



# Article A Gene Encoding Xylanase Inhibitor Is a Candidate Gene for Bruchid (*Callosobruchus* spp.) Resistance in Zombi Pea (*Vigna vexillata* (L.) A. Rich)

Kitiya Amkul<sup>1</sup>, Kularb Laosatit<sup>1</sup>, Yun Lin<sup>2</sup>, Xingxing Yuan<sup>2</sup>, Xin Chen<sup>2,\*</sup> and Prakit Somta<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture at Kamphaeng Saen, Kasetsart University, Kamphaeng Saen Campus, Nakhon Pathom 73140, Thailand; fagrkia@ku.ac.th (K.A.); fagrkal@ku.ac.th (K.L.)
- <sup>2</sup> Institute of Industrial Crops, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanjing 210014, China; linyun881210@163.com (Y.L.); yxx@jaas.ac.cn (X.Y.)
- \* Correspondence: cx@jaas.ac.cn (X.C.); agrpks@ku.ac.th (P.S.)

Abstract: Two bruchid species, Callosobruchus maculatus and Callosobruchus chinensis, are the most significant stored insect pests of tropical legume crops. Previously, we identified a major QTL, qBr6.1, controlling seed resistance to these bruchids in the cultivated zombi pea (Vigna vexillata) accession 'TVNu 240'. In this study, we have narrowed down the *qBr6.1* region and identified a candidate gene conferring this resistance. Fine mapping using  $F_2$  and  $F_{2,3}$  populations derived from a cross between TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 (susceptible) revealed the existence of two tightly linked QTLs, designated *qBr6.1-A* and *qBr6.1-B*, within the *qBr6.1*. The QTLs *qBr6.1-A* and *qBr6.1-B* explained 37.46% and 10.63% of bruchid resistance variation, respectively. gBr6.1-A was mapped to a 28.24 kb region containing four genes, from which the gene VvTaXI encoding a xylanase inhibitor was selected as a candidate gene responsible for the resistance associated with the *qBr6.1-A*. Sequencing and sequence alignment of VvTaXI from TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 revealed a 1-base-pair insertion/deletion and five single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the 5' UTR and 11 SNPs in the exon. Alignment of the VvTAXI protein sequences showed five amino acid changes between the TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 sequences. Altogether, these results demonstrated that the VvTaXI encoding xylanase inhibitor is the candidate gene conferring bruchid resistance in the zombi pea accession TVNu 240. The gene VvTaXI will be useful for the molecular breeding of bruchid resistance in the zombi pea.

Keywords: zombi pea; Vigna vexillata; bruchid; seed weevil; Callosobruchus; xylanase inhibitor

# 1. Introduction

The zombi pea (*Vigna vexillata* (L.) A. Rich) is an underutilized legume crop of particular interest that is cultivated in some parts of Africa, India, Australia, and Southeast Asia. The crop is grown principally for its dry edible seeds, but it often also develops edible storage roots that are consumed by inhabitants of these regions [1–4]. These edible tubers have a high protein content of approximately 15%, which is much higher than the protein contents of potato, yam, and cassava [5]. Bhattacharyya et al. [6] reported that the yield of *V. vexillata*'s tuberous roots can be as high as 7 tons per hectare. Wild forms of *V. vexillata* are widely distributed across tropical and subtropical regions [7]. The zombi pea adapts well to several biotic stresses, including infertile, alkaline, acidic, and saline soils, as well as drought and waterlogging. Further, the zombi pea shows resistance to diseases, insects including bruchids (*Callosobruchus chinensis* L.), *Callosobruchus maculatus* Fab.), and *Zabrotes subfasciatus* Boh.)), bean-pod borers (*Maruca testulalis* Geyer)), pod-sucking bugs (*Clavigralla tomentosicollis* Stal)), and cowpea mottle carmovirus [7].

Bruchids (also known as seed weevils) are stored insect pests that consume the seeds of many species of legumes [8]. Bruchid infestation can cause the total loss of a seed



Citation: Amkul, K.; Laosatit, K.; Lin, Y.; Yuan, X.; Chen, X.; Somta, P. A Gene Encoding Xylanase Inhibitor Is a Candidate Gene for Bruchid (*Callosobruchus* spp.) Resistance in Zombi Pea (*Vigna vexillata* (L.) A. Rich). *Plants* 2023, *12*, 3602. https:// doi.org/10.3390/plants12203602

Academic Editor: Igor G. Loskutov

Received: 25 August 2023 Revised: 6 October 2023 Accepted: 9 October 2023 Published: 18 October 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). lot within a short period of 2–4 months [9]. Although bruchids are classified as stored insects, they initially infest seeds while legume plants are growing in fields, where female bruchids lay eggs on developing or mature pods; subsequently, the larvae bore through the pods into the seeds to consume the cotyledons and embryo and develop into adults by consuming the nutrients in the seed [9]. When such seeds are harvested and stored, the adult bruchids emerge from them and start new infestations by laying eggs directly on stored seeds [9]. Seeds infested by bruchids cannot be used for human or animal consumption, agricultural use, or trading [9–11]. The cowpea weevil (*C. maculatus*) and the azuki bean weevil (*C. chinensis*) are the most significant bruchid species that destroy the seeds of tropical legumes [9]. Although *C. maculatus* originated in Africa and *C. chinensis* originated in Asia, they are now widely distributed in nearly all continents owing to international seed trading.

The damage caused by the bruchid varies among legume crops, depending on bruchid species and biotypes. *C. maculatus*, for instance, causes yield losses of up to 90% in black gram, 10–78% in pigeon pea, and 4–90% in cowpea [12]. Although several physical and chemical methods can be used to control bruchid infestations, these methods are generally impractical and unsuitable for small landowners and may also increase the cost of production. In addition, chemical methods, including the use of fumigants such as aluminum phosphide, magnesium phosphide, and methyl bromide can lead to chemical contamination and health risks [13]. Consequently, the use of resistant cultivars is the best way to control bruchids. In general, the seeds of most cultivated legume crops are susceptible to bruchids. However, most accessions of cultivated and wild *V. vexillata* are resistant to both *C. maculatus* and *C. chinensis* [14,15]. They also exhibit seed resistance to *Z. subfasciatus*, another bruchid species.

Plant breeders have long been interested in identifying the genes conferring resistance to bruchids in legume crops, so as to understand the mechanism of seed resistance to bruchids in order to efficiently and sustainably breed for resistance. Chotechung et al. [16] finely mapped the Br locus controlling resistance to C. maculatus and C. chinensis in the mungbean (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek) within a region of 38.0 kb and identified VrPGIP1 and *VrPGIP2* encoding polygalacturonase inhibitors as candidate genes for the resistance. Similarly, Gamage et al. [17] narrowed down the *qVacBrc2.1* locus conferring resistance to C. chinensis in the moth bean (Vigna aconitifolia (Jacq.) Maréchal) to a 69.8 kb region and identified VacPGIP1 and VacPGIP2 encoding polygalacturonase inhibitors as candidate genes for the resistance. Previously, we identified QTLs controlling resistance to C. chinensis and C. maculatus in the cultivated zombi pea accession "TVNu 240" [18]. The resistance is expressed in two traits: zero damaged seeds (PDS) and a long developmental period for the adult bruchids (AUDPS), in which three and four QTLs were identified for PDS and AUDPS, respectively, in the C. chinensis resistance, while two QTLs were identified for each of the PDS and the AUDPS in the C. maculatus resistance. The major QTLs for PDS and AUDPS in *C. chinensis* and *C. maculatus* resistance were in linkage group 6 (LG6) and appeared to be the same locus, designated qBr6.1 [18]. Nonetheless, causal or candidate genes for resistance have not yet been identified for *qBr6.1*. The identification of causal or candidate genes for a trait is useful for the molecular breeding of crops through marker-assisted breeding and genetic engineering.

The objectives of this study were to (i) finely locate qBr6.1 controlling bruchid resistance in TVNu 240 and (ii) identify candidate genes for resistance at qBr6.1. The data demonstrated that the locus qBr6.1 is composed of two closely linked QTLs and that the gene VvTaXI encoding a xylanase inhibitor is a candidate gene at qBr6.1.

#### 2. Results

# 2.1. Variation in Resistance to C. maculatus and C. chinensis in the Parents and F<sub>2</sub> Population

The F<sub>2</sub> population and their parents, TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623, were grown in field conditions. The parents showed contrasting responses to both *C. chinensis* and *C. maculatus* infestations. PDS caused by *C. chinensis* in TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 was 0 and 79.3%,

respectively, and 0 and 87.9% in that order by *C. maculatus*. In the F<sub>2</sub> population the PDS caused by *C. chinensis* varied between 0 and 97.3%, with an average of 13.2%, and that caused by *C. maculatus* ranged from 0 to 100%, with an average of 31.2%. The frequency distributions of PDS caused by *C. chinensis* and *C. maculatus* in the F<sub>2</sub> population were continuous and skewed towards TVNu 240 (Figure 1A). The correlation between the PDS caused by *C. chinensis* and by *C. maculatus* was significantly high at 0.71 ( $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ).



**Figure 1.** Frequency distribution of the percentage of damaged seeds caused by *C. chinensis* and *C. maculatus* in the  $F_2$  zombi pea population of a cross between TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 (**A**) and by *C. maculatus* in the  $F_{2:3}$  zombi pea population of a cross between TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 (**B**).

# 2.2. Fine Mapping qBr6.1 Using the F<sub>2</sub> Population

Previously, the *qBr6.1* region was mapped between the SNP markers Marker196422 and Marker197124 [18]. Through bioinformatic analysis, these markers were located

on the cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) chromosome 6 at the positions 21,449,068 and 22,216,270, respectively. Thus, these two markers were 767.2 kb apart. A cowpea genome region of 1.0 Mb size covering the *qBr6.1* locus was downloaded for SSR marker development. In total, 311 SSR markers were developed, 33 (10.6%) of which displayed polymorphisms between TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 (Supplementary Table S1). Sixteen markers showing clear polymorphisms were used to analyze the  $F_2$  population. A partial linkage map constructed for this population was 12.4 cM in length, with an average distance of 0.83 cM between markers. QTL analysis by the ICIM method showed that only one QTL, qBr6.1, controlled the C. chinensis resistance, while two QTLs, qBr6.1 and qBr6.2, controlled the C. maculatus resistance (Table 1 and Figure 2A). qBr6.1 was localized between the markers Vu06-SSR41 and Vu06-SSR56. It explained approximately 35% of the total variation in the PDS caused by C. chinensis and C. maculatus in the  $F_2$  population. It showed an additive effect of -14.07 and a dominant effect of -10.43 for the PDS caused by C. chinensis, and additive and dominant effects of -29.50 and -1.97, respectively, for the PDS caused by C. maculatus. The locations of markers Vu06-SSR41 and Vu06-SSR56 were 22,214,142 bp and 22,406,398 bp, respectively; thus, they were 192.26 kb apart in the cowpea genome.

**Table 1.** Location and effects of the QTLs *qBr6.1* and *qBr6.2* controlling resistance to *C. chinensis* and *C. maculatus*. The QTLs are identified in the  $F_2$  population of the cross TVNu 240 × TVNu 1623 by the inclusive composite interval mapping method.

Bruchid Species	QTL Name	Position on Linkage Group 6 (cM)	Marker Interval	LOD Score	PVE (%)	Additive Effect	Dominant Effect
C. chinensis	qBr6.1	5.3	Vu06-SSR41-Vu06-SSR56	39.20	34.78	-14.07	-10.43
C. maculatus	qBr6.1	5.3	Vu06-SSR41-Vu06-SSR56	48.66	34.94	-29.50	-1.97
	qBr6.2	11.2	Vu06-SSR86-Vu06-SSR135	16.93	10.42	-0.25	-22.86

PVE = the percentage of variance explained by the QTL.

*qBr6.2* was located between the markers Vu06-SSR86 and Vu06-SSR135. It explained 11.2% of the total variation in the PDS caused by *C. maculatus* in the  $F_2$  population. This locus exhibited overdominance with an additive effect of -0.25 and a dominant effect of -22.86 for the PDS caused by *C. maculatus*.

#### 2.3. Variation in Resistance to C. maculatus in the Parents and $F_{2:3}$ Population

The  $F_{2:3}$  population and its parents, TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623, were grown in field conditions and evaluated for resistance to *C. maculatus*. TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 exhibited a strong difference in their responses to *C. maculatus* infestation. PDS caused by *C. maculatus* was 0% and 86.2% in TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623, respectively. The PDS in the  $F_{2:3}$  population varied from 30.4 to 98.0%, with an average of 30.4%. Similar to the  $F_2$  population, the frequency distribution of PDS in the  $F_{2:3}$  population was continuous and skewed towards the resistant parent, TVNu 240 (Figure 1B).

# 2.4. Narrowing Down the qBr6.1 Region in the $F_{2:3}$ Population

To further narrow down qBr6.1, SSR markers were developed from the zombi pea reference genome and used to map qBr6.1 in the F<sub>2:3</sub> population. A BLASTN search revealed that the markers Vu06-SSR41 and Vu06-SSR56 delimiting qBr6.1 in the F<sub>2</sub> population were on the scaffold 0047 (Vigve.0047) of the zombi pea genome at the positions 2,913,204 and 3,187,954, respectively. Thus, these markers were 274.75 kb apart in the zombi pea genome. Nonetheless, a zombie pea genome region of 500.0 kb covering the qBr6.1 region identified in the F<sub>2</sub> population was used to develop SSR markers to narrow down qBr6.1. One hundred and twenty-one SSR markers were developed and screened for polymorphisms between the mapping parents (Supplementary Table S1). All except 3 markers were amplifiable but only 26 (21.5%) markers showed polymorphisms. Nonetheless, seven zombi pea SSR

markers and five cowpea SSR markers were chosen and used to construct the linkage map for the  $F_{2:3}$  population. The linkage map was 49.7 cM in length. ICIM revealed that *qBr6.1* was composed of two closely liked QTLs, designated *qBr6.1-A* and *qBr6.1-B*, for the resistance (Figure 2B and Table 2). *qBr6.1-A* was located between markers VvBr-SSR59 and VvBr-SSR70 and explained 37.46% of the total PDS variation caused by *C. maculatus* in the population. It showed an additive effect of -26.59 and a dominant effect of -4.38. On the other hand, *qBr6.1.2* was localized between markers VvBr-SSR77 and VvBr-SSR02. It accounted for 10.63% of the PDS variation in the population and expressed overdominance with additive and dominant effects of -0.95 and -26.11, respectively.



**Figure 2.** Logarithm of odds (LOD) graph of the QTLs controlling bruchid resistance identified in  $F_2$  (**A**) and  $F_{2:3}$  (**B**) zombi pea populations of a cross between TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623. Dash line horizontal to linkage map is the LOD threshold of the QTLs determined by the permutation test.

The QTLs are identified in the F<sub>2:3</sub> population of the cross TVNu 240 × TVNu 1263 by inclusive composite interval mapping method.

Position on Linkage
Dominant

Table 2. Location of effects of the QTLs *qBr6.1-A* and *qBr6.1-B* controlling resistance to *C. maculatus*.

QTL Name	Position on Linkage Group 6 (cM)	Marker Interval	LOD Score	PVE (%)	Additive Effect	Dominant Effect
qBr6.1-A	25.6	VvBr-SSR59-VvBr-SSR70	22.89	37.46	-26.59	-4.38
qBr6.1-B	31.6	VvBr-SSR77-VvBr-SSR02	7.07	10.63	-0.95	-26.11

# 2.5. Identification of the Candidate Gene for qBr6.1-A and Sequence Variation in the Candidate Gene

Narrowing down *qBr6.1* in the F<sub>2:3</sub> population dissected this locus into two closely linked QTLs, *qBr6.1-A* and *qBr6.1-B* (Figure 2B and Table 2). The *qBr6.1-A* region was focused for further study since it showed a much greater genetic effect than *qBr6.1-B*. Gene mapping in the F<sub>2:3</sub> populations demonstrated that *qBr6.1.1* was delimited to a genome region of 28.24 kb of the scaffold 0047 of the zombi pea reference genome (accession JP256321) (Figure 3). Four genes including *Vigve.0047s019200.01*, *Vigve.0047s019300.01*, *Vigve.0047s019400.01* and *Vigve.0047s019500.01* were located in this 28.24 kb region (Figure 3 and Table 3). *Vigve.0047s019200.01* and *Vigve.0047s019500.01* were each predicted to encode an uncharacterized protein. *Vigve.0047s019400.01* was predicted to produce a hypothetical protein. *Vigve.0047s019300.01* was annotated to encode a protein containing *Triticum aestivum* xylanase inhibitor (TAXI) domains. This gene was chosen as a candidate gene for bruchid resistance and designated "*VvTaXI*".



**Figure 3.** A comparative linkage map illustrating the relationship between QTLs controlling bruchid resistance identified in the  $F_2$  and  $F_{2:3}$  zombi pea population of a cross between TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 and their physical locations on the zombi pea genome. Only genes existing in the QTL regions *qBr6.1-A* and *qBr6.1-B* are shown. The candidate gene for *qBr6.1-A* is highlighted in red and bolded.

QTL	Gene	Scaffold	Location	Description
qBr6.1-A	Vigve.0047s019200.01	0047	31962213196999 (+strand)	Uncharacterized protein
	Vigve.0047s019300.01	0047	31997283201904 (-strand)	TAXI protein
	Vigve.0047s019400.01	0047	32081833217005 (+strand)	Hypothetical protein
	Vigve.0047s019500.01	0047	32225133224711 (+strand)	Uncharacterized protein
qBr6.1-B	Vigve.0047s019600.01	0047	32548883256167 (-strand)	Isopenicillin N synthase-like
	Vigve.0047s019700.01	0047	32751123275345 (-strand)	Hypothetical protein
	Vigve.0047s019800.01	0047	32802993281578 (-strand)	Isopenicillin N synthase-like
	Viena 0047-019900 01	0047	33281483348849 (+strand)	Dentin matrix acidic
	1802.00475019900.01			phosphoprotein 1-like
	Vigue 0047c020000 01	0047	3357162 3363147 (strand)	N-acylphosphatidylethanolamine
	1802.00475020000.01	0047	55571025505147 (-Strand)	synthase
	Vigve.0047s020100.01	0047	33722953376044 (+strand)	Hypothetical protein
	Vigve.0047s020200.01	0047	33763843376962 (-strand)	Protein PXR1
	Vigve.0047s020300.01	0047	33952403404186 (+strand)	Chloroplastic protein TIC 40
	Vigve.0047s020400.01	0047	34049653407557 (+strand)	Uncharacterized protein
	Vigve.0047s020500.01	0047	34079113411731 (-strand)	WAT1-related protein At3g02690
	Vigve.0047s020600.01	0047	34144003416005 (+strand)	Late embryogenesis abundant protein
	Vigve.0047s020700.01	0047	34174413419499 (+strand)	Protein ROOT PRIMORDIUM DEFECTIVE 1
	Vigve.0047s020800.01	0047	34215983422730 (-strand)	Uncharacterized protein

**Table 3.** Annotated genes in *qBr6.1-A* and *qBr6.1-B* regions controlling bruchid resistance in zombi pea (*V. vexillata*) accession TVNu 240.

According to the zombi pea reference genome, VvTaXI is 2177 bp in length and intronless. The VvTaXI was amplified from TVNu 240 and TVnu 1623 and sequenced. Sequence alignment revealed a 1-bp insertion/deletion (InDel) and 16 SNPs between VvTaXI sequences of TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 (Figure 4). The InDel and five SNPs were located in the 5' UTR, while the rest of the SNPs were in the exon. The alignment of the predicted VvTaXI protein sequences in TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 demonstrated that the length of VvTaXI protein in TVNu 240 and JP256321 was the same (523 amino acid residues) (Figure 5). However, compared to the wild zombi pea TVNu 1623, there were six amino acid changes in TVNu 240 accessions, being at the residues 22 (H  $\rightarrow$  Y), 74 (I  $\rightarrow$  T), 87 (E  $\rightarrow$  K), 228 (L  $\rightarrow$  F), 437 (S  $\rightarrow$  Y), and 523 (V  $\rightarrow$  D). These amino acid changes were caused by the SNP at the positions 64 (C  $\rightarrow$  T), 221 (T  $\rightarrow$  C), 259 (G  $\rightarrow$  A), 682 (C  $\rightarrow$  T), 1310 (C  $\rightarrow$  A), and 1568 (T  $\rightarrow$  A), respectively. The amino acid residues 228 and 437 were in the N- and C-terminal domains of the VvTaXI, respectively (Figure 5).



Figure 4. DNA sequence variations in the VvTaXI gene between TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623.

In addition to the QTL qBr6.1-A, the QTL qBr6.1-B was found to contribute to bruchid resistance in TVNu 240 in the F<sub>2:3</sub> population (Figure 2 and Table 2). qBr6.1-B was mapped between the markers VvBr-SSR77 and VvBr-SSR02 corresponding to a region of 183.40 kb of the zombi pea scaffold 0047 (Figure 3). This region harbored 14 genes (Table 3). However, none of the genes is known to be involved in the bruchid or insect resistance.



**Figure 5.** Amino acid sequence variations in VvTaXI protein between TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623. Asterisk indicates conserved amino acid.

#### 2.6. Expression Analysis of the Gene VvTaXI

RT-qPCR analysis was conducted to measure the expression level of *VvTaXI* in the seeds of TVNu 240 and TVnu 1623 at two stages, green and yellow pods. RT-qPCR analysis revealed that there was no statistically significant difference in *VvTaXI* gene expression between the TVNu 240 and TVnu 1623 at both stages (Figure 6).



**Figure 6.** Expression of the *VvTaXI* gene in seeds of TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 at the green-pod (**A**) and yellow-pod (**B**) stages. The expression is determined by RT-qPCR. Error bar is standard error.

# 3. Discussion

Zombi pea has long received attention from plant breeders and entomologists as a source of bruchid resistance owing to the prevalence of high resistance in both cultivated and wild forms of zombi pea against bruchids. However, the underlying mechanism of the resistance has been poorly understood. To elucidate this mechanism, Birch et al. [14] suggested that p-aminophenylalanine (PAPA) in seeds of zombi pea is a principal defense chemical against C. maculatus and Z. subfasciatus, which was countered by Bressan [15]. Lattanzio et al. [19] suggested that a high level of  $\alpha$ -amylase inhibitor may be associated with the resistance in zombi pea. In our previous study, based on comparative genome analysis using common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), we showed that the major locus *qBr6.1* conferring the resistance was distantly linked (approximately 750 kb apart) with genes producing  $\alpha$ -amylase inhibitors, suggesting that the  $\alpha$ -amylase inhibitor is very unlikely to be the cause of the bruchid resistance. However, in this study, we exploited the cowpea reference genome [20] (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/info/Vunguiculata\_v1\_2 (accessed on 21 January 2020)) and the recently available zombi pea reference genome [21] (https://viggs.dna.affrc.go.jp (accessed on 25 May 2021)) to narrow down the *qBr6.1* region. Zombi pea and cowpea are genetically closely related species [22], although they belong to different subgenera of the genus Vigna [23]. A previous comparative study demonstrated that the genomes of these two species are highly conserved [18]. The results of the present study showed that the qBr6.1 locus consists of two tightly linked QTLs, qBr6.1-A and *qBr6.1-B* (Figure 2B and Table 2), and that the gene *VvTaXI* encoding a TAXI-type xylanase inhibitor may be responsible for bruchid resistance at *qBr6.1-A* (Figures 3–5).

Bruchids are phytophagous insects that feed exclusively on cotyledons of legume seeds [8,24]. Seed cotyledons have a cell wall composed mainly of a heterogeneous mixture of hemicellulose polysaccharides, including arabinoxylans. Coleopteran insects including seed bruchids/weevils that feed on seeds or cotyledons utilize plant cell wall-degrading enzymes (PCWDEs), for example polygalaturonases, amylases and xylanases, to depolymerize the structural polysaccharides in order to invade, attack and digest plant tissues for their nutrients [25,26]. To defend themselves from bruchids, plants produce and utilize several enzyme inhibitors against these PCWDEs. Protein inhibitors of PCWDEs and starch such as polygalacturonase inhibitors and  $\alpha$ -amylase inhibitors have been shown to provide resistance to C. chinensis and C. maculatus [27,28]. Xylans are the most common hemicelluloses and considered to be the second most abundant polysaccharides in plants. They account for up to 50% of the weight of seed tissues of some plant species [29]. Xylanase is an enzyme that is involved in the depolymerization of xylan into simple monosaccharides and xylooligosaccharides [30]. An enzyme present in the midgut of *C. maculatus* has been reported to exhibit exclusive xylanase activities [25]. Three types of xylanase inhibitors have been identified and characterized, viz., TAXI, xylanase inhibitor protein (XIP), and thaumatin-like xylanase inhibitors (TLXI) (for review, see [31]). The involvement of these xylanase inhibitors in plant defense against insects and pathogens has been established by several reports (for review, see [31,32]).

TAXIs are xylanase inhibitors that inhibit family 11 endo- $\beta$ -1,4-xylanase, which is a PCWDE produced and used by phytophagous insects, including bruchids, to utilize plants as sources of nutrients for their growth and development. Recently, Yan et al. [33] reported that the *PsXI* gene encoding a TAXI-type xylanase inhibitor is the candidate to confer resistance against *C. chinensis* and *C. maculatus* in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) seeds. They showed that pea with the *PsXI* gene encoding a complete xylanase inhibitor are resistant to those bruchids, whereas pea with a form of *PsXI* gene encoding a truncated xylanase inhibitor are susceptible to them. Similar results were found in our study, where the bruchid-resistant zombi pea TVNu 240 and the bruchid-susceptible zombi pea TVNu 1623 possessed different *VvTaXI* alleles (Figure 4) that produce VvTaXI proteins with different amino acid sequences (Figure 5). This difference in amino acid sequences between TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 was within the TAXi\_N and TAXi\_C domains (Figure 5), both of which are necessary for creating the catalytic pocket for cleaving xylanases [34–37]. This suggests that, as compared to TVNu 1623, the amino acid changes in the TAXi\_N and TAXi\_C domains in TVNu 240 result in functional *VvTaXI* with the ability to degrade/inhibit the xylanase activity of the bruchids, and thus seed resistance to bruchids.

#### 4. Materials and Methods

## 4.1. Plant Materials

Two mapping populations,  $F_2$  and  $F_{2:3}$ , were used in this study. Both populations were developed from the cross between TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623. TVNu 240 is a cultivated zombi pea that is resistant to C. maculatus and C. chinensis, while TVNu 1623 is a wild zombi pea susceptible to both *C. maculatus* and *C. chinensis*. F<sub>2</sub> was comprised of 427 plants. It is worth noting that the population used in the QTL analysis of bruchid resistance in Amkul et al. [18] was a subset of the  $F_2$  population used in this study. The  $F_2$  plants and 10 plants of each of their parents were grown under field conditions at Kamphaeng Saen, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand, from December 2017 to March 2018. The spacing between the plants was  $0.75 \times 0.75$  m. Mature pods/seeds from each plant were harvested and used for bruchid resistance evaluation. The  $F_{2:3}$  population comprised 218  $F_3$  plants derived from 16 F<sub>2</sub> plants showing a heterozygous genotype at the SNP markers Marker106138 and Marker106117 flanking the QTL *qBr6.1* [18]. A total of 12 to 28  $F_3$  plants from each  $F_2$  plant were combined into the  $F_{2:3}$  population. The population and their parents were grown under the same field conditions used to grow the  $F_2$  population, using the same spacing, from December 2020 to March 2021. Mature pods/seeds from each plant were harvested and evaluated for bruchid resistance.

Genomic DNA of the  $F_2$  and  $F_{2:3}$  plants was extracted using the CTAB method as per the procedures described by Lodhi et al. [38]. The quality and quantity of the DNA were measured through 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis by comparing with a known concentration of lambda DNA.

#### 4.2. Evaluation of Seed Resistance to Bruchids

Evaluations of seed resistance to bruchids were conducted at the Institute of Industrial Crops, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanjing, China. In the  $F_2$  population, the evaluation was performed using both *C. chinensis* and *C. maculatus* following the same procedures described by Amkul et al. [18]. The bruchids were reared in mass in large plastic containers using mungbean seeds. Fifty mature and intact seeds of each plant were put into a small plastic box. Twenty-five pairs of adults bruchids (25 males and 25 females) that emerged from the mungbean seeds were introduced into the box. The adult bruchids were maintained in the box for egg laying for seven days at 28 °C and 60% relative humidity. Fifty days after insect introduction, the number of seeds damaged by the bruchids (seeds with holes) was counted and the percentage of damaged seeds (PDS) was calculated.

In the  $F_{2:3}$  population, the resistance evaluation was carried out using only *C. maculatus* following the same procedure used for the  $F_2$  population.

# 4.3. DNA Marker Development and Analysis, and QTL Analysis in the F<sub>2</sub> Population

Initially, as the reference genome sequence of zombi pea was not available, the reference genome sequence of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) [20], a closely related species of zombi pea, was used to develop simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers. The DNA sequence of the SNP markers Marker197124 and Marker196422 flanking *qBr6.1* controlling the resistance to *C. chinensis* and *C. maculatus* [18] was subjected to BLASTN [39] against the cowpea reference genome v.2.1 (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/info/Vunguiculata\_v1\_2 (accessed on 21 January 2020)). A cowpea genome sequence of 1.0 Mb covering the Marker197124 and Marker196422 was downloaded and identified for SSRs using the software SSRIT [40]. Primers for the SSRs were designed using the software Primer3 [41]. The primers were screened for polymorphisms between the mapping parents, TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623. SSR marker analysis (polymerase chain reaction (PCR), gel electrophoresis, and visualization of DNA bands) was carried out as per Laosatit et al. [42]. Markers showing clear polymorphisms were used to analyze the  $F_2$  population.

The linkage map of the F<sub>2</sub> population was constructed using QTL IciMapping 4.1 software [43]. Markers were grouped with a logarithm of the odds (LOD) value of 3.0 and ordered using the REcombination Counting and ORDering (RECORD) algorithm [44]. The QTL controlling bruchid resistance was located onto the linkage map using the inclusive composite interval mapping (ICIM) method [45] available in the software QTL IciMapping 4.1. ICIM was conducted at every 0.1 cM with a probability in stepwise regression (PIN) of 0.001. A 3000-permutation test at a probability level of 0.01 was performed in order to determine the optimum LOD threshold for the QTLs.

#### 4.4. DNA Marker Development and Analysis, and QTL Analysis in the F<sub>2:3</sub> Population

After the *qBr6.1* was narrowed down in the  $F_2$  population, the reference genome sequence of zombi pea became available [21] (http://viggs.dna.affrc.go.jp (accessed on 25 May 2021)). Therefore, the *qBr6.1* was further narrowed down using the  $F_{2:3}$  population. We performed a BLASTN search of the sequences of SSR markers located around the *qBr6.1* detected in the  $F_2$  population against the zombi pea reference genome. A 500.0 kb region of the zombi pea genome was downloaded and used to develop SSR markers as described above. The marker polymorphism screening and analysis were the same as that in the  $F_2$  population. All polymorphic SSR markers developed from zombi pea and some polymorphic SSR markers developed from cowpea were used to analyze the  $F_{2:3}$  population. Subsequently, linkage map construction and QTL analysis of the  $F_{2:3}$  population.

#### 4.5. Identification and Sequencing of a Candidate Gene Controlling Bruchid Resistance

After the QTL for bruchid resistance was finely mapped in the  $F_{2:3}$  population, a candidate gene controlling the resistance was identified by determining the location of DNA markers associated with the resistance QTL on the zombi pea reference genome sequence [21] (https://viggs.dna.affrc.go.jp (accessed on 25 May 2021)) through BLASTN [35]. Subsequently, annotated gene(s) residing in the QTL region with functions that may be associated with seed resistance to bruchids were chosen as candidate gene(s). The gene *Vigve.0047s019300.01* in TVNu 1623 and TVNu 240 was amplified and sequenced using primers listed in Supplementary Table S1. PCR was carried out in a total volume of 10 µL containing 5 ng of DNA template,  $1 \times Taq$  buffer, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1 U KOD-Plus-Neo DNA polymerase (TOYOBO, Beijing, China), and 0.5 µM each of the forward and reward primers. PCR was performed in a SimpliAmp thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) programmed at 94 °C for 2 min followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were checked on 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis and were Sanger sequenced using the ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, United States) by 1st BASE (Singapore).

The sequences of TVNu 1623 and TVNu 240 were aligned to identify nucleotide polymorphism(s) using Clustal Omega [46]. In addition, the coding sequence (CDS) of *Vigve.0047s019300.01* in TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 were translated into protein sequences and aligned to find amino acid polymorphism(s).

#### 4.6. Gene Expression Analysis of the Candidate Gene

TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623 were grown in a crossing block. Total RNA was extracted from the seeds collected at the green- and yellow-pod stages of these two accessions using the method described by Laksana and Chanprame [47]. cDNA synthesis and quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) were performed as per Laosatit et al. [38] with an exception that the RT-qPCR was performed using the ViiA 7 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, United States). Primers used for the RT-qPCR are listed in Supplementary Table S1. The expression level of the *Vigve.0047s019300.01* was calculated based on the  $\Delta C_T$  method by using *ACTIN* as the reference [48]. A significant difference in the gene expression was tested by Student's t-test at a probability level of 0.01.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/plants12203602/s1, Table S1: Primer sequences used in this study and their amplification and polymorphism in zombi pea accessions TVNu 240 and TVNu 1623.

**Author Contributions:** Initiated the research, P.S., K.L. and X.C.; designed the research, P.S. and K.L.; developed mapping populations and conducted field experiments, K.A. and K.L.; performed genotyping, gene sequencing, gene expression analysis, bioinformatics analysis and phenotyping, K.A., K.L., Y.L. and X.Y.; wrote and revised the manuscript, K.A., K.L. and P.S.; secured research funds, P.S. and X.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the NSRF via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources and Institutional Development, Research and Innovation (grant number B16F640185), the China Agriculture Research System of MOF and MARA-Food Legumes (grant number CARS-08), and the Jiangsu Forestry Science and Technology Innovation and Promotion Project (grant number LYKJ[2021]22).

**Data Availability Statement:** Data will be made available on request. The *VvTaXI* sequences generated in this study were deposited to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (GenBank) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (accessed on 20 August 2023)) (accession number OR395213 and OR395214).

**Acknowledgments:** We are thankful to Tarika Yimram for assistance in population development and field experiments.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Duke, J.A. Vigna vexillata (L.) Rich. In Handbook of Legumes of World Economic Importance; Plenum Press: New York, NY, USA, 1989; pp. 306–307.
- Lawn, R.J.; Williams, R.W.; Imrie, B.C. "Potential of wild germplasm as a source of tolerance to environmental stresses in mungbean", in Mungbean. In Proceedings of the Second International Symposium, Bangkok, Thailand, 16–20 November 1987; Shanmugasundaram, S., McLean, B.T., Eds.; Asian Vegetable Research and Development Centre: Tainan, Taiwan, 1988; pp. 136–145.
- 3. Sasikumar, B.; Sardana, S. *Vigna vexillata* (Fabaceae), A pulse cum tuber crop of northeastern hill region of India. *Econ. Bot.* **1988**, 42, 292.
- 4. Karuniawan, A.; Iswandi, A.; Kale, P.R.; Heinzemann, J.; Grüneberg, W.J. *Vigna vexillata* (L.) A. Rich. cultivated as a root crop in Bali and Timor. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* 2006, 53, 213–217. [CrossRef]
- 5. Chandel, K.P.S.; Arora, R.K.; Joshi, B.S. Vigna capensis Walp. (V. vexillata) an edible root legume. Curr. Sci. 1972, 41, 537.
- Bhattacharyya, P.K.; Ghosh, A.K.; Sanyal, B.; Ray, G.D. Grow Vigna vexillata for protein-rich tuber-cum-pulse crop in North-eastern hill region. Seeds Farms 1984, 10, 33–36.
- 7. Dachapak, S.; Somta, P.; Poonchaivilaisak, S.; Yimram, T.; Srinives, P. Genetic diversity and structure of the zombi pea (*Vigna vexillata* (L.) A. Rich) gene pool based on SSR marker analysis. *Genetica* **2017**, *145*, 189–200. [CrossRef]
- 8. Southgate, B.J. Biology of the bruchidae. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 1979, 24, 449–473. [CrossRef]
- 9. Srinives, S.; Somta, P.; Somta, C. Genetics and breeding of resistance to bruchids (*Callosobruchus* spp.) in Vigna crops: A review. *NU Sci. J.* **2007**, *4*, 1–17.
- 10. Deshpande, V.K.; Makanur, B.; Deshpande, S.K.; Adiger, S.; Salimath, P.M. Quantitative and qualitative losses caused by *Callosobruchus maculatus* in cowpea during seed storage. *Plant Arch.* **2011**, *11*, 723–731.
- 11. Yadav, P. Susceptibility of four Indian grain legumes to three species of stored pest, bruchid (*Callosobruchus*) and effect of temperature on bruchids. *Int. J. Entomol. Res.* **2018**, *3*, 5–10.
- 12. Mishra, S.K.; Macedo, M.L.R.; Panda, S.K.; Panigrahi, J. Bruchid pest management in pulses: Past practices, present status and use of modern breeding tools for development of resistant varieties. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2018**, 172, 4–19. [CrossRef]
- 13. Madhurya, L.; Nazeer, M.; Urvashi1, S.; Ezhil, V.S. Contamination risk of aluminium phosphide residues on the packed wheat grain sacs. *J. Entomol. Res.* **2023**, *47*, 458–460. [CrossRef]
- 14. Birch, A.N.E.; Fellows, L.E.; Evans, S.V.; Doherty, K. Para-aminophenylalanine in Vigna: Possible taxonomic and ecological significance as a seed defence against bruchids. *Phytochemistry* **1986**, *25*, 2745–2749. [CrossRef]
- 15. Bressan, R.A. Contributions of PAPA to V. vexillata resistances: Another opportunity for biotechnology? In *Joint Cowpeas Biotechnology Workshop*; Purdue University: West Lafayette, IN, USA, 1990; pp. 16–20.

- Chotechung, S.; Somta, P.; Chen, J.; Yimram, T.; Chen, X.; Srinives, P.A. Gene encoding a polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein (PGIP) is a candidate gene for bruchid (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) resistance in mungbean (*Vigna radiata*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2016, 129, 1673–1683. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rathnayaka, G.S.; Kaewwongwal, A.; Laosatit, K.; Yimram, T.; Yun, L.; Xin, C.; Nakazono, M.; Somta, P. Tandemly duplicated genes encoding polygalacturonase inhibitors are associated with bruchid (*Callosobruchus chinensis*) resistance in moth bean (*Vigna aconitifolia*). *Plant Sci.* 2022, 323, 111402. [CrossRef]
- 18. Amkul, K.; Wang, L.; Somta, P.; Wang, S.; Cheng, X. Construction of a high density linkage map and genome dissection of bruchid resistance in zombi pea (*Vigna vexillata* (L.) A. Rich). *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 11719. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Lattanzio, V.; Terzano, R.; Cicco, N.; Cardinali, A.; Venere, D.D.; Linsalata, V. Seed coat tannins and bruchid resistance in stored cowpea seeds. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2005, *85*, 839–846. [CrossRef]
- Lonardi, S.; Muñoz-Amatriaín, M.; Liang, Q.; Shu, S.; Wanamaker, S.I.; Lo, S.; Tanskanen, J.; Schulman, A.H.; Zhu, T.; Luo, M.-C.; et al. The genome of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.). *Plant J.* 2019, *98*, 767–782. [CrossRef]
- 21. Naito, K.; Wakatake, T.; Shibata, T.F.; Iseki, K.; Shigenobu, S.; Takahashi, Y.; Tanaka, E.O.; Muto, C.; Teruya, K.; Shiroma, A.; et al. Genome sequence of 12 Vigna species as a knowledge base of stress tolerance and resistance. *bioRxiv* 2020. [CrossRef]
- Takahashi, Y.; Somta, P.; Muto, C.; Iseki, K.; Naito, K.; Pandiyan, M.; Senthilkumar, A.N.; Tomooka, N. Novel genetic resources in the genus *Vigna* unveiled from gene bank accessions. *PLoS ONE* 2016, *11*, e0147568. [CrossRef]
- Maréchal, R.; Mascherpa, J.M.; Stainer, F. Etude taxonomique d'un groupe complexe d'speces des genres *Phaseolus* et *Vigna* (Papilionaceae) sur la base de donnees morphologiques et polliniques, traitees par l'analyse informatique. *Boissiera* 1978, 28, 1–273.
- 24. Janzen, D.H. Seed-eaters versus seed size, number, toxicity and dispersal. Evolution 1969, 23, 1–27. [PubMed]
- Busch, A.; Kunert, G.; Heckel, D.G.; Pauchet, Y. Evolution and functional characterization of CAZymes belonging to subfamily 10 of glycoside hydrolase family 5 (GH5\_10) in two species of phytophagous beetles. *PLoS ONE* 2017, *12*, e0184305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kirsch, R.; Kunert, G.; Vogel, H.; Pauchet, Y. Pectin Digestion in Herbivorous Beetles: Impact of Pseudoenzymes Exceeds That of Their Active Counterparts. *Front. Physiol.* 2019, 10, 685. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Zhang, Q.; Yan, Q.; Yuan, X.; Lin, Y.; Chen, J.; Wu, R.; Xue, C.; Zhu, Y.; Chen, X. Two polygalacturonase-inhibiting proteins (VrPGIP) of *Vigna radiata* confer resistance to bruchids (*Callosobruchus* spp.). *J. Plant Physiol.* **2021**, 258–259, 153376. [CrossRef]
- 28. Ishimoto, M.; Kitamura, K. Growth inhibitory effects of an a-amylase inhibitor from the kidney bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris* (L.) on three species of bruchids (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* **1989**, *24*, 281–286. [CrossRef]
- 29. Ebringerová, A.; Heinze, T. Xylan and Xylan Derivatives-Biopolymers with Valuable Properties, 1. Naturally Occurring Xylans Structures, Isolation Procedures and Properties. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2000**, *21*, 542–556. [CrossRef]
- Bhardwaj, N.; Kumar, B.; Verma, P.A. Detailed overview of xylanases: An emerging biomolecule for current and future prospective. Bioresour. Bioprocess. 2019, 6, 40. [CrossRef]
- Tundo, S.; Mandalà, G.; Sella, L.; Favaron, F.; Bedre, R.; Kalunke, R.M. Xylanase inhibitors: Defense players in plant immunity with implications in agro-industrial processing. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 14994. [CrossRef]
- 32. Kouadio, E.J.P.; Konan, K.H.; Djè, K.M.; Dué, E.A.; Kouamé, L.P. Insect digestive glycosidases: Strategies of purification, biochemical properties and potential applications, a review. *Int. J. Entomol. Res.* **2016**, *4*, 67–86.
- Yan, J.; Chen, J.; Lin, Y.; Yuan, X.; Somta, P.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, X. Mapping of quantitative trait locus reveals *PsXI* gene encoding xylanase inhibitor as the candidate gene for bruchid (*Callosobruchus* spp.) resistance in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Front. Plant Sci.* 2023, 14, 1057577. [CrossRef]
- Fierens, K.; Brijs, K.; Courtin, C.M.; Gebruers, K.; Goesaert, H.; Raedschelders, G.; Robben, J.; Campenhout, S.V.; Volckaert, G.; Delcour, J.A. Molecular identification of wheat endoxylanase inhibitor TAXI-I, member of a new class of plant proteins. *FEBS Lett.* 2003, 540, 259–263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sansen, S.; De Ranter, C.J.; Gebruers, K.; Brijs, K.; Courtin, C.M.; Delcour, J.A.; Rabijns, A. Structural basis for inhibition of Aspergillus niger xylanase by triticum aestivum xylanase inhibitor-I. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 36022–36028. [CrossRef]
- 36. Pollet, A.; Sansen, S.; Raedschelders, G.; Gebruers, K.; Rabijns, A.; Delcour, J.A.; Courtin, C.M. Identification of structural determinants for inhibition strength and specificity of wheat xylanase inhibitors TAXI-IA and TAXI-IIA. *FEBS J.* **2009**, 276, 3916–3927. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moscetti, I.; Faoro, F.; Moro, S.; Sabbadin, D.; Sella, L.; Favaron, F.; D'Ovidio, R. The xylanase inhibitor TAXI-III counteracts the necrotic activity of a Fusarium graminearum xylanase in vitro and durum wheat transgenic plants. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 2015, 16, 583–592. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lodhi, M.A.; Ye, G.N.; Weeden, N.F.; Reisch, B.I. A simple and efficient method for DNA extraction from grapevine cultivars and Vitis species. *Plant. Mol. Biol. Rep.* 1994, 12, 6–13. [CrossRef]
- Altschul, S.F.; Gish, W.; Miller, W.; Myers, E.W.; Lipman, D.J. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 1990, 215, 403–410. [CrossRef]
- Temnykh, S.; DeClerck, G.; Lukashova, A.; Lipovich, L.; Cartinhour, S.; McCouch, S. Computational and experimental analysis of microsatellites in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Frequency, length variation, transposon associations, and genetic marker potential. *Genome Res.* 2001, *11*, 1441–1452. [CrossRef]

- 41. Untergasser, A.; Cutcutache, I.; Koressaar, T.; Ye, J.; Faircloth, B.C.; Remm, M.; Rozen, S.G. Primer3–new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic. Acids Res.* **2012**, *40*, e115. [CrossRef]
- 42. Laosatit, K.; Amkul, K.; Yimram, T.; Chen, J.; Lin, Y.; Yuan, X.; Wang, L.; Chen, X.; Somta, P. A Class II KNOX Gene, *KNAT7-1*, Regulates Physical Seed Dormancy in Mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 852373. [CrossRef]
- Meng, L.; Li, H.; Zhang, L.; Wang, J. QTL IciMapping: Integrated software for genetic linkage map construction and quantitative trait locus mapping in biparental populations. Crop J. 2015, 3, 269–283. [CrossRef]
- 44. Van Os, H.; Stam, P.; Visser, R.G.; Van Eck, H.J. RECORD: A novel method for ordering loci on a genetic linkage map. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2005, 112, 30–40. [CrossRef]
- 45. Li, H.; Ye, G.; Wang, J. A modified algorithm for the improvement of composite interval mapping. *Genetics* **2007**, *175*, 361–374. [CrossRef]
- Sievers, F.; Wilm, A.; Dineen, D.G.; Gibson, T.J.; Karplus, K.; Li, W.; Lopez, R.; McWilliam, H.; Remmert, M.; Söding, J.; et al. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 2011, 7, 539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Laksana, C.; Chanprame, S. A simple and rapid method for RNA extraction from young and mature leaves of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.). J. ISSAAS **2015**, 21, 96–106.
- Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2<sup>-ΔΔDCT</sup> method. *Methods* 2001, 25, 402–408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.