



Article Soil Contamination with Europium Induces Reduced Oxidative Damage in *Hordeum vulgare* Grown in a CO₂-Enriched Environment

Hanaa E. A. Amer ¹, Hamada AbdElgawad ^{2,3}, Mahmoud M. Y. Madany ⁴, Ahmed M. A. Khalil ⁵ and Ahmed M. Saleh ^{5,*}

- ¹ Botany and Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, University of Cairo, Giza 12613, Egypt; helbadawy@sci.cu.edu.eg
- ² Integrated Molecular Plant Physiology Research, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, B–2020 Antwerp, Belgium; hamada.abdelgawad@uantwerpen.be
- ³ Department of Botany and microbiology, Faculty of Science, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef 62511, Egypt
- ⁴ Biology Department, Faculty of Science, Taibah University, Al-Madinah Al-Munawarah 41411, Saudi Arabia; mmadany@taibahu.edu.sa
- ⁵ Biology Department, Faculty of Science at Yanbu, Taibah University, King Khalid Rd., Al Amoedi, Yanbu El-Bahr 46423, Saudi Arabia; amsuliman@taibahu.edu.sa
- * Correspondence: amsmohamed@taibahu.edu.sa

Abstract: The extensive and uncontrolled utilization of rare earth elements, like europium (Eu), could lead to their accumulation in soils and biota. Herein, we investigated the impact of Eu on the growth, photosynthesis, and redox homeostasis in barley and how that could be affected by the future CO₂ climate (eCO₂). The plants were exposed to 1.09 mmol Eu³⁺/kg soil under either ambient CO_2 (420 ppm, aCO_2) or eCO_2 (620 ppm). The soil application of Eu induced its accumulation in the plant shoots and caused significant reductions in biomass- and photosynthesisrelated parameters, i.e., chlorophyll content, photochemical efficiency of PSII, Rubisco activity, and photosynthesis rate. Further, Eu induced oxidative stress as indicated by higher levels of H_2O_2 and lipid peroxidation products, and lower ASC/DHA and GSH/GSSG ratios. Interestingly, the co-application of eCO₂ significantly reduced the accumulation of Eu in plant tissues. Elevated CO₂ reduced the Eu-induced oxidative damage by supporting the antioxidant defense mechanisms, i.e., ROS-scavenging molecules (carotenoids, flavonoids, and polyphenols), enzymes (CAT and peroxidases), and ASC-GSH recycling enzymes (MDHAR and GR). Further, eCO2 improved the metal detoxification capacity by upregulating GST activity. Overall, these results provide the first comprehensive report for Eu-induced oxidative phytotoxicity and how this could be mitigated by eCO₂.

Keywords: europium; elevated CO2; Hordeum vulgare; oxidative stress; photosynthesis; soil contamination

1. Introduction

The lanthanide, europium (Eu), is one of the light rare earth elements (LREEs) that are characterized by a lower atomic mass than ca 153 and a larger effective radius than 95 pm [1]. LREEs have many uses in industry and modern technology, such as flints for lighters, carbon arc lighting, additives in steel, surface polishing, rechargeable batteries in mobile phones and computers, and even automotive catalysts [2,3]. Moreover, owing to their stimulatory effects on nutrients uptake and/or chlorophyll biosynthesis, some REEs have been integrated in trace concentrations to plant fertilizers [4]. Inevitably, the extensive and uncontrolled application of REE-containing fertilizers could lead to their accumulation in soils and biota causing human health hazards via its transfer through the food chain [4,5]. In this context, the toxicity of REEs on bacteria [6] and plants [7,8] and its human health hazards have been assessed [9,10]. Regarding its phytotoxicity, REEs have been reported to



Citation: Amer, H.E.A.; AbdElgawad, H.; Madany, M.M.Y.; Khalil, A.M.A.; Saleh, A.M. Soil Contamination with Europium Induces Reduced Oxidative Damage in *Hordeum vulgare* Grown in a CO₂-Enriched Environment. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 3159. https://doi.org/10.3390/ plants12173159

Academic Editors: Veronica De Micco and Luigi Sanita' di Toppi

Received: 29 July 2023 Revised: 18 August 2023 Accepted: 23 August 2023 Published: 2 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). dislodge essential metal ions from enzymes, deteriorate sulfhydryl proteins, and induce the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [11,12].

In fact, the current concentration range of Eu in the natural soils (0.1–2.2 mg/kg soil) is firmly small, however, due to its increasing utilization in industrial activities, the sharp elevation of Eu levels is likely [13]. In this regard, the phytotoxicity of Eu, at concentration \geq 0.2 mmol, has been suggested [7,14]. Physiologically, because of the similarities between Eu^{3+} and Ca^{2+} in radius and chemical properties, Eu^{3+} could replace Ca^{2+} in calciummodulated protein (CaM–Ca²⁺), thus affecting the calcium signal transduction pathways and the related physiological processes [14]. In a recent study, Brassica napus cells exposed to 200 μ M Eu³⁺ showed a marked decrease in cell viability compared to the control [7]. To overcome this undesired impact, plants produce metal-binding metabolites, store metal chelates in vacuoles, or secrete them through the root system, and synthesize protective polymers like lignin and callose [12,15]. The detailed impact of Eu on plant oxidative status has not been elucidated; however, as a general response to oxidative-stress-inducing agents, plants activate molecular and enzymatic antioxidant defense systems to modulate the redox homeostasis [16,17]. The non-enzymatic molecules include carotenoids, ascorbic acid (ASC), glutathione (GSH), and polyphenols [12]. The enzymatic antioxidants include superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POX), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and the glutathione-ascorbate cycling enzymes, i.e., glutathione reductase (GR), dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR), and monodehydroascorbate oxidoreductase (MDHAR). SOD converts O_2^- to O2 and H_2O_2 ; the latter is scavenged by POX, CAT, APX, and GPX. These enzymes prevent the possible biological reactions between H_2O_2 and macromolecules present in the plant cell, hence preventing any possible modification in their structure and function [18,19].

According to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), the current global concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere (a CO_2) is about 420 ppm [20]. CO_2 elevation comes from burning fuels for transportation and power generation, manufactures, burning agricultural wastes, and many other practices. According to IPCC-SRES B2-scenario, by the year 2100, the level of CO_2 may reach 620 ppm [21]. Although extreme elevation in the atmospheric CO_2 levels represents a challenge for plants [22], the biofertilization effect of CO_2 concentrations up to 620 ppm was reported by increasing the photosynthesis rate and, thus, enhancing the production of building blocks and energy needed for plant metabolism and life [23,24]. In addition, the possible role of elevated CO₂ (eCO_2) in mitigating the negative effects of various stressors on plants has been previously proved [25,26]. Interestingly, eCO_2 has been suggested as an effective approach to deal with the contamination of cultivation matrices by heavy metals [16,17,27–29]. Elevated CO₂ has been reported to reduce the phytotoxicity of HM through enhancing Rubisco and PEPC activities and modulating the redox homeostasis, on both ROS production (photorespiration and NADPH oxidase activity) and scavenging (enzymatic and non-enzymatic) levels [16,17,27-29]. So far, no literature has discussed the ameliorating action of eCO₂ on the phytotoxicity imposed by REEs.

Besides wheat, rice, and maize, barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is the fourth most domesticated crop in world agriculture. Barley grains contain moderate amounts of protein and relative percentage of phosphorus, calcium, and small amounts of vitamins [30]. It is widely used in breads, soups, stews, and other healthy foods due to its high carbohydrate content [31]. Barley is also an important feed for ruminants. Additionally, barley fiber is suitable for factory farming [32]. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), the global average of barley seed production is about 3.50 tons per hectare, with an estimated average harvested area of 504,000 hectare worldwide [33]. Unfortunately, the production of barley and other crops is threatened by metal toxicity which leads to oxidative damage, inhibition in the rate of photosynthesis and other crucial metabolic processes, disturbances in water uptake, mineral nutrition and hormonal balance, and, consequently, significant reductions in growth and yield [34]. Although the hazardous impact of heavy metals on barley has been documented, the impact of LREEs, e.g., Eu, has not been investigated.

This investigation was conducted to analyze the oxidative damage imposed by a phytotoxic dose of Eu^{+3} (1.09 mmol Eu^{3+}/kg soil) in barley and how that could affect C assimilation and plant growth and physiology. Further, due to its well-known positive impact on C assimilation in C3 plants, like barley, we have assessed the mitigating action of eCO₂ (620 ppm) on Eu^{3+} -stressed plants. The sole or combined effects of Eu^{3+} and eCO₂ on the growth parameters, photosynthetic efficiency, accumulation of Eu in plant tissues, and levels of oxidative stress markers were assessed. Detailed changes in enzymatic and molecular ROS scavenging systems as well as the metabolites of ascorbate-glutathione cycle were investigated.

2. Results

2.1. Biomass Production

The estimated fresh and dry weights of 28-day old *H. vulgare* plants grown under control conditions were 3.1 and 0.44 g/plant, respectively (Figure 1A,B). The application of Eu dramatically decreased these values by 58 and 50%, respectively. Conversely, eCO_2 spectacularly stimulated the plant biomass. The combination of Eu and eCO_2 improved the biomass of the plants, compared to treatment with Eu alone, even though the values were significantly lower than the control.



Figure 1. Effect of Eu, elevated CO_2 (eCO₂), and their combination (Eu + eCO₂) on the fresh (**A**) and dry (**B**) biomasses of 28-day old *H. vulgare* plants. Each value represents the mean of five independent replicates and the vertical bars represent the standard error. Different lower-case letters on the bars, within the same graph, indicate significant difference at the 0.05 probability level as indicated by Tukey's multiple range tests.

2.2. Photosynthesis-Related Parameters

The lowest value of chlorophylls *a* and *b* and their totals were recorded in plants treated with Eu (Figure 2A–C). The presence of eCO_2 could not decrease the negative effect of Eu on chlorophylls, except for chlorophyll *a*. Eu, eCO_2 , and their combination significantly increased the carotenoid content in *H. vulgare* plants as compared to the control; however, a more pronounced improvement was caused by the combined treatment (Figure 2D). A

significant decline in chlorophyll fluorescence was recorded through Eu application in the aCO_2 environment (Figure 2E). However, the co-application of eCO_2 treatment eliminated the negative impact of Eu on chlorophyll fluorescence. There were significant decreases in the values of stomal conductance due to the cultivation in the presence of Eu or eCO_2 and to a greater extent under their synchronous application (Figure 2F). Regardless of CO_2 level, a dramatic and significant inhibition in Rubisco-specific activity was observed in Eu-treated plants (Figure 2G). eCO_2 alone had no effect on Rubisco-specific activity. Figure 2H shows the inhibitory effect of Eu on the photosynthesis rate of *H. vulgare* plants, as it dropped to half of the control value. On the contrary, eCO_2 alone significantly improved the rate of photosynthesis. Further, a curative effect of eCO_2 was recorded in Eu-treated plants.



Figure 2. Effect of Eu, elevated CO₂ (eCO₂), and their combination (Eu + eCO₂) on the photosynthesisrelated parameters of 28-day old *H. vulgare* plants. (**A**): chlorophyll *a*; (**B**): chlorophyll *b*; (**C**): chlorophyll a + b; (**D**): carotenoids; (**E**): chlorophyll fluorescence; (**F**): stomatal conductance; (**G**): Rubisco activity; (**H**): rate of photosynthesis. Each value represents the mean of five independent replicates and the vertical bars represent the standard error. Different lower-case letters on the bars, within the same graph, indicate significant difference at the 0.05 probability level as indicated by Tukey's multiple range tests.

2.3. Stress Markers, Antioxidant Molecules, and GST Activity

Eu content, the levels of stress markers (H₂O₂ and MDA), antioxidant molecules (total polyphenols and flavonoids), the total antioxidant capacity (FRAP), and GST activity are presented in Table 1. Tracking the amount of Eu inside the shoots of barley plants treated with Eu under aCO₂ revealed about 39 mg Eu g⁻¹ DW; this value dropped to 44% by introducing 620 ppm eCO₂. Eu-treated *H. vulgare* plants accumulated about 70% more H₂O₂ and MDA than the control. These elevations were significantly decreased through the co-application of eCO₂. The lowest content of flavonoids was recorded in the Eu application, and the highest was observed under the presence of eCO₂ alone. The contents of polyphenols increased in all treatments, where the highest value was detected in plants co-treated with Eu and eCO₂. A similar trend was observed for FRAP. GST content only exceeded the control value in plants treated with Eu in an eCO₂ atmosphere.

Table 1. Effect of Eu, elevated CO₂ (eCO₂), and their combination (Eu + eCO₂) on the accumulation of Eu and the contents of stress markers (H₂O₂ and MDA), antioxidant molecules (total polyphenols and flavonoids), total antioxidant capacity (FRAP), and glutathione-S-transferase (GST) activity in the shoots of 28-day old *H. vulgare* plants. Values are presented as the mean of five independent replicates \pm standard error. Different lower-case letters in the same row indicate significant difference at the 0.05 probability level as indicated by Tukey's multiple range tests.

Parameter	Control	Eu	eCO ₂	$Eu + eCO_2$
Eu content (mg g ^{-1} DW)	ND	$38.69\pm1.25\mathrm{b}$	ND	$21.65\pm0.52~\mathrm{a}$
H_2O_2 (nmol g ⁻¹ FW)	$562.49 \pm 13.63 \ b$	$964.04 \pm 45.26 \text{ d}$	495.1 ± 24.88 a	$708.33\pm13.6~\mathrm{c}$
MDA (nmol g ⁻¹ FW)	$2.48\pm0.06~\text{a}$	$4.31\pm0.24~c$	$2.33\pm0.23~\text{a}$	$3.61\pm0.35~b$
FRAP (mmol trolox g^{-1} FW)	36.77 ± 2.87 a	$46.07\pm1.54b$	$43.55\pm0.61b$	$54.71 \pm 2.28 \text{ c}$
Polyphenol (mg gallic acid g^{-1} FW)	$15.23\pm0.4~\mathrm{a}$	$20.53\pm1.07~\mathrm{c}$	$18.94\pm0.46b$	$25.32 \pm 1.26 \text{ d}$
Flavonoids (mg quercetin g^{-1} FW)	$35.02\pm1.37~\mathrm{c}$	$26.07\pm1.07~\mathrm{a}$	$37.47\pm1.34~d$	$29.27\pm0.81b$
GST (unit mg ⁻¹ protein min ⁻¹)	$0.19\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	$0.18\pm0.01~\mathrm{a}$	0.19 ± 0 a	$0.27\pm0.01~b$

ND means not detected.

2.4. Antioxidant Enzyme Activity

The activity of SOD significantly improved in Eu-treated plants but declined in plants treated with Eu + eCO₂ (Figure 3A). A stimulatory effect of both Eu and eCO₂ was observed in CAT and POX activities, which was more obvious with the synchronous application of Eu and eCO₂ (Figure 3B,C). The activity of ascorbate-metabolizing enzymes, APX, DHAR, and MDHAR are presented in Figure 3D–F. APX was significantly stimulated by Eu under either aCO₂ or eCO₂; however, it was not affected by the application of eCO₂ alone. DHAR activity significantly improved with Eu alone treatment, but the co-application of eCO₂ caused a counteracting effect. For MDHAR activity, insignificant changes were recorded in all treatments. Regarding the enzymes that used glutathione as a substrate, GPX and GR, the activities of the two enzymes were enhanced by Eu and, to a greater extent, by Eu + eCO₂ treatments (Figure 3G,H).

2.5. ASC—GSH Cycle Metabolites

The Eu-alone treatment increased the level of DHA in *H. vulgare* plants by about 57%, compared to the control; however, it had no significant impact on the ASC level (Figure 4A,B). In contrast, eCO_2 alone statistically stimulated the production of endogenous ASC but not DHA. The synchronous application of Eu + eCO_2 recovered the negative impact of the Eu-alone treatment on the ASC/DHA ratio (Figure 4D). Eu or eCO_2 alone

and in combination significantly increased the content of GSH and TGSH (Figure 4E,G). However, the levels of GSSG were only improved in the presence of Eu, either under aCO_2 or eCO_2 . In this regard, Eu caused a 3-fold increase in the level of GSSG in plants grown under aCO_2 (Figure 4F). Expectedly, a dramatic drop in the GSH/GSSG ratio was observed in the Eu-alone treatment (Figure 4H). Such an Eu-induced reduction in the GSH/GSSG ratio was attenuated under an eCO_2 atmosphere.



Figure 3. Effect of Eu, elevated CO_2 (eCO₂), and their combination (Eu + eCO₂) on the activity of antioxidant enzymes in the shoots of 28-day old *H. vulgare* plants. (**A**): SOD, superoxide dismutase; (**B**): CAT, catalase, (**C**): POX, peroxidase; (**D**): APX, ascorbate peroxidase, (**E**): DHAR, dehydroascorbate reductase; (**F**): MDHAR, monodehydroascorbate reductase; (**G**): GPX, glutathione peroxidase; (**H**): GR, glutathione reductase. Each value represents the mean of five independent replicates and the vertical bars represent the standard error. Different lower-case letters on the bars, within the same graph, indicate significant difference at the 0.05 probability level as indicated by Tukey's multiple range tests.



Figure 4. Effect of Eu, elevated CO₂ (eCO₂), and their combination (Eu + eCO₂) on the levels of metabolites of glutathione-ascorbate cycle in the shoots of 28-day old *H. vulgare* plants. (**A**): ASC, reduced ascorbate; (**B**): DHA, oxidized ascorbate; (**C**): TASC, total ascorbate; (**D**): ASC/DHA ratio; (**E**): GSH, reduced glutathione; (**F**): GSSG, oxidized glutathione; (**G**): TGSH, total glutathione; (**H**): GSH/GSSG ratio. Each value represents the mean of five independent replicates and the vertical bars represent the standard error. Different lower-case letters on the bars, within the same graph, indicate significant difference at the 0.05 probability level as indicated by Tukey's multiple range tests.

3. Discussion

3.1. The Accumulation of Eu in Plant Shoots Slows Growth and Photosynthesis

So far, the impact of Eu on plant growth and physiology is rarely investigated. Thus, a comprehensive investigation of how Eu accumulation in soils could affect plant fitness, under current and future climatic regimes, is worthwhile. Herein, we have investigated the effects imposed by soil contamination with Eu (1.09 mmol Eu³⁺/kg soil) on biomass

production, the crucial physiological process, photosynthesis, and redox homeostasis in barley plants grown under ambient (420 ppm) and elevated (620 ppm) levels of CO₂. The significant accumulation of Eu in shoot tissues affirms its uptake and translocation (Table 1). In fact, there is evidence supporting the direct entrance of REEs into the plant cells [35]. In this regard, by measuring its fluorescence intensity, Eu was reported to be accumulated in the protoplast, plasma membrane, mitochondria, and cytoplasm [36,37]. The leaves of winter rye seem a little browner because of europium uptake and transport into the leaves [38]. Within the plant cell, Eu could alter the key plant physiological processes by interfering with enzyme activities or signaling pathways. For instance, due to the similarity between Eu³⁺ and Ca²⁺, in radius and chemical properties, Eu³⁺ was reported to replace Ca^{2+} in calcium-modulated proteins (CaM–Ca²⁺), thus affecting the calcium signal transduction pathways and the related physiological processes [14]. In accordance, the present results revealed a significant decline in plant biomass production (Figure 1), which was consistent with the inhibition in the photosynthesis-related parameters, i.e., chlorophyll content, the photochemical efficiency of PSII (Fv/Fm), and Rubisco activity (Figure 2). The reduction in plant biomasses, as affected by metal toxicity, has been regarded as a logical result for the deleterious effects on crucial processes like C fixation and nutrient utilization [39]. In this context, Brassica napus cells exposed to 200 µM Eu³⁺ showed a marked decrease in cell viability compared to the control [7]. Eu_2O_3 nanoparticles have reduced the least growth of and chlorophyll content in algal culture [40]. Eu may displace essential elements like Mn, K, and Mg, therefore causing disturbances in PS-II and impairing the photosynthetic electron transport chain [17]. It is worth noting that the impact of Eu on plant cells is a function of the applied dose where the lower dose might be promotive. For instance, an increase in *Daucus carota* cell vitality could be seen for 30 µM Eu (III), particularly at the beginning of the exposure [8]. This increase in cell vitalities could be attributed to the increased metabolic activities in response to the stress conditions [41].

3.2. Elevated CO₂ Reduces the Accumulation of Eu and Mitigates its Impact on Growth and Photosynthesis

Interestingly, the synchronous application of eCO_2 with Eu reduced the accumulation of Eu in plant shoots by about 40%. This reduction could be ascribed to the reduced stomatal conductance and the induced biosynthesis of polyphenols. In this regard, the reduction in stomatal conductance has been reported in plants treated with eCO_2 , especially under stress conditions [16,17]. Further, it has been reported that polyphenols, through their exudation to the soil, could reduce the bioavailability of heavy metals by acting as metal chelators [42]. The positive impact of eCO_2 on the biosynthesis of polyphenols was reported in several plants [23,24]. Increased soil retention was in match with higher levels of phenolics in wheat and soybean plants [28]. In accordance, AbdElgawad et al. [16] recorded a reduced accumulation of Cr in two rice cultivars under CO_2 -enriched conditions. However, Saleh et al. [29] and Saleh et al. [17] reported that eCO_2 had no significant impact on the uptake of Ni and Hg ions in wheat and maize, respectively. Thus, the impact of eCO_2 on metal accumulation depends on the plant species and the tested metal.

Elevated CO_2 has been shown to exhibit fabulous effectiveness in alleviating the harmful effects of HM like Cd, Hg, Cu, and As on growth and physiology, especially in C3 plant systems [29,43–45]. As a substrate for Rubisco, eCO_2 could improve photosynthesis, leading to the accumulation of the non-structural carbohydrates that afford the precursors and provide the energy for growth and development [29,46]. Further, the eCO_2 -induced carbohydrate accumulation could indirectly affect plant growth and physiology via upregulating biosynthesis of plant hormones like auxins [47]. In accordance, the co-application of 620 ppm eCO_2 antagonized the negative impact of Eu on the measured photosynthesis-related parameters (Rubisco, chlorophyll fluorescence, and net photosynthetic rate), and thereby improved the growth of barley plants (Figures 1 and 2). To date, there are no previous studies on the synchronous effects of Eu and eCO_2 on plants, but several reports have shown the mitigating action of eCO_2 on the phytotoxicity of heavy metals. For instance, our

previous study confirmed that the synchronous application of eCO_2 with mercuric oxide nanoparticles markedly mitigated their deleterious effects on activities of Rubisco and phosphoenol-pyruvate carboxylase and the rate of photosynthesis, and hence, enhanced maize growth [17]. Further, eCO_2 mitigated the phytotoxicity of As and Cr on the growth and photosynthesis of wheat, soybean, and rice [16,27,28].

3.3. Eu Disrupts the Redox Homeostasis in Barley Plants but eCO₂ Has an Antagonizing Action

Besides the negative impact of Eu on biomass and photosynthesis, Eu treatment increased the generation of ROS in barley plants, as indicated by higher levels of H_2O_2 , the principle ROS generated in stressed plants [48]. Like most HMs, Eu causes stomatal closure, leading to insufficient intracellular CO₂ concentration, which leads to the formation of singlet oxygen that severely damages PSI and PSII, and therefore disrupts the photosynthetic dynamicity [49]. Further, the reduced concentration of intracellular CO₂ favors photorespiration (the oxygenation reaction of Rubisco), which is considered as a principal mechanism for H_2O_2 production [50]. The overproduction of ROS induces the accumulation of molecular antioxidants and the activities of antioxidant enzymes, which represents a confirmed strategy in plants to face different stresses [39,51]. Herein, plants treated with Eu, under an aCO₂ climate, induced the ROS-scavenging molecules (polyphenols and carotenoids) and enzymes (CAT and peroxidases) as well as the ASC-GSH recycling enzymes (DHAR and GR). However, unfortunately, such levels of improvements were not enough to modulate the redox homeostasis and overcome the oxidative damage caused by Eu, as indicated by higher levels of MDA and disturbance in ASC/DHA and GSH/GSSG redox balances.

Interestingly, growing plants treated with Eu in an eCO₂ environment supported the non-enzymatic (carotenoids, flavonoids, and polyphenols) and enzymatic (CAT, POX, and GPX) antioxidants. In addition, eCO₂ maintained the ASC/DHA and GSH/GSSG redox homeostasis as indicated by further improvements in the activities of MDHAR and GR. Such corroborative action of eCO_2 on antioxidant defense mechanisms was evident in lower levels of H_2O_2 and MDA. In accordance, the levels of H_2O_2 , MDA, and protein carbonyls, the product of protein oxidation, were highly reduced in response to eCO_2 in Hgstressed rice plants [17]. They ascribed such a decrease in the oxidative stress markers to the supporting action of eCO_2 on molecular and enzymatic antioxidants as well as the recycling of ASC and GSH. More or less similar results were recorded in metal-stressed plants (either as bulk or nano forms) grown in CO₂-enriched atmospheres [16,17,28,29,52,53]. Another suggested mechanism whereby eCO₂ mitigated the oxidative stress induced by Eu is modulating ROS at the production level through the inhibition of photorespiration [50]. This hypothesis is supported by the earlier studies that recorded the marked inhibition in the activities of photorespiration enzymes (glycolate oxidase and hydroxymethylbutenyl diphosphate reductase) and the reduction in the glycine/serine ratio, as a measure for photorespiration rate, in heavy-metal-stressed C3 plants when exposed to eCO₂ [16,28,44]. Moreover, we noticed a significant increase in the activity of GST through the co-application of Eu and eCO_2 , which supports the detoxification of Eu, due to the well-known role of GST in the detoxification of heavy metals and xenobiotics [54].

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Growth and Treatments

A homogenous quantity of surface-sterilized (sodium hypochlorite, 5% v/v) barley grains (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) was seeded in 15 cm depth × 13 cm diameter pots occupied by an artificial soil (30% Tref EGO substrates, Moerdijk, The Netherlands, mixed with 70% sterilized sand). Ten seeds were sown in each pot then thinned to 5 after 7 days from emergence. The soil composition for 1 g air-dried soil was as follows: carbon (11.7 mg), nitrate-nitrogen (14.8 mg), ammonium-N (1.1 mg), phosphorus (9.4 mg), and the humidity was 0.33 g water. Plants were arranged in 4 set-ups: (1) ambient CO₂ (aCO₂, 420 ppm CO₂ (control)); (2) 1.09 mmol Eu³⁺/kg soil under aCO₂ + (Eu); (3) elevated CO₂ (eCO₂, 620 ppm); and (4) 1.09 mmol Eu³⁺/kg soil under eCO₂ + (Eu + eCO₂). Eu was applied as

EuCl₃·6H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany). To obtain the desired concentration of Eu³⁺, the soil was uniformly mixed with a definite amount of EuCl₃·6H₂O stock solution and then air-dried. The Eu³⁺ concentration was designated according to a preliminary experiment, based on growth retardation and the accumulation of oxidative stress markers, and the eCO₂ level was identified based on the IPCC-SRES B2-state estimation of eCO₂ of the year 2100 Murray and Ebi [21]. The pots were kept in a growth chamber at 21/18 °C in a 16/8h day/night photoperiod (150 µmol PAR m⁻² s⁻¹, 60% humidity). The pots were randomly organized in the growth chamber and watered daily to stabilize soil water content to 65%. To decrease bias, the experiment was duplicated by exchanging the two CO₂ levels among the cabinets. Following four weeks after sowing, the plants were collected, the shoots' and roots' fresh and dry weights were identified, and the soil from rhizosphere was collected. Plant materials were taken in liquid N and kept at -80 °C. Soil samples were taken in an ice box and kept at -20 °C for further investigation. Treatments were completed with five replicates.

4.2. Photosynthesis-Related Parameters

The stomatal conductance (gs) and light-saturated photosynthetic rate (Asat) were evaluated from the fully enlarged youngest leaves (LI-COR LI-6400, LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) as reported by Abdelgawad et al. [55]. Photosynthetic pigment content was detected in acetone extract and estimated according to Porra et al. [56]. From the dark-adapted leaves of four-week-old plants, chlorophyll fluorescence was estimated via a fluorimeter (PAM2000, Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) over a 30 min period.

4.3. Determination of Eu Accumulation

For Eu extraction, the dried plant samples at 70 °C were processed in 13 M nitric acid at 185 °C for 25 min [57]. Afterward, element concentration was measured with a quadrupole inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS; model 820-MS) connected with glass nebulizer at 0.4 mL/min. The external standards were made at concentrations of 1–600 μ g/L for calibration curves. As an internal standard during extraction, yttrium was additionally added to adjust nebulizer efficacy. Standard minerals were made in 0.23 M nitric acid.

4.4. Oxidative Stress Markers

As an indicator for lipid peroxidation, malondialdehyde (MDA) was detected in plant materials using the thiobarbituric acid method according to Hodges et al. [58]. For detecting hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), the xylenol orange approach was used following plant materials extraction in trichloroacetic acid (0.1%) as reported by Jiang et al. [59].

4.5. Total Antioxidant Capacity and Antioxidant Metabolites

Liquid-N2 was used for grinding plant materials that extracted in 80% ice-cold ethanol (2 mL) using a MagNALyser. The TAC of the extracts was determined with Ferric reducing/antioxidant power (FRAP) assay by a microplate reader at 600 nm [60]. Trolox was used as standard. Reduced glutathione (GSH) and reduced ascorbate (ASC) were determined with HPLC analysis [61]. After reduction with DTT, the total ascorbate (ASC + DHA) and glutathione (GSH + GSSG) content were evaluated. Ethanol (80%, v/v) was used for the extraction of phenolic compounds. Afterward, a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-Vis 1601 PC, Japan) was used for polyphenol [62] and flavonoid [63] measurements. Following hexane extraction, extracts (CentriVap concentrator, Labconco, KA, USA) were taken for tocopherols detection using HPLC (Shimadzu, Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands; normal phase conditions, Particil Pac 5 μ m column material, length 250 mm, i.d. 4.6 mm). In total, 5 ppm of dimethyl tocol was applied as an internal standard.

4.6. Antioxidant Enzymes and Glutathione-S-Transferase

MagNALyser (Roche, Vilvoorde, Belgium) was used for antioxidant enzyme extraction in potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) accompanied with polyvinyl pyrrolidone (10%, w/v), Triton X-100 (0.25%, v/v), phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (1 mM), and ASC (1 mM). Subsequently, samples were centrifuged for 10 min (13,000 rpm, 4 °C) and the supernatant was taken for the activity evaluation of catalase (CAT, EC1.11.1.6), superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC 1.15.1.1), ascorbate peroxidase (APX, EC 1.11.1.11), peroxidase (POX, EC 1.11.1), glutathione reductase (GR, EC1.6.4.2), glutathione peroxidase (GPX, EC 1.11.1.9), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR, EC 1.6.5.4), and dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR, EC 1.8.5.1).

The activity of SOD was evaluated from the inhibition of nitroblue tetrazolium reduction at 560 nm [64]. The activity of POX was detected via the evaluation of the pyrogallol oxidation (ε 430 = 2.47 mM⁻¹·cm⁻¹) following the method approved by Kumar and Khan [65]. The activity of CAT was examined by assessing the dissociation of hydrogen peroxide at 240 nm (ε 240 = 0.0436 mM⁻¹·cm⁻¹) according to Aebi [66]. APX, MDHAR, DHAR, and GR activities were measured as described by Murshed et al. [67]. The activity of GPX was analyzed by quantifying NADPH oxidation decrement at 340 nm (ε 340 = 6.22 mM⁻¹·cm⁻¹) as reported by [48]. Potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) was used for the extraction of glutathione-S-transferase (GST, EC 2.5.1.18) and further measured [68]. The soluble proteins in the extracts were determined according to the method reported by Lowry et al. [69].

4.7. Statistical Analyses

Experiments were conducted in a completely randomized block design. Data analyses were performed using the Statistical Analysis System (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data normality and the homogeneity of variances were tested using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and Levene's test, respectively. All the data were treated with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$) was conducted as the post hoc test for separation of means. Five replicates for each experiment were conducted (n = 5).

5. Conclusions

This study provides the first report regarding the interactive effect of Eu and eCO_2 on the growth and physiology of plants. Besides presenting a comprehensive investigation for the oxidative phytotoxicity induced by Eu accumulation in soils, Eu, at a concentration of 1.09 mmol Eu³⁺/kg soil, caused sharp reductions in growth and photosynthesis and induced sever oxidative damage in the treated plants. Compared to Eu alone, the coapplication of eCO_2 improved plant biomass and photosynthesis efficiency and mitigated Eu-induced oxidative damage. Such positive implications of eCO_2 could be ascribed to two main plausible strategies including: (1) the recovery of the deleterious effect of Eu on the photochemical efficiency of PS II (Fv/Fm) and, hence, mitigating the photosynthesis rate; and (2) modulating ROS homeostasis at both production and detoxification levels.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.A. and A.M.S.; methodology, H.E.A.A., H.A. and A.M.S.; software, A.M.S.; validation, M.M.Y.M. and A.M.A.K.; formal analysis, H.A. and H.E.A.A.; investigation, A.M.S.; resources, H.A., M.M.Y.M., A.M.A.K. and A.M.S.; data curation, H.E.A.A. and A.M.S.; writing—original draft preparation, H.E.A.A. and A.M.S.; writing—review and editing, H.A., M.M.Y.M. and A.M.A.K.; tormal a.M.S.; writing—original draft preparation, H.E.A.A. and A.M.S.; supervision, H.A. and A.M.S.; project administration, A.M.S.; funding acquisition, M.M.Y.M., A.M.A.K. and A.M.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Deputyship for Research & Innovation, Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia for funding [grant number 445-9-170].

Data Availability Statement: The data generated and analyzed during this study are included in this article.

Acknowledgments: The authors extend their appreciation to the Deputyship for Research & Innovation, Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through the project number 445-9-170.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Tyler, G. Rare Earth Elements in Soil and Plant Systems—A Review. Plant Soil 2004, 267, 191–206. [CrossRef]
- 2. Pecharsky, V.K.; Gschneidner, K.A. Rare-Earth Element, Encyclopaedia Britannica. Encycl. Br. 2014, 10, 2017.
- 3. Patil, A.S.; Patil, A.V.; Dighavkar, C.G.; Adole, V.A.; Tupe, U.J. Synthesis Techniques and Applications of Rare Earth Metal Oxides Semiconductors: A Review. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2022**, *796*, 139555. [CrossRef]
- Xu, X.; Zhu, W.; Wang, Z.; Witkamp, G.J. Distributions of Rare Earths and Heavy Metals in Field-Grown Maize after Application of Rare Earth-Containing Fertilizer. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2002, 293, 97–105. [CrossRef]
- Golroudbary, S.R.; Makarava, I.; Kraslawski, A.; Repo, E. Global Environmental Cost of Using Rare Earth Elements in Green Energy Technologies. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2022, 832, 155022. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez-López, A.L.; Perfecto-Avalos, Y.; Sanchez-Martinez, A.; Ceballos-Sanchez, O.; Sepulveda-Villegas, M.; Rincón-Enríquez, G.; Rodríguez-González, V.; Garcia-Varela, R.; Lozano, L.M.; Navarro-López, D.E.; et al. Influence of Erbium Doping on Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles: Structural, Optical and Antimicrobial Activity. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2022, 575, 151764. [CrossRef]
- Moll, H.; Sachs, S.; Geipel, G. Plant Cell (Brassica Napus) Response to Europium(III) and Uranium(VI) Exposure. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2020, 27, 32048–32061. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jessat, J.; Moll, H.; John, W.A.; Bilke, M.L.; Hübner, R.; Kretzschmar, J.; Steudtner, R.; Drobot, B.; Stumpf, T.; Sachs, S. A Comprehensive Study on the Interaction of Eu(III) and U(VI) with Plant Cells (Daucus Carota) in Suspension. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2022, 439, 129520. [CrossRef]
- Liu, H.; Liu, H.; Yang, Z.; Wang, K. Bone Mineral Density in Population Long-Term Exposed to Rare Earth Elements from a Mining Area of China. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 2021, 199, 453–464. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.; Liu, Y.J.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, A.N. Quantitative Contributions of the Major Sources of Heavy Metals in Soils to Ecosystem and Human Health Risks: A Case Study of Yulin, China. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2018, 164, 261–269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Aranjuelo, I.; Doustaly, F.; Cela, J.; Porcel, R.; Müller, M.; Aroca, R.; Munné-Bosch, S.; Bourguignon, J. Glutathione and Transpiration as Key Factors Conditioning Oxidative Stress in Arabidopsis Thaliana Exposed to Uranium. *Planta* 2014, 239, 817–830. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Serre, N.B.C.; Alban, C.; Bourguignon, J.; Ravanel, S. Uncovering the Physiological and Cellular Effects of Uranium on the Root System of Arabidopsis Thaliana. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 2019, 157, 121–130. [CrossRef]
- 13. Ramos, S.J.; Dinali, G.S.; Oliveira, C.; Martins, G.C.; Moreira, C.G.; Siqueira, J.O.; Guilherme, L.R.G. Rare Earth Elements in the Soil Environment. *Curr. Pollut. Rep.* 2016, *2*, 28–50. [CrossRef]
- 14. Tian, H.E.; Gao, Y.S.; Li, F.M.; Zeng, F. Effects of Europium Ions (Eu³⁺) on the Distribution and Related Biological Activities of Elements in *Lathyrus sativus* L. Roots. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* **2003**, *93*, 257–269. [CrossRef]
- 15. Zenk, M.H. Heavy Metal Detoxification in Higher Plants-a Review. Gene 1996, 179, 21–30. [CrossRef]
- AbdElgawad, H.; Sheteiwy, M.S.; Saleh, A.M.; Mohammed, A.E.; Alotaibi, M.O.; Beemster, G.T.S.; Madany, M.M.Y.; van Dijk, J.R. Elevated CO₂ Differentially Mitigates Chromium (VI) Toxicity in Two Rice Cultivars by Modulating Mineral Homeostasis and Improving Redox Status. *Chemosphere* 2022, 307, 135880. [CrossRef]
- Saleh, A.M.; Hassan, Y.M.; Habeeb, T.H.; Alkhalaf, A.A.; Hozzein, W.N.; Selim, S.; AbdElgawad, H. Interactive Effects of Mercuric Oxide Nanoparticles and Future Climate CO₂ on Maize Plant. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, 401, 123849. [CrossRef]
- Mittova, V.; Guy, M.; Tal, M.; Volokita, M. Salinity Up-Regulates the Antioxidative System in Root Mitochondria and Peroxisomes of the Wild Salt-Tolerant Tomato Species Lycopersicon Pennellii. J. Exp. Bot. 2004, 55, 1105–1113. [CrossRef]
- 19. Muszyńska, E.; Labudda, M.; Kral, A. Ecotype-Specific Pathways of Reactive Oxygen Species Deactivation in Facultative Metallophyte Silene Vulgaris (Moench) Garcke Treated with Heavy Metals. *Antioxidants* **2020**, *9*, 102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- NOAA Carbon Dioxide Now More than 50% Higher than Pre-Industrial Levels. 2022. Available online: https://www.noaa.gov/ news-release/carbon-dioxide-now-more-than-50-higher-than-pre-industrial-levels (accessed on 28 July 2023).
- 21. Murray, V.; Ebi, K.L. IPCC Special Report on Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation (SREX). *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* **2012**, *66*, 759–760. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Stocker, T.F.; Qin, D.; Plattner, G.-K.; Tignor, M.M.B.; Allen, S.K.; Boschung, J.; Nauels, A.; Xia, Y.; Bex, V.; Midgley, P.M. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. In *Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of IPCC the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, USA; 1535p.
- Al Jaouni, S.; Saleh, A.M.; Wadaan, M.A.M.; Hozzein, W.N.; Selim, S.; AbdElgawad, H. Elevated CO₂ Induces a Global Metabolic Change in Basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) and Peppermint (*Mentha piperita* L.) and Improves Their Biological Activity. *J. Plant Physiol.* 2018, 224–225, 121–131. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Saleh, A.M.; Selim, S.; Al Jaouni, S.; AbdElgawad, H. CO₂ Enrichment Can Enhance the Nutritional and Health Benefits of Parsley (*Petroselinum crispum* L.) and Dill (*Anethum graveolens* L.). *Food Chem.* **2018**, 269, 519–526. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Zinta, G.; AbdElgawad, H.; Domagalska, M.A.; Vergauwen, L.; Knapen, D.; Nijs, I.; Janssens, I.A.; Beemster, G.T.S.; Asard, H. Physiological, Biochemical, and Genome-Wide Transcriptional Analysis Reveals That Elevated CO₂ Mitigates the Impact of Combined Heat Wave and Drought Stress in Arabidopsis Thaliana at Multiple Organizational Levels. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2014, 20, 3670–3685. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- AbdElgawad, H.; Zinta, G.; Beemster, G.T.S.; Janssens, I.A.; Asard, H. Future Climate CO₂ Levels Mitigate Stress Impact on Plants: Increased Defense or Decreased Challenge? *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, 7, 556. [CrossRef]
- 27. AbdElgawad, H.; Mohammed, A.E.; van Dijk, J.R.; Beemster, G.T.S.; Alotaibi, M.O.; Saleh, A.M. The Impact of Chromium Toxicity on the Yield and Quality of Rice Grains Produced under Ambient and Elevated Levels of CO 2. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- AbdElgawad, H.; El-Sawah, A.M.; Mohammed, A.E.; Alotaibi, M.O.; Yehia, R.S.; Selim, S.; Saleh, A.M.; Beemster, G.T.S.; Sheteiwy, M.S. Increasing Atmospheric CO₂ Differentially Supports Arsenite Stress Mitigating Impact of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Wheat and Soybean Plants. *Chemosphere* 2022, 296, 134044. [CrossRef]
- Saleh, A.M.; Hassan, Y.M.; Selim, S.; AbdElgawad, H. NiO-Nanoparticles Induce Reduced Phytotoxic Hazards in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) Grown under Future Climate CO₂. *Chemosphere* 2019, 220, 1047–1057. [CrossRef]
- Singh, L.; Park, R.F.; Dracatos, P.; Ziems, L.; Singh, D. Understanding the Expression and Interaction of Rph Genes Conferring Seedling and Adult Plant Resistance to Puccinia Hordei in Barley. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.* 2021, 43, S218–S226. [CrossRef]
- 31. Vaezi, B.; Pour-Aboughadareh, A.; Mohammadi, R.; Armion, M.; Mehraban, A.; Hossein-Pour, T.; Dorii, M. GGE Biplot and AMMI Analysis of Barley Yield Performance in Iran. *Cereal Res. Commun.* **2017**, *45*, 500–511. [CrossRef]
- 32. OECD Consensus Document on Compositional Considerations for New Varieties of Cotton (Gossypium Hirsutum and Gossypium Barbadense): Key Food and Feed Nutrients and Anti-Nutrients. *Ser. Saf. Nov. Foods Feed.* **2004**, 32.
- 33. FAO. World Food and Agriculture Statistical Yearbook 2021; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2021.
- 34. Rahmani, A.; Asghari, A.; Jafari, H.; Sofalian, O. QTL Mapping for Physiological Traits Affecting Lead Tolerance in the *Hordeum Vulgare* L. *Environ. Stress. Crop Sci.* **2021**, *14*, 849–860.
- 35. Gao, Y.; Zeng, F.; Yi, A.; Ping, S.; Jing, L. Research of the Entry of Rare Earth Elements Eu 3+ and La 3+ into Plant Cell. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 2003, 91, 253–265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yuan, D.; Shan, X.; Huai, Q.; Wen, B.; Zhu, X. Uptake and Distribution of Rare Earth Elements in Rice Seeds Cultured in Fertilizer Solution of Rare Earth Elements. *Chemosphere* 2001, 43, 327–337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Tagami, K.; Uchida, S. Transfer of REEs from Nutrient Solution to Radish through Fine Roots and Their Distribution in the Plant. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2006**, *408*, 409–412. [CrossRef]
- Stadler, J.; Vogel, M.; Steudtner, R.; Drobot, B.; Kogiomtzidis, A.L.; Weiss, M.; Walther, C. The Chemical Journey of Europium(III) through Winter Rye (*Secale cereale* L.)—Understanding through Mass Spectrometry and Chemical Microscopy. *Chemosphere* 2023, 313, 137252. [CrossRef]
- Malik, B.; Pirzadah, T.B.; Tahir, I.; Rehman, R.U. Growth and Physiological Responses in Chicory towards Mercury Induced in Vitro Oxidative Stress. *Plant Physiol. Rep.* 2019, 24, 236–248. [CrossRef]
- 40. Chaudhary, S.; Sharma, P.; Kumar, S.; Alex, S.A.; Kumar, R.; Mehta, S.K.; Mukherjee, A.; Umar, A. A Comparative Multi-Assay Approach to Study the Toxicity Behaviour of Eu2O3 Nanoparticles. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2018**, *269*, 783–795. [CrossRef]
- 41. Kupcsik, L. Estimation of Cell Number Based on Metabolic Activity: The MTT Reduction Assay. *Mamm. Cell Viability Methods Protoc.* **2011**, 740, 13–19.
- 42. Rice-Evans, C.A.; Miller, N.J.; Paganga, G. Structure-Antioxidant Activity Relationships of Flavonoids and Phenolic Acids. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **1996**, *20*, 933–956. [CrossRef]
- 43. Fernandez, V.; Barnaby, J.Y.; Tomecek, M.; Codling, E.E.; Ziska, L.H. Elevated CO₂ May Reduce Arsenic Accumulation in Diverse Ecotypes of Arabidopsis Thaliana. *J. Plant Nutr.* **2018**, *41*, 645–653. [CrossRef]
- AbdElgawad, H.; Hassan, Y.M.; Alotaibi, M.O.; Mohammed, A.E.; Saleh, A.M. C3 and C4 Plant Systems Respond Differently to the Concurrent Challenges of Mercuric Oxide Nanoparticles and Future Climate CO₂. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2020, 749, 142356. [CrossRef]
- 45. Habeeb, T.H.; Abdel-mawgoud, M.; Yehia, R.S.; Khalil, A.M.A.; Saleh, A.M.; AbdElgawad, H. Interactive Impact of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Elevated CO₂ on Growth and Functional Food Value of Thymus Vulgare. *J. Fungi* **2020**, *6*, 168. [CrossRef]
- 46. Saleh, A.M.; Kebeish, R. Coumarin Impairs Redox Homeostasis in Wheat Aleurone Layers. J. Plant Res. 2018, 131, 157–163. [CrossRef]
- Lejay, L.; Wirth, J.; Pervent, M.; Cross, J.M.-F.; Tillard, P.; Gojon, A. Oxidative Pentose Phosphate Pathway-Dependent Sugar Sensing as a Mechanism for Regulation of Root Ion Transporters by Photosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* 2008, 146, 2036–2053. [CrossRef]
- Quan, L.-J.; Zhang, B.; Shi, W.-W.; Li, H.-Y. Hydrogen Peroxide in Plants: A Versatile Molecule of the Reactive Oxygen Species Network. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 2008, 50, 2–18. [CrossRef]
- Karuppanapandian, T.; Moon, J.-C.; Kim, C.; Manoharan, K.; Kim, W. Reactive Oxygen Species in Plants: Their Generation, Signal Transduction, and Scavenging Mechanisms. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 2011, *5*, 709–725.
- Foyer, C.H.; Noctor, G. Tansley Review No. 112 Oxygen Processing in Photosynthesis: Regulation and Signalling. *New Phytol.* 2000, 146, 359–388. [CrossRef]
- Ghnaya, A.B.; Charles, G.; Hourmant, A.; Hamida, J.B.; Branchard, M. Physiological Behaviour of Four Rapeseed Cultivar (*Brassica napus* L.) Submitted to Metal Stress. C. R. Biol. 2009, 332, 363–370. [CrossRef]

- 52. Saleh, A.M.; Madany, M. Investigation of the Allelopathic Potential of Alhagi Graecorum Boiss. *Asian J. Agric. Res.* **2014**, *8*, 42–50. [CrossRef]
- AbdElgawad, H.; de Soua, A.; Alotaibi, M.O.; Mohammed, A.E.; Schoenaers, S.; Selim, S.; Saleh, A.M. The Differential Tolerance of C3 and C4 Cereals to Aluminum Toxicity Is Faded under Future CO₂ Climate. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2021, 169, 249–258. [CrossRef]
- 54. Yadav, S.K. Heavy Metals Toxicity in Plants: An Overview on the Role of Glutathione and Phytochelatins in Heavy Metal Stress Tolerance of Plants. *South African J. Bot.* **2010**, *76*, 167–179. [CrossRef]
- 55. Abdelgawad, H.; Farfan-vignolo, E.R.; De Vos, D.; Asard, H. Elevated CO₂ Mitigates Drought and Temperature-Induced Oxidative Stress Differently in Grasses and Legumes. *Plant Sci.* **2015**, *231*, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Porra, R.J.; Thompson, W.A.; Kriedemann, P.E. Determination of Accurate Extinction Coefficients and Simultaneous Equations for Assaying Chlorophylls a and b Extracted with Four Different Solvents: Verification of the Concentration of Chlorophyll Standards by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Bioenerg.* 1989, 975, 384–394. [CrossRef]
- 57. Agusa, T.; Kunito, T.; Yasunaga, G.; Iwata, H.; Subramanian, A.; Ismail, A.; Tanabe, S. Concentrations of Trace Elements in Marine Fish and Its Risk Assessment in Malaysia. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2005**, *51*, 896–911. [CrossRef]
- Hodges, D.M.; DeLong, J.M.; Forney, C.F.; Prange, R.K. Improving the Thiobarbituric Acid-Reactive-Substances Assay for Estimating Lipid Peroxidation in Plant Tissues Containing Anthocyanin and Other Interfering Compounds. *Planta* 1999, 207, 604–611. [CrossRef]
- 59. Jiang, Z.-Y.; Woollard, A.C.S.; Wolff, S.P. Hydrogen Peroxide Production during Experimental Protein Glycation. *Febs Lett.* **1990**, 268, 69–71. [CrossRef]
- Benzie, I.F.F.; Strain, J.J. [2] Ferric Reducing/Antioxidant Power Assay: Direct Measure of Total Antioxidant Activity of Biological Fluids and Modified Version for Simultaneous Measurement of Total Antioxidant Power and Ascorbic Acid Concentration. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1999; Volume 299, pp. 15–27.
- 61. Potters, G.; Horemans, N.; Bellone, S.; Caubergs, R.J.; Trost, P.; Guisez, Y.; Asard, H. Dehydroascorbate Influences the Plant Cell Cycle through a Glutathione-Independent Reduction Mechanism. *Plant Physiol.* **2004**, *134*, 1479–1487. [CrossRef]
- 62. Zhang, Q.; Zhang, J.; Shen, J.; Silva, A.; Dennis, D.A.; Barrow, C.J. A Simple 96-Well Microplate Method for Estimation of Total Polyphenol Content in Seaweeds. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2006**, *18*, 445–450. [CrossRef]
- 63. Chang, C.-C.; Yang, M.-H.; Wen, H.-M.; Chern, J.-C. Estimation of Total Flavonoid Content in Propolis by Two Complementary Colorimetric Methods. J. Food Drug Anal. 2002, 10.
- 64. Dhindsa, R.S.; Plumb-Dhindsa, P.L.; Reid, D.M. Leaf Senescence and Lipid Peroxidation: Effects of Some Phytohormones, and Scavengers of Free Radicals and Singlet Oxygen. *Physiol. Plant.* **1982**, *56*, 453–457. [CrossRef]
- 65. Kumar, K.B.; Khan, P.A. Peroxidase and Polyphenol Oxidase in Excised Ragi (Eleusine Corocana Cv PR 202) Leaves during Senescence. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* **1982**, *20*, 412–416.
- 66. Aebi, H. [13] Catalase in vitro. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1984; Volume 105, pp. 121–126. ISBN 0076-6879.
- 67. Murshed, R.; Lopez-Lauri, F.; Sallanon, H. Microplate Quantification of Enzymes of the Plant Ascorbate–Glutathione Cycle. *Anal. Biochem.* **2008**, *383*, 320–322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Diopan, V.; Shestivska, V.; Adam, V.; Macek, T.; Mackova, M.; Havel, L.; Kizek, R. Determination of Content of Metallothionein and Low Molecular Mass Stress Peptides in Transgenic Tobacco Plants. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 2008, 94, 291–298. [CrossRef]
- 69. Lowry, O.H.; Rosebrough, N.J.; Farr, A.L.; Randall, R.J. Protein Measurement with the Folin Phenol Reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 1951, 193, 265–275. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.