



Optimizing the Kaplan–Yorke Dimension of Chaotic Oscillators Applying DE and PSO

Alejandro Silva-Juarez¹, Gustavo Rodriguez-Gomez², Luis Gerardo de la Fraga³, Omar Guillen-Fernandez¹ and Esteban Tlelo-Cuautle^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Electronics, National Institute for Astrophysics, Optics, and Electronics (INAOE), Tonantzintla, Puebla 72840, Mexico; asilva@inaoep.mx (A.S.-J.); ing.omargufe@gmail.com (O.G.-F.)
- ² Department of Computer Science, National Institute for Astrophysics, Optics, and Electronics (INAOE), Tonantzintla, Puebla 72840, Mexico; grodrig@inaoep.mx
- ³ Department of Computer Science, Center for Research and Advanced Studies of the National Polytechnic Institute (CINVESTAV), San Pedro Zacatenco, Ciudad de México 07360, Mexico; fraga@cs.cinvestav.mx
- * Correspondence: etlelo@inaoep.mx; Tel.: +52-222-2663100

Received: 20 March 2019; Accepted: 25 April 2019; Published: 27 April 2019



Abstract: When a new chaotic oscillator is introduced, it must accomplish characteristics like guaranteeing the existence of a positive Lyapunov exponent and a high Kaplan–Yorke dimension. In some cases, the coefficients of a mathematical model can be varied to increase the values of those characteristics but it is not a trivial task because a very huge number of combinations arise and the required computing time can be unreachable. In this manner, we introduced the optimization of the Kaplan–Yorke dimension of chaotic oscillators by applying metaheuristics, e.g., differential evolution (DE) and particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithms. We showed the equilibrium points and eigenvalues of three chaotic oscillators that are simulated applying ODE45, and the Kaplan–Yorke dimension was evaluated by Wolf's method. The chaotic time series of the state variables associated to the highest Kaplan–Yorke dimension provided by DE and PSO are used to encrypt a color image to demonstrate that they are useful in implementing a secure chaotic communication system. Finally, the very low correlation between the chaotic channel and the original color image confirmed the usefulness of optimizing Kaplan–Yorke dimension for cryptographic applications.

Keywords: chaos; PSO; DE; Kaplan-Yorke dimension

1. Introduction

Chaotic systems are a hot topic of interest for researchers in a wide variety of fields. In the case of optimization and applications, one is interested in finding appropriate design parameters that provide better characteristics like a high positive Lyapunov exponent (LE+) and a high Kaplan–Yorke dimension D_{KY} , and guaranteeing chaotic behavior for long-times. This task can be performed by varying the coefficients of a mathematical model under ranges that can be established from the evaluation of the bifurcation diagram. However, this requires extensive computing time and is more complex when the values of the coefficients have more fractional values. For example: The Lorenz chaotic oscillator has three design parameters: σ , ρ , and β . They can have integer values and also fractional ones. If one chose varying them using two integer (10²) and four fractional numbers (10⁴), then the number of combinations becomes $10^6 \times 10^6 = 10^{18}$. Simulating this number of cases can be unreachable in a couple of years and not all cases will generate chaotic behavior. In this manner, metaheuristics can be applied to search for the best coefficient values that provide high LE+ and high D_{KY} .

Among all the different kinds of chaotic systems, the case studies of this work are three dimensional autonomous chaotic oscillators, and the analysis to determine their equilibrium points and



eigenvalues are shown. The numerical simulation is performed by applying ODE45 to generate chaotic time series that are used to evaluate both LE+ and D_{KY} by applying Wolf's method [1]. In fact, chaotic flow is interesting for systems that have high complexity that can be quantified by evaluating the attractor dimension, which is associated to D_{KY} . For instance, the authors in [2] introduced a flexible chaotic system through applying modification in a recent rare chaotic flow which has adjustable D_{KY} , and it is used in a practical application showing a relation between D_{KY} and the ability of the chaotic system to generate random numbers. The authors in [3] published a review paper of fully analog realizations of chaotic dynamics that can be considered canonical (minimum number of the circuit elements), robust (exhibit structurally stable strange attractors), and novel. The short term unpredictability of the chaotic flow is demonstrated via the calculation of D_{KY} that is high, so that the generated chaotic waveforms can find interesting applications in the fields of chaotic masking, modulation, or chaos-based cryptography. Another new chaotic oscillator is proposed in [4], where the authors present a systematic study including phase portraits, dissipativity, stability, D_{KY} , etc. In the same line of research, the authors in [5] analyze a chaotic satellite system using dissipativity, equilibrium points, bifurcation diagrams, Poincaré section maps, and D_{KY} to ensure the strange behavior of the chaotic system. As one can infer, D_{KY} is quite useful to characterize a chaotic dynamical system that can be implemented with electronics for engineering applications like secure chaotic communication systems and it can also be useful to model natural dynamics like the predator-prey system given in [6]. In this manner, we show the application of two metaheuristics, namely: Differential evolution (DE) and particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithms, in order to maximize D_{KY} of three chaotic oscillators. The state variables of each chaotic oscillator with the highest D_{KY} are used to encrypt a color image to demonstrate their usefulness in implementing a chaotic secure communication system.

The rest of the manuscript is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the three chaotic oscillators that are used to maximize D_{KY} . They are a chaotic system with infinite equilibria points [7], Rössler [8], and Lorenz [9] systems. Section 3 details the DE and PSO algorithms that are used to maximize D_{KY} . Section 4 details the maximization of D_{KY} for the three chaotic oscillators and shows statistical results of 10 runs applying DE and PSO. Section 5 shows the chaotic time series with the highest D_{KY} that are used to encrypt a color image. Finally, the conclusions are given in Section 6.

2. Chaotic Systems

This section describes three autonomous chaotic oscillators that are used as case studies to optimize their D_{KY} by applying DE and PSO algorithms. The first chaotic system has infinite equilibria points and was introduced in [7]. Its mathematical model is described by (1), where it can be appreciated that the non-linearity is provided by the exponential function and has a single parameter, *a*. This attractor is simulated with a step size of 0.3 and its phase portrait is shown in Figure 1. The attractor is generated when the design parameter *a* = 0.1 and provides an LE+ = 0.17 and D_{KY} = 2.0791, as shown in Table 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= -z \\ \dot{y} &= xz^2 \\ \dot{z} &= x - ae^y + z(y^2 - z^2) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Other kinds of chaotic oscillators have nonlinearities that are associated to the multiplication of their state variables. This is the case of the Rössler system [8], which is described by (2). It consists of three design parameters shown in Table 1, a = 0.15, b = 0.20, and c = 10, which are used to provide LE+ = 0.13 and D_{KY} = 2.01, and its phase portrait is shown in Figure 1.

$$\dot{x} = -y - z
\dot{y} = x + ay
\dot{z} = b + z(x - c)$$
(2)

The third chaotic oscillator used in this article is the Lorenz chaotic system [9], which is described by (3), and its design parameters are $\sigma = 0.16$, $\rho = 45.92$, and $\beta = 4$. Its numerical simulation provides LE+ = 2.16 and D_{KY} = 2.07, as listed in Table 1, and its phase portrait is shown in Figure 1.

$$\dot{x} = \sigma(x - y) \dot{y} = x(\rho - z) - y \dot{z} = xy - \beta z$$
(3)

System	Parameters	LE_+	\mathbf{D}_{KY}
Infinite equilibria [7]	a pprox 0.1	~ 0.17	2.0791
Rössler [8]	a = 0.15; b = 0.20; c = 10.0	0.1300	2.0100
Lorenz [9]	$\sigma = 0.16; ho = 45.92; ho = 4.0;$	2.1600	2.0700

Table 1. LE₊ and D_{ky} of Equations (1)–(3) reported in the literature.



Figure 1. Phase portraits of Equations (1)–(3) with the parameters listed in Table 1, and simulated with a time-step: (a) h = 0.3; (b) h = 0.0038; and (c) h = 0.008.

3. Differential Evolution and Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithms

As mentioned above, chaotic systems can be optimized in order to provide better characteristics like high LE+ and high D_{KY} [10]. We show the application of metaheuristics because the design variables have large search spaces and thus require extensive computing time. A clear example is when trying to optimize the Lorenz chaotic oscillator, which has three design parameters: σ , ρ , and β , which can have fractional values. Therefore, if the design parameters are varied using two integer (10²) and four fractional numbers (10⁴), then the number of combinations becomes $10^6 \times 10^6 \times 10^6 = 10^{18}$. As one can see, simulating this number of cases can be unreachable in a couple of years and the most important thing is that not all the combination cases will generate chaotic behavior. Another justification of applying metaheuristics is that an algorithm is used to evaluate D_{KY} , which is not based on derivatives.

The D_{KY} requires evaluating the Lyapunov exponents of a chaotic oscillator, for which several methods has been published [11–13]. In this work we perform numerical simulations by applying ODE45 to generate chaotic time series that are used to evaluate both LE₊ and D_{KY} by applying Wolf's method [1]. This process is performed within the optimization loops of the DE and PSO algorithms.

3.1. Differential Evolution Algorithm

This algorithm belongs to the focused-evolutionary family to solve optimization problems. DE is an algorithm that begins from generating *D*-dimensional vectors randomly as in a population: $x_{i,G}$ with $i = \{1, 2, 3, ..., N_p\}$, where *G* is the maximum number of generations and N_p is the number of vectors in the population. As the generations run, new vectors are generated by performing mutation (4) and crossover (5) operations [14].

$$v_i^{g+1} \leftarrow x_c^g + F(x_a^g - x_b^g) \tag{4}$$

$$u_{ij}^{g+1} \leftarrow \begin{cases} v_{ij}^{g+1} & if \ [U(0,1) \le CR] \ or \ rnbr(i) = j \\ x_{ij}^{g+1} & if \ [U(0,1) > CR] \ and \ rnbr(i) \ne j \end{cases}$$
(5)

In Equation (4), *a*, *b*, and *c* are different vectors randomly selected, *g* is the current generation, and $F \in [0, 2]$ is a mutant constant. In Equation (5), $j = \{1, 2, ..., D\}$, U(0, 1) is a function that returns a real number with uniform distribution and within the range [0, 1), $CR \in [0, 1]$ is a crossover coefficient selected by the user, and $rnbr(i) \in [0, 1]$ is an index generated randomly. During the evaluation process, several operations are performed as follows: When evaluating the new generated vector (u_{ij}^{g+1}) , if it is better than the previous vector (x_{ij}^{g+1}) , then the new vector replaces the previous one and it will be part of the new population (g + 1), otherwise, the new vector will be discarded. The DE algorithm stops when the maximum number of generations is reached (or other stop criterion is applied) and the values of optimization are retrieved. Algorithm 1 shows the pseudo-code of the DE algorithm that is detailed in [14].

Algorithm 1 Differential Evolution

Require: $D, G, N_p, CR, F, and func(\bullet)$. 1: Initialize the population randomly (*x*) 2: Evaluate the population with your function [func(x)]3: Save the evaluation results in score 4: for (counter = 1; counter \leq G; counter++) do for $(i = 1; i \le N_p; i++)$ do 5: Select three different indexes randomly (a, b, and c) 6: 7: for $(j = 1; j \le D; j++)$ do if U(0,1) < CR || j = D then 8: $trial_j \leftarrow x_{aj} + F(x_{bj} - x_{cj})$ else 9. 10: $trial_i \leftarrow x_{ij}$ 11: end if 12: 13: end for $fx \leftarrow func(trial)$ 14: if f x is better than $score_i$ then 15: $score_i \leftarrow fx$ 16: 17: $x_i \leftarrow trial$ 18: end if 19: end for 20: end for 21: **return** *x* and *score*

3.2. Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm

The PSO algorithm avoids performing a selection process as the evolutionary algorithms do. In PSO, all the population members survive in the whole optimization process. Basically, it updates the position and the velocity of the particles that follow the particle with the best result. The particles are associated to $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^J$ vectors, which are randomly initialized. The vectors are viewed as particles in the space, and their behaviors are defined by two formulas associated to their velocity (6) and position (7).

$$v_{ij}^{t+1} \leftarrow \alpha v_{ij}^t + U(0,\beta) \left(p_{ij} - x_{ij}^t \right) + U(0,\beta) \left(g_j - x_{ij}^t \right)$$
(6)

$$x_{ij}^{t+1} \leftarrow x_{ij}^t + v_{ij}^{t+1} \tag{7}$$

In Equations (6) and (7), *i* is the index of the particle, *j* is the dimension, p_i is the best position finding in *i*, and p_g is the best position obtained during the optimization. $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is named

inertial weight, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is named acceleration constant, and $U(\bullet)$ is a generator of random numbers uniformly distributed.

PSO is based on evaluating a $f(x_i)$ function and comparing the results. If the last result is better than the *i*-th results registered, this will be the new vector p_i . The global best value (g) is also compared, and if the last value is better than this, this is also replaced. This process is accomplished until it reaches the stop criterion. A feature of PSO is that during its execution, each particle moves around a centroid region determined by p_i and g, so that the particles pursue new positions to reach the best solution. Algorithm 2 shows the pseudo-code of PSO algorithm, which is detailed in [15].

Algorithm 2 Particle Swarm Optimization

Require: *D*, *G*, N_p , α , β , and $func(\bullet)$. 1: Initialize the position of the particles randomly (x)2: Initialize the velocity of the particles (*v*) 3: Evaluate the position of the particles with your function [func(x)]4: Save the evaluation results in *score* and $p \leftarrow x$ 5: Find the best value from *p* and save it in *g* 6: **for** (*counter* = 1; *counter* \leq *G*; *counter*++) **do** 7: for $(i = 1; i \le N_p; i++)$ do for $(j = 1; j \le D; j++)$ do 8: $v_{ij} \leftarrow \alpha v_{ij} + U(0,\beta) \left(p_{ij} - x_{ij} \right) + U(0,\beta) \left(g_j - x_{ij} \right)$ 9: 10: $x_{ij} \leftarrow x_{ij} + v_{ij}$ end for 11: $f_x \leftarrow func(x_i)$ 12: if f_x is better than *score*_i then 13: $score_i \leftarrow f_x$ 14: 15: $p_i \leftarrow x_i$ if p_i is better than g then 16: 17: $g \leftarrow p_i$ end if 18: end if 19: end for 20: 21: end for 22: **return** *x*, *p*, *g*, and *score*

4. Maximizing D_{KY}

The Lyapunov exponents give the most characteristic description of the presence of a deterministic non-periodic flow. Therefore, Lyapunov exponents are asymptotic measures characterizing the average rate of growth (or shrinkage) of small perturbations to the solutions of a dynamical system [16]. Lyapunov exponents provide quantitative measures of response sensitivity of a dynamical system to small changes in initial conditions [17]. The number of Lyapunov exponents equals the number of state variables, and if at least one is positive, this is an indication of chaos [18]. That way, the three chaotic oscillators given by Equations (1)–(3) have 3 Lyapunov exponents: One is positive LE+, one is zero (or very close to zero), and one is negative. The three Lyapunov exponents are used to evaluate the Kaplan–Yorke dimension, which can be obtained by Equation (8), where *k* is an integer such that the sum of the Lyapunov exponents (λ_i) is non-negative. If chaotic behavior is guaranteed in Equations (1)–(3), then *k* = 2, so that λ_{k+1} is the third Lyapunov exponent, and the dimension D_{KY} is higher than 2.

$$D_{KY} = k + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1}} \tag{8}$$

In chaotic oscillators, an analysis of their eigenvalues is performed to determine unstable regions. The eigenvalues are determined from the evaluation of the equilibrium points. In this manner, the chaotic oscillator described by Equation (1), has the characteristic equation given by $s[s^2 - (y^*)^2 s + 1] = 0$, where y^* includes the equilibrium points in y (that are infinite). In this case one eigenvalue is $s_1 = 0$ and the remainders $s_{2,3}$ are determined from $s^2 - (y^*)^2 s + 1 = 0$. As already shown in [7], the unstable region is determined in $y^* \neq 0$, but following the eigenvalues criterion, this also must accomplish $\Delta < 0$. If $\Delta = (y^*)^4 - 4$, the unstable region is in $-\sqrt[4]{4} < y^* < \sqrt[4]{4}$. Otherwise, the remainder eigenvalues are not complex.

The equilibrium points of Equations (1)–(3), and their associated Jacobians are shown in Table 2, where $\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x}$ can take the values from evaluating Equation (9), so that each chaotic oscillator has three eigenvalues for each equilibrium point. For complex systems the eigenvalues can be calculated by applying Cardano's method [19].

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} = \begin{cases} k, & if \quad |x| \le 1\\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(9)

System	Jacobian	Equilibrium Points
Infinite Equilibria	$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ z^2 & 0 & 2xz \\ 1 & ae^{y^*} & (y^*)^2 - 3z^2 \end{array}\right]$	$(ae^{y^*},y^*,0)$
Rössler	$\left[\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & a & 0 \\ z & 0 & x-c \end{array}\right]$	$\left(\frac{c\pm\sqrt{c^2-4ab}}{2},-\frac{c\pm\sqrt{c^2-4ab}}{2a},\frac{c\pm\sqrt{c^2-4ab}}{2a}\right)$
Lorenz	$\begin{bmatrix} -\sigma & \sigma & 0\\ \rho - z & -1 & -x\\ y & x & \beta \end{bmatrix}$	$\left(\pm\sqrt{\beta(\rho-1)},\pm\sqrt{\beta(\rho-1)},\rho-1\right)$

Table 2. Jacobian and equilibrium points of the chaotic systems given in Equations (1)–(3).

The Lyapunov exponents of the three chaotic oscillators were obtained by applying Wolf's method [1]. The initial conditions (x_0, y_0, z_0) matters to reduce computing time in computing Lyapunov exponents and D_{KY} . In this manner, the appropriate initial conditions are: $(ae^{0.5}, 0.5, 0.75)$ for the chaotic oscillator with infinite equilibria (1), (0.5, 0.5, 0.5) for Rössler (2), and (0.1, 0.1, 0.1) for Lorenz (3).

The DE and PSO algorithms were executed with the same conditions for the three chaotic oscillators, i.e., the same number of populations (*P*) and maximum generations (*G*). The numerical simulations were performed for 10,000 iterations, discarding the first 1000 iterations as they include the transient behavior. In this manner, the optimization was run to maximize D_{KY} with the following conditions in both DE and PSO: G = 20, P = 30, and the search space $0.001 \le a \le 1$ for the system with infinite equilibria; G = 20, P = 30, and the search spaces $0.001 \le a \le 10$, $0.001 \le b \le 10$, $0.001 \le c \le 30$ for Rössler; and G = 20, P = 30, and the search spaces $0.001 \le \sigma \le 60$; $0.001 \le \rho \le 180$; $0.001 \le \beta \le 30$ for Lorenz. The results are given in Table 3, where it can be appreciated that $D_{KY} > 2$ in all cases and the maximum variation is approximately ± 0.05 , which demonstrates the usefulness of applying metaheuristics like DE and PSO algorithms.

Table 3 summarizes the feasible optimized results provided by DE and PSO algorithms for the three chaotic oscillators (1), (2), and (3). The highest values of D_{KY} are given in Table 4, where one can see the values of the design parameters associated to the best five values of D_{KY} provided by DE and PSO, and it also shows their associated LE+.

Oscillator	Runs		DE		PSO		
	114110	Max D _{KY}	Mean	σ	Max D _{KY}	Mean	σ
	1	2.0781	2.0789	0.02080	2.0764	2.0753	0.02059
	2	2.0791	2.0788	0.02079	2.0767	2.0753	0.02036
	3	2.0781	2.0787	0.02071	2.0767	2.0759	0.02036
	4	2.0788	2.0787	0.02075	2.0716	2.0765	0.02073
	5	2.0785	2.0787	0.02063	2.0740	2.0738	0.02077
Infinite Equilibria	6	2.0786	2.0785	0.02026	2.0766	2.0715	0.02039
	7	2.0781	2.0788	0.02043	2.0766	2.0734	0.02077
	8	2.0782	2.0788	0.02031	2.0754	2.0751	0.02031
	9	2.0786	2.0789	0.02051	2.0754	2.0752	0.02054
	10	2.0789	2.0787	0.02070	2.0737	2.0736	0.02045
	1	2.0250	2.0395	0.02036	2.0235	2.0230	0.03285
	2	2.0350	2.0377	0.02043	2.0225	2.0224	0.03286
	3	2.0510	2.0364	0.02046	2.0270	2.0210	0.03286
	4	2.0300	2.0374	0.02048	2.0229	2.0240	0.03289
	5	2.0500	2.0381	0.02038	2.0198	2.0220	0.03285
Rössler	6	2.0203	2.0383	0.02039	2.0269	2.0240	0.03288
	7	2.0203	2.0363	0.02028	2.0233	2.0230	0.03288
	8	2.0204	2.0373	0.02044	2.0170	2.0240	0.03287
	9	2.0204	2.0405	0.02026	2.0254	2.0230	0.03285
	10	2.0055	2.0414	0.02039	2.0265	2.0220	0.03287
	1	2.0754	2.0773	0.01486	2.0719	2.0713	0.00969
	2	2.0730	2.0732	0.01481	2.0718	2.0712	0.00969
Lorenz	3	2.0843	2.0783	0.01466	2.0706	2.0703	0.00969
	4	2.0791	2.0775	0.01478	2.0712	2.0705	0.00969
	5	2.0785	2.0772	0.01474	2.0712	2.0702	0.00969
	6	2.0839	2.0770	0.01486	2.0662	2.0710	0.00969
	7	2.0796	2.0752	0.01487	2.0690	2.0702	0.00969
	8	2.0739	2.0738	0.01479	2.0684	2.0708	0.00969
	9	2.0733	2.0733	0.01451	2.0692	2.0703	0.00969
	10	2.0741	2.0740	0.01431	2.0714	2.0744	0.00969

Table 3. Results of 10 runs performed by differential evolution (DE) and particle swarm optimization (PSO) for the three chaotic oscillators.

Table 4. Design parameters of the five highest values of D_{KY} from Table 3, for each metaheuristic and chaotic oscillator, and their corresponding value of LE+.

Oscillator	DE			PSO			
Obtimutor	Design Parameters	LE+	D _{KY}	Design Parameters	LE+	D _{KY}	
	a = 0.1006	0.0753	2.0791	a = 0.0937	0.0753	2.079	
	a = 0.0938	0.0730	2.0789	a = 0.1007	0.0788	2.0789	
Infinite Equilibria	a = 0.0939	0.0726	2.0786	a = 0.1028	0.0796	2.0796	
	a = 0.0935	0.0726	2.0785	a = 0.0935	0.0726	2.0785	
	a = 0.1007	0.0747	2.0788	a = 0.1007	0.0787	2.0791	
	$a = 0.3609 \ b = 0.1000 \ c = 11.3470$	0.2711	2.07890	$a = 0.3609 \ b = 0.1000 \ c = 11.3470$	0.2711	2.02700	
	$a = 0.3947 \ b = 0.5490 \ c = 9.12060$	0.2600	2.07140	$a = 0.3947 \ b = 0.5490 \ c = 9.12060$	0.2600	2.02690	
Rössler	$a = 0.3720 \ b = 0.2055 \ c = 12.0147$	0.2710	2.07870	$a = 0.3947 \ b = 0.2055 \ c = 9.12060$	0.2600	2.02650	
	$a = 0.3930 \ b = 0.8505 \ c = 13.0501$	0.2645	2.07810	$a = 0.3930 \ b = 0.8505 \ c = 13.0501$	0.2645	2.02350	
	$a = 0.3643 \ b = 0.1537 \ c = 12.7643$	0.2764	2.07880	$a = 0.3643 \ b = 0.1537 \ c = 12.7643$	0.2764	2.02330	
	$\sigma = 29.9226 \ \rho = 89.8095 \ \beta = 13.9727$	3.3129	2.08430	$\sigma = 30.0000 \ \rho = 90 \ \beta = 12.3872$	3.3129	2.07230	
Lorenz	$\sigma = 29.9388 \ \rho = 89.8923 \ \beta = 14.1895$	3.3122	2.08390	$\sigma = 29.8297 \ \rho = 90 \ \beta = 13.7954$	3.3122	2.07290	
	$\sigma = 29.7786 \ \rho = 89.7268 \ \beta = 13.4876$	3.3168	2.07960	$\sigma = 29.9966 \ \rho = 90 \ \beta = 13.4876$	3.3168	2.07190	
	$\sigma = 29.9222 \ \rho = 89.9781 \ \beta = 14.1956$	3.3149	2.07910	$\sigma = 30.0000 \ \rho = 90 \ \beta = 14.1956$	3.3149	2.07197	
	$\sigma = 29.7066 \ \rho = 89.8899 \ \beta = 13.7180$	3.3199	2.07410	$\sigma = 29.8375 \ \rho = 90 \ \beta = 13.8036$	3.3199	2.07179	

5. Encrypting Color Images Using State Variables with High D_{KY} and LE+

Chaotic masking has been performed for color images using chaotic oscillators that are synchronized by applying different techniques, as already shown in [20], where one can see details on

the hardware implementation of a chaotic secure communication system using field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs).

Figure 2 sketches the chaotic encryption of a color image, which basically consists of a transmitter and receiver blocks that communicate through a chaotic channel. The transmitter has a master chaotic oscillator that generates signals E_D that contaminate the original image with data E_M , this masking process produces the chaotic channel containing data $E_T = E_D + E_M$ that is the input of the receiver system. The information is recovered when the chaotic oscillator in the receiver produces data $E_R = E_D$ because both oscillator are synchronized [20]. Therefore, the recovered image is saved into data $E'_M = E_T - E_R$.



Figure 2. Encryption process adding chaos to an original image and recovering it through synchronizing two chaotic oscillators, as already shown in [20].

The chaotic encryption is applied herein to an RGB image of size 512×512 pixels. The chaotic data is generated by the chaotic oscillators with the best two D_{KY} values from Table 4. In this manner, each state variable of each chaotic oscillator was selected to generate the chaotic data and then the correlation analysis between the original image and the chaotic channel as shown in Table 5.

Oscillator	D_{KY}	State Variables	Correlation
	2.0791	x	0.0332
		у	0.0589
		Z	0.0371
Infinite Equilibria	2.0789	x	0.0447
		у	0.2961
		Z	0.0423
	2.0789	x	0.1144
		у	0.0075
		Z	0.0112
Rössler	2.0788	x	0.0084
		у	0.0038
		Z	0.0034
	2.0843	x	0.0173
		у	0.0152
		Z	0.0025
Lorenz	2 0839	x	0.0009688
		у	0.0029
		Z	0.0010

Table 5. Correlation between the original image and the chaotic channel using each state variable of the two highest D_{KY} of each chaotic oscillator.

The experiments show that the best chaotic oscillator is Lorenz because it provides the lowest correlation. In this manner, Figure 3 shows the encryption of an RGB image using the state variable x of the Lorenz chaotic oscillator for the case $D_{KY} = 0.0009688$. This confirms that the chaotic encryption is much better if one maximizes D_{KY} , as showed herein by applying DE and PSO.



Figure 3. Original image on the left, encrypted image in the chaotic channel in the center, and the recovered image on the right.

6. Conclusions

This article showed that the chaotic encryption of an image can be enhanced when using chaotic data from an oscillator that has a high Kaplan–Yorke dimension D_{KY} . However, maximizing D_{KY} of a chaotic oscillator is not a trivial task because the design parameters or coefficients of the mathematical model can have huge search spaces, as shown by the three case studies documented herein.

We showed that metaheuristics like DE and PSO algorithms are quite suitable to maximize D_{KY} , both algorithms were run with the same conditions to find feasible solutions. The two highest values of D_{KY} of each chaotic oscillator were selected to encrypt an RGB image and a correlation analysis was performed between the original image and the chaotic channel to identify the best masking. In this manner, after testing each state variable of each chaotic oscillator, the lowest correlation was provided by Lorenz, as showed in Table 5. This confirms that the best chaotic encryption can be performed if one maximizes D_{KY} , as shown herein applying DE and PSO.

Author Contributions: Investigation, methodology, writing original draft and writing, review and editing, A.S.-J., G.R.-G., L.G.d.I.F., O.G.-F. and E.T.-C.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Wolf, A.; Swift, J.B.; Swinney, H.L.; Vastano, J.A. Determining Lyapunov exponents from a time series. *Phys. D Nonlinear Phenom.* 1985, 16, 285–317. doi:10.1016/0167-2789(85)90011-9. [CrossRef]
- Chen, H.; Bayani, A.; Akgul, A.; Jafari, M.A.; Pham, V.T.; Wang, X.; Jafari, S. A flexible chaotic system with adjustable amplitude, largest Lyapunov exponent, and local Kaplan–Yorke dimension and its usage in engineering applications. *Nonlinear Dyn.* 2018, *92*, 1791–1800. [CrossRef]
- 3. Petrzela, J.; Polak, L. Minimal Realizations of Autonomous Chaotic Oscillators Based on Trans-Immittance Filters. *IEEE Access* 2019, 7, 17561–17577. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2896656. [CrossRef]
- 4. Vaidyanathan, S.; Abba, O.A.; Betchewe, G.; Alidou, M. A new three-dimensional chaotic system: Its adaptive control and circuit design. *Int. J. Autom. Control* **2019**, *13*, 101–121. [CrossRef]
- 5. Khan, A.; Kumar, S. Analysis and time-delay synchronisation of chaotic satellite systems. *Pramana* **2018**, *91*, 49. [CrossRef]
- 6. Saad, A.B.; Boubaker, O. Bifurcations, chaos and synchronization of a predator–prey system with Allee effect and seasonally forcing in prey's growth rate. *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **2018**, 227, 971–981. [CrossRef]
- Tlelo-Cuautle, E.; de la Fraga, L.G.; Pham, V.T.; Volos, C.; Jafari, S.; Quintas-Valles, A.D.J. Dynamics, FPGA realization and application of a chaotic system with an infinite number of equilibrium points. *Nonlinear Dyn.* 2017, *89*, 1129–1139. doi:10.1007/s11071-017-3505-2. [CrossRef]
- Rössler, O. An equation for continuous chaos. *Phys. Lett. A* 1976, 57, 397–398. doi:10.1016/0375-9601(76)90101-8.
 [CrossRef]
- 9. Lorenz, E.N. Deterministic nonperiodic flow. J. Atmos. Sci. 1963, 20, 130-141. [CrossRef]
- 10. Petržela, J. Optimal Piecewise-Linear Approximation of the Quadratic Chaotic Dynamics. *Radioengineering* **2012**, *21*, 20–28.

- 11. Li, S.Y.; Huang, S.C.; Yang, C.H.; Ge, Z.M. Generating tri-chaos attractors with three positive Lyapunov exponents in new four order system via linear coupling. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **2012**, *69*, 805–816. doi:10.1007/s11071-011-0306-x. [CrossRef]
- 12. Sun, Y.; Wu, C.Q. A radial-basis-function network-based method of estimating Lyapunov exponents from a scalar time series for analyzing nonlinear systems stability. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **2012**, *70*, 1689–1708. doi:10.1007/s11071-012-0567-z. [CrossRef]
- 13. Li, C.; Sprott, J.C. Amplitude control approach for chaotic signals. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **2013**, *73*, 1335–1341. doi:10.1007/s11071-013-0866-z. [CrossRef]
- 14. Storn, R.; Price, K. Differential Evolution—A Simple and Efficient Heuristic for global Optimization over Continuous Spaces. *J. Glob. Optim.* **1997**, *11*, 341–359. doi:10.1023/A:1008202821328. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kennedy, J.; Eberhart, R. Particle swarm optimization. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, Perth, Australia, 27 November–1 December 1995; Volume 1000.
- Yang, C.; Zhu, W.; Ren, G. Approximate and efficient calculation of dominant Lyapunov exponents of high-dimensional nonlinear dynamic systems. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 2013, 18, 3271–3277. [CrossRef]
- 17. Dieci, L. Jacobian free computation of Lyapunov exponents. J. Dyn. Differ. Equ. 2002, 14, 697–717. [CrossRef]
- Rugonyi, S.; Bathe, K.J. An evaluation of the Lyapunov characteristic exponent of chaotic continuous systems. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.* 2003, 56, 145–163. [CrossRef]
- 19. Cardano, G.; Witmer, T. *Ars Magna or the Rules of Algebra*; Dover Books on Advanced Mathematics; Dover: Mineola, NY, USA, 1968.
- Guillén-Fernández, O.; Meléndez-Cano, A.; Tlelo-Cuautle, E.; Núñez-Pérez, J.C.; de Jesus Rangel-Magdaleno, J. On the synchronization techniques of chaotic oscillators and their FPGA-based implementation for secure image transmission. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0209618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).