



Article Differential Participation of Plant Ribosomal Proteins from the Small Ribosomal Subunit in Protein Translation under Stress

Zainab Fakih, Mélodie B. Plourde and Hugo Germain *🝺

Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Physics and Groupe de Recherche en Biologie Végétale, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, Trois-Rivières, QC G9A 5H9, Canada; zainab.fakih@uqtr.ca (Z.F.); melodie.bplourde@uqtr.ca (M.B.P.)

* Correspondence: hugo.germain@uqtr.ca

Abstract: Upon exposure to biotic and abiotic stress, plants have developed strategies to adapt to the challenges imposed by these unfavorable conditions. The energetically demanding translation process is one of the main elements regulated to reduce energy consumption and to selectively synthesize proteins involved in the establishment of an adequate response. Emerging data have shown that ribosomes remodel to adapt to stresses. In Arabidopsis thaliana, ribosomes consist of approximately eighty-one distinct ribosomal proteins (RPs), each of which is encoded by two to seven genes. Recent research has revealed that a mutation in a given single RP in plants can not only affect the functions of the RP itself but can also influence the properties of the ribosome, which could bring about changes in the translation to varying degrees. However, a pending question is whether some RPs enable ribosomes to preferentially translate specific mRNAs. To reveal the role of ribosomal proteins from the small subunit (RPS) in a specific translation, we developed a novel approach to visualize the effect of RPS silencing on the translation of a reporter mRNA (GFP) combined to the 5'UTR of different housekeeping and defense genes. The silencing of genes encoding for NbRPSaA, NbRPS5A, and NbRPS24A in Nicotiana benthamiana decreased the translation of defense genes. The NbRACK1A-silenced plant showed compromised translations of specific antioxidant enzymes. However, the translations of all tested genes were affected in NbRPS27D-silenced plants. These findings suggest that some RPS may be potentially involved in the control of protein translation.

Keywords: *Nicotiana benthamiana; Arabidopsis thaliana;* translation regulation; ribosomal proteins from the small subunit (RPS); VIGS; 5' untranslated regions; transient expression; plant defense

1. Introduction

As sessile beings, plants have developed various strategies to overcome the range of challenging conditions they are exposed to. These responses are built on finely tuned gene expressions, which, in turn, lead to protein level variations. Changes in protein level depend on the regulation of multiple factors, such as transcription, mRNA structure, stability, transport, storage, protein synthesis, and degradation [1,2]. Among them, the translation process is one of the main elements that finely modulates protein accumulation under both biotic and abiotic stress situations; its regulation reduces energy consumption and allows for the selective synthesis of proteins involved in the proper establishment of an appropriate response [3,4]. Many examples of global translational inhibition and the preferential production of key proteins that are critical for adapting to environmental conditions are known [5–8]. A general decrease in global translation levels is observed in plants under conditions of sucrose starvation [9,10] and those acting in response to cold stress [11]. Furthermore, the overall translation activity in plants is higher in the light than in the dark; this is correlated with the higher energetic status of the plant cells under light conditions [12].

Protein synthesis is mediated by ribosomes and ribosomal-associated proteins. Ribosome assembly occurs within the nucleolus and requires the coordinated production



Citation: Fakih, Z.; Plourde, M.B.; Germain, H. Differential Participation of Plant Ribosomal Proteins from the Small Ribosomal Subunit in Protein Translation under Stress. *Biomolecules* **2023**, *13*, 1160. https://doi.org/10.3390/ biom13071160

Academic Editors: Brigitte Pertschy and Ingrid Zierler

Received: 6 June 2023 Revised: 12 July 2023 Accepted: 13 July 2023 Published: 21 July 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). and transport of four rRNAs (5S, 5.8S, 18S, and 28S) and eighty-one ribosomal proteins (RPs) [13]. The eukaryotic ribosome, termed the 80S ribosome, consists of two ribonucleoprotein subunits; the 40S small subunit binds the mRNA and provides the decoding site, which is formed by the 18S rRNA and thirty-three small ribosomal proteins (RPS). The 60S large subunit, which is composed of the 5S, 5.8S, and 23S rRNAs and 48 large ribosomal proteins (RPL), catalyzes the formation of peptide bonds [14–16]. All of these RPs are present in a single copy in each ribosome, except for the RPs forming a flexible lateral stalk on the large subunit [17,18]. In the model plant, Arabidopsis thaliana, each RP can be encoded by two to seven different members of the small families [15]. Thus, the 81 RP families may produce up to 10^{34} different potential ribosome structural conformations that could theoretically serve as a source of translation heterogeneity [3]. Although each RP gene has multiple paralogs, their expressions appear to be differentially regulated by various environmental cues and treatments with signaling molecules [19–25]. This differential expression between gene families, as well as within specific ribosomal gene families, opens vast possibilities for the functional role of these RPs in stress conditions. Furthermore, ribosome composition has, to date, been examined in several mass spectrometric studies, which have identified different r-protein paralogs within ribosomes that act in response to different stimuli, showing that ribosome composition may also be dynamic [3,26–28]. This heterogeneity can constitute specialized ribosomes that may regulate mRNA translation and control protein synthesis. Thus, specialized ribosomes are defined as a functional subpopulation of ribosomes that appear, for example, after an altered condition; they work to constrain translation to specific mRNAs and to shape the acclimated proteome [29].

The differential expression between the RP genes and the ribosomal composition implies a diversified functional relevance regarding RPs [30]. This is consistent with accumulating evidence that emphasizes the RP involvement in several ribosome functions, as well as roles away from the ribosome, such as DNA repair, histone binding, transcriptionfactors activity, and cell-cycle regulation [31–33]. For instance, mutations in some RPs influence the integrity of ribosomes, in structure and in function. The mutational analysis of several prokaryotic RPs has highlighted their importance in a variety of ribosomal processes. *RPS12* was shown to be required for tRNA decoding in the ribosomal A site [34] and the *RPS4* and *RPS5* mutations showed ribosome translational inaccuracy [35]; whereas, *RPSa*, *RPS7*, and *RPS11* are essential for mRNA binding [36]. Furthermore, in mammalian cells, the binding of the RACK1 (Receptor for Activated C-Kinase 1) to ribosomes is essential for the full translation of capped mRNAs and the efficient recruitment of eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (eIF4E) [37]. In Nicotiana benthamiana and Arabidopsis thaliana, QM/RPL10A plays a transcriptional role in regulating translational mechanisms and defense-associated genes [38]; also, RPS27B is involved in the degradation of damaged RNAs (induced by genotoxic treatments) [39].

The involvement and specific constitution of the protein-translation machinery in plant defense is poorly studied. Some reports have shown that the deficiency and mutation of ribosome proteins themselves are associated with disease responses in plants. The silencing of *RPL12* and *RPL19* in *N. benthamiana* and *A. thaliana* showed compromised nonhost disease resistance against multiple bacterial pathogens [40]; the silencing of *RPL10* in *N. benthamiana* and *A. thaliana* showed compromised disease resistance against the nonhost pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* T1 [38]; and the silencing of *RPS6* in *N. benthamiana* affected the accumulation of the Cucumber mosaic virus, Turnip mosaic virus (TuMV), and Potato virus A (PVA), but not the Turnip crinkle virus and Tobacco mosaic virus [41].

Despite these studies, a systematic understanding of the functional role of RPs in the context of plant defense is still lacking. In the present study, using previously published nuclear proteomes of plants under stress, we identified several RPS that accumulated in the nuclei (the site of ribosome biogenesis) of stressed plants. We hypothesize that the accumulated RPS paralogs generate ribosomes that shape the cellular translatome and plant defense responses. To address the role of the identified RPS in a specific translation, we first

developed a translation assay in which we tested the production of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) fused to different 5'UTR corresponding to known defense genes, or house-keeping genes, in the leaves of RPS-silenced and control plants. We found that three tested proteins (*RPSaA*, *RPS5A*, and *RPS24A*) are involved in the efficient translation of some defense proteins. In contrast, the protein *RPS27D* is involved in the general translational activity of the ribosome; whereas, *RACK1A* is involved in the efficient translation of several antioxidant enzymes. Our technical approach defines a suitable methodological strategy for testing ribosomal protein requirements for the translation of specific groups of mRNAs. Moreover, this suggests that RPS paralogs play a crucial role in translational control.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Growth and Stress Treatments

Seeds of *N. benthamiana* were vernalized for 48 h at 4 °C and plants were grown in soil (AgroMix) at 23 °C and 60% relative humidity with a 14 h/10 h light/dark cycle in a growth chamber.

For 2,6-dichloroisonicotinic acid (INA) treatment, 3-week-old plants were sprayed to imminent runoff with an aqueous solution of 0.65 mM INA containing 0.05% Sylgard 309 surfactant; whereas, the mock treatment consisted of only the Sylgard 309 aqueous solution. Leaf tissues were harvested 24 h after being sprayed with INA, as previously described [42]. INA was used to induce plant defense as it was shown to induce a response similar to those of salicylic acid and pathogen infection [43]. For cold stress treatments, 3-week-old plants were placed at $4 \,^{\circ}$ C for 6 h [44].

For the biotic stress experiments, we used the bacterial pathogen *P. fluorescens* EtHAn (Effector-to-Host Analyzer) strain, which allowed for the development of the PTI response in *N. benthamiana* [45]. The bacterial suspension of *P. fluorescens* EtHAn at $OD_{600} = 0.2$ in 10 mM of MgCl₂ was infiltrated into the abaxial side of 3-week-old *N. benthamiana* leaves; tissue was collected 7 h post-inoculation. Leaf samples of infiltrated plants, with 10 mM of MgCl₂ grown under similar conditions, were used as a control to normalize the expression. All of the samples were collected in the form of three biological replicates after each time interval and were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70 °C.

2.2. Differential Gene Expression Analysis

A gene expression analysis in a N. benthamiana plant was performed on RNA extracted from the frozen tissue using the Genezol Total RNA kit (Geneaid), following the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA quality was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified by spectrophotometry. In total, $1 \mu g$ of each sample was used as the template for first-strand cDNA synthesis using the M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (New England Biolabs, Whitby, ON, Canada). Quantitative PCR amplification was performed on a CFX Connect detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Mississauga, ON, Canada) using genespecific primers and the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Bioline, Toronto, ON, Canada). The primers used were designed using the Primer 3 software; they were designed in such a way that they targeted a region that is completely absent of all other paralogous genes and is unique. This selection was performed using the VIGS Tool from the Sol Genomics Network (https://vigs.solgenomics.net/, accessed on 1 March 2018) (Figure S1). The specificity of the primers was then verified by using the Primer-Blast tool at NCBI. In total, a 100 ng cDNA template and 0.4 μ M of each primer (listed in Supplementary Table S1) were used in a final volume of 20 μ L. The amplification protocol included an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, with 40 cycles at 95 °C for 5 s, a primer-specific annealing temperature for 10 s, and an extension at 72 $^\circ$ C for 5 s. This was followed by constructing a melt curve at the end to estimate the amplification specificity of each gene. The data were analyzed with CFX Maestro qPCR software. *PP2A* and *UBQ1* (Polyubiquitin 1) were used as reference genes for normalization under INA conditions and those of a *P. fluorescens* EtHAn infection [46]. ACT 2 and UBQ1 were considered suitable genes to normalize with for the cold treatment [47]. The mean values of the relative fold change were calculated as per the $\Delta\Delta Ct$

method [48]. RPS genes in each condition were defined as differentially expressed only if the expression value of the gene was more than 1.5-fold the control and had a *p*-value of less than 0.05 compared to the control.

The expression of the identified RPS genes in *Arabidopsis* was analyzed using the Genevestigator tool (https://genevestigator.com/, accessed on 6 February 2018) with the *Arabidopsis* Gene Chip platforms (ATH1: 22k array). The perturbation tool of the Genevestigator software was used to estimate the levels of gene expression as a heat map under different conditions. Data were presented as absolute log₂ values of fold change compared with that of the control samples.

2.3. Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS)

The pBINTRA6 and pTV00 vectors were used for silencing in the N. benthamiana. The pTV00::NbRPSaA, pTV00::NbRPS5A, pTV00::NbRPS27D, pTV00::NbRPS24A, and pTV00::NbR ACK1A constructs were developed and used for VIGS, as described [49]. In order to select VIGS silencing sections that were specific to a single paralog of the targeted protein, we used the VIGS Tool from the Sol Genomics Network (https://vigs.solgenomics.net/, accessed on 1 March 2018); we were able to design VIGS fragments unique to the 3'UTR of each targeted gene that was absent from the other paralogs (Figure S1). Table S2 provides a list of all of the paralogs of the investigated RPS. PCR was used to amplify the desired fragments with specific primers (Table S3) using genomic DNA prepared from the plant tissues. The amplified fragments of the RPS genes and the pTV00 vectors were digested by the restriction enzymes KpnI and HindIII, according to the manufacturer's instructions; the purified products of the RPS sequence were inserted into the pTV00 vectors using T4 DNA ligase (NEB, England). The vectors were then transformed into competent cells of the E. coli strain DH5 α . The selected positive clones with the correct sequence were used to transform the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain of GV3101 electrocompetent cells. Plant infiltration was performed, as described previously [49]. The Agrobacterium strains of GV3101 containing pTV::NbRPSaA, pTV::NbRPS5A, pTV::NbRPS27D, pTV::NbRPS24A, or pTV::NbRACK1A and those of C58C1 containing pBINTRA6 were grown at 28 °C in a liquid Luria-Bertani medium including antibiotics (50 μ g mL⁻¹ kanamycin and 50 μ g mL⁻¹ rifampicin). After 24 h, the cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in the infiltration buffer (10 mM of MgCl₂ with 200 μ M of acetosyringone and 10 mM of MES, pH 5.6) to a final optical density, at 600 nm, of approximately 0.5 and were agitated for 2 h (28 °C) before mixing in a 1:1 ratio. The Agrobacterium mix, containing either pBINTRA6 or pTV-NbRPS vectors, was infiltrated using a needleless 1-mL syringe that was inserted into the lower leaves of 2-week-old N. benthamiana plants [50]. As a control, the empty cloning vector pTV was used to distinguish the nonspecific phenotypic effects of VIGS.

2.4. Quantitative RT-PCR

Leaf tissue was collected 3 weeks after TRV inoculation to test the downregulation of ribosomal protein-encoding gene transcripts in *N. benthamiana*-silenced plants. The total RNA was extracted from silenced and mock-infiltrated plants and the first-strand cDNA was synthesized with oligo(dT_{15}) primers using M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (New England Biolabs, Whitby, ON, Canada), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RT-qPCR was performed using the CFX Connect detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Mississauga, ON, Canada). *ACT 1* and *EF1a* were used to normalize the transcript levels [51]. Each sample was run in triplicate and repeated six times from two pooled biological replicates of silenced and non-silenced plants. The average of the six experiments was calculated and the results were graphed, with the corresponding standard deviations indicated with bars in the figures. The primers used in this study are listed in Table S4.

2.5. 5' UTR Chimeras and Plasmid Construction

The Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter (p35S) and 5'UTR fusion constructs were assembled by PCR stitching. Briefly, two rounds of a PCR were carried out. In the first round, two separate PCRs were performed: one amplified the p35S from the *pB7FWG2* vector using specific primers listed in Supplementary Table S5; the other amplified the 5' upstream region of 5 defense genes, or 3 housekeeping genes, from the *N. benthamiana* genomic DNA using gene-specific primers (Table S5). The selection of these genes and the categorization of housekeeping and defense genes were made following a literature review. For instance, the *PP2A*, *F-BOX*, and GAPDH genes were consistently reported as housekeeping genes within the context of different viral infections in *Nicotiana benthamiana* [51–53] and under different conditions in other species [54–57]. Furthermore, the catalase, peroxidase, and ascorbate peroxidase proteins play a crucial role in overcoming various stress conditions and work as part of the antioxidant defense system [58]. In addition, the NPR1 (NONEXPRESSOR OF PR1) protein functions as a master regulator of plant hormone salicylic acid (SA)-signaling and plays an essential role in promoting defense responses [59]. Finally, the MAPK3 protein is implicated in stomatal development, biotic stress responses, and abiotic stress responses and is required for the complete "priming" of plants [60]. The mRNAs encoding these proteins showed a status indicating a higher translational efficiency in response to stress [61-63]. The 5'UTRs of these genes were identified using the Sol Genomics N. benthamiana draft genome (https://solgenomics.net/organism/Nicotiana_benthamiana/genome, accessed on 3 June 2023). In the second round, the products of these two PCRs, which overlapped at one end, were subsequently mixed and amplified.

Amplified fragments containing the p35S promoter and the 5'UTR were used to generate expression vectors, having different 5'UTRs linked to the reporter gene GFP. Amplicons were inserted into the pDONR221 vector (Invitrogen, part of Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) via BP recombination reactions and then into the plant-expression vector PBGWFS7 via LR recombination reactions using Gateway technology [64].

2.6. Leaf-Infiltration Method

For transient GFP protein expression, constructs were introduced into the *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 by electroporation and were delivered into the leaf cells of silenced and non-silenced *N. benthamiana* (5-week-old) using the agroinfiltration method, as previously described [65]. Briefly, recombinant bacterial strains were grown overnight in a liquid Luria-Bertani medium with spectinomycin (50 mg/L); then, they were harvested and resuspended into an infiltration buffer (10 mM of MgCl₂ and 150 μ M of acetosyringone) to obtain a 0.5 unit of optical density at 600 nm. One hour after resuspension, leaves were infiltrated on their abaxial side. To minimize leaf-to-leaf variation, each leaf was infiltrated with a vector containing the 5'UTR of two housekeeping genes (*F-box* and *PP2A*) as normalization controls, alongside vectors containing the 5'UTRs to be tested. Three independent infiltrations were made for each experiment and were compared using the Student's *t*-test. Ultimatley, *p* < 0.05 was represented with one star (*). The agro-infected leaves were collected at 5 days post-infiltration to be photographed and analyzed for GFP production by spectrofluorimetry.

2.7. Detection of GFP Fluorescence

Leaves producing GFP were photographed under UV illumination generated by a 100 W, hand-held, long-wave UV lamp (Model B-100, UVP, Upland, CA, USA). The GFP fluorescence intensity was quantified at an excitation of 485 nm and an emission of 538 nm using a Synergy H1 Microplate Reader, BioTek, as described by Diamos et al. [66]. GFP samples were prepared by a serial two-fold dilution with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 137 mM of NaCl, 2.6 mM of KCl, 10 mM of Na₂HPO₄, and 1.8 mM of KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4); 100 μ L of each sample was added to black-wall 96-well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific), in triplicate. All measurements were performed at room temperature and the reading of an extract from an uninfiltrated plant leaf was subtracted before graphing. A standard curve of fluorescence for the GFP concentration was generated by measuring the fluorescence of a dilution series of GFP (triplicate) in a 96-well plate in the plate reader.

2.8. Protein Extraction

Total protein extract was obtained by homogenizing agroinfiltrated leaf samples with a 1:5 (*w*:*v*) ice-cold extraction buffer (25 mM of sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 100 mM of NaCl, 1 mM of EDTA, 0.2% Triton X-100, 10 mg/mL of sodium ascorbate, 10 mg/mL of leupeptin, and 0.3 mg/mL of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) using a mortar and pestle. To enhance solubility, homogenized tissue was rotated at room temperature for 30 min. The crude plant extracts were clarified by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C.

3. Results

3.1. Small Ribosomal Proteins Are Deregulated by Different Stresses in A. thaliana and N. benthamiana

Ribosome biogenesis represents a compendium of steps by which the ribosomes may become assembled, involving the import of most RPs into the nucleus and nucleolus and their association with rRNA to constitute the ribosomal subunits [13]. Hence, many studies have identified ribosomal proteins in the nuclei of various plants under stress [44,67–70]. In line with this idea, we analyzed the published datasets on the biotic and abiotic stress-responsive nuclear proteomes in various plant species to identify plant ribosomal proteins of the small subunit involved in disease resistance and selected RPS detected in the nuclei of stressed plants [44,67,68]. A previous proteomics analysis identified a subset of 11 RPS detected in the nuclei of elicited immunity in *Arabidopsis* plants following a chitosan elicitor treatment [44,67,68] (Figure S2a). In response to cold stress, eight RPS were overrepresented in the nuclear proteome of *Arabidopsis* [44] (Figure S2a). Furthermore, seven RPS had a significant change in abundance in the nucleus of a tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) during an infection caused by the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora capsici* [68] (Figure S2a). From these three studies, a total of 15 different RPS displayed an increased nuclear abundance under various stress conditions.

The gene-expression patterns of these 15 RPS were evaluated under stress conditions in *Arabidopsis* using the Genevestigator application's compendium of microarray experiments. We evaluated the expression of these genes following cold stress (Figure S2b), elicitor treatment (Figure S2c), and biotic stress (Figure S2d). Genes that showed a strong induction in at least two conditions were selected as upregulated. Interestingly, six genes (*RPSaA*, *RPS10C*, *RPS12C*, *RPS19C*, *RPS27D*, and *RACK1A*) showed high levels of expression in response to all three stresses (Figure S2e).

To gain insights into the expression patterns of RPS genes in *N. benthamiana* plants in stress contexts and, also, to provide a comparative analysis of the RPS expression between the two model plants, we performed a quantitative reverse transcription qRT-PCR of the 15 RPS genes using *N. benthamiana* tissues with cold stress conditions (Figure 1a), an INA treatment, an analog of SA that induces plant defense [43] (Figure 1b), and infection with the bacteria *Pseudomonas fluorescens EtHAn* (Figure 1c). Five genes (*RPSaA*, *RPS5A*, *RPS24A*, *RPS27D*, and *RACK1A*) were highly regulated under the three stress treatments (Figure 1d). It is worth mentioning that the expression patterns of *RPSaA*, *RACK1A*, and *RPS27D* in *N. benthamiana* are consistent with the *Arabidopsis* data from the Genevestigator microarray database. We herein focus on these five RPS genes for further functional analyses in *N. benthamiana*.

3.2. RPSaA, RPS5A, and RPS24A Proteins Are Involved in the Translation of Defense Proteins Encoding mRNAs

To test whether the silencing of a specific *NbRPS* gene compromises defense genes' translations, direct measurements of chimeric reporter mRNA translational efficiencies were compared between RPS-silenced and mock-infiltrated plants. Each chimeric mRNA contained the 5'upstream region of either a defense gene or a housekeeping gene fused to the coding sequence of the green fluorescent protein (Table 1). All silenced plants showed more than a 50% down-regulation of the target transcripts (Figure 2a). Then, the different chimeric constructs were delivered into the *N. benthamiana* leaves of silenced and

control plants by an *A. tumefaciens*-mediated transformation and the green fluorescence was monitored. To minimize leaf-to-leaf variation, each leaf was infiltrated with a vector containing the 5'UTR of two housekeeping genes, *F-box* and *PP2A*, as controls alongside vectors containing the 5'UTRs to be tested. No fluorescence was detected in the plant leaves infiltrated with empty vectors without any 5'UTRs (PBGWFS7 vector); whereas, a significant GFP fluorescence was observed with all of the 5'UTR-GFP chimeras in the control plants (Figure 2b). Using this system, we found that the GAPDH 5'UTR construct produced intense green fluorescence in both silenced and control plants; whereas, the constructs containing the 5'UTRs of catalase, peroxidase, ascorbate peroxidase, NPR1, and MAPK3 showed poor GFP signals in pTV::*NbRPSaA*, pTV::*NbRPS5A*, and pTV::*NbRPS24A* compared to the mock plant (Figure 2b). GFP fluorescence was almost absent, particularly for the defense chimeric constructs in RPS-silenced plants (Figure 2c–e). These results indicated that *NbRPSaA*, *NbRPS5A*, and *NbRPS24A* are essential for the optimal translation of many defense genes in planta.



Figure 1. The mRNA levels of the ribosomal proteins of the small subunit are deregulated by different stresses in *N. benthamiana*. Relative expression of selected RPS genes in *N. benthamiana* following (a) cold stress, (b) INA treatment, and (c) *Pseudomonas fluorescens EtHAn* infection. * *p*-values < 0.05 and ** *p*-values < 0.01, Student's *t*-test. (d) Venn diagram of the deregulated RPS genes under the three conditions.

Table 1. List of the 5'UTRs used in this study.

Gene Symbol	Gene Name	Description	Reference
F-BOX	F-box protein	Normalizing gene	[52]
PP2A	Protein phosphatase 2A	Normalizing gene	[52]
GAPDH	Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase	Housekeeping gene	[52]
CAT	Catalase	ROS-scavenging enzymes	[71]
POX	Peroxidase	ROS-scavenging enzymes	[72]



Table 1. Cont.



3.3. RPS27D Is Required for Efficient Translation in N. benthamiana

The ribosomal protein S27 (RPS27), belongs to the 40S subunit and, through its zincfinger-like motif, it acts as an RNA-binding protein and subsequently influences the transcription of many genes through transcript degradation [39]. A. thaliana and N. benthamiana both have four RPS27 gene family members: A, B, C, and D. The amino acid similarity between AtRPS27 and NbRPS27 proteins is between 89.8 and 96.5% (Figure 3a) [26]. The alignment of the S27 ribosomal protein sequences of different species (rice, barley, rat, and human) shows high conservation [39]. We. sought to investigate the role of *NbRPS27D* in the translation of defense genes in N. benthamiana using the same TRV-mediated virusinduced gene-silencing approach to downregulate *NbRPS27D* expression. The silenced plants showed a more than 60% down-regulation of the target transcript compared to the control (Figure 3b). We then tested translational efficiency by analyzing the GFP accumulation from the agro-infiltration of chimeric mRNAs. NbRPS27D silencing resulted in a more than 50% decrease in GFP production in the zone of infiltration, with the vectors containing the 5'UTRs of defense genes (Figure 3c,d). Interestingly, a similar decrease was observed for the GFP vector containing the 5'UTR of the housekeeping gene, GAPDH (Figure 3c,d). The data presented here suggest that one paralog of ribosomal protein S27 (RPS27D) may play a crucial role in the ribosome translational activity in N. benthamiana.







Figure 3. RPS27D is required for efficient translation in N. benthamiana. (a) Sequence alignment of A. thaliana and N. benthamiana ribosomal protein S27 family members. Conserved amino acids in all the homologs are highlighted. Conserved cysteines forming a zinc finger are shown in bold. (b) Relative expression levels of NbRPS27D using quantitative RT-PCR analysis in the VIGS-treated *N. benthamiana* plants. ACTIN1 and EF1 α were used as references. Error bars represent the standard deviations of six independently infiltrated leaves from two biological replicates; the asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference based on the Student's *t*-test (p < 0.05). (c) GFP fluorescence in the N. benthamiana leaves of pTV::NbRPS27D plants under UV light 5 days after infiltration, with A. tumefaciens carrying the p35S-5'UTR-GFP-expression cassettes (A: F-BOX, B: PP2A, C: GAPDH, D: CAT, E: POX, F: APX, G: NPR1, H: MAPK3, EV: Empty vector (PBGWFS7)). All leaves were infiltrated with the 5' UTRs of two housekeeping gene vectors (A and B), in addition to the other vectors, as an internal control for leaf and plant variability. (d) Fluorimetric analysis of GFP accumulation. GFP fluorescence was quantified on ground tissue from three independently infiltrated leaves using a plate reader. Box plots show the replicate distributions in GFP concentration for each 5'UTR construct. The asterisks (*) represent significant differences between silenced and mock-infiltrated samples based on the Student's *t*-test (p < 0.05).

3.4. RACK1A Is Required for the Efficient Translation of Several Antioxidant Enzymes

RACK1 was originally isolated as a receptor for activated C-kinase 1. In addition to its signaling roles, it interacts with the ribosomal machinery, several cell surface receptors, and nuclear proteins [75]. The most stable and consistent interaction of RACK1 is the one it has with the ribosome. Indeed, RACK1 is found at the surface exposed region of the 40S ribosomal subunit, next to the mRNA exit channel [14,76,77]. It is known that RACK1 specifically modulates translational efficiency in various model systems [37,78,79]; however, its role in the efficient translation of mRNA subsets in the context of defense in planta is not well characterized. N. benthamiana has five RACK1 homologs [26] and A. thaliana has three [74]. AtRACK1A and NbRACK1A share 82% of their amino acid identities (Figure 4a). Since RACK1A is the paralog that was previously detected in the nucleus of stressed plants (Figure S2a), we silenced *NbRACK1A* to investigate its role in the translation of defense genes. Additionally, qRT-PCR analyses confirmed a down-regulation of more than 70% of the targeted transcript in the silenced plants compared to the control (Figure 4b). NbRACK1A silencing caused an important decrease in the GFP fluorescence in leaves infiltrated with the vectors containing the 5'UTRs of peroxidase, ascorbate peroxidase, and catalase (Figure 4c). Similarly, the quantification data indicate that the GFP production under these 5'UTRs was decreased in the pTV::NbRACK1A plants compared to control plants (Figure 4d). By contrast, NbRACK1A silencing had no effect on GFP production in the areas infiltrated with the other 5'UTRs (Figure 4c,d). These results suggest that NbRACK1A silencing in *N. benthamiana* compromises the translation of several antioxidant enzymes.



Figure 4. *RACK1A* is required for the efficient translation of several antioxidant enzymes. (a) Sequence alignment of *AtRACK1A* and *NbRACK1A*. (b) Relative expression levels of *NbRACK1A* using quantitative RT-PCR analysis in the VIGS-treated *N. benthamiana* plants. *ACT1N1* and *EF1a* were used as references. Error bars represent the standard deviations of six independently infiltrated leaves from two biological replicates; the asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference based on the Student's *t*-test (p < 0.05). (c) GFP fluorescence in the *N. benthamiana* leaves of pTV::*NbRACK1A* plants under UV light 5 days after infiltration, with *A. tumefaciens* carrying the p35S-5'UTR-GFP-expression cassettes (A: F-BOX, B: PP2A, C: GAPDH, D: CAT, E: POX, F: APX, G: NPR1, H: MAPK3, EV: Empty vector (PBGWFS7)). All leaves were infiltrated with the 5' UTRs of two housekeeping gene vectors (A and B), in addition to the other vectors, as an internal control for leaf and plant variability. (d) Fluorimetric analysis of GFP accumulation. GFP fluorescence was quantified on ground tissue from three independently infiltrated leaves using a plate reader. Box plots show the replicate distributions in GFP concentration for each 5'UTR construct. The asterisks (*) represent significant differences between silenced and mock-infiltrated samples based on the Student's *t*-test (p < 0.05).

4. Discussion and Conclusions

Plants' responses to stress vary according to stress-type and the outcome is mainly specific to a particular stress [80,81]. Recently developed technologies, such as ribosome profiling and quantitative proteomics, have shown that many stresses inhibit protein synthesis in cells [2,5,6,82]. Protein synthesis accounts for a large proportion of the energy budget of a cell and, thus, requires tight regulation [83]. However, a severe reduction in translation can be harmful during stress as it is precisely the time when cells require new protein synthesis in order to repair damage and adapt to the new environment [84]. Thus, selective translation regulation may allow cells to react to adverse conditions more effectively. As translation modulation is a fast response to environmental signals, the ribosome could be a player in this adaptation. It has been shown that translational regulation mainly takes place during the initiation steps [85]. In plants, the initiation of a translation requires initiation factors, mRNAs, tRNAs, and ribosomes. It involves numerous protein-RNA and protein-protein interactions. Briefly, the 40S subunit of the ribosome directly binds to mRNAs in a way that is dependent on the mRNAs' structures. After mRNA binding and scanning to the AUG start codon in a favorable context, the 60S subunit is recruited to form an 80S initiation complex capable of entry into the elongation phase [86]. During the initiation process, mRNA recruitment to the 40S ribosomal subunit is thought to be the rate-limiting step and is often modulated. The translation efficiency is determined by structural features in the 5' untranslated region (5'UTR) of the mRNA. These features not only determine how well an mRNA is translated but also whether specific ribosomal proteins and other proteins can interact with it [87].

Recent studies on *Arabidopsis* ribosomes revealed that numerous r-proteins are represented by two or more gene family members and most members of each family are expressed [29,88]; meanwhile, r-proteins are generally found as a single copy per ribosome [17,89]. However, the expression of each RP gene appears to be differentially regulated by different conditions. In line with this idea, changes in the expression patterns of these 15 RPS genes in *Arabidopsis* and *Nicotiana* were compared in order to gain insights into the regulation of the response to stress. We have shown differential expression under the three stress treatments of these seven (*RPSaA*, *RPS10C*, *RPS12C*, *RPS19C*, *RPS21C*, *RPS27D*, and *RACK1A*), five (*RPSaA*, *RPS5A*, *RPS24A*, *RPS27D*, and *RACK1A*), and three (*RPSaA*, *RACK1A*, and *RPS27D*) RPS genes in *Arabidopsis*, *Nicotiana*, and both plants, respectively. Similarly, several r-protein genes have been found to be upregulated under different stimuli in plants [19–21].These results indicate the fundamental stress-specific reprogramming of RP gene transcription under stress conditions.

With that in mind, it is interesting to speculate that these RPS endow ribosomes with the capacity for preferential mRNA selection for translation. To substantiate this hypothesis, using the VIGS method, we prepared plants with reduced levels of *RPSaA*, *RPS5A*, *RPS24A*, RPS27D, and RACK1A mRNAs and tested the translation efficiency of specific groups of selected mRNAs. NbRPSaA-, NbRPS5A-, and NbRPS24A-silenced N. benthamiana plants showed varying extents of compromised translation when compared to control plants. The green fluorescence intensity from the GAPDH 5'UTR-GFP chimera was similar in RPSaA-, RPS5A-, and RPS24A-silenced leaves and non-silenced control plants. However, the silencing of these three RPS genes resulted in a dramatic reduction in the translations of catalase, peroxidase, ascorbate peroxidase, NPR1, and MAPK3 5'UTR-GFP chimeras. Interestingly, previous studies have reported that *RPSaA*, *RPS5A*, and *RPS24A* have roles in the regulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS)-mediated systemic signaling. RPS5A may play an important role in dark treatment by participating in the autophagy regulatory process, which is triggered to degrade excessive ROS to help protect cells [90]. Then, it was shown that RPSaA and RPS24A had lower degradation rates and were more stable after oxidative stress [91]. Thus, the transcript levels of RPSaA, RPS5A, and RPS24A increased significantly in vanilla infected with *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. vanilla [20]; additionally, the expression of RPS5A was also induced by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae and Rhizoctonia solani, rice pathogens that, respectively, cause very serious bacterial leaf

blight and sheath blight diseases [19]. Moreover, the expression of *RPSaA*, *RPS5A*, and *RPS24A* was upregulated under the three stress treatments in *Nicotiana* in our study. A differential accumulation of *RPSaA*, *RPS5A*, and *RPS24A* in the ribosomal apparatus was reported in *Arabidopsis* following treatment with the defense-inducing compound INA [28]. The data obtained in this study demonstrate that *RPSaA*, *RPS5A*, and *RPS24A* are required for the selective translation of defense genes to cope with unfavorable conditions.

Meanwhile, the data presented here suggest that *NbRPS27D* silencing leads to a general translation defect. As mentioned above, *RPS27* was shown to contain a conserved zinc finger motif, which may confirm its ability to interact with non-ribosomal components, especially mRNAs. In *Arabidopsis*, *RPS27B* has been said to act as a regulator of transcript stability in response to genotoxic treatments via the degradation of damaged RNAs [39]. Recent data have shown that mutations in *RPS27B* influence the integrity of ribosomes in both structure and function [90].

RACK1 is a highly conserved scaffold protein located at the surface exposed region of the 40S subunit, near the mRNA exit channel [92]. The recent identification of RACK1 as a core component of the small subunit of the ribosome suggests it possesses signaling functions, allowing for translation regulation in response to cell stimuli [93,94]. RACK1 regulates several signaling pathways by acting as a receptor for signaling proteins, such as the protein kinase C (PKC) family [95,96], and by controlling mRNA-specific translation [37,97]. Previous studies have reported on the role of RACK1A in plant immune signaling and hormone responses [98–100]. RACK1A has also been demonstrated to be a key regulator of reactive oxygen species (ROS)-mediated systemic signaling [99,101]. ROS are important and common messengers produced in response to various environmental stresses and are known to activate many MAPKs [102]. They are recognized as thresholdlevel signaling molecules that regulate adaptations to various biotic and abiotic stresses, i.e., the ROS level determines whether they will be defensive or destructive molecules, which is maintained by a balance between ROS-producing and ROS-scavenging pathways for normal cellular homeostasis [103]. Interestingly, RACK1 affects ROS levels and ROS levels also affect RACK1 gene expression [104]. It has been reported that the knockdown of endogenous RACK1 increases the intracellular ROS level following H₂O₂ stimulation in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells, leading to cell death promotion [105]. At the same time, Saelee et al. showed that Penaeus monodon-RACK1 protected shrimp cells from oxidative damage induced by H₂O₂ [106]. Núñez et al. have shown that RACK1 positively regulates the synthesis of cytoplasmic catalase, a detoxification enzyme induced by hydrogen peroxide treatment, and controls the cellular defense against the oxidative stress in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe [97]. In contrast, rice RACK1 (OsRACK1A) has been shown to be involved in the immune response against pathogen attacks through enhanced reactive oxygen species (ROS) [99]. Our study is in line with RACK1A regulating the ROS in plants. The observation that RACK1A knockdown reduces the translation of catalase, APOX, and POX mRNAs is in agreement with RACK1's positive regulation of the detoxification enzyme synthesis induced by ROS. These enzymes are part of the antioxidant machinery, which helps to mitigate oxidative stress-induced damage. With respect to the role of RACK1 in signaling, accumulating evidence suggests that free RACK1 can act as a signaling molecule at a threshold level to enhance the production of ROS. Overall, it is noteworthy that the signal activated by free RACK1 is transient because, in the absence of ribosomal binding, the protein is unstable [37]. In contrast, RACK1 as a ribosomal protein controls the cellular defense against oxidative stress, positively regulating the translation of specific gene products involved in detoxification [97]. In conclusion, we propose that the association of RACK1A with the ribosome may indeed be regulated downstream of the ROS burst in order to modulate its translation functions; however, this possibility should be further investigated.

Our findings would argue that ribosomal proteins function in a modular fashion to decode genetic information in a context-dependent manner. The silencing of one r-protein, as was conducted in this study, could impact the stability and efficiency of the entire

ribosome. In our case, the controls showed us that the overall translation efficiency was not impacted and, therefore, we believe that some variable ribosomal proteins additionally function in a coordinated manner to shape the translatome, which is adapted to different environmental cues in plants.

Overall, our study clearly demonstrates that some RPS are involved in the optimal translation regulation of many genes that are important for defense. However, our experimental design does not allow us to rule out whether the results presented herein are paralog-specific or if the effects could be true for all paralogs (or more than one paralog) of the same ribosomal protein. The findings of this study provide a novel strategy to assess translation efficiency that opens new and interesting avenues for research about the roles of ribosomal proteins during biotic and abiotic stress in *N. benthamiana*. Based on these data, we anticipate that some of the previously described biological functions of these RPS in plant immunity might be linked to their function as putative translational regulators. Future studies into the connection between the RP-mediated translation of defense proteins and the broader role of paralog specificity may provide a novel perspective on specialized ribosomes and translational control in plant disease.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https:// www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/biom13071160/s1, Figure S1: Graphical representation of siRNA from targets (in blue) and off-targets (in red), suggesting the best construct predicted (in yellow) and allowing us to define a custom construct using the VIGS tool; Figure S2: (a) Venn diagram showing the deregulated ribosomal proteins detected in the nuclei of different plants under three types of stress collected from the previously published nuclear proteomes. Heat maps showing the differential expression patterns of the RPS genes deregulated in the nucleus during (b) cold treatment, (c) during elicitor treatments (d), and under biotic stresses. Relative expression ratios of the treatments versus controls are shown in green (down-regulated) and red (up-regulated). The scale on the top represents the \log_2 fold change value. The maximum value is displayed in dark red and the minimum value is displayed in light green. Images have been created and retrieved by Genevestigator v.3. using a meta-analysis tool and (e) the localization of deregulated RPS within the Arabidopsis 80S ribosome upon stress conditions. The visualization outlines mapped the upregulated RPS in response to cold stress, INA treatment, and biotic stress compared to control conditions. For the mapping, PyMOL visualization software was used to obtain a surface representation and to highlight proteins with significant changes. Red indicates RP families with increased transcripts following the three types of stress and purple indicates RP families with increased transcripts following either the biotic or elicitor treatment. Pink and blue represent RP families with increased transcript abundances following biotic and cold stress conditions, respectively; Table S1:List of the primer used to test the expression of the RPS in *N. benthamiana*; Table S2: *N. benthamiana* r-proteins, their size distribution (in amino acids, aa) and homologies to A. thaliana; Table S3: List of the primers used in the VIGS; Table S4: List of the primers used to test the silencing of RPS by qRT-PCR; Table S5: List of the primers used in P35s-5'UTR constructs for gateway clonning.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Z.F. and H.G.; methodology, Z.F. and H.G.; formal analysis, Z.F.; investigation, Z.F.; resources, H.G.; writing—original draft preparation, Z.F.; writing—review and editing, M.B.P. and H.G.; supervision, H.G.; project administration, H.G.; funding acquisition, H.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Canada Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council Discovery program RGPIN 4002-2020.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article or Supplementary Material.

Acknowledgments: We are thankful to David Joly from University of Moncton for sharing the *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Echevarría-Zomeño, S.; Yángüez, E.; Fernández-Bautista, N.; Castro-Sanz, A.B.; Ferrando, A.; Castellano, M.M. Regulation of Translation Initiation under Biotic and Abiotic Stresses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2013**, *14*, 4670–4683. [CrossRef]
- Fennoy, S.L.; Nong, T.; Bailey-Serres, J. Transcriptional and post-transcriptional processes regulate gene expression in oxygendeprived roots of maize. *Plant J.* 1998, 15, 727–735. [CrossRef]
- Hummel, M.; Cordewener, J.H.; de Groot, J.C.; Smeekens, S.; America, A.H.; Hanson, J. Dynamic protein composition of *Arabidopsis thaliana* cytosolic ribosomes in response to sucrose feeding as revealed by label free MSE proteomics. *Proteomics* 2012, 12, 1024–1038. [CrossRef]
- 4. Groppo, R.; Palmenberg, A.C. Cardiovirus 2A protein associates with 40S but not 80S ribosome subunits during infection. *J. Virol.* **2007**, *81*, 13067–13074. [CrossRef]
- 5. Bailey-Serres, J.; Sorenson, R.; Juntawong, P. Getting the message across: Cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein complexes. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2009**, *14*, 443–453. [CrossRef]
- 6. Bailey-Serres, J. Selective translation of cytoplasmic mRNAs in plants. Trends Plant Sci. 1999, 4, 142–148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Liu, L.; Simon, M.C. Regulation of transcription and translation by hypoxia. *Cancer Biol.* 2004, *3*, 492–497. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Braunstein, S.; Karpisheva, K.; Pola, C.; Goldberg, J.; Hochman, T.; Yee, H.; Cangiarella, J.; Arju, R.; Formenti, S.C.; Schneider, R.J. A hypoxia-controlled cap-dependent to cap-independent translation switch in breast cancer. *Mol. Cell* 2007, 28, 501–512. [CrossRef]
- 9. Gamm, M.; Peviani, A.; Honsel, A.; Snel, B.; Smeekens, S.; Hanson, J. Increased sucrose levels mediate selective mRNA translation in *Arabidopsis*. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2014**, *14*, 306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nicolaí, M.; Roncato, M.A.; Canoy, A.S.; Rouquié, D.; Sarda, X.; Freyssinet, G.; Robaglia, C. Large-Scale Analysis of mRNA Translation States during Sucrose Starvation in *Arabidopsis* Cells Identifies Cell Proliferation and Chromatin Structure as Targets of Translational Control. *Plant Physiol.* 2006, 141, 663–673.
- 11. Wang, L.; Li, H.; Zhao, C.; Li, S.; Kong, L.; Wu, W.; Kong, W.; Liu, Y.; Wei, Y.; Zhu, J.K.; et al. The inhibition of protein translation mediated by AtGCN1 is essential for cold tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Environ*. **2017**, *40*, 56–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Juntawong, P.; Bailey-Serres, J. Dynamic Light Regulation of Translation Status in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Sáez-Vásquez, J.; Delseny, M. Ribosome Biogenesis in Plants: From Functional 45S Ribosomal DNA Organization to Ribosome Assembly Factors. *Plant Cell* **2019**, *31*, 1945–1967. [CrossRef]
- 14. Chang, I.F.; Szick-Miranda, K.; Pan, S.; Bailey-Serres, J. Proteomic characterization of evolutionarily conserved and variable proteins of *Arabidopsis* cytosolic ribosomes. *Plant Physiol.* **2005**, *137*, 848–862. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Barakat, A.; Szick-Miranda, K.; Chang, I.F.; Guyot, R.; Blanc, G.; Cooke, R.; Delseny, M.; Bailey-Serres, J. The organization of cytoplasmic ribosomal protein genes in the *Arabidopsis* genome. *Plant Physiol.* **2001**, *127*, 398–415.
- 16. Carroll, A.J. The Arabidopsis Cytosolic Ribosomal Proteome: From form to Function. Front. Plant Sci. 2013, 4, 32. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ban, N.; Nissen, P.; Hansen, J.; Moore, P.B.; Steitz, T.A. The complete atomic structure of the large ribosomal subunit at 2.4 A resolution. *Science* **2000**, *289*, 905–920. [CrossRef]
- Yusupova, G.; Yusupov, M. High-resolution structure of the eukaryotic 80S ribosome. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2014, 83, 467–486. [CrossRef]
- 19. Saha, A.; Das, S.; Moin, M.; Dutta, M.; Bakshi, A.; Madhav, M.S.; Kirti, P.B. Genome-Wide Identification and Comprehensive Expression Profiling of Ribosomal Protein Small Subunit (RPS) Genes and their Comparative Analysis with the Large Subunit (RPL) Genes in Rice. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 1553. [CrossRef]
- 20. Solano de la Cruz, M.T.; Adame-García, J.; Gregorio-Jorge, J.; Jiménez-Jacinto, V.; Vega-Alvarado, L.; Iglesias-Andreu, L.; Escobar-Hernández, E.E.; Luna-Rodríguez, M. Increase in ribosomal proteins activity: Translational reprogramming in *Vanilla planifolia* Jacks., against *Fusarium infection*. *bioRxiv* 2019. [CrossRef]
- 21. Moin, M.; Bakshi, A.; Saha, A.; Dutta, M.; Madhav, S.M.; Kirti, P.B. Rice Ribosomal Protein Large Subunit Genes and Their Spatio-temporal and Stress Regulation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, *7*, 1284. [CrossRef]
- Ban, Z.; Yan, J.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Yuan, Q.; Li, L. Effects of postharvest application of chitosan-based layer-by-layer assemblies on regulation of ribosomal and defense proteins in strawberry fruit (*Fragaria* × *ananassa*). *Sci. Hortic.* 2018, 240, 293–302. [CrossRef]
- 23. Wang, J.; Lan, P.; Gao, H.; Zheng, L.; Li, W.; Schmidt, W. Expression changes of ribosomal proteins in phosphate- and iron-deficient *Arabidopsis* roots predict stress-specific alterations in ribosome composition. *BMC Genom.* **2013**, *14*, 783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Vemanna, R.S.; Bakade, R.; Bharti, P.; Kumar, M.K.P.; Sreeman, S.M.; Senthil-Kumar, M.; Makarla, U. Cross-Talk Signaling in Rice During Combined Drought and Bacterial Blight Stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 193. [CrossRef]
- 25. Guimaraes, J.C.; Zavolan, M. Patterns of ribosomal protein expression specify normal and malignant human cells. *Genome Biol.* **2016**, *17*, 236. [CrossRef]
- 26. Eskelin, K.; Varjosalo, M.; Ravantti, J.; Mäkinen, K. Ribosome profiles and riboproteomes of healthy and Potato virus A- and *Agrobacterium*-infected *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2019**, *20*, 392–409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reschke, M.; Clohessy, J.G.; Seitzer, N.; Goldstein, D.P.; Breitkopf, S.B.; Schmolze, D.B.; Ala, U.; Asara, J.M.; Beck, A.H.; Pandolfi, P.P. Characterization and analysis of the composition and dynamics of the mammalian riboproteome. *Cell Rep.* 2013, 4, 1276–1287. [CrossRef]

- Fakih, Z.; Plourde, M.B.; Nkouankou, C.E.T.; Fourcassie, V.; Bourassa, S.; Droit, A.; Germain, H. Specific alterations in riboproteomes composition of isonicotinic acid treated arabidopsis seedlings. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2023, 111, 379–392. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Genuth, N.R.; Barna, M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinery: From genes to organisms. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2018, 19, 431–452. [CrossRef]
- 30. Luan, Y.; Tang, N.; Yang, J.; Liu, S.; Cheng, C.; Wang, Y.; Chen, C.; Guo, Y.N.; Wang, H.; Zhao, W.; et al. Deficiency of ribosomal proteins reshapes the transcriptional and translational landscape in human cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2022, 50, 6601–6617. [CrossRef]
- Kim, J.; Chubatsu, L.S.; Admon, A.; Stahl, J.; Fellous, R.; Linn, S. Implication of mammalian ribosomal protein S3 in the processing of DNA damage. J. Biol. Chem. 1995, 270, 13620–13629. [CrossRef]
- 32. Zhang, Y.; Lu, H. Signaling to p53: Ribosomal proteins find their way. Cancer Cell 2009, 16, 369–377. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ni, J.Q.; Liu, L.P.; Hess, D.; Rietdorf, J.; Sun, F.L. Drosophila ribosomal proteins are associated with linker histone H1 and suppress gene transcription. *Genes Dev.* 2006, 20, 1959–1973. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Funatsu, G.; Wittmann, H.G. Ribosomal proteins. 33. Location of amino-acid replacements in protein S12 isolated from Escherichia coli mutants resistant to streptomycin. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1972**, *68*, 547–550. [CrossRef]
- 35. Stöffler, G.; Deusser, E.; Wittmann, H.G.; Apirion, D. Ribosomal proteins: XIX. Altered S5 ribosomal protein in an Escherichia coli revertant from streptomycin dependence to independence. *Mol. Gen. Genet. MGG* **1971**, *111*, 334–341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Brodersen, D.E.; Nissen, P. The social life of ribosomal proteins. *FEBS J.* 2005, 272, 2098–2108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Gallo, S.; Ricciardi, S.; Manfrini, N.; Pesce, E.; Oliveto, S.; Calamita, P.; Mancino, M.; Maffioli, E.; Moro, M.; Crosti, M.; et al. RACK1 Specifically Regulates Translation through Its Binding to Ribosomes. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **2018**, *38*, e00230-18. [CrossRef]
- Ramu, V.S.; Dawane, A.; Lee, S.; Oh, S.; Lee, H.K.; Sun, L.; Senthil-Kumar, M.; Mysore, K.S. Ribosomal protein QM/RPL10 positively regulates defence and protein translation mechanisms during nonhost disease resistance. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 2020, 21, 1481–1494. [CrossRef]
- Revenkova, E.; Masson, J.; Koncz, C.; Afsar, K.; Jakovleva, L.; Paszkowski, J. Involvement of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ribosomal protein S27 in mRNA degradation triggered by genotoxic stress. *Embo J.* 1999, *18*, 490–499. [CrossRef]
- Nagaraj, S.; Senthil-Kumar, M.; Ramu, V.S.; Wang, K.; Mysore, K.S. Plant Ribosomal Proteins, RPL12 and RPL19, Play a Role in Nonhost Disease Resistance against Bacterial Pathogens. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2015, 6, 1192. [CrossRef]
- Rajamäki, M.L.; Xi, D.; Sikorskaite-Gudziuniene, S.; Valkonen, J.P.T.; Whitham, S.A. Differential Requirement of the Ribosomal Protein S6 and Ribosomal Protein S6 Kinase for Plant-Virus Accumulation and Interaction of S6 Kinase with Potyviral VPg. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 2017, 30, 374–384. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cheng, Y.T.; Germain, H.; Wiermer, M.; Bi, D.; Xu, F.; Garcia, A.V.; Wirthmueller, L.; Despres, C.; Parker, J.E.; Zhang, Y.; et al. Nuclear pore complex component MOS7/Nup88 is required for innate immunity and nuclear accumulation of defense regulators in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 2009, *21*, 2503–2516. [CrossRef]
- 43. Conrath, U.; Chen, Z.; Ricigliano, J.R.; Klessig, D.F. Two inducers of plant defense responses, 2,6-dichloroisonicotinec acid and salicylic acid, inhibit catalase activity in tobacco. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1995**, *92*, 7143–7147. [CrossRef]
- Bae, M.S.; Cho, E.J.; Choi, E.Y.; Park, O.K. Analysis of the *Arabidopsis* nuclear proteome and its response to cold stress. *Plant J.* 2003, *36*, 652–663. [CrossRef]
- Badel, J.L.; Piquerez, S.J.; Greenshields, D.; Rallapalli, G.; Fabro, G.; Ishaque, N.; Jones, J.D. In planta effector competition assays detect Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis effectors that contribute to virulence and localize to different plant subcellular compartments. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 2013, 26, 745–757. [CrossRef]
- 46. Lu, X.; Liu, Y.; Zhao, L.; Liu, Y.; Zhao, M. Selection of reliable reference genes for RT-qPCR during methyl jasmonate, salicylic acid and hydrogen peroxide treatments in *Ganoderma lucidum*. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *34*, 92. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Kreps, J.A.; Wu, Y.; Chang, H.-S.; Zhu, T.; Wang, X.; Harper, J.F. Transcriptome changes for *Arabidopsis* in response to salt, osmotic, and cold stress. *Plant Physiol.* **2002**, 130, 2129–2141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2^{-ΔΔCT} Method. *Methods* 2001, 25, 402–408. [CrossRef]
- 49. Ratcliff, F.; Martin-Hernandez, A.M.; Baulcombe, D.C. Technical Advance. Tobacco rattle virus as a vector for analysis of gene function by silencing. *Plant J.* 2001, 25, 237–245. [CrossRef]
- 50. Senthil-Kumar, M.; Mysore, K.S. Virus-induced gene silencing can persist for more than 2 years and also be transmitted to progeny seedlings in *Nicotiana benthamiana* and tomato. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2011**, *9*, 797–806. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, G.; Zhang, Z.; Wan, Q.; Zhou, H.; Jiao, M.; Zheng, H.; Lu, Y.; Rao, S.; Wu, G.; Chen, J.; et al. Selection and Validation of Reference Genes for RT-qPCR Analysis of Gene Expression in *Nicotiana benthamiana* upon Single Infections by 11 Positive-Sense Single-Stranded RNA Viruses from Four Genera. *Plants* 2023, 12, 857. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Liu, D.; Shi, L.; Han, C.; Yu, J.; Li, D.; Zhang, Y. Validation of reference genes for gene expression studies in virus-infected *Nicotiana benthamiana* using quantitative real-time PCR. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e46451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Baek, E.; Yoon, J.-Y.; Palukaitis, P. Validation of reference genes for quantifying changes in gene expression in virus-infected tobacco. *Virology* **2017**, *510*, 29–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Chi, C.; Shen, Y.; Yin, L.; Ke, X.; Han, D.; Zuo, Y. Selection and Validation of Reference Genes for Gene Expression Analysis in *Vigna angularis* Using Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0168479. [CrossRef]

- 55. Lilly, S.T.; Drummond, R.S.; Pearson, M.N.; MacDiarmid, R.M. Identification and validation of reference genes for normalization of transcripts from virus-infected *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **2011**, *24*, 294–304. [CrossRef]
- 56. Czechowski, T.; Stitt, M.; Altmann, T.; Udvardi, M.K.; Scheible, W.-R. Genome-wide identification and testing of superior reference genes for transcript normalization in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **2005**, *139*, 5–17. [CrossRef]
- 57. Migocka, M.; Papierniak, A. Identification of suitable reference genes for studying gene expression in cucumber plants subjected to abiotic stress and growth regulators. *Mol. Breed.* **2011**, *28*, 343–357. [CrossRef]
- Rajput, V.D.; Harish; Singh, R.K.; Verma, K.K.; Sharma, L.; Quiroz-Figueroa, F.R.; Meena, M.; Gour, V.S.; Minkina, T.; Sushkova, S.; et al. Recent developments in enzymatic antioxidant defence mechanism in plants with special reference to abiotic stress. *Biology* 2021, 10, 267. [CrossRef]
- 59. Chen, J.; Mohan, R.; Zhang, Y.; Li, M.; Chen, H.; Palmer, I.A.; Chang, M.; Qi, G.; Spoel, S.H.; Mengiste, T.; et al. NPR1 Promotes Its Own and Target Gene Expression in Plant Defense by Recruiting CDK8. *Plant Physiol.* **2019**, *181*, 289–304. [CrossRef]
- 60. Taj, G.; Agarwal, P.; Grant, M.; Kumar, A. MAPK machinery in plants: Recognition and response to different stresses through multiple signal transduction pathways. *Plant Signal. Behav.* **2010**, *5*, 1370–1378. [CrossRef]
- 61. Xu, G.; Greene, G.H.; Yoo, H.; Liu, L.; Marqués, J.; Motley, J.; Dong, X. Global translational reprogramming is a fundamental layer of immune regulation in plants. *Nature* 2017, 545, 487–490. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Li, Y.; Li, Q.; Beuchat, G.; Zeng, H.; Zhang, C.; Chen, L.Q. Combined analyses of translatome and transcriptome in *Arabidopsis* reveal new players responding to magnesium deficiency. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **2021**, *63*, 2075–2092. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Yangueez, E.; Castro-Sanz, A.B.; Fernandez-Bautista, N.; Oliveros, J.C.; Castellano, M.M. Analysis of genome-wide changes in the translatome of *Arabidopsis* seedlings subjected to heat stress. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e71425. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Karimi, M.; Inzé, D.; Depicker, A. GATEWAY[™] vectors for *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2002**, *7*, 193–195. [CrossRef]
- 65. Sparkes, I.A.; Runions, J.; Kearns, A.; Hawes, C. Rapid, transient expression of fluorescent fusion proteins in tobacco plants and generation of stably transformed plants. *Nat. Protoc.* **2006**, *1*, 2019–2025. [CrossRef]
- 66. Diamos, A.G.; Rosenthal, S.H.; Mason, H.S. 5' and 3' Untranslated Regions Strongly Enhance Performance of Geminiviral Replicons in *Nicotiana benthamiana* Leaves. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 200. [CrossRef]
- 67. Fakih, Z.; Ahmed, M.B.; Letanneur, C.; Germain, H. An unbiased nuclear proteomics approach reveals novel nuclear protein components that participates in MAMP-triggered immunity. *Plant Signal. Behav.* **2016**, *11*, e1183087. [CrossRef]
- Howden, A.J.M.; Stam, R.; Martinez Heredia, V.; Motion, G.B.; Ten Have, S.; Hodge, K.; Marques Monteiro Amaro, T.M.; Huitema, E. Quantitative analysis of the tomato nuclear proteome during Phytophthora capsici infection unveils regulators of immunity. *New Phytol.* 2017, 215, 309–322. [CrossRef]
- Ayash, M.; Abukhalaf, M.; Thieme, D.; Proksch, C.; Heilmann, M.; Schattat, M.H.; Hoehenwarter, W. LC-MS Based Draft Map of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* Nuclear Proteome and Protein Import in Pattern Triggered Immunity. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2021, 12, 744103. [CrossRef]
- 70. Palm, D.; Simm, S.; Darm, K.; Weis, B.L.; Ruprecht, M.; Schleiff, E.; Scharf, C. Proteome distribution between nucleoplasm and nucleolus and its relation to ribosome biogenesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *RNA Biol.* **2016**, *13*, 441–454. [CrossRef]
- 71. Diaz-Albiter, H.; Mitford, R.; Genta, F.A.; Sant'Anna, M.R.; Dillon, R.J. Reactive oxygen species scavenging by catalase is important for female *Lutzomyia longipalpis* fecundity and mortality. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e17486. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Das, K.; Roychoudhury, A. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and response of antioxidants as ROS-scavengers during environmental stress in plants. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 2014, 2, 53. [CrossRef]
- 73. Chang, M.; Chen, H.; Liu, F.; Fu, Z.Q. PTI and ETI: Convergent pathways with diverse elicitors. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2022, 27, 113–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Backer, R.; Naidoo, S.; van den Berg, N. The NONEXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS-RELATED GENES 1 (NPR1) and Related Family: Mechanistic Insights in Plant Disease Resistance. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 102. [CrossRef]
- Adams, D.R.; Ron, D.; Kiely, P.A. RACK1, A multifaceted scaffolding protein: Structure and function. *Cell Commun. Signal.* 2011, 9, 22. [CrossRef]
- 76. Rabl, J.; Leibundgut, M.; Ataide, S.F.; Haag, A.; Ban, N. Crystal structure of the eukaryotic 40S ribosomal subunit in complex with initiation factor 1. *Science* 2011, 331, 730–736. [CrossRef]
- 77. Giavalisco, P.; Wilson, D.; Kreitler, T.; Lehrach, H.; Klose, J.; Gobom, J.; Fucini, P. High heterogeneity within the ribosomal proteins of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* 80S ribosome. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2005**, *57*, 577–591. [CrossRef]
- Volta, V.; Beugnet, A.; Gallo, S.; Magri, L.; Brina, D.; Pesce, E.; Calamita, P.; Sanvito, F.; Biffo, S. RACK1 depletion in a mouse model causes lethality, pigmentation deficits and reduction in protein synthesis efficiency. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 2013, 70, 1439–1450. [CrossRef]
- 79. Thompson, M.K.; Rojas-Duran, M.F.; Gangaramani, P.; Gilbert, W.V. The ribosomal protein Asc1/RACK1 is required for efficient translation of short mRNAs. *eLife* **2016**, *5*, e11154. [CrossRef]
- Georgieva, M.; Vassileva, V. Stress Management in Plants: Examining Provisional and Unique Dose-Dependent Responses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 5105. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, H.; Zhao, Y.; Zhu, J.-K. Thriving under Stress: How Plants Balance Growth and the Stress Response. Dev. Cell 2020, 55, 529–543. [CrossRef]

- Hummel, M.; Dobrenel, T.; Cordewener, J.J.; Davanture, M.; Meyer, C.; Smeekens, S.J.; Bailey-Serres, J.; America, T.A.; Hanson, J. Proteomic LC-MS analysis of *Arabidopsis* cytosolic ribosomes: Identification of ribosomal protein paralogs and re-annotation of the ribosomal protein genes. *J. Proteom.* 2015, *128*, 436–449. [CrossRef]
- Hershey, J.W.; Sonenberg, N.; Mathews, M.B. Principles of translational control: An overview. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 2012, 4, a011528. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shcherbik, N.; Pestov, D.G. The Impact of Oxidative Stress on Ribosomes: From Injury to Regulation. *Cells* 2019, *8*, 1379. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- 85. Sonenberg, N.; Hinnebusch, A.G. Regulation of translation initiation in eukaryotes: Mechanisms and biological targets. *Cell* **2009**, 136, 731–745. [CrossRef]
- 86. Roy, B.; von Arnim, A.G. Translational Regulation of Cytoplasmic mRNAs. Arab. Book 2013, 11, e0165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Merchante, C.; Stepanova, A.N.; Alonso, J.M. Translation regulation in plants: An interesting past, an exciting present and a promising future. *Plant J.* **2017**, *90*, 628–653. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Browning, K.S.; Bailey-Serres, J. Mechanism of cytoplasmic mRNA translation. *Arab. Book/Am. Soc. Plant Biol.* 2015, 13, e0176. [CrossRef]
- Tal, M.; Weissman, I.; Silberstein, A. A new method for stoichiometric analysis of proteins in complex mixture—Reevaluation of the stoichiometry of *E. coli* ribosomal proteins. *J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods* 1990, 21, 247–266. [CrossRef]
- 90. Shen, J.J.; Chen, Q.S.; Li, Z.F.; Zheng, Q.X.; Xu, Y.L.; Zhou, H.N.; Mao, H.Y.; Shen, Q.; Liu, P.P. Proteomic and metabolomic analysis of *Nicotiana benthamiana* under dark stress. *FEBS Open Bio* 2022, *12*, 231–249. [CrossRef]
- 91. Salih, K.; Duncan, O.; Li, L.; O'Leary, B.; Fenske, R.; Troesch, J.; Millar, A. Impact of oxidative stress on the function, abundance and turnover of the *Arabidopsis* 80S cytosolic ribosome. *Plant J.* 2020, *103*, 128–139. [CrossRef]
- 92. Sengupta, J.; Nilsson, J.; Gursky, R.; Spahn, C.M.; Nissen, P.; Frank, J. Identification of the versatile scaffold protein RACK1 on the eukaryotic ribosome by cryo-EM. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2004**, *11*, 957–962. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 93. Link, A.J.; Eng, J.; Schieltz, D.M.; Carmack, E.; Mize, G.J.; Morris, D.R.; Garvik, B.M.; Yates, J.R., 3rd. Direct analysis of protein complexes using mass spectrometry. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **1999**, *17*, 676–682. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nilsson, J.; Sengupta, J.; Frank, J.; Nissen, P. Regulation of eukaryotic translation by the RACK1 protein: A platform for signalling molecules on the ribosome. *EMBO Rep.* 2004, 5, 1137–1141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Ron, D.; Chen, C.H.; Caldwell, J.; Jamieson, L.; Orr, E.; Mochly-Rosen, D. Cloning of an intracellular receptor for protein kinase C: A homolog of the beta subunit of G proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 839–843. [CrossRef]
- 96. Su, J.; Xu, J.; Zhang, S. RACK1, scaffolding a heterotrimeric G protein and a MAPK cascade. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2015, 20, 405–407. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Núñez, A.; Franco, A.; Madrid, M.; Soto, T.; Vicente, J.; Gacto, M.; Cansado, J. Role for RACK1 orthologue Cpc2 in the modulation of stress response in fission yeast. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 2009, 20, 3996–4009. [CrossRef]
- Rahman, M.A.; Fennell, H.; Ullah, H. Receptor for Activated C Kinase1B (OsRACK1B) Impairs Fertility in Rice through NADPH-Dependent H₂O₂ Signaling Pathway. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2022, 23, 8455. [CrossRef]
- Nakashima, A.; Chen, L.; Thao, N.P.; Fujiwara, M.; Wong, H.L.; Kuwano, M.; Umemura, K.; Shirasu, K.; Kawasaki, T.; Shimamoto, K. RACK1 functions in rice innate immunity by interacting with the Rac1 immune complex. *Plant Cell* 2008, 20, 2265–2279. [CrossRef]
- 100. Chen, J.G.; Ullah, H.; Temple, B.; Liang, J.; Guo, J.; Alonso, J.M.; Ecker, J.R.; Jones, A.M. RACK1 mediates multiple hormone responsiveness and developmental processes in *Arabidopsis*. J. Exp. Bot. 2006, 57, 2697–2708. [CrossRef]
- Shirasu, K.; Schulze-Lefert, P. Complex formation, promiscuity and multi-functionality: Protein interactions in disease-resistance pathways. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2003, *8*, 252–258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 102. Jalmi, S.; Sinha, A. ROS mediated MAPK signaling in abiotic and biotic stress- striking similarities and differences. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2015, *6*, 769. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Mittler, R.; Vanderauwera, S.; Gollery, M.; Van Breusegem, F. Reactive oxygen gene network of plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2004, *9*, 490–498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Fennell, H.W.W.; Ullah, H.; van Wijnen, A.J.; Lewallen, E.A. Arabidopsis thaliana and Oryza sativa receptor for activated C kinase 1 (RACK1) mediated signaling pathway shows hypersensitivity to oxidative stress. Plant Gene 2021, 27, 100299. [CrossRef]
- 105. Zhou, S.; Cao, H.; Zhao, Y.; Li, X.; Zhang, J.; Hou, C.; Ma, Y.; Wang, Q. RACK1 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma cell survival via CBR1 by suppressing TNF-α-induced ROS generation. *Oncol. Lett.* **2016**, *12*, 5303–5308. [CrossRef]
- Saelee, N.; Tonganunt-Srithaworn, M.; Wanna, W.; Phongdara, A. Receptor for Activated C Kinase-1 protein from Penaeus monodon (Pm-RACK1) participates in the shrimp antioxidant response. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2011, 49, 32–36. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.