

Article

## Assessment of Cytokine-Induced Neutrophil Chemoattractants as Biomarkers for Prediction of Pulmonary Toxicity of Nanomaterials

Taisuke Tomonaga <sup>1,\*</sup>, Hiroto Izumi <sup>1</sup>, Takako Oyabu <sup>1</sup>, Byeong-Woo Lee <sup>1</sup>, Masaru Kubo <sup>2</sup>, Manabu Shimada <sup>2</sup>, Shingo Noguchi <sup>3</sup>, Chinatsu Nishida <sup>3</sup>, Kazuhiro Yatera <sup>3</sup> and Yasuo Morimoto <sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, 1-1 Iseigaoka, Yahata-nishi-ku, Kitakyushu, Fukuoka 807-8555, Japan; h-izumi@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp (H.I.); toyabu@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp (T.O.); leebw401@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp (B.-W.L.); yasuom@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp (Y.M.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Hiroshima University, 4-1 Kagamiyama 1-chome, Higashi-Hiroshima-shi, Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan; mkubo@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (M.K.); smd@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (M.S.)
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Respiratory Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, 1-1 Iseigaoka, Yahata-nishi-ku, Kitakyushu, Fukuoka, 807-8555, Japan; sn0920@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp (S.N.); cnishi@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp (C.N.); yatera@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp (K.Y.)
- \* Correspondence: t-tomonaga@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp; Tel.: +81-93-691-7466



**Figure S1.** Relationship between CINCs and inflammation scores: (A) CINC-1 in intratracheal instillation, (B) CINC-2 in intratracheal instillation, (C) CINC-1 in inhalation exposure and (D) CINC-2 in inhalation exposure versus inflammation scores in the histopathological findings of the lung at each observation time. CINC-1 and CINC-2 had positive correlation with inflammation scores of histopathological findings after both of intratracheal instillation and inhalation exposure. Values of q are Spearman's rank correlation coefficient for all the data.

Samples	Toxicity	Exposure route	Characterization	Animal (Rat)	Negative control	Dose/ Concentration	Lung inflammation	Reference
NG	High	IT/IH	Size 19 nm, BET 57 m <sup>2</sup> /g	Male	Distilled water/	0.2 mg/rat, 1.0 mg /rat	+/±	[19]
NIO			Secondary particle diameter (DLS) 59.7 nm	Fischer344	Clean air	$0.32 \pm 0.07 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , $1.65 \pm 0.20 \text{ mg/m}^3$		
CaOr	High	IT/IH	Size 7.8 nm, BET 101 m <sup>2</sup> /g	Male	Distilled water/	0.2 mg/rat, 1.0 mg/rat	+/+	[21]
CeO <sub>2</sub>			Secondary particle diameter (DLS) 10.0 nm	Fischer344	Clean air	$2.09 \pm 0.29 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , $10.2 \pm 1.38 \text{ mg/m}^3$		
$T: O_{2}(D(0))$	Low	IT	Size 14 nm, BET 104 m <sup>2</sup> /g	Male	Distilled water	0.2	±	[20]
1102(190)			Secondary particle diameter (DLS) 22.7 nm	Wistar	Distilled water	0.2 mg/rat, 1.0 mg/rat		
TiO <sub>2</sub> (Dutila)	Low	IT/IH	Size 12 nm × 55 nm, BET 111 m <sup>2</sup> /g	Male	Distilled water/	0.2 mg/rat, 1.0 mg/rat	±/	[19]
11O <sub>2</sub> (Kutile)			Secondary particle diameter (DLS) 44.9 nm	Fischer344	Clean air	$0.50 \pm 0.26$ mg/m <sup>3</sup> , $1.84 \pm 0.74$ mg/m <sup>3</sup>		
7.0	Low	IT/IH	Size 35 nm, BET 31 m²/g	Male	Distilled water/	0.2 mg/rat, 1.0 mg/rat	. /.	[22]
ZIIO			Secondary particle diameter(DLS)33 nm	Fischer344	Clean air	ean air $2.11 \pm 0.45 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , $10.4 \pm 1.39 \text{ mg/m}^3$		لححا
SiO	High	IT	Drimary particle size 1.6 um		Distilled water	10 mg/rat	+	[22]
5102			Frinary particle size 1.6 µm,	Fischer344		1.0 mg/rat		[23]
			IT: Intrati	racheal instilla	tion, IH: Inhalation e	exposure.		
Lung inflammation +: persistent inflammation +: transient inflammation $-$ : no inflammation								

## Table S1. Characterization of inhaled chemicals including NMs.

Table S2. Summaries of the neutrophil counts in BALF after intratracheal instillation and inhalation exposure of NMs.

Neutrophil counts in BALF (×1000 cells/mL±SD)									
	Dose/Concentration		3 days	1 week	1 month	3 months	6 months		
Intratracheal instilla	ation								
Negative control			$2.88 \pm 1.58$	$0.22 \pm 0.49$	$0.20 \pm 0.45$	$0.08 \pm 0.17$	$0.69 \pm 1.53$		
NiO	Low	0.2 mg/rat	$21.1 \pm 8.74^*$	$78.93 \pm 18.40^{**}$	52.38 ± 12.34**	$13.03 \pm 12.13$	$1.27 \pm 1.97$		
NiO	High	1.0 mg/rat	$153.5 \pm 44.6^{**}$	$158.51 \pm 56.43^{**}$	$161.69 \pm 67.27^*$	$279.80 \pm 125.57^*$	$59.80 \pm 15.28^{**}$		
Negative control			$0.22 \pm 0.25$	$0.20 \pm 0.22$	$0.26 \pm 0.47$	$0.25 \pm 0.35$	$1.13 \pm 1.19$		
TiO <sub>2</sub> (P90)	Low	0.2 mg/rat	$0.80 \pm 0.55$	$0.31 \pm 0.21$	$0.65 \pm 0.46$	$0.53 \pm 0.50$	$2.36 \pm 3.82$		
TiO <sub>2</sub> (P90)	High	1.0 mg/rat	$54.50 \pm 31.86^*$	$20.35 \pm 13.61$	$2.89 \pm 1.97$	$0.32 \pm 0.35$	$0.49 \pm 0.42$		
Negative control			$1.73 \pm 10.6$	$0.80 \pm 0.73$	$4.74 \pm 2.08$	$0.57 \pm 0.80$	$0.28 \pm 0.39$		
TiO <sub>2</sub> (Rutile)	Low	0.2mg/rat	$11.95 \pm 4.94$	$1.61 \pm 1.29$	$1.81 \pm 1.13$	$11.07 \pm 22.57$	$1.62 \pm 2.88$		
TiO <sub>2</sub> (Rutile)	High	1.0mg/rat	$174.7 \pm 121.8^*$	$110.33 \pm 39.14^{**}$	$5.27 \pm 0.98$	$105.21 \pm 229.82$	$0.35 \pm 0.51$		
Negative control	_	-	$6.50 \pm 5.17$	$1.76 \pm 0.96$	$0.90 \pm 1.32$	$0.45 \pm 0.49$	$1.37 \pm 2.26$		

CeO <sub>2</sub>	Low	0.2mg/rat	$111.66 \pm 48.09^*$	$119.52 \pm 79.79^*$	$27.17 \pm 15.26^*$	$10.93 \pm 3.25^{**}$	$1.91 \pm 1.39$
CeO <sub>2</sub>	High	1.0mg/rat	$170.52 \pm 35.04^{**}$	234.21 ± 55.62**	74.65 ± 6.72**	56.73 ± 15.54**	$11.07 \pm 1.88^{**}$
Negative control			$2.35\pm0.41$	$0.47 \pm 0.36$	$1.08 \pm 0.32$	$1.12 \pm 0.81$	$3.55 \pm 1.92$
ZnO	Low	0.2mg/rat	$191.38 \pm 42.19^{**}$	$7.032 \pm 1.61^{**}$	$1.02 \pm 0.94$	$3.21 \pm 2.23$	$3.10 \pm 1.32$
ZnO	High	1.0mg/rat	395.82 ± 78.47**	$11.44 \pm 8.65$	$0.98 \pm 1.28$	$1.73 \pm 1.66$	$4.20 \pm 3.36$
Inhalation exposure							
Negative control			$1.09 \pm 2.43$		$14.23 \pm 22.90$	$0.35 \pm 0.77$	
NiO	Low	$0.32 \pm 0.07 \text{ mg/m}^3$	$1.39 \pm 3.12$		$3.67 \pm 2.84$	$1.30 \pm 1.44$	
NiO	High	1.65 ± 0.20 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$84.10\pm54.54$		$21.97 \pm 12.84$	$1.29 \pm 1.43$	
Negative control			$0 \pm 0$		$0.09 \pm 0.19$	$0 \pm 0$	
TiO <sub>2</sub> (Rutile)	Low	$0.50 \pm 0.26 \text{ mg/m}^3$	$0.15 \pm 0.20$		$0 \pm 0$	$0.48 \pm 1.07$	
TiO <sub>2</sub> (Rutile)	High	1.84 ± 0.74 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$0.12 \pm 0.27$		$0.18 \pm 0.19$	$0 \pm 0$	
Negative control			$0.55 \pm 0.54$		$1.65\pm0.64$	$1.94 \pm 1.10$	
CeO <sub>2</sub>	Low	2.09 ± 0.29 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$38.22 \pm 6.21^{**}$		$19.70 \pm 7.38^*$	$11.13 \pm 2.77^{**}$	
CeO <sub>2</sub>	High	10.2 ± 1.38 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$96.74 \pm 40.54^*$		$114.92 \pm 72.26$	$49.18 \pm 16.35^{**}$	
Negative control			$2.24 \pm 1.95$		$0.56 \pm 0.62$	$1.77 \pm 0.66$	
ZnO	Low	2.11 ± 0.45 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$1.91 \pm 0.63$		$0 \pm 0$	$2.50 \pm 0.49$	
ZnO	High	10.4 ± 1.39 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$126.06 \pm 45.21^{**}$		$0.99 \pm 2.17$	$2.24 \pm 0.81$	
Significant differences compared with each control ( $p<0.05$ , $p<0.01$ ).							



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).