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#### Abstract

Maize ear traits are an important component of yield, and the genetic basis of ear traits facilitates further yield improvement. In this study, a panel of 580 maize inbred lines were used as the study material, eight ear-related traits were measured through three years of planting, and whole genome sequencing was performed using the maize 40 K breeding chip based on genotyping by targeted sequencing (GBTS) technology. Five models were used to conduct a genome-wide association study (GWAS) on best linear unbiased estimate (BLUE) of ear traits to find the best model. The FarmCPU (Fixed and random model Circulating Probability Unification) model was the best model for this study; a total of 104 significant single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were detected, and 10 co-location SNPs were detected simultaneously in more than two environments. Through gene function annotation and prediction, a total of nine genes were identified as potentially associated with ear traits. Moreover, a total of 760 quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with yield-related traits reported in 37 different articles were collected. Using the collected 760 QTL for meta-QTL analysis, a total of 41 MQTL (meta-QTL) associated with yield-related traits were identified, and 19 MQTL detected yield-related ear trait functional genes and candidate genes that have been reported in maize. Five significant SNPs detected by GWAS were located within these MQTL intervals, and another three significant SNPs were close to MQTL (less than 1 Mb ). The results provide a theoretical reference for the analysis of the genetic basis of ear-related traits and the improvement of maize yield.


Keywords: maize; ear traits; yield; GWAS; candidate genes; meta-QTL

## 1. Introduction

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the three major food crops and plays an important role in global food security. However, with rapid economic development and an increase in population, the area of land cultivated has decreased, leading to severe food security challenges. As a result, high yield has become a primary goal in maize breeding [1]. Genetic breeding is one of the main ways to improve maize yield. Maize yield is a quantitative trait controlled by multiple genes, and is the result of the comprehensive action of multiple agronomic traits. The genetic structure is extremely complex and easily interacts with the environment. Maize yield was affected by reproductive stage, plant type and ear traits. The ear diameter, ear row number, kernel number per row, and hundred kernel weight had a great effect on yield [2]. With the continuous analysis and research on the various factors affecting maize yield, it has been found that yield is mainly related to maize ear traits. The heritability of yield-related ear traits possess higher than that grain yield, and ear traits have a great impact on improving grain yield [3]. Therefore, dissecting the genetic and molecular mechanisms of ear traits with the aim of improving maize yield is an important problem to be solved in current agricultural production.

GWAS is a method to screen the high-density molecular markers in the entire genome of a population, and analyze the associations between the molecular marker data and
phenotypic traits. The method is an efficient research tool for analyzing the inheritance of quantitative traits [4]. With the rapid development of molecular marker technology, the positioning accuracy and detection accuracy of GWAS have also been greatly improved, and it has become a common method to study the genetic mechanism of natural phenotypic variation in plants [5]. At present, there are corresponding studies on quantitative trait mapping and association analysis of maize ear traits. One single-locus method and six multi-locus methods of GWAS were used to identify significant quantitative trait nucleotides for kernel number per row in an association panel that included 639 maize inbred lines [6]. Zhang et al. used an association panel comprising 362 inbred lines and a recombinant inbred line population derived from X178 $\times 9782$ to identify candidate genes for nine yield traits [7]. Brown et al. identified 36 QTL and 261 significant SNPs for kernel number per row in a nested association mapping population through joint linkage and GWAS [8]. Yang et al. detected a total of 116 significant loci based on 126 maize inbred lines, 42 of which were related to the ear row number, and 37 were related to the kernel number per row, and predicted some possible functional genes, such as ts6, pin4, Zm00001d038022 and Zm00001d041584 [9]. Zhang et al. combined GWAS and QTL analysis for dissecting the genetic architecture of kernel test weight in maize; a total of 18 significant volumetric-related SNPs were identified using GWAS, Zm00001d044075, Zm00001d044086, and Zm00001d044081 were further identified by candidate gene association study for volume weight [10].

Although many QTL and SNPs for ear traits have been reported, there are differences in experimental environments, mapping populations, population types, trait selection, statistical methods, etc., among different studies, making it difficult to detect all QTL controlling traits in a single QTL mapping experiment [11]. Due to the limitation of marker density, the genetic distance of the QTL confidence interval located is relatively large, and the effectiveness of QTL is relatively low. Meta analysis can optimize the confidence interval of QTL using mathematical models based on the integration of different research positioning QTL, thereby improving the accuracy and effectiveness of QTL positioning [12]. Meta-analysis has been used for QTL integration, consensus map construction, candidate gene fine mapping, and discovery of major traits in maize [13,14].

Although there have been previous studies, there are still relatively few studies on the combined analysis using GWAS and meta-QTL for ear traits related to maize yield. It is necessary to use multiple methods to conduct association analyses of different populations in different environments to find stable association loci and excellent alleles for the highyield breeding of maize. In this study, a natural population composed of 580 maize inbred lines from different sources was used to perform whole genome sequencing using genotyping by GBTS [15]. GWAS was performed on yield-related ear traits, such as ear row number (ERN), kernel number per row (KNR), ear length (EL), ear diameter (ED), cob diameter (CD), ear weight (EW), hundred kernel weight (HKW) and volume weight (VW), to analyze the genetic basis of maize yield-related traits, identify SNPs loci and candidate genes significantly associated with ears traits, and, additionally, to integrate QTL of multiple ear traits, use meta-analysis methods to determine "consistent" QTL (MetaQTL) related to maize ear traits, search for candidate genes, and provide a basis for precise localization and cloning of important genes in maize ear traits, providing the theoretical basis and technical support for improving maize yield through molecular marker-assisted selection breeding.

## 2. Results

### 2.1. Phenotypic Analysis of Ear Traits

The basic statistical analysis showed that all traits extensive phenotypic continuous variation, with coefficients of variation ranging from $3.24 \%$ to $26.71 \%$ (Table S1), and eight ear traits obeyed a normal distribution (Figure 1), which indicated that the ear traits are typical quantitative traits, and their inheritance is mainly controlled by polygenes. The $H^{2}$ (heritability) of the eight ear traits were relatively high, ranging from $70.25 \%$ to $89.04 \%$
(Table 1), indicating that a large portion of phenotypic variance for ear traits could be attributed to genotypic effects.


Figure 1. Correlation analysis of BLUE value of ear traits. ERN, ear row number; KNR, kernel number per row; EL, ear length; ED, ear diameter; CD, cob diameter; EW, ear weight; HKW, hundred kernel weight; VW, volume weight. * and ${ }^{* * *}$ indicates the significant levels at $p<0.05$ and 0.001 , respectively.

The analysis of variance (Table 1) showed that the genotype effect, environment (year) effect, and genotype-environment interaction effect of ERN, KNR, EL, ED, EW, CD and HKW all reached extremely significant levels. This showed that there was significant genetic variation in these seven ear traits and they were strongly affected by genotype, environment, and genotype-environment interactions. The interaction effect of the VW genotype and environment was not significant, indicating that VW was greatly affected by both genotype and environment, but not affected by the interaction between genotype and environment.

Table 1. Analysis of variance of ear traits.

| Source | ERN | KNR | EL | ED | CD | EW | HKW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Genotype | $17.13^{* * *}$ | $96.4^{* * *}$ | $18.5^{* * *}$ | $0.86^{* * *}$ | $0.31^{* * *}$ | $3301^{* * *}$ | $116.5^{* * *}$ |  |
| Year | $45.04^{* * *}$ | $377.9^{* * *}$ | $537^{* * *}$ | $23.21^{* * *}$ | $1.74^{* * *}$ | $189,927^{* * *}$ | $1799.2^{* * *}$ | $32,831^{* * * *}$ |
| Genotype $\times$ Year | $2.1^{* * *}$ | $22.1^{* * *}$ | $3.5^{* * *}$ | $0.12^{* * *}$ | $0.04^{* * *}$ | $689^{* * *}$ | $13^{* * *}$ | 717 |
| Residual | 1.26 | 11.6 | 1.8 | $0.06^{* * *}$ | 0.02 | 302 | 6.6 | 656 |
| $H^{2}(\%)$ | 87.71 | 76.74 | 86.45 | 85.69 | 80.41 | 80.82 | 89.04 | 70.25 |

ERN, ear row number; KNR, kernel number per row; EL, ear length; ED, ear diameter; CD, cob diameter; EW, ear weight; HKW, hundred kernel weight; VW, volume weight. $H^{2}$, heritability. The analysis of variance results in the table are represented as mean square (MS). ${ }^{* * *}$ Significant levels at $p<0.001$.

Correlation analysis showed that EW significantly and positively correlated with ERN, KNR, ED, CD, EL and HKW, but not with VW (Figure 1, Table S2). There were extremely significant positive correlations among multiple ear traits, indicating that the maize yieldrelated ear traits interacted, influenced and cooperated with each other, thereby regulating and affecting the yield of maize. Based on the analysis of various phenotypic traits, eight yield-related ear traits were suitable for GWAS.

### 2.2. Population Structure and Kinship Analysis

The 31,826 high-quality SNPs remaining after quality control were distributed on 10 chromosomes, and the number of SNPs on individual chromosomes ranged from 2308 on chromosome 10 to 4767 on chromosome 1. The number of SNPs per 1 Mb window size across the whole genome (Figure 2A) showed that the distribution density of SNPs at both ends of each chromosome was relatively high, while the distribution density of SNPs in the middle of the chromosome was relatively low.

The population structure analysis found that when $K=2$, the $\Delta K$ value had the largest peak (Figure 2B); therefore, the 580 maize inbred lines could be divided into two groups (Figure 2C); one group was for 141 materials and one group was for 439 materials. When $K=4$, an inflection point appeared, and a second peak appeared in the $\Delta K$ value (Figure 2B), dividing the material into four subgroups (Figure 2D). Principal component analysis (PCA) showed that 580 materials could be divided into two groups (Figure 2E), which was basically the same as the results of population structure analysis.

According to the heat map of kinship relationship (Figure 2F), we can know the distance of the kinship relationship among the materials in the group; the darker the color, the higher the kinship coefficient, the higher the similarity between materials, and the more likely they belonged to the same subgroup. The kinship coefficient was mainly concentrated between -0.3 and 0.5 , the genetic relationship structure was relatively simple, and most of the inbred lines in the population might be unrelated or distant.

### 2.3. GWAS Analysis

In order to find an optimal model for GWAS of ear traits related to maize yield, five common models for association analysis, including the general linear model (GLM), mixed linear model (MLM), multiple loci mixed model (MLMM), Bayesian-information and linkage-disequilibrium iteratively nested keyway (BLINK), and FarmCPU model, were used for GWAS of BLUE values of eight ear traits. The results show (Figure S1) that the GLM model had weak ability to control the false positive rate, and the false positive rate of association analysis results was high. The results of GWAS of MLM and MLMM model were relatively consistent. Although MLM and MLMM models reduced the false positive results compared with the GLM model, there were some false negative results. The BLINK and FarmCPU models could well control the false positive and false negative results of association analysis, but the FarmCPU model has better ability to control the false positive and false negative results and had a higher statistical effect. Therefore, the FarmCPU model was selected as the best association model for GWAS.


Figure 2. Genetic background and population structure analysis of 580 maize inbred lines. (A) Density distribution of SNPs on chromosome. (B) $\Delta \mathrm{K}$-value of 580 inbred lines based on 31,826 SNPs. (C) The Bayes cluster analysis of 580 maize inbred lines when $K=2$. (D) The Bayes cluster analysis of 580 maize inbred lines when $K=4$. (E) Principal component analysis of 580 maize inbred lines. The different groups represented by different colors, and scattered points with the same color are basically clustered together. (F) Kinship heatmap.

GWAS was performed on eight yield-related ear traits using the best association model (FarmCPU). PCA and kinship were used as covariates in the association analysis to control false positives. Manhattan and QQ plots show that false positives for each trait were well controlled. (Figures 3 and S2).


Figure 3. Manhattan plot and QQ plot of BLUE value of ear traits. ERN, ear row number; KNR, kernel number per row; EL, ear length; ED, ear diameter; CD, cob diameter; EW, ear weight; HKW, hundred kernel weight; VW, volume weight; BLUE, best linear unbiased estimate. The red dashed line is the threshold line.

In the four environments (three years and BLUE value), a total of 104 SNPs significantly associated with eight ear traits were detected, including 21 for the ERN, 6 for the KNR, 11 for the CD, 7 for the EL, 22 for the EW, 21 for the HKW, and 7 for the VW (Table S3). To ensure the reliability of the screened SNPs, SNPs that were repeatedly detected in at least two environments were selected for subsequent analysis. Among the 104 SNPs detected in eight traits, ERN co-localized 2 SNPs on chromosomes 1 and 5; CD co-localized 1 SNPs on chromosome 5; EW co-localized 2 SNPs on chromosomes 6 and 9; and VW co-localized 1 SNPs on chromosome 3. There were three co-localization SNPs between ERN and ED, which were distributed on chromosomes 3 and 7; there was one co-localization SNP between EW and HKW, which was distributed on chromosome 9. No co-localized SNPs were detected for KNR, EL and ED (Table 2). The significant SNPs were co-localized between the two traits, suggesting that the SNPs may be associated with the genes controlling the two traits or a pleiotropic gene.

Table 2. Significant colocalization of SNPs for ear traits.

| Trait | SNPs | Chromosome | Position (bp) | Allele | $p$ Value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | BLUE |
| ERN | 1_3069018 | 1 | 3,069,018 | T/G |  | $9.69 \times 10^{-7}$ |  | $1.10 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| ERN | 5_212791755 | 5 | 212,791,755 | C/T | $1.88 \times 10^{-10}$ | $2.04 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |  |
| ED | 5_5898430 | 5 | 5,898,430 | C/T |  |  | $7.54 \times 10^{-8}$ | $5.07 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| ERN, ED | 3_194720972 | 3 | 194,720,972 | T/C |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.11 \times 10^{-7} \\ & 1.88 \times 10^{-9} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| ERN, ED | 3_218404753 | 3 | 218,404,753 | T/C |  | $1.23 \times 10^{-8}$ | $9.64 \times 10^{-11}$ |  |
| ERN, ED | 7_168679099 | 7 | 168,679,099 | T/C |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.79 \times 10^{-9} \\ & 9.14 \times 10^{-7} \end{aligned}$ |
| EW | 6_88904986 | 6 | 88,904,986 | T/A | $7.15 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  | $5.03 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| EW | 9_140213959 | 9 | 140,213,959 | T/C |  |  | $1.68 \times 10^{-7}$ | $6.98 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| EW, HKW | 9_75603144 | 9 | 75,603,144 | C/T |  |  | $1.31 \times 10^{-7}$ | $8.10 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| VW | 3_160707766 | 3 | 160,707,766 | G/A |  |  | $9.18 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.44 \times 10^{-5}$ |

ERN, ear row number; ED, ear diameter; EW, ear weight; HKW, hundred kernel weight; VW, volume weight; BLUE, best linear unbiased estimate.

### 2.4. Candidate Genes Screening and Function Analysis

According to the co-localized SNPs combined with the linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay distance of $440 \mathrm{~kb}\left(r^{2}=0.1\right)$ in this population, candidate genes were searched in the B73_RefGen_v4 reference genome, and the 440 kb interval upstream and downstream of the SNPs was used as a candidate region. Thirty-six genes were detected in the ERN candidate region, 23 genes were detected in the ED candidate region, 33 genes were detected in the EW candidate region, and 5 genes were detected in the VW candidate region. Additionally, 43 genes were detected in the ERN and ED co-localized candidate regions, but no genes were detected in the EW and HKW co-localized candidate regions (Table S4).

To determine whether these candidate genes function in a tissue specifically expressed manner, the RNA-seq data of endosperm, embryo and seeds after different days of pollination, V18 immature cob, R1 pre pollination cob, R1 anthers, R1 silk [16], ear primordium $2 \mathrm{~mm}-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $6 \mathrm{~mm}-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ [17], the relevant stage and tissue for ear development, were downloaded from the public database to filter genes that play roles in the development of ear. After filtering, 103 genes were identified as being expressed in ear tissues out of 140 genes in the candidate region, and some genes were highly expressed in relevant stages and tissues of ear development (Figure S6, Table S5).

Based on the genes' functional annotation, nine possible candidate genes were identified that mainly encode various proteins and transcripts related to growth and development factors (Table 3). According to the tissue-specific expression heatmap of candidate genes (Figure S6), except for $\mathrm{Zm00001d013172}$ and $\mathrm{Zm} 00001 d 047736$, which are basically not expressed in ear development-related sites and tissues, $\mathrm{Zm00001d044069}$ is not expressed in other sites and tissues, but is expressed in $2 \mathrm{~mm}-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $6 \mathrm{~mm}-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ear primordium, and the other six candidate genes have relatively high gene expression in the parts and tissues of ear development-related stages.

Table 3. Candidate gene functional annotation.

| Trait | SNPs | Gene | Annotation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERN | $1 \_3069018$ | Zm00001d027326 | actin related protein like4 |
| ERN | $5 \_212791755$ | $\mathrm{Zm00001d018046}$ | Protein POLLEN DEFECTIVE IN |
| ED | $5 \_5898430$ | Zm00001d013172 | bZIP-transcription factor55 |

Table 3. Cont.

| Trait | SNPs | Gene | Annotation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERN, ED | 3_194720972 | Zm00001d043292 | Transducin/WD40 repeat-like superfamily protein |
| ERN, ED | 3_218404753 | Zm00001d044069 | sparse inflorescence1 |
| ERN, ED | 7_168679099 | Zm00001d022049 | pentatricopeptide repeat protein408 |
| EW | 6_88904986 | Zm00001d036455 | Leucine-rich repeat (LRR) family protein |
| EW | 9_140213959 | Zm00001d047736 | asparagine synthetase4 |
| VW | 3_160707766 | Zm00001d042314 | CBS domain-containing protein CBSCBSPB1 |

ERN, ear row number; ED, ear diameter; EW, ear weight; VW, volume weight.

### 2.5. QTL Distribution on Maize Genome for Ear Traits

This study collected QTL for seven ear-related traits (ERN, KNR, EL, ED, CD, EW, and HKW) from 39 related articles, resulting in a collection of 760 ear-related QTL that met the predefined criteria. These were unevenly distributed on each chromosome, ranging from 44 to 123 per chromosome. Chromosome 6 had the lowest number of QTL and chromosome 1 had the highest number of QTL. Among them, there were a large number of QTL related to EL, ERN and HKW, and the minimum number of QTL related to CD. The number of QTL related to the ERN, KNR, EL, ED, CD, EW and HKW were 201, 77, 111, 85, 33, 61 and 192, respectively (Figure S7).

### 2.6. Meta-QTL Analysis

Maize yield QTL collected in this study were mapped by different research groups using diverse molecular markers and genetic maps. To extract valuable and sufficient information from the collected QTL for the following research, the collected QTL were projected onto a published reference map IBM2 2008 Neighbors. A total of 380 QTL ( $46.58 \%$ of the total QTL) collected from the literature were projected on to this newly developed highly dense reference map (Figures 4 and S8), and the remaining QTL could not be projected onto the reference map. The reason for the lower percentage of QTL projection might be the lack of common markers across the original and the reference map, or the QTL showed low phenotypic variance explained (PVE) causing a large confidence interval (CI) [18].

In total, 41 MQTL related to ear traits were identified based on models with the lowest AIC (Akaike information content) values by means of meta-analysis. Each MQTL contained 3 to 12 initial QTL. Forty-one MQTL were distributed unevenly in 10 maize chromosomes: three MQTL on chromosome 1 ; two MQTL on each of chromosomes $2,3,7$ and 8 ; seven MQTL on each of chromosomes 4, 5 and 9; four MQTL on chromosome 6; and five MQTL on chromosome 10. The CI ( $95 \%$ ) of the detected meta-QTL varied from 0.38 to 7.87 cM with an average of 3.97 cM (Table S6). Two MQTLs (MQTL6 and MQTL7) had some overlapping areas.

By comparing the positions of the genetic markers at both ends of the MQTL on the B73 genome (AGPv4), a total of 1691 candidate genes were identified in these MQTL regions (Table S7); a maximum of 113 candidate genes were identified in MQTL 14, while a minimum of 2 candidate genes were identified in MQTL 22. Twenty-nine yield-related ear trait functional genes and candidate genes reported in maize were detected in 19 MQTL (Table S6), indicating that using meta-analysis to explore genes related to maize yield traits is efficient and feasible.


Figure 4. Projection and distribution of QTL and MQTL (Meta QTL) identified for ear traits on chromosome 4. Bars on the left side of the chromosome correspond to QTL related to ear traits, black bars within chromosomes represent marker density, colored segments within the chromosome represent MQTL, and on the right side of the chromosome are molecular markers and genetic distances (cM).

The 104 significant SNPs of ear traits detected by GWAS in this study were compared with the physical coordinates of the 41 MQTL. The results showed that five of the detected SNPs were located within the intervals of these meta-QTL, such as SNPs 1_69925817 and 1_70066309 in MQTL2 interval, 4_211335022 in MQTL14 interval, 6_105377877 in MQTL24 interval, and 8_113534052 in MQTL28 interval. Three significance SNPs were not within the MQTL interval, but were very close to MQTL. These included SNPs 5_193598872, which was close to MQTL20 ( 451 kb ); 5_212791755, which was close to MQTL21 (306 kb); and 9_93664580, which was close to MQTL32 ( 687 kb ) (Figure 5). Additionally, 5_212791755 was a co-location SNP in two environments. These results further verified the accuracy of the SNPs related to ear traits in this study.


Figure 5. Circos plot for the distribution of MQTL (Meta QTL) and significant SNPs of GWAS studies in maize. Colored bars showing the ten maize chromosomes, yellow trajectory represents the physical positions (bp) of MQTL and SNPs on the chromosome, black area represents the physical intervals of MQTL on the chromosome.

## 3. Discussion

### 3.1. Genetic Basis of Ear Traits Related to Yield

Grain yield is one of the most important aspects of agricultural production, and high yield is one of the most important breeding goals that breeders strive to pursue throughout their lives. Maize yield is affected by a variety of agronomic traits and is one of the most complex traits determined by many factors. Maize ear traits are composed of ear inflorescence structure and are highly correlated with yield [19]. In-depth study of the genetic basis and molecular mechanism of ear traits is beneficial to the improvement of yield. At present, some ear trait-related genes involved in yield have been studied. For example, the krn1 located by Wang et al. through map-based cloning changes maize ERN by affecting the spikelet meristem [20]; Liu et al. located KRN4 to the intergenic region 3 kb downstream of the SBP-box-containing gene UB to regulate the ERN [21]; ZmGW2 encodes a RING-domain E3 ubiquitin ligase that regulates HKW in maize [22]; ZmBAM1d encodes a CLAVATA1 (CLV1)/BARELY ANY MERISTEM (BAM)-related receptor kinase-like protein, and overexpression of this gene increases HKW in maize [23]. Ear traits are complex traits affected by multiple factors, and there are few studies on the related genetic basis analysis
and excellent functional genes. Therefore, more work needs to be performed to study the genetic basis of maize ear development.

Owing to the complexity of grain yield genetics, it has often replaced by ear traits in genetic studies [9]. In this study, correlation analysis of ear traits showed that yield was mainly related to ERN, KNR, ED, EL and HKW, which was consistent with the research results of Upadyayula et al. and Sivakumar et al. [19,24]. The heritability of ear traits was between $70.25 \%$ and $89.04 \%$, indicating that ear-related traits were mainly controlled by genetic effects, similar to the results found in most other studies [25,26]. Different studies show, different levels of heritability of each trait, which may be due to various reasons, such as different test materials, environmental differences, and different data survey methods. In this study, the heritability of each ear trait was relatively high, which was helpful for analyzing the genetic basis of ear traits in different environments.

### 3.2. Comparison of Different Association Analysis Models

GWAS is based on linkage disequilibrium, combined with high-quality SNPs in the whole genome of a species, using different statistical models to analyze the genetic basis of target traits. The accuracy of GWAS results is affected by the statistical efficacy, which is also affected by various factors, such as the size of the associated population, the type of population, the marker density and the statistical model. Therefore, when the population size, the type of population and the marker density are certain, the accuracy of GWAS analysis results can be improved by selecting the optimal model. Yang et al. found that the GWAS of maize was greatly affected by population structure and kinship; therefore, it is necessary to select the best statistical model to study the relationship between genotypes and different traits, so as to improve the statistical efficacy of GWAS and ensure the accuracy of the results [27]. In order to improve the statistical efficacy of GWAS and minimize the impact of false positives and false negatives on the results of association analysis, this study used GLM, MLM, MLMM, BLINK and FarmCPU models for GWAS. The FarmCPU model had the better ability to control false positive and false negative, and had higher statistical efficacy, making it the best model in this study. This result is similar to those of some other studies, such as Liu et al., who found that the FarmCPU model had the highest detection efficiency [28]. Kaler et al. compared eight statistical models for association mapping of three empirical phenotypic traits. The research showed that the FarmCPU was an appropriate model for controlling false positives and false negatives compared to other models [29]. Because the results of GWAS will be affected by various factors, an excellent statistical model of association analysis is very important to reduce the interference of various effects, to detect micro effect loci and genes, and to ensure the accuracy of association analysis results, which is of great significance to the research results of GWAS.

### 3.3. Comparison of Co-Localization SNPs with Previous Localization Results

We found ten co-localized markers. Of these, SNPs 3_194720972 was located in bin3.07, and this position is similar to SNPs for ERN found by Liu et al. [30]. SNPs 5_212791755 was located in bin5.08, which is similar to SNPs for ERN found by Zhang et al. [3]. SNPs 3_218404753 was located in bin3.09, which is the same bin in which the ED-related SNPs (PZE_103171163) were found by Zhu et al. through GWAS [25]. The detection of SNPs locations that are similar to those found in previous research can explain to some extent the accuracy of the results in this study. However, some newly detected SNPs were found to be inconsistent with the previous research results, which may be due to different materials, methods, experimental environments, etc., resulting in inconsistent positioning results. For five of the eight ear traits in this study, including ERN, ED, EW, HKW and VW, we repeatedly detected co-localized SNPs in more than two environments or between two traits, but no co-localization SNPs were detected for KNR, $C D$ and EL traits. It was speculated that KNR, CD and EL traits were more affected by the external environment than the other five traits. Many significant SNPs were detected on ear
traits in different years, but less co-located SNPs were detected in multiple environments. Ear traits are mainly quantitative traits controlled by multiple genes, which are greatly affected by the environment. Therefore, different environmental conditions may cause differential expression the genes controlling these traits. The genes detected in multiple environments were stably expressed, and there were relatively few co-location SNPs in multiple environments. On the other hand, this may be related to the relatively small number and low density of high-quality SNPs $(31,826)$ after quality control.

### 3.4. Functional Prediction Analysis of Candidate Genes

This study predicted nine candidate genes most likely to be associated with the trait. Zm00001d027326 encodes an actin-related protein like 4 (ARP4). ARP4 is involved in various biological processes by interacting with GIF1, and GIF1 controls ear inflorescence architecture and floral development by regulating key genes in hormone biosynthesis and meristem determinacy in maize [31]. In Arabidopsis, POD1 regulates the pollen tube response to signals from the female tissues during pollen tube guidance and early embryo patterning [32]. Zm00001d013172 encodes bZIP-transcription factor55 (bZIP55). The basic leucine zipper-like transcription factor is one of the most studied transcription factor gene families in plants [33]. In maize, Seetharam et al. associated Zm00001d013172 with yield under heat stress conditions [34]. Zm00001d043292 encodes the Transducin/WD40 repeat-like superfamily protein. WD repeat proteins are proteins containing multiple conserved WD motifs (WD40), which play important roles in signal transduction, protein transport, and RNA processing [34]. In Arabidopsis, WD40 repeat protein gene mutant at1g65030 showed an increase in seed weight and volume, but the seed setting rate of silique seeds was reduced. The overexpression of this gene resulted in reduced seed weight. In maize, Chen et al. identified the KRN2 gene encoding the WD40 protein to control the number of maize kernels, and knockout of KRN2 increased grain yield by about 10\% [35]. Zm00001d044069 (spi1) encodes a flavonoid monooxygenase, similar to the YUCCA (YUC) genes of Arabidopsis, which are involved in local auxin biosynthesis in various plant tissues, catalyzing the rate-limiting step in tryptophan-dependent auxin biosynthesis [36]. Throughout the nutritional and reproductive development of maize, spi1 plays a role in the formation of axillary meristems and lateral organs; spi1 mutants' tassels have fewer branches and spikelets, and ears are small with fewer kernels [37]. Zm00001d022049 encodes pentapeptide repeat protein 408 (PPR408). PPR proteins play an important role in regulating embryogenesis, and plant growth and development. Many PPR gene mutations have lethal effects, and mutants show abnormal embryonic development [38]. Mutations in the maize PPR gene EMP5 result in reduced mature seed volume and loss of the embryo or endosperm [39]. Mutations in MPPR6 cause a quarter of the inner core to be smaller, delay embryonic development, and reduce amyloid layers [40]. Zm00001d036455 encodes the leucine-rich repeat (LRR) family protein, which plays an important role in plant growth, development, and disease resistance. Leucine-rich repeats receptor-like protein kinase (LRR-RLK) belong to the LRR protein family. LRR-RLK has important regulatory functions in plant growth and development, hormone signal transduction, and biotic and abiotic stress responses [41]. The Arabidopsis CLV1 gene encodes LRR-RLK, and the mutation in CLV1 results in plants with enlarged apical and flower meristems, extra floral organs and a loss of flower meristem determinacy [42]. In maize, mutations in the LRR-like receptor protein kinase gene $t d 1$, which is homologous to Arabidopsis CLV1, lead to the expansion of floral meristems and abnormal heading, which eventually leads to the clustering of ear tips [43]. Zm00001d047736 encodes an asparagine synthase 4 (ASN4). Nitrogen is one of the most important elements in plant nutrition and plays a key role in improving crop growth and yield [44]. Therefore, ASN plays a crucial role in nitrogen metabolism and transport in plants [45]. In Arabidopsis, overexpression of AtASN1 and AtASN2 increased seed protein content and glutamine content, respectively [46]. In maize, $\mathrm{ZmASN4}$ is involved in the gene regulatory network of maize nitrogen use efficiency and maize response to nitrogen [47,48]. Zm00001d042314 encodes a CBS domain-containing protein CBSCBSPB1. The CBS domain
has no defined functions, but plays a regulatory role for many enzymes [49]. In rice, the DPS1 gene encodes a CBS domain protein, and DPS1 plays a key role in panicle and anther development and controls rice seed setting [50]. The CBS domain protein mainly plays a role in abiotic and biotic stress responses [51]. OsCBSCBSPB4 is involved in abiotic stress responses, improving a variety of abiotic stress tolerances, delaying leaf senescence, promoting root growth and increasing biomass [52]. Among the nine candidate genes, Zm00001d044069 was verified in maize to be related to the reduction in ear grain, and the other eight candidate genes related to ear traits were also found to be likely related to the development of maize yield and ear traits through functional prediction analysis, which has certain significance for gene function verification research.

### 3.5. Analyzing the Genetic Basis of Ear Traits by Combining GWAS and Meta-QTL

Despite many QTL related to yield identified in maize, very few of them have been useful in genetic improvement programs due to their minor effects and the influence of the environment. It is a normal phenomenon that QTL discovered using one mapping population do not truly work well in a breeding program that involves a different population. Reanalysis of already identified QTL through meta-analysis of QTL is one of the most promising methodologies for the integration of QTL and the prediction of stable and robust MQTL. Meta-analysis combines data from several QTL mapping studies in diverse environments and different genetic backgrounds to identify stable, major and reliable MQTL with reduced CI [53]. Therefore, the main objective of MQTL analysis is to identify stable QTL in the genomes, which can be useful in breeding programs through marker-assisted selection [18]. The ultimate goal of all the breeding programs is to achieve a high-grain yield in different environments [11].

Out of the 41 MQTL identified in this study, 19 MQTL have detected functional genes and candidate genes for yield-related ear traits that have been reported in maize, indicating that meta-analysis is an efficient and feasible method for mining genes for yieldrelated traits in maize. The five significant SNPs identified by GWAS in this study fell within the MQTL interval, and the three significant SNPs were close to the MQTL (less than 1 Mb ). The significant SNPs (identified in GWAS) within/around the MQTL region confirms the presence of yield candidate genes in such genomic regions. These SNPs and intervals validated by GWAS and MQTL should be prioritized for identifying candidate genes controlling ear traits in maize, and validated through expression studies of relevant germplasm. The mutual validation between GWAS and MQTL demonstrates the accuracy of the results of this study. The lack of verification for the remaining MQTL with significant SNPs can be attributed to the diversity of the genetic material across studies. It may also indicate that the QTL or SNPs identified in mapping studies could be cultivar-specific and not shared across another germplasm [14]. Therefore, the results of this study, combined with GWAS and meta-analysis, will provide important information for further precise mapping of yield-related genes, thus revealing the corresponding molecular mechanisms.

GWAS and Meta-QTL only analyze the genetic basis of maize ear traits from genomics, which has certain limitations. Yield-related traits are complex traits that are highly correlated with population structure. Identifying regulatory genes related to target traits using GWAS and QTL is easily influenced by population structure [54], and the detection ability of quantitative traits controlled by some micro effect multiple genes is insufficient. It is difficult to accurately identify micro effect sites or genes, and false positive or false negative results may be introduced during the detection process, which may lead to inaccurate experimental results. Next, joint analysis should be conducted on genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, etc. The analysis of multiple omics is an effective way to obtain key genes for different traits and an effective strategy to analyze the genetic basis of different traits, because it can mutually compensate for the shortcomings of each method $[55,56]$. Multi-omics methods integrate information from several omics levels, providing more evidence for biological mechanisms.

## 4. Materials and Methods

### 4.1. Material and Experimental Design

A total of 580 maize inbred lines were analyzed in the study. These were derived from the Canadian early maturing improved group, BSSS, NSS, P group, Huanghuaihai group, European KWS series and Pioneer series, and provided by the Crop Institute of the Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural and Reclamation Sciences. In 2019, 2020 and 2021, they were planted in the maize breeding test field of the Crop Research Institute, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shihezi ( $44.31^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 85.99^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), with a planting density of 105,000 plants/ha. The field design adopted $\alpha$ Latin square design with 2 repetitions, each maize inbred line was grown in a single row (row length 4.5 m , row spacing 0.55 m ), and field management was the same as that of local field production management.

### 4.2. Phenotypic Trait Determination

After harvesting, the ear phenotypic traits were measured. Four uniform ears were randomly selected and the number of ear rows in the middle of the ear and the number of kernels in one row were counted to determine ERN and KNR. Eight uniform ears were selected to measure EL, ED, CD and EW, eight ears were arranged head to tail in a column to measure the length from the bottom to the top of the ears and the average value was taken as EL. Eight ears lined vertically with head and tail phased, then the middle diameter of the ears was measured and the average value was taken as ED. Eight cobs were closely arranged to measure the overall diameter of the middle of the cob and the average value was taken as CD. Eight uniform ears were selected to measure the total weight and the average value was taken as EW. One hundred seeds were randomly selected and weighed as HKW, and the measurement was repeated 2 times. After ear threshing, the grain moisture meter was used to measure the VW and moisture 2 times. EW, HKW and VW were converted according to standard moisture (14\%).

### 4.3. Phenotypic Data Analysis

Descriptive statistical analysis, variance analysis and correlation analysis were performed on the phenotypic data using R 4.1.3 software, and the corresponding graphs were drawn. Heritability was calculated according to the proposed formula $H^{2}=\sigma_{g}^{2} /\left(\sigma_{g}^{2}+\sigma_{g y}^{2} / \mathrm{n}+\sigma_{e}^{2} / \mathrm{nr}\right)$ [57], where $\sigma_{g}^{2}$ is the genetic variance, $\sigma_{g y}^{2}$ is the variance of the interaction between genotype and year, $\sigma_{e}^{2}$ is the error variance, n is the number of years, and r is the number of repetitions. To eliminate the influence of environmental (year) variation in phenotypic values, a mixed linear model was constructed using the R package lme4 to estimate the BLUE of eight ear traits for subsequent GWAS.

### 4.4. Genotyping and Population Structuredetermination

Genomic DNA was extracted from the fresh leaves by a modified CTAB method, and the quality of DNA extraction was tested. SNPs genotyping data were obtained using Maize SNPs 40 K GBTS technology. The SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.05 and missing rate $<0.05$ were retained by PLINK1.9. Finally, 31,826 high-quality SNPs were retained to conduct GWAS. The population structure was estimated using the STRUCTURE 2.3.4 software program [58], the number of subgroups (K) was set from 1 to 10, and each K value was repeated 10 times. The length of the burn-in period was set to 10,000 , and Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) was set to 10,000 and conducted using the mixture model and correlated allele frequency for each K. Based on the output log likelihood of data $(\operatorname{LnP}(\mathrm{D})$ ) of STRUCTURE 2.3.4, the ad hoc statistic $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ was applied to determine the reasonable subgroups number; the results of multiple runs were integrated using the CLUMPP 1.1.2 software and plotted using R 4.1.3 software. PCA, kinship and LD decay were calculated using Tassel 5.0.

### 4.5. Genome-Wide Association Study

The association analysis of the BLUE of ear traits was performed by the GLM, MLM, MLMM, BLINK and FarmCPU models of the GAPIT package of R 4.1.3 software, and the false positives and false negatives of these five models were evaluated based on the QQ plots to find the best model for subsequent GWAS. PCA and kinship were used as covariates in the association analysis to reduce false positives. To control for the probability of false positives, the threshold was adjusted using the Bonferroni correction ( $p=0.05 / \mathrm{N}$, N is the total number of SNPs). The value $p=1.57 \times 10^{-6}$ was used as the threshold level line for significant correlation to select significant SNPs (the VW only has phenotypic data in 2020 and 2021; according to the overall GWAS analysis of VW, Bonferroni correction was too strict for VW, so $p=1 \times 10^{-4}$ was taken as the threshold for the VW).

### 4.6. Candidate Gene Mining and Functional Analysis

Based on the physical positions of the SNPs, which were significantly associated with target traits, and chromosome average LD decay distance ( $r^{2}=0.1$ ), the MaizeGDB (https:/ /maizegdb.org/gbrowse/maize_v4) (accessed on 20 September 2022) database (B73_RefGen_v4) was used for identifying candidate genes and the functional annotations. RNA_seq data of B73 inbred line ear development-related tissue sites were downloaded from MaizeGDB for candidate gene tissue-specific expression analysis. Candidate genes were functionally annotated and predicted using MaizeGDB and NCBI (https: / /www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/) (accessed on 20 September 2022).

### 4.7. Collection of QTL Information Related to Ear Traits of Maize

Using keywords such as maize, yield, ear, QTL, and high-density genetic map from the Web of Science website (http:/ /www.webofknowledge.com/) (accessed on 1 July 2023) and CNKI (https:/ / www.cnki.net/) (accessed on 1 July 2023), more than 30 related articles from 2005 to 2023 were retrieved. The articles providing QTL intervals and flanking markers were selected for QTL collection (Table S8). For the experiments with a complete genetic map and QTL information, the information was arranged according to the format required by the software; the information on all essential parameters like QTL name, position, traits, linkage group, LOD (Likelihood odd) values, CI, phenotypic variance explained ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ), etc., were used for the preparation of QTL files.

### 4.8. QTL Projection and Meta-Analysis

Map projection and the following meta-analysis were implemented by the BioMercator 4.2.3 software [59]. Collected maize yield QTL were iteratively projected onto the target map IBM2 2008 Neighbors, and MQTL analysis was carried out on the QTL clusters present on each chromosome. In this method, all the possible QTL combinations were tested based on the QTL model, AIC (Akaike information content), AICc (AIC correction), AIC3 (AIC 3 candidate models), BIC (Bayesian information criterion), and AWE (Average weight of evidence), and the model with the lowest AIC represents the number of MQTL, and the initial number of QTL used for meta-QTL was not less than three [25]. Further, the position and CI ( $95 \%$ ) of the MQTL were calculated and the flanking markers for MQTL were identified from MaizeGDB. After obtaining MQTL given by the software, the physical location of partial markers on B73 (AGPv4) of IBM2 2008 Neighbors could be found on MaizeGDB. Finally, the MaizeGDB was used to look up the genes contained in MQTL as candidate genes.

## 5. Conclusions

In this study, the FarmCPU model was the best model. A total of 104 significantly associated SNPs were detected for eight ear traits, and 10 co-localized SNPs were repeatedly detected in at least two environments or between two traits. Nine candidate genes were predicted to be most likely related to the ear traits. Meta-analysis of yield-related ear traits identified 41 MQTL, and 29 yield-related ear trait functional genes and candidate
genes reported in maize were detected in 19 MQTL. Five significant SNPs detected by GWAS were located within these MQTL intervals, and another three significant SNPs were close to MQTL. The combined analysis of GWAS and Meta-QTL ensured that the experimental results were accurate and reliable, and provided reference for resolving the genetic basis of maize yield-related traits in terms of both SNPs and QTL. The results of this study will provide important information for maize yield-related gene cloning and breeding programs.
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