



# Article The Use of Modified Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Particles to Recover Polyphenolic Compounds for the Valorisation of Olive Mill Wastewater from Slovenian Istria

Kelly Peeters <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Ana Miklavčič Višnjevec <sup>3</sup> and Črtomir Tavzes <sup>1,2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> InnoRenew CoE, Livade 6a, 6310 Izola, Slovenia; crtomir.tavzes@innorenew.eu
- Andrej Marušič Institute, University of Primorska, Muzejski Trg 2, 6000 Koper, Slovenia
  Faculty of Mathematics Natural Sciences and Information Technologies, University of Pri
- <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Mathematics Natural Sciences and Information Technologies, University of Primorska, Glagoljaška 8, 6000 Koper, Slovenia; ana.miklavcic@famnit.upr.si
- Correspondence: kelly.peeters@innorenew.eu

**Abstract:** Olive mill waste water (OMWW), a by-product created during the processing of olive oil, contains high amounts of polyphenolic compounds. If put to further use, these polyphenolic compounds could be a valuable resource for the speciality chemical industry. In order to achieve this, isolation of the polyphenolic compounds from OMWW is needed. Several techniques for this process already exist, the most widely used of which is adsorption beds. This research describes new ways of collecting polyphenolic compounds by using unmodified iron oxide (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) particles and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> modified with silica gel (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C18), citric acid (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA), and sodium dodecyl sulphate (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS). This approach is superior to adsorption beds since it can be used in a continuous system without clogging, while the nano-sized shapes create a high surface area for adsorption. The results of this study show that, if used in a loop system of several adsorption and desorption cycles, (un)modified Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> has the potential to collect high concentrations of polyphenolic compounds. A combination of different modifications of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles is also beneficial, as these combinations can be tailored to allow for the removal of specific polyphenolic compounds.

**Keywords:** polyphenolic compounds; olive mill waste water; modified iron (II; III) oxide particles; adsorption and desorption; magnetic collection; qualitative and quantitative analysis

## 1. Introduction

Olive oil produced by three-phase decanter systems creates two by-products: olive mill wastewater (OMWW) and pomace. The latter contains a much higher share of polyphenolic compounds than the oil does [1]. Our research is focused on the collection of these polyphenolic compounds from OMWW. Due to this high concentration of phenolic compounds, OMWW is considered to be one of the most polluting effluents produced by the agro-food industry [2]. However, polyphenolic compounds are linked to positive effects on human health, and they exhibit antimicrobial and antioxidant properties [3]; as such, this by-product could be effectively put to further industrial use in the cosmetic, pharmaceutical, and food industries.

Much research has been conducted on the isolation of polyphenols from OMWW. The investigated methods made use of adsorbents [4–7], ultra- or nano-filtration membranes [8–11], micro-wave assisted solvent extraction [12], drowning-out crystallization-based separation [13], and co-precipitation reactions [14] to recover polyphenolic compounds. Reviews of the different polyphenol recovery methods can be found in the literature [15–19].

Of these techniques, adsorption is the most widely used and effective technique for removing environmental pollutants [20]. There exist cheap adsorbents, such as activated carbon, coal fly ash, sludge, biomass, and zeolites, but these are not specific and regeneration after chemisorption is not cost effective [21,22]. Meanwhile, other adsorbents are more specific for



**Citation:** Peeters, K.; Miklavčič Višnjevec, A.; Tavzes, Č. The Use of Modified Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Particles to Recover Polyphenolic Compounds for the Valorisation of Olive Mill Wastewater from Slovenian Istria. *Nanomaterials* **2022**, *12*, 2327. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/nano12142327

Academic Editors: George Z. Kyzas and Antonino Gulino

Received: 25 May 2022 Accepted: 5 July 2022 Published: 6 July 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). polyphenols; these are able to adsorb polyphenols from different matrices and can be desorbed in polar solvents. These adsorbents include Amberlite (XAD4, XADHP7, XAD16), sepabeads (SP207, SP700), Isolute C8, Dowex Monosphere 550a, silica particles with polyvinyl alcohol chains modified with N-methyl imidazole proline salt, triamine-grafted mesoporous silicas, and sodium dodecyl sulphate modified alumina [23–29]. However, this technique comes with certain disadvantages. When using adsorbents, there is uneven saturation of the adsorption bed, and a long processing time is required for the OMWW to run through the whole adsorption bed; moreover, large numbers of adsorption beds are needed. Additionally, fixed beds require total or partial shutdown to replace adsorbents and, if a continuous system is required, there is a need for complex piping and valve arrangements with a control system. Another problem with adsorbents is that they can become clogged with particles, making it necessary to use membranes, which need to be cleaned frequently.

To overcome the disadvantages associated with such conventional techniques, this project focusses on isolating and concentrating polyphenols with the help of iron (II, III) oxide ( $Fe_3O_4$ ) particles modified with a surface coating of adsorbing materials. We aimed to use particles with sizes in the upper nano-scale range. Theoretically, magnetic particles of sizes in the nano-scale have significant benefits. Just 1 g of 10 nm diameter magnetic beads contains as many as 10<sup>18</sup> particles. This is an incredible amount of potential scavengers for phenols, and it concentrates this otherwise toxic waste into a very small volume. However, working with magnetic particles of such small sizes brings about its own challenges: namely, that beads less than 20 nm in size are fully dispersed in solution [30], making complete magnetic collection much harder than it is with larger particles which tend to agglomerate. Their small size and high redox reactivity also make them potentially harmful to living organisms [31]. The key advantage of using magnetic nanoparticles larger than 20 nm is that they are easily dispersed by stirring or shaking, and easily collected with a magnetic field. Although they are slightly larger than 10 nm particles, they still have a large surface area and therefore they still can adsorb large quantities of chemical compounds. Therefore, they can be deployed into existing technology and infrastructure, and there are few barriers to operational uptake [32].

Until now, research on the modification of magnetic particles for the enhanced extraction of polyphenols was performed by adsorbing ionic liquid or ionic surfactants on the surface of metal oxides [33–36]. The ionic liquid or surfactant's hydrocarbon chains provide hydrophobic or  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions for hydrophobic analytes, while the polar groups adsorb ionic analytes via electrostatic interaction or a hydrogen-bonding interaction. This system is suitable for the extraction of phenols from aqueous, rather than oily, matrices. Another technique for selectively binding and recovering a selected polyphenol involves the use of magnetic particles modified with molecularly imprinted polymers. Wang et al. [37] captured hespertin from the dried pericarp of *Citrus reticulata Blanco*, while Ma et al. [38] extracted catechin, epicatechin, and epigallocatechin from black tea. Since these polymers are target specific, they are not useful when several compounds or groups of compounds are wanted (collecting mixtures of compounds can sometimes have beneficial synergistic effects). Ying et al. [39] developed a method that showed the selective attachment of cis-diol polyphenols from fruit juices via columns containing polyethyleneimine modified with 4-formylphenylboronic acid. Gold nanoparticles with a stabilizing layer of cysteamine hydrochloride and 4,4"-dithiolterphenyl were tested in OMWW and showed promising results [40].

In our investigation, we compared the efficiency with which non-modified  $Fe_3O_4$ and several types of modified  $Fe_3O_4$  (citric acid (CA), silica gel (C18) and sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)) removed polyphenolic compounds from OMWW. The modification agents were chosen not only because they are good adsorbents, but also because subsequent desorption of the polyphenols is possible.  $Fe_3O_4$ @SDS and  $Fe_3O_4$ @alumina(Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)@SDS were chosen according to the research of Adak et al. [23]. Their study stated that surfactantmodified alumina possesses the ability to remove phenols from aquatic environments through a process called adsolubilization. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C18 was chosen because alkyl-functionalized silicas are used as stationary phases in reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. According to the findings of Ottaviani et al. [41], adsorption and desorption can take place depending on the water–solvent ratio of the environment. In aqueous solutions (e.g., OMWW), C18 chains tend to collapse and fold on the silica surface, trapping the phenol. At a higher solvent concentration (desorption media), the chain layer is assumed to have a relatively ordered structure, leading to the release of the phenols. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA was used with the idea that polar interactions would adsorb phenols. Even though methanolic desorption is more effective, ethanol was chosen as the desorbing solvent, because it is a polar solvent, which is not particularly harmful to the environment or to human health [42].

The aim of our study was to use the described innovative, unconventional, lowcost techniques, which may be suitable for industrial use, in order to successfully extract polyphenols from OMWW. To the best of our knowledge, this is a unique study, as it uses this extraction method on OMWW with a unique polyphenol composition involving secoiridoids, which are not found in any edible plants other than olives [43].

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Materials and Instrumentation

Extraction solvents: ethanol (EtOH) (Carlo Erba, absolute anhydrous for analysisreagent grade, Emmendingen, Germany). Reagent used to adapt the pH of OMWW: hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37%) (Honeywell, reagent grade, Charlotte, NC, USA). The OMWW was filtered with 200 nm polyamid (nylon) syringe filters before LC-MS/MS measurements. Iron (II, III) oxide particles (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 50–100 nm, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were used to collect polyphenolic compounds from OMWW. Reagents used to modify the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: citric acid monohydrate (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK,  $\geq$ 99.8%), toluene, sodium dodecyl sulphate (≥98.5%, GC, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), sodium chloride (Honeywell, reagent grade,  $\geq$ 98%, Muskegon, MI, USA), sodium acetate (Acros Organics, anhydrous, 97%, Geel, Belgium), acetic acid glacial (LabExpert, 99–110%, p.a, Ljubljana, Slovenia), C18-SiCl<sub>3</sub> (Sigma Aldrich,  $\geq$ 95%, GC, Buchs, Switzerland), and aluminium isopropoxide (Sigma Aldrich, ≥98%, St. Louis, MO, USA). Solvents used for LC-MS/MS analysis: acetonitrile, MeOH, and water (Honeywell, LC-MS chromasolv grade). Reagents used for the Folin–Ciocalteu (FC) method: FC reagent (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), gallic acid (97.5–102.5%, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), sodium carbonate (anhydrous for analysis, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

High-performance liquid chromatography, coupled with electrospray ionisation and quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS, 6530 Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), was used to qualify and quantify the polyphenolic compounds that were present. The HPLC equipment incorporated a Poroshell 120 column (EC-C18; 2.7  $\mu$ m; 3.0  $\times$  150 mm). An Epoch Microplate Spectrophotometer (Biotek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA) was used for the determination of the total phenol content (TPC).

#### 2.2. Sample Collection

The samples were collected at the Franka Marzi olive mill (N 45° 30.6588 E 13° 42.2574, Koper, Slovenian Istria). Details about the samples can be found in our previous research [44]. Immediately after sampling, OMWW samples were stored in a freezer (-18 °C). Acidic conditions can considerably increase the fraction of free phenolic compounds in OMWW. However, since these experiments were designed to collect polyphenolic compounds from OMWW on a large scale, the OMWW was not acidified, as recommended

by Jerman Klen and Mozetič Vodpivec [45], because this would not be economically feasible. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C18, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA, and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS were tested for their extraction efficiencies, each on a different day. Therefore, differences can be found in OMWW composition between experiments. However, the whole sequence for each of the four experiments was made on the same date with the same OMWW.

# 2.3. HPLC-DAD-MS/MS Analysis

HPLC-ESI-Q-TOF-MS analysis, along with compound qualification and quantification, was performed as described in our previous research [44]. Based on exact mass and fragmentation patterns, twenty phenolic compounds and their isomers were identified by MS: oleoside, hydroxytyrosol glucoside, hydroxtyrosol, elenolic acid glucoside, verbascoside, vanillin, demethyloleuropein, rutin, luteolin-*O*-glucoside, luteolin rutinoside, nuzhenide, caffeoyl-6-secologanoisde, oleuropein, oleuropein-aglycone di-aldehyde (3,4-DHPEA-EDA), oleuropein aglycone, oleuroside, oleocanthal (*p*-HPEA-EDA), and apigenin [44–46].The calibration plots indicate good correlations between peak areas and commercial standard concentrations. Regression coefficients were higher than 0.990. The limit of quantification (LOQ) was 8.3  $\mu$ g/mL.

#### 2.4. Modification of the $Fe_3O_4$ Particles and OMWW Treatment

The preparation of magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C18 composite materials was carried out as follows. Dried magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> material (0.5 g) was added to a 100-mL three-necked bottle. Then, 25 mL purified toluene was added; this was followed by sonication for 1 h. After the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> material had sedimented, the upper layer was decanted. Another 25 mL of purified toluene was added. Under N<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mL of C18-SiCl<sub>3</sub> was added dropwise. The reaction was continued with a stirring rate of 500 rpm at 50 °C for 5 h. The reaction mixture was then washed with toluene and separated under a magnetic field, and was dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h [47].

Two types of SDS-modified magnetic particles, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@SDS particles, were prepared, so they could later be compared for their extraction efficiency. To prepare Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS, aluminium isopropoxide (1.0 g) was dissolved in ethanol (60 mL) to form a homogeneous solution. Then, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs (0.5 g) were added to the above solution, under ultrasonification, for 5 min. Afterwards, a mixture of water and ethanol (1:5 v/v) was added dropwise to the above solution under vigorous stirring for 30 min. Finally, the obtained product was centrifuged and washed several times with ethanol, and dried in an oven at 300 °C for 3 h [48]. To coat Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> or Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with SDS, the particles (0.5 g) were shaken for 24 h with 5 mL SDS solutions (0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, and 0.1 g/mL) in the presence of 15 mg NaCl at pH values of 2, 4, 6, and 8. After shaking, the supernatant was discarded and the particles were washed thoroughly, initially with tap water and finally with distilled water. Then, the material was dried at 60 °C for 24 h (modified from Adak et al. [23]).

To prepare Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA, 0.5 g of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and 5 g of citric acid were added to 10 mL water, and the temperature was raised to 90 °C under continuous stirring for 90 min [49].

For each of the four types of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles (unmodified, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C18, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS, and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA), 0.5 g of particles were added to 100 mL of OMWW. The solution was shaken for 15 min (200 rpm). The particles were collected at the side of the beaker with a neodynium magnet (size:  $30 \times 30 \times 10$  mm; magnetisation: N45), and the OMWW was decanted. Then, 10 mL of EtOH was added to the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles. The EtOH was shaken for 5 min (200 rpm) to desorb the polyphenols from the particles. The particles were collected again with a neodynium magnet, and the EtOH was decanted. The polyphenol concentration was determined. The Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles were reused, as they were successfully regenerated. This procedure was repeated in 15 cycles for each of the four differently (un)modified magnetic particles that we had prepared. A scheme depicting the treatment of OMWW by removing polyphenols with Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles can be found in Figure 1.



**Figure 1.** Scheme depicting the removal of polyphenols from olive mill waste water (OMWW) by the use of iron oxide ( $Fe_3O_4$ ) particles and desorption in a solvent. Polyphenolic compounds are indicated by circular shapes in various colours, other compounds are represented by squares.

#### 2.5. Determination of the Total Phenol Content (TPC)

For a rapid assessment of whether Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS or Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@SDS magnetic particles had better phenol extraction properties, the fast procedure used for the determination of the TPC was the Folin–Ciocalteu (FC) method. The ethanol-desorbed polyphenolic compounds were diluted to fit the gallic acid calibration curve (0–20 g/L). In total, 700  $\mu$ L of standard or sample, 200  $\mu$ L of FC reagent: H<sub>2</sub>O (1:3) and 100  $\mu$ L of 1M Na<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>) buffer were added together and incubated for 2 h in the dark (at room temperature). Absorption spectra were measured with an Epoch Microplate Spectrophotometer. Spectrophotometric readings were collected at 765 nm.

## 3. Results

The goal of our research was to valorise OMWW by collecting polyphenolic compounds by adsorption on four different types of (un)modified  $Fe_3O_4$  particles, and desorption in an alcoholic solution (EtOH). Further processing, clean up, or separation can consequently make OMWW a viable new source of polyphenolic compounds in the food, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic industries.

First, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C18, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA, and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS particles were synthesized, and their hydrodynamic diameter and zeta potential were characterized. The results are given in Table 1. As expected, the initial hydrodynamic diameter is in the upper end of the nano-sized range; therefore, easy agglomeration occurs, and the particles need to be dispersed by shaking. The advantage of agglomeration is that the particles are easily removed from the system.

**Table 1.** Hydrodynamic diameter and zeta potential of synthesized iron oxide (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), iron oxide modified with silica gel (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C18), iron oxide modified with citric acid (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA), and iron oxide modified with sodium dodecyl sulphate (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS) particles.

Particle Type	Hydrodynamic Diameter (nm)	Zeta Potential (mV)
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	247.7	16.53
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @C18	324.6	9.21
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @CA	778.6	-36.40
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @SDS	325.0	1.86

#### 3.1. Adsorption and Desorption of Polyphenols with the Unmodified $Fe_3O_4$

In the first experiment, the unmodified  $Fe_3O_4$  magnetic particles were used to collect the polyphenolic compounds from OMWW. The concentrations of desorbed polyphenol were measured in EtOH (see Table 2). The polyphenol concentration in OMWW was measured by filtering OMWW through a syringe filter with a pore size of 0.2 µm. In this way, we separated the soluble portion of polyphenol (about 3–4 mg/L) from the insoluble portion of polyphenol in OMWW. Since a high proportion of the polyphenolic compounds is attached to the olive fruit particles in OMWW (leftover from the olive oil processing), the total polyphenol concentration in OMWW from Slovenian Istria can reach up to 27 mg/L [45]. total concentration of polyphenolic compounds is quantified in mg per mL of OMWW; individual

compounds are semi-quantified (using counts from the mass spectrometer (MS) detector).

Phenolic Compounds	Polyphenol Content in First EtOH Fraction	Polyphenol Content in Fifteenth EtOH Fraction	Soluble Polyphenol Content in OMWW—Before Treatment	Soluble Polyphenol Concentration in OMWW—After Treatment
Oleoside isomers	$8565\pm690$	$9838 \pm 120$	$728,\!146\pm37,\!843$	$660,565 \pm 15,705$
Hydroxytyrosol glucoside	$6713\pm768$	$6338\pm204$	$62,868 \pm 8439$	$37,418 \pm 5137$
Hydroxytyrosol	$9271\pm744$	$8879 \pm 664$	$379,040 \pm 24,353$	$108,364 \pm 10,466$
Trans <i>p</i> -coumaric acid 4-glucoside	$698\pm75$	$537\pm52$	$29,560 \pm 92$	$34,050 \pm 990$
, Caffeic acid	$12,527 \pm 307$	$12,549 \pm 1414$	$285,428 \pm 8107$	$134,\!697 \pm 19,\!500$
Elenolic acid glucoside isomers	$530 \pm 14$	$706 \pm 129$	$25,333 \pm 1073$	$26,746 \pm 318$
β-OH-verbascoside isomers	$5658 \pm 1140$	$5338 \pm 122$	$136,\!176\pm438$	$136,466 \pm 6029$
Vanilin	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Verbascoside isomers	$7533 \pm 388$	$1350\pm118$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Demethyloleuropein	$176\pm68$	$103 \pm 8$	$14,\!483 \pm 38$	$2752 \pm 1993$
Řutin	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Luteolin-O-glucoside isomers	$404 \pm 107$	$224\pm87$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Luteolin rutinoside	$573\pm97$	$404 \pm 111$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Nuzhenide Isomers	$116\pm40$	$123 \pm 11$	$1361\pm 64$	$5331 \pm 315$
Caffeoyl-6-secologanoside	$5840\pm349$	$5569\pm360$	$129,754 \pm 7106$	$112,055 \pm 14,199$
3,4-DHPEA-EDA isomers	$174\pm30$	$118 \pm 29$	$4149\pm743$	$2363 \pm 1929$
Oleuropein/Oleuroside isomers	$428\pm86$	$240\pm49$	$23,635 \pm 1135$	$12,346 \pm 1231$
Oleuropein aglycone Isomers	$567 \pm 113$	$241\pm29$	$8297\pm99$	$3815\pm363$
⁻p-HPĔÁ-EDA	$155\pm33$	$161 \pm 7$	<lod< td=""><td><math display="block">2758 \pm 166</math></td></lod<>	$2758 \pm 166$
Apigenin	$722\pm38$	$435\pm106$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Total (mg/mL)	$0.052\pm0.010$	$0.044\pm0.002$	$3.44\pm0.09$	$1.85\pm0.07$

The first polyphenol removal cycle with the unmodified  $Fe_3O_4$  particles yielded what appeared to be a very low quantity of the targeted compounds (0.052 mg per mL of OMWW). However, the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles were easily regenerated and reused, enabling a closed-loop process with several extraction cycles. Therefore, we tested a system where these particles were cycled fifteen times between the adsorption (OMWW) and desorption (EtOH) processes, with each repetition measured separately. The results are summarised in Table 1, where it can be clearly seen that, even after fifteen cycles, the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles were still taking up polyphenolic compounds, proving their reusability. Most polyphenolic compounds are adsorbed by and desorbed from the particles in similar concentrations in each cycle, even after fifteen cycles. The main exceptions are verbascoside isomers, luteolin-O-glucoside isomers, oleuropein/oleuroside, oleuropein aglycone isomers, and apigenin, for which the measured concentration in the desorbed samples substantially decreased after 15 uptake cycles (Table 1, column 3). As the amount of polyphenols collected is about 0.05 mg per mL of OMWW, we should expect a maximum decrease in the polyphenol concentration in OMWW of about 0.75 mg/mL. In reality, a much higher decrease in polyphenol concentration is observed, from 3.44 to 1.85 mg/mL—Table 1, column 4 and 5. This means that the treatment also leads to the partial degradation of the polyphenolic compounds. This conclusion can also be made in relation to compounds such as oleuropein/oleoroside and oleuropein aglycone isomers, hydroxytyrosol, and demethyloleuropein; the concentrations of these compounds in OMWW after the 15 cycles (Table 1, column 5) decrease much more than the concentrations of the polyphenols that are collected by  $Fe_3O_4$ . On the other hand, the formation of different polyphenolic compounds, such as *p*-HPEA-EDA and nuzhenide isomers, can be observed in OMWW. Unmodified Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles do not only collect soluble polyphenols, but also polyphenolic compounds that are attached to the remaining olive particles. This can be concluded from the observation that compounds such as verbascoside and luteolin-O-glucoside isomers, luteolin rutinoside, and apigenin are not present in the soluble OMWW fraction (Table 1, column 3), but are detected in the ethanol fraction. Additionally,  $\beta$ -OH-verbascoside isomers are found in the ethanol fraction, but no decrease in the soluble β-OH-verbascoside content of OMWW was detected.

# 3.2. Adsorption and Desorption of Polyphenols with Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Particles Modified with C18 Silica Gel

In the second set of experiments,  $Fe_3O_4$  particles modified with C18 silica gel ( $Fe_3O_4@C18$ ) were used. Compared to the adsorption and desorption with unmodified  $Fe_3O_4$ , a single removal cycle yielded a slightly higher, but still very low quantity of the targeted compounds (0.06 mg/mL of OMWW). The results are summarised in Table 3, where it can be clearly seen that, even after fifteen cycles, the modified  $Fe_3O_4$  particles are still taking up polyphenolic compounds, proving their reusability. Most polyphenolic compounds are adsorbed by and desorbed from the particles in similar concentrations, even after fifteen cycles. The main exceptions are luteolin-O-glucoside and oleuropein aglycone isomers, hydroxytyrosol, and apignenin, for which the concentration substantially decreased after 15 uptake cycles. As the amount of polyphenols collected is about 0.06 mg per mL of OMWW per cycle, we should expect a maximum decrease in the polyphenol concentration in OMWW of about 0.9 mg/mL. In reality, a much higher decrease in polyphenol concentration was observed (from 3.02 to 1.63 mg/mL). This means that this treatment, like the treatment with unmodified  $Fe_3O_4$  particles, also leads to the partial degradation of the polyphenolic compounds. This conclusion can also be made in relation to compounds such as hydroxytyrosol, trans p-coumaric acid 4-glucoside, caffeic acid and demethyloleuropein; the concentrations of these compounds in OMWW decrease much more than those of the polyphenols that are collected by Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. On the other hand, different polyphenolic compounds ( $\beta$ -OH-verbascoside and oleuropein aglycone isomers, vanillin, and p-HPEA-EDA) were observed in OMWW after the 15 removal cycles in higher quantities than in the initial OMWW. They could have been released from the remaining olive particles, or as a result of the breakdown of a larger compound. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C18 particles do not only collect soluble polyphenols, but also polyphenolic compounds attached to olive particles. This can be concluded from the observation that compounds such as rutin, luteolin-O-glucoside and verbascoside isomers, vanillin, and apigenin are not present in the soluble OMWW fraction, but are detected in the ethanol fraction desorbed from the modified magnetic particles. Another phenomenon that supports this conclusion is that hydroxytyrosol glucoside and caffeoyl-6secologanoside were found in the desorbed ethanol fractions, but no decrease in the content of soluble hydroxytyrosol glucoside and caffeoyl-6-secologanoside in OMWW was detected.

**Table 3.** Polyphenol quantities for fifteen subsequent treatments of OMWW with Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles modified with C18 silica gel. The particles were thereafter desorbed in EtOH. Total concentrations of polyphenolic compounds are quantified in mg per mL of OMWW; individual compounds are semi-quantified (using counts from the MS detector).

Phenolic Compounds	Polyphenol Content in First EtOH Fraction	Polyphenol Content in Fifteenth EtOH Fraction	Soluble Polyphenol Content in OMWW—Before Treatment	Soluble Polyphenol Concentration in OMWW—After Treatment
Oleoside isomers	$12,483 \pm 219$	$14,202 \pm 52$	$429,192 \pm 48,396$	$402,504 \pm 878$
Hydroxytyrosol glucoside	$8214 \pm 462$	$8610 \pm 210$	$23,162 \pm 6222$	$20,171 \pm 1453$
Hydroxytyrosol	$6059\pm86$	$3805\pm500$	$284,414 \pm 3023$	$35,406 \pm 6058$
Trans <i>p</i> -coumaric acid 4-glucoside	$483\pm 61$	$566 \pm 51$	$23,900 \pm 5967$	<lod< td=""></lod<>
' Caffeic acid	$6791 \pm 1135$	$5307\pm344$	$363,362 \pm 42,318$	$54,073 \pm 4322$
Elenolic acid glucoside isomers	$845\pm94$	$800\pm8$	$38,053 \pm 2452$	$20,489 \pm 231$
β-OH-verbascoside isomers	$10,514 \pm 851$	$10,198 \pm 663$	$16,641 \pm 4222$	$138,969 \pm 17,363$
Vanilin	$799 \pm 133$	$791 \pm 6$	<lod< td=""><td><math>27,458 \pm 1018</math></td></lod<>	$27,458 \pm 1018$
Verbascoside isomers	$10,743 \pm 65$	$12,\!817\pm476$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Demethyloleuropein	$429 \pm 61$	$324\pm 68$	$19,732 \pm 217$	$3256\pm40$
Řutin	$2206\pm255$	$1877\pm81$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Luteolin-O-glucoside isomers	$1278\pm83$	$769 \pm 41$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Luteolin rutinoside	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Nuzhenide Isomers	$158\pm14$	$159\pm5$	$6662 \pm 429$	$5038 \pm 116$
Caffeoyl-6-secologanoside	$10,291 \pm 1405$	$9053\pm802$	$117,566 \pm 8118$	$119,630 \pm 4237$
3,4-DHPEA-EDA isomers	$15,507 \pm 1088$	$12,357 \pm 122$	<lod< td=""><td><math>29,595 \pm 197</math></td></lod<>	$29,595 \pm 197$
Oleuropein/Oleuroside	$1131\pm296$	$965\pm13$	$26,995 \pm 1438$	$17,\!485 \pm 205$
Oleuropein aglycone Isomers	$2527\pm775$	$854\pm13$	$3378\pm2605$	$19,622 \pm 3150$
₽-HPĔÁ-EDA	$2360 \pm 182$	$2332 \pm 9$	$12,\!601\pm 85$	$17,\!819 \pm 264$
Apigenin	$839\pm160$	$293\pm4$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Total (mg/mL)	$0.064\pm0.005$	$0.058\pm0.001$	$3.02\pm0.12$	$1.63\pm0.18$

# 3.3. Adsorption and Desorption of Polyphenols with Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Particles Modified with Citric Acid

In the third set of experiments, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles modified with citric acid (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA) were used. With Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA, the amount of polyphenolic compounds collected per removal cycle (about 0.1 mg/mL) was double that collected by unmodified  $Fe_3O_4$ . The results are summarised in Table 4, where it can be clearly seen that, even after fifteen cycles, the CA-modified  $Fe_3O_4$  particles are still taking up polyphenolic compounds, proving their reusability. In contrast to unmodified Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C18, where the composition of collected polyphenolic compounds in the ethanolic fraction is fairly similar, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA's adsorption and desorption of polyphenols led to slight changes over the course of the 15 removal cycles. The concentration of compounds such as oleoside, elenolic acid glucoside, luteolin-O-glucoside and oleuropein/oleuroside isomers, and caffeic acid, trans *p*-coumaric acid 4-glucoside, and apigenin substantially decreased after 15 uptake cycles. Conversely, an increase in hydroxytyrosol and verbascoside isomers was observed. As the amount of polyphenols collected is about 0.1 mg per mL of OMWW, we should expect a maximum decrease in the polyphenol concentration in OMWW of about 1.5 mg/mL. The soluble phenolic content in OMWW decreased from 3.56 to 2.84 mg/mL, which is within the expected range. This means that Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CA is a gentler removal method than unmodified  $Fe_3O_4$  and  $Fe_3O_4$ @C18, leading to no or minimal degradation. This conclusion can also be supported by the observation that no compounds present in OMWW after treatment decrease in concentration much more than those that were collected in ethanol by  $Fe_3O_4@CA$ . On the other hand, an increase in the quantity of different polyphenolic compounds, such as hydroxytyrosol, trans *p*-coumaric acid 4-glucoside, caffeic acid, β-OH-verbascoside isomers, demethyloleuropein, luteolin-O-glucoside isomers, nuzhenide isomers, and caffeoyl-6-secologanoside, was observed in OMWW after the treatment. These compounds are most likely released from organic matter during the removal process under the influence of citric acid.  $Fe_3O_4@C18$  particles do not only collect soluble polyphenols, but also polyphenolic compounds attached to particles. This can be concluded from the observation that compounds such as trans *p*-coumaric acid 4-glucoside, luteolin rutinoside, nuzhenide isomers, 3,4-DHPEA-EDA, oleuropein aglycone isomers, and apigenin were not present in the soluble OMWW fraction, but are detected in the ethanol fraction, having been desorbed from the modified magnetic particles. Another observation supporting this conclusion is that oleuropein/oleuroside isomers and *p*-HPEA-EDA were found in the ethanol fraction, but no substantial decrease in the soluble content in OMWW was detected.

**Table 4.** Polyphenol quantities for fifteen subsequent treatments of OMWW with Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles modified with citric acid. The particles were thereafter desorbed in EtOH. Total concentrations of polyphenolic compounds are quantified in mg per mL of OMWW; individual compounds are semi-quantified (using counts from the MS detector).

Phenolic Compounds	Polyphenol Content in First EtOH Fraction	Polyphenol Content in Fifteenth EtOH Fraction	Soluble Polyphenol Content in OMWW—Before Treatment	Soluble Polyphenol Concentration in OMWW—After Treatment
Oleoside isomers	$154,399 \pm 12,489$	$129,203 \pm 4337$	$2308,995 \pm 371,959$	$1506,070 \pm 82,930$
Hydroxytyrosol glucoside	$15,281 \pm 489$	$18,323 \pm 2255$	$36,111 \pm 36$	$173,\!218 \pm 14,\!866$
Hydroxytyrosol	$11,\!419 \pm 1154$	$28,553 \pm 1403$	$124,\!526\pm16,\!804$	$105,736 \pm 26,879$
Trans <i>p</i> -coumaric acid 4-glucoside	$1138\pm122$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><math>33,190 \pm 5006</math></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><math>33,190 \pm 5006</math></td></lod<>	$33,190 \pm 5006$
Caffeic acid	$57,\!636 \pm 2078$	$44,\!890\pm 5083$	$63,215 \pm 14,291$	$438,\!410\pm85,\!673$
Elenolic acid glucoside isomers	$11,\!889 \pm 122$	$5144 \pm 2582$	$152,095 \pm 11,816$	$88,\!787 \pm 21,\!987$
β-OH-verbascoside isomers	$13,\!574 \pm 1434$	$14,020 \pm 44$	$193,\!562\pm77,\!384$	$520,700 \pm 4087$
Vanilin	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Verbascoside isomers	$1246\pm44$	$2215\pm205$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Demethyloleuropein	$223\pm69$	$225\pm16$	$1970\pm583$	$3778 \pm 40$
Řutin	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Luteolin-O-glucoside isomers	$2800\pm100$	$1814 \pm 138$	$9843 \pm 3992$	$18,080 \pm 393$
Luteolin rutinoside	$1537\pm420$	$1105 \pm 90$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Nuzhenide Isomers	$128\pm16$	$110 \pm 35$	<lod< td=""><td><math>4661 \pm 1752</math></td></lod<>	$4661 \pm 1752$

Phenolic Compounds	Polyphenol Content in First EtOH Fraction	Polyphenol Content in Fifteenth EtOH Fraction	Soluble Polyphenol Content in OMWW—Before Treatment	Soluble Polyphenol Concentration in OMWW—After Treatment
Caffeoyl-6-secologanoside	$12,005 \pm 1320$	$9786 \pm 234$	$118,057 \pm 8281$	$266,715 \pm 4646$
3,4-DHFEA-EDA	$01 \pm 20$	$210 \pm 9$		
Oleuropein/Oleuroside isomers	$1211 \pm 279$	$456 \pm 155$	$11,488 \pm 2688$	$12,531 \pm 3935$
Oleuropein aglycone Isomers	$341 \pm 34$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
<i>p</i> -HPĔÁ-EDA	$245\pm1$	$126 \pm 5$	$3781 \pm 245$	$3317\pm385$
Apigenin	$2426\pm23$	$1391\pm100$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Total (mg/mL)	$\textbf{0.100} \pm \textbf{0.009}$	$0.095\pm0.020$	$3.56\pm0.18$	$2.84\pm0.15$

Table 4. Cont.

3.4. Adsorption and Desorption of Polyphenols with  $Fe_3O_4$  Particles Modified with SDS, Both with and without an  $Al_2O_3$  Coating

In the fourth set of experiments, SDS-modified Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles with and without an Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@SDS, respectively) were used. First, different parameters for the synthesis of the particles were tested (pH of synthesis solution, presence of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating, SDS concentration) to obtain the highest polyphenol removal efficiency in OMWW. In this experiment, the total phenol concentrations desorbed in ethanol were determined using the fast spectrophotometric Folin–Ciocalteu method. The results can be found in Table 5. In general, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS magnetic particles had a better removal efficiency than Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@SDS. From the results, it can be seen that, when keeping the SDS concentration constantand adding the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles to an aqueous solution with 0.1 g/mL SDS, a constant pH of 5.5 during the particle modification proved to be the optimal parameters for the modification procedure.

**Table 5.** Treatment of OMWW with  $Fe_3O_4@SDS$  or  $Fe_3O_4@Al_2O_3@SDS$  with different synthesis parameters (pH, SDS concentration). The concentration of the desorbed total phenol content was measured using the Folin–Ciocalteu method. The standard deviation of the results is 0.02 mg per mL OMWW.

Particle Type	Concentration SDS (g/mL)	pН	Total Phenol Concentration in EtOH (mg per mL OMWW in GAE)
$\begin{array}{c} Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3}\\ Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3}\\ Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3}\\ Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3}\\ Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3} \end{array}$	$0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.5 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.5 \end{array}$	0.11 0.13 0.17 0.20
$\begin{array}{l} Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3}\\ Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3}\\ Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3}\\ Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3}\\ Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3} \end{array}$	0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	3.5 4.5 5.5 8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.09 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} Fe_3O_4\\Fe_3O_4\\Fe_3O_4\\Fe_3O_4\\Fe_3O_4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$	4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5	0.25 0.19 0.10 0.28
$\begin{array}{c} Fe_3O_4\\Fe_3O_4\\Fe_3O_4\\Fe_3O_4\\Fe_3O_4\end{array}$	0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	3.5 4.5 5.5 8	0.14 0.20 0.37 0.32

The SDS-modified magnetic particles proved more effective than unmodified  $Fe_3O_4$ and  $Fe_3O_4@C18$ , but not as effective as  $Fe_3O_4@CA$ . The results are summarised in Table 6, where it can be clearly seen that, even after fifteen cycles, the  $Fe_3O_4@SDS$  particles were still taking up polyphenolic compounds, proving their reusability. An interesting phenomenon here was that the uptake efficiency of certain compounds increased over the 15 cycles: this was the case for compounds such as oleoside isomers, hydroxytyrosol glucoside, and vanillin. As the amount of polyphenols collected was about 0.07 mg per mL of OMWW, we should expect a maximum decrease in the polyphenol concentration in OMWW of about 1.1 mg/mL. The soluble phenolic content in OMWW decreased from 3.85 to 2.57 mg/mL, which is within the expected range. This means that Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS is more gentle removal method than unmodified Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C18, leading to no or minimal degradation. This conclusion is also supported by the observation that no compounds in OMWW decrease in concentration much more than when they are collected in ethanol by  $Fe_3O_4@SDS$ . On the other hand, the formation of different polyphenolic compounds, such as oleoside isomers, hydroxytyrosol, demethyloleuropein, luteolin-O-glucoside, and apigenin, can be observed in OMWW. From the results in this study, it can be concluded that Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SDS particles do not only collect soluble polyphenols, but also polyphenolic compounds attached to particles, because compounds such as vanillin, verbascoside isomers, luteolin-O-glucoside, luteolin rutinoside, nuzhenide, 3,4-DHPEA-EDA isomers, oleuropein aglycone isomers, and apigenin were not present in the soluble OMWW fraction, but were detected in the ethanol fraction. Another phenomenon confirming this statement is that hydroxytyrosol glucoside and caffeoyl-6-secologanoside were found in the ethanol fraction, but no decrease in the soluble hydroxytyrosol glucoside and caffeoyl-6-secologanoside content in OMWW was detected.

**Table 6.** Polyphenol quantities for fifteen subsequent treatments of OMWW with Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles modified with sodium dodecyl sulphate. The particles were thereafter desorbed in EtOH. Total concentrations of polyphenolic compounds are quantified in mg per mL of OMWW; individual compounds are semi-quantified (using counts from the MS detector).

Phenolic Compounds	Polyphenol Content in First EtOH Fraction	Polyphenol Content in Fifteenth EtOH Fraction	Soluble Polyphenol Content in OMWW—Before Treatment	Soluble Polyphenol Concentration in OMWW—After Treatment
Oleoside isomers	$54,046 \pm 7914$	$73,216 \pm 15,453$	$898,000 \pm 1373$	991,238 ± 71,747
Hydroxytyrosol glucoside	$937 \pm 319$	$11,109 \pm 1372$	$75,035 \pm 9793$	$69,315 \pm 2171$
Hydroxytyrosol	$18,578 \pm 3650$	$12,386 \pm 1727$	$44,\!488 \pm 16,\!232$	$98,379 \pm 8494$
Trans <i>p</i> -coumaric acid 4-glucoside	$613 \pm 109$	<lod< td=""><td><math>21,878 \pm 3758</math></td><td><math>15,080 \pm 1676</math></td></lod<>	$21,878 \pm 3758$	$15,080 \pm 1676$
' Caffeic acid	$26,446 \pm 1207$	$22,178 \pm 132$	$86,608 \pm 746$	$15,864 \pm 6493$
Elenolic acid glucoside isomers	$11,965 \pm 145$	$11,797 \pm 330$	$72,928 \pm 17,864$	$38,435 \pm 8553$
β-OH-verbascoside isomers	$11,911 \pm 884$	$14,883 \pm 106$	$230,313 \pm 9139$	$224,923 \pm 19,315$
Vanilin	$2377 \pm 141$	$7850\pm 3802$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Verbascoside isomers	$7818\pm510$	$2310\pm505$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Demethyloleuropein	$151\pm21$	$179 \pm 2$	$1853\pm21$	$4127\pm39$
Řutin	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Luteolin-O-glucoside	$2124\pm 6$	$2101 \pm 113$	<lod< td=""><td><math>56,390 \pm 2592</math></td></lod<>	$56,390 \pm 2592$
Luteolin rutinoside	$1100 \pm 93$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Nuzhenide Isomers	$82\pm5$	$123\pm23$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Caffeoyl-6-secologanoside	$7390\pm280$	$8055\pm923$	$139,568 \pm 15,822$	$129,294 \pm 3056$
3,4-DHPEA-EDA isomers	$94\pm15$	$144\pm 6$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Oleuropein/Oleuroside isomers	$308\pm43$	$555\pm174$	$25,820 \pm 7122$	$27,072 \pm 7686$
Oleuropein aglycone Isomers	$286\pm5$	$215\pm9$	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
<i>p</i> -HPĔÁ-EDA	$139\pm73$	$131 \pm 6$	$4253\pm43$	$2389 \pm 434$
Apigenin	$1026\pm49$	$1240\pm59$	<lod< td=""><td><math display="block">2371\pm383</math></td></lod<>	$2371\pm383$
Total (mg/mL)	$0.071\pm0.009$	$0.083\pm0.03$	$3.85\pm0.14$	$2.57\pm0.05$

## 4. Discussion

Conventional adsorption beds have the capacity to effectively remove phenol compounds from OMWW. However, their regeneration requires either thermal or chemical methods, which increases the cost of the procedure and can have undesired environmental effects. Therefore, this work tested the possibility of using (un)modified  $Fe_3O_4$  particles, which can be magnetically collected; this type of polyphenol collection possesses important traits, such as affordability, regeneration and reusability, and the non-hazardous disposal of spent adsorbent.

The advantage of our procedure, compared to molecularly imprinted polymers, is that a mixture of polyphenolic compounds can be collected. This is useful for specific applications when several compounds or groups of compounds are wanted, such as in food supplements, where mixtures of compounds can have synergistic beneficial effects. It can also be a good starting point for the subsequent chromatographic separation of polyphenolic compounds, since the compounds are present in a less complex matrix. Chromatographic separation may be a simpler and faster technique for the separation of compounds from complex mixtures, compared to finding an imprinted polymer for each separate polyphenolic compound. Moreover, in contrast to former studies, our study tested the removal efficiency of several polyphenolic compounds. The silica-coated magnetic nanoparticles have previously only been tested in the extraction of xanthohumol in beer [33]. The 1-hexadecyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticles have only been tested in the collection of 2,4-dichlorophenol and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol from environmental water samples [34]. Finally, the use of Fe3O<sub>4</sub> is a more sensible choice for industrial applications [50] than the use of carbon nanotubes [35], which are difficult to work with and expensive [51], or gold nanoparticles [40], which have been used in previous studies to collect polyphenolic compounds.

Removing polyphenolic compounds from OMWW via (un)modified  $Fe_3O_4$  particles proved to be a promising technique when a multi-step approach was used, by repeating several cycles in which polyphenols were adsorbed onto the particles and then desorbed into a solvent. This technique is economically profitable in a system where the  $Fe_3O_4$ particles can start a new cycle after desorption, and the solvent can be reused by evaporation, leading to the concentration of the polyphenolic compounds in small solvent volumes.

Our experimental results show that (un)modified  $Fe_3O_4$  adsorbs free polyphenolic compounds, as well as polyphenolic compounds which are attached to particulate matter. It was also noted that unmodified  $Fe_3O_4$  particles and  $Fe_3O_4$ @C18 cause some polyphenol degradation in OMWW, while  $Fe_3O_4$ @CA releases polyphenolic compounds from olive particulate matter inside the OMWW. Different modifications lead to different adsorption behaviours for each polyphenolic compound. This is due to different interactions between the polyphenolic compound and the magnetic particles.

The removal of polyphenolic compounds from OMWW with bare Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> attraction is mainly controlled by chemisorption combined with  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions, along with waterbridged H-bonds, according to Dehmani et al. [52], or physiosorption, according to Yoon et al. [53]. Coating the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles can lead to better efficiency in removing polyphenolic compounds from aqueous solutions [52]; this can also be seen in our results.

The adsorption of polyphenols from aqueous solution by C18 silica-gel-modified magnetic particles is the result of apolar Van der Waals forces. Therefore, differences in the polarity and solubility of the phenols between the aqueous and the solid apolar phases causes the mass transfer [54]. For this reason, the efficiency of the sorbent is related to the hydrophobicity of the compound [54]. In Table 2, this is represented by a higher uptake of more apolar compounds (i.e., those that eluted from the apolar chromatographic column at higher retention times) than in other treatments.

 $Fe_3O_4$  magnetic particles modified with citric acid retain a high capacity to adsorb less hydrophilic compounds, and gain the ability to interact with polar molecules due to stronger interactions, including dipole–dipole or hydrogen interactions [54]. Additionally, a polar surface is more wettable, and consequently supports mass transfer of the more polar species from the aqueous solution to the sorbent [54]. This can be seen in our results in Table 3, in the fact that  $Fe_3O_4$ @CA magnetic particles favour the uptake of the earliereluting polar compounds, especially oleoside and caffeic acid. In addition to this, our results show that citric acid releases polyphenolic compounds from the organic matter into the aqueous phase of OMWW. This phenomenon is in accordance with the reports that organic acids might weaken or disintegrate cell membranes, simultaneously dissolving the polyphenolics and stabilizing them [55].

SDS-modified magnetic particles were initially expected by the authors to preferentially bind water-insoluble molecules, because a single layer of the SDS molecules on the surface of metallic particles is normally oriented in such a way that its apolar chains are exposed to the aqueous environment. From the results of Table 5, however, it can be seen that the adsorption and desorption of more polar phenols (faster eluting from the chromatography column) are favoured. This can be explained by the fact that SDS molecules can also form admicelles on metal oxide particles, leaving the polar group of SDS exposed to its surroundings [56].

Since OMWW consists of phenols with significantly different properties, one sorbent may be unable to collect all of the compounds in sufficient or desired quantities. Therefore, to obtain large enough quantities of these compounds, while also retaining selectivity for all analytes, a combination of the modified  $Fe_3O_4$  compounds would be an obvious solution. For example, if several adsorption–desorption cycles with  $Fe_3O_4$ @CA magnetic particles were combined with subsequent cycles using  $Fe_3O_4$ @C18 magnetic particles, both polar and apolar compounds would be collected. For example, if vanillin were a polyphenolic compound of particular interest, a combination of  $Fe_3O_4$ @SDS magnetic particles could be added.

#### 5. Conclusions

- In this study, it was found that the major advantage of (un)modified Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles is their easy multiple-cycle regeneration using low concentrations of low-cost chemicals.
- Their demonstrated adsorption capacity has the potential for successful commercialization in industrial applications.
- Differently modified Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles exhibit different extraction efficiencies for polyphenols with different chemical and physical properties.
- A sequential extraction by differently modified particles offers the possibility of either a "complete extraction" of all polyphenols in the desired quantities, or a more targeted extraction of select molecules.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, K.P., Č.T.; methodology, K.P., A.M.V.; formal analysis, K.P.; investigation, K.P.; data analysis, K.P.; writing—original draft preparation, K.P.; writing—review and editing, K.P., A.M.V., Č.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The authors would like to thank the Bio Based Industries Joint Undertaking for providing funding for the Pro-Enrich project (Grant Agreement No. 792050), under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme. The authors also acknowledge and thank the European Commission for funding the InnoRenew project (grant agreement #739574) under the H2020 Widespread-2-Teaming programme, the Republic of Slovenia (investment funding from the Republic of Slovenia and the European Regional Development Fund), and the ARRS infrastructure program IO-0035 and Project J4-1767.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data are contained within the article.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors gratefully thank Franka Marzi and the Lisjak olive mills (Koper, Slovenian Istria) for the provision of samples for this study.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

- Rodis, P.S.; Karathanos, V.T.; Mantzavinou, A. Partitioning of olive oil antioxidants between oil and water phases. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50, 596–601. [CrossRef]
- Azaizeh, H.; Halahlih, F.; Najami, N.; Brunner, D.; Faulstich, M.; Tafesh, A. Antioxidant activity of phenolic fractions in ol-ive mill wastewater. *Food Chem.* 2012, 134, 2226–2234. [CrossRef]
- 3. Cory, H.; Passarelli, S.; Szeto, J.; Tamez, M.; Mattei, J. The role of polyphenols in human health and food systems: A mini-review. *Front. Nutr.* **2018**, *5*, 87. [CrossRef]
- 4. Vavouraki, A. Removal of polyphenols from olive mill wastewater by FPX 66 resin: Part II. *Adsorption kinetics and equilibrium studies. Int. J. Waste Resour.* **2020**, *10*, 1–7.
- 5. Papaoikonomou, L.; Labanaris, K.; Kaderides, K.; Goula, A.M. Adsorption–desorption of phenolic compounds from olive mill wastewater using a novel low-cost biosorbent. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2021**, *28*, 24230–24244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 6. Annab, H.; Fiol, N.; Villaescusa, I.; Essamri, A. A proposal for the sustainable treatment and valorisation of olive mill wastes. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*, 102803. [CrossRef]
- Lissaneddine, A.; Mandi, L.; El Achaby, M.; Mousset, E.; Rene, E.R.; Ouazzani, N.; Pons, M.-N.; Aziz, F. Performance and dynamic modeling of a continuously operated pomace olive packed bed for olive mill wastewater treatment and phenol recovery. *Chemosphere* 2021, 280, 130797. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Ochando-Pulido, J.M.; Martínez-Férez, A. About the recovery of the phenolic fraction from olive mill wastewater by micro and ultracentrifugation membranes. *Chem. Eng. Trans.* **2017**, *60*, 271–276.
- 9. Garcia-Castello, E.M.; Cassano, A.; Criscuoli, A.; Conidi, C.; Drioli, E. Recovery and concentration of polyphenols from olive mill wastewaters by integrated membrane system. *Water Res.* **2010**, *44*, 3883–3892. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Jahangiri, M.; Rahimpour, A.; Nemati, S.; Alimohammady, M. Recovery of polyphenols from olive mill wastewater by nanofiltration. *Cellul. Chem. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, 961–966.
- Mudimu, O.A.; Peters, M.; Brauner, F.; Braun, G. Overview of membrane processes for the recovery of polyphenols from olive mill wastewater. *Am. J. Environ. Sci.* 2012, *8*, 195–201.
- 12. Boudissa, F.; Kadi, H. Transfer of phenolic compounds from olive mill wastewater to olive cake oil. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 2013, 90, 717–723. [CrossRef]
- Dammak, I.; Neves, M.; Isoda, H.; Sayadi, S.; Nakajima, M. Recovery of polyphenols from olive mill wastewater using drowningout crystallization based separation process. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 2016, 34, 326–335. [CrossRef]
- 14. Yahiaouia, N.; Kadia, H.; Moussaouia, R.; Sebaouia, O.; Fiallo, M. Treatment and valorization of olive mill wastewater by hydroxyapatite co-precipitation using experimental design. *Desalin Water Treat* **2020**, *195*, 232–239. [CrossRef]
- 15. Gullón, P.; Gullón, B.; Astray, G.; Carpena, M.; Fraga-Corral, M.; Prieto, M.A.; Simal-Gandara, J. Valorization of by-products from olive oil industry and added-value applications for innovative functional foods. *Food Res. Int.* **2020**, *137*, 109683. [CrossRef]
- Caporaso, N.; Formisano, D.; Genovese, A. Use of phenolic compounds from olive mill wastewater as valuable ingredients for functional foods. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2018, 58, 2829–2841. [CrossRef]
- 17. Rahmanian, N.; Mahdi Jafari, S.; Galanakis, C.M. Recovery and removal of phenolic compounds from olive mill wastewater. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. **2013**, *91*, 1–18. [CrossRef]
- Kiritsakis, A.K.; Kiritsakis, K.A.; Tsitsipas, C.K. A review of the evolution in the research of antioxidants in olives and olive oil during the last four decades. J. Food Bioact. 2020, 11, 31–56. [CrossRef]
- 19. Takaç, S.; Karakaya, A. Recovery of phenolic antioxidants from olive mill wastewater. *Recent Pat. Chem. Eng* **2009**, *2*, 230–237. [CrossRef]
- 20. Sun, J.; Liu, X.; Zhang, F.; Zhou, J.; Wu, J.; Alsaedi, A.; Li, J. Insight into the mechanism of adsorption of phenol and resorcinol on activated carbons with different oxidation degrees. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2019**, *563*, 22–30. [CrossRef]
- Singh, D.; Srivastava, B.; Va, V. Removal of Phenol Pollutants from Aqueous Solutions Using Various Adsorbents. J. Sci. Ind Res. 2002, 61, 208–218.
- 22. Lin, S.-H.; Juang, R.-S. Adsorption of phenol and its derivatives from water using synthetic resins and low-cost natural adsorbents: A review. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2009, *90*, 1336–1349. [CrossRef]
- 23. Adak, A.; Pal, A.; Bandyopadhyay, M. Removal of phenol from water environment by surfactant-modified alumina through adsolubilization. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2006, 277, 63–68. [CrossRef]
- 24. Zagklis, D.P.; Vavouraki, A.I.; Kornaros, M.E.; Paraskeva, C.A. Purification of olive mill wastewater phenols through membrane filtration and resin adsorption/desorption. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2015**, *285*, 69–76. [CrossRef]
- Trikas, E.D.; Papi, R.M.; Kyriakidis, D.A.; Zachariadis, G.A. Evaluation of ion exchange and sorbing materials for their adsorption/desorption performane towards anthocyanins, total phenolics, and sugars from a grape pomace extract. *Separations* 2017, 4, 9. [CrossRef]
- Schmitt, D.; Beiser, N.; Regenbrecht, C.; Zirbes, M.; Waldvogel, S.R. Adsorption and separation of black liquor-derived phenol derivatives using anion exchange resins. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2017, 181, 8–17. [CrossRef]
- Pinto, P.R.; Mota, I.F.; Pereira, C.M.; Ribeiro, A.M.; Loureiro, J.M.; Rodrigues, A.E. Separation and recovery of polyphenols and carbohydrates from Eucalyptus bark extract by ultrafiltration/diafiltration and adsorption processes. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2017, 183, 96–105. [CrossRef]
- 28. Yangui, A.; Abderrabba, M.; Sayari, A. Amine-modified mesoporous silica for quantitative adsorption and release of hydroxytyrosol and other phenolic compounds from olive mill wastewater. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* **2017**, *70*, 111–118. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, W.; Feng, X.; Alula, Y.; Yao, S. Bionic multi-tentacled ionic liquid-modified silica gel for adsorption and separation of polyphenols from green tea (*Camellia sinensis*) leaves. *Food Chem.* 2017, 230, 637–648. [CrossRef]
- 30. Mandel, K.; Hutter, F. The magnetic nanoparticle separation problem. *Nano Today* **2012**, *7*, 485–487. [CrossRef]
- 31. Nel, A.; Xia, T.; Mädler, L.; Li, N. Toxic potential of materials at the nanolevel. *Science* 2006, 311, 622–627. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Tesh, S.J.; Scott, T.B. Iron Nanoparticles for Water Treatment: Is the Future Free or Fixed? In *Iron Oxides*; Faivre, D., Ed.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2016; pp. 473–522.
- 33. Ding, J.; Zhao, Q.; Sun, L.; Ding, L.; Ren, N. Magnetic mixed hemimicelles solid-phase extraction of xanthohumol in beer coupled with high-performance liquid chromatography determination. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2011**, *34*, 1463–1468. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Cheng, Q.; Qu, F.; Li, N.B.; Luo, H.Q. Mixed hemimicelles solid-phase extraction of chlorophenols in environmental water samples with 1-hexadecyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticles with high-performance liquid chromatographic analysis. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2012, 715, 113–119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Xiao, D.; Yuan, D.; He, H.; Pham-Huy, C.; Dai, H.; Wang, C.; Zhang, C. Mixed hemimicelle solid-phase extraction based on magnetic carbon nanotubes and ionic liquids for the determination of flavonoids. *Carbon* **2014**, *72*, 274–286. [CrossRef]
- 36. Xiao, D.; Zhang, C.; He, J.; Zeng, R.; Chen, R.; He, H. Platform construction and extraction mechanism study of magnetic mixed hemimicelles solid-phase extraction. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 38106. [CrossRef]
- 37. Wang, D.-D.; Gao, D.; Xu, W.-J.; Li, F.; Yin, M.-N.; Fu, Q.-F.; Xia, Z.-N. Magnetic molecularly imprinted polymer for the selective extraction of hesperetin from the dried pericarp of Citrus reticulata Blanco. *Talanta* **2018**, *184*, 307–315. [CrossRef]
- 38. Ma, W.; Dai, Y.; Row, K.H. Molecular imprinted polymers based on magnetic chitosan with different deep eutectic solvent monomers for the selective separation of catechins in black tea. *Electrophoresis* **2018**, *39*, 2039–2046. [CrossRef]
- Ying, L.-L.; Wang, D.-Y.; Yang, H.-P.; Deng, X.-Y.; Peng, C.; Zheng, C.; Xu, B.; Dong, L.-Y.; Wang, X.; Xu, L.; et al. Synthesis of boronate-decorated polyethyleneimine-grafted porous layer open tubular capillaries for enrichment of polyphenols in fruit juices. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2018, 1544, 23–32. [CrossRef]
- Rapa, M.; Vinci, G.; Ciano, S.; Cerra, S.; Fratoddi, I. Gold nanoparticles-based extraction of phenolic compounds from olive mill wastewater: A rapid and sustainable method. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2020, 2257, 020010.
- Ottaviani, M.F.; Leonardis, I.; Cappiello, A.; Cangiotti, M.; Mazzeo, R.; Trufelli, H.; Palma, P. Structural modifications and adsorption capability of C18-silica/binary solvent interphases studied by EPR and RP-HPLC. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2010, 352, 512–519. [CrossRef]
- 42. Kapasakalidis, P.G.; Rastall, R.A.; Gordon, M.H. Extraction of polyphenols from processed black currant (*Ribes nigrum* L.) residues. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2006**, *54*, 4016–4021. [CrossRef]
- 43. Ryan, D.; Antolovich, M.; Prenzler, P.; Robards, K.; Lavee, S. Biotransformations of phenolic compounds in *Olea europaea* L. *Sci. Hortic.* **2002**, 92, 147–176. [CrossRef]
- Peeters, K.; Miklavčič Višnjevec, A.; Esakkimuthu, E.S.; Schwarzkopf, M.; Tavzes, Č. The Valorisation of Olive Mill Wastewater from Slovenian Istria by Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Particles to Recover Polyphenolic Compounds for the Chemical Specialties Sector. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 6946. [CrossRef]
- 45. Jerman Klen, T.; Mozetič Vodopivec, B. Ultrasonic extraction of phenols from olive mill wastewater: Comparison with conventional methods. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2011, 59, 12725–12731. [CrossRef]
- Miklavčič Višnjevec, A.; Baker, P.; Charlton, A.; Preskett, D.; Peeters, K.; Tavzes, Č.; Kramberger, K.; Schwarzkopf, M. Developing an olive biorefinery in slovenia: Analysis of phenolic compounds found in olive mill pomace and wastewater. *Molecules* 2020, 26, 7. [CrossRef]
- 47. Shen, H.-Y.; Zhu, Y.; Wen, X.-E.; Zhuang, Y.-M. Preparation of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-C18 nano-magnetic composite materials and their cleanup properties for organophosphorous pesticides. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2007**, *387*, 2227–2237. [CrossRef]
- Tekiye, E.-S.; Aghajani, Z.; Sharif, M.A. Synthesis and characterization of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles and investigation its catalyst application. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* 2017, *28*, 5360–5365. [CrossRef]
- Răcuciu, M.; Creangă, D.E.; Airinei, A. Citric-acid–coated magnetite nanoparticles for biological applications. *Eur Phys. J. E* 2006, 21, 117–121. [CrossRef]
- Augusto, P.A.; Castelo-Grande, T.; Vargas, D.; Pascual, A.; Hernández, L.; Estevez, A.M.; Barbosa, D. Upscale design, process development, and economic analysis of industrial plants for nanomagnetic particle production for environmental and biomedical use. *Materials* 2020, 13, 2477. [CrossRef]
- 51. Pitroda, J.; Jethwa, B.; Dave, S.K. A critical review on carbon nanotubes. Int. J. Constr Res. Civ Eng 2016, 2, 36–42.
- Dehmania, Y.; Irashdi, A.A.A.; Lgaz, H.; Lamhasni, T.; Abouarnadasse, S.; Chung, I.-M. Removal of phenol from aqueous solution by adsorption onto hematite (α-Fe2O3): Mechanism exploration from both experimental and theoretical studies. *Arab. J. Chem.* 2020, 13, 5474–5486. [CrossRef]
- Yoon, S.U.; Mahanty, B.; Ha, H.M.; Kim, C.G. Phenol adsorption on surface-functionalized iron oxide nanoparticles: Modeling of the kinetics, isotherm, and mechanism. J. Nanopart. Res. 2016, 18, 170. [CrossRef]
- Sobiesiak, M. Chemical Structure of Phenols and Its Consequence for Sorption Processes Phenolic Compounds. In *Phenolic Compounds-Natural Sources, Importance and Applications*; Soto-Hernandez, M., Palma-Tenango, M., del Rosario Garcia-Mateos, M., Eds.; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2017; p. 456.
- 55. Hosseini, S.; Gharachorloo, M.; Ghiassi-Tarzi, B.; Ghavami, M. Evaluation of the organic acids ability for extraction of anthocyanins and phenolic compounds from different sources and their degradation kinetics during cold storage. *Pol. J. Food Nutr. Sci.* **2016**, *66*, 261–269. [CrossRef]
- Pham, T.D.; Kobayashi, M.; Adachi, Y. Adsorption of anionic surfactant sodium dodecyl sulfate onto alpha alumina with small surface area. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 2015, 293, 217–227. [CrossRef]