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#### Abstract

Analysis of black hole spacetimes requires study of the motion of particles and light in these spacetimes. Here exact solutions of the geodesic equations are the means of choice. Numerous interesting black hole spacetimes have been analyzed in terms of elliptic functions. However, the presence of a cosmological constant, higher dimensions or alternative gravity theories often necessitate an analysis in terms of hyperelliptic functions. Here we review the method and current status for solving the geodesic equations for the general hyperelliptic case, illustrating it with a set of examples of genus $g=2$ : higher dimensional Schwarzschild black holes, rotating dyonic $U(1)^{2}$ black holes, and black rings.
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## 1. Introduction

More than one hundred years ago, Einstein proposed his theory of general relativity, based on the revolutionary idea, that gravity is encoded in the geometric properties of space and time. General relativity is very well supported by experiments and has many applications such as the global positioning system GPS [1,2]. One of the predictions of general relativity is the existence of black holes and their formation in the collapse of very massive stars after exhaustion of their nuclear fuel [3-6]. Black holes possess an event horizon, and thus a boundary beyond which no communication with the exterior is possible. By now there is strong observational evidence not only for stellar black holes, but also for supermassive black holes at the core of galaxies [7-15].

A powerful tool to study black holes is the analysis of their geodesics. The motion of particles and light around a black hole provides valuable information about the spacetime. In particular, analytic solutions of the geodesic equations can be used to calculate observables with high accuracy, to be compared with observations in order to test theories and models.

For many well-known spacetimes like Schwarzschild and Kerr the equations of motion are of elliptic type (see, e.g., [16-40] and references therein). These can easily be solved analytically in terms of the elliptic and therefore doubly-periodic Weierstraß $\wp$-function. However, when additional parameters (like the cosmological constant), higher dimensions or alternative theories of gravity are considered, the equations of motion become often more complicated and one encounters hyperelliptic integrals [41-44].

Inspired by the work on the double pendulum by Enolski et al. [45], exact solutions of the hyperelliptic equations of motion of genus two $(g=2)$ arising in Schwarzschild-(anti-) de Sitter spacetime were obtained by Hackmann and Lämmerzahl [46,47]. Subsequently exact solutions of the $g=2$ geodesic equations were obtained for spherically and axially symmetric black holes in four and higher dimensions [48-50]. The inversion of hyperelliptic integrals was then generalized by Enolski et al. [51] to obtain solutions of the geodesic equations also for higher genus, $g>2$. First applications included a nine-dimensional spacetime with cosmological constant and charge [51] and special cases of a Hořava-Lifshitz spacetime [52].

## 2. Geodesic Motion around Black Holes

Black holes represent some of the most intriguing objects of the universe, making their study both observationally and mathematically highly interesting. When the black hole spacetime is obtained as a solution of the gravitational field equations, the exploration of the properties of this spacetime relies to a large extent on the analysis of the motion of particles and light in this spacetime. For neutral point particles this motion is described by the geodesic equation

$$
0=\frac{d^{2} x^{\mu}}{d \tau^{2}}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mu  \tag{1}\\
\rho \sigma
\end{array}\right\} \frac{d x^{\rho}}{d \tau} \frac{d x^{\sigma}}{d \tau},
$$

where $x^{\mu}$ are the coordinates, $\tau$ is an affine parameter along the orbit, and the symbol $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\mu \\ \rho \sigma\end{array}\right\}$ denotes the connection coefficients (and the Einstein summation convention is employed). Given a spacetime described by the invariant square of the infinitesimal line element

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=g_{\mu \nu} d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with metric coefficients $g_{\mu v}$, the connection coefficients are known functions of the coordinates. The geodesic Equation (1) forms a set of coupled differential equations, whose solution describes the sought after orbital motion in the spacetime. However, it is often of advantage to apply the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism to obtain an equivalent set of equations, starting from the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{\partial S}{\partial \tau}=g^{\mu \nu} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^{\nu}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with Hamilton's principal function $S$, and to exploit the symmetries of the spacetime to obtain a separation of variables.

Black holes in four dimensions, i.e., one time and three spatial dimensions, are certainly of highest interest from an astrophysical point of view. In this case separability requires four constants of motion. The first constant of motion $\delta$ is associated with the square of the particle momentum, $\delta=p_{\mu} p^{\mu}=-m^{2}$. Setting the particle mass to $m=1$ yields $\delta=-1$, whereas for light $\delta=0$. The simplest black hole solutions of General Relativity are the static spherically symmetric Schwarzschild black hole and the stationary axially symmetric (rotating) Kerr black hole. Clearly, the symmetries of these spacetimes correspond to the existence of Killing vectors associated with two constants of motion. In particular, stationarity leads to conservation of energy $E$ and axial symmetry to conservation of angular momentum $L$. However, the separability of the equations of motion of the Kerr spacetime involves still another constant of motion, the so called Carter constant [17], that derives from the existence of a Killing tensor [53]. In Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $t, r, \theta, \phi$ the geodesic equations can then be solved after employing the separation ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2} \delta \tau-E t+L \phi+S_{r}(r)+S_{\theta}(\theta) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to elliptic integrals, just as for the case of the static Schwarzschild black hole.
From a theoretical point of view it is very interesting to consider General Relativity also in higher dimensions, since additional dimensions are present in various theories like Kaluza-Klein theory or string theory. Moreover, it allows to discern genuine properties of black holes in General Relativity and properties only present in four dimensions. Higher dimensional generalizations of the static spherically symmetric Schwarzschild black hole were obtained by Tangherlini [54]. The high symmetry of these solutions implies separability of the equations of motion, but depending on the spacetime dimension $D$ hyperelliptic integrals arise, starting with $D=6$ [48]. The generalizations of the rotating Kerr black hole to higher dimensions was accomplished by Myers and Perry [55]. Myers-Perry black holes in $D$ dimensions are characterized by $N=\left\lfloor\frac{D-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ independent angular momenta, associated with rotation in $N$ independent planes. Thus 5-dimensional Myers-Perry black
holes possess two conserved angular momenta, showing the need for another conserved quantity for separability. Analogous to the Kerr case separability is guaranteed based on the presence of a Killing tensor [56,57]. Analysis of the geodesic equations leads again to elliptic integrals $[26,32]$. Proof of separability of the geodesic equations in still higher dimensions is based on the existence of Killing-Yano tensors [58,59]. In these spacetimes hyperelliptic integrals arise unless substitutions can be made to reduce the order of the polynomial $P_{d}$ in the geodesic equations. As discussed in more detail below, hyperelliptic integrals arise also in numerous further black hole spacetimes, for instance, when a cosmological constant, electromagnetic fields, etc. are present.

## 3. Hyperelliptic Integrals

When the equations of motion are of hyperelliptic type they take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{n} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)^{2}=P_{d}(y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial values $x_{\text {in }}$ and $y_{\text {in }}$, and have to be solved for a function $y(x) . P_{d}(y)$ is a polynomial of order $d \geq 5$. The number $n$ can take the values $n=1 \ldots g-1$ where $g=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Equation (5) leads to a hyperelliptic integral of the first kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-x_{\mathrm{in}}=\int_{y_{\text {in }}}^{y} \frac{y^{\prime n} \mathrm{~d} y^{\prime}}{\sqrt{P_{d}\left(y^{\prime}\right)}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has to be inverted to find $y(x)$. The solution has been discussed in [46-48,51,52]. The inversion of the integral should not depend on the integration path and thus for a closed integration path with the integral $\omega=\int \frac{y^{n} \mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{d}(y)}}$ the following must apply: $y(x-\omega)=y(x)$. Therefore $y(x)$ is periodic. For each $y$ the square root in the integrand can take two different signs. It is therefore not clearly defined in the complex plane. To resolve this issue, a Riemann surface can be constructed, where $y \mapsto \sqrt{P_{d}(y)}$ is a single valued function. The two signs of $\sqrt{P_{d}(y)}$ correspond to two copies of the Riemann sphere. Both spheres are cut between pairs of the zeros $e_{i}$ with $i=1 \ldots d$ of the polynomial $P_{d}(y)$. Here the zeros are called branch points. The branch cuts between the branch points should not be right next to each other, i.e., there should not be two cuts starting at the same branch point. If $d$ is odd, the branch point $e_{d+1}$ is placed at infinity. At the branch points $\sqrt{P_{d}(y)}$ is the same at both spheres and therefore they can be identified here. Along the branch cuts the two spheres can be put together to get the Riemann surface, which now has holes. The number of holes is described by the genus $g=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$. For example in the case $g=2$, the Riemann surface is a double torus with two holes.

Let $P_{d}(y)=\lambda_{2 g+1} y^{2 g+1}+\lambda_{2 g} y^{2 g}+\ldots+\lambda_{0}$ be the polynomial with one branch point at infinity. For the problems considered in this review, the polynomial is often considered in the canonical form, which is defined by setting the first coefficient $\lambda_{2 g+1}=4$. The factor 4 is chosen so that the canonical form looks like the Weierstraß form of the polynomial in the corresponding elliptic problem [51].

Then a basis of holomorphic differentials of the first kind $\mathrm{d} u_{i}$ and meromorphic differentials of the second kind $\mathrm{d} r_{i}$ with $i=1 \ldots g$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} u_{i}=\frac{y^{i-1} \mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{d}(y)}}, \quad \mathrm{d} r_{i}=\sum_{k=i}^{d-i}(k+1-i) \lambda_{k+1+i} \frac{y^{k}}{4 \sqrt{P_{d}(y)}} \mathrm{d} y . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A differential $\frac{y^{k} \mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{d}(y)}}$ is holomorphic if $k=0 \ldots g-1$. However, if $k=g \ldots 2 g-1$ a pole at infinity occurs and the differential is therefore meromorphic.

A homology basis of closed integration paths $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, g\right\}$ can be introduced to calculate the period matrices $\left(2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(2 \eta, 2 \eta^{\prime}\right)$ of the solution $y(x)$ of the inversion problem (6)

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \omega_{i j} & =\oint_{a_{j}} \mathrm{~d} u_{i}, & 2 \omega_{i j}^{\prime} & =\oint_{b_{j}} \mathrm{~d} u_{i} \\
2 \eta_{i j} & =-\oint_{a_{j}} \mathrm{~d} r_{i}, & 2 \eta_{i j}^{\prime} & =-\oint_{b_{j}} \mathrm{~d} r_{i} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The period matrices satisfy the Legendre relation, see [47] or [52]. If the periods are calculated numerically for a specific problem, the Legendre relation can be used to check the calculations.

Equation (6) is closely related to the Jacobi inversion problem, given by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{g} \int_{y_{0}}^{y_{i}} \mathrm{~d} \vec{u} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $y_{0}=\infty$ it is possible to find a solution $\vec{y}$ for a given $\vec{x}$. Each component of the solution vector $\vec{y}$ is determined by the equation [47]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda_{2 g+1}}{4} y^{g}-\sum_{i=1}^{g} \wp_{g i}(\vec{x}) y^{i-1}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be extracted using the theorem of Vieta. However, it should be noted that the order of the components $y_{i}$ is not defined. The generalized Weierstraß function is defined as the second logarithmic derivative of the Kleinian $\sigma$-function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp_{i j}(\vec{u})=-\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j}} \ln \sigma(\vec{u}) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kleinian $\sigma$-function is defined as [47]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\vec{u})=C \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{u}^{T} \eta \omega^{-1} \vec{u}} \theta\left((2 \omega)^{-1} \vec{u}+\vec{K}_{x_{0}} ; \tau\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tau=\omega^{-1} \omega^{\prime}$. The constant $C$ is given in [52]. The $\theta$-function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\vec{u}, \tau)=\sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}} \mathrm{e}^{i \pi \vec{m}^{T}(\tau m+2 \vec{u})} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\vec{K}_{x_{0}}$ is the vector of Riemann constants [47,52].
The solution of Equation (6) can be obtained in a limiting process which restricts the Jacobi inversion problem to the $\Theta$-divisor, the set of zeros of the $\theta$-function. Let us demonstrate the limiting process in the case of genus 2 (see [47,48]). For $g=2$ the Jacobi inversion problem is

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=\int_{y_{0}}^{y_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{5}(y)}}+\int_{y_{0}}^{y_{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{5}(y)}} \\
& x_{2}=\int_{y_{0}}^{y_{1}} \frac{y \mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{5}(y)}}+\int_{y_{0}}^{y_{2}} \frac{y \mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{5}(y)}} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

If $y_{0}=\infty$, the Jacobi inversion problem has a solution in the form of Equation (10). Therefore we rewrite the Equation (14)

$$
z_{1}=\int_{\infty}^{y_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{5}(y)}}+\int_{\infty}^{y_{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{5}(y)}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{2}=\int_{\infty}^{y_{1}} \frac{y \mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{5}(y)}}+\int_{\infty}^{y_{2}} \frac{y \mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{P_{5}(y)}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{z}=\vec{x}-2 \int_{y_{0}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} \vec{u} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of Equation (15) can by expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1}+y_{2} & =\wp_{22}(\vec{z}) \\
y_{1} y_{2} & =-\wp_{21}(\vec{z}) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

by applying the theorem of Vieta to Equation (10).
Now we take the limit $y_{2} \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit one can write $y_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=\lim _{y_{2} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{1} y_{1}}{y_{1}+y_{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting Equation (17) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1} & =\lim _{y_{2} \rightarrow \infty}-\frac{\wp_{21}(\vec{z})}{\wp_{22}(\vec{z})}  \tag{19}\\
& =\lim _{y_{2} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(\vec{z}) \sigma_{12}(\vec{z})-\sigma_{1}(\vec{z}) \sigma_{2}(\vec{z})}{\sigma_{2}^{2}(\vec{z})-\sigma(\vec{z}) \sigma_{22}(\vec{z})}  \tag{20}\\
& =\frac{\sigma\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right) \sigma_{12}\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)-\sigma_{1}\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right) \sigma_{2}\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)}{\sigma_{2}^{2}\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)-\sigma\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right) \sigma_{22}\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}$ is the $i$ th derivative of the Kleinian $\sigma$-function and $\vec{x}_{\infty}=\lim _{y_{2} \rightarrow \infty} \vec{z}$. It can be shown [47] that $\vec{x}_{\infty}$ is an element of the $\Theta$-divisor, which is the set of zeros of the $\theta$-function. That means $\theta\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)=0$ and therefore $\sigma\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)=0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=-\frac{\sigma_{1}\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)}{\sigma_{2}\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the end we want to find the inversion of the integral (6). For this we have to consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{x}_{\infty}=\lim _{y_{2} \rightarrow \infty} \vec{z}=\lim _{y_{2} \rightarrow \infty} \vec{x}-2 \int_{y_{0}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} \vec{u}=\int_{y_{0}}^{y_{1}} \mathrm{~d} \vec{u}-\int_{y_{0}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} \vec{u} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a nutshell, for a genus 2 curve the inversion of the integral (6) with the initial value $y_{\mathrm{i} n}=y\left(x_{\mathrm{i} n}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=-\left.\frac{\sigma_{1}\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)}{\sigma_{2}\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)}\right|_{\sigma\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)=0} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector $\vec{x}_{\infty}$ depends on the considered holomorphic integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{x}_{\infty}=\binom{x-x_{\mathrm{in}}^{\prime}}{x_{2}} \text { to solve } x-x_{\mathrm{in}}=\int_{y_{\mathrm{in}}}^{y} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y^{\prime}}{\sqrt{P_{5}\left(y^{\prime}\right)}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{x}_{\infty}=\binom{x_{1}}{x-x_{\mathrm{in}}^{\prime \prime}} \text { to solve } x-x_{\mathrm{in}}=\int_{y_{\mathrm{in}}}^{y} \frac{y \mathrm{~d} y^{\prime}}{\sqrt{P_{5}\left(y^{\prime}\right)}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{\text {in }}^{\prime}=x_{\text {in }}+\int_{y_{\text {in }}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} y^{\prime}}{\sqrt{P_{5}\left(y^{\prime}\right)}}$ and $x_{\text {in }}^{\prime \prime}=x_{\text {in }}+\int_{y_{\text {in }}}^{\infty} \frac{y \mathrm{~d} y^{\prime}}{\sqrt{P_{5}\left(y^{\prime}\right)}}$. The components $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are determined by the condition $\sigma\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)=0$.

In higher genera the inversion is given by [51,52]

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=-\left.\frac{\frac{\partial^{M+1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{g}^{M}} \sigma\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)}{\frac{\partial^{M+1}}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{g}^{M}} \sigma\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)}\right|_{\vec{x}_{\infty} \in \Theta_{1}}, \quad M=\frac{(g-2)(g-3)}{2}+1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{1}=\left\{\vec{x}_{\infty} \in \operatorname{Jac}\left(X_{g}\right) \mid \sigma\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)=0, \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x_{g}^{j}} \sigma\left(\vec{x}_{\infty}\right)=0 \quad \forall j=1, \ldots, g-2\right\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{Jac}\left(X_{g}\right)=\mathbb{C}_{g} / \Gamma$ is the Jacobian of the Riemannian surface $X_{g}$, where $\Gamma=$ $\omega v+\omega^{\prime} v^{\prime} \mid v, v^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{g}$ is the lattice spanned by the periods $\omega_{i j}$ and $\omega_{i j}^{\prime}$. The solution formula (27) is a conjecture based on the properties of the Schur-Weierstraß functions. A relation similar to Equation (27) holds for the Schur-Weierstraß polynomials and in [51,52] it was conjectured that this formula can also be used for the $\sigma$-function. An analogue of this formula was considered in [60]. In the case genus $g=3$ Equation (27) also holds, as shown in [61].

However, some geodesic equations yield hyperelliptic integrals of the third kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y_{\text {in }}}^{y} \frac{1}{y^{\prime}-p} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y^{\prime}}{\sqrt{P_{d}\left(y^{\prime}\right)}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is a pole and $P_{d}(y)$ a polynomial of order $d$. A formula to solve these integrals, which can be proven with the help of the Riemann vanishing theorem [62], was found in [52]

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{y_{\text {in }}}^{y} \frac{1}{y^{\prime}-p} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y^{\prime}}{\sqrt{P_{d}\left(y^{\prime}\right)}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{P_{d}(p)}}\left[-2 \int_{y_{\text {in }}}^{y} \mathrm{~d} \vec{u}^{T} \int_{e_{2}}^{p} \mathrm{~d} \vec{r}\right. & +\ln \frac{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{y} \mathrm{~d} \vec{u}-\int_{e_{2}}^{p} \mathrm{~d} \vec{u}-\vec{K}_{\infty}\right)}{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{y} \mathrm{~d} \vec{u}+\int_{e_{2}}^{p} \mathrm{~d} \vec{u}-\vec{K}_{\infty}\right)} \\
& \left.-\ln \frac{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{y_{\text {in }}} \mathrm{d} \vec{u}-\int_{e_{2}}^{p} \mathrm{~d} \vec{u}-\vec{K}_{\infty}\right)}{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{y_{\text {in }}} \mathrm{d} \vec{u}+\int_{e_{2}}^{p} \mathrm{~d} \vec{u}-\vec{K}_{\infty}\right)}\right] . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{d} \vec{u}$ and $\mathrm{d} \vec{r}$ are the vectors of the holomorphic differentials of the first kind and the meromorphic differentials of the second kind respectively (Equation (7)). The basepoint $e_{2}$ is a zero of the polynomial $P_{d} \cdot \vec{K}_{\infty}$ is the vector of Riemann constants. The integral $\int_{e_{2}}^{p} \mathrm{~d} \vec{r}$ can be rewritten in terms of the $\zeta$-function

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{\zeta}(\vec{u}) & =\left(\zeta_{1}(\vec{u}), \ldots, \zeta_{g}(\vec{u})\right)^{T}  \tag{31}\\
\zeta_{i}(\vec{u}) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}} \ln \sigma(\vec{u}) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

and the characteristic

$$
\left[\mathfrak{A}_{i}\right]=\binom{\vec{\varepsilon}_{i}^{T}}{\vec{\varepsilon}_{i}^{T}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon_{i 1}^{\prime} & \varepsilon_{i 2}^{\prime}  \tag{33}\\
\varepsilon_{i 1} & \varepsilon_{i 2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

of a branch point $e_{i}$ [52]. In [52] the characteristics are explicitly calculated for $g=2$ in section (V.A.) and for $g=3$ in section (VI.A.). The vectors $\vec{\varepsilon}_{i}$ and $\vec{\varepsilon}_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ have the entries $\frac{1}{2}$ or 0 . Then the integral is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{e_{2}}^{p} \mathrm{~d} \vec{r}=\vec{\zeta}\left(\int_{e_{2}}^{p} \mathrm{~d} \vec{\imath}+\vec{K}_{\infty}\right)-2\left(\eta^{\prime} \vec{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+\eta \vec{\varepsilon}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{\mathfrak{J}}\left(p, \sqrt{P_{d}(p)}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ are the half period matrices (see Equation (8)), and the $g$ th component of the vector $\overrightarrow{\mathfrak{Z}}(Z, W)$ is $\mathfrak{Z}_{g}(Z, W)=0$ and for $1 \leq j<g$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{Z}_{j}(Z, W)=\frac{W}{\prod_{k=2}^{g}\left(Z-e_{2 k}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{g-j-1}(-1)^{g-k+j+1} Z^{k} S_{g-k-j-1}(\vec{e}) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $S_{k}(\vec{e})$ are elementary symmetric functions of order $k$ built on $g-1$ branch points $e_{4}, \ldots, e_{2 g}: S_{0}=1, S_{1}=e_{4}+\ldots+e_{2 g}$, etc [52].
4. $g=2$ Examples for Geodesic Motion

### 4.1. Higher Dimensional Schwarzschild Black Holes

Schwarzschild black hole spacetimes in $D$ dimensions are given by [54]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-f(r) d t^{2}+f(r)^{-1} d r^{2}+r^{2} d \Omega_{D-2}^{2}, \quad f(r)=1-\left(\frac{r_{S}}{r}\right)^{D-3} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with Schwarzschild radius $r_{S}$ and standard metric on the $D-2$-sphere $d \Omega_{D-2}^{2}$. Since energy $E$ and angular momentum $L$ are conserved, and the motion is confined to an equatorial plane

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=f(r) \frac{d t}{d \tau}, \quad L=r^{2} \frac{d \varphi}{d \tau} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

the remaining equation of motion is the radial equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d r}{d \tau}\right)^{2}=E^{2}-f(r)\left(\frac{L^{2}}{r^{2}}-\delta\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, the orbital equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d r}{d \phi}\right)^{2}=\frac{r^{4}}{L^{2}}\left(E^{2}-(1-f(r))\left(\frac{L^{2}}{r^{2}}-\delta\right)\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding the particle orbit in the black hole spacetime. Introducing dimensionless quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{r_{S}^{2}}{L^{2}}, \quad \mu=E^{2}, \quad \tilde{r}=\frac{r}{r_{S}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

the right hand side of Equation (39) can be expressed as $P_{n}(\tilde{r}) / \tilde{r}^{m}$, where $P_{n}(\tilde{r})$ is a polynomial of order $n$. Moreover, a substitution is possible in odd dimensions, $u=1 / \tilde{r}^{2}$, to reduce the order of the polynomial by a factor of 2 . Thus a $g=2$ case is obtained in $D=6,9$ and 11 dimensions (while $D=5$ and 7 are still elliptic) [48]. In 9 and 11 dimensions, the orbital equations read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{d u}{d \varphi}\right)^{2}=4 u\left(u^{4}+\lambda u^{3}-u+\lambda(\mu-1)\right)=4 P_{5}(u)  \tag{41}\\
& \left(\frac{d u}{d \varphi}\right)^{2}=4 u\left(u^{5}+\lambda u^{4}-u+\lambda(\mu-1)\right)=4 P_{6}(u) \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, leading to the solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{r}(\varphi)=\sqrt{-\frac{\sigma_{2}\left(\vec{\varphi}_{\infty, z_{1}}\right)}{\sigma_{1}\left(\vec{\varphi}_{\infty, z_{1}}\right)}},  \tag{43}\\
& \tilde{r}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\frac{\sigma_{2}\left(\vec{p}_{\infty, z_{2}}\right)}{\sigma_{1}\left(\vec{\varphi}_{\infty, z_{2}}\right)}+u_{6}}}, \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the latter 11 dimensional case a substitution $u=\frac{1}{x}+u_{6}$ was performed, with $u_{6}$ a root of $P_{6}(u)$, transforming the orbital equation to $\left(x \frac{d x}{d \varphi}\right)^{2}=4 P_{5}(x)$ [48]. The $\vec{\varphi}_{\infty, z_{i}}$ are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{\varphi}_{\infty, z_{2}} & =\binom{\int_{x_{\mathrm{in}}}^{x_{1}} d z_{1}-\int_{x_{\mathrm{in}}}^{\infty} d z_{1}}{\varphi_{z_{2}}-\varphi_{\mathrm{in}, z_{2}}^{\prime}}  \tag{45}\\
\vec{\varphi}_{\infty, z_{1}} & =\binom{\varphi_{z_{1}}-\varphi_{\mathrm{in}, z_{1}}^{\prime}}{\int_{x_{\mathrm{in}}}^{x_{1}} d z_{2}-\int_{x_{\mathrm{in}}}^{\infty} d z_{2}} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\varphi_{\text {in, } z_{2}}^{\prime}=\varphi_{\text {in }}+\int_{x_{\mathrm{in}}}^{\infty} d z_{2}$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{in}, z_{1}}^{\prime}=\varphi_{\text {in }}+\int_{x_{\text {in }}}^{\infty} d z_{1}$.
Further analysis of the possible particle motion reveals, that these higher dimensional Schwarzschild black hole spacetimes do not allow for periodic bound orbits. Only escape orbits away from the black hole to spatial infinity are allowed and orbits terminating at the central black hole singularity.

### 4.2. Rotating Dyonic $U(1)^{2}$ Black Holes

The problem of dark matter and dark energy is still an unsolved problem of physics, which could possibly be solved by introducing scalar fields like the dilaton and the axion. An interesting spacetime containing these fields is the rotating dyonic black hole with four electromagnetic charges of the $U(1)^{2}$ gauged supergravity found by Chow and Compère [63]. The exact solutions of the equations of motion in the rotating dyonic black hole spacetime were found in [64]. The metric is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-\frac{R_{g}}{B-a A}\left(\mathrm{~d} t-\frac{A}{\Xi} \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)^{2}+\frac{B-a A}{R_{g}} \mathrm{~d} r^{2}+\frac{\Theta_{g} a^{2} \sin ^{2} \vartheta}{B-a A}\left(\mathrm{~d} t-\frac{B}{a \Xi} \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)^{2}+\frac{B-a A}{\Theta_{g}} \mathrm{~d} \vartheta^{2}, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{g} & =r^{2}-2 M r+a^{2}+e^{2}-N_{g}^{2}+g^{2}\left[r^{4}+\left(a^{2}+6 N_{g}^{2}-2 v^{2}\right) r^{2}+3 N_{g}^{2}\left(a^{2}-N_{g}^{2}\right)\right] \\
\Theta_{g} & =1-a^{2} g^{2} \cos ^{2} \vartheta-4 a^{2} N_{g} \cos \vartheta \\
A & =a \sin ^{2} \vartheta+4 N_{g} \sin ^{2} \frac{\vartheta}{2}  \tag{48}\\
B & =r^{2}+\left(N_{g}+a\right)^{2}-v^{2} \\
\Xi & =1-4 N_{g} a g^{2}-a^{2} g^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $M$ describes the mass of the black hole, $a$ is the rotation parameter, $e$ and $v$ correspond to the charges, $N_{g}$ is the Newman-Unti-Tamburino (NUT) parameter and $g$ is the gauge coupling constant. The Boyer-Lindquist like coordinates $(t, r, \vartheta, \phi)$ transform to Cartesian coordinates as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=\sqrt{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)} \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \\
& y=\sqrt{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)} \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi  \tag{49}\\
& z=r \cos \vartheta
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism as described in Section 2 one finds four differential equations which describe the motion of particles and light in the above spacetime.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{d \tilde{r}}{\mathrm{~d} \gamma}\right)^{2} & =X,  \tag{50}\\
\sin ^{2} \vartheta\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \vartheta}{\mathrm{~d} \gamma}\right)^{2} & =Y, \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} \gamma}\right)=\frac{\tilde{a} \tilde{\Xi}(\tilde{B} E-\tilde{a} \tilde{L} \tilde{\Xi})}{\tilde{R}}+\frac{\tilde{\Xi}(\tilde{A} E-\tilde{L} \tilde{\Xi})}{\tilde{\Theta} \sin ^{2} \vartheta}  \tag{52}\\
& \left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{t}}{\mathrm{~d} \gamma}\right)=\frac{\tilde{B}(\tilde{B} E-\tilde{a} \tilde{L} \tilde{\Xi})}{\tilde{R}}+\frac{\tilde{A}(\tilde{L} \tilde{\Xi}-\tilde{A} E)}{\tilde{\Theta} \sin ^{2} \vartheta} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

with the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& X=(\tilde{B} E-\tilde{a} \tilde{L} \tilde{\Xi})^{2}+\tilde{R}(\tilde{K}-\tilde{B} \delta), \\
& Y=-(\tilde{A} E-\tilde{L} \tilde{\Xi})^{2}+\tilde{\Theta} \sin ^{2} \vartheta(\tilde{a} \tilde{A} \delta-\tilde{K}), \\
& \tilde{R}=\tilde{r}^{2}-\tilde{r}+\tilde{a}^{2}+\tilde{e}^{2}-\tilde{N}_{g}^{2} \tilde{g}^{2}\left[\tilde{r}^{4}+\left(\tilde{a}^{2}+6 \tilde{N}_{g}^{2}-2 \tilde{v}^{2}\right) \tilde{r}^{2}+3 \tilde{N}_{g}^{2}\left(\tilde{a}^{2}-\tilde{N}_{g}^{2}\right)\right], \\
& \tilde{\Theta}=1-\tilde{a}^{2} \tilde{g}^{2} \cos ^{2} \vartheta+4 \tilde{a}^{2} \tilde{g}^{2} \tilde{N}_{g} \cos \vartheta,  \tag{54}\\
& \tilde{A}=\tilde{a}^{2} \sin ^{2} \vartheta+2 \tilde{N}_{g}(1-\cos \vartheta), \\
& \tilde{B}=\tilde{r}^{2}+\left(\tilde{N}_{g}+\tilde{a}\right)^{2}-\tilde{v}^{2}, \\
& \tilde{\Xi}=1-\tilde{a}^{2} \tilde{g}^{2}-4 \tilde{a} \tilde{N}_{g} \tilde{g}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here dimensionless quantities were used

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{r}=\frac{r}{2 M}, \tilde{t}=\frac{t}{2 M}, \tilde{\tau}=\frac{\tau}{2 M}, \quad \tilde{N}_{g}=\frac{N_{g}}{2 M}, \tilde{a}=\frac{a}{2 M}  \tag{55}\\
& \tilde{g}=2 M g, \tilde{e}=\frac{e}{2 M}, \tilde{v}=\frac{b}{2 M}, \tilde{K}=\frac{K}{2 M}, \tilde{L}=\frac{L}{2 M}
\end{align*}
$$

The definition of $\gamma$ with $\mathrm{d} \tilde{\tau}=(\tilde{B}-\tilde{a} \tilde{A}) \mathrm{d} \gamma$ simplifies the equation by absorbing the $r$ and $\vartheta$ dependent prefactor $(\tilde{B}-\tilde{a} \tilde{A})$.

The equations of motion are of hyperelliptic type and can be solved in terms of the Kleinian $\sigma$-function. The $r$-Equation (50) yields a hyperelliptic integral of the first kind. In general the right hand side of (50) is a polynomial of order six $X=\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_{i} \tilde{r}^{i}$. The substitution $\tilde{r}= \pm \frac{1}{x}+\tilde{r}_{0}$, where $\tilde{r}_{0}$ is a zero of $X$, transforms $X$ into a polynomial of order five and the $r$-equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} \gamma}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{5} b_{i} x^{i}=: P_{5}^{\tilde{r}}(x) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

A separation of variables yields the hyperelliptic integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma-\gamma_{\mathrm{i} n}=\int_{x_{\mathrm{i} n}}^{x} \frac{x^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}}{\sqrt{P_{5}^{\tilde{r}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

As described in Section 3, see Equations (24) and (26), the solution of the above equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=-\frac{\sigma_{1}\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)}{\sigma_{2}\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)}, \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}$ is the $i$ th derivative of the Kleinian $\sigma$-function and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma-\gamma_{\mathrm{in}}-\int_{x_{\mathrm{in}}}^{\infty} \frac{x \mathrm{~d} x}{\sqrt{P_{5}^{\tilde{r}}(x)}}\right)^{T} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\gamma_{1}$ is determined by the condition $\sigma\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)=0$. A resubstitution yields the full solution of (50)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r}(\gamma)=\mp \frac{\sigma_{2}\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)}{\sigma_{1}\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)}+\tilde{r}_{0} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\vartheta$-Equation (51) can be solved similarly by substituting $\cos \vartheta= \pm \frac{1}{v}+v_{0}$, where $v_{0}$ is a zero of $Y$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v \frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{\mathrm{~d} \gamma}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{5} b_{i}^{\prime} v^{i}=: P_{5}^{\vartheta}(v) . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta=\arccos \left(\mp \frac{\sigma_{2}\left({\overrightarrow{\gamma^{\prime}}}_{\infty}\right)}{\sigma_{1}\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)}+v_{0}\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\overrightarrow{\gamma^{\prime}}}_{\infty}=\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma-\gamma_{\text {in }}-\int_{v_{\text {in }}}^{\infty} \frac{v \mathrm{~d} v}{\sqrt{P_{5}^{\vartheta}(v)}}\right)^{T} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ is determined by the condition $\sigma\left({\overrightarrow{\gamma^{\prime}}}_{\infty}\right)=0$.
The $\phi$-Equation (52) involves hyperelliptic integrals of the third kind. Here we use the Equations (50) and (51) to rewrite the $\phi$-Equation (52) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi-\phi_{\text {in }}=\int_{\tilde{r}_{\text {in }}}^{\tilde{r}} \frac{\tilde{a} \tilde{\Xi}(\tilde{B} E-\tilde{a} \tilde{L} \tilde{\Xi})}{\tilde{R}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{r}}{\sqrt{X}}+\int_{\vartheta_{\text {in }}}^{\vartheta} \frac{\tilde{\Xi}(\tilde{A} E-\tilde{L} \tilde{\Xi})}{\tilde{\Theta} \sin \vartheta} \frac{\mathrm{d} \vartheta}{\sqrt{Y}}=I_{\tilde{r}}(\tilde{r})+I_{\vartheta}(\vartheta) . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I_{\tilde{r}}$ and $I_{\vartheta}$ can be solved separately. Both integrals can be decomposed into several integrals of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{x_{\mathrm{in}}}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x^{\prime}}{(x-Z) \sqrt{P_{5}(x)}}, \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is a pole and $P_{5}(x)$ is a polynomial of the fifth order. The solution is (see Equation (30) in Section 3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{2}{\sqrt{P_{5}(Z)}} \int_{x_{\text {in }}}^{x} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}^{T} \int_{e_{2}}^{Z} \mathrm{~d} \vec{y}+\ln \left(\frac{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{x} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}-\int_{e_{2}}^{Z} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}\right)}{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{x} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}+\int_{e_{2}}^{Z} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}\right)}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{x_{\mathrm{in}}} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}-\int_{e_{2}}^{Z} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}\right)}{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{x_{\mathrm{in}}} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}+\int_{e_{2}}^{Z} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}\right)}\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

$e_{2}$ is a zero of the polynomial $P_{5}(x)$ with the coefficients $a_{k}$ and the holomorphic and meromorphic differentials are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{d} \vec{z}:=\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{P_{5}(x)}}, \frac{x \mathrm{~d} x}{\sqrt{P_{5}(x)}}\right)^{T}  \tag{67}\\
\mathrm{~d} \vec{y}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{4} k a_{k+1} \frac{x^{k} \mathrm{~d} x}{4 \sqrt{P_{5}(x)}}, \sum_{k=2}^{3}(k-1) a_{k+3} \frac{x^{k} \mathrm{~d} x}{4 \sqrt{P_{5}(x)}}\right)^{T} . \tag{68}
\end{gather*}
$$

Analogously, the solution of the $\tilde{t}$-Equation (53) can be found.
With the full set of analytical solutions we can visualize the orbits in this spacetime, see Figure 1.

For the calculation of a specific orbit, first the periods have to be computed according to Equation (8). The integration path depends on the location of the zeros of the polynomial in the complex plane. The zeros are singularities of the integrand and therefore the integral has to be split into several parts, integrating from one zero to the next. When complex zeros occur, real and imaginary parts are integrated separately. One has to keep in mind that the sign of the square root $\sqrt{P_{d}}$ is different for each part of the integration, see [47] for further details and pictures of the integration paths.

As the starting point $x_{\text {in }}$ in Equation (57) we choose one of the turning points of the orbit we want to plot, i.e., a zero of the polynomial. This has the advantage, that $\gamma-\gamma_{\text {in }}-\int_{x_{\text {in }}}^{\infty} \frac{x \mathrm{~d} x}{\sqrt{P_{5}^{f}(x)}}$ in Equation (59) can be expressed in terms of the periods (if we choose $\gamma_{\mathrm{in}}=0$ ). The solution of the radial equation is computed pointwise. For each gamma
in $\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}$, we have to compute a $\gamma_{1}$ according to the condition $\sigma\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)=0$. Here we use the Newton-Raphson method to determine $\gamma_{1}$. With the resulting vector $\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}$, we can compute the solution $r(\gamma)$ (Equation (60)). All our calculations are performed with the help of the software MAPLE , including the numerical calculation of the $\theta$ - and $\sigma$-function and their derivatives.

Possible types of motion are bound orbits and escape orbits, which can also cross the horizons. The maximal analytic extension of the spacetime gives rise to an infinite set of universes or worlds, i.e., asymptotically flat regions, that are connected by intermediate regions delimited by horizons and by regions containing a singularity. Thus a particle can pass from one asymptotically flat region via an outer horizon into an intermediate region, from there via an inner horizon into a region with a singularity, leave again this region via an inner horizon, pass through another intermediate region, and then leave across an outer horizon into another asymptotically flat region. Such a two-world escape orbit is illustrated in Figure 1c. Here the first outer horizon represents a black hole horizon for the particle, whereas the second outer horizon is experienced by the particle as a white hole horizon, allowing it to leave the black hole it had entered. The Figure 1c does not distinguish between the universes, though, and identifies their spatial coordinates. Furthermore, the shape of the singularity (which varies from ring-like to three dimensional structures) allows some geodesics to pass the singularity and reach negative $\tilde{r}$, that can be interpreted as reaching a universe with anti-gravity. The types of orbits around the rotating dyonic $U(1)^{2}$ black hole are similar those in the Kerr-Newman-AdS spacetime.


Figure 1. Orbits of particles (blue curves) around the rotating dyonic $U(1)^{2}$ black hole. The horizons are represented by grey ellipsoids and the dark grey structure is the singularity of the black hole. (a) Bound orbit; (b) Escape orbit; (c) Two-world escape orbit crossing both horizons twice and emerging into another universe.

### 4.3. Black Rings

In four dimensions the event horizon of a black hole is spherical, however, in higher dimension new topologies arise. In 2001 Emparan and Reall [65] presented a black hole solution with a topology of $S^{1} \times S^{2}$ : the rotating black ring. A doubly spinning black ring with two independent angular momenta was found by Pomeransky and Sen'kov [66]. Elvang constructed a charged singly spinning black ring in 2003 [67] and soon after, Hoskisson presented a doubly spinning version of the charged black ring [68]. Exact solutions of the equations of motion were found in the singly spinning black ring spacetime [69] and the charged doubly spinning black ring spacetime [70].

The metric of a doubly spinning charged black ring can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2} & =-D(x, y)^{-2 / 3} \frac{H(y, x)}{H(x, y)}(\mathrm{d} t+c \Omega)^{2}+D(x, y)^{1 / 3} \frac{R^{2} H(x, y)}{(x-y)^{2}(1-v)^{2}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}{G(x)}-\frac{\mathrm{d} y^{2}}{G(y)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{A(y, x) \mathrm{d} \phi^{2}-2 L(x, y) \mathrm{d} \phi \mathrm{~d} \psi-A(x, y) \mathrm{d} \psi^{2}}{H(x, y) H(y, x)}\right] . \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

The metric functions read

$$
\begin{align*}
G(x) & =\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1+\lambda x+v x^{2}\right) \\
H(x, y) & =1+\lambda^{2}-v^{2}+2 \lambda v\left(1-x^{2}\right) y+2 x \lambda\left(1-y^{2} v^{2}\right)+x^{2} y^{2} v\left(1-\lambda^{2}-v^{2}\right) \\
L(x, y) & =\lambda \sqrt{v}(x-y)\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(1-y^{2}\right)\left[1+\lambda^{2}-v^{2}+2(x+y) \lambda v-x y v\left(1-\lambda^{2}-v^{2}\right)\right] \\
A(x, y) & =G(x)\left(1-y^{2}\right)\left[\left(\left(1-v^{2}\right)-\lambda^{2}\right)(1+v)+y \lambda\left(1-\lambda^{2}+2 v-3 v^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +G(y)\left[2 \lambda^{2}+x \lambda\left((1-v)^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)+x^{2}\left((1-v)^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)(1+v)\right. \\
& \left.+x^{3} \lambda\left(1-\lambda^{2}-3 v^{2}+2 v^{3}\right)+x^{4} v(1-v)\left(1-\lambda^{2}-v^{2}\right)\right] \\
D(x, y) & =c^{2}-s^{2} \frac{H(y, x)}{H(x, y)}=1+s^{2} \frac{2 \lambda(1-v)(x-y)(1-v x y)}{H(x, y)} . \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

The shape, mass and angular momenta of the black ring are represented by the parameters $R, \lambda$ and $v$, where $0 \leq v<1$ and $2 \sqrt{v} \leq \lambda<1+v$. The metric reduces to a singly spinning black ring for $v=0$. The doubly spinning black ring possesses two independent angular momenta and thus the rotation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\Omega_{\psi} \mathrm{d} \psi+\Omega_{\phi} \mathrm{d} \phi, \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{\psi}=-\frac{R \lambda \sqrt{2\left((1+v)^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)}}{H(y, x)} \frac{1+y}{1-\lambda+v}\left(1+\lambda-v+x^{2} y v(1-\lambda-v)+2 v x(1-y)\right), \\
& \Omega_{\phi}=-\frac{R \lambda \sqrt{2\left((1+v)^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)}}{H(y, x)}\left(1-x^{2}\right) y \sqrt{v} . \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

The charge is described by the parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\cosh (\alpha) \quad \text { and } \quad s=\sinh (\alpha) \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $c=1$ and $s=0$ one obtaines an uncharged black ring.
The black ring metric is given in toroidal coordinates with $-1 \leq x \leq 1,-\infty<y \leq-1$ and $-\infty<t<\infty . \phi$ and $\psi$ are $2 \pi$-periodic. The toroidal coordinates can be seen as two pairs of polar coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=r_{1} \sin (\phi)  \tag{74}\\
& x_{2}=r_{1} \cos (\phi)
\end{aligned} \quad \text { and } \quad \begin{aligned}
& x_{3}=r_{2} \sin (\psi) \\
& x_{4}=r_{2} \cos (\psi)
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=R \frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{x-y} \quad \text { and } \quad r_{2}=R \frac{\sqrt{y^{2}-1}}{x-y} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ are four-dimensional Cartesian-like coordinates.
A ring-like curvature singularity is located at $y=-\infty$, light and particles cannot return from this area. At $G(y)=0$ the metric has a coordinate singularity resulting in two horizons

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{h+}=\frac{-\lambda+\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4 v}}{2 v}  \tag{76}\\
& y_{h-}=\frac{-\lambda-\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4 v}}{2 v} . \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

If the angle $\phi$ is constant, the black ring horizon has a donut-like topology $S^{1} \times S^{1}$. On the other hand if $\psi$ is constant the horizon will look like two $S^{2}$ spheres.

To obtain the equations of motion for light and test particles in the five-dimensional spacetime of a charged doubly spinning black ring, we use the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism, see Section 2. The metric of the black ring and the Hamiltonian $\mathscr{H}=\frac{1}{2} g^{a b} p_{a} p_{b}$ do not depend on the coordinates $t, \phi$ and $\psi$ and therefore three conserved momenta $p_{a}=g_{a b} \dot{x}^{b}$ with the associated killing vector fields $\partial / \partial t, \partial / \partial \phi$ and $\partial / \partial \psi$ exist

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{t}=-D(x, y)^{-2 / 3} \frac{H(y, x)}{H(x, y)}\left(\dot{t}+c \Omega_{\phi} \dot{\phi}+c \Omega_{\psi} \dot{\psi}\right) \equiv-E  \tag{78}\\
& p_{\phi}=-c \Omega_{\phi} E-D(x, y)^{1 / 3} \frac{R^{2}}{H(y, x)(x-y)^{2}(1-v)^{2}}(-A(y, x) \dot{\phi}+L(x, y) \dot{\psi}) \equiv \Phi  \tag{79}\\
& p_{\psi}=-c \Omega_{\psi} E-D(x, y)^{1 / 3} \frac{R^{2}}{H(y, x)(x-y)^{2}(1-v)^{2}}(A(x, y) \dot{\psi}+L(x, y) \dot{\phi}) \equiv \Psi . \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

The dot denotes a derivative with respect to the affine parameter $\tau$.
In the Hamilton-Jacobi equation we need the non-vanishing components of the inverse metric

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{t t} & =-D(x, y)^{2 / 3} \frac{H(x, y)}{H(y, x)}+c^{2} D(x, y)^{-1 / 3} \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{R^{2} H(x, y)} \\
& \times\left[\frac{\Omega_{\phi}^{2} A(y, x)-2 \Omega_{\phi} \Omega_{\psi} L(x, y)-\Omega_{\psi}^{2} A(x, y)}{G(x) G(y)}\right] \\
g^{t \phi} & =c D(x, y)^{-1 / 3} \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{R^{2} H(x, y)} \frac{\Omega_{\psi} L(x, y)-\Omega_{\psi} A(x, y)}{G(x) G(y)} \\
g^{t \psi} & =c D(x, y)^{-1 / 3} \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{R^{2} H(x, y)} \frac{\Omega_{\phi} L(x, y)+\Omega_{\psi} A(y, x)}{G(x) G(y)} \\
g^{\phi \phi} & =D(x, y)^{-1 / 3} \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{R^{2} H(x, y)} \frac{A(x, y)}{G(x) G(y)}  \tag{81}\\
g^{\psi \psi} & =-D(x, y)^{-1 / 3} \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{R^{2} H(x, y)} \frac{A(y, x)}{G(x) G(y)} \\
g^{\phi \psi} & =-D(x, y)^{-1 / 3} \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{R^{2} H(x, y)} \frac{L(x, y)}{G(x) G(y)} \\
g^{x x} & =D(x, y)^{-1 / 3} \frac{(x-y)^{2}(1-v)^{2}}{R^{2} H(x, y)} G(x) \\
g^{y y} & =-D(x, y)^{-1 / 3} \frac{(x-y)^{2}(1-v)^{2}}{R^{2} H(x, y)} G(y) .
\end{align*}
$$

$E$ is the energy of the particle and its angular momenta in $\phi$ - and $\psi$-direction are $\Phi$ and $\Psi$. In the $x$ - and $y$-direction the conjugate momenta are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{x}=D(x, y)^{1 / 3} \frac{R^{2} H(x, y) \dot{x}}{(x-y)^{2}(1-v)^{2} G(x)}  \tag{82}\\
& p_{y}=-D(x, y)^{1 / 3} \frac{R^{2} H(x, y) \dot{y}}{(x-y)^{2}(1-v)^{2} G(y)} \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

It is useful to split the polynomials $A(x, y)$ and $L(x, y)$ into $x$ - and $y$-parts

$$
\begin{align*}
A(x, y) & =G(x) \alpha(y)+G(y) \beta(x) \\
L(x, y) & =G(x) \delta(y)-G(y) \delta(x) \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\alpha(\xi)=v\left(1-\xi^{2}\right)\left[-\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)-v(1-v)+\lambda \xi(2-3 v)-\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right) \xi^{2}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta(\xi) & =\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)+\lambda \xi\left(1+(1-v)^{2}\right)-v \xi^{2}\left(2 \lambda^{2}+v(1-v)\right)-\lambda v^{2} \xi^{3}(3-2 v) \\
& -v^{2} \xi^{4}\left(1-\lambda^{2}+v(1-v)\right) \\
\delta(\xi) & =\lambda \sqrt{v}\left(1-\xi^{2}\right)\left(\lambda-\left(1-v^{2}\right) \xi-\lambda v \xi^{2}\right) \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

Having the three constants of motion $E, \Phi$ and $\Psi$ one can think of an ansatz to solve the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\tau, t, x, y, \phi, \psi)=\frac{1}{2} \delta \tau-E t+\Phi \phi+\Psi \psi+S_{x}(x)+S_{y}(y) \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting everything into the Hamilton-Jacobi equation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\delta-D(x, y)^{2 / 3} \frac{H(x, y)}{H(y, x)} E^{2}+D(x, y)^{-1 / 3} \frac{(x-y)^{2}(1-v)^{2}}{R^{2} H(x, y)}\left[G(x)\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)^{2}-G(y)\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{A(x, y)\left(\Phi+c \Omega_{\phi} E\right)^{2}-2 L(x, y)\left(\Phi+c \Omega_{\phi} E\right)\left(\Psi+c \Omega_{\psi} E\right)-A(y, x)\left(\Psi+c \Omega_{\psi} E\right)^{2}}{(1-v)^{2} G(x) G(y)}\right] \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

In general Equation (87) does not seem to be separable. However, it can be separated in three cases:

1. $E=\delta=0$ : This special case describes zero energy null geodesics, which are realistic inside the ergoregion only.
2. $x= \pm 1$ : This case describes geodesics in the equatorial plane of the black ring, which is also the "axis" of rotation in $\phi$-direction. The equatorial plane can be divided into two parts: The plane enclosed by the black ring $x=+1$ and the plane around the black ring $x=-1$
3. $y=-1$ : Here geodesics on the "axis" of rotation in $\psi$-direction are considered. The case $y=-1$ describes a plane between two $S^{2}$ spheres which represent the horizon of the black ring.
In the first case $E=\delta=0$ the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism yields five equations of motion, which are of elliptic type and can be solved in terms of the Weierstraß $\wp-, \sigma$ - and $\zeta$-function. In the second and third case, the motion takes place in a plane and we get three equations of motion, which are of hyperelliptic type. Since the solution in the two planes of rotation is similar we will focus here on the case $y=-1$.

On the "axis" of $\psi$-rotation, we have $y=-1, \Psi=0$ and $p_{y}=\frac{\partial S}{\partial y}=0$. Then the Hamilton-Jacobi equation depends on the coordinate $x$ only

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =m^{2}-D^{2 / 3}(x,-1) \frac{H(x,-1)}{H(-1, x)} E^{2}+D^{-1 / 3}(x,-1) \frac{(x+1)^{2}(1-v)^{2}}{R^{2} H(x,-1)}\left\{G(x)\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(\Phi+c \Omega_{\phi} E\right)^{2}}{(1-v)^{2}}\left[\frac{\beta(x)}{G(x)}-\frac{v[2+v(1-v)+\lambda(2-3 v)]}{1-\lambda+v}\right]\right\} \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

From this we get the derivative of the action $S$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)^{2} & =\frac{D^{1 / 3}(x,-1) R^{2} H(x,-1)}{(x+1)^{2}(1-v)^{2} G(x)}\left(D^{2 / 3}(x,-1) \frac{H(x,-1)}{H(-1, x)} E^{2}-m^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{\left(\Phi+c \Omega_{\phi} E\right)^{2}}{(1-v)^{2} G(x)}\left(\frac{\beta(x)}{G(x)}-\frac{v[2+v(1-v)+\lambda(2-3 v)]}{1-\lambda+v}\right)=: X_{S} \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

and the ansatz for the action $S$ in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (see Section 2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \tau-E t+\Phi \phi+\int \sqrt{X_{S}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism we set the partial derivatives of $S$ with respect to the constants $m^{2}, E$ and $\Phi$ to zero, which gives us three differential equations of motion

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} \gamma} & =\sqrt{X(x)}  \tag{91}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} \gamma} & =\frac{(x+1) H(x,-1) H(-1, x)}{(1+v-\lambda)^{2} G(x)}\left(\Phi+c \Omega_{\phi} E\right)  \tag{92}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\mathrm{~d} \gamma} & =R^{2} E \frac{D(x,-1) H^{2}(x,-1)}{(x+1) H(-1, x)}-\frac{(x+1) H(x,-1) H(-1, x)}{(1+v-\lambda)^{2} G(x)} c \Omega_{\phi}\left(\Phi+c \Omega_{\phi} E\right) \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
X(x) & =(1-v)^{2} \frac{H(x,-1)}{H(-1, x)}\left\{R^{2} G(x)\left[D(x,-1) H(x,-1) E^{2}-D^{1 / 3}(x,-1) H(-1, x) \delta\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{(x+1)^{2}}{(1-\lambda+v)^{2}}\left[H(-1, x) \Phi+c R \lambda \sqrt{v} \sqrt{2\left((1+v)^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)}\left(1-x^{2}\right) E\right]^{2}\right\} \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
H(-1, x) & =(1-\lambda)^{2}-v^{2}+v x^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2}-v^{2}+2 \lambda v\right) \\
H(x,-1) & =1+\lambda^{2}-v^{2}-2 \lambda v\left(1-x^{2}\right)+2 \lambda x\left(1-v^{2}\right)+x^{2} v\left(1-\lambda^{2}-v^{2}\right) \\
D(x,-1) & =1+\frac{s^{2}}{H(x,-1)}[2 \lambda(1-v)(x+1)(1+v x)] \\
\Omega_{\phi} & =\frac{R \lambda \sqrt{2\left((1+v)^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)}}{H(-1, x)}\left(1-x^{2}\right) \sqrt{v} . \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

We also defined $\gamma=\frac{(x+1)}{D(x,-1)^{1 / 3} R^{2} H(x,-1)} \tau$ to simplify the equations of motion.
It is possible to solve the equations of motion (91)-(93) if $X(x)$ is a polynomial, which happens in two cases

1. $\quad D(x,-1)=1$ (which implies $c=1$ and $s=0$ ): This case represents the motion of photons or particles around an uncharged doubly spinning black ring.
2. $\delta=0$ : In this case the motion of photons around a charged doubly spinning black ring is described.
In both cases the equations of motion are of hyperelliptic type (genus $g=2$ ), since the polynomial $X=\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_{i} x^{i}$ is of 6th order.

The substitution $x= \pm \frac{1}{u}+x_{Z}$, where $x_{Z}$ is a zero of $X$, transforms $X$ into a polynomial of order five and the $x$-Equation (91) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \gamma}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{5} b_{i} u^{i}=: P_{5}(u) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

A separation of variables yields the hyperelliptic integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma-\gamma_{\mathrm{i} n}=\int_{u_{\mathrm{in}}}^{u} \frac{u^{\prime} \mathrm{d} u^{\prime}}{\sqrt{P_{5}\left(u^{\prime}\right)}} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

As described in Section 3, see Equations (24) and (26), the solution of the above equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=-\frac{\sigma_{1}\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)}{\sigma_{2}\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)} . \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

A resubstitution yields the full solution of (91)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(\gamma)=\mp \frac{\sigma_{2}\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)}{\sigma_{1}\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)}+x_{Z} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}$ is the $i$ th derivative of the $\sigma$-function and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}=\binom{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma-\gamma_{\mathrm{in}}^{\prime \prime}} \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma_{\text {in }}^{\prime \prime}=\gamma_{\text {in }}+\int_{u_{\text {in }}}^{\infty} \frac{u \mathrm{~d} u}{\sqrt{P_{5}(u)}} \cdot \gamma_{1}$ is determined by the condition $\sigma\left(\vec{\gamma}_{\infty}\right)=0$.
Next we will solve the $\phi$-Equation (92) of the black ring. Using Equation (91) the $\phi$-equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi-\phi_{\text {in }}=\int_{x_{\text {in }}}^{x} \frac{(x+1) H(x,-1) H(-1, x)}{(1+v-\lambda)^{2} G(x)}\left(\Phi+c \Omega_{\phi} E\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{X(x)}} . \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

The substitution $x= \pm \frac{1}{u}+x_{Z}$ and a partial fraction decomposition yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi-\phi_{\mathrm{in}}=\int_{u_{\mathrm{in}}}^{u}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{K_{i}}{u-p_{i}}+K_{4}+K_{5} u\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\sqrt{P_{5}(u)}} . \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $K_{i}$ and the poles $p_{i}$ depend on the parameters of the black ring and the test particle.

The hyperelliptic integrals of the first kind are known from the solution of the $x$ Equation (91) and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u_{\mathrm{in}}}^{u} K_{5} \frac{u \mathrm{~d} u}{\sqrt{P_{5}(u)}}=K_{5}\left(\gamma-\gamma_{\mathrm{in}}\right) . \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u_{\mathrm{in}}}^{u} K_{4} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\sqrt{P_{5}(u)}}=K_{4}\left(\gamma_{1}+\int_{y_{\mathrm{in}}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\sqrt{P_{5}(u)}}\right) \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\int_{y_{\text {in }}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\sqrt{P_{5}(u)}}$ can be calculated in terms of the periods if $y_{\text {in }}$ is chosen to be a zero of $P_{5}$.
The hyperelliptic integral of the third kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u_{\mathrm{in}}}^{u} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{K_{i}}{u-p_{i}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\sqrt{P_{5}(u)}} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be solved with the solution Equation (30) in Section 3.
The complete solution of the $\phi$-Equation (92) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi & =\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{P_{5}\left(p_{i}\right)}} \int_{u_{\text {in }}}^{u} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}^{T} \int_{e_{2}}^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} \vec{y}+\ln \left(\frac{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{u} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}-\int_{e_{2}}^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}\right)}{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{u} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}+\int_{e_{2}}^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}\right)}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{u_{\text {in }}} \mathrm{d} \vec{z}-\int_{e_{2}}^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}\right)}{\sigma\left(\int_{\infty}^{u_{\infty}} \mathrm{d} \vec{z}+\int_{e_{2}}^{p_{i}} \mathrm{~d} \vec{z}\right)}\right)\right] \\
& +K_{4}\left(\gamma_{1}+\int_{y_{\text {in }}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\sqrt{P_{5}(u)}}\right)+K_{5}\left(\gamma-\gamma_{\text {in }}\right)+\phi_{\text {in }} . \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously, the solution of the $t$-Equation (93) can be found.

Using the analytical solutions we can plot orbits in the black ring spacetime. Figure 2a shows an escape orbit in the equatorial plane $(x= \pm 1)$ of the black ring. In Figure 2 b a many-world bound orbit for light in the case $E=\delta=0$ is depicted. As discussed above for Figure 1c, also for this ring spacetime the maximal analytic extension consists of an infinite set of worlds, intermediate regions and regions with a singularity. A bound orbit of a particle that crosses both outer and inner horizons twice then emerges into another world, only to enter the black ring again, and repeat this whole process periodically. A bound orbit is shown in Figure 2c. In the black ring spacetime bound orbits only exist in the plane of $\psi$-rotation $(y=-1)$. Interestingly, in higher dimensional spherical black hole spacetimes, such as the higher dimensional Schwarzschild and Myers-Perry black holes, stable bound orbits are not possible. In the Myers-Perry spacetime stable bound orbits can only be found hidden behind the horizons. Therefore, the bound orbit in Figure 2c seems to be a particular feature of the black ring. We note, that Figure 2 illustrates orbits in the black ring spacetime by making use of different projections. Figure $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ suppress one spatial coordinate of the $S^{2}$ and retain the $S^{1}$, whereas Figure 2c suppresses the $S^{1}$ while retaining the $S^{2}$. Therefore the horizons look connected and ringlike in (a) and (b), whereas in (c) the horizon appears as two separate spheres.


Figure 2. Orbits of particles (blue curves) around the black ring. The horizons are depicted as grey tori or spheres. (a) Escape orbit in the equatorial plane $(x= \pm 1)$; (b) Many-world bound orbit in the case $E=m=0$; (c) Bound orbit in the plane of $\psi$-rotation $(y=-1)$.

## 5. Conclusions

Geodesic motion in black hole spacetimes is of utmost relevance for fundamental physics and astrophysics, as well as for technological applications. Since exact solutions of the differential equations provide arbitrary accuracy, they are the means of choice. Numerous black hole spacetimes allow for exact solutions, based on elliptic and hyperelliptic integrals. Whereas the elliptic case has been widely studied, hyperelliptic geodesic equations have received much less attention [43,47-52,64,71-78].

Here we have reviewed the general method for constructing solutions of hyperelliptic geodesic equations [51], and we have illustrated the method for the $g=2$ case with several examples: 9- and 11-dimensional Schwarzschild black holes, 4-dimensional supergravity black holes, and 5 -dimensional black rings. Whereas numerous interesting spacetimes with $g=2$ hyperelliptic geodesic equations are still awaiting analysis, this is even more so for spacetimes with $g>2$ hyperelliptic equations [51,52].

However, in alternative theories of gravity also geodesic equations can arise, that are characterized by polynomials of the more general type

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{n}+P_{m_{n-2}}^{(n-2)}(x) y^{n-2}+P_{m_{n-3}}^{(n-3)}(x) y^{n-3}+\ldots+P_{m_{1}}^{(1)}(x) y+P_{m_{0}}^{(0)}(x)=0 \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P_{m}^{(n)}(x)$ polynomials of order $m$ in $x$. For instance, in Hořava-Lifshitz black hole spacetimes [79-81] as well as Gauß-Bonnet black hole [82] spacetimes quartic equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{4}+P_{m}^{(2)}(x) y^{2}+P_{n}^{(0)}(x)=0 \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

arise. While in special cases such curves can be reduced to lower genera and the above methods become applicable [52], for the general set of geodesic equations so far only numerical analysis has been performed [83]. The extension of the above methods to obtain exact solutions also in such general cases remains a challenge to be tackled.
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