Level 3 Writing Rubric

|  | 5 (Exceptional Pass) | 4 |  |  | 1-0.5 (Low Fail) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Response indicates a thorough understanding of the prompt (goes beyond normal expectations) | Response indicates a good understanding of the promp | Question answered adequately and all parts of prompt addressed | Question addressed, or partially answered | Little attempt to address |
| 若 | - Clear, well-supported essay with a variety of examples and explanations <br> - Depth and originality <br> - Becoming fluent <br> - Content is wholly relevant to the prompt | - Clear, well-supported essay (one or more concrete facts/ examples for each main idea) <br> - Sound response but may lack depth <br> - Supporting ideas contain almost no irrelevancies and/or repetition of ideas | - Main points reasonably clear <br> - One or more concrete facts/ examples although some parts of the task may be more developed than others <br> - Some supporting ideas may be weakened by irrelevancies, and/or repetition of ideas | - May lack clarity or not fully understand the prompt <br> - Minimal development, perhaps with much repetition <br> - Tangential | - Off topic <br> - Little or no support <br> - Lacks clarity |
|  | The information is completely logical and coherent and the response can be followed effortlessly <br> - Well-developed introduction (with Thesis Statement), two or more body paragraphs and a well-formed conclusion <br> - Logical, smooth progression in text <br> - Wide range of cohesive devices used accurately <br> - Accurate use of pronoun referencing | The information is logically organized and the response can be followed without noticeable strain on the reader <br> - At least four paragraphs, effective introduction (Thesis Statement present) and conclusion <br> - Logical progression in text <br> - Wider range of cohesive devices attempted <br> - Mostly effective pronoun referencing | The information is generally arranged coherently and response can be followed without too much difficulty <br> - At least four paragraphs, intro (weak), body \& conclusion (weak) <br> - Mostly appropriate cohesive devices although some may be inadequate, inaccurate, and/or overused <br> - Use of pronoun referencing but with some inaccuracies | Evidence of organization is present, but is not wholly logical. Response can be difficult to follow <br> - At least two paragraphs used to show introduction, body, or conclusion | The response lacks logical organization and is extremely difficult or impossible to follow |
|  |  |  |  |  | - Minimal use or absence of cohesive devices <br> - No paragraphing |
|  |  |  |  | - Several errors with, or omissions of cohesive devices which may affect fluency and coherence <br> - Pronoun referencing mainly inaccurate | - Pronoun referencing inaccurate/lacking/absent |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Precise and varied use of vocabulary appropriate to the topic <br> - Word forms are accurate <br> - Low-frequency vocabulary is used accurately <br> - Appropriate idiomatic expressions <br> - Almost no, non-intrusive mistakes with spelling | Wide range and accurate use of vocabulary for the topic <br> - Accurate use of vocabulary for the topic <br> - An attempt at some lowfrequency vocabulary - possibly misused <br> - Few non-intrusive errors in word formation <br> - Few non-intrusive spelling mistakes | Mostly accurate word choice, but with restricted range <br> - Mostly accurate use of vocabulary for the topic <br> - Precision may be lacking due to word form errors <br> - Occasional errors in spelling may occur, but meaning is clear | Word choice is basic and at times inaccurate and may cause strain for the reader <br> - May be repetitive. <br> - Fair grasp of very high frequency vocabulary <br> - Several spelling errors occur, but words are usually recognizable with effort | Inaccurate use of vocabulary and errors predominate <br> - Vocabulary is restricted, misused, and repetitive <br> - Only high-frequency vocabulary - sometimes misused <br> - Spelling of unfamiliar words may be unrecognisable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grammar is accurate in simple, compound and complex sentences <br> - A complete range of sentence structures is present, used with control and accuracy <br> - Accurate punctuation | Simple and compound sentences are mostly error-free, with some complex structures used correctly <br> - Very few systematic errors <br> - Hardly any mistakes with capital letters, full stops, commas and apostrophes | Good grasp of basic simple sentence-level grammar with some attempt at complex sentences/structures <br> - Some systematic errors <br> - Uses capital letters, full stops, commas and apostrophes appropriately, though with some unobtrusive errors | Poor grasp of basic sentencelevel grammar makes meaning sometimes unclear <br> - A variety of systematic errors but meaning is generally detectable <br> - Many errors in capital letters and full stops with commas and apostrophes missing or misused | Grammar errors so severe that the meaning is distorted <br> - Little grasp of basic grammar, capitalization and punctuation |
|  | - Highly effective and completely accurate use of modals, relative clauses, and conditionals | - Effective and mainly accurate use of modals, relative clauses, and conditionals | - Some attempt to use modals, relative clauses, and/or conditionals, perhaps with frequent errors | - Rarely attempts use of modals, relative clauses, and/or conditionals | - No use of modals, relative clauses, and/or conditionals |

## Under length Timed Writing Exam Scripts:

$$
<100 w=-3 \text { on TF } \quad 101-175 w=-2 \text { on TF } \quad 176-240 w=-1 \text { on TF }
$$

## HALF MARKS ALLOWED! NO ZERO SCORES! (unless blank page or copying)

Copying: As per handbook guidelines, smaller chunks of copied material are bracketed and are not included in the word count.
Extensive or complete copying should attract a zero score. Check with Writing Coordinator or L3 Writing Committee members if in doubt.

