of cycles [35].

1. Let $v_{0}$ be a common vertex for two cycles $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$.
2. There exists a path graph of length $m>0$ attached with cycles $C_{a}$ and $C_{b}$.
3. There exists a common path of length $m>0$ between $C_{m+n}$ and $C_{m+p}$ cycles.

The graphs $C_{a, b}, C_{a, m, b}$ and $C_{n, m, p}$ (where $1 \leq m \leq \min \{n, p\}$ ) corresponding to the cases above are called main subgraphs of $\Omega^{\prime} \in \mathrm{B}_{n}$ of type (1), (2) and (3), respectively.

Consider $\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ is a resulting graph generated from joining two adjacent edges in $S_{n}$ among its three isolated vertices. $B_{n}$ comprises only those graphs which are generated by the removal of an edge of a complete graph $K_{4}$ for $n=4$. Otherwise, for $n=5$, the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ increases for $\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime}$ among all graphs of $\mathrm{B}_{n}$.

Next, we will discuss graphs in $B_{n}$ for $n \geq 6$.
Theorem 9. Consider $\Omega \in \mathrm{B}_{n}$ is a graph with $n \geq 6$ dissimilar from $\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime}$. Then $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(\Omega)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Consider $\Omega^{\prime \prime} \in \mathrm{B}_{n}$ is a graph achieving largest generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$. Let $B_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ be a subgraph of $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ and its structure similar to any type of case defined in Theorem 8. By employing Remark 2, it is obvious that $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ can be construct by joining some isolated edges to some vertices of the graph $B_{n}^{\prime \prime}$. Considering the Transformations 3 and 5 and consequently Lemmas 5 and 7, any graph $\Omega \in \mathrm{B}_{n}$ of type (2) can be transformed to $\Omega^{\prime}$ of type (1) achieving maximum generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index.

Next, consider that type (1) and (3) in $B_{n}$.
Claim 1. Any cycle of $\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ comprises the length less than 5.
Proof. Otherwise, if $B_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ is of type (1), we can construct a different graph $\Omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ from $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega^{\prime \prime}\right)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, by employing Transformations 3,5 and Lemmas 5 , 7 , which is contradiction to our choice of $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$.

Next, we assume that $\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ is of type 3 . Let $\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime \prime} \cong \vartheta_{n, m, p}$ with $1 \leq m \leq \min \{n, p\}$ and $n+p \geq 5$, where $n, p \not \leq 3$. According to formation of $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$, by employing Transformation 3 or Transformation 5 to $B_{n}^{\prime \prime} \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}$, there exist another graph $\Omega_{2}^{\prime \prime} \in B_{n}$ achieving minimum generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index by Lemmas 5 and 7 , which is again contradiction to our choice of $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$. The proof of Claim 1 is complete.

It is obvious any cycle in $\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ has length 3 or 4 , by By Claim 1. If $\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ is a graph of type (1) then $B_{n}^{\prime \prime} \cong C_{3,3}^{\prime}$. Otherwise, $B_{n}^{\prime \prime} \cong \vartheta_{2,1,2}$. Assume that $C_{3,3}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is a resulting graph generated by joining $\eta_{1}$ (resp. $\eta_{2}$ ) isolated vertices to a vertex of degree 2 (resp. degree 4). Similarly, $\vartheta_{2,1,2}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is a resulting graph generated by joining $\eta_{1}$ (resp. $\eta_{2}$ ) isolated vertices to a vertex of degree 2 (resp. degree 3). According to structure of $C_{3,3}^{\prime}$ and $\vartheta_{2,1,2}$, we deduce $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ graph in the form of $C_{3,3}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ (resp. $\vartheta_{2,1,2}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ ) with $\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}=n-5$, (resp. $\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}=n-4$ ). By employing the concept of generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(C_{3,3}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha} & =4^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+3\right)^{\eta_{1} \alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+6\right)^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+4\right)^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+6\right)^{3 \alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+5\right)^{\eta_{2} \alpha} \\
& =4^{\alpha}(n+1)^{\alpha}(\eta+3)^{\eta_{1} \alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+4\right)^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+6\right)^{3 \alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+5\right)^{\eta_{2} \alpha} \\
\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\vartheta_{2,1,2}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)\right)^{\alpha} & =5^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+3\right)^{\eta_{1} \alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+4\right)^{\eta_{2} \alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+5\right)^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+5\right)^{\alpha} \\
& =\cdot\left(\eta_{2}+6\right)^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+5\right)^{\alpha} \\
& =5^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+3\right)^{\eta_{1} \alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+4\right)^{\eta_{2} \alpha}(n+1)^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+5\right)^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+6\right)^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+5\right)^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Claim 2. If $\eta_{1}=0 . \eta_{2}=n-5$, then $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(C_{3,3}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \alpha}$ reduces its largest values.
Proof. In order to prove this claim, it is sufficient to find the maximum values of $\left(\eta_{1}+\right.$ $3)^{\eta_{1} \alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+4\right)^{\alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+6\right)^{3 \alpha}\left(\eta_{2}+5\right)^{\eta_{2} \alpha}$, where $\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}=n-5$. It is clear from factors that maximum value achieve if $\eta_{2} \geq \eta_{1}$, that is, $\eta_{1} \leq(n-5) / 2$. Therefore, we only explain the maximum value of $\left(\eta_{1}+3\right)^{\eta_{1} \alpha}\left(\eta_{1}+4\right)^{\alpha}\left(n-\eta_{1}+1\right)^{3 \alpha}\left(n-\eta_{1}\right)^{\left(n-\eta_{1}-5\right) \alpha}$. So, we assume a function,

$$
f(x)=(y+4)^{\alpha}(y+3)^{\alpha y}(n-y+1)^{3 \alpha}(n-y)^{(n-y-5)^{\alpha}}
$$

where $0 \leq y \leq \frac{n-5}{2}$ and $\alpha \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(y) & =f(y)\left[-\frac{\alpha}{(y+4)(y+3)}-\frac{2 \alpha(n-2 y-2)}{(n-y+1)(y+3)}+\frac{5 \alpha}{(n-y+1)(n-y)}\right. \\
& \left.+\ln \left(\frac{(y+3)}{(n-y)}\right)^{\alpha}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As we know, $0 \leq y \leq \frac{n-5}{2}$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, then $(y+3)<(n-y)$ and $(n-2 y-2) \geq 3$ With the help of these results, we obtain

$$
-\frac{2 \alpha(n-2 y-2)}{(n-y+1)(y+3)}+\frac{5 \alpha}{(n-y+1)(n-y)}<0
$$

Additionally,

$$
0 \leq\left(\frac{(y+3)}{(n-y)}\right) \leq 1
$$

hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ln \left(\frac{(y+3)}{(n-y)}\right)^{\alpha} \leq 0 \\
f^{\prime}(y)<0
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, $f(y)$ is a non-increasing function for $y \leq(n-5) / 2$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Consequently, $f(y) \leq 4^{\alpha}(n+1)^{3 \alpha} n^{(n-5) \alpha}$ achieve maximum value if $y=0$, equivalently, $\eta_{1}=0$, $\eta_{2}=n-5$. Which is the required result.

Similarly, $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\vartheta_{2,1,2}\left(n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ achieving maximum values when $\eta_{1}=0, \eta_{2}=n-4$. From above discussion, we claim that $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ is one of two graphs $\left(C_{3,3}^{\prime}(0, n-5)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\vartheta_{2,1,2}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)\right) \cong \mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime}, \text { In addition, } \Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(C_{3,3}^{\prime}(0, n-5)\right)=4^{2 \alpha} n+1^{4 \alpha}(n)^{(n-5) \alpha} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\vartheta_{2,1,2}(0, n-4)\right)=5^{\alpha} n+1^{2 \alpha}(n+2)(n)^{(n-4) \alpha} \\
& \Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\vartheta_{2,1,2}(0, n-4)\right)-\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(C_{3,3}^{\prime}(0, n-5)\right)=5^{\alpha} n+1^{2 \alpha}(n+2)(n)^{(n-4) \alpha} \\
&-4^{2 \alpha} n+1^{4 \alpha}(n)^{(n-5) \alpha} \\
&>0, \alpha>0
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we introduce three subsets of the set $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ as follows: $\mathrm{B}_{1_{n}}=C_{a, b}: a+b-1=n$;
$\mathrm{B}_{2_{n}}=C_{a, m, b} p, l, q: a+b+m-1=n$;
$\mathrm{B}_{3_{n}}=\vartheta_{n, m, p}: n+m+p-1=n$.
Let $G_{j}$ be any graph from $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}_{n}}$ for $j=1,2,3$. Then,
$\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)=6^{4 \alpha} 4^{(n-3) \alpha}$
$\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)=5^{4 \alpha} 4^{(n-4) \alpha} 6^{\alpha}$ if $m=1$
$\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)=5^{6 \alpha} 4^{(n-4) \alpha}$ if $m>1$
$\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{3}\right)=5^{4 \alpha} 4^{(n-4) \alpha} 6^{\alpha}$ if $m=1$
$\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{3}\right)=5^{6 \alpha} 4^{(n-4) \alpha}$ if $m>1$
Theorem 10. Assume that $\Omega_{n}$ is a graph in $\mathrm{B}_{n} \backslash \mathrm{~B}_{2_{n}} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{3_{n}}$ where $n \geq 6$ and $K$ be a graph in $\mathrm{B}_{2_{n}} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{3_{n}}$ with $m=1$. Then we have $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(K)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$.

Proof. We claim that the graph from $B_{n}$ achieving the minimum generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index must be a graph from the set $B_{1_{n}} \cup B_{2_{n}} \cup B_{3_{n}}$, by employing the Lemmas 3 and 6 and Remark 1.

From the above calculation of graph $\Omega_{j}$ in $\left.\mathrm{B}_{j_{n}}\right)$ with $j=1,2,3$, we have $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)-$ $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)>0$ and $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)-\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{3}\right)>0$ Considering the difference of $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)$ for $j=2,3$ when $m$ is different, which is the required result.

The following result characterizes graphs from $B_{n}$ with respect to the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index.

Theorem 11. Let $K$ be a graph in $\mathrm{B}_{2_{n}} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{3_{n}}$ with $m=1$. Let $\Omega_{n}$ be a graph in $\mathrm{B}_{n} \backslash \mathrm{~B}_{2_{n}} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{3_{n}}$ different from $\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime}$. Then, $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}(K)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)<\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{B}_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.

## 4. Applications of $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ in QSPR Modeling of Benzenoid Hydrocarbons

This section intends to present the practical applicability of $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ in QSPR modeling of benzenoid hydrocarbons. In [36], the authors investigated the predictive potential of commonly occurring degree-based topological indices for measuring $E_{\pi}(\beta)$ of lower benzenoid hydrocarbons. They consider $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha=1$ and showed that it correlated with $E_{\pi}(\beta)$ having the correlation coefficient $\rho=0.2361$ which is very poor. They raised the question that for which value of $\alpha$, for which the correlation coefficient between the index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $E_{\pi}$ of lower benzenoid hydrocarbons is the strongest. This section answers that question and shows that for $\alpha=-0.00496$, we obtain the strongest correlation coefficient of $\rho=-0.998$ between the index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $E_{\pi}$ of lower benzenoid hydrocarbons.

Chen [37] conducted a similar study on the general Randić $R_{\alpha}$ and general sumconnectivity index $S C I_{\alpha}$ and showed that $\alpha=-0.2661$ (resp. $\alpha=-0.5601$ ) provides the best correlation with $E_{\pi}$ with $R_{\alpha}$ (resp. $S C I_{\alpha}$ ) among all the values of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We extend that study to $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and show that for $\alpha=-0.00496$, we obtain the strongest correlation coefficient of $\rho=-0.998$ between the index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $E_{\pi}$ of lower benzenoid hydrocarbons. At first, we retrieve the experimental data of $E_{\pi}$ for the 30 lower benzenoid hydrocarbons from [38] and then compute their $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ values. Table 1 present the molecules, their $E_{\pi}$ and the corresponding $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ index for 30 lower benzenoid hydrocarbons.

Table 1. The generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ of 30 lower benzenoid hydrocarbons with their $E_{\pi}(\beta)$.

| Molecule | $E_{\pi}(\beta)$ | $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 8 | $4096{ }^{\alpha}$ |
| Naphthalene | 13.6832 | $15360000^{\alpha}$ |
| Anthracene | 19.3137 | $57600000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Phenanthrene | 19.4483 | $55296000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Tetracene | 24.9308 | $216000000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Benzo[c]phenanthrene | 25.1875 | $199065600000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 25.1012 | $207360000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Chrysene | 25.1922 | $199065600000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Triphenylene | 25.2745 | $191102976000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Pyrene | 22.5055 | $12441600000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Pentacene | 30.544 | $810000000000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Benzo[a]tetracene | 30.7255 | $777600000000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 30.8805 | $746496000000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Dibenzo[a,j]anthracene | 30.8795 | $746496000000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Pentaphene | 30.7627 | $777600000000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Benzo[g]chrysene | 30.999 | $687970713600000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Pentahelicene | 30.9362 | $716636160000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Benzo[c]chrysene | 30.9386 | $716636160000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Picene | 30.9432 | $716636160000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Benzo[b]chrysene | 30.839 | $746496000000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Dibenzo[a,c]anthracene | 30.9418 | $716636160000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Dibenzo[b,g]phenanthrene | 30.8336 | $746496000000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Perylene | 28.2453 | $42998169600000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Benzo[e]pyrene | 28.3361 | $44789760000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | 28.222 | $44789760000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Hexahelicene | 36.6814 | $2579890176000000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Benzo[ghi]perylene | 31.4251 | $9674588160000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Hexacene | 36.1557 | $3037500000000000131072^{\alpha}$ |
| Coronene | 34.5718 | $2176782336000000000000^{\alpha}$ |
| Ovalene | 46.4974 | $380849837506559992795192360960^{\alpha}$ |

Next, we executed the data in Table 1 in our Matlab program and show that for $\alpha=-0.00496$, the correlation coefficient $\rho=-0.998$ between the index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $E_{\pi}$ of lower benzenoid hydrocarbons is the strongest. Figure 1 shows the curve depicting the $\alpha$ vs. $\rho$ curve with the value of $\alpha$ for which the correlation coefficient $\rho$ is the strongest. Figure 2 delivers a closer look at the curve explaining the dynamics of $\alpha$ vs. $\rho$ values.


Figure 1. Curve incorporating the strongest $\rho$ for benzenoid hydrocarbons.


Figure 2. A closer look at the $\alpha$ vs. $\rho$ curve.
The $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha=-0.00496$ delivering the strongest correlation with $E_{\pi}$ for benzenoid hydrocarbons has been studied further. We conduct a detailed statistical analysis between $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha=-0.00496$ and $E_{\pi}$. Our statistical model shows that the most suitable regression model for $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $E_{\pi}$ is, in fact, linear. Next, we present the linear regression model with a $95 \%$ confidence interval for its slope and intercept, the determination coefficient $r^{2}$ and the standard error of fit $s$ between $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $E_{\pi}$.

$$
E_{\pi}(\beta)=160.5346_{ \pm 3.2098}-159.3616_{ \pm 3.8629} \Pi_{1}^{\alpha}, \quad \rho=-0.998, r^{2}=0.9960, s=0.4511
$$

Next, we construct the scatter plot between $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha=-0.00496$ and $E_{\pi}$ for the 30 lower benzenoid hydrocarbons. Figure 3 exhibits the scatter plot.


Figure 3. Scatter plot between $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha=-0.00496$ and $E_{\pi}$.
Note that Gutman \& Tošović [39] in their seminal work showed that if the correlation coefficient between a topological descriptor and a chemical property is $|\rho|>0.95$, then the topological descriptor is considered significant and warrants its further usage in QSPR and QSAR modeling.

## 5. Concluding Remarks

This paper studied some extremal values of the generalized multiplicative first Zagreb index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and derived sharp upper and lower bounds on it. In particular, we found sharp upper and lower bounds on $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ for trees, unicylic and bicyclic graphs and characterized graphs achieving those bounds. Our results generalize some results in the literature studying $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$. We also present the practical applicability of $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ in QSPR modeling answering an open question asking for which value of $\alpha$, the correlation between $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and the $\pi$-electronic energy is the strongest. Our statistical analysis shows that for $\alpha=-0.00496$, the correlation coefficient $\rho=-0.998$ between the index $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $E_{\pi}$ of lower benzenoid hydrocarbons is the strongest.

The correlation coefficient $\rho=-0.998$ strongly meets the criteria set up by Gutman \& Tošović [39] and thus, $\Pi_{1}^{\alpha}$ warrants its further employability in QSPR and QSAR modeling.
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