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#### Abstract

Let $Q$ be a positive defined $n \times n$ matrix and $Q[\underline{x}]=\underline{x}^{\mathrm{T}} Q \underline{x}$. The Epstein zeta-function $\zeta(s ; Q), s=\sigma+i t$, is defined, for $\sigma>\frac{n}{2}$, by the series $\zeta(s ; Q)=\sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \backslash\{\underline{0}\}}(Q[\underline{x}])^{-s}$, and is meromorphically continued on the whole complex plane. Suppose that $n \geqslant 4$ is even and $\varphi(t)$ is a differentiable function with a monotonic derivative. In the paper, it is proved that $\frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas}\{t \in[0, T]: \zeta(\sigma+i \varphi(t) ; Q) \in A\}$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$, converges weakly to an explicitly given probability measure on $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.
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## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the Riemann zeta-function

$$
\zeta(s)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s}}, \quad s=\sigma+i t, \quad \sigma>1
$$

shows analytic continuation to the whole complex plane, except for a simple pole at the point $s=1$, and satisfies functional equation

$$
\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)=\pi^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s)
$$

where $\Gamma(s)$ denotes the Euler gamma-function. The majority of other zeta-functions also have similar equations, which are referred to as the Riemann type. Epstein in [1] raised a question to find the most general zeta-function with a functional equation of the Riemann type and introduced the following zeta-function. Let $Q$ be a positive defined quadratic $n \times n$ matrix, and $Q[\underline{x}]=\underline{x}^{\mathrm{T}} Q \underline{x}$ for $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Epstein defined, for $\sigma>\frac{n}{2}$, the function

$$
\zeta(s ; Q)=\sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \backslash\{\underline{0}\}}(Q[\underline{x}])^{-s},
$$

continued analytically it to the whole complex plane, except for a simple pole at the point $s=\frac{n}{2}$ with residue $\frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} Q}}$, and proved the functional equation

$$
\pi^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s ; Q)=(\operatorname{det} Q)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{s-\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}-s\right) \zeta\left(\frac{n}{2}-s ; Q^{-1}\right)
$$

In [2], Bohr and Jessen proved a probabilistic limit theorem for the function $\zeta(s)$. We recall its modern version. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$ the Borel $\sigma$-field of the topological space $\mathbb{X}$, and
by meas $A$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then, on $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$, there exists a probability measure $P_{\sigma}$ such that, for $\sigma>\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas}\{t \in[0, T]: \zeta(\sigma+i t) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges weakly to $P_{\sigma}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ (see, for example, [3] (Theorem 1.1, p. 149). In [4], the latter limit theorem was generalized for the Epstein zeta-function $\zeta(s ; Q)$ with even $n \geq 4$ and integers $Q[\underline{x}]$. Namely, on $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$, there exists an explicitly given probability measure $P_{Q, \sigma}$ such that, for $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$,

$$
\frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas}\{t \in[0, T]: \zeta(\sigma+i t ; Q) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})
$$

converges weakly to $P_{Q, \sigma}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.
For the function $\zeta(s)$, more general limit theorems are also considered. In place of (1), the weak convergence for

$$
\frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas}\{t \in[0, T]: \zeta(\sigma+i \varphi(t)) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})
$$

with certain measurable function $\varphi(t)$ is studied. For example, theorems of such a kind follow from limit theorems in the space of analytic functions proved in [5].

Suppose that the function $\varphi(t)$ is defined for $t \geq T_{0}>0$, is increasing to $+\infty$, and has a monotonic derivative $\varphi^{\prime}(t)$ satisfying the estimate

$$
\varphi(2 t) \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(t)} \ll t, \quad t \rightarrow \infty
$$

Denote the class of the above functions by $W\left(T_{0}\right)$.
The aim of this paper is to prove a limit theorem for

$$
\hat{P}_{T, Q, \sigma}(A) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{T} \text { meas }\{t \in[0, T]: \zeta(\sigma+i \varphi(t) ; Q) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})
$$

when $\varphi(t) \in W\left(T_{0}\right)$. In place of $\hat{P}_{T, Q, \sigma}$ one can consider

$$
P_{T, Q, \sigma}(A) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas}\{t \in[T, 2 T]: \zeta(\sigma+i \varphi(t) ; Q) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})
$$

It is easily seen that the weak convergence of $\hat{P}_{T, Q, \sigma}$ to $P_{Q, \sigma}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to that of $P_{T, Q, \sigma}$. Actually, if $\hat{P}_{T, Q, \sigma}$ converges weakly to $P_{Q, \sigma}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{P}_{T, Q, \sigma}(A)=P_{Q, \sigma}(A)
$$

for every continuity set $A$ of the measure $P_{Q, \sigma}$. Since

$$
P_{T, Q, \sigma}(A)=2 \hat{P}_{2 T, Q, \sigma}(A)-\hat{P}_{T, Q, \sigma}(A)
$$

we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} P_{T, Q, \sigma}(A)=P_{Q, \sigma}(A), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $P_{T, Q, \sigma}$ converges weakly to $P_{Q, \sigma}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, suppose that (2) is true. Then

$$
X P_{X, Q, \sigma}(A)=X P_{Q, \sigma}(A)+g_{A}(X) X
$$

where $g_{A}(X) \rightarrow 0$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$. Taking $X=T 2^{-j}$ and summing the above equality over $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain, ue of $\sigma$-additivity of the Lebesgue measure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}_{T, Q, \sigma}(A)=P_{Q, \sigma}(A)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g_{A}\left(T 2^{-j}\right) 2^{-j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\epsilon>0$. We fix $j_{0}$ such that

$$
\sum_{j>j_{0}} 2^{-j}<\epsilon
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g_{A}\left(T 2^{-j}\right) 2^{-j} \ll \sum_{j \leq j_{0}} g_{A}\left(T 2^{-j}\right)+\epsilon
$$

Thus, taking $T \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we find

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g_{A}\left(T 2^{-j}\right) 2^{-j}=0
$$

This together with (3) shows that

$$
\hat{P}_{T, Q, \sigma}(A)=P_{Q, \sigma}(A)+o(1), \quad T \rightarrow \infty,
$$

i.e., $\hat{P}_{T, Q, \sigma}$ converges weakly to $P_{Q, \sigma}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Since, in the case of $P_{T, Q, \sigma}$ the function $\varphi(t)$ occurs for large values of $t$, the study of $P_{T, Q, \sigma}$ sometimes is more convenient than that of $\hat{P}_{T, Q, \sigma}$. Therefore, we will prove a limit theorem for $P_{T, Q, \sigma}$.

As in [3], we use the decomposition [6]

$$
\zeta(s ; Q)=\zeta\left(s ; E_{Q}\right)+\zeta\left(s ; F_{Q}\right)
$$

where $\zeta\left(s ; E_{Q}\right)$ and $\zeta\left(s ; F_{Q}\right)$ are zeta-functions of certain Eisenstein series and of a certain cusp form, respectively. The latter decomposition and the results of [7], [8]—see also [9]— imply that, for $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s ; Q)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{a_{k l}}{k^{s} l^{s}} L\left(s, \chi_{k}\right) L\left(s-\frac{n}{2}+1, \psi_{l}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{Q}(m)}{m^{s}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{k l} \in \mathbb{C}, K, L \in \mathbb{N}, L\left(s, \chi_{k}\right)$ and $L\left(s, \psi_{l}\right)$ are Dirichlet $L$-functions, and the series is absolutely convergent for $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$. Equality (4) is the main relation for investigation of the function $\zeta(s ; Q)$. Before the statement of a limit theorem, we construct a $\mathbb{C}$-valued random element connected to $\zeta(s ; Q)$.

Let $\mathbb{P}$ is the set of all prime numbers, $\gamma=\{s \in \mathbb{C}:|s|=1\}$, and

$$
\Omega=\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \gamma_{p}
$$

where $\gamma_{p}=\gamma$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$. The infinite-dimensional torus $\Omega$ is a compact topological Abelian group; therefore, the probability Haar measure can be defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$. This gives the probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_{H}\right)$. Denote by $\omega(p)$ the $p$ th, $p \in \mathbb{P}$, component of an element $\omega \in \Omega$, and extend the function $\omega(p)$ to the whole set $\mathbb{N}$ by the formula

$$
\omega(m)=\prod_{\substack{p^{l} \mid m \\ p^{l+1} \nmid m}} \omega^{l}(p), \quad m \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

On the probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_{H}\right)$, for $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$, define the $\mathbb{C}$-valued random element by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(\sigma, \omega ; Q) & =\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{a_{k l} \omega(k) \omega(l)}{k^{\sigma} l^{\sigma}} L\left(\sigma, \omega, \chi_{k}\right) L\left(\sigma-\frac{n}{2}+1, \omega, \psi_{l}\right) \\
& +\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{Q}(m) \omega(m)}{m^{\sigma}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
L\left(\sigma, \omega, \chi_{k}\right)=\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}\left(1-\frac{\chi_{k}(p) \omega(p)}{p^{\sigma}}\right)^{-1}
$$

and

$$
L\left(\sigma-\frac{n}{2}+1, \omega, \psi_{l}\right)=\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}\left(1-\frac{\psi_{l}(p) \omega(p)}{p^{\sigma-\frac{n}{2}+1}}\right)^{-1}
$$

Now, denote by $P_{\zeta, Q, \sigma}$ the distribution of $\zeta(\sigma, \omega ; Q)$, i.e.,

$$
P_{\zeta, Q, \sigma}(A)=m_{H}\{\omega \in \Omega: \zeta(\sigma, \omega ; Q) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Because $n \geq 4, \sigma-\frac{n}{2}+1>\frac{1}{2}$ for $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$. Therefore, the second Euler product for Dirichlet $L$-function is convergent for almost all $\omega$ and defines a random variable.

The main the result of the paper is the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Suppose that $\varphi(t) \in W\left(T_{0}\right), n \geq 4$ and $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$ is fixed. Then $P_{T, Q, \sigma}$ converges weakly to the measure $P_{\zeta, Q, \sigma}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Since the representation (4) depends on $Q$, the random element $\zeta(\sigma, \omega ; Q)$ depends on $Q$. Thus, the limit measure $P_{\zeta, Q, \sigma}$ also depends on $Q$.

## 2. Some Estimates

We will consider the measure $P_{T, Q, \sigma}$; therefore, we suppose that $t \in[T, 2 T]$ with large $T$. Let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character modulo $q$, and $L(s, \chi)$ be a corresponding Dirichlet $L$-function.

Lemma 1. Suppose that $\varphi(t) \in W\left(T_{0}\right)$ and $\sigma>\frac{1}{2}$ is fixed. Then, for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\int_{T}^{2 T}|L(\sigma+i \varphi(t)+i \tau, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau \ll_{\sigma, \chi, \varphi} T(1+|\tau|) .
$$

Proof. It is well known that, for fixed $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-T}^{T}|L(\sigma+i t, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \ll_{\sigma, \chi} T \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

An application of the mean value theorem, in view of (5), gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(T, \chi, \sigma) & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{T}^{2 T}|L(\sigma+i \varphi(t)+i \tau, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}|L(\sigma+i \varphi(t)+i \tau, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \varphi(t) \\
& =\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(t)} \mathrm{d}\left(\int_{T}^{\varphi(t)+\tau}|L(\sigma+i u, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u\right)=\frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(T)} \int_{T}^{\zeta} \mathrm{d}\left(\int_{T}^{\varphi(t)+\tau}|L(\sigma+i u, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(T)} \int_{\varphi(T)+\tau}^{\varphi(\xi)+\tau}|L(\sigma+i u, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u \leq \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(T)} \int_{\varphi(T)-|\tau|}^{\varphi(2 T)+|\tau|}|L(\sigma+i u, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(T)} \int_{-\varphi(2 T)-|\tau|}^{\varphi(2 T)+|\tau|}|L(\sigma+i u, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u \ll \sigma, \chi \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(T)}(\varphi(2 T)+|\tau|)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T \leq \xi \leq 2 T$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(t)$ is increasing. Thus, by the definition of the class $W\left(T_{0}\right)$,

$$
I(T, \chi, \sigma)<_{\sigma, \chi} \frac{\varphi(2 T)}{\varphi^{\prime}(T)}\left(1+\frac{|\tau|}{\varphi(2 T)}\right) \ll_{\sigma, \chi, \varphi} T(1+|\tau|) .
$$

If $\varphi^{\prime}(t)$ is decreasing, then similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(T, \chi, \sigma) & =\frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(2 T)} \int_{\xi}^{2 T} d\left(\int_{T}^{\varphi(t)+\tau}|L(\sigma+i u, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u\right)=\frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(2 T)} \int_{\varphi(\xi)+\tau}^{\varphi(2 T)+\tau}|L(\sigma+i u, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(2 T)} \int_{\varphi(2 T)+\tau}^{\varphi(2 T)+\tau}|L(\sigma+i u, \chi)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u \ll{ }_{\sigma, \chi} \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(2 T)}(\varphi(2 T)+|\tau|) \\
& \ll \sigma, \chi \frac{\varphi(4 T)}{\varphi^{\prime}(2 T)}(1+|\tau|)<_{\sigma, \chi, \varphi} T(1+|\tau|) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\theta>0$ be a fixed number, and

$$
v_{N}(m)=\exp \left\{-\left(\frac{m}{N}\right)^{\theta}\right\}, \quad m, N \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where $\exp \{a\}=\mathrm{e}^{a}$. Put

$$
L_{N}(s, \chi)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m) v_{N}(m)}{m^{s}}
$$

Then, by the exponential decreasing of $v_{N}(m)$, the latter series is absolutely convergent for $\sigma>\sigma_{0}$ with arbitrary finite $\sigma_{0}$. Define

$$
\zeta_{N}(s ; Q)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{a_{k l}}{k^{s} l^{s}} L\left(s, \chi_{k}\right) L_{N}\left(s-\frac{n}{2}+1, \psi_{l}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{Q}(m)}{m^{s}} .
$$

Then the series for $\zeta_{N}(s ; Q)$ is absolutely convergent for $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$. It turns out that $\zeta_{N}(s ; Q)$ approximates well in the mean the function $\zeta(s ; Q)$. More precisely, we have the following result.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $\varphi(t) \in W\left(T_{0}\right)$ and $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$ is fixed. Then

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\zeta(\sigma+i \varphi(t) ; Q)-\zeta_{N}(\sigma+i \varphi(t) ; Q)\right| \mathrm{d} t=0
$$

Proof. Let $\theta$ be from the definition of $v_{N}(m) ; \Gamma(s)$ denotes the Euler gamma-function, and

$$
l_{N}(s)=\frac{s}{\theta} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right) N^{s}
$$

Then, the Mellin formula

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b-i \infty}^{b+i \infty} \Gamma(s) a^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s=\mathrm{e}^{-a}, \quad a, b>0
$$

leads, for $\theta_{1}>\frac{1}{2}$, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N}(s, \chi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\theta_{1}-i \infty}^{\theta_{1}+i \infty} L(s+z, \chi) l_{N}(z) \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{z} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\chi_{0}$ the principal Dirichlet character modulo $q$. Since the function $L(s, \chi)$ is entire for $\chi \neq \chi_{0}$, and $L\left(s, \chi_{0}\right)$ has a simple pole at the point $s=1$ with residue

$$
a_{q} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)
$$

the residue theorem and (6) give

$$
L_{N}(s, \chi)-L(s, \chi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\theta_{2}-i \infty}^{-\theta_{2}+i \infty} L(s+z, \chi) l_{N}(z) \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{z}+R_{N}(s, \chi)
$$

where $0<\theta_{2}<1$ and

$$
R_{N}(s, \chi)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \chi \neq \chi_{0} \\ a_{q} \frac{l_{N}(1-s)}{1-s} & \text { if } \chi=\chi_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|L(\sigma+i \varphi(t), \chi)-L_{N}(\sigma+i \varphi(t), \chi)\right| \\
\ll & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|L\left(\sigma-\theta_{2}+i \varphi(t)+i \tau, \chi\right)\right| \frac{\left|l_{N}\left(-\theta_{2}+i \tau\right)\right|}{\left|-\theta_{2}+i \tau\right|} \mathrm{d} \tau+\left|R_{N}(\sigma+i \varphi(t), \chi)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|L(\sigma+i \varphi(t), \chi)-L_{N}(\sigma+i \varphi(t), \chi)\right| \mathrm{d} t \ll I_{1}+I_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
I_{1}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|L\left(\sigma-\theta_{2}+i \varphi(t)+i \tau, \chi\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t\right) \frac{l_{N}\left(-\theta_{2}+i \tau\right)}{\left|-\theta_{2}+i \tau\right|}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

and

$$
I_{2}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|R_{N}(\sigma+i \varphi(t), \chi)\right| \mathrm{d} t
$$

It is well known that, uniformly in $\sigma_{1} \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_{2}$ with arbitrary $\sigma_{1}<\sigma_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\sigma+i t) \ll \exp \{-c|t|\}, \quad|t| \geq t_{0}>0, \quad c>0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{l_{N}(1-\sigma-i \varphi(t))}{1-\sigma-i \varphi(t)}<_{\theta} N^{1-\sigma} \exp \left\{-\frac{c}{\theta} \varphi(t)\right\},
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2} \ll_{\theta, q} N^{1-\sigma} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \exp \left\{-\frac{c}{\theta} \varphi(t)\right\} \mathrm{d} t<_{\theta, q} N^{1-\sigma} \exp \left\{-\frac{c}{\theta} \varphi(T)\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\sigma>\frac{1}{2}$ and $\theta_{2}$ is such that $\sigma-\theta_{2}>\frac{1}{2}$. Then, in view of (8) again,

$$
\frac{l_{N}\left(-\theta_{2}+i \tau\right)}{-\theta_{2}+i \tau} \ll_{\theta} N^{-\theta_{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{c}{\theta}|\tau|\right\},
$$

Therefore, Lemma 1 implies

$$
I_{1} \ll{ }_{\theta, \sigma, \theta_{2}, \chi} N^{-\theta_{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|\tau|) \exp \left\{-\frac{c}{\theta}|\tau|\right\} \mathrm{d} \tau \lll_{\theta, \sigma, \theta_{2}, \chi} N^{-\theta_{2}}
$$

This, (9) and (7) show that, for fixed $\sigma>\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|L(\sigma+i \varphi(t), \chi)-L_{N}(\sigma+i \varphi(t), \chi)\right| \mathrm{d} t=0
$$

Since $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$, we have $\sigma-\frac{n}{2}+1>\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, for $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$,

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|L\left(\sigma-\frac{n}{2}+1+i \varphi(t), \psi_{l}\right)-L_{N}\left(\sigma-\frac{n}{2}+1+i \varphi(t), \psi_{l}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t=0 .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\zeta(\sigma+i \varphi(t) ; Q)-\zeta_{N}(\sigma+i \varphi(t) ; Q)\right| \mathrm{d} t \\
& \ll Q \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|L\left(\sigma-\frac{n}{2}+1+i \varphi(t), \psi_{l}\right)-L_{N}\left(\sigma-\frac{n}{2}+1+i \varphi(t), \psi_{l}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Limit Theorems

We divide the proof of Theorem 1 into lemmas that are limit theorems in some spaces. We start with a lemma on the torus $\Omega$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, define

$$
Q_{T}(A)=\frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas}\left\{t \in[T, 2 T]:\left(p^{-i \varphi(t)}: p \in \mathbb{P}\right) \in A\right\}
$$

Lemma 3. Suppose that $\varphi(t) \in W\left(T_{0}\right)$. Then $Q_{T}$ converges weakly to the Haar measure $m_{H}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We will apply the Fourier transform method. Let $g_{T}(\underline{k}), \underline{k}=\left(k_{p}: k_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathbb{P}\right)$ be the Fourier transform of $Q_{T}$, i.e.,

$$
g_{T}(\underline{k})=\int_{\Omega}\left(\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^{*} \omega^{k_{p}}(p)\right) \mathrm{d} Q_{T}
$$

where "*" indicates that only a finite number of integers $k_{p}$ are distinct from zero. Thus, by the definition of $Q_{T}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{T}(\underline{k})=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^{*}\left(p^{-i k_{p} \varphi(t)}\right) \mathrm{d} t=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \exp \left\{-i \varphi(t) \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^{*} k_{p} \log p\right\} \mathrm{d} t \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{T}(\underline{0})=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, suppose that $\underline{k} \neq \underline{0}$. Since the set $\{\log p: p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers, we have

$$
A_{\underline{k}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}}^{*} k_{p} \log p \neq 0
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{T}^{2 T} \cos \left(A_{\underline{k}} \varphi(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t & =\frac{1}{A_{\underline{k}}} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(t)} \mathrm{d} \sin \left(A_{\underline{k}} \varphi(t)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{A_{\underline{k}}} \begin{cases}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(T)\right)^{-1} \int_{T}^{\xi} \mathrm{d} \sin \left(A_{\underline{k}} \varphi(t)\right) \quad \text { if } \varphi^{\prime}(t) \text { is increasing, } \\
\left(\varphi^{\prime}(2 T)\right)^{-1} \int_{\bar{\zeta}}^{2 T} \mathrm{~d} \sin \left(A_{\underline{k}} \varphi(t)\right) \quad \text { if } \varphi^{\prime}(t) \text { is decreasing }\end{cases} \\
& \ll \frac{1}{\left|A_{\underline{k}}\right|} \begin{cases}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(T)\right)^{-1} & \text { if } \varphi^{\prime}(t) \text { is increasing, } \\
\left(\varphi^{\prime}(2 T)\right)^{-1} & \text { if } \varphi^{\prime}(t) \text { is decreasing, }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T \leq \xi \leq 2 T$. Since $\varphi(t) \in W\left(T_{0}\right)$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\varphi^{\prime}(T)\right)^{-1} & \text { if } \varphi^{\prime}(t) \text { is increasing } \\
\left(\varphi^{\prime}(2 T)\right)^{-1} & \text { if } \varphi^{\prime}(t) \text { is decreasing }
\end{array}=o(T)\right.
$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{2 T} \cos \left(A_{\underline{k}} \varphi(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t=o(T), \quad T \rightarrow \infty . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we find that

$$
\int_{T}^{2 T} \sin \left(A_{\underline{k}} \varphi(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t=o(T), \quad T \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Thus, (10)-(12) show that

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} g_{T}(\underline{k})=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & \underline{k}=\underline{0} \\
0 & \text { if } & \underline{k} \neq \underline{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the right-hand side of the latter equality is the Fourier transform of the Haar measure $m_{H}$, the lemma is proved.

For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$, define

$$
P_{T, N, Q, \sigma}(A)=\frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas}\left\{t \in[T, 2 T]: \zeta_{N}(\sigma+i \varphi(t) ; Q) \in A\right\}
$$

To prove the weak convergence for $P_{T, N, Q, \sigma}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, consider the function $u_{N, \sigma}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by the formula

$$
u_{N, \sigma}(\omega)=\zeta_{N}(\sigma, \omega ; Q)
$$

where

$$
\zeta_{N}(\sigma, \omega ; Q)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{N}(m) \omega(m)}{m^{\sigma}}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{N}(m)}{m^{s}}
$$

is the Dirichlet series for $\zeta_{N}(s ; Q)$. Clearly, the above series are absolutely convergent for $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$. The absolute convergence of the series for $\zeta_{N}(s, \omega ; Q)$ implies the continuity for the function $u_{N}$. Therefore, the function $u_{N}$ is $(\mathcal{B}(\Omega), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$-measurable, and we can define the probability measure $V_{N, \sigma}=m_{H} u_{N, \sigma}^{-1}$, where

$$
m_{H} u_{N, \sigma}^{-1}(A)=m_{H}\left(u_{N, \sigma}^{-1} A\right), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}) .
$$

Lemma 4. Suppose that $\varphi(t) \in W\left(T_{0}\right)$ and $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$ is fixed. Then, $P_{T, N, Q, \sigma}$ converges weakly to $V_{N, \sigma}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By the definitions of $P_{T, N, Q, \sigma}, Q_{T}$ and $u_{N, \sigma}$, for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$
P_{T, N, Q, \sigma}(A)=\frac{1}{T} \operatorname{meas}\left\{\tau \in[T, 2 T]:\left(p^{-i \varphi(t)}: p \in \mathbb{P}\right) \in u_{N, \sigma}^{-1}\right\}=Q_{T}\left(u_{N, \sigma}^{-1}\right)
$$

Thus, $P_{T, N, Q, \sigma}=Q_{T} u_{N, \sigma}^{-1}$. Therefore, the lemma is a consequence of Theorem 5.1 from [10], continuity of $u_{N, \sigma}$ and Lemma 3.

The measure $V_{N, \sigma}$ is very important for the proof of Theorem 1 . Since $V_{N, \sigma}$ is independent of the function $\varphi(t)$, the following limit relation is true.

Lemma 5. Suppose that $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$ is fixed. Then $V_{N, \sigma}$ converges weakly to $P_{\zeta, Q, \sigma}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. In the proof of Theorem 2 from [4], it is obtained (relation (12)) that $V_{N, \sigma}$ converges weakly to a certain measure $P_{\sigma}$, and, at the end of the proof, the measure $P_{\sigma}$ is identified by showing that $P_{\sigma}=P_{\zeta, Q, \sigma}$.

For convenience, we recall Theorem 4.2 of [10]. Denote by $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ the convergence in distribution.
Lemma 6. Suppose that the space $(\mathbb{X}, \rho)$ is separable, and $\mathbb{X}$-valued random elements $Y_{n}, X_{1 N}, X_{2 N}, \ldots$ are defined on the same probability space with measure $P$. Let, for every $k$,

$$
X_{k N} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} X_{k}
$$

and

$$
X_{k} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\mathcal{D}} X
$$

If, for every $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\rho\left(X_{k N}, Y_{N}\right) \geq \varepsilon\right\}=0,
$$

then $Y_{N} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} X$.
Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that $\xi_{T}$ is a random variable defined on a certain probability space $(\hat{\Omega}, \mathcal{B}(\hat{\Omega}), P)$ and distributed uniformly in $[T, 2 T]$. Since the function $\varphi(t)$ is continuous, it is thus measurable, and $\varphi\left(\xi_{T}\right)$ is a random variable as well. Denote by $X_{N, \sigma}$ the complex valued random element having the distribution $V_{N, \sigma}$, and, on the probability space $(\hat{\Omega}, \mathcal{B}(\hat{\Omega}), P)$, define the random element

$$
X_{T, N, \sigma}=\zeta_{N}\left(\sigma+i \varphi\left(\xi_{T}\right) ; Q\right)
$$

Then, in view of Lemma 4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{T, N, \sigma} \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} X_{N, \sigma}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by Lemma 5,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{N, \sigma} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_{\zeta, Q, \sigma} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define one more complex-valued random element

$$
Y_{T, \sigma}=\zeta\left(\sigma+i \varphi\left(\xi_{T}\right) ; Q\right) .
$$

Then, an application of Lemma 2 gives, for $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{T \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\left|X_{T, N, \sigma}-Y_{T, \sigma}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\varepsilon T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\zeta(s+i \varphi(t) ; Q)-\zeta_{N}(s+i \varphi(t) ; Q)\right| \mathrm{d} t=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This, relations (13) and (14) show that all hypotheses of Lemma 6 are satisfied. Therefore,

$$
Y_{T, \sigma} \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{D}} P_{\zeta, Q, \sigma},
$$

and this is equivalent to the assertion of the theorem.

## 4. Concluding Remarks

By Bohr and Jessen's works, it is known that the asymptotic behavior of the Dirichlet series can be characterized by probabilistic limit theorems. It turns out that Bohr-Jessen's ideas can also be applied for the Epstein zeta-function $\zeta(s ; Q)$ whose definition involves a positive defined $n \times n$ matric $Q$. We prove that, for any fixed $\sigma>\frac{n-1}{2}$,

$$
\frac{1}{T} \text { meas }\{t \in[T, 2 T]: \zeta(\sigma+i \varphi(t) ; Q) \in A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})
$$

converges weakly to an explicitly given probability measure on $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}))$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Here $\varphi(t)$ is an increasing differentiable function such that

$$
\frac{\varphi(2 t)}{\varphi^{\prime}(t)} \ll t, \quad t \rightarrow \infty
$$

For example, $\varphi(t)$ can be a polynomials or the Gram function. We recall that the Gram function $g(t)$ is the solution of the equation

$$
\theta(\tau)=(t-1) \pi, \quad t \geq 0
$$

where $\theta(\tau)$ is the increment of the argument of the function $\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$ along the segment connecting the points $s=\frac{1}{2}$ and $s=\frac{1}{2}+i \tau$. It is known [11] that

$$
g(t)=\frac{2 \pi t}{\log t}(1+o(1))
$$

and

$$
g^{\prime}(t)=\frac{2 \pi}{\log t}(1+o(1))
$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
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