OPEN ACCESS



ISSN 2079-4991 www.mdpi.com/journal/nanomaterials

Article

Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles with Co-Condensed Gadolinium Chelates for Multimodal Imaging

Kathryn M. L. Taylor-Pashow ^{1,†}, Joseph Della Rocca ^{2,†} and Wenbin Lin ^{2,*}

- ¹ Savannah River National Laboratory, Savannah River Site, Bldg. 773-A, Aiken, SC 29808, USA; E-Mail: kathryn.taylor-pashow@srnl.doe.gov
- ² Department of Chemistry, CB #3290, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA; E-Mail: dellaroc@ad.unc.edu

[†] These authors contribute equally to this work.

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: wlin@email.unc.edu; Tel.: +1-919-962-6320; Fax: +1-919-962-2388.

Received: 7 November 2011; in revised form: 15 December 2011 / Accepted: 20 December 2011 / Published: 27 December 2011

Abstract: Several mesoporous silica nanoparticle (MSN) contrast agents have been synthesized using a co-condensation method to incorporate two different Gd^{3+} complexes at very high loadings (15.5–28.8 wt %). These MSN contrast agents, with an MCM-41 type pore structure, were characterized using a variety of methods including SEM and TEM, nitrogen adsorption measurements, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), direct current plasma (DCP) spectroscopy, and powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD). The magnetic resonance (MR) relaxivities of these contrast agents were determined using a 3 T MR scanner. The r_1 relaxivities of these nanoparticles range from 4.1 to 8.4 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ on a per Gd basis. Additionally, the MSN particles were functionalized with an organic fluorophore and cancer cell targeting peptide to allow for demonstration of both the optical and MR contrast enhancing capabilities *in vitro*.

Keywords: mesoporous silica nanoparticle; MRI contrast agent; Gd³⁺ contrast agent; multimodal imaging

1. Introduction

Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a noninvasive diagnostic technique capable of providing high resolution anatomical images of soft tissue as well as giving quantitative assessment of disease pathogenesis [1–3]. Currently used MRI contrast agents are either small molecule gadolinium(III) chelates or simple manganese(II) molecules that are administered in high doses. Small molecule MRI contrast agents currently used in the clinic often cannot provide sufficient image contrast enhancement in early disease stages owing to their lack of sensitivity. Nanoparticle-based MR contrast agents are much more sensitive owing to the enhanced relaxivity on per magnetic center basis as a result of reduced tumbling rates and large payloads of active magnetic centers. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles have for example been used as efficient T_2 contrast agents to image tumor angiogenesis, inflammation, and gene expression [4–6]. Gd³⁺-containing microemulsions and other lipid particles have on the other hand been shown to be efficient T_1 -contrast agents for *in vivo* MR imaging [7]. Several other Gd³⁺-containing solid nanoparticles have also been recently evaluated as potential MRI contrast agents [8–19].

We have previously developed a solid silica nanoparticle based MRI contrast agent, which consists of 37 nm particles coated with either a monolayer coating or a polymeric multilayer coating of Gd³⁺ chelates [11]. The results from these two systems revealed that the particles with a multilayer coating had reduced efficiency, or lower relaxivities, on a per Gd³⁺ basis. This was due to the fact that the Gd chelates on the inner layers were not as accessible to the surrounding water molecules [11]. The need for the magnetic centers to be highly accessible to water molecules prompted us to develop new strategies for synthesizing highly efficient nanoparticulate T_1 contrast agents. In order to increase the number of metal centers per particle without reducing the water accessibility of the metal centers, we developed an alternative method for forming the nanoparticulate contrast agents via a layer-by-layer electrostatic self-assembly process [12]. Up to 7 bilayers of alternating cationic Gd(III)-DOTA oligomer and negatively charged polystyrenesulfonate were assembled onto solid silica nanoparticles containing a monolayer of negatively charged Gd chelates. The MR relaxivity on a per Gd basis remained constant, independent of the number of layers assembled. As a result, the relaxivity on a per particle basis increased linearly with the increasing number of layers deposited. We attributed the enhanced MR relaxivity to the highly disordered structure of the layer-by-layer assembly which allows for efficient interaction of all the Gd(III) centers with the surrounding water.

Porous nanostructures represent an alternative platform for incorporating a large number of Gd chelates while keeping them all accessible to the surrounding water. Mesoporous silica materials exhibit high surface areas and tunable pore structures and therefore provide an ideal platform for the development of MR-enhancing hybrid materials. MCM-41 type materials, for example, possess a hexagonal array of one dimensional channels with diameters that can be tuned from 2 nm to 10 nm [20,21]. More recently, synthetic procedures have been developed for controlling the morphologies of MCM-41 materials [22,23], leading to mesoporous silica nanospheres (MSNs) with diameters ranging from 20 to 1,100 nm [24–26]. Such hybrid nanomaterials have already been demonstrated in a variety of applications including catalysis [27–30] and drug delivery [31–39]. We recently designed a highly efficient nanoparticulate MR contrast agent by grafting Gd chelates

onto MSNs and demonstrated their utility in MR imaging [40]. The loading of Gd chelates in the previously reported MSN system is, however, limited by the grafting efficiency.

In order to further increase the payload of Gd chelates on MSNs, we expored an alternative synthetic strategy based on a co-condesation procedure that was previously reported to be capable of incorporating various organic functional groups into the pores of MSNs [41,42]. In this paper we report the synthesis of mesoporous silica nanoparticles with co-condensed Gd(III) chelates and their applications as optical and MR contrast agents *in vitro*. Two silyl-derived Gd complexes were directly incorporated during the nanoparticle synthesis at different loadings, and the MR contrast enhancing abilities were evaluated. The co-condensation procedure affords MSNs with much higher loadings of Gd(III) chelates, but the r_1 relaxivities of these nanoparticles appear to be smaller than previously reported MSNs with grafted Gd(III) chelates to the water molecules. A silyl-derived organic fluorophore and targeting agent were also grafted to the surface to allow for target-specific optical and MR imaging of cancer cells.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis

Two diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA) based ligands were synthesized in this work. The first ligand, 3-aminopropyl(trimethoxysilyl)-diethylenetriamine tetraacetic acid (Si-DTTA), was synthesized by reacting 3-(trimethoxysilylpropyl)diethylene triamine with 4 equivalents of bromoacetic acid under basic conditions. This formed a Gd chelating ligand containing one trialkoxy silane functional group for attachment to the silica based particles. The second ligand, bis(3-aminopropyl triethoxysilyl)-diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (Si₂-DTPA) was synthesized by reacting 2 equivalents of 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane with DTPA dianhydride in anhydrous pyridine. This provided a Gd chelating ligand with two trialkoxysilane functional groups to allow for incorporation into the mesoporous silica. The corresponding Gd(III) complexes for each ligand were formed by reacting 1 equivalent of GdCl₃ with the deprotonated form of the ligand. The Gd(III) complexes of Si-DTTA and Si₂-DTPA are denoted **Gd-1** and **Gd-2**, respectively.

Several MSN-Gd contrast agents were synthesized by incorporating varying amounts of the Si-DTTA-Gd (Gd-1) or Si₂-DTPA-Gd (Gd-2) complexes into the particles using a co-condensation method (Scheme 1). Particles were synthesized using 10 wt % Gd-1 (1) and 10, 20, 30, and 40 wt % Gd-2 complexes (2–5) (relative to the amount of TEOS added), using a base-catalyzed condensation reaction. For example, particles of 2 (with 10 wt % Gd-2 in the feed) were synthesized by simultaneously adding TEOS (0.93 g, 4.48 mmol) and Gd-2 (0.130 g, 0.134 mmol) to a mixture of CTAB (0.20 g, 0.55 mmol) and 0.7 mL of 2 M NaOH (1.40 mmol) in 240 mL of water at 80 °C. The reaction mixture was then stirred at 80 °C for 2 h. The particles were isolated by centrifuging and washed with water and ethanol. The surfactant template was then extracted with a 1 wt % solution of NaCl in methanol at room temperature. In order to ensure the removal of any free Gd³⁺ ions, the particles were also washed with a pH = 3 solution.



Scheme 1. Surfactant template synthesis of mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSN) 1–5.

2.2. Characterization

Scanning electron and transmission electron microscopies (SEM and TEM) were used to evaluate the particle size and morphology. The particles ranged in size from approximately 75 nm to several hundred nanometers, with the size generally increasing with increasing wt % of the Gd complex (Figure 1). The SEM and TEM images also showed some evidence of slight aggregation and fusing.

Figure 1. SEM and TEM images of MSN samples **1** (a,b), **2** (c,d), and **3** (e,f). SEM images of MSN samples **4** (g) and **5** (h).



TGA was used to characterize the amount of Gd chelate that had been incorporated in each of the products from the co-condensation reactions (Figure 2 and Figures S1–S4). After extraction of the surfactant, the weight loss in the 230–450 °C temperature range corresponds to the organic portion of the incorporated Gd complexes. The precise amount of Gd complex that had been incorporated was then determined by measuring the [Gd] of a digested particle solution using direct current plasma (DCP) spectroscopy. The TGA and DCP gave fairly consistent results as to the amount of incorporated Gd complex (Table 1). The amount of the incorporated Gd(III) chelates tracks fairly well with that in the feed, but appears to reach a maximum loading at \sim 30 wt %.

Particles	Gd complex in	Incorporated Gd	Gd content	Incorporated Gd
	the feed (wt %)	complex by TGA	by DCP	complex by DCP
1	Gd-1 (10)	16.0	5.3	15.2
2	Gd-2 (10)	15.5	3.8	15.1
3	Gd-2 (20)	23.1	4.7	18.7
4	Gd-2 (30)	27.7	6.8	26.8
5	Gd-2 (40)	28.8	8.1	32

Table 1. Determination of incorporated Gd(III) complexes (wt %) in MSNs by TGA and DCP.

Figure 2. TGA of 2 before (black) and after (red) surfactant extraction.



The pore structure of the particles was evaluated using powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and nitrogen gas sorption measurements. Pristine MCM-41 particles synthesized in our lab exhibit PXRD peaks at 20 values of 2.8° , 4.6° , and 5.4° that are characteristic of the (100), (110), and (200) planes of the MCM-41 material, respectively. PXRD of the co-condensed samples shows a similar pattern, although the peak is much broader indicating less defined pore structure (Figure S5). Nitrogen gas sorption measurements indicated that the surfactant-extracted MSN particles are highly porous with BJH (Barrett-Joiner-Halenda) surface areas ranging from 149 to 1674 m²/g and have pore diameters ranging from 1.4 to 2.9 nm (Figure 3 and Figures S6–S13). The surface areas and pore sizes decrease with increasing incorporation of **Gd-2** (Table 2).

Particle	Gd complex in the Feed (wt %)	Surface area (m²/g)	Pore size (nm)
1	Gd-1 (10)	832	1.94
2	Gd-2 (10)	1674	2.91
3	Gd-2 (20)	1095	2.75
4	Gd-2 (30)	923	2.46
5	Gd-2 (40)	149	1.41

Table 2. Summary of Barrett-Joiner-Halenda (BJH) surface areas and pore sizes.

Figure 3. Nitrogen sorption isotherm for **2**. The inset shows the pore size distribution. Black color represents adsorption and green color represents desorption data.



The relaxivities for the particles were measured using a 3 T MR scanner. The r_1 values ranged from 4.1 to 8.4 mM⁻¹s⁻¹, and the r_2 values ranged from 16.1 to 32.7 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ (Figure 4 and Figures S14–S17). The relaxivities for these particles were generally lower than what was obtained for our previously published MSN systems that were grafted with Gd(III) chelates post-synthetically ($r_1 = 28.8 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $r_2 = 65.5 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ at 3 T) [40]. There was relatively little difference in the per Gd relaxivities across samples with varying amounts of incorporated Gd complex (Table 3). The lower relaxivity values for the co-condensed samples may be due to the fact that some of the Gd chelates that were incorporated into the particles are not at the surface, and therefore are not available to undergo water exchange with the solvent. The relaxivities of these particles, however, compare favorably to the small molecule contrast agent Magnevist, which has an r_1 value of 4.1 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ under the same conditions [9].

Figure 4. r_1 (blue) and r_2 (red) relaxivity curves of 2 measured at 3 T.



Particles	$r_{I} (\mathrm{mM}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$r_2 (\mathrm{mM}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$
1	6.2	32.7
2	5.1	16.1
3	4.1	25.3
4	4.8	22.9
5	8.4	25.6

Table 3. Summary of relaxivities measured at 3 T.

2.3. In Vitro Experiments

For *in vitro* experiments, particles **4** were tagged with an organic fluorophore to enable visualization of the particles using confocal microscopy. The particles were also made target specific by grafting an RGD peptide onto the surface. This peptide sequence targets the $\alpha_v\beta_3$ integrin, which is over expressed on many types of cancer cells [43].

Figure 5. Overlaid DIC and Fluorescence Image of HT-29 colon cancer cells incubated with no MSN (left), 500 µg MSN (center), or 500 µg MSN-RGD (**4a**) (right). All scale bars indicate 25 µm.



Laser scanning confocal fluorescence microscopy images indicated the localization of the nanoparticles on the surface of the HT-29 human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells after 30 min of incubation (Figure 5 and Figure S18). The cells incubated with no particle (Figure 5, left) showed no rhodamine fluorescence, while the cells incubated with particles showed significant fluorescence. Addition of the cRGD peptide did not appear to induce internalization of the nanoparticles through receptor mediated endocytosis, but did increase localization of the particles on the cell surface.

MRI imaging on a 9.4T scanner showed that the nanoparticles gave T_2 -weighted enhancement of HT-29 cells incubated with nanoparticles **4** for 1 h (Figure 6). The pronounced T_2 -weighted enhancement is expected given the much higher r_2/r_1 ratios for these MSN particles than the previously reported MSNs with post-synthetically grafted Gd(III) chelates [40]. Cells incubated with nanoparticles showed increased T_2 -weighted contrast compared to the control. In addition, cells incubated with the targeted nanoparticles displayed enhanced T_2 -weighted contrast compared to cells incubated with the non-targeted nanoparticles. The *in vivo* utility of the present co-condensed MSN nanoparticles is, however, limited due to their relatively large sizes and non-degradable nature. The particles cannot be

cleared from the kidney, and as the particles stay in the organs for an extended period of time, the leaching of toxic Gd^{3+} ions from the particles becomes a significant concern.

Figure 6. T_2 Weighted MRI image (9.4T) of HT-29 cells incubated with no MSN (right), 300 µg MSN (4) (center), and 300 µg MSN-RGD (4a) (left).



3. Experimental Section

Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), GdCl₃·6H₂O, bromoacetic acid, and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. 3-(trimethoxysilylpropyl)diethylene triamine, (3-isocyanatopropyl)triethoxysilane, and 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane were purchased from Gelest. All other chemicals were purchased from Fisher Scientific and used without further purification. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed under air using a Shimadzu TGA-50 equipped with a platinum pan at a heating rate of 3 °C per minute. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer using Cu radiation. The PXRD patterns were processed with the APEX 2 package using the phase ID plug-in. A Hitachi 4700 field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM) and a JEM 100CX-II transmission electron microscope (TEM) were used to determine particle size and morphology. A Cressington 108 Auto Sputter Coater equipped with a Au/Pd (80/20) target and an MTM-10 thickness monitor was used to coat the samples with a 5 nm thick conductive layer before taking SEM images. Each SEM sample was prepared by suspending the nanoparticles in ethanol. A drop of the suspension was then placed on a glass slide and the solvent was allowed to evaporate. TEM samples were also prepared from ethanolic particle dispersions on amorphous carbon coated copper grids. An Applied Research Laboratories (ARL) SpectraSpan 7 DCP spectrometer was used to measure Gd³⁺ concentrations.

Synthesis of 3-aminopropyl(trimethoxysilyl)-diethylenetriamine tetraacetic acid (Si-DTTA). Bromoacetic acid (0.5558 g, 4.00 mmol) and 3-(trimethoxysilylpropyl)-diethylene triamine (0.2654 g, 1.00 mmol) were dissolved in 1.0 mL of distilled water and 2.0 mL 2 M sodium hydroxide (4.00 mmol) with magnetic stirring. The reaction mixture was subsequently heated to 50 °C, and an additional 3.0 mL of 2 M NaOH was added dropwise over approximately 30 min. After stirring for an additional 2 h at 50 °C, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield a viscous yellow oil. An off-white hygroscopic powder was isolated from the oil in high yield (>90%) by precipitation with ethanol and subsequent drying under vacuum. MS (ESI negative ion): m/z 542.2 [M-H]⁻ for the silanetriol from a basic solution. NMR: ¹H (D₂O, 300 MHz): δ 0.47 (2H), 1.55 (2H), 2.62–2.78 (10H), 3.14–3.21 (8H).

Synthesis of Si-DTTA-Gd Complex (Gd-1). The gadolinium complex was prepared by dissolving the isolated Si-DTTA product (108.6 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 4 mL of distilled water with magnetic stirring at room temperature. GdCl₃ (380 μ L of a 0.50 M solution, 0.19 mmol) was slowly titrated into the solution while maintaining a pH of ~9 with the dropwise addition of 2 M NaOH. The reaction was then stirred at room temperature for an additional 2 h. The resultant solution was used directly.

Synthesis of Bis(3-aminopropyl triethoxysilyl)-diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (Si₂-DTPA). Diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid dianhydride (5.000 g, 13.995 mmol) was dissolved in 110 mL of anhydrous pyridine under a steady flow of nitrogen. Using standard Schlenk line techniques 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane (6.85 g, 31.00 mmol) was added and the resultant reaction mixture was magnetically stirred under nitrogen for 24 h. The product was then precipitated with copious amounts of hexane, isolated via centrifuging, washed with additional aliquots of hexanes, and dried to yield 10.436 g (93.2 %) of the desired compound (Si₂-DTPA). MS (ESI negative ion): m/z 631.3 [M-H]⁻ for the silanetriol from a basic solution. NMR: ¹H (DMSO-d₆): δ 0.52 (t, 4H), 1.14 (t, 18H), 1.44(p, 4H), 2.81 (t, 4H), 2.92 (t, 4H), 3.04 (q, 4H), 3.22 (s, 6H), 3.34 (s, 4H), 3.73 (q, 12H), 8.06 (t, 2H). ¹³C {1H} (DMSO-d₆): δ 8.0, 18.8, 23.4, 41.8, 51.2, 52.8, 55.9, 56.7, 58.3, 58.4, 170.7, 173.4.

Synthesis of Si₂-DTPA-Gd Complex (Gd-2). To prepare the gadolinium complex, Si₂-DTPA (1.77 g, 2.22 mmol) was dissolved in \sim 3 equivalents of NaOH (6.0 mL of a 1.0 M solution) with magnetic stirring for 30 min. To this solution was added 0.90 equivalent of GdCl₃ (4.0 mL of a 0.5 M solution, 0.002 mol) and the mixture was magnetically stirred at room temperature for several hours, the volume of the solution was adjusted to 10 mL to yield a visibly clear yellow 0.20 M solution of the modified gadodiamide complex.

Synthesis of co-condensed MSN with 10 wt % Gd-1 in the feed (1). 0.04 g (0.11 mmol) of CTAB was dissolved in 48 mL of H_2O containing 0.14 mL of 2 M NaOH (0.28 mmol). The solution was heated to 80 °C. After reaching 80 °C, 0.2 mL of TEOS, and 2.54 mL (0.036 mmol) of a 0.014 M aqueous solution of Gd-1 were then added. The reaction was then stirred for an additional 2 h at 80 °C. The product was isolated by centrifuging, and washed with water, acidic water (pH = 3), and ethanol. The surfactant was extracted (3 times) using 1 wt % NaCl in methanol. Yield: 32.9 mg.

Synthesis of co-condensed MSN with 10 wt % Gd-2 in the feed (2). 0.20 g (0.55 mmol) of CTAB was dissolved in 240 mL of H₂O containing 0.70 mL of 2 M NaOH (1.4 mmol). The solution was heated to 80 °C. After reaching 80 °C, 1.0 mL of TEOS, and 0.79 mL (0.134 mmol) of a 0.17 M aqueous solution of Gd-2 were then added. The reaction was then stirred for an additional 2 h at 80 °C. The product was isolated by centrifuging, and washed with water, acidic water (pH = 3), and ethanol. The surfactant was extracted using the same procedure as above. Yield: 150.1 mg.

Synthesis of co-condensed MSN with 20 wt % Gd-2 in the feed (3). 0.20 g (0.549 mmol) of CTAB was dissolved in 240 mL of H₂O containing 0.70 mL of 2 M NaOH (1.40 mmol). The solution was heated to 80 °C. After reaching 80 °C, 1.0 mL of TEOS, and 1.37 mL (0.233 mmol) of a 0.17 M aqueous solution of Gd-2 were then added. The reaction was then stirred for an additional 2 h at 80 °C. The product was isolated by centrifuging, and washed with water, acidic water (pH = 3), and ethanol. The surfactant was extracted using the same procedure as above. Yield: 326.1 mg.

Synthesis of co-condensed MSN with 30 wt % Gd-2 in the feed (4). 0.20 g (0.549 mmol) of CTAB was dissolved in 240 mL of H₂O containing 0.70 mL of 2 M NaOH (1.40 mmol). The solution was heated to 80 °C. After reaching 80 °C, 1.0 mL of TEOS, and 2.04 mL (0.347 mmol) of a 0.17 M

aqueous solution of **Gd-2** were then added. The reaction was then stirred for an additional 2 h at 80 °C. The product was isolated by centrifuging, and washed with water, acidic water (pH = 3), and ethanol. The surfactant was extracted using the same procedure as above. Yield: 241.0 mg.

Synthesis of co-condensed MSN with 40 wt % Gd-2 in the feed (5). 0.220 g (0.603 mmol) of CTAB was dissolved in 240 mL of H₂O containing 0.70 mL of 2 M NaOH (1.40 mmol). The solution was heated to 80 °C. After reaching 80 °C, 1.0 mL of TEOS, and 3.03 mL (0.455 mmol) of a 0.15 M aqueous solution of Gd-2 were then added. The reaction was then stirred for an additional 2 h at 80 °C. The product was isolated by centrifuging, and washed with water, acidic water (pH = 3), and ethanol. The surfactant was extracted using the same procedure as above. Yield: 276.3 mg.

Synthesis of Rhodamine-APS. 6.8 mg (0.0127 mmol) of rhodamine B isothiocyanate was dissolved in 1.1 mL of ethanol. 3.3 μ L (3.1 mg, 0.0141 mmol) of 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane was then added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature, under N₂, and in the dark for 24 h. At the completion of the reaction the solution was diluted to a total volume of 2 mL with additional ethanol to make a solution with a rhodamine-APS concentration of approximately 6 mM.

Synthesis of tri(ethoxy)silylpropyl carbamoyl c(RGDfK). Cyclic(RGDfK) (2.0 mg, 3.313 μ mol) was placed in a small round bottom flask and dried under high vacuum for 1 h. The c(RGDfK) was then dissolved in 0.5 mL of anhydrous DMSO and 0.2 μ L of Hünig base. 0.86 μ L (3.44 μ mol) of (3-isocyanatopropyl)triethoxysilane was then added, and the reaction was stirred under argon for 18 h. The solution (4 mg c(RGDfK)/mL DMSO) was placed in a freezer for later use.

Rhodamine B and RGD functionalized MSN (4a). 46 mL of ethanol was placed in a round bottom flask, and 1.2 mL of NH₄OH (3 vol%) was added. 4 mL of a 5 mg/mL suspension of 4 was then added followed by 200 μ L of a 4 mg/mL solution of Si-c(RGDfK) and 66 μ L of a 6 mM solution of rhodamine-APS. The reaction was then stirred at room temperature, in the dark, for 18 h. The particles were then isolated by centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min, and were washed with water and ethanol before being redispersed in ethanol.

Relaxivity Measurements. MR relaxivities were determined on a Siemens 3 T Allegra (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) with a CP head coil. A 3D FLASH sequence was utilized to compute T_1 maps with seven different flip angles (2°, 5°, 10°, 20°, 30°, 40°, and 60°). Imaging parameters were: FOV = 190 × 190 × 64 mm³, Matrix size = 128 × 128 × 32, TR/TE = 40/1.64 ms, total data acquisition time was 19 min. A 2-D multiple echo spin echo sequence was used to estimate T_2 maps. In total, 32 echoes with an echo spacing of 6.2 ms were obtained. The first echo time was 6.2 ms. TR was 3,000 ms. FOV and matrix size were set to 190×190 mm² and 128×128. The slice thickness was 2 mm. The total data acquisition time was about 6 min and 29 seconds.

Confocal microscopic and MRI imaging. HT-29 colon adenocarcinoma (ATCC Number: HTB-38) were cultured in McCoy's 5A (Cellgro, Manassas, VA, USA) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 200IU penicillin, and 200 µg streptomycin (Cellgro). Cells were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂.

HT-29 cells were collected by trypsinization and were diluted to 300,000 cells/mL with McCoy's 5A media. One mL of the suspension was placed on a glass coverslip in the center of a six well cell plate. Three mL of cell media was then added around the suspension and the plate was incubated for 24 h. The plate was removed from the incubator and the media was removed, washed once with PBS and replaced with 3 mL fresh media. At this point, 500 µg of nanoparticles (1 mL media) were added.

The plate was then incubated for an additional 30 min. The plate was removed, the wells washed twice with PBS and 1 mL fresh media was added. The coverslips were removed from the wells and imaged at the microscopy services laboratory of the Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine at UNC-Chapel Hill. An Olympus FV500 Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope was used with DIC settings. The RITC tagged nanoparticles were imaged using 543 nm excitation and a 560 nm long pass emission filter.

HT-29 cells were plated in 25 cm³ T-necked flasks at 3.3 million cells/flask in 7 mL media (3 flasks). The flasks were returned to the incubator overnight. The next day, the flasks were removed from the incubator. The media was removed from the flask, washed once with PBS, and 5 mL fresh media was added. Each flask then received either 0.3 mg of non-targeted nanoparticle, 0.3 mg of targeted nanoparticle, or no nanoparticle in 0.5 mL PBS. The flasks were returned to the incubator for 1 h. The media was then removed from each flask, washed thrice with PBS, and 2 mL of trypsin-EDTA was added to each flask to detach the cell monolayers. The flasks were returned to the incubator for 10 min. The cells were then isolated by centrifugation and redispersed into 200 μ L PBS. The cells were re-pelleted in PCR tubes and imaged on a 9.4 T scanner at the Small Animal Imaging Facility at UNC-CH.

4. Conclusions

We have designed and characterized several hybrid mesoporous silica nanospheres (MSN-Gd) with strong ability to enhance MR images. Two different Gd chelates were incorporated into MSN particles with the MCM-41 type pore structure using a co-condensation method. The present co-condensation procedure affords much higher loadings of Gd(III) chelates, and the MR relaxivities of these nanoparticles on a per Gd basis appear to be larger than the small molecule Gd(III) chelates. The utility of the mesoporous silica nanospheres with co-condensed Gd(III) chelates as contrast agents for optical and MR imaging has been demonstrated *in vitro*.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Hongyu An for help with MRI experiments and NIH (U01-CA151455 and U54-119343) for funding.

References

- 1. Stark, D.; Bradley, W.G., Jr. Magnetic Resonance Imaging; Mosby: St. Louis, MO, USA, 1999.
- 2. Toth, E.; Helm, L.; Merbach, A.E. *The Chemistry of Contrast Agents in Medical Magnetic Resonance Imaging*; John Wiley and Sons: Chichester, UK, 2001.
- 3. Caravan, P.; Ellison, J.J.; McMurry, T.J.; Lauffer, R.B. Gadolinium(III) chelates as MRI contrast agents: Structure, dynamics, and applications. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 2293-2352.
- 4. Kim, J.; Piao, Y.; Hyeon, T. Multifunctional nanostructured materials for multimodal imaging, and simultaneous imaging and therapy. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *28*, 372–390.
- 5. Lin, W.; Hyeon, T.; Lanza, G.; Miqin, M.Z.; Meade, T.J. Magnetic nanoparticles for early detection of cancer by magnetic resonance imaging. *MRS Bull.* **2009**, *34*, 441–448.

- Weissleder, R.; Moore, A.; Mahmood, U.; Bhorade, R.; Benveniste, H.; Chiocca, E.A.; Basilion, J.P. *In vivo* magnetic resonance imaging of transgene expression. *Nat. Med.* 2000, *6*, 351–354.
- 7. Morawski, A.M.; Lanza, G.M.; Wickline, S.A. Targeted contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2005**, *16*, 89–92.
- 8. Frias, J.C.; Ma, Y.; Williams, K.J.; Fayad, Z.A.; Fisher, E.A. Properties of a versatile nanoparticle platform contrast agent to image and characterize atherosclerotic plaques by magnetic resonance imaging. *Nano Lett.* **2006**, *6*, 2220–2224
- 9. Rieter, W.J.; Taylor, K.M.L.; An, H.; Lin, W.; Lin, W. Nanoscale metal organic frameworks as potential multimodal contrast agents. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9024–9025.
- 10. Yang, H.; Santra, S.; Walter, G.A.; Holloway, P.H. Gd^{III}-functionalized fluorescent quantum dots as multimodal imaging probes. *Adv. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 2890–2894.
- 11. Rieter, W.J.; Kim, J.S.; Taylor, K.M.L.; An, H.; Lin, W.; Tarrant, T.; Lin, W. Hybrid silica nanoparticles for multimodal imaging. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 3680–3682.
- 12. Kim, J.S.; Rieter, W.J.; Taylor, K.M.L.; An, H.; Lin, W.; Lin, W. Self-assembled hybrid nanoparticles for cancer-specific multimodal imaging. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 8962–8963.
- 13. Taylor, K.M.L.; Jin, A.; Lin, W. Surfactant-assisted synthesis of nanoscale gadolinium metal organic frameworks for potential multimodal imaging. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *47*, 7722–7725.
- Zhang, H.; Zhang, L.; Myerson, J.; Bibee, K.; Scott, M.; Allen, J.; Sicard, G.; Lanza, G.; Wickline, S.A. Quantifying the evolution of vascular barrier disruption in advanced atherosclerosis with semipermeant nanoparticle contrast agents. *PLoS One* 2011, *6*, 0026385.
- Huang, R.; Han, L.; Liu, S.; Shao, K.; Kuang, Y.; Hu, X.; Wang, X.; Lei, H.; Jiang, C. Chlorotoxin-modified macromolecular contrast agent for MRI tumor diagnosis. *Biomaterials* 2011, 32, 5177–5186.
- Liu, Y.; Chen, Z.; Yu, D.; Lu, Z.; Zhang, N. Gadolinium-Loaded Polymeric Nanoparticles Modified with Anti-VEGF as multifunctional MRI contrast agents for the diagnosis of liver cancer. *Biomaterials* 2011, 32, 5167–5186.
- Yang, H.; Zhuang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Dai, A.; Shi, X.; Wu, D.; Li, F.; Hu, H.; Yang, S. Targeted dual-contrast T1- and T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging of tumors using multifunctional gadolinium-labeled superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* 2011, 32, 4584–4593.
- Hatakeyama, W.; Sanchez, T.J.; Rowe, M.D.; Serkova, N.J.; Liberatore, M.W.; Boyes, S.G. Synthesis of gadolinium nanoscale metal-organic framework with hydrotropes: Manipulation of particle size and magnetic resonance imaging capability. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2011, 3, 1502–1510.
- Ghaghada, K.B.; Ravoori, M.; Sabapathy, D.; Bankson, J.; Kundra, V.; Annapraga, A. New dual mode gadolinium nanoparticle contrast agent for magnetic resonance imaging. *PLoS One* 2009, 4, e7628.
- 20. Beck, J.S.; Vartuli, J.C.; Roth, W.J.; Leonowicz, M.E.; Kresge, C.T.; Schmitt, K.D.; Chu, C.T.-W.; Olson, D.H.; Sheppard, E.W.; McCullen, S.B.; Higgins, J.B.; Schlenker, J.L. A new family of

mesoporous molecular sieves prepared with liquid crystal templates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 10834–10843.

- 21. Kresge, C.T.; Leonowicz, M.E.; Roth, W.J.; Vartuli, J.C.; Beck, J.S. Ordered mesoporous molecular sieves synthesized by a liquid-crystal template mechanism. *Nature* **1992**, *359*, 710–712.
- Cai, Q.; Luo, Z.-S.; Pang, W.-Q.; Fan, Y.-W.; Chen, X.-H.; Cui, F.-Z. Dilute solution routes to various controllable morphologies of MCM-41 silica with a basic medium. *Chem. Mater.* 2001, 13, 258–263.
- Grun, M.; Unger, K.K.; Matsumoto, A.; Tsutsumi, K. Novel pathways for the preparation of mesoporous MCM-41 materials: Control of porosity and morphology. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 1999, 27, 207–216.
- 24. Lu, F.; Wu, S.-H.; Hung, Y.; Mou, C.-Y. Size effect on cell uptake in well-suspended, uniform mesoporous silica nanoparticles. *Small* **2009**, *5*, 1408–1413.
- 25. Urata, C.; Aoyama, Y.; Tonegawa, A.; Yamauchi, Y.; Kuroda, K. Dialysis process for the removal of surfactants to form colloidal mesoporous silica nanoparticles. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 5094–5096.
- 26. Lin, Y.-S.; Abadeer, N.; Haynes, C.L. Stability of small mesoporous silica nanoparticles in biological media. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *47*, 532–534.
- Ge, J.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, T.; Yin, Y. Core-satellite nanocomposite catalysts protected by a porous silica shell: Controllable reactivity, high stability, and magnetic recyclability. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 8924–8928.
- Chen, H.-T.; Huh, S.; Wiench, J.W.; Pruski, M.; Lin, V.S.-Y. Dialkylaminopyridine-functionalized mesoporous silica nanosphere as an efficent and highly stable heterogeneous nucleophillic catalyst. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, *127*, 13305–13311.
- 29. Mihalcik, D.J.; Lin, W. Mesoporous silica nanosphere supported ruthenium catalysts for asymmetric hydrogenation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 6229–6232.
- Dai, Y.; Lim, B.; Yang, Y.; Cobley, C.M.; Li, W.; Cho, E.C.; Grayson, B.; Fanson, P.T.; Campbell, C.T.; Sun, Y.; Xia, Y. A sinter resistant catalytic system based on platinum nanoparticles supported on TiO₂ nanofibers and covered by porous silica. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 8165–8168.
- Liong, M.; Liu, J.; Kovochich, M.; Xia, T.; Ruehm, S.G.; Nel, A.E.; Tamanoi, F.; Zink, J.I. Multifunctional inorganic nanoparticles for imaging, targeting, and drug delivery. ACS Nano 2008, 2, 889–896.
- 32. Ambrogio, M.W.; Thomas, C.R.; Zhao, Y.L.; Zink, J.I.; Stoddart, J.F. Mechanized silica nanoparticles: A new frontier in theranostic nanomedicine. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *44*, 903–913.
- Ashley, C.E.; Carnes, E.C.; Phillips, G.K.; Padilla, D.; Durfee, P.N.; Brown, P.A.; Hanna, T.N.; Liu, J.; Phillips, B.; Carter, M.B.; *et al.* The targeted delivery of multicomponent cargos to cancer cells by nanoporous particle-supported lipid bilayers. *Nat. Mater.* 2011, *10*, 389–397.
- 34. Liu, J.; Stace-Naughton, A.; Jiang, X.; Brinker, C.J. Porous nanoparticle supported lipid bilayers (protocells) as delivery vehicles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 1354–1355.
- Slowing, I.; Trewyn, B.G.; Lin, V.S.-Y. Effect of surface functionalization of MCM-41-type mesoporous silica nanoparticles on the endocytosis by human cancer cells. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14792–14793.

- 36. Slowing, I.; Trewyn, B.G.; Lin, V.S.-Y. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles for intracellular delivery of membrane-impermeable proteins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 8845–8849.
- 37. Liu, R.; Liao, P.; Liu, J.; Feng, P. Responsive polymer-coated mesoporous silica as a pH-sensitive nanocarrier for controlled release. *Langmuir* **2011**, *27*, 3095–3099.
- 38. Liu, R.; Zhang, Y.; Feng, P. Multiresponsive supramolecular nanogated ensembles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15128–15129.
- 39. Taylor-Pashow, K.M.L.; Della Rocca, J.; Huxford, R.C.; Lin, W. Hybrid nanomaterials ffor biomedical applications. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 5832–5849.
- 40. Taylor, K.M.L.; Kim, J.S.; Rieter, W.J.; An, H.; Lin, W.; Lin, W. Mesoporous silica nanospheres as highly efficent MRI contrast agents. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 2154–2155.
- 41. Huh, S.; Wiench, J.W.; Trewyn, B.G.; Song, S.; Pruski, M.; Lin, V.S.-Y. Tuning of particle morphology and pore properties in mesoporous silicas with multiple functional groups. *Chem. Commun.* 2003, 2364–2365.
- Huh, S.; Wiench, J.W.; Yoo, J.-C.; Pruski, M.; Lin, V.S.-Y. Organic functionalization and morphology control of mesoporous silicas via a Co-condensation synthesis method. *Chem. Mater.* 2003, 15, 4247–4256.
- 43. Temming, K.; Schiffelers, R.M.; Molema, G.; Kok, R.J. RGD-based strategies for selective delivery of therapuetics and imaging agents to the tumour vasculature. *Drug Resist. Updat.* **2005**, *8*, 381–402.

© 2012 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).