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#### Abstract

We work with a perturbed fractional differential equation with discontinuous right-hand sides where a discontinuity function crosses a discontinuity boundary transversally. The corresponding Poincaré map in a neighbourhood of a periodic orbit of an unperturbed equation is found. Then, bifurcations of periodic boundary solutions are analysed together with a concrete example.
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## 1. Introduction

Many applications of fractional calculus and for discontinuous systems can be found in biophysics, quantum mechanics, group theory, robotics or economics. The main reason for the applications of fractional calculus is that fractional-order models have more degrees of freedom and are more flexible than the integer-order ones. On the other hand, discontinuous systems model phenomena with non-smooth forces or dry frictions. As basic sources are books such as [1-6], various analytical and numerical methods are applied as they are demonstrated in [7-11]. Moreover, the theory and applications of fractional systems are rapidly developing, supported by many recent papers and Special Issues such as [12-16], involving stability, asymptotic periodicity, synchronization, memory effect and several other important and interesting behaviours of fractional models.

We work with a perturbed fractional differential equation with globally Lipschitz righthand sides and which change their forms, i.e., they are discontinuous and transversally cross a discontinuity boundary. We are looking for a solution of a perturbed system with a periodic boundary condition in a neighbourhood of a periodic orbit of an unperturbed system. That means a solution of an unperturbed equation is periodic. We consider Caputo fractional derivatives of an order less than one. Our approach is based on the well-known method of a Poincaré map constructed near an investigated periodic orbit widely applied in dynamical systems as either smooth or non-smooth [17,18]. Since our studied problem has a integral-differential structure due of using together integer and Caputo fractional derivatives, the extension of Poincaré method is not elementary, but rather technical. We also believe that it will have a good reason to continue in this study.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we introduce our problem and prove the existence of a global solution. In Section 3, we show the existence of a Poincaré map in a neighbourhood of a periodic orbit of an unperturbed equation, which allows a bifurcation analysis of periodic boundary solutions given in Section 4. Section 5 demonstrates our method on a concrete example, and it discusses a possible scenario of qualitative behaviour of our example problem. Section 6 summarises our achievements and proposes our future task.

## 2. Existence of Solutions

Let us consider the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\mu_{1}^{C} D_{0}^{q} x+g\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\mu_{2} h(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}, q \in(0,1), \mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ are small parameters, and function $g$ is defined by

$$
g\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}g_{+}(x) & \text { for } x^{\prime}>0 \\ g_{-}(x) & \text { for } x^{\prime}<0\end{cases}
$$

We suppose that functions $g_{ \pm}$and $h$ are globally Lipschitz continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$, and $g$ transversally crosses the discontinuity boundary $x^{\prime}=0$, i.e., $g_{-}(x) g_{+}(x)>0$.

We say that $x(t)$ is a solution of the Equation (1) if it is continuous, piecewise $C^{2}$ and satisfies (1). The Equation (1) is equivalent to the following system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}  \tag{2}\\
& x_{2}^{\prime}=-\mu_{1}{ }^{C} D_{0}^{q} x_{1}-g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\mu_{2} h\left(x_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the second equation can be rewritten to the form

$$
x_{2}^{\prime}=-\mu_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-q} x_{2}(s) d s-g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\mu_{2} h\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

Initial conditions are as follows:

$$
x_{1}(0)=u_{0}, \quad x_{2}(0)=v_{0}
$$

We work with $v_{0}>0$.
Theorem 1. The solution $x=\left(x_{1}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, t\right), x_{2}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, t\right)\right)$ of the system (2) with fixed $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=g_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}\right)$ exists on $\mathbb{R}_{+}=[0, \infty)$, and it is expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & =u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} x_{2}(s) d s \\
x_{2} & =v_{0}-\mu_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left(-g_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}(s)\right)+\mu_{2} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The existence of a solution is shown by using the Banach fixed point theorem on a Banach space $X=C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)^{2}$ with a norm

$$
\|x\|_{a}=\max _{\left[\mathbb{R}_{+}\right.}\|x(t)\| e^{-a t}
$$

where $a>0$ is specified below and $\|x\|=\max \left\{\left|x_{1}\right|,\left|x_{2}\right|\right\}$ is a norm on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. When $x \in$ $C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, we use the same norm $\|x\|_{a}=\max _{\mathbb{R}_{+}}|x(t)| e^{-a t}$.

Consider $F=\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)$ as a functional $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)(t) & =u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} x_{2}(s) d s \\
F_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)(t) & =v_{0}-\mu_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(-g_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}(s)\right)+\mu_{2} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We show that there is a unique point $x$ such that $x=F(x)$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. We now verify that $F$ is contractive on $X$ for a suitable $a>0$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|F_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)(t)-F_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)(t)\right| \\
=\left|u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} x_{2}(s) d s-u_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} y_{2}(s) d s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left|x_{2}(s)-y_{2}(s)\right| d s \\
\leq \int_{0}^{t}\|x-y\|_{a} e^{a s} d s \leq\|x-y\|_{a} \frac{e^{a t}}{a} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$. Multiplying (3) by $e^{-a t}$, we get:

$$
\left\|F_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)-F_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)\right\|_{a} \leq \frac{1}{a}\|x-y\|_{a} .
$$

The mapping $F_{1}$ is contractive if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a>1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we compute

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|F_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)(t)-F_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)(t)\right| \\
=\left\lvert\, \mu_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q}\left(y_{2}(z)-x_{2}(z)\right) d z d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left(g_{ \pm}\left(y_{1}(s)\right)-\mu_{2} h\left(y_{1}(s)\right)\right.\right. \\
\left.-g_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}(s)\right)+\mu_{2} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right)\right) d s\left|\leq\left|\mu_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q}\left(y_{2}(z)-x_{2}(z)\right) d z d s\right|\right. \\
+\left|\int_{0}^{t}\left(g_{ \pm}\left(y_{1}(s)\right)-\mu_{2} h\left(y_{1}(s)\right)-g_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}(s)\right)+\mu_{2} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right)\right) d s\right| \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

First, the integral in (5) is evaluated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q}\left(y_{2}(z)-x_{2}(z)\right) d z d s\right| \leq & \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q}\left|y_{2}(z)-x_{2}(z)\right| d z d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q}\|x-y\|_{a} e^{a z} d z d s \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we first use substitution $s-z=r$, then $a r=u$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} e^{a z} d z \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{-q} e^{a(s-r)} d r=e^{a s} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{-q} e^{-a r} d r=\frac{e^{a s}}{a} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{u}{a}\right)^{-q} e^{-u} d u= \\
=\frac{e^{a s}}{a^{1-q}} \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{-q} e^{-u} d u=\frac{e^{a s}}{a^{1-q}} \Gamma(1-q)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, integral (6) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q}\|x-y\|_{a} e^{a z} d z d s \leq \frac{\|x-y\|_{a}}{a^{1-q}} \Gamma(1-q) \frac{e^{a t}}{a} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use Lipschitz continuity of functions $g_{ \pm}$and $h$. Let $L_{G}$ be a Lipschitz constant of function $G_{ \pm}(z)$, where $G_{ \pm}(z)=g_{ \pm}(z)-\mu_{2} h(z)$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\int_{0}^{t}\left(G_{ \pm}\left(y_{1}(s)\right)-G_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}(s)\right)\right) d s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left|G_{ \pm}\left(y_{1}(s)\right)-G_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}(s)\right)\right| d s  \tag{8}\\
\leq \int_{0}^{t} L_{G}\|x-y\|_{a} e^{a s} d s=L_{G}\|x-y\|_{a} \frac{e^{a t}}{a}
\end{array}
$$

Using (5), (7), and (8) can be bounded by:

$$
\left|F_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)(t)-F_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)(t)\right| \leq\left(L_{G}+\frac{1}{a^{1-q} \mu_{1}}\right)\|x-y\|_{a} \frac{e^{a t}}{a}
$$

which implies

$$
\left\|F_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)-F_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; u_{0}, v_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)\right\|_{a} \leq\left(L_{G}+\frac{1}{a^{1-q} \mu_{1}}\right) \frac{1}{a}\|x-y\|_{a}
$$

This shows that $F_{2}$ is contractive if (4) holds along with

$$
\left(L_{G}+\frac{1}{a^{1-q} \mu_{1}}\right) \frac{1}{a}<1
$$

a $\operatorname{map} F=\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)$ has a unique fixed point. The proof is completed.

## 3. Poincaré Map

The solution $x_{2}$ varies depending on $g_{ \pm}$. If $x_{2}$ is non-negative, we work with $g_{+}$, otherwise we work with $g_{-}$. We will therefore look for times $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ where $x_{2}$ is equal to zero, where $g_{+}$changes to $g_{-}$and vice versa.

Let us discuss a case, where parameters $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are equal to 0 . System (2) will then be system of ordinary differential equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}  \tag{9}\\
& x_{2}^{\prime}=-g_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $T_{0}$-periodic solution of system (9) is

$$
x(0)=x\left(T_{0}\right)
$$

fulfilled. The fixed $T_{0}$-periodic solution of system (9) will be denoted by $x^{0}$. The initial condition can be written as

$$
x^{0}(0)=(u, v) .
$$

The solution $x^{0}$ can be written in the terms of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{0}(t)=x_{1}\left(x_{1}(0), x_{2}(0), 0,0, t\right)=x_{1}(u, v, 0,0, t)  \tag{10}\\
& x_{2}^{0}(t)=x_{2}\left(x_{1}(0), x_{2}(0), 0,0, t\right)=x_{2}(u, v, 0,0, t) .
\end{align*}
$$

The function $x_{2}^{0}$ is of class $C^{1}$ in all its variables.
Let us suppose the existence of $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ that $0<t_{1}<t_{2}<T_{0}$ and $x_{2}^{0}\left(t_{1}\right)=x_{2}^{0}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$. At these points, function $g_{ \pm}$changes its form from $g_{+}$to $g_{-}$and vice versa. We work with $v>0$, therefore $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$ will be $g_{ \pm}=g_{+}$, which means that $x_{2}$ will be of the following form:

$$
x_{2}(u, v, 0,0, t)=v-\int_{0}^{t} g_{+}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s .
$$

It can be seen that $t_{1}$ can be identified as a function $t_{1}=t_{1}(u, v, 0,0)$ that

$$
x_{2}\left(u, v, 0,0, t_{1}(u, v, 0,0)\right)=0 .
$$

In the case where parameters $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are not equal to 0 , we obtain for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{2}\left(u, v, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, t\right)=v & -\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s  \tag{11}\\
& +\mu_{2} \int_{0}^{t} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t} g_{+}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for $t_{2}$

$$
x_{2}(u, v, 0,0, t)=v-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\int_{t_{1}}^{t} g_{-}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s
$$

where $t_{2}$ can be seen as a function $t_{2}=t_{2}(u, v, 0,0)$, that

$$
x_{2}\left(u, v, 0,0, t_{2}(u, v, 0,0)\right)=0 .
$$

If $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are not equal to 0 , we obtain for $t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{2}\left(u, v, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, t\right)=v-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s  \tag{12}\\
-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \int_{t_{1}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s \\
+\mu_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s+\mu_{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\int_{t_{1}}^{t} g_{-}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s .
\end{array}
$$

Similarly, for interval $\left[t_{2}, T\right]$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{2}\left(u, v, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, t\right)=v-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s  \tag{13}\\
-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \int_{0}^{t_{2}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \int_{t_{2}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s \\
+\mu_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s+\mu_{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s+\mu_{2} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s \\
-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} g_{-}\left(x_{1}(s)\right)-\int_{t_{2}}^{t} g_{+}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s
\end{array}
$$

First, let us look at the solution $x_{2}$ for $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0$. The solution can be written in the following way:

$$
x_{2}(t)= \begin{cases}x_{2,1}(t) & \text { for } t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]  \tag{14}\\ x_{2,2}(t) & \text { for } t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \\ x_{2,3}(t) & \text { for } t \in\left[t_{2}, T\right]\end{cases}
$$

It can be easily seen, that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{2,1}\left(t_{1}\right)=x_{2,2}\left(t_{1}\right), \\
x_{2,2}\left(t_{2}\right)=x_{2,3}\left(t_{2}\right), \\
x_{2,3}(T)=x_{2,1}(0),
\end{array}
$$

where the last equation is result from $T$-periodicity.
The solution for $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ not equal to 0 can be written as $x_{2,1}, x_{2,2}$ and $x_{2,3}$ on corresponding intervals $\left[0, t_{1}\right],\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ and $\left[t_{2}, T\right]$, where these solutions are from (11)-(13).

It can be seen, that derivatives of function $x_{2}$ with respect to $t$ are non-zero for $t=t_{1}$ and $t=t_{2}$.

The solution $x_{1}(t)$ can be written similarly:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1,1}(t) & \text { for } t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right], \\
x_{1,2}(t) & \text { for } t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \\
x_{1,3}(t) & \text { for } t \in\left[t_{2}, T\right] . \\
x_{1,1}\left(t_{1}\right)=x_{1,2}\left(t_{1}\right), \\
x_{1,2}\left(t_{2}\right)=x_{1,3}\left(t_{2}\right), \\
x_{1,3}(T)=x_{1,1}(0) .
\end{array} .\right. \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us recall $x^{0}(t)$, a solution to an unperturbed Equation (1), i.e., for $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0 . x^{0}(t)$ therefore indicates solutions (14) and (15). Now, we will look for a $T$-periodic boundary solution of system (2) near $x^{0}(t)$, which means that in system (2) we take $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ as small, close to 0 . To reduce the number of variables, take $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ in the form $\varepsilon \hat{\mu}_{1}$ and $\varepsilon \hat{\mu}_{2}$, while $\varepsilon$ will be taken small close to 0 , and $\hat{\mu}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mu}_{2}$ can be any. For simplicity, we will rename the variables $\hat{\mu}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mu}_{2}$ to $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$.

The system (2) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=F_{ \pm}(x)+\varepsilon G(x) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

that $x=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}, F_{ \pm}(x)=\binom{x_{2}}{-g_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}\right)}$ and $\varepsilon G(x)=\binom{0}{\varepsilon\left(-\hat{\mu}_{1}{ }^{C} D_{0}^{q} x_{2}+\hat{\mu}_{2} h\left(x_{1}\right)\right)}$. Now we define Poincaré map. We choose $u_{0}=0$ and $v_{0}=\xi>0$.
$t_{3}$ is, similarly to $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, function of variables $\xi$ and $\epsilon$, that defines as $x_{1}\left(t_{3}\right)=0 . t_{3}$ determines the time, when solution meets its initial value, which can be seen in Figure 1 The Poincaré map is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}(\xi, \varepsilon)=x_{+}\left(x_{-}\left(t_{2}\right), t_{3}, \varepsilon\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{+}(\xi, \varepsilon, t)$ denotes the solution of (16) with $F_{+}(x)$ right-hand side and $x_{-}(\xi, \varepsilon, t)$ the solution of (16) with $F_{-}(x)$ right-hand side. To find periodic boundary solution we solve the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi-\tilde{P}(\xi, \varepsilon)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Graphical representation of the behaviour of the solution at times $t_{1}, t_{2}$ and $t_{3}$.
Differentiating the Equation (11) with respect to $\xi$ at the point $(\xi, \varepsilon)=\left(x_{0}, 0\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}\left(x_{0}, 0, t\right)_{\xi}=1-\int_{0}^{t} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \xi}(s)(s) d s \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we have $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$, which gives us $g_{+}$on the right-hand side. Differentiating the Equation (11) with respect to $\varepsilon$ at the point $(\xi, \varepsilon)=\left(x_{0}, 0\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{2}\left(x_{0}, 0, t\right)_{\varepsilon}= & -\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+  \tag{20}\\
& +\hat{\mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{t} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Denote the first point, where $g_{+}$changes to $g_{-}$as $\hat{x}_{1} . t_{1 \xi}\left(x_{0}, 0\right)$ can be obtained by differentiating (11) at $t=t_{1}(\xi, \varepsilon)$ with respect to $\xi$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1 \xi}\left(x_{0}, 0\right)=\frac{1}{g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)}\left(1+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \xi}(s) d s\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by differentiating with respect to $\varepsilon$, we can express $t_{1 \varepsilon}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{1 \varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, 0\right)= & \frac{1}{g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)}\left(-\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\right.  \tag{22}\\
& \left.+\hat{\mu}_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we take time $t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, which gives us $g_{-}$on the right-hand side. Differentiating (12) with respect to $\xi$ at the point $(\xi, \varepsilon)=\left(\hat{x}_{1}, 0\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{2}\left(\hat{x}_{1}, 0, t\right)_{\xi}= & 1-\left(t_{1 \xi} g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \xi}(s) d s-t_{1 \xi} g_{-}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)+\right.  \tag{23}\\
& \left.+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} g_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \xi}(s) d s\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (12) with respect to and $\varepsilon$ at the point $(\xi, \varepsilon)=\left(\hat{x}_{1}, 0\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{2}\left(\hat{x}_{1}, 0, t\right)_{\varepsilon}= & -\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right.  \tag{24}\\
& \left.+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \int_{t_{1}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right) \\
& +\hat{\mu}_{2} \int_{0}^{t} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\left(g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right) t_{1 \varepsilon}+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right. \\
& \left.-g_{-}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right) t_{1 \varepsilon}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} g_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $t=t_{2}$ function $g_{-}$changes to $g_{+}$. Denote by $\hat{x}_{2}$ the point, when the change happens. Differentiating (12) at $t=t_{2}(\xi, \epsilon)$ with respect to $\xi$ and $\varepsilon$, respectively, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{2 \xi}\left(x_{0}, 0\right)= & \frac{1}{g_{-}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \xi}(s) d s-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} g_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \xi}(s) d s\right.  \tag{25}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)}\left(1+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \xi}(s) d s\right)\left(g_{+}\left(x_{1}\right)-g_{-}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{2 \varepsilon}\left(x_{0}, 0\right)= & \frac{1}{g_{-}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)}\left(\frac { \hat { \mu _ { 1 } } } { \Gamma ( 1 - q ) } \left(\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right.\right.  \tag{26}\\
& \left.+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{t_{1}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right) \\
& -\hat{\mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} g_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s \\
& +\left(g_{+}\left(x_{1}\right)-g_{-}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \frac{1}{g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)}\left(-\frac{\hat{\mu_{1}}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\hat{\mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, take $t \in\left[t_{2}, t_{3}\right]$, and on the right-hand side of the equation is function $g_{+}$. Differentiating (13) with respect to $\xi$ and $\varepsilon$ at the point $(\xi, \varepsilon)=\left(\hat{x}_{2}, 0\right)$, respectively, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{2}\left(\hat{x}_{2}, 0, t\right)_{\xi}= & 1-\left(t_{1 \xi} g_{+}\left(x_{1}\right)+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \xi}(s) d s+t_{2 \xi} g_{-}\left(x_{1}\right)-t_{1 \xi} g_{-}\left(x_{1}\right)\right.  \tag{27}\\
+ & \left.\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} g_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \xi}(s) d s-t_{2 \xi} g_{+}\left(x_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \xi}(s) d s\right) \\
x_{2}\left(\hat{x}_{2}, 0, t\right)_{\varepsilon}= & -\left(\frac { \hat { \mu _ { 1 } } } { \Gamma ( 1 - q ) } \left(\int_{0}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right.\right.  \tag{28}\\
& \left.+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \int_{0}^{t_{2}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \int_{t_{2}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right) \\
& +\left(\hat{\mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{t} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s\right) \\
& -\left(g_{+}\left(x_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) t_{1 \varepsilon}+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s+g_{-}\left(x_{1}\right) t_{2 \varepsilon}-g_{-}\left(x_{1}\right) t_{1 \varepsilon}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} g_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s-t_{2 \varepsilon} g_{+}\left(x_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Bifurcation Analysis

Poincaré map fulfils:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=\binom{0}{Q(\xi, \varepsilon)} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}=\binom{0}{\xi}$. Denote by $\bar{\xi}$ the point, for which the periodic orbit $x^{0}$ exists for $\varepsilon=0$. Then, we consider

$$
\xi \in(\bar{\xi}-\delta, \bar{\xi}+\delta), \quad \bar{\xi} \mapsto Q(\xi, \varepsilon),
$$

for $\delta>0$. In our particular case, the function $Q$ equals $x_{2,3}\left(t_{3}\right)$, where $t_{3}$ is the point, where $x_{1,1}(0)=x_{1,3}\left(t_{3}\right)=0$.

Let $\varepsilon=0$. It is easy to see that $\bar{\zeta}$ solves

$$
Q(\xi, 0)-\xi=0
$$

hence

$$
Q(\bar{\xi}, 0)-\bar{\xi}=0 .
$$

By differentiating the equation with respect to $\xi$ at the point $\xi=\bar{\xi}$, two options can occur:

$$
Q_{\tilde{\xi}}(\bar{\xi}, 0) \neq 1
$$

or

$$
Q_{\tilde{\xi}}(\bar{\xi}, 0)=1
$$

In the first option, we obtain a isolated periodic boundary orbit, which means that the equation has a unique solution $\xi=\Psi(\varepsilon)$ that fulfils $\bar{\xi}=\Psi(0)$.

In the second option, the bifurcation may occur. Let us look at the special, degenerated, case of the second option:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\xi, 0) \equiv \xi . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we obtain several solutions, the one-parameter system of periodic solutions of the unperturbed system. Assume that (30) is fulfilled. Using Hadamard's Lemma express $Q(\xi, \varepsilon)$ in the form:

$$
Q(\xi, \varepsilon)=Q(\xi, 0)+Q(\xi, \varepsilon)-Q(\xi, 0)=Q(\xi, 0)+\varepsilon Q_{1}(\xi, \varepsilon)=\xi+\varepsilon Q_{1}(\xi, \varepsilon)
$$

The equation

$$
\varepsilon Q_{1}(\xi, \varepsilon)=0
$$

is obtained. After multiplying the equation by $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ and giving $\varepsilon=0$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}(\xi, 0)=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the roots of Equation (31), the existence of periodic boundary orbits can be discussed. If Equation (31) has a unique non-degenerated solution $\bar{\xi}=\bar{\xi}$ for $\xi \in(\xi-\delta, \xi+\delta)$, we obtain a local unique periodic boundary solution.

If the equation has no solution, a periodic boundary orbit does not exist. If the equation has several solutions, we obtain several periodic boundary orbits. In our particular case of Equation (1), $Q_{1}(\xi, \varepsilon)$ can be found by differentiating (13) with respect to $\varepsilon$. We use the notation $\mu_{1}=\varepsilon \hat{\mu}_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}=\varepsilon \hat{\mu}_{2}$, as introduced earlier in this chapter. For $\varepsilon=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}(\xi, 0)= & -\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right.  \tag{32}\\
& \left.+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} \int_{0}^{t_{2}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} \int_{t_{2}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right) \\
& +\left(\hat{\mu}_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{3}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s\right) \\
& -\left(g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right) t_{1 \varepsilon}+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s+g_{-}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right) t_{2 \varepsilon}-g_{-}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right) t_{1 \varepsilon}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} g_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s+t_{3 \varepsilon} g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{3}\right)-t_{2 \varepsilon} g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x_{1}\left(t_{3}\right)=0, t_{3 \varepsilon}$ can be expressed by differentiating $x_{1}$ with respect to $\varepsilon$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{3 \varepsilon}=-\frac{1}{x_{2}\left(t_{3}\right)} \int_{0}^{t_{3}} x_{2 \varepsilon}(s) d s \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (22), (26) and (33) we can express $Q_{1}(\xi, \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}(\xi, 0)= & -\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right.  \tag{34}\\
& \left.+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} \int_{0}^{t_{2}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} \int_{t_{2}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right) \\
& +\left(\hat{\mu}_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{3}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s\right) \\
& -\left(( g _ { + } ( \hat { x } _ { 1 } ) - g _ { - } ( \hat { x } _ { 1 } ) ) \frac { 1 } { g _ { + } ( \hat { x } _ { 1 } ) } \left(-\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\hat{\mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right)+ \\
& +\left(g_{-}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)-g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)\right) \frac{1}{g_{-}\left(\hat{x}_{2}\right)}\left(\frac { \hat { \mu _ { 1 } } } { \Gamma ( 1 - q ) } \left(\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{t_{1}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right) \\
& -\hat{\mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} g_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s \\
& +\left(g_{+}\left(x_{1}\right)-g_{-}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \frac{1}{g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right)}\left(-\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\hat{\mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} h\left(x_{1}(s)\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right)\right)-g_{+}\left(\hat{x}_{3}\right) \frac{1}{x_{2}\left(t_{3}\right)} \int_{0}^{t_{3}} x_{2 \varepsilon}(s) d s \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} g_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} g_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. Example of the Specific Equation

In further research, we will solve the equation, where the degenerated case (30) occurs. Using methods described in a previous chapter, we will solve specific equations in the form of (1). Let us solve an Equation (1) in unperturbed form.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+g_{ \pm}(x)=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (35) is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}  \tag{36}\\
& x_{2}^{\prime}=-g_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let the function $g_{ \pm}$be such that there exists a periodic solution.

$$
g_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{1}, & x_{2} \geq 0  \tag{37}\\ 4 x_{1}, & x_{2}<0\end{cases}
$$

It is obvious that the periodic solution of system (36) exists.
Figure 2 shows that the solution of the system (36) is periodic. For $x_{2}$ positive $g_{ \pm}=x_{1}$, therefore, the solutions above the $x_{1}$ axis are circles. The solutions under the $x_{1}$ axis are ellipses, and the reason is that $g_{ \pm}=4 x_{1}$. We can look for the times $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, in which the function $g_{ \pm}$changes from $g_{+}$to $g_{-}$and vice versa. The solution of the system (36) for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$ equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}(t)=c_{1} \cos (t)+c_{2} \sin (t) \\
& x_{2}(t)=c_{2} \cos (t)-c_{1} \sin (t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$t_{1}$ is the first time in which the solution $x_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$. Because the time $t_{1}$ changes for different values of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, we take the initial conditions as we used in the previous chapter, namely $x(0)=\binom{0}{v_{0}}$. In this case, the solution will be in the form of:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}(t)=v_{0} \sin (t),  \tag{38}\\
& x_{2}(t)=v_{0} \cos (t) .
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2. Local phase portrait of system (36).
Time $t_{1}$ will be the time when $x_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$. It is obvious that $t_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2}$. The system has on the interval $\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, t_{2}\right]$ initial conditions $x_{1}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=v_{0}$ a $x_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=0$. Therefore, the solution is in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}(t)=-v_{0} \cos (2 t),  \tag{39}\\
& x_{2}(t)=2 v_{0} \sin (2 t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the time $t_{2}$, in which the function $g_{-}$changes to $g_{+}$, is $t_{2}=\pi$. Let us look for a $T$-periodic solution, which means, that the solutions $x_{1}(T)=x_{1}(0)$ and $x_{2}(T)=x_{2}(0)$. The solution for $t \in[\pi, T]$ equals

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}(t)=v_{0} \cos (t),  \tag{40}\\
& x_{2}(t)=-v_{0} \sin (t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $T=\frac{3 \pi}{2}$. Using the method described in the previous chapter, it is possible to find a Poincaré map for a perturbed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+g_{ \pm}(x)+\varepsilon\left(\mu_{1}{ }^{C} D_{0}^{q} x-\mu_{2} h(x)\right)=0, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{ \pm}(x)$ is determined by the relation (37) and $h(x)$ can be chosen as $x$, so $h(x)=x$. Solution $x$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} x_{2}(s) d s \\
& x_{2}(t)=\xi-\varepsilon \mu_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left(-g_{ \pm}\left(x_{1}(s)\right)+\varepsilon \mu_{2} x_{1}(s) d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, we can express $Q_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}(\xi, 0)= & -\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right.  \tag{42}\\
& \left.+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} \int_{0}^{t_{2}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} \int_{t_{2}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right) \\
& +\left(\hat{\mu}_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{3}} x_{1}(s) d s\right) \\
& -\left(( \hat { x } _ { 1 } - 4 \hat { x } _ { 1 } ) \frac { 1 } { \hat { x } _ { 1 } } \left(-\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\hat{\mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} x_{1}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} 4 x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right)+ \\
& +\left(4 \hat{x}_{2}-\hat{x}_{2}\right) \frac{1}{4 \hat{x}_{2}}\left(\frac { \hat { \mu _ { 1 } } } { \Gamma ( 1 - q ) } \left(\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{t_{1}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right) \\
& -\hat{\mu}_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} x_{1}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} 4 x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s \\
& +\left(\hat{x}_{1}-4 \hat{x}_{1}\right) \frac{1}{\hat{x}_{1}}\left(-\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\hat{\mu_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} x_{1}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{t_{1}} x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right)\right)^{-\hat{x}_{3}} \frac{1}{\hat{x}_{3}} \int_{0}^{t_{3}} x_{2 \varepsilon}(s) d s \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{t_{1}} x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} 4 x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s+\int_{t_{2}}^{t_{3}} x_{1 \varepsilon}(s) d s\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}$ and $\hat{x}_{3}$ are the first, second and third intersection points, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{x}_{1}=\binom{x_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)}{x_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)}=\binom{a}{0}, a \in \mathbb{R} . \\
& \hat{x}_{2}=\binom{x_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)}{x_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)}=\binom{b}{0}, b \in \mathbb{R} . \\
& \hat{x}_{3}=\binom{x_{1}\left(t_{3}\right)}{x_{2}\left(t_{3}\right)}=\binom{0}{c}, c \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Times $t_{1}, t_{2}$ and $t_{3}$ satisfy following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=x_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) & =\xi-\varepsilon \mu_{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s+\left(\varepsilon \mu_{2}-1\right) \int_{0}^{t_{1}} x_{1}(s) d s \\
0=x_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) & =\xi-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s \\
& -\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \int_{t_{1}}^{s}(s-z)^{-q} x_{2}(z) d z d s \\
& +\left(\varepsilon \mu_{2}-1\right) \int_{0}^{t_{1}} x_{1}(s) d s+\left(\varepsilon \mu_{2}-4\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} x_{1}(s) d s \\
0 & =x_{1}\left(t_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}=\int_{0}^{t_{3}} x_{2}(s) d s
$$

To use (34) for our specific equation, we have to find functions $x_{1 \varepsilon}(t)$ and $x_{2 \varepsilon}$. Function $x_{2 \varepsilon}$ was defined (20), (24) and (28) on intervals [ $\left.0, t_{1}\right],\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ and $\left[t_{2}, t_{3}\right]$, respectively. Knowing that $x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}(2)$ (symbol ' symbolizes the time derivative), we can formulate ODE system to find functions $x_{1 \varepsilon}(t)$ and $x_{2 \varepsilon}$ on each interval using functions (38), (39) and (40) as $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$.

On interval $\left[0, t_{1}\right]=\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1 \varepsilon}^{\prime}=x_{2 \varepsilon}  \tag{43}\\
& x_{2 \varepsilon}^{\prime}=-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-z)^{-q} \xi \cos z d z+\mu_{2} \xi \sin t-x_{1 \varepsilon} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

with initial conditions $x_{1 \varepsilon}(0)=x_{2 \varepsilon}(0)=0$. which, using a variation of parameters method, give the solution in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x_{1 \varepsilon}}{x_{2 \varepsilon}}=e^{A t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-A s} f(s) d s \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $f(t)=\binom{0}{-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-z)^{-q} \xi \cos z d z+\mu_{2} \xi \sin t}$. Similarly, the ODE system on the second interval $\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1 \varepsilon}^{\prime}=x_{2 \varepsilon}  \tag{46}\\
& x_{2 \varepsilon}^{\prime}=-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)}\left(\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(t-z)^{-q} \xi \cos z d z+\int_{\pi / 2}^{t}(t-z)^{-q} 2 \xi \sin 2 z d z\right)-\mu_{2} \xi \cos 2 t+4 x_{1 \varepsilon} . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Its initial conditions can be calculated from Equation (45) at $t=\frac{\pi}{2}$. The solution on interval $\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right]$ using a variation of parameters method is in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x_{1 \varepsilon}}{x_{2 \varepsilon}}=e^{A t} K+e^{A t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-A s} f(s) d s, \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 4 & 0\end{array}\right), K=e^{A \frac{\pi}{2}}\binom{x_{1 \varepsilon}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{x_{2 \varepsilon}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}$
and $f(t)=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ \left.-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)}\left(\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(t-z)^{-q} \xi \cos z d z+\int_{\pi / 2}^{t}(t-z)^{-q} 2 \xi \sin 2 z d z\right)-\mu_{2} \xi \cos 2 t\right) \text {. } . ~ . ~ . ~\end{array}\right.$
On the last interval $\left[\pi, \frac{3}{2} \pi\right]$ is the ODE system in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1 \varepsilon}^{\prime} & =x_{2 \varepsilon}  \tag{49}\\
x_{2 \varepsilon}^{\prime} & =-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)}\left(\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(t-z)^{-q} \xi \cos z d z+\int_{\pi / 2}^{\pi}(t-z)^{-q} 2 \xi \sin 2 z d z\right.  \tag{50}\\
& \left.+\int_{\pi / 2}^{t}(t-z)^{-q}(-\xi) \sin z d z\right)+\mu_{2} \xi \cos t-x_{1 \varepsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

with initial conditions equal to (48) at time $t=\pi$. The solution on interval $\left[\pi, \frac{3}{2} \pi\right]$ is in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x_{1 \varepsilon}}{x_{2 \varepsilon}}=e^{A t} K+e^{A t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-A s} f(s) d s, \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right), K=e^{A \pi}\binom{x_{1 \varepsilon}(\pi)}{x_{2 \varepsilon}(\pi)}$
and $f(t)=\left(\begin{array}{lc}0 & \\ - & \frac{\mu_{1}}{\Gamma(1-q)}\left(\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(t-z)^{-q} \xi \cos z d z+\int_{\pi / 2}^{\pi}(t-z)^{-q} 2 \xi \sin 2 z d z+\right. \\ + & \left.\int_{\pi / 2}^{t}(t-z)^{-q}(-\xi) \sin z d z\right)+\mu_{2} \xi \cos t\end{array}\right)$. We do
not express analytical solutions (45), (48) and (51) in another form, because the given formulas lead to hypergeometric functions. For specific parameter choices, it is possible to find a numerical solution, but we do not go for details. We focus in this paper on the
analytical theory of the Poincaré mapping method rather than its numerical investigation, which is interesting but postponed to another of our studies based on [19-22].

On the other hand, to understand the dynamics of (41), we first consider its limit case for $q=1$ (see [23]), so we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}  \tag{52}\\
& x_{2}^{\prime}=-\frac{x_{1}\left(5-3 \operatorname{sgn} x_{2}\right)}{2}-\varepsilon\left(\mu_{1} x_{2}-\mu_{2} h\left(x_{1}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

We see on Figures 3-5 different dynamics depending on $h$. The perturbation $h\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ on Figure 3 keeps the symmetry along the origin. It seems that the origin is a global attractor. The perturbation $h\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}+10$ on Figure 4 breaks the symmetry along the origin. The perturbation $h\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}+x_{1}^{3}$ on Figure 5 keeps the symmetry along the origin, but it is nonlinear, and the dynamics are more interesting and complex.


Figure 3. Local phase portrait of (52) for $\varepsilon=0.1, \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=1$ and $h\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$.


Figure 4. Local phase portrait of (52) for $\varepsilon=0.1, \mu_{1}=1, \mu_{2}=-1$ and $h\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}+10$.


Figure 5. Local phase portrait of (52) for $\varepsilon=0.1, \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=1$ and $h\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}+x_{1}^{3}$.
Next, we consider a limit case of (41) for $q=0$, so we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}  \tag{53}\\
& x_{2}^{\prime}=-\frac{x_{1}\left(5-3 \operatorname{sgn} x_{2}\right)}{2}-\varepsilon\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{1}(0)\right)-\mu_{2} h\left(x_{1}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(53) is no longer an ODE. For instance, if $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=1$ and $h\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}  \tag{54}\\
& x_{2}^{\prime}=-\frac{x_{1}\left(5-3 \operatorname{sgn} x_{2}\right)}{2}+\varepsilon x_{2}(0),
\end{align*}
$$

The solutions of (54) seem to be repelled by Figure 6. Summarizing, the study of qualitative property of (41) is challenging by varying $q \in(0,1)$.


Figure 6. Solution of (54) for $\varepsilon=0.1$ and $x_{1}(0)=0, x_{2}(0)=0.01$.

## 6. Conclusions

We work with a fractional differential equation with a discontinuous right-hand side, which is equivalent to the system (2). Suppose that functions $g_{ \pm}$are globally Lipschitz continuous, which change their form according to the sign of $x_{2}$ and transversally cross the discontinuity boundary.

We look for a periodic boundary solution of the system in a neighbourhood of the periodic orbit of an unperturbed system. That means the solution of the unperturbed equation is periodic.

We have shown the existence of the solution of the studied equation and found the corresponding Poincaré map in a neighbourhood of the periodic orbit of the unperturbed equation. We also present a bifurcation analysis of periodic boundary solutions.

We demonstrate how to apply our found formula to a concrete problem.
In the forthcoming work, we intend to generalize this theory to higher dimensions. Some of possible directions are outlined above.
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