

Article

The Effect of Some Wild Grown Plant Extracts and Essential Oils on *Pectobacterium betavascularum*: The Causative Agent of Bacterial Soft Rot and Vascular Wilt of Sugar Beet

Mina Rastgou ^{1,*} , Younes Rezaee Danesh ¹, Sezai Ercisli ² , R. Z. Sayyed ^{3,4} , Hesham Ali El Enshasy ^{5,6,7} , Daniel Joe Dailin ^{5,6}, Saleh Alfarraj ⁸ and Mohammad Javed Ansari ⁹ 

- ¹ Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Urmia University, Urmia 5756151818, Iran; y.rdanesh@yahoo.com
- ² Department of Horticulture, Agricultural Faculty, Ataturk University, Erzurum 25240, Turkey; sercisli@atauni.edu.tr
- ³ Department of Entomology, Asian PGPR Society for Sustainable Agriculture, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36830, USA; sayyedrz@gmail.com
- ⁴ Department of Microbiology, PSGVP Mandal's Shri S I Patil Arts, G B Patel Science and STKVS Commerce College, Shahada 425409, India
- ⁵ Institute of Bioproduct Development (IBD), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Johor 81310, Malaysia; henshasy@ibd.utm.my (H.A.E.E.); jddaniel@utm.my (D.J.D.)
- ⁶ School of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Johor 81310, Malaysia
- ⁷ City of Scientific Research and Technology Applications (SRTA), New Borg Al Arab 21934, Egypt
- ⁸ Zoology Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia; salfarraj@hotmail.com
- ⁹ Department of Botany, Hindu College, Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University Bareilly, Moradabad 244001, India; mjavedansari@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: m.rastgou@urmia.ac.ir



Citation: Rastgou, M.; Rezaee Danesh, Y.; Ercisli, S.; Sayyed, R.Z.; El Enshasy, H.A.; Dailin, D.J.; Alfarraj, S.; Ansari, M.J. The Effect of Some Wild Grown Plant Extracts and Essential Oils on *Pectobacterium betavascularum*: The Causative Agent of Bacterial Soft Rot and Vascular Wilt of Sugar Beet. *Plants* **2022**, *11*, 1155. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11091155>

Academic Editors: Sebastian Granica, Ahmed A. Hussein and Suresh Awale

Received: 12 February 2022

Accepted: 21 April 2022

Published: 25 April 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: The bacterial soft rot and vascular wilt of sugar beet are the major diseases of sugar crops globally induced by *Pectobacterium betavascularum* and *P. carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum* (*Pcc*). The control of this bacterial disease is a severe problem, and only a few copper-based chemical bactericides are available for this disease. Because of the limitations of chemicals to control plant bacterial pathogens, the essential oils and extracts have been considered one of the best alternative strategies for their control. In this study, twenty-seven essential oils and twenty-nine plant extracts were extracted and evaluated for their antibacterial activities against *Pectobacterium betavascularum* isolate C3, using the agar diffusion method at 0.01%, 0.1%, and 100% (*v/v*). Pure *Pimpinella anisum* L. oil exhibited the most anti-bacterial activity among three different concentrations of essential oils and extracts, followed by *Thymus vulgaris* L. oil and *Rosa multiflora* Thunb. extract. The efficacy of effective essential oils and extracts on Ic₁ cultivar of sugar beet seeds germination and seedling growth in vivo also were tested. The seed germination of the Ic₁ cultivar was inhibited at all the concentrations of essential oils used. Only extracts of *Rosa multiflora* Thunb., *Brassica oleracea* L., *Lactuca serriola* L., *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn., *Syzygium aromaticum* (L.) Merr. and L.M.Perry, *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill., and essential oils of *Ocimum basilicum* L., *Pimpinella anisum* L., and *Mentha × piperita* L.L. in 0.1% concentration had no inhibition on seed germination and could improve seedling growth. This is the first report of the antibacterial activity of essential oils and extracts on *Pectobacterium betavascularum*.

Keywords: antibacterial; essential oil; plant extract; sugar beet; *Pectobacterium betavascularum*

1. Introduction

Bacterial sugar beet soft rot disease caused mainly by *Pectobacterium betavascularum* and *P. carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum* (*Pcc*) (formerly *Erwinia carotovora* ssp. *carotovora*). The genus *Pectobacterium* is an important Gram-negative plant pathogen that belongs to

the family Enterobacteriaceae. This genus causes severe losses of many commercial crops, including sugar cane, in the field and during storage [1–3]. It is proven that *Pectobacterium betavascularum* (Pb) is the causal agent of sugar beet soft rot and vascular wilt disease in Iran [4]. The control of bacterial diseases is a severe problem in plant production. Only a few chemical bactericides are available for management using copper-based bactericidal substances or different antibiotics [5–7]. It is shown that chemical bactericides have limitations such as general toxicity, the development of resistant bacterial strains, and negative effects on the environment and the yield because of accumulation in the food chain and long degradation periods. Different plant compounds have been tested for antimicrobial activity as an alternative strategy for plant protection against pathogens, including bacteria. Essential oils, as odorous and volatile products of the secondary metabolism of aromatic plants, normally formed in special cells or groups of cells, could be used as antimicrobial agents in the control of the disease caused by bacteria [8,9] and were proved to be better substitutes for synthetic antibiotics as they have an easily biodegradable nature [10]. Their antimicrobial activity is assigned to small terpenoids and phenolic compounds [11]. The inhibitory effect of some essential oils has been demonstrated on some plant pathogenic bacteria, including *Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp. *michiganensis* [12,13], *Pectobacterium carotovorum* [14–20], *Pseudomonas mariginialis* pv. *mariginialis*, *Erwinia chrysantemi* [21] *Ralstonia solanacearum* [14], *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *malvacearum*, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* [13], *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*, *Xanthomonas arboricola* pv. *pruni* [22], *Xanthomonas oryzae*, *Xanthomonas malvacearum* [23], *Erwinia amylovora* [19,24–26], *Dickeya solani*, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* [24–26], *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [27], *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*, *X. oryzae* pv. *oryzicola* [28], *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* [29]. Plant extracts from different organs of plants, including roots, barks, seeds, shoots, leaves, and fruits, were studied for anti-bacterial abilities against plant pathogenic bacteria [30–36]. The interest in studying the antimicrobial activities of essential oils and plant extracts for plant disease control, especially bacterial diseases, has recently increased [23]. The present work aimed to evaluate the antibacterial activities of twenty-seven essential oils and twenty-nine plant extracts on *Pectobacterium betavascularum*; the causal agent of bacterial soft rot and vascular wilt of sugar beet in Iran. We also tested the efficacy of these essential oils and extracts on seed germination and stem and root growth of sugar beet seedlings. The detailed information on the anti-bacterial effect of these essential oils and extracts on *Pectobacterium betavascularum* is scarce.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Extraction

2.1.1. Essential Oils

The essential oil of all 27 air-dried plants (100 g) was extracted by hydro-distillation for 4–6 h using a Clevenger apparatus [37]. The distillate oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄) and stored in closed dark vials at 4 °C. This extract was serially diluted with sterilized distilled water. The plants were used for extraction are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Essential oils extracted by hydro-distillation and tested for antibacterial activity against *P. betavascularum*.

Essential Oils		
Family Name	Scientific Name	Common Name
Lamiaceae	<i>Thymus vulgaris</i> L.	Thyme
Lamiaceae	<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	Sweet basil
Lamiaceae	<i>Salvia rosmarinus</i> Spenn.	Common Rosemary
Lamiaceae	<i>Mentha × piperita</i> L.	Peppermint

Table 1. Cont.

Essential Oils		
Family Name	Scientific Name	Common Name
Apiaceae	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Mill.	Fennel
Apiaceae	<i>Cuminum cyminum</i> L.	Cumin
Asteraceae	<i>Filifolium sibiricum</i> (L.) Kitam.	Artemisia
Lamiaceae	<i>Origanum majorana</i> L.	Marjoram
Apiaceae	<i>Heracleum persicum</i> Desf. ex Fisch.	Golpar
Myrtaceae	<i>Syzygium aromaticum</i> (L.) Merr. & L.M.Perry	Clove
Apiaceae	<i>Anethum graveolens</i> L.	Dill
Rutaceae	<i>Citrus × sinensis</i> (L.) Osbeck	Orange
Asteraceae	<i>Achillea millefolium</i> L.	Yarrow
Lamiaceae	<i>Satureja hortensis</i> L.	Summer savory
Apiaceae	<i>Pimpinella anisum</i> L.	Anise
Myrtaceae	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Labill.	Eucalyptus
Lamiaceae	<i>Mentha pulegium</i> L.	Pennyroyal
Cupressaceae	<i>Juniperus polycarpus</i> K.Koch	Juniper
Asteraceae	<i>Artemisia dracunculus</i> L. not Hook.f. 1881	Tarragon
Lamiaceae	<i>Teucrium polium</i> L.	Felty germander
Piperaceae	<i>Piper nigrum</i> L.	Black pepper
Asteraceae	<i>Chrysanthemum indicum</i> L.	Chrysanthemum
Apiaceae	<i>Petroselinum crispum</i> (Mill.) Fuss	Parsley
Myristicaceae	<i>Myristica fragrans</i> Houtt.	Nutmeg
Rutaceae	<i>Citrus reticulata</i> Blanco, 1837	Mandarin orange
Lamiaceae	<i>Lavandula officinalis</i> Mill.	Lavender
Solanaceae	<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> L.	Tobacco

2.1.2. Plant Extracts

One-hundred grams of all the 29 plant leaves were powdered and extracted separately in ethanol by soxhlet extraction [38]. Extraction was done for 18–24 h. Ethanol was evaporated in a water bath. A 5 g of each plant was soaked in 95 mL distilled water for 1 h at room temperature for aqueous extracts. Each extract was serially diluted with sterilized water. The plants were used for extraction are summarized in Table 2. Leaves were used to extract almost all plants, and flowers and leaves were used for *Robinia pseudocacacia* L. and *Satureja hortensis* L. All the plant materials used in the present study were kindly supplied by the Medicinal and Aromatic Plants collection of the Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran.

Table 2. Plant extracts extracted by soxhlet extraction method and tested for antibacterial activity against *P. betavascularum*.

Plant Extracts		
Family Name	Scientific Name	Common Name
Lamiaceae	<i>Thymus vulgaris</i> L.	Thyme
Lamiaceae	<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	Sweet basil
Lamiaceae	<i>Salvia rosmarinus</i> Spenn.	Common Rosemary

Table 2. Cont.

Plant Extracts		
Family Name	Scientific Name	Common Name
Lamiaceae	<i>Mentha × piperita</i> L.	Peppermint
Asteraceae	<i>Lactuca serriola</i> L.	Prickly lettuce
Rosaceae	<i>Rosa multiflora</i> Thunb.	Hybrid tea rose
Ranunculaceae	<i>Staphisagria macrosperma</i> Spach, 1839	Larkspur
Apiaceae	<i>Ca sativum</i> L.	Coriander
Rosaceae	<i>Chaenomeles japonica</i> (Thunb.) Lindl. ex Spach	Japanese quince
Fabaceae	<i>Caesalpinia pulcherrima</i> (L.) Sw.	Poinciana
Myrtaceae	<i>Syzygium aromaticum</i> (L.) Merr. & L.M.Perry	Clove
Apocynaceae	<i>Nerium oleander</i> L.	oleander
Fabaceae	<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	Black Locust
Lamiaceae	<i>Satureja hortensis</i> L.	Summer savory
Apiaceae	<i>Pimpinella anisum</i> L.	Anise
Myrtaceae	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Labill.	Eucalyptus
Lamiaceae	<i>Mentha pulegium</i> L.	Pennyroyal
Cupressaceae	<i>Juniperus polycarpus</i> K.Koch	Juniper
Asteraceae	<i>Artemisia dracunculus</i> L. not Hook.f. 1881	Tarragon
Elaeagnaceae	<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> L.	Russian olive
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Ricinus communis</i> L.	Castor bean
Amaranthaceae	<i>Amaranthus retroflexus</i> L.	Common Amaranth
Apiaceae	<i>Petroselinum crispum</i> (Mill.) Fuss	Parsley
Lamiaceae	<i>Mentha aquatica</i> L.	Water mint
Brassicaceae	<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L.	Ornamental cabbage
Solanaceae	<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> L.	Tobacco
Apiaceae	<i>Eryngium maritimum</i> L.	Eryngo
Amaranthaceae	<i>Halostachys belangeriana</i> (Moq.) Botsch.	Halostachys

2.2. Bacterial Strain

Pectobacterium betavascularum strain C3, the causal agent of sugar beet soft rot and vascular wilt disease in Iran, was obtained from an Iranian collection (Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, Kerman University, Kerman, Iran). Bacterial culture was maintained on Luria–Bertani (LB) agar medium at 4 °C.

2.3. In Vitro Antibacterial Activity

The well diffusion method determined the in vitro antibacterial activity of the essential oils and plant extracts. Petri plates with 15 mL of nutrient agar (0.5% peptone, 0.3% beef extract/yeast extract, 1.5% bacteriological agar, 0.5% NaCl, Merck) were inoculated with 1.5×10^7 CFU/mL of 24 h suspensions of *Pectobacterium betavascularum*, grown on nutrient agar. Wells were cut into the agar and filled with 20 µL of each essential oil or extract. The plates were placed for 2 h at 4 °C to allow the diffusion of essential oils and extracts and cultivated for 24–48 h at 26 °C. After cultivation, the distinct growth inhibition zone around the wells was measured in mm. The antibacterial activity was assessed by measuring the diameter of the inhibition zone in mm. The experiments were performed in four replicates and 0.01% (10^{-2}), 0.1% (10^{-1}), and 100% solutions of the essential oils and extracts in absolute ethanol [29–31].

2.4. In Vivo Test

2.4.1. On Seed Germination

Sugar beet seeds of Ic₁ cultivar susceptible to *Pectobacterium betavascularum* were disinfested by emerging in 1% NaOH for 5 min, then rinsed and washed in sterilized water and air-dried at 24 °C according to [31]. Disinfested seeds were soaked for 30 s individually in two concentrations of oils and extracts (100% and 0.1) and then laid between two wet sterile Whatman filter papers in a Petri dish for 10 days at 26 °C, and 500 µL water was added to each petri dish daily. Petri dishes were checked daily to remove the germinated seeds and determine the negative effect of the oils and extracts on seed germination. This experiment was done in three replicates with 30 seeds for each replicate. In preliminary experiments, it was observed that several used essential oils and extracts caused phytotoxicity and inhibited seeds germination. To remove these negative adverse effects and statistical analysis with low errors, the negative efficacy of the essential oils and extracts was measured.

2.4.2. On Stem and Root Growth Rate of Seedling

After surface sterilization, sugar beet seeds of the Ic₁ cultivar were soaked in essential oils and extracts for 30 s. For soil infestation, *Pectobacterium betavascularum* (1×10^1 cfu/g) was added and mixed thoroughly to ensure an equal distribution of *Pectobacterium betavascularum* inoculum. Infested soil was then filled in sterile glass containers and irrigated daily for one week before sowing. Seeds were sown in infested soil and kept at 28–30 °C and relative humidity between 70–90% until the end of the experiment. Approximately five weeks after sowing, the seedling stem and root growth rate were measured. This experiment was done in four replicates. All tests were laid in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with four replicates. Glass containers filled with sterilized soil and sterilized seeds were used as a negative control, and glass containers filled with *Pectobacterium betavascularum*-infested soil and sterilized seeds were used as a positive control.

2.4.3. Statistical Analysis

All the experiments were performed in triplicates, Data was statistically analyzed, and mean values were calculated. Data were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using an SAS 2012 model (SAS Version 9.2., SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Effects were declared significant or non-significant at ($p \leq 0.01$) level of probability for those parameters where the ANOVA was significant using least significant difference (LSD) at 1% level of probability ($p \leq 0.01$) according to Duncan's multiple range test.

3. Results

3.1. In Vitro Test

The anti-bacterial activities of 27 essential oils, 29 plant extracts on *Pectobacterium betavascularum* showed significant differences among essential oils and extracts in inhibiting bacterial growth ($p \leq 0.01$). Essential oils of *Pimpinella anisum* L., *Thymus vulgaris* L., *Satureja hortensis* L., *Syzygium aromaticum*, *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill., *Ocimum basilicum* L., *Mentha pulegium* L., *Rosmarinus officinalis*, *Junipers polycarpus*, *Mentha × piperita* L., and *Artemisia dracunculus* L. not Hook.f. 1881 with 12.87, 9.25, 6.62, 6.37, 5.87, 5.25, 4.87, 4.12, 4.00, 3.25, and 1.12 mm inhibition zone ($p \leq 0.01$) respectively, almost inhibit *Pectobacterium betavascularum* at the pure concentration. Still, only essential oils of *Pimpinella anisum* L., *Thymus vulgaris* L., and *Syzygium aromaticum* with 5.08, 4.92, and 4.67 mm inhibition zone ($p \leq 0.01$) had inhibition at 10^{-1} concentration, and no essential oil inhibited bacterial growth at 10^{-2} concentration compared with the control (Table 3). The other 15 essential oils showed no anti-bacterial activities on *Pectobacterium betavascularum* at any concentration. Extracts of *Rosa multiflora* Thunb., *Lactuca serriola* L., *Brassica oleracea* L., *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill., *Syzygium aromaticum*, and *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn. with 7.25, 4.5, 3.87, 3.62, 3.5, and 1.37 mm inhibition zone in pure concentration, respectively, and 3.3, 2, 1.7, 1.6, 1.3, and 0 mm at 10^{-1} concentration and no inhibition on bacterial growth at 10^{-2} concentration

compared with the control was observed (Table 3). The other 22 extracts listed in Table 1 showed no anti-bacterial activities on *Pectobacterium betavascularum* at any concentration.

Table 3. Antibacterial activity of essential oils and extracts in vitro on *Pectobacterium betavascularum*.

Diameter of the Inhibition Zone (mm) in 10-2 Concentration	Diameter of the Inhibition Zone (mm) in 10-1 Concentration	Diameter of the Inhibition Zone (mm) in Pure Concentration	Plant
0	0	0	Negative control (sterilized water)
0	5.08 ± 0.49 ^a	12.87 ± 0.83 ^a	<i>Pimpinella anisum</i> L. Eo
0	4.92 ± 0.73 ^a	9.25 ± 1.25 ^a	<i>Thymus vulgaris</i> L. Eo
0	3.3 ± 0.27 ^{ab}	7.25 ± 1.03 ^{bc}	<i>Rosa multiflora</i> Thunb. Ex
0	0 ^c	6.62 ± 0.52 ^{bc}	<i>Satureja hortensis</i> L. Eo
0	4.67 ± 0.4 ^a	6.37 ± 0.74 ^{bc}	<i>Syzygium aromaticum</i> Eo
0	0 ^c	5.87 ± 0.58 ^{bc}	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Labill. Eo
0	0 ^c	5.25 ± 0.46 ^c	<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L. Eo
0	0 ^c	4.87 ± 0.64 ^c	<i>Mentha pulegium</i> L. Eo
0	2 ± 0.5 ^b	4.5 ± 1.06 ^c	<i>Lactuca serriola</i> L. Ex
0	0 ^c	4.12 ± 1.12 ^c	<i>Salvia rosmarinus</i> Spenn. Eo
0	0 ^c	4.00 ± 0.75 ^c	<i>Junipers polycarpus</i> Eo
0	1.7 ± 0.27 ^b	3.87 ± 0.83 ^d	<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. Ex
0	1.6 ± 0.22 ^b	3.62 ± 0.74 ^d	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Labill. Ex
0	1.3 ± 0.27 ^b	3.5 ± 0.53 ^d	<i>Syzygium aromaticum</i> Ex
0	0 ^c	3.25 ± 0.03 ^d	<i>Mentha × piperita</i> L. Eo
0	0 ^c	1.37 ± 0.35 ^e	<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i> Ex
0	0 ^c	1.12 ± 1.35 ^e	<i>Artemisia dracunculus</i> L. not Hook.f. 1881 Eo

Eo = Essential oil, Ex = Plant extract. Values are the mean diameter of inhibition zone (mm) ± SD of four replications, followed by different letters in columns are significantly different ($p \leq 0.01$).

3.2. In Vivo Test

All used essential oils and extracts had phytotoxicity on seeds at pure concentration, and no seed was germinated at this concentration. The statistical analysis showed a significant difference between treatments with control ($p \leq 0.01$). At 10^{-1} concentration, essential oils of *Ocimum basilicum* L., *Pimpinella anisum* L., and *Mentha × piperita* L. had 21.34, 19.78, and 15.52% negative efficacy on seed germination, respectively. Other essential oils inhibited seed germination completely (Table 4). Among plant extracts, *Rosa multiflora* Thunb., *Brassica oleracea* L., *Lactuca serriola* L., *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn., *Syzygium aromaticum*, and *Eucalyptus globulus* had 74.1, 72.2, 70.3, 66.5, 56.05, and 56.05% negative efficacy on seed germination, respectively. So only these essential oils and extracts were used to measure the growth rate of seedling stem and root in the next step. They had a significant difference in seedling growth than the positive control ($p \leq 0.01$). There was no statistically significant difference between these essential oils and extracts to improve the seedling's root and stem growth (Table 5, Figure 1).

Table 4. Effect of essential oils and extracts on seed germination.

Negative Efficacy ² (%)	No. of Germinated Seeds ² (30)	Negative Efficacy ¹ (%)	No. of Germinated Seeds ¹ (30)	Treatment
83.3 ^a	25.75 ± 1.71	83.3 ^a	25.75 ± 1.71	Sterilized water
74.1 ^b	19.5 ± 1.91	0 ^b	0	<i>Rosa multiflora</i> Thunb. <i>Rosa multiflora</i> Thunb. Ex
72.2 ^b	19 ± 2.16	0 ^b	0	<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. Ex
70.3 ^b	18.5 ± 1.29	0 ^b	0	<i>Lactuca serriola</i> L. Ex
66.5 ^b	17.5 ± 1.73	0 ^b	0	<i>Salvia rosmarinus</i> Spenn. Ex
56.05 ^c	14.75 ± 2.75	0 ^b	0	<i>Syzygium aromaticum</i> Ex

Table 4. Cont.

Negative Efficacy ² (%)	No. of Germinated Seeds ² (30)	Negative Efficacy ¹ (%)	No. of Germinated Seeds ¹ (30)	Treatment
56.05 ^c	14.75 ± 2.5	0 ^b	0	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Labill. Ex
21.34 ^d	5.5 ± 1.29	0 ^b	0	<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L. Eo
19.78 ^d	5.1 ± 1.91	0 ^b	0	<i>Pimpinella anisum</i> L. Eo
15.52 ^d	4 ± 0.82	0 ^b	0	<i>Mentha × piperita</i> L.Eo

¹ in pure concentration, ² in 10^{−1} concentration. Values are mean negative efficacy on seed germination of three replications, followed by different letters in the column, which are significantly different ($p \leq 0.01$).

Table 5. Effect of essential oils and extracts on the stem and root growth of the seedling *.

Root Growth (mm)	Stem Growth (mm)	Treatment
60.75 ± 4.11	54.5 ± 3.10	Negative control (Sterilized soil)
43 ± 3.36	42 ± 4.08	Positive control (<i>Pectobacterium betavascularum</i> -infested soil)
57.5 ± 2.98	52 ± 2.94	<i>Mentha × piperita</i> L.Eo
58 ± 4.57	50 ± 2.44	<i>Pimpinella anisum</i> L. Eo
58.5 ± 2.38	50 ± 2.16	<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L. Eo
58 ± 5.74	48.75 ± 3.09	<i>Rosa multiflora</i> Thunb. Ex
55.25 ± 3.59	47 ± 2.70	<i>Lactuca serriola</i> L. Ex
51.5 ± 3.41	46.5 ± 4.5	<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L. Ex
51.5 ± 3.74	46.25 ± 2.87	<i>Syzygium aromaticum</i> Ex
51 ± 3.16	46.25 ± 2.62	<i>Salvia rosmarinus</i> Spenn. Ex
51.5 ± 4.2	45.75 ± 3.09	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Labill. Ex

* There was no statistically significant difference between these essential oils and extracts to improve the seedling's root and stem growth, Eo = Essential oil, Ex = Plant extract. Values are the average triplicates.



Figure 1. In-vivo test on seedling growth, (A) root and stem growth, (B) negative control, (C) positive control. Glass containers filled with sterilized soil and sterilized seeds were used as a negative control, and glass containers filled with *Pectobacterium betavascularum*-infested soil and sterilized seeds used as a positive control.

4. Discussion

The various essential oils and extracts exhibited varying degrees of inhibition against the C3 isolate of *Pectobacterium betavascularum* pathogen, the causal agent of soft rot and vascular wilt of sugar beet in Iran [4], using the well diffusion method in vitro. In our study, anise (*Pimpinella anisum* L.) essential oil had the most potent inhibitory effect in vitro test ($p \leq 0.01$). Thyme (*Tymus vulgaris* L.) could inhibit *Pectobacterium betavascularum* growth in vitro, but in vivo test, it was phytotoxic and had negative efficacy on seed germination. Some researchers reported the antibacterial activity of thyme on *Clavibacter michiganensis*

subsp. *michiganensis* [12], *Pectobacterium carotovorum* [14–16], *Pseudomonas mariginalis* pv. *mariginalis*, *Erwinia chrysantemi* [22], *Xanthomonas vesicatoria* [39], *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* [40], and *Ralstonia solanacearum* [14]. It has stronger antimicrobial properties as its oil possesses more phenolics, including carvacrol, eugenol, and thymol. They act through the Quorum sensing machinery to inhibit specific virulence determinants, including biofilm formation and plant cell wall degrading enzymes (PCWDEs) [41]. The results of the present study are in agreement with the findings of earlier researchers that some essential oils, including thyme oil, are highly phytotoxic, especially on seed germination [42–44]. Among other tested essential oils, peppermint (*Mentha × piperita* L.) and sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) had good inhibitory effects in the in vitro test. Also, they had a positive effect on seed germination and seedling growth under in vivo tests. *Rosa multiflora* Thunb. extract had a good inhibitory effect on *Pectobacterium betavascularum* in vitro test and seed germination in vivo. To our knowledge, this is the first study to provide data on the evaluation of essential oils and plant extracts against *Pectobacterium betavascularum*. According to the in vitro and in vivo tests, anise (*Pimpinella anisum* L.), peppermint (*Mentha × piperita* L.) and sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) essential oils and *Rosa* (*Rosa multiflora* Thunb.), cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.), prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola* L.), Rosemary (*Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn.), clove (*Syzigium aromaticum*) and Eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globulus* Labill.) extracts have a strong anti-bacterial activity on *Pectobacterium betavascularum*. Rosemary essential oil has been tested on *Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp. *Michiganensis* [12], *Ralstonia solanacearum* [14], *Pseudomonas mariginalis* pv. *mariginalis*, *Erwinia chrysantemi* [20], and *Pectobacterium carotovorum* [14,16], but no report on its extract anti-bacterial activity exists. This study revealed that essential oils and extracts of these plants have anti-bacterial activities and can be used to control *Pectobacterium betavascularum*. The treatment with these essential oils and extracts of sugar beet seeds artificially contaminated with *Pectobacterium betavascularum* confirmed the efficacy determined in the in vitro experiments. The anti-bacterial activity of these plants may be attributed to various antimicrobial phytochemicals [45,46] and so should be studied clearly. This study has shown that some plants effectively inhibit *Pectobacterium betavascularum* growth. Most of the plants here were tested for their antibacterial activity first time. The antimicrobial activity evaluated in this work could be attributed to different compounds in variable amounts in plant essential oils and extracts. These compounds should be studied in detail. It is proven that the assayed antimicrobial activity of the plant species depends on the botanical species, age, part of the plant studied, and the solvent used for the extraction procedures [46]. Further analyses on the concentrations and combinations of these essential oils and extracts are required to obtain an anti-bacterial effect on *Pectobacterium betavascularum* with minimum undesirable effects on seed germination and seedling growth. They should also be used in field studies to learn their effectiveness in field conditions. Therefore, more research on the activity of these plants against the other plant pathogenic bacteria and other plant pathogens would be of great value. These findings persuaded us to continue screening more plant species for their anti-bacterial activity and their compounds in agriculture. In conclusion, this research evaluates the effectiveness of anise (*Pimpinella anisum* L.), peppermint (*Mentha × piperita* L.) and sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) essential oils, and *Rosa* (*Rosa multiflora* Thunb.), cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.), prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola* L.), Rosemary (*Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn.), clove (*Syzigium aromaticum*) and Eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globulus* Labill.) extracts on *Pectobacterium betavascularum*; the causal agent of bacterial soft rot and vascular wilt of sugar beet in Iran. This research would augment the growing body of plant pathogenic *Pectobacterium* research and its utility in management studies of this pathogen using natural and non-chemical materials.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Methodology and Writing original draft; M.R., Y.R.D., and S.E., formal analysis; D.J.D. Writing—Review and Editing R.Z.S., H.A.E.E., S.A. and M.J.A. Fund acquisition M.R., H.A.E.E., M.J.A. and S.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported Researchers Supporting Project Number (RSP-2022R7) King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, and RMC-UTM through industrial research fund No. RJ130000.7609-4C359 and RJ130000.7609-4C240.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All the data is available in the manuscript file.

Acknowledgments: The authors are thankful to Researchers Supporting Project Number (RSP-2022R7) King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, UTM, Malaysia industrial research fund No. RJ130000.7609-4C359 and RJ130000.7609-4C240 for financial support, and the Faculty of Agriculture, Urmia University, for the support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Pérombelon, M.C.M. Potato diseases caused by soft rot *Erwinias*: An overview of pathogenesis. *Plant Pathol.* **2002**, *51*, 1–12.
2. Toth, I.K.; Bell, K.S.; Holeva, M.C.; Birch, P.R.J. Soft-rot *Erwinia*: From genes to genomes. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2003**, *4*, 17–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Charkowski, A.O. The soft rot *Erwinia*. In *Plant Associated Bacteria*; Gnanamanickam, S.S., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2006; pp. 423–507.
4. Rezaee Danesh, Y.; Rastgou, M.; Kariman, K. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of the bacterial causal agents of sugarbeet soft rot and vascular necrosis disease in Southeastern Iran. *Int. J. Agri. Technol.* **2021**, *17*, 2487–2502.
5. Burr, T.J. Future development of chemical and biological controls for bacterial diseases of plants. In *Plant Pathogenic Bacteria*; De Boer, S.H., Ed.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001; pp. 19–23.
6. McManus, P.S.; Stockwell, V.O. Antibiotic Use for Plant Disease Management in the United States. *Plant Health Progress*. 2001. Available online: <http://www.plantmanagementnetwork.org/pub/php/review/antibiotic/> (accessed on 14 January 2019).
7. Janse, J. Prevention and control of bacterial pathogens and diseases. In *Phytopathology Principles and Practice*; CAB International: Wallingford, UK, 2005; pp. 149–173.
8. Nguefack, J.; Somda, I.; Mortensen, C.N.; Amvam Zollo, P.H. Evaluation of five essential oils from aromatic plants of Cameroon for controlling seed-borne bacteria of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Seed Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *33*, 397–407. [CrossRef]
9. Bajpai, V.K.; Kang, S.; Xu, H.; Lee, S.; Baek, K.; Kang, S.C. Potential roles of essential oils on controlling plant pathogenic bacteria *Xanthomonas* species: A review. *Plant Pathol. J.* **2011**, *27*, 207–224. [CrossRef]
10. Clafin, L. *Compositae: Pseudomonas Syringae and Related Pathogens. Control of Pseudomonas syringae Pathovars*; Iacobellis, N.S., Collmer, A., Hutcheson, S.W., Mansfeld, J.W., Morris, C.E., Murillo, J., Schaad, N.W., Stead, D.E., Surico, G., Ullrich, M.S., Eds.; Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2003; p. 423.
11. Gyorgyi Horvath, G.; Szabo, L.G.; Lemberkovics, E.; Botz, L.; Kocsis, B. Characterization and TLC-bioautographic detection of essential oils from some *Thymus* taxa. Determination of the activity of the oils and their components against plant pathogenic bacteria. *J. Planar. Chrom-Modern TLC* **2004**, *17*, 300–304. [CrossRef]
12. Daferera, D.J.; Ziogas, B.N.; Polissiou, M.G. The effectiveness of plant essential oils on the growth of *Botrytis cinerea*, *Fusarium* sp. and *Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp. *michiganensis*. *Crop Protec.* **2003**, *22*, 39–44.
13. Kizil, S.; Uyar, F.; Sagir, A. Antibacterial activities of some essential oils against plant pathogens. *Asian J. Plant Sci.* **2005**, *4*, 225–228.
14. Hosseini Nezhad, M.; Alamshahi, L.; Panjehkeh, N. Biocontrol efficiency of medicinal plants against *Pectobacterium carotovorum*, *Ralstonia solanacearum* and *Escherichia coli*. *Open Conf. Proc. J.* **2012**, *2012*, 46–51. [CrossRef]
15. Jafarpour, M.; Golparvar, A.R.; Lotfi, A. Antibacterial activity of essential oils from *Thymus vulgaris*, *Trachyspermum ammi* and *Mentha aquatica* against *Erwinia carotovora* in vitro. *J. Herbal Drugs.* **2013**, *4*, 115–118.
16. Mehrsorosh, H.; Gavanji, S.; Larki, B.; Mohammadi, M.D.; Karbasian, A.; Bakhtari, A.; Hashemzadeh, F.; Mojiri, A. Essential oil composition and antimicrobial screening of some Iranian herbal plants on *Pectobacterium carotovorum*. *Glob. NEST J.* **2014**, *16*, 240–250.
17. Rojas Fernández, M.M.; Corzo López, M.; Sánchez Pérez, Y.; Brito, D.; Montes de Oca, R.; Martínez, Y.; Pino Pérez, O. Antibacterial activity of essential oils against *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*. *Revista Protección Vegetal.* **2014**, *29*, 197–203.
18. Popović, T.; Kostić, I.; Milićević, Z.; Gašić, K.; Kostić, M.; Dervišević, M.; Krnjajić, S. Essential oils as an alternative bactericide against soft-rot bacteria, *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum*. In Proceedings of the VIII International Scientific Agriculture Symposium, “Agrosym 2017”, Jahorina, Bosnia and Herzegovina, 5–8 October 2017; pp. 1377–1383.

19. Alkan, D.; Yemenicioğlu, A. Potential application of natural phenolic antimicrobials and edible film technology against bacterial plant pathogens. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2016**, *55*, 1–10. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Queiroz, M.F.; Lima, M.A.G.; Pereira, J.E.; Barroso, K.A.; Paz, C.D.D.; Lucchese, A.M.; Peixoto, A.R. Essential oils in the management of soft rot of kale in the Brazilian semiarid region. *Biosci. J.* **2020**, *36*, 143–155. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Kokošková, B.; Pavela, R. Effectivity of essential oils against pectinolytic *Erwinia chrysanthemi* and *Pseudomonas marginalis*. In *The First International Symposium on Biological Control of Bacterial Plant Disease*; Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land-und Forstwirtschaft: Darmstadt, Germany, 2005; Volume 128, p. 65.
22. Mahmoudi, H.; Rahnema, K.; Arabkhani, M.A. Antibacterial effect of essential oil and extracts of medicinal plants on the causal agents of bacterial canker and leaf spot on the stone fruit trees. *J. Med. Plant.* **2010**, *9*, 34–42.
23. Mangalagiri, N.P.; Panditi, S.K.; Jeevigunta, N.L.L. Antimicrobial activity of essential plant oils and their major components. *Heliyon* **2021**, *7*, e06835. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
24. Okla, M.K.; Alamri, S.A.; Salem, M.Z.M.; Ali, H.M.; Behiry, S.I.; Nasser, R.A.; Alaraidh, I.A.; Al-Ghtani, S.M.; Soufan, W. Yield, phytochemical constituents, and anti-bacterial activity of essential oils from the leaves/twigs, branches, branch wood, and branch bark of sour orange (*Citrus aurantium* L.). *Processes* **2019**, *7*, 363. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
25. Akhlaghi, M.; Tarighi, S.; Taheri, P. Effects of plant essential oils on growth and virulence factors of *Erwinia amylovora*. *J. Plant Pathol.* **2019**, *102*, 409–419. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Behiry, S.I.; EL-Hefny, M.; Salem, M.Z.M. Toxicity effects of *Eriocephalus africanus* L. leaf essential oil against some molecularly identified phytopathogenic bacterial strains. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2019**, *34*, 3394–3398. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Atif, M.; Ilavenil, S.; Devanesan, S.; AlSalhi, M.S.; Choi, K.C.; Vijayaraghavan, P.; Alfuraydi, A.A.; Alanazi, N.F. Essential oils of two medicinal plants and protective properties of jack fruits against the spoilage bacteria and fungi. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2020**, *147*, 112239. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Chanprapai, P.; Chavasiri, W. Antimicrobial activity from *Piper sarmentosum* Roxb. against rice pathogenic bacteria and fungi. *J. Integr. Agric.* **2017**, *16*, 2513–2524. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Sengul, M.; Ercisli, S.; Yildiz, H.; Gungor, N.; Kavaz, A.; Cetin, B. Antioxidant, antimicrobial activity and total phenolic content within the aerial parts of *Artemisia absinthum*, *Artemisia santonicum* and *Saponaria officinalis*. *Iran J. Pharm. Res.* **2011**, *10*, 49–56. [\[PubMed\]](#)
30. Iwu, M.M.; Duncan, A.R.; Okunji, C.O. New antimicrobials of plant origin. In *Prospective on New Crops and New Uses*; Janick, J., Ed.; ASHS Press: Alexandria, VA, USA, 1999; pp. 457–462.
31. Mohana, D.C.; Raveesha, K.A. Antibacterial activity of *Caesalpinia coriaria* (Jacq.) Willd against plant pathogenic *Xanthomonas* pathovars: An eco-friendly approach. *J. Agri. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *2*, 317–327.
32. Kumaran, A.; Karunakaran, R.J. In vitro antioxidant activities of methanol extracts of *Phyllanthus* species from India. *Lebens-Wiss Technol.* **2007**, *40*, 344–352. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. Parekh, J.; Chanda, S. Antibacterial and phytochemical studies on twelve species of Indian medicinal plants. *Afr. J. Biomed Res.* **2007**, *10*, 175–181. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
34. Bhardwaj, S.K.; Laura, J.S. Anti-bacterial activity of some plant extracts against plant pathogenic bacteria *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris*. *Indian J. Agri. Res.* **2009**, *43*, 26–31.
35. da Silva, C.M.A.; da Silva Costa, B.M.; da Silva, A.G.; de Souza Elineide, B. Antimicrobial activity of several Brazilian medicinal plants against phytopathogenic bacteria. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* **2016**, *10*, 578–583.
36. Purushotham, S.P.; Anupama, N. In vitro antimicrobial screening of medicinal plants against clinical and phytopathogenic bacteria and fungi. *Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res.* **2018**, *9*, 3005–3014.
37. Hu, Y.; Kong, W.; Yang, X.; Xie, L.; Wen, J.; Yang, M. GC-MS combined with chemometric techniques for the quality control and original discrimination of *Curcuma longae* rhizome: Analysis of essential oils. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2014**, *37*, 404–411. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
38. Wei, Q.; Yang, G.W.; Wang, X.J.; Hu, X.X.; Chen, L. The study on optimization of Soxhlet extraction process for ursolic acid from *Cynomorium*. *Shipin Yanjiu Yu Kaifa*. **2013**, *34*, 85–88.
39. Ziedan, E.H.E. Manipulating endophytic bacteria for biological control to soil-borne diseases of peanut. *J. Appl. Sci. Res.* **2006**, *2*, 497–502.
40. Mollova, S.; Fidan, H.; Antonova, D.; Bozhilov, D.; Stanev, S.; Kostova, I.; Stoyanova, A. Chemical composition and antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of *Helichrysum italicum* (Roth) G. Don subspecies essential oils. *Turk. J. Agric. For.* **2020**, *44*, 371–378. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
41. Amorim, E.P.R.; Andrade, F.W.R.; Moraes, E.M.S.; Silva, J.C.; Lima, R.S.; Lemos, E.E.P. Atividade antibacteriana de óleos essenciais e extratos vegetais sobre o desenvolvimento de *Ralstonia solanacearum* em mudas de bananeira. *Rev. Bras. Frutic.* **2011**, *33*, 392–398. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
42. Tworowski, T. Herbicide effects of essential oils. *Weed Sci.* **2002**, *50*, 425–431. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
43. Isman, M.B.; Machial, C.M.; Miresmailli, S.; Bainard, L.D. Essential Oil-Based Pesticides: New Insights from Old Chemistry. In *Pesticide Chemistry*; Ohkawa, H., Miyagawa, H., Lee, P.W., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, and Co: Weinheim, Germany, 2007; pp. 201–209.
44. Dixit, S.N.; Tripathi, S.C.; Upadhyay, R.R. The antifungal substance of rose flowers (*Rosa indica*). *Econ. Bot.* **1975**, *30*, 371–374. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

-
45. Gachkar, L.; Yadegari, D.; Rezaei, M.B.; Taghizadeh, M.; Alipour Astaneh, S.; Rasooli, I. Chemical and biological characteristics of *Cuminum cyminum* and *Rosmarinus officinalis* essential oils. *Food Chem.* **2007**, *102*, 898–904. [[CrossRef](#)]
 46. Mahida, Y.; Mohan, J.S.S. Screening of Indian plant extracts for anti-bacterial activity. *Pharm. Biol.* **2006**, *44*, 627–631. [[CrossRef](#)]