

Supplementary Materials



Structure and Electronic Properties of TiO₂ Nanoclusters and Dye–Nanocluster Systems Appropriate to Model Hybrid Photovoltaic or Photocatalytic Applications

Corneliu I. Oprea and Mihai A. Gîrțu *

Department of Physics and Electronics, Ovidius University of Constanța, Constanța 900527, Romania; cornel.oprea@univ-ovidius.ro

* Correspondence: mihai.girtu@univ-ovidius.ro



Figure S1. Top view of the optimized structures of the Ti₅₄O₁₁₀H₄ nanocluster, modelling the anatase titania (101) surface to illustrate how the widths and the lengths reported in Table 1 were measured.



Figure S2. Density of states of the C343-Ti₂₄O₅₀H₄ system calculated by DFT using the B3LYP functional and three different basis sets: 3-21G(d), LANL2DZ and a combination (DZ&6-31G) consisting of LANL2DZ on Ti and 6-31G(d,p) on O, C, N, and H atoms. Energy levels were convoluted with Gaussian distributions with of 0.1 eV full width at half maximum.







Figure S3. Isodensity surfaces (0.03 e/bohr³) of the key molecular orbitals of C343 dye molecule adsorbed on the Ti₁₄O₃₀H₄ cluster via two or three O-Ti bonds, or on the Ti₂₄O₅₀H₄ cluster via the bidentate bridge binding mode, calculated by DFT at B3LYP/LANL2DZ level in water solvent.

Table S1. Energy, wavelength, oscillator strength and composition of the most intense optical
transition for C343 dye molecule adsorbed on the TinO2n+2H4 clusters (n = 14, 24), calculated by TDDFT
at the B3LYP/LANL2DZ level in water solvent.**Dye**E (eV) λ (nm)f**Composition**

Dye	<i>E</i> (ev)	λ (nm)	Ĵ	Composition
C343-Ti ₁₄ O ₃₀ H4, 2- bonds	1.97	630.4	0.029	HOMO→LUMO (26%),
				HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 (71%)
	2.15	575.4	0.015	HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+2 (88%)
	2.44	507.5	0.181	HOMO→LUMO+5 (61%),
				HOMO→LUMO+7 (23%)
	3.02	410.6	0.286	HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+15 (32%),
				HOMO→LUMO+16 (24%),
				HOMO→LUMO+17 (29%)
C343-Ti ₁₄ O ₃₀ H4, 3- bonds	2.19	565.7	0.039	HOMO→LUMO (94%)
	2.41	514.1	0.218	HOMO→LUMO+3 (85%)
	2.52	491.9	0.138	HOMO→LUMO+4 (76%)
	3.04	408.1	0.133	HOMO→LUMO+13 (81%)
	3.36	369.4	0.144	HO-1→LUMO (68%)
C343-Ti ₂₄ O ₅₀ H ₄ ,	2.18	568.8	0.190	HOMO→LUMO+2 (98%)
	2.45	505.1	0.171	HOMO→LUMO+6 (89%)
	2.67	464.5	0.111	HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+13 (41%),
				HOMO→LUMO+14 (29%)
	3.01	411.4	0.146	HO-1→LUMO (15%),
				HOMO→LUMO+23 (24%),
				HOMO→LUMO+24 (50%)
	3.04	408.0	0.118	HOMO→LUMO+25 (51%),
				HOMO→LUMO+26 (26%)



Figure S4. Structure of PV-Ti₁₄O₃₀H₄, to illustrate the geometrical parameters mentioned in Table 7: torsion angles of the PV relative to the Ti–O bond (cyan), to the carboxyl group (magenta), and of the rest of PV with respect to the phenyl group (green).



Figure S5. Valence band (VB) edge, conduction band oxidation potential (CBOP), and conduction band (CB) of the $Ti_nO_{2n+2}H_4$ clusters (n = 14, 24, 34, 44, 54), calculated at the DFT/B3LYP/LANL2DZ level in water solvent. HOMO-LUMO electronic transitions calculated by TD-DFT at the same level of theory (in eV).