Mechanisms of the Antibacterial Effects of TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ under Solar or Visible Light: Schottky Barriers versus Surface Plasmon Resonance
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Abstract: This study reports the significant mechanistic difference between binary-oxide antibacterial films with the same composition but different microstructures. Binary TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ films were found to present a faster bacterial inactivation kinetics under visible light irradiation than each single oxide acting independently. The interaction between the film active surface species and the bacteria within the disinfection period was followed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and provided the evidence for a redox catalysis taking place during the bacterial inactivation time. The optical and surface properties of the films were evaluated by appropriate surface analytical methods. A differential mechanism is suggested for each specific microstructure inducing bacterial inactivation. The surface FeO$_x$ plasmon resonance transferred electrons into the conduction band of TiO$_2$ because of the Schottky barrier after Fermi level equilibration of the two components. An electric field at the interface between TiO$_2$ and FeO$_x$ favors the separation of the photo-generated charges leading to a faster bacterial inactivation by TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ compared to the bacterial inactivation kinetics by each of the single oxides.
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1. Introduction

Photocatalytic nanomaterials such as TiO$_2$ are receiving a great deal of attention owing to their potential applications in environmental remediation [1,2]. Nonetheless, the low efficiency of this class of materials under solar irradiation, absorbing <5% of the incident light, limits their performance in photo-induced processes. At the present time, there is a need to develop more efficient TiO$_2$ composite photocatalysts, active under visible light [3–5]. Hybrid nanostructured composite photocatalysts like binary oxides seem a fruitful solution. The present mini-review addresses hybrid, stable, adhesive antibacterial TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ films leading to visible light-driven bacterial inactivation. We describe briefly the properties of TiO$_2$ and Fe oxides (FeO$_x$) and address the preparation, evaluation, and properties of TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ films having the same composition but different microstructures.

TiO$_2$ is known to exhibit photocatalytic antimicrobial activity over a broad spectrum of microorganisms. The antimicrobial properties of TiO$_2$ are attributed to the high redox potential of reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated on TiO$_2$ under band-gap irradiation. Foster et al. [6], Yadav et al. [7], Kiwi et al. [8], Kubacka et al. [9], Verdier et al. [10], Al-Hazmi et al. [11], and others have recently reported a comprehensive review of the photocatalytic disinfection properties of TiO$_2$. The first report on bacterial sterilization by TiO$_2$ powders was published by Matsunaga et al. [12]. TiO$_2$ under band-gap irradiation photo-induces charge carriers that subsequently in the presence of O$_2$ lead to ROS with high oxidative potentials. These ROS are effective in pollutant abatement, bacterial
inactivation, or both. When the oxidant used exceeds the natural antioxidant defenses in a bacterial strain, death cell follows through a complex set of redox reactions. Natural antioxidants like carotene, ascorbic acid, tocopherol inhibit lipid peroxidation or O-singlet effects [13] and the effects of ROS radicals such as HO$_2^*$ and •OH which are effective in biological inactivation.

Iron oxide thin film have extensive applications in semiconductor devices, magneto-optic memories, audio-video systems, computer chips, and memory storage devices. Iron oxide exists in three phases: α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, β-Fe$_2$O$_3$, γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [14]. α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ with a band gap of 2.2 eV absorbs in the visible up to 570 nm and its charges present a low hole diffusion length and short exciton lifetime (~10 ps). Iron oxide shows antibacterial behavior [15]. ROS generated by Fe oxides is currently investigated in medical research against cancer. The oxidative damage introduced by Fe, FeO$_x$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, and Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles (NPs) reduces or destroys cancer cells but concomitantly induces cellular injury or death in normal cells. Fe oxides lead to genetically regulated cell death (apoptosis) and to an increase of the ROS levels by damage within cells, followed by autophagy. The potential damage to tissues located behind cellular barriers needs to be considered when using Fe NPs for targeting tumors [19–21]. Super-paramagnetic iron oxide particles combined with certain chemicals are labelled SPIONS and increase ROS stress up to a factor of 10 during cancer cell treatment. Coupling SPIONS with X-ray radiotherapy amplifies the cytotoxicity on tumors and cancer cells. This is a synergistic strategy [22]. Because of the complexity of this field, this mini-review addresses only the interaction of binary oxides containing FeO$_x$ NPs with simpler probes like bacteria and the details related to the bacterial damage and destruction.

Fe(III)-modified titania and Fe(III)–Ti(IV) binary oxides have received little attention as photocatalyst films compared to their parent single metal oxides, Fe$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$. This is due to the difficulty of obtaining a pure mono-phase TiO$_2$. In addition, the effect of different preparative conditions on films prepared by sol–gel as well as their surface properties, catalytic and photocatalytic activity have been the object of very few studies [23–27]. In colloidal formulations up to 10%, FeO$_x$ can be added and will disperse well in the TiO$_2$ lattice. The addition of higher percentages of FeO$_x$ leads to Fe-phase segregation. Very little work has been reported on the detailed microstructure of TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ stable, uniform, adhesive films. This moved us to investigate the films microstructure effect on the bacterial inactivation kinetics. Surface properties and reaction mechanism leading to bacterial killing is reported by the binary-oxide composites.

2. TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ Surfaces Leading to Bacterial Inactivation under Solar Light with a Faster Kinetics Compared to Either TiO$_2$ or FeO$_x$ Films

Dispersions of FeCl$_3$ and TiO$_2$ Degussa P25 were prepared using FeCl$_3$ (100 mg L$^{-1}$) and TiO$_2$ (5 g L$^{-1}$) and were irradiated to photo-corrode polyethylene (PE) and introduce negatively charged oxidative sites able to bind both oxides by exchange–adsorption and electrostatic interaction. The photo-corrosive of the TiO$_2$ powder surfaces was carried out under UV irradiation for 15 h. After UV irradiation, the films were sonicated in aqueous solution for 10 min to remove loosely bound oxide particles, washed, and dried for 10 min at 80 °C. This operation was repeated two times, and the films were dried at 60 °C. Stable TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ films were obtained by this procedure.

Figure 1 shows the kinetics of bacterial inactivation under low-intensity solar irradiation for TiO$_2$, FeO$_x$, and TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$. It is readily seen that the binary composite induced a faster bacterial inactivation kinetics compared to each of the single oxides evaluated separately [28]. The main reactions leading to bacterial inactivation on TiO$_2$ are suggested below in Equations (1)–(5) [2,29]:

\[
bacteria + [TiO_2 - PE]_{light} \rightarrow [TiO_2^{*} - PE]_{bacteria} \rightarrow \text{[bacteria}^{*} \ldots \text{TiO}_2 - \text{PE]cbe}^{-}\] (1)

\[
\text{TiO}_2(\text{cbe}^{-}) - \text{PE} + \text{O}_{2\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{O}_2^{2-} \text{ads} \quad E_0 = -0.16 \text{NHE} \] (2)

\[
\text{TiO}_2(\text{cbe}^{-}) - \text{PE} + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^{*} \quad E_0 = -0.05 \text{NHE} \] (3)
Gray et al. [32] used electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy and reported that the electron-trapping states in anatase are positioned at ~0.8 eV below the anatase conduction band (cbe) [30]. Leytner et al. [31] identified the electron-trapping sites as noted in Equation (1). Under visible light, FeO_x presents a conduction band (cb) positioned at potential energy values 0.4–0.6 eV, below the anatase trapping states [30]. Leytner et al. [31] identified the electron-trapping sites in anatase positioned at ~0.8 eV below the anatase (cb) by time-resolved photo-acoustic spectroscopy (TRPAS). Gray et al. [32] used electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy and reported that the electron-trapping sites located ~0.5–0.8 eV below the anatase (cb). The mechanism for the interfacial charge transfer (IFCT) between Fe_{2}O_{3} and TiO_{2} on sol–gel films is suggested in Figure 2.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TiO}_{2}(\text{vbh}^{+}) - \text{PE} + \text{OH}_{\text{ads}} & \rightarrow \text{OH}_{\text{ads}} - 1.90\text{NHE} \\
\text{TiO}_{2}(\text{vbh}^{+}) - \text{PE} + \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{\text{ads}} & \rightarrow \text{OH}_{\text{ads}} + \text{E}^{+}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1. Escherichia coli inactivation (CFU/mL) on polyethylene (PE) films coated with (1) FeO_x, (2) TiO_2, and (3) FeO_x–TiO_2 as a function of time of irradiation under low-intensity solar simulated light (50 mW cm\(^{-2}\)).

Bacterial inactivation by FeO_x under solar simulated light irradiation could be obtained as noted in Equations (6)–(9):

\[
[\text{PE} - \text{FeO}_x]\text{hv} \rightarrow [\text{bacteria} \ast \ldots \text{FeO}_x] - \text{PE} \rightarrow [\text{bacteria}^{+\ast} + \text{FeO}_x] - \text{PE} + \text{cbe}^{-}
\]

\[
\text{FeO}_x + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{FeO}_x(\text{vbh}^{+})
\]

\[
\text{vbh}^{+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{OH}_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}^{+}
\]

\[
\text{cbe}^{-} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{OH}^{-} + \text{H}^{+}
\]

The acceleration of bacterial inactivation in Figure 1 by the TiO_2–FeO_x photocatalyst can be rationalized in terms of the intervention of FeO_x, injecting e^- into TiO_2, as noted below:

\[
\text{FeO}_x + \text{light} \rightarrow \text{FeO}_x(\text{e}^{-}) + \text{FeO}_x(\text{h}^{+})
\]

\[
\text{FeO}_x(\text{e}^{-}) + \text{TiO}_2 \rightarrow \text{FeO}_x + \text{TiO}_2(\text{e}^{-}\text{trapping sites})
\]

\[
\text{FeO}_x(\text{e}^{-}) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{FeO}_x + \text{O}_2^{-}
\]

\[
\text{O}_2^{-} + \text{H}^{+} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^{\ast} \rightarrow \text{ROS}
\]

\[
\text{TiO}_2(\text{h}^{+}) + \text{bacteria} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{inorganic residues}
\]
It is interesting, at this point, to look into the oxidation states of the FeO\textsubscript{x} species before and after bacterial inactivation. Figure 3 presents the changes in the Fe oxidation states of PE–FeO\textsubscript{x} within the 120 min bacterial inactivation reported in Figure 1, trace (1). Figure 3 shows that the initial Fe(III)/Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} at 712.2 eV decreased from ~80.0% at time zero to ~53.0% after bacterial inactivation. Concomitantly, an increase in the Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} at 713.6 eV and Fe(II) at 709.7 eV was observed. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) shifts were referenced by the values found in reference [33]. Therefore, during the bacterial inactivation period, redox reactions occurred on the catalyst surface during bacterial inactivation. After bacterial inactivation, the XPS peak positions for Fe(III), FeO(II/III), and Fe(II) peaks were: 711.4, 708.6, and 713.8 eV, respectively [34]. The three Fe-oxides in Figure 3 intervened with a different potential during bacterial reduction as shown in Figure 3. The surface concentration of the elements were observed to remain fairly stable within the period of bacterial inactivation (see Table 1).

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Interfacial charge transfer (IFCT) electron transfer between FeO\textsubscript{x} and low-lying TiO\textsubscript{2} trapped states under visible light irradiation (>404 nm). Reprinted with permission from [28]. Copyright 2017 Elsevier.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of PE–FeO\textsubscript{x} films sputtered for 60 s before and after bacterial inactivation under solar simulated light (52 mW cm\textsuperscript{-2}). Reprinted with permission from [35]. Copyright 2015 RSC.

**Table 1.** Surface atomic percentages concentration determined by XPS on PE–FeO\textsubscript{x} sputtered for 60 s before and after bacterial reduction under solar irradiation (52 mW cm\textsuperscript{-2}).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe2p</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>7.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1s</td>
<td>31.11</td>
<td>35.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1s</td>
<td>61.02</td>
<td>57.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2p</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Sputtering of TiO$_2$–Fe$_2$O$_3$ Microstructure to Accelerate the Bacterial Inactivation Kinetics: Process Optimization

Films prepared by sol–gel are not perfectly reproducible, are not robust, are weakly adhesive, and lack uniformity. This is a serious hindrance for antibacterial applications over long periods, for which film stability is a primary consideration. Work by Kelly et al. [35,36] addressed the preparation of stable antibacterial films by sputtering metals and oxides. Sputtering active metals and oxides leads to highly oxidative species, leading to bacterial death. A magnetron sputtering unit is shown in Figure 4. The amounts of the point defects in the aggregates/crystallites films on the substrate are considerably higher than in similar films prepared by sol–gel because of the higher energies during the sputtering process [37]. Defects in oxide crystals and nuclei are located at energies within the band gaps, giving raise to intermediate mid-gap energy states mediating the photo-excited electron transition from the cb band to the valence band (vb) band [38]. Alternatively, they can act as recombination centers depending on their concentration. The rapid inclusion during sputtering, of Fe and O atoms during the sputtering time into the TiO$_2$ film lattice creates O vacancies and interstitial defects. [39]. The higher activity of the sputtered films is in part attributed to an increase in the defects in the crystallites. Oxides like TiO$_2$ and FeO$_x$ have been extensively reported in the literature presenting four type defects: (a) O vacancies, (b) Ti or Fe vacancies, (c) O interstitials, and (d) Ti or Fe interstitials [40,41]. The work in the field of bacterial inactivation films need a more advanced catalysts design and preparation leading to a faster kinetics and a higher absorption in the visible range. Also, a higher reusability threshold is needed for antibacterial films for large scale applications. Section 4 below illustrates how the microstructure of TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ profoundly affects the bacterial inactivation kinetics.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Schematic representation of the two target-sputtering units used to deposit the metal and oxides films in Ar atmosphere with a low residual concentration of air (O$_2$).

4. Optical and Surface Properties of Co-Sputtered and Sequentially Sputtered TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ Films Active in Bacterial Inactivation

Figure 5 shows the diffuse reflection spectroscopy (DRS) spectra in Kubelka–Munk units for TiO$_2$–PE, FeO$_x$–PE, (a) sequentially sputtered TiO$_2$/FeO$_x$–PE films, and (b) co-sputtered TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$–PE films. The sputtering times noted in the caption of Figure 5 were optimized to find the most suitable ratio TiO$_2$/FeO$_x$ for a film leading to bacterial inactivation kinetics. The TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ composite absorbs in the visible region >400 nm, inducing TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ charge transfer bands [42]. The light absorption in the spectral region between 400 and 500 nm in Figure 5 is attributed to IFCT between TiO$_2$ and FeO$_x$. The weak absorption >500 nm is due to the short-lived Fe d–d inter-band transitions. The electron
The electron pair-deficient oxygen vacancy was suggested to be able to react with Ti$^{4+}$-ions to form Ti$^{3+}$ centers, by Serpone et al. [43]. The amount of vacancies was reported to be one-half of the Fe(III) found in the TiO$_2$ (Ti$^{4+}$) network [44–49].

![Diffuse reflection spectroscopy (DRS) showing the Fe(III) shifting band gap excitation of TiO$_2$ to the visible region in the samples.](image)

**Figure 5.** Diffuse reflection spectroscopy (DRS) showing the Fe(III) shifting band gap excitation of TiO$_2$ to the visible region in the samples: (1) TiO$_2$–PE (8 min), (2) FeO$_x$–PE (2 min), (3) sequentially sputtered TiO$_2$/FeO$_x$–PE (2 min FeO$_x$, 8 min TiO$_2$), and (4) co-sputtered TiO$_2$—FeO$_x$–PE (2 min). Reprinted with permission from [39]. Copyright 2015 RSC.

The roughness ($R_g$) of the co-sputtered TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$–PE films was ~24 nm, as determined by atomic force microscopy (AFM). A value of ~11 nm was found for the sequentially sputtered TiO$_2$/FeO$_x$–PE films. The co-sputtered films showed FeO$_x$ nano-particle sizes of 15–30 nm and TiO$_2$ nano-particle sizes of 10–15 nm. The particle size, diffusion, and mass transport determine particle growth and surface roughness [50]. The sequential sputtered films showed FeO$_x$ NPs sizes of 20–40 nm. The bigger size of the sequentially sputtered NPs compared to the co-sputtered films is attributed to an easier collective diffusion in the FeO$_x$ top-most layers of the latter samples [51]. The deposition of the co-sputtered TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$–PE films and the sequentially sputtered TiO$_2$/FeO$_x$–PE films was carried in a similar way. Bacterial inactivation mediated by the co-sputtered TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$–PE sample was completed within 60 min compared with the 120 min required by the sequentially sputtered samples. Both samples were sputtered for 2 min. Both samples were close in specific surface area (SSA) and that the difference in the bacterial inactivation times could not be ascribed to a difference in the surface area between the samples. The photo-sensitizing role of FeO$_x$ unexpectedly led to similar inactivation times under solar simulated light and under visible light in both samples (404 nm cut-off filter).

### 5. Evidence by XPS of Bacterial Inactivation Inducing Differentiated Redox Interactions with TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ Samples

Figure 6a presents the changes in the Fe oxidation states for sequentially sputtered TiO$_2$/FeO$_x$–PE films. The initial Fe$_2$O$_3$ was seen to increase from ~70% at time zero to ~80% after 30 min at the expense of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and FeO. The Fe oxide peaks were referenced by the values reported in reference [33]. Figure 6b shows the changes of FeO$_x$ and TiO$_2$ oxidation states in the co-sputtered films within the disinfection time. The initial 60% Fe$_2$O$_3$ percentage remained constant during the disinfection time, and the Fe$_3$O$_4$ and FeO percentages were conserved up to 60 min, while TiO$_2$ (Ti$^{4+}$) slightly increased with a concomitant decrease of Ti$^{3+}$-oxidation state. Electrostatic attraction occurs between the negatively charged *Escherichia coli* at pH 6–7 and the slightly positive TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$–PE surface. The interaction between reactants at distances below 4–8 Å is accompanied by a strong polarization at these short distances [52,53]. Fe$_2$O$_3$ presents a cb at +0.1 eV and a vb at +2.2 eV [1,2]. The valence band holes (vbh$^+$) interact with the adsorbed –OH surface groups but do not have a potential high
enough to lead to the formation of •OH radicals, since the transformation •OH–OH− requires 1.90 eV. The HO2• radicals oxidize bacteria undergoing concomitantly HO2•–HO2• reduction at 0.75 eV. This is a significant lower potential compared to that required by the transformation •OH–OH−. The HO2• decomposes at pH > 4.8, driving the pH to acidic values, as shown below in Equation (16):

\[
\text{HO}_2^\bullet + \text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}^+ \tag{16}
\]

Figure 7a presents the XPS for the sequentially sputtered films. Figure 7a shows the atomic percentage composition as a function of the etching depth for Fe, Ti, and O. The etching of the film surface was carried out by sputtering Ar ions of 5 kV. These Ar ions are able to reach a depth of ~50 nm (~250 layers). The TiO2 under-layers in Figure 7 were only detected up to 30 nm and reached an atomic concentration of 60% after sputtering Ar ions for 45 nm. The O-enrichment level was stable at 25%–30% up to 65 nm. Figure 7a,b show the drastic differences in the microstructure of the TiO2–FeOx films for the sequential and co-sputtered samples.

Figure 7. XPS etching by way of a beam of 5 kV Ar ion for: (a) sequentially sputtered TiO2–FeOx–PE films, (1) Ti2p, (2) Fe2p, and (3) O1s; (b) Co-sputtered TiO2–FeOx–PE films showing the atomic percentage concentration of atoms, (1) Ti2p, (2) Fe2p, and (3) O1s in the topmost layers (2 nm) as a function of the penetration depth. Reprinted from [54].
6. The Role of the Microstructure Controlling the Bacterial Inactivation Mechanism: Critical Issues

The schematic intervention of co-sputtered FeO\textsubscript{x}–TiO\textsubscript{2} leading to bacterial inactivation is suggested in Figure 8a. The mixed TiO\textsubscript{2}–FeO\textsubscript{x}–PE film led to charge separation and involved quasi-Fermi equilibration between the two oxides. The FeO\textsubscript{x} electron transfer to low-lying TiO\textsubscript{2} trapping states is shown in Figure 8a. The co-sputtered TiO\textsubscript{2}–FeO\textsubscript{x}–PE films induced a faster bacterial inactivation compared to the sequentially sputtered TiO\textsubscript{2}/FeO\textsubscript{x}–PE. A decrease in the film FeO\textsubscript{x} electron-hole recombination rate occurred in the co-sputtered TiO\textsubscript{2}–FeO\textsubscript{x} films. Some steps involving the transfer of Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} cb (e\textsuperscript{−}) into the TiO\textsubscript{2} trapping sites are noted below:

\[
\text{FeO}_x + \text{visible light} \rightarrow \text{FeO}_x(e^-) + \text{FeO}_x(h^+) \tag{17}
\]

\[
\text{FeO}_x(e^-) + \text{TiO}_2 \rightarrow \text{FeO}_x + \text{TiO}_2(e^-\text{trapping-sites}) \tag{18}
\]

\[
\text{TiO}_2(h^+) + \text{bacteria} \rightarrow \text{inorganic/organic residues} \tag{19}
\]

In the sequentially sputtered TiO\textsubscript{2}/FeO\textsubscript{x}–PE film as shown in Figure 8b, the FeO\textsubscript{x} topmost layers absorbed the visible light reaching the sample surface [36,39,54]. The incorporation of Fe oxides into the crystal lattice of wide band-gap semiconductors (such as TiO\textsubscript{2}) improved the photocatalytic activity of TiO\textsubscript{2} in the visible-light region because of the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) effect of FeO\textsubscript{x} [55,56]. The surface plasmon resonance arises from the collective oscillations of electrons on the surfaces of metals and oxides, and these surface transfer electrons into the conduction band of TiO\textsubscript{2} because of the Schottky barrier. The Schottky barrier between FeO\textsubscript{x} and TiO\textsubscript{2} arises as a consequence of the Fermi level equilibration between TiO\textsubscript{2} and FeO\textsubscript{x}. This favors the separation of the photo-generated charges, as noted in Figure 9 [57,58].

Figure 8. (a) IFCT leading to bacterial inactivation under visible light induced by co-sputtered by TiO\textsubscript{2}–FeO\textsubscript{x}–PE films. For further details, see the text. (b) Simplified mechanism for bacterial inactivation by sequentially sputtered TiO\textsubscript{2}/FeO\textsubscript{x}–PE films under visible light. For further details, see the text.
Figure 8. (a) IFCT leading to bacterial inactivation under visible light induced by co-sputtered TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$–PE films. For further details, see the text. (b) Simplified mechanism for bacterial inactivation by sequentially sputtered TiO$_2$/FeO$_x$–PE films under visible light. For further details, see the text.

Figure 9. Equilibration of TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ nanocomposites with redox couple (bacteria) (a) before and (b) after irradiation.

Figure 10a shows that the TiO$_2$ nanocomposites irradiated with solar energy photons, having energy higher than the TiO$_2$ band gap, photo-excited electrons from the valance band to the conduction band, leaving holes in the valance band. Figure 10b shows the IFCT at the TiO$_2$-FeO$_x$ heterojunction under visible light. The close contact between FeO$_x$ NPs and TiO$_2$ in the sputtered films acts as an electron sink to promote the reduction of oxygen on their surfaces. Subsequently, the holes in the valence band of TiO$_2$ migrated, inducing bacterial oxidation. A local electric field developed by the SPR of FeO$_x$ in contact with TiO$_2$. The increased charge separation, due to the FeO$_x$ NPs sputtered on TiO$_2$, increased the lifetime of the TiO$_2$ charge-carriers. This was due to FeO$_x$ partly substituting the lattice Ti$^{4+}$ sites in TiO$_2$, which modifies the visible light absorbance of TiO$_2$. Takeuchi [59] has recently reported metal implantation on TiO$_2$ films, increasing the film photocatalytic activity. Figure 8b consisting only of FeO$_x$ NPs (in the TiO$_2$/FeO$_x$–PE film) is a film made up by a single component. In this case, a faster charge recombination of the photo-induced charges occurs, limiting the amount of charges available for the photocatalytic reactions leading to bacterial inactivation [60].

Figure 10. IFCT at the TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$ heterojunction under (a) solar light irradiation (UV-component) and (b) under visible-light irradiation.

7. Conclusions

This work describes the modification strategies of TiO$_2$ to prepare more performing binary oxides employable in photocatalysis. Basic concepts related to the surface modification of TiO$_2$ by FeO$_x$ are discussed. Further, this review suggests basic mechanisms for photo-chemical processes as a function of the film microstructure. The heterojunction between FeO$_x$ and TiO$_2$ promotes a directional electron flow in the co-sputtered films, leading to a faster bacterial inactivation. FeO$_x$ and TiO$_2$ deposition in the films follow a random distribution. The photochemical intervention in bacterial inactivation processes...
were a function of the sputtering time and applied sputtering energy. The redox reactions taking place during bacterial inactivation were monitored by XPS within the disinfection time. The co-sputtered FeO$_x$–TiO$_2$–PE films were shown to lead to a faster bacterial inactivation kinetic. These films show the potential to prevent biofilm formation under sun or visible light. This mini-review may be useful to orient the work on low-cost, stable TiO$_2$-films for pollutants degradation and bacterial inactivation with enhanced absorption in the visible region.
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**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cb</td>
<td>conduction band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vb</td>
<td>valence band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cb$^-$</td>
<td>photo-generated electrons in the conduction band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vb$^+$</td>
<td>photo-generated holes in the valence band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO$_x$</td>
<td>iron oxides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>titanium dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>polyethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/FeO$_x$–PE</td>
<td>sequentially sputtered TiO$_2$ followed by FeO$_x$ deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$–FeO$_x$–PE</td>
<td>co-deposition of TiO$_2$ and FeO$_x$ at the same time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPR</td>
<td>electron paramagnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFCT</td>
<td>interfacial charge transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>reactive oxygen species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPS</td>
<td>X-ray Photo-electron Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_g$</td>
<td>roughness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRS</td>
<td>diffuse reflectance spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>specific surface area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRPAS</td>
<td>time-resolved photo-acoustic spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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