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## Supplementary Materials

## Modulus of the Coatings by Nanoindentation Tests.

The reduced modulus of the sample is calculated as $E_{\mathrm{r}}=S / D$, where $D$ is the diameter of the cylindrical flat punch [1]. Young's modulus of the materials can also be estimated because it is related to the measured reduced modulus as $1 / E_{\mathrm{r}}=\left(1-v^{2}\right) / E+\left(1-v_{\text {tip }}{ }^{2}\right) / E_{\text {tip }}$, where $v$ and $v_{\text {tip }}$ are Poisson's ratio of the material and diamond indenter, respectively, and $E$ and $E_{\text {tip }}$ are Young's modulus of the material and diamond indenter, respectively. Here, the $v$ for all samples were assumed to be the same and equal to 0.5 and $v_{\text {tip }}=0.07$ and $E_{\text {tip }}=1140 \mathrm{GPa}[1-2]$. As $E_{\text {tip }} \gg E$, the second term of the equation is negligible. Hence, Young's modulus of the samples is approximated to $E=E_{\mathrm{r}}\left(1-v^{2}\right)=0.75 E \mathrm{r}$. The shear modulus can be calculated from the equation: $E=2 G(1+v)[3]$.


Figure S1. Cross-sectional image of frog skin [4].

Table S1. Properties of coatings prepared from varied weight ratio of hybrid surfactant.

| Samples | Water Contact <br> Angle at $0 \mathbf{~ s}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Water Contact <br> Angle at 80 s <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Advancing <br> Contact Angle <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Receding <br> Contact Angle <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Contact Angle <br> Hysteresis ( $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDMS: $0 \%$ | 111.5 | 111.3 | 127.5 | 68.1 | 59.4 |
| $10 \%$ | 110.2 | 73.9 | 75.1 | 11.6 | 63.5 |
| $20 \%$ | 106.0 | 72.8 | 76.3 | 12.6 | 63.7 |
| $30 \%$ | 105.4 | 71 | 80.3 | 11.9 | 68.3 |
| $40 \%$ | 105.9 | 45.6 | 68.5 | 6.0 | 62.5 |



Figure S2. Schematic of fabricating the coatings.


Figure S3. Pore size distribution of the 30\% coating after removing the surfactant.
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Figure S4. Chemical structural formula of Tween 80, Span 80 and PDMS.


Figure S5. Optical micrograph of $30 \%$ coating before being wiped with lens paper.


Figure S6. Digital images of the water contact angels of the samples at 0 and 80 s , respectively.
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