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#### Abstract

In this paper, the authors established several new inequalities of the Beesack-Wirtinger type for different kinds of differentiable convex functions. Furthermore, we generalized our results for functions that are $n$-times differentiable convex. Finally, many interesting Ostrowski- and Chebyshevtype inequalities are given as well.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The following inequality regarding square integrable functions is known as the Wirtinger inequality:

Theorem 1 ([1,2]). Let $\omega$ be a real-valued function with period $2 \pi$ and $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \omega(\mu) d \mu=0$. If $\omega^{\prime} \in L^{2}[0,2 \pi]$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}[\omega(\mu)]^{2} d \mu \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{2} d \mu \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality holding iff $\omega(\mu)=\mathcal{A}_{1} \cos \mu+\mathcal{A}_{2} \sin \mu$, where $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. For recently published papers of this type, see [3-5].

Beesack in [6,7] generalized (1) as follows:
Theorem 2. Let $\omega$ be absolutely continuous on $\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ with $\omega(0)=0$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \cdot\left[\frac{\pi}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)\right]^{p} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
5+2+2
$$


教
with equality holding iff $\omega(\mu)=c \zeta(\mu)$ and $\zeta(\mu)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$
\mu=\frac{p}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right) \int_{0}^{\zeta} \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{1-\delta^{p}}} d \delta, \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1
$$

The next functional is known as the Chebyshev functional (see [8]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}(\omega, \vartheta):=\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) \vartheta(\delta) d \delta-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta \cdot \frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \vartheta(\delta) d \delta . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Several bounds for $\mathcal{T}(\omega, \vartheta)$ have been found by many authors, and many important applications have been given. For example, Alomari in [9] obtained a bound for the Chebyshev functional. Maširević et al. in [10] established new bounds on the Chebyshev functional for the $C_{\varphi}[0,1]$ function class. Rahman et al. in [11] derived certain new proportional and Hadamard proportional fractional integral inequalities. Khan et al. in [12] investigated the Hirota equation using the modified double Laplace decomposition method. Rahman et al. in [13] obtained the weighted fractional integral inequalities for Chebyshev functionals. Khan et al. in [14] established applications of the fixed-point theory to investigate a system of factional-order differential equations. Ayub et al. in [15] used new a Mittag-Leffler function and derived its applications. Iqbal et al. in [16] found new generalized Pólya-Szegö- and Chebyshev-type inequalities with a general kernel and measure. Gul et al. in [17] investigated a class of boundary-value problems under the ABC fractional derivative. Nisar et al. in [18] derived the weighted fractional Pólya-Szegö- and Chebyshev-type integral inequalities concerning another function. Khan et al. in [19] investigated the impulsive boundary-value problem with the Riemann-Liouville fractional-order derivative. Rahman et al. in [20] established generalized fractional integral inequalities for the monotone weighted Chebyshev functionals. Srivastava et al. in [21] obtained new Chebyshev-type inequalities via a general family of fractional integral operators with a modified Mittag-Leffler kernel. Set et al. in [22] found Chebyshev-type inequalities by using generalized proportional Hadamard fractional integrals via the Polya-Szegö inequality with applications. Özdemir et al. in [23] obtained some new Chebyshev-type inequalities for functions whose derivatives belong to $L_{p}$ spaces. Akdemir et al. in [24] found new general variants of Chebyshev-type inequalities via generalized fractional integral operators. Butt et al. in [25] used Caputo fractional derivatives via exponential s-convex functions.

The following important results were obtained by Alomari in [9].

Lemma 1. Let $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$ and $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$ (the interior set of I). Assume that $\omega$ is an absolutely continuous function on I, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive and $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$. If $p>1$ and $\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu<\infty$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \frac{p^{p} \sin ^{p}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}{\pi^{p}(p-1)}\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)^{p} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\frac{p^{p} \sin ^{p}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}{\pi^{p}(p-1)}\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)^{p}$ is the best possible for every $p>1$.
Lemma 2. Let $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$ and $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$. Suppose that $\omega$ is an absolutely continuous function on $I$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive and $\omega\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$. If $p>1$ and $\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu<\infty$, then the inequality (4) holds.

Let us denote $\mathfrak{U}^{p}\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ where $p>1$ the space of all positive $n$-th differentiable functions $\omega$ whose $n$-th derivatives $\omega^{(n)}$ are positive locally absolutely continuous on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ with the condition that $\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu<\infty$. Then, the above Lemmas 1 and 2 are generalized as follows:

Lemma 3. Let $-\infty<\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}<\infty$. If $\omega \in \mathfrak{U}^{p}\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\int_{l_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu<\infty$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq\left(\frac{p^{p} \sin ^{p}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}{\pi^{p}(p-1)}\right)^{n}\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)^{n p} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. Let $-\infty<\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}<\infty$. If $\omega \in \mathfrak{U}^{p}\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\mathfrak{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu<\infty$, then for all $p>1$, the inequality (5) holds.

Furthermore, we define $\mathfrak{L}^{p}\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ with $p>1$ as the space of all positive differentiable functions $\omega$ whose first derivatives $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive locally absolutely continuous on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu<\infty$.

Theorem 3. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $\omega \in \mathfrak{L}^{p}\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \frac{p^{p} \sin ^{p}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}{\pi^{p}(p-1)}\left[\frac{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}{2}+\left|\xi-\frac{\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}}{2}\right|\right]^{p} \cdot \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $\omega \in \mathfrak{U}^{p}\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq\left(\frac{p^{p} \sin ^{p}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}{\pi^{p}(p-1)}\right)^{n}\left[\frac{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}{2}+\left|\xi-\frac{\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}}{2}\right|\right]^{n p} \cdot \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theory of convexity has played very important role in the development of the theory of inequalities. A wide class of inequalities can easily be obtained using the convexity property of the functions.

Let us recall the following definitions that are used in the sequel.
Definition 1 ([26]). A function $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex, if:

$$
\omega\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right) \leq \delta \omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)+(1-\delta) \omega\left(\iota_{2}\right), \quad \forall \iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I, \delta \in[0,1] .
$$

Definition 2 ([9]). A function $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be P-convex, if:

$$
\omega\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right) \leq \omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)+\omega\left(\iota_{2}\right), \quad \forall \iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I, \delta \in[0,1] .
$$

Definition 3 ([26]). A function $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasi-convex, if:

$$
\omega\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right) \leq \max \left\{\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right), \omega\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall \iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I, \delta \in[0,1] .
$$

Definition 4 ([27]). A function $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be s-convex for some fixed $s \in(0,1]$, if:

$$
\omega\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right) \leq \delta^{s} \omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)+(1-\delta)^{s} \omega\left(\iota_{2}\right), \quad \forall \iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I, \delta \in[0,1] .
$$

Definition 5 ([28,29]). A function $\omega:[0, \iota] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be m-convex for some fixed $m \in(0,1], i f:$

$$
\omega\left(\delta \iota_{1}+m(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right) \leq \delta \omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)+m(1-\delta) \omega\left(\iota_{2}\right), \quad \forall \iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in[0, \iota], \delta \in[0,1] .
$$

Definition 6 ([28,29]). A function $\omega:[0, \iota] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $(\alpha, m)$-convex for some fixed $(\alpha, m) \in(0,1]^{2}, i f:$

$$
\omega\left(\delta \iota_{1}+m(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right) \leq \delta^{\alpha} \omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)+m\left(1-\delta^{\alpha}\right) \omega\left(\iota_{2}\right), \quad \forall \iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in[0, \iota], \delta \in[0,1] .
$$

Motivated by the above results, the aim of this paper was to derive some new inequalities of the Beesack-Wirtinger type for different kinds of differentiable convex functions. Furthermore, we generalized our results for functions that are $n$-times differentiable convex. Finally, many interesting Ostrowski- and Chebyshev-type inequalities are given. Some conclusions and future research are provided as well. We hope that the ideas and techniques of this paper will inspire interested readers working in this fascinating field.

## 2. Main Results

In this main section, by applying Lemmas 1-4, Theorems 3 and 4, and the fact that every convex function is absolutely continuous, we derive the following inequalities of the Beesack-Wirtinger type.

Theorem 5. Let $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$ and $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$. Assume that $\omega$ is a differentiable function on $I$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive and $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a P-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right):=\frac{p^{p} \sin ^{p}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}{\pi^{p}(p-1)}\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)^{p+1} .
$$

Proof. From the $P$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $C\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 1, we obtain the desired inequality (8).
Theorem 6. Let $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$ and $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$. Suppose that $\omega$ is a differentiable function on $I$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive and $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a quasi-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \max \left\{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 5.
Proof. From the quasi-convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1} \max \left\{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} d \delta \\
=\max \left\{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 1 , we obtain the desired inequality (9).
Theorem 7. Let $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$ and $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$. Assume that $\omega$ is a differentiable function on $I$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive and $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\frac{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{2}\right\}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 5.
Proof. From the convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega ^ { \prime } \left(\delta \iota_{1}+\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.=(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\delta\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+(1-\delta)\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 1 , we obtain the desired inequality (10).
Theorem 8. Let $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$ and $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$. Suppose that $\omega$ is a differentiable function on $I$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive and $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an s-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\frac{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{s+1}\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 5.
Proof. From the $s$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega ^ { \prime } \left(\delta \iota_{1}+\right.\right. & \left.\left.(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\delta^{s}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+(1-\delta)^{s}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
& =\frac{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{s+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 1, we obtain the desired inequality (11).
Remark 1. Taking $s=1$ in Theorem 8, we obtain Theorem 7 .
Theorem 9. Let $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$ and $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$. Assume that $\omega$ is a differentiable function on $I$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive, and $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an m-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $m \in(0,1]$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}, \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 5.
Proof. From the $m$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m_{2}}}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+m(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left(\delta\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+m(1-\delta)\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
\times \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-l_{1}} \\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 1, we obtain the desired inequality (12).
Remark 2. Taking $m=1$ in Theorem 9, we obtain Theorem 7.

Theorem 10. Let $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$ and $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$. Suppose that $\omega$ is a differentiable function on $I$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive and $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an $(\alpha, m)$-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $(\alpha, m) \in(0,1]^{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right.  \tag{13}\\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 5.
Proof. From the $(\alpha, m)$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left[\mathcal{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+m(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left(\delta^{\alpha}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+m\left(1-\delta^{\alpha}\right)\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right. \\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{C}\left(p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 1 , we obtain the desired inequality (13).
Remark 3. Taking $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 10, we obtain Theorem 9.
Remark 4. Our above results still hold if we apply Lemma 2, so we omit their proofs.
Theorem 11. Let $-\infty<\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}<\infty$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ such that $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\mathfrak{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a $P$-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right):=\left(\frac{p^{p} \sin ^{p}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}{\pi^{p}(p-1)}\right)^{n}\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)^{n p+1}
$$

Proof. From the $P$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 3, we obtain the desired inequality (14).
Theorem 12. Let $-\infty<\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}<\infty$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ such that $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a quasi-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \max \left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 11.
Proof. From the quasi-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1} & {\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1} \max \left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} d \delta } \\
& =\max \left\{\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 3, we obtain the desired inequality (15).
Theorem 13. Let $-\infty<\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}<\infty$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ such that $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\frac{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{2}\right\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 11.
Proof. From the convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-l_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\delta\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+(1-\delta)\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 3 , we obtain the desired inequality (16).
Theorem 14. Let $-\infty<\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}<\infty$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ such that $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an s-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\frac{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{s+1}\right\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 11.
Proof. From the $s$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\delta^{s}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+(1-\delta)^{s}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{s+1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 3, we obtain the desired inequality (17).
Remark 5. Taking $s=1$ in Theorem 14, we obtain Theorem 13.

Theorem 15. Let $-\infty<\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}<\infty$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ such that $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an $m$-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $m \in(0,1]$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right.  \tag{18}\\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 11.
Proof. From the $m$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+m(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{1}(1-m)}{l_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left(\delta\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+m(1-\delta)\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right. \\
\left.\quad+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 3, we obtain the desired inequality (18).
Remark 6. Taking $m=1$ in Theorem 15, we obtain Theorem 13.
Theorem 16. Let $-\infty<\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}<\infty$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ such that $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an $(\alpha, m)$-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $(\alpha, m) \in(0,1]^{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)]^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right.  \tag{19}\\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 11.
Proof. From the $(\alpha, m)$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]_{(1-m)}^{p} d \mu=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+m(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{1}\left(1-m \iota_{2}\right.}{l_{2}-m}}\left(\delta^{\alpha}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+m\left(1-\delta^{\alpha}\right)\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right. \\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{D}\left(n, p ; \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Lemma 3, we obtain the desired inequality (19).
Remark 7. Taking $m=1$ in Theorem 16, we obtain Theorem 15.
Remark 8. Our above results still holds if we apply Lemma 4, so we omit their proofs.

## 3. Inequalities of Ostrowski Type

The Ostrowski inequality [30] is remarkable and has the following representation:
Theorem 17. Let $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function on $I^{\circ}$, with $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$ and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. If $\left|\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right| \leq M$ for all $\mu \in\left[\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right]$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta\right| \leq M\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)\left[\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\left(\mu-\frac{\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)^{2}}\right], \quad \forall \mu \in\left[\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right] . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For other recent results of this type, please see $[9,30,31]$ and the references therein.
Theorem 18. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be a differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive with $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a P-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right):=\frac{p^{p} \sin ^{p}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}{\pi^{p}(p-1)}\left[\frac{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}{2}+\left|\xi-\frac{\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}}{2}\right|\right]^{p}\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right) .
$$

Proof. From the $P$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $E\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 3, we obtain the desired inequality (21).
Theorem 19. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be a differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive with $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a quasi-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \max \left\{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 18.
Proof. From the quasi-convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1} \max \left\{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} d \delta \\
=\max \left\{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 3, we obtain the desired inequality (22).
Theorem 20. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be a differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive with $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\frac{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{2}\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 18.
Proof. From the convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\delta\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+(1-\delta)\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 3 , we obtain the desired inequality (23).
Theorem 21. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be a differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive with $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an s-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\frac{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{s+1}\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 18.
Proof. From the $s$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\delta^{s}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+(1-\delta)^{s}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{s+1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 3 , we obtain the desired inequality (24).
Remark 9. Taking $s=1$ in Theorem 21, we obtain Theorem 20.
Theorem 22. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be a differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive with $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an m-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $m \in(0,1]$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}  \tag{25}\\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 18.
Proof. From the $m$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left[\mathcal{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+m(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left(\delta\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+m(1-\delta)\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-l_{1}} \\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 3 , we obtain the desired inequality (25).
Remark 10. Taking $m=1$ in Theorem 22, we obtain Theorem 20.

Theorem 23. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be a differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are positive with $\omega\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$. If $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an $(\alpha, m)$-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $(\alpha, m) \in(0,1]^{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\tilde{\xi})|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right.  \tag{26}\\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 18.
Proof. From the $(\alpha, m)$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{\prime}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left[\mathcal{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+m(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left(\delta^{\alpha}\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+m\left(1-\delta^{\alpha}\right)\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right. \\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{\prime}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{E}\left(\xi ; p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 3, we obtain the desired inequality (26).
Remark 11. Taking $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 23, we obtain Theorem 22.
Theorem 24. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a P-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right):=\left(\frac{p^{p} \sin ^{p}\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)}{\pi^{p}(p-1)}\right)^{n}\left[\frac{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}{2}+\left|\xi-\frac{\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}}{2}\right|\right]^{n p}\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right) .
$$

Proof. From the $P$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 4, we obtain the desired inequality (27).

Theorem 25. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a quasi-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \max \left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From the quasi-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \leq \int_{0}^{1} \max \left\{\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} d \delta \\
=\max \left\{\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 4, we obtain the desired inequality (28).

Theorem 26. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\frac{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{2}\right\}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From the convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\delta\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+(1-\delta)\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 4 , we obtain the desired inequality (29).

Theorem 27. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an s-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\frac{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{s+1}\right\}, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.

Proof. From the s-convexity of $\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\delta^{s}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+(1-\delta)^{s}\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}}{s+1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 4, we obtain the desired inequality (30).

Remark 12. Taking $s=1$ in Theorem 27, we obtain Theorem 26.
Theorem 28. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an m-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $m \in(0,1]$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right.  \tag{31}\\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From the $m$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{l_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+m(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{1}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left(\delta\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+m(1-\delta)\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right. \\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 4 , we obtain the desired inequality (31).

Remark 13. Taking $m=1$ in Theorem 28, we obtain Theorem 26.
Theorem 29. Let $\xi \in\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and $\omega$ be an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an $(\alpha, m)$-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $(\alpha, m) \in(0,1]^{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\xi)|^{p} d \mu \leq \mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right.  \tag{32}\\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.

Proof. From the $(\alpha, m)$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p} d \mu=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\delta \iota_{1}+m(1-\delta) \iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p} d \delta \\
\leq \frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{\iota_{1}(1-m)}{l_{1}-m \iota_{2}}}\left(\delta^{\alpha}\left[\mathscr{\mathcal { N }}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+m\left(1-\delta^{\alpha}\right)\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right) d \delta \\
=\frac{\left(\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right. \\
\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and using Theorem 4 , we obtain the desired inequality (32).

Remark 14. Taking $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 29, we obtain Theorem 28.
Theorem 30. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Assume that $\omega$ is an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a P-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta\right| \leq \sqrt[p]{\frac{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. It is obvious that:

$$
\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta=\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)-\omega(\delta)] d \delta .
$$

Taking the modulus, applying the triangle inequality, and then, using the Hölder inequality, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta\right|=\left|\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{l_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}[\omega(\mu)-\omega(\delta)] d \delta\right|  \tag{34}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\delta)| d \delta \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{\iota_{2}-l_{1}}}\left(\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}|\omega(\mu)-\omega(\delta)|^{p} d \delta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

From the $P$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and applying Theorem 24 , we obtain the desired inequality (33).

Theorem 31. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Suppose that $\omega$ is an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a quasi-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta\right| \leq \sqrt[p]{\frac{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \max \left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.

Proof. From Inequality (34), the quasi-convexity of $\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, and applying Theorem 25, we obtain the desired inequality (35).

Theorem 32. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Assume that $\omega$ is an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is a convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta\right| \leq \sqrt[p]{\frac{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}}{2\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From Inequality (34), the convexity of $\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, and applying Theorem 26, we obtain the desired inequality (36).

Theorem 33. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Suppose that $\omega$ is an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega_{,} \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an s-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta\right| \leq \sqrt[p]{\frac{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}}{(s+1)\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From Inequality (34), the $s$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, and applying Theorem 27 , we obtain the desired inequality (37).

Remark 15. Taking $s=1$ in Theorem 33, we obtain Theorem 32.
Theorem 34. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Assume that $\omega$ is an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an m-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $m \in(0,1]$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta\right| \leq \sqrt[p]{\frac{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; \xi, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}} \\
\times\left[\frac { ( \iota _ { 1 } - m \iota _ { 2 } ) } { \iota _ { 2 } - \iota _ { 1 } } \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right.\right.  \tag{38}\\
\left.\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From Inequality (34), the $m$-convexity of $\left[\mathscr{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, and applying Theorem 28, we obtain the desired inequality (38).

Remark 16. Taking $m=1$ in Theorem 34, we obtain Theorem 32.
Theorem 35. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Suppose that $\omega$ is an $n$-times differentiable function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$.

If $\left[\mathfrak{\omega}^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ is an $(\alpha, m)$-convex function on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $(\alpha, m) \in(0,1]^{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \mathscr{\omega}(\delta) d \delta\right| \leq \sqrt[p]{\frac{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}} \\
\times\left[\frac { ( \iota _ { 1 } - m \iota _ { 2 } ) } { \iota _ { 2 } - \iota _ { 1 } } \left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right.\right.  \tag{39}\\
\left.\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From Inequality (34), the $(\alpha, m)$-convexity of $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, and applying Theorem 29, we obtain the desired inequality (39).

Remark 17. Taking $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 35, we obtain Theorem 34.

## 4. Inequalities of the Chebyshev Type

Theorem 36. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Assume that $\omega, \vartheta$ are $n$-times differentiable functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ and $\vartheta, \vartheta^{\prime}, \ldots, \vartheta^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, and $\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ are $P$-convex functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{T}(\omega, \vartheta)| & \leq \frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \sqrt[p]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}} \\
& \times \sqrt[q]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, q, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left\{\left[\vartheta^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{q}+\left[\vartheta^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{q}\right\}} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From the equality:

$$
\mathcal{T}(\omega, \vartheta)=\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left[\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta\right]\left[\vartheta(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \vartheta(\delta) d \delta\right] d \mu,
$$

taking the absolute value, and then, applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{T}(\omega, \vartheta)| & \leq \frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}}\left(\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left|\omega(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-l_{1}} \int_{l_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \omega(\delta) d \delta\right|^{2} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}}\left|\vartheta(\mu)-\frac{1}{\iota_{2}-l_{1}} \int_{\iota_{1}}^{\iota_{2}} \vartheta(\delta) d \delta\right|^{2} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

From the $P$-convexity of functions $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ and applying Theorem 30, we obtain the desired inequality (40).

Theorem 37. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Suppose that $\omega, \vartheta$ are $n$-times differentiable functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ and $\vartheta^{\prime} \vartheta^{\prime}, \ldots, \vartheta^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, and $\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ are quasi-convex functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{T}(\omega, \vartheta)| & \leq \frac{1}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \sqrt[p]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \max \left\{\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p},\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}} \\
& \times \sqrt[q]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, q, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right) \max \left\{\left[\vartheta^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{q},\left[\vartheta(n)\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{q}\right\}} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From Inequality (41), the quasi-convexity of functions $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ on ( $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}$ ), and applying Theorem 31, we obtain the desired inequality (42).

Theorem 38. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Assume that $\omega, \vartheta$ are $n$-times differentiable functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ and $\vartheta^{\prime} \vartheta^{\prime}, \ldots, \vartheta^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, and $\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ are convex functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{T}(\omega, \vartheta)| & \leq \frac{1}{2\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)} \sqrt[p]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left(\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right)} \\
& \times \sqrt[q]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, q, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left(\left[\vartheta^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{q}+\left[\vartheta^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{q}\right)} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From Inequality (41), the convexity of functions $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, and applying Theorem 32, we obtain the desired inequality (43).

Theorem 39. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Suppose that $\omega, \vartheta$ are $n$-times differentiable functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ and $\vartheta, \vartheta^{\prime}, \ldots, \vartheta^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, and $\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ are s-convex functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{T}(\omega, \vartheta)| \leq & \frac{1}{(s+1)\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}\right)} \sqrt[p]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left(\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}+\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right)},  \tag{44}\\
& \times \sqrt[q]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, q, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)\left(\left[\vartheta^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{q}+\left[\vartheta^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{q}\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From Inequality (41), the s-convexity of functions $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, and applying Theorem 33, we obtain the desired inequality (44).

Remark 18. Taking $s=1$ in Theorem 39, we obtain Theorem 38.
Theorem 40. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Assume that $\omega, \vartheta$ are $n$-times differentiable functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ and $\vartheta, \vartheta^{\prime}, \ldots, \vartheta^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, and $\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ are m-convex functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $m \in(0,1]$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\mathcal{T}(\omega, \vartheta)| \leq \frac{\sqrt[p]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)} \sqrt[q]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; ;, q, q, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)}}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \\
\times\left[\frac { ( \iota _ { 1 } - m \iota _ { 2 } ) } { \iota _ { 2 } - \iota _ { 1 } } \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}  \tag{45}\\
\times\left[\frac { ( \iota _ { 1 } - m \iota _ { 2 } ) } { \iota _ { 2 } - \iota _ { 1 } } \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\vartheta^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{q}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{2}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right]\left[\vartheta^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{q}\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From Inequality (41), the $m$-convexity of functions $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, and applying Theorem 34, we obtain the desired inequality (45).

Remark 19. Taking $m=1$ in Theorem 40, we obtain Theorem 38.
Theorem 41. Let $\iota_{1}, \iota_{2} \in I^{\circ}$, and $\iota_{1}<\iota_{2}$. Suppose that $\omega, \vartheta$ are $n$-times differentiable functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, where $\omega, \omega^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega^{(n)}$ and $\vartheta, \vartheta^{\prime}, \ldots, \vartheta^{(n)}$ are positive with $\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\omega^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, and $\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\vartheta^{(j)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=0$, for all $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. If $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ are $(\alpha, m)-$ convex functions on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, then for all $p>1$ and $(\alpha, m) \in(0,1]^{2}$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\mathcal{T}(\omega, \vartheta)| \leq \frac{\sqrt[p]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right.} \sqrt[q]{\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, q, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)}}{\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}} \\
\times\left[\frac { ( \iota _ { 1 } - m \iota _ { 2 } ) } { \iota _ { 2 } - \iota _ { 1 } } \left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{p}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\omega^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{p}\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}  \tag{46}\\
\times\left[\frac { ( \iota _ { 1 } - m \iota _ { 2 } ) } { \iota _ { 2 } - \iota _ { 1 } } \left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left[\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right]\left[\vartheta(n)\left(\iota_{1}\right)\right]^{q}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\left[m\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}-1\right)-\frac{m}{\alpha+1}\left(\left(\frac{\iota_{2}(1-m)}{\iota_{1}-m \iota_{2}}\right)^{\alpha+1}-1\right)\right]\left[\vartheta^{(n)}\left(\iota_{2}\right)\right]^{q}\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\left(\xi ; n, p, \iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$ is defined as in Theorem 24.
Proof. From Inequality (41), the $(\alpha, m)$-convexity of functions $\left[\omega^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{p}$ and $\left[\vartheta^{(n)}(\mu)\right]^{q}$ on $\left(\iota_{1}, \iota_{2}\right)$, and applying Theorem 35, we obtain the desired inequality (46).

Remark 20. Taking $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 41, we obtain Theorem 40.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, via different kinds of differentiable convex functions, some new inequalities of the Beesack-Wirtinger type were proven. Furthermore, we generalized our results for functions that are $n$-times differentiable convex. Finally, many interesting Ostrowskiand Chebyshev-type inequalities were derived as well. It is worth mentioning that from our results, several interesting inequalities using special means, modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind, $q$-digamma function where $q \in(0,1)$, and some error estimations for quadrature formulas can be found; see [15,32-37] for details. Since the different kinds
of convex functions that we used to obtain our results have large applications in many mathematical areas, then they can be applied to derive several new important results in convex analysis, quantum mechanics, and related optimization theory and may stimulate further research in different areas of pure and applied sciences. Studies relating convexity may have useful applications in interdisciplinary studies, such as maximizing the likelihood from multiple linear regressions involving the Gauss-Laplace distribution. For more details, see [38-45].
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