



Article

Thy Kingdom Come? Visualizing (Post)Colonial Futures in the German Southwest

Katharina Krause 1,* and Sebastian Pittl 2,*

- Department of Practical Theology, Protestant Faculty, Ruhr University Bochum, 44801 Bochum, Germany
- Department of Dogmatics, Faculty of Catholic Theology, University Tübingen, 72074 Tübingen, Germany
- * Correspondence: katharina.krause-u9h@ruhr-uni-bochum.de (K.K.); sebastian.pittl@uni-tuebingen.de (S.P.)

Abstract: The future of colonial pasts still haunts Christian imagination and theology. This is especially true in the field of eschatology, which is dedicated to Christian ways of conceiving the future. This article examines the manifold entanglements that collude in the fabrication of Christian imaginaries of time, future, and global community within (post)colonial conditions. At the center of the article resides the case study of a lithograph distributed by missionary networks in the second half of the 19th century and passed down over three generations in a German Swabian craftsman's family. The first part of the article offers a detailed image analysis of the lithograph, paying special attention to its ways of religious and colonial worlding. The second examines the social milieu of the addressees of the lithograph and analyzes its embeddedness in intersectional webs of religious, aesthetic, and social disciplining. It draws attention to the complex, often ambiguous dynamics involved in producing colonial inferiority. Against this background, the third part explores, in the form of a provisional thought experiment, ways of a decolonial *revision* of the lithograph, which bring the aforementioned ambivalences "into view" and interrupt its temporal hierarchization.

Keywords: mission; aesthetics; pious imaginaries; Karl Schönherr; Gruorn; German southwest; non-simultaneity; postcolonialism; eschatology



Citation: Krause, Katharina, and Sebastian Pittl. 2023. Thy Kingdom Come? Visualizing (Post)Colonial Futures in the German Southwest. *Religions* 14: 763. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/rel14060763

Academic Editor: Jakob Deibl

Received: 6 March 2023 Revised: 27 May 2023 Accepted: 28 May 2023 Published: 9 June 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

"The picture hangs quietly on the wall and does not move, is pinned to the door, and everyone who goes in and out must see it, hear its word and converse with it. And during the games of the children and the work of the woman and the man, the picture is with them as a playmate, as a friend, as a housemate. [. . .] When the children have become grandfathers, they will still know about the picture that hung over the door [. . .]. The picture will be life history and flesh of our flesh. [. . .] Show me your pictures and picture books, and I will show you the picture of yourself. Citizens and peasants illustrate and decorate the rooms of their houses, but the illustrations and pictures illustrate, shape and show who the citizens and peasants are. They take their place in our imagination, and put their own stamp on our innermost being. Just as the picture is a child of thought and will, so it becomes for us all the father and mother of thought, will and deed." (Oldenberg 1860, p. 30)

1. Introduction: Old Hats? The Future of the Colonial Past as Challenge to Theological Reflection

This image (Figure 1), originally painted by the Dresden painter Karl Schönherr (1824–1906) and distributed as a chrome lithograph in the orbits of pious circles in the German southwest, has been part of the wall decorations in the parlor of a German Swabian craftsman's family. Passed down over three generations, it made us reflect on the fabrication of future by pious imagination. In this contribution, our focus will be laid especially on the (hegemonic¹) entanglements of a family's history and its religious traditions in global historical horizons and conceptions of time as they are reflected in pious imagery. However, this is a far more complex business than we had thought at first glance. As it turns out,

Religions 2023, 14, 763 2 of 19

the colonial fabrication of the future is embedded in complex webs of disciplining, which produce a variety of subject positions. Assignments of dominance and subordination are necessary to account for the extreme asymmetries of colonial power but often turn out to be more complicated than dichotomous classifications suggest. As we will see, it is often groups and populations who are themselves marginalized within the colonial metropoles who play an important role in maintaining political, social, and religious structures that aim at the control and "civilization" of the colonial other. For our part, we want to take this complexity as a starting point to raise the question of our own involvement in the production of future. This is intrinsically linked to how we relate to our past, for *doing future* is always an expression of *doing present* and *doing past* as well; what is supposed to be and what is hoped for is a reflection of how we locate ourselves in the here and now and of how we (re)construct the past. Our fabrications of future, therefore, never begin at a zero point. All along, we always find ourselves entangled in a web of conceptions and practices of time created and handed down by others, which informs our own way of religious worlding even and especially when we are not explicitly aware of it.



Figure 1. Chromolithography produced and distributed by Verlag Ernst Kaufmann (Laar) after submission of a painting by Karl Schönherr (1824–1906), titled 'Dein Reich komme!' © Katharina Krause.

In the following, we take Schönherr's picture as an example to focus on the interplay of pious and colonial imaginaries in the German southwest in the second half of the 19th century. The visualization of eschatological futures is of crucial importance in this context since eschatological imaginaries and narratives played a decisive role in the legitimization of European colonialism. Florian Tatschner goes so far as to say, "The colonization of space is only possible through the colonization of time." (Tatschner 2018, p. 59). Only Columbus' eschatological "understanding of time made the *colonialist* impulse possible." (Ibid., p. 57).

However, what is our role in the fabrication of the future when we examine (colonial) conceptions of the future prevalent in earlier times at the beginning of the third decade of the 21st century? Can and shall we limit ourselves merely to the reconstruction of the entanglements of colonial and religious worldviews in the past? Being aware that each reconstruction is always, to some extent, also a form of construction, which is never free of subjective elements conditioned by our own involvement in these histories, should we not also attempt to interrupt, reverse, and counter-narrate? In short, how can and do we, as white, European, and Christian theologians, intervene in the fabrication of the future in a (self)critical way when dealing with pious images of the future that have come down to us from a colonial past?

The picture we are dealing with has its "Sitz im Leben" in the so-called "Home Mission" and unfolded its effect primarily in that environment. On closer examination, however, it turns out to be indeed a crystallization point for the international mission

Religions 2023, 14, 763 3 of 19

networks which contributed to the early globalization of local religious communities in the German southwest and fostered global economies of religious affection.² In the following, the picture will serve us as a stimulus to reflect on the colonial signature of religious imaginaries of time and future and to ask ourselves while being mindful of the power of images:

- How does this picture shape and inform spaces of imagination? What demands for action are associated with it, and what relationship structures and power asymmetries are recognizable in it? In what practices of cultural, religious, and everyday practical life is the picture embedded? In short, what is the nature of the discourse into which the picture is inserted?
- In a second step, we want to reflect on the implications of this analysis for our way
 of doing theology today. In the form of a provisional thought experiment, we seek to
 explore if and how the colonial picture may be read today against its grain in a way
 that does not conceal its inner contradictions but rather brings them to the fore.

It is necessary to underline right from the beginning the position from which we engage the lithograph at the heart of this article. We analyze the picture as white Europeantrained Christian theologians and therefore form a position that has, in many ways, to be considered as privileged. This position inevitably informs, conditions, and also limits the perspective of this investigation. We are well aware that a reading of the lithograph from other perspectives might produce quite different results. Indeed, comments on this text from friends and colleagues of other backgrounds were very helpful for us to identify blind spots in our interpretation and to sensitize us to the plurality of possible readings of the picture depending on the experiences and positions of the viewer/reader. We also thank an anonymous reviewer for sharpening our attention to a more careful distinction between different, although entangled, sets of disciplining at work in the European metropolis on the one hand and in the colonies, with their far more brutal forms of "civilization" and even erasure of the colonial "other", on the other. While it is our aim to draw attention to the entanglements of these different types of imperial and colonial rule, it is necessary to avoid any impression of identification. Structures of domination and marginalization played out in quite different ways in the imperial heartlands and the colonies, where they were often linked to strongly racialized violence and, as in the case of the genocide of German troops on the Herero and Nama in today's Namibia, even physical annihilation. The focus of our investigation is primarily on the work the lithograph did in the context of the "Home Mission". It is very telling that the family archive we investigated does not contain any reactions to our lithograph from non-Europeans. Nor did we find any traces of circulation of the lithograph outside of Germany. The multiple "others" who appear on our lithograph have to be read thus as (muted) stereotypes. They do not tell much about the encounter with real "others", but they do reveal a lot about the ways religious conventicles in 19th-century Germany imagined their place in an increasingly globalized world. That these imaginaries do not match reality as such does, of course, not mean that they did not have real effects on real people's lives. As we will see in the example of Luise Schweizer, which we discuss below, pious imaginaries heavily influenced the way European missionaries perceived and behaved toward the colonial other(ed).

2. Image Analysis: An Out-of-the-Ordinary Assembly of Persons

We start with our analysis of the image: "Prof. Schönherr inv."—this information, just like the legend below the picture, is part of its subsequent framing by the publishing company that advertised and distributed the chrome lithograph among its audiences in small religious conventicles of the time. To refrain from hasty conclusions, we intentionally ignored these parts of the caption at first and, as an alternative, started to disassemble the image into segments. In doing so, we also recorded the order in which our eyes became fixed and made use of this information to reflect upon the picture's composition. Then, on this basis, we subsequently interpreted this sequel of sequences in order to reconstruct the immanent potentials of sense it entails. Thereby, we have considered different ways

Religions 2023, 14, 763 4 of 19

of reading or seeing the picture and have asked ourselves whether these coalesce into a defining line of interpretation. Finally, we also included the legend, the materiality, and our knowledge about the object's production and distribution in the picture. In conjunction with the artist's reference, these have given us clues as to the contexts in which the picture originated and was used.

Although the archives of the publishing house that procured this reproduction of Schönherr's original painting can no longer provide any clues as to the work's date of origin, the period of its creation can be narrowed down comparatively well. Karl Gottlob Schönherr (1824–1906), whose name appears at the left bottom of the picture, was a painter who first trained at the Dresden Academy of Art and began, after a two-year stay in Rome, to work there first as a teacher and then, from 1864, as a professor (Vierhaus 2008, p. 155). Again, in 1874, the Evangelical–Lutheran Missionary Gazette published an announcement of a new set of illustrated mission reports titled *Bunte Blätter der Mission (Engl. The Coloured Leaves of Mission*) that was edited by the vice-director of the Evangelical Mission in Leipzig, Pastor Rudolf Haerting. Karl Schönherr, among others, was entrusted with its design (*Evangelisch-Lutherisches Missionsblatt* 1874, p. 378). The Evangelical Mission Magazine, in turn, states that each of the pictures, which were supposed to give an "overview of the evangelical mission history of the most important heathen peoples", was accompanied by a scriptural text (*Evangelisches Missionsmagazin* 1875, p. 128). Our picture might have been one of the cardboard prints mentioned in that advertisement.⁵

The painting depicts a gathering of characters from apparently different times and cultural spaces clustered around an empty space created by their varied positions. In the left half of the picture, a male figure sits on a rock, dominating the scene. He is the only one wearing solid footwear and stockings. The combination and cut of knee breeches, shirt, and doublet under his coat point to the 18th or early 19th century. His hairstyle, posture, and positioning, as well as the landscape setting, are reminiscent of Jesus preaching on the mountain or the lake in the depictions of the Nazarene movement⁶ to one of its German leaders, Schnorr von Carolsfeld, Schönherr was a direct colleague at the Dresden Academy. The downward-opened hand in front of the light breaking on the horizon also appears to be similar to a quotation of Christ's gesture in Schönherr's own illustration of Jesus' encounter with the Samaritan woman or John's desert sermon (Schönherr probably 1884, pp. 56, 62). The gesture also reflects, albeit with reversed hands, the body language of Luther as shown on the predella of the Reformation altar in Wittenberg, Germany. The man is clearly a Protestant preacher, if not a missionary, which is suggested not least by the compositional juxtaposition with the group approaching on the water; the man's gaze and hand point in their direction. The right hand of the man rests on an open double page of a book. Given the arrangement of the text in columns, it is probably a Bible. His open mouth suggests he is the only one who speaks. The bodily connection to the book ties his speech back to the authority of the text. The divine word, it seems, emanates from the Bible itself. It is articulated through the mouth of the missionary and sent out to the (colonial) world via his outstretched arm. The prominent enactment of this speech act is in stark contrast to the other figures of the lithograph, who are all muted and reduced to the position of listeners.

In the background, and yet on the central axis of the picture, a canoe glides through a lagoon. Eight figures stand on it, leaning on spears in elegantly aristocratic, sinuous posture, with one leg supporting and the other relaxed—a stance often cultivated by the upper classes of the 18th century and which in our context inevitably evokes the trope of the "noble savage" that has pervaded colonial European discourse since Rousseau. The weapons give them a warlike appearance. However, these are not raised in attack. They appear more like accessories, similar to headdresses with feathered hair combs or headwraps. The men, who almost exclusively wear loincloths, are depicted in a racialized difference compared to the man in the first picture segment. Their half-naked bodies, the white color of their loincloths, and their symbolic immersion into the water, with one person already putting his feet into it, evoke the scenery of a baptism, symbolizing the birth of a native church. The settlement behind them is located on an island, possibly even an

Religions 2023, 14, 763 5 of 19

atoll. The mountain in the background could also be a volcano because of its flattened top. However, attempts to classify the scenery geographically must fail. The palm fringes of the island world seem to indicate that the scenery's locale is in the Pacific Ocean. However, the shape of the boat and the houses, as well as the combination of bows, spears, dress and accessories, contradict this, as does the time of origin of the depiction if we connect it with Schönherr's appointment as a professor because German colonization in the South Seas did not begin until 1884 (Wendt 2021, pp. 80–98). One expert finds traces of Ecuador in the picture, which makes us wonder what a Protestant missionary might have been doing there. It is, therefore, far more likely that we are simply dealing with a stereotype of 'the indigenous world'. Illustrative materials were provided, not least in the picture plates of contemporary encyclopedias showing so-called "types" of peoples from the colonies or protectorates of the German Empire. In our picture, the views of colonialism and piety regarding those "others" would then become intertwined.

However, the power of the gazes in the picture appears to be reciprocal inasmuch as the eyes and postures of the men on the boat reflect something that calls for our attention as we look into the picture from the outside. It is almost as if Schönherr, through their exotic positioning, wanted to ask the viewers: where are you situated in relation to the assembly rounded up here? Are you, as we are, about to approach this convention? Or would you rather hold a critical distance, similar to the male figure at the outer right edge of the picture?

This brings into view the third segment of the picture, which opens the round of that assembly, fills the foreground of the picture, and is concluded with the missionary himself. Men and women of different generations have gathered, and among them is a dog representing the animal world. The garments of the women are reminiscent of those of the disciples in Schnorr's and Schönherr's picture Bibles; laurel wreaths identify two of them as representatives of the Greek-Hellenistic world. 11 The eyes of the women, who are all seated, remain demurely lowered or are directed at the missionary. Two small children stay near them. The breast of the woman right in front of the missionary is uncovered. The depiction of the women, therefore, follows a gendered pattern, which Marianne Gullestad (in an insightful investigation of photographs of Norwegian missionaries in Cameroon) describes as follows: "Missionary pictures of women often focus on women's shyness, sweetness, and thoughtfulness, representing them as potentially receptive to the Word. At the same time, (. . .) close-ups of young, beautiful women function as eye catchers (. . .) and invite a form of low-key sensual interest. The naturalization of women's bodies appears in folklife pictures of females engaged in food preparation and child rearing, usually without a caption." (Gullestad 2007, p. xvii) Two adolescents, easily overlooked, lean against a palm trunk bordering the picture to the right. They are depicted in a way that makes them appear as if they were the vanguard of the boat group. Their gazes are directed at the outstretched hand of the missionary. Their facial expressions, in turn, make them look like a smaller version of the male figures standing behind them, one of whom, with his chin thrust forward, his hand confidently pressed to his hip and with a critical gaze, embodies the attitude of one who challenges or does at least not unquestioningly accept what is brought forward. With his turban, he towers above the missionary figure, while the men behind him are at immediate eye level with him. The garb and arrangement of this triad trigger Christian viewers' associations with the biblical Magi, whereby the depiction of the third man in the background mirrors the increasing racialization of this figure in the Christian imagination since the beginning of the modern era. ¹² In Schönherr's imagery, turbans are usually worn by patriarchs such as Eliezer and Boaz but also by the sons of Jacob; such figures represent the exotic "Orient" in the way reflected by Edward Said (Said 1978). Schönherr's drawing of other turbaned men, such as the rich man bloated by his pomposity who shows Lazarus the door, or the henchmen of the no less godless king Belshazzar, suggests that this Oriental stereotyping can also explicitly be associated with negative evaluations (cf. Schönherr probably 1884, pp. 11, 20, 33, 47, 80). As figures of the 'Orient', the group may likely also have evoked their reading as representatives of

Religions 2023, 14, 763 6 of 19

the Muslim world, whom the missionaries often regarded as their natural rivals. Again, Gullestad's investigation of the visual codes of missionary depictions is instructive here. Her description of Muslim leaders in the photographs of Norwegian missionaries is also applicable to the depiction of the orientalized men in our lithograph. They appear as "attractive, dignified, fascinating and powerful." Their depiction is "characterized by (both) distance and exoticization" (Gullestad 2007, pp. 212–13). Furthermore, the outstretched arm of the man in the foreground, which in a possessive gesture nearly seems to rest on the heads of the women at his feet while at the same time cutting off the way between the adolescents on the right and the missionary on the left, echoes, although in a very subtle form, the stereotype of the despotic Oriental ruler. The Christian mission appears against this background as a form of liberation and salvation of women and adolescents from irrational and oppressive (Oriental) rule. Read from this perspective, a masculine rivalry for the women and the adolescents pervades the whole picture, whereby the direction of the gazes and the gliding of the canoe in the background indicate a shift of positions towards the divine or missionary word, only to be detained by the use of power.

The viewer sees him-/herself transported into a biblical world with women, children, patriarchs, and shepherds. As for the clothing and hairstyle of the youth in half-profile in the left half of the picture, studies of Italian country people have obviously been the inspiration. The pensive posture with lowered head and staff leaning between the legs, which also characterizes one of the figures worked out on the sketch sheet from Italy, is again found in the old man sitting at the lower right edge of the picture. His eyes peering out from under his furrowed brow point beyond the picture to a point that, if the picture hangs somewhat elevated on the wall, meets the eyes of child viewers standing in front of it and looking up, who in turn may see themselves prompted by such a look to follow the dog, which seems to be listening just as devoutly to this event as they should. The figure sitting in the centre of the picture with his back to us seems to be reminiscent of a formerly pagan Antiquity. Additionally, he seems to ask us, similar to figures seen from the back in paintings by Caspar David Friedrich, how we ourselves take in what is being depicted here.

To summarize, it seems that this picture superimposes the widest variety of biblical and supposedly contemporary scenes upon one another: "Orient" and "Occident", "North" and "South", "ancient" and "modern"; "Christian", "Jewish", and "Muslim". An imaginary space emerges, constituted by various groups of "others". Therein, again, the juxtaposition of the missionary and boat group seems to set the latter apart as even more 'different' than the biblical figures. They do not yet join the assembly, although they are about to close the circle. The people who listen to the missionary and are rounded up with him in an oval are depicted as coming from different times and geographical areas. In contrast to many other depictions of missionary settings, these figures gather with him and not in front of him or around him, standing in the middle as a central figure. 14 Yet, the missionary, insofar as he is the only one to speak, appears as a "primus inter pares", dominating the whole scenery. The empty centre formed by the arrangement of the bodies serves as a resonating, imaginary space for a message that claims universality both dia- and synchronically. Ultimately, the composition and characterization of the figures visualize Jesus himself, whose presence seems to mediate all differences in time and space. Moreover, it seems to envision a Christian utopia, not least underlined by the exhortation beneath: "Thy kingdom come!" As soon as the boat group lands (and is baptized), it is suggested, this eschatological hope will be fulfilled, and the Lord, already present in the figure of the missionary and in the 'word' of Scripture, will come.

This interpretation seems to also be supported by the way in which the landscape is drawn, with European deciduous forest on the one side and palm trees on the other, serving as the pillars of a transnational vegetation space. The mountain appearing in the light is both a volcano and a mountain of God, at the foot of which, similar to the Israelites at Mount Sinai, divine instruction is received. The scenery seems like the idealized expression of a paradisiacal situation, a "locus amoenus" where man and beast, despite stereotypically

Religions **2023**, 14, 763 7 of 19

depicted differences, gather in peace and harmony. This is underlined by the exoticizing depiction of the boat group. ¹⁵ What we see is clearly a first, still unencumbered encounter, in which weapons remain silent. ¹⁶ The fact that the event is situated in an island scenery seems only natural in light of its contemporary background: in the late 19th century, the South Sea islands "could be understood as specifically European places of longing, which were to provide a quasi-historical legitimation for all kinds of social, political, or religious utopias" (Maier 2021, p. 184). For European viewers of this time, the non-European scenery also might have served as a "refuge from modernity" (Habermas 2017, p. 260). Christian missionaries often joined contemporary polemics in contrasting life in the European metropolises characterized by capitalism and materialism, moral decay, bureaucracy, and industrialization with the colonial idyll of a supposedly uncorrupted communal coexistence, with man and nature in harmony (Habermas 2017, pp. 260–66).

Yet, as we have seen, this seemingly egalitarian vision is permeated by asymmetries that manifest themselves at different levels of the image. Although announcing an apparently egalitarian utopia of universal brotherhood, the figure of the European missionary, who is the first to catch our eye because of his prominent position and distinct habitus but also because he is the object of the gaze of most of the other figures, clearly stands for a religious and cultural claim to superiority. The white saviorism of the picture cannot be denied. This is evident not least in the missionary's unmediated access to the European book, which is, according to the postcolonial scholar Homi Bhabha, an "insignia of colonial authority and a signifier of colonial desire and colonial discipline" (Bhabha 1994, p. 102). The reactions the missionary triggers seem nonetheless ambiguous. Neither is he given a joyful reception, as in other self-representations of the mission, nor does he face aggressive opposition, as in some caricatures of the Christian mission. Just as the scenery evades any attempts to be located in space and time, so also does the picture itself seem to preclude clear positioning in relation to what is being said. Does this mean that the individual participants in the assembly are free to position themselves? As all of them are muted, this seems difficult to affirm. As we will show in the following, it is not only the clear power asymmetries of colonial rule that betray the seemingly symmetrical representation of the image. The image also conceals a form of disciplining that took place in the imperial metropolis itself. In the following, we will further enrich the interpretation of our picture by drawing attention to its circulation in an artisanal family from Germany's Swabian region. We will thereby link two histories of hegemonic disciplining, which are usually treated separately from each other despite their manifold intersections.

3. Contextualization: Multiple Forms of Disciplining

Schönherr's image found its way as a chrome lithograph reproduction into the home of a family in Gruorn, a tiny village on the uplands of the so-called Swabian Alb, of which only the church and schoolhouse still stand today. Due to its meager soils and small estates, owned as a result of the uniform partition of land among heirs, the Gruorn way of life was traditionally very frugal. Mostly, agriculture was practiced only as a sideline. The poor conditions under which people lived in the region at the end of the 19th century are expressed not least in a rhyme that was spoken when threshing out the grain: "Der mit der Zipfelkapp, der hat kein Geld im Sack—der mit dem runden Hut, der hat Geld g'nug" (the one with the pigtailed cap has no money in his pocket—the one with the round hat has more than enough of it).

The family, which is co-author Krause's family of origin, clearly belonged to the pigtail-cap party, as shown in the photograph below (Figure 2). This milieu also formed the breeding ground of the so-called "Hahn" community, an association within Württemberg Pietism, which met on Sunday afternoons in conventicles in the homes of its members to interpret the Bible, read the writings of its founder Michael Hahn, and to sing and pray. Piety was part and parcel of this way of life, which is why women (Figure 3) and men (Figure 4) allowed themselves to be photographed with the Bible in their hands as a matter of course.

Religions 2023, 14, 763 8 of 19



Figure 2. Gruorn residents wearing their Sunday best (around 1900), with two men in the back wearing the traditional pigtailed cap. © Katharina Krause.



Figure 3. Katharina Ruopp, née Griesinger (1871–1935). © Katharina Krause.

Similarities with the figure of the Bible-loving missionary depicted in the Schönherr picture are hardly coincidental. The 'Hahns' were great promoters of the mission. This also applies to the families through whose hands the picture passed. In the estate documents of co-author Krause's grandfather's sister, for example, in whose house the picture last hung, there is a compilation showing that she tithed 10% of her net estate in a bequeathal to Christian associations; among them were the "Basel" and "Herrnhut" missions, as well as the Deutsche Missionshilfe. In her grandparent's family, whose members exclusively took "Hahn people" as their spouses, another son himself became a missionary of the China Inland Mission when it became apparent that his father's plastering business was unable to support the families of four sons.

Religions 2023, 14, 763 9 of 19



Figure 4. Gottlob Ruopp (1908–1945). © Katharina Krause.

The topic of religious mission found broad resonance in this pious milieu and expressed itself in the form of hopes, longings and visions of the future or in the shape of very real opportunities for social advancement (cf. Konrad 2013, p. 40). The importance of mission festivals, slide shows, and traveling exhibitions can hardly be overestimated in the production, circulation, and normalization of images, perceptions, and knowledge about colonial "others" (cf. Kittel and Unseld 1995)¹⁸. Colonial clubs, colonial exhibitions, and "human zoos" existed in big cities such as Stuttgart. 19 For rural areas, however, the activities of the missionaries were much more significant (cf. Egger and Gugglberger 2013, p. 161). In fact, the missionaries sometimes also joined forces with colonial associations. ²⁰ Even though missionary historiography retrospectively often tried to distance itself from colonialism (cf. Pfeffer 2012, pp. 1–3), it is evident that the support for German colonialism among rural classes was also a result of the activities of the home mission since "the lower classes in town and country, even the petty bourgeoisie (\dots) were not enthusiastic about the (official) German colonial policy" (Habermas 2017, p. 235)²¹. Missionary societies participated in constructing and circulating narratives and imaginations of all things non-European, thus contributing to the production of global, and at the same time colonial, horizons.

As Caroline Wetjen points out, the so-called home mission consisted of a variety of activities designed to attract financial and spiritual support as well as personnel (Wetjen 2013). Therefore, it seems more appropriate to speak not of "mission propaganda" but rather of a heterogeneous socio-material assemblage, i.e., a dispositif that linked interpretations and practices with strategic implications. Initially, superintendents and pastors, who represented the local upper class, acted as its multipliers, directing, however, their efforts at awakening and cultivating a sense of mission among the church people as well (Wetjen 2013, pp. 40–45). Among the endeavors of cultivating missionary spirit at the home front, we also find the *Evangelical Mission Magazine*'s recommendation to purchase images of the mission "as room decorations in the homes of mission friends, as Christmas and birthday presents for the youth, to be hung in classrooms, for distribution to worthy students, to be sold at mission festivals, etc." in order to convey a "correct and lively knowledge of the entire mission in a way most amenable to the people" (*Evangelisches Missionsmagazin* 1875, p. 128).

However, as we know, pictures do not simply depict reality. Rather, they participate in the construction of a specific sphere of attention and action; they stimulate subsequent practices and create a horizon of urgency. The quotation from Oldenberg that precedes this article shows that contemporary commentators were well aware of this fact. Found in *The Christian Art Journal for Church, School and Home*, ²² it eloquently testifies to the silent power of images. Oldenberg's dictum reads in its continuation: "It should therefore be self-evident

Religions 2023, 14, 763 10 of 19

to any reasonable person that images, their production and distribution, are not to be played with. Whoever plays with them, be it just to pass the time, be it for the sake of tiresome money, plays a sacrilegious game with the people." (Oldenberg 1860, p. 30). Accordingly, a war campaign was called for against the type of mass-produced, disposable religious imagery that came onto the market in the 1870s due to new techniques of printmaking with high-speed presses in so-called "picture factories".²³ The "colourful, intensified and everincreasing image production of the present" (Oldenberg 1860, pp. 29-30) was condemned for its crudeness and pseudo-piety, which, as Oldenberg laments, "is being carried right into the innermost sanctums of homes and families among our Protestant people." (Ibid., p. 28). What religious art is supposed to be and to achieve, then, is clarified mainly by way of demarcation, which conjures up both good form and its opposite. That "opposite" includes, above all, caricature, which can be rejected not least with folksy arguments: "Modern caricature tears from our people's hearts the seriousness without which the German people are no longer German." (Ibid., p. 38). The author, therefore, goes on to deplore "the disruptive influence which this degenerate imagery has on the people", who are to be set on the right path with the help of art that aims to foster "the desire for the true and the good." (Ibid., p. 63). Oldenberg's suggestion, therefore, is a mission of civilization anchored in the formation of bourgeois tastes.

Discussions about taste in religious art finally led in the mid-19th century to the foundation of Christian art associations all over Germany. The Württemberg Association for Christian Art, or "Verein für Christliche Kunst", founded in 1857, was, at least in the area's capital city, completely in tune with the times and at the same time also at one with the state's endeavors to educate taste. After all, the Central Office for Trade and Commerce in Stuttgart was also concerned with educating producers and the public through appropriate "taste-offensives" aimed at promoting the local economy. For this purpose, illustrative material was collected and exhibited. A sample warehouse with exemplary objects in terms of taste was created, flanked by the soon-to-become infamous "collection of taste aberrations". Aesthetic judgment was considered a sign of a respectable bourgeoisie. The collection of exemplary models that had been started in the 1850s found an appropriate stage in the magnificent, newly erected building of the Landesgewerbemuseum in 1896. The "aberrations of taste" were also displayed there to educate the contemporary public and to show the opposite of good form. Under the rubric of "kitsch" (Pazaurek 1912, pp. 349–356), the system of taste aberrations developed by Gustav Pazaurek also included so-called "Hurrah-kitsch," which, as represented, for example, by a beer mug in the shape of a Bismarck skull, played with patriotic feelings. So-called "devotional kitsch," on the other hand, was criticized for comparable intentions in the religious field.

Pazaurek and Oldenberg agree that art and craft objects possess a moral dimension. A lack of quality might lead people astray. Therefore, it is required to give people both a sense of good taste and to distribute good art at affordable prices. Oldenberg's point of reference here is the art of the Reformation. However, he simplifies its theory of images and reduces it for his own purposes when he propagates that: "The Bible and the family are the two poles within which the Reformation has moved and is moving; they are the poles between which German culture will move in the future. (. . .) Therefore, German art must also move between these two poles." (Oldenberg 1860, p. 41). According to Oldenberg, exemplary contemporary models of this principle are Richter's woodcuts and Schnorr von Carlsfeld's Bible illustrations, which we have mentioned above. Due to the activities of the art societies, which commissioned reproductions of works by patronized artists and presented them to their readers as gifts, Schönherr's works also found increased sales from the 1870s onward. Due to the activities of the art societies on the societies of the art societies as gifts, Schönherr's works also found increased sales from the 1870s onward.

A picture such as ours was thus clearly intended to inseminate the piety of rural and mostly poorer classes with the values of upper-middle-class culture. In this way, backcountry people, such as those in Gruorn, became the recipients of disparate educational interventions that were intended to generate in them a sense of both mission and possibly also colonialism while at the same time cultivating their taste. Religious discipline and

Religions 2023, 14, 763 11 of 19

civic civilization joined hands in the process, and this, as can be argued both with and against Oldenberg, also occurred in an imperceptible way, for the picture soon becomes a housemate, a half-forgotten, incidental object hardly noticed on the wall while unfolding an aura of self-evidence that remains unquestioned.²⁶ Unnoticed, it acts as an imaginative reservoir that subtly informs the noble words of the daily spoken prayer. In this way, the abstract idea of the Kingdom of God gains visibility, color, and flesh. At the same time, it connects to ideas and longings permeated by religious thought, which, in turn, could be used for populist purposes, nurturing feelings of religious and cultural superiority.

There seems to be little doubt about the claim to absoluteness of the divine word that is represented in our picture. However, the accompanying gesture of superiority on the level of the image is now paired with a bourgeois claim to dominance on the level of aesthetics and taste. In light of the latter, asymmetries that are implied in the positioning and representation of the missionary figure become more fragile than perceived at first sight. The missionary, who himself usually comes from a petty-bourgeois milieu, and his supporters find themselves confronted with the demands of an authority that sees itself as an elite. Thus, the boundaries between the civilized and the civilizing are becoming porous. After all, the kind of people found among the Gruorn conventicles were themselves the objects of the disciplining and moralizing techniques described here. They thus saw themselves presented as culturally inferior, marginal, and immature—a malleable mass of (no)bodies, although, of course, in a far less brutal register than the (no)bodies in the colonies.

The described sort of cultural classism succeeded in remaining unquestioned for decades. In the generation of the children and grandchildren, it manifested itself in the fact that Gruorn eventually disappeared from the map. In February 1937, the so-called Reich Resettlement Society informed Gruorn's mayor Ludwig Schilling that the village was to be evacuated. This represented the administrative implementation of the Reich government's decision to convert the approximately 200 hectares of fields, meadows, and forest belonging to Gruorn into a military training area. Petitions and pleas of the inhabitants to seek other solutions went unheard. In 1943, the last inhabitants left their homes. Compensation offered for the land they lost was far below its value. With the currency reform of 1948, even those compensations vanished into thin air.²⁷

Cultural imperialism, coupled with state aspirations to global power, affected, it seems, simultaneously very different actors. It created multiple, often overlapping, power asymmetries on different levels. Disciplining, marginalization, disenfranchisement, and dispossession are experiences recounted in different intensities at different points along these often indecipherable power networks. This, of course, does not mean the missionaries or their supporters in Gruorn can be placed alongside the victims of colonial violence in the colonies, but it makes relations between colonizer and colonized appear more complex.

Perhaps it is precisely because of such ambivalences that our picture was passed on among generations and not left behind or sold when Gruorn was resettled. It probably offered quite different possibilities of identification, if not even processing, of experiences with which its owners were confronted in the above-mentioned circumstances. The mission may have appeared to them as a possibility of emigrating from precisely those constraints that were perhaps not necessarily consciously perceived but felt into another, supposedly more egalitarian and more purposeful world. It may have been regarded as a way to participate themselves in the fabrication of a global history, which most of the time simply seemed to be rolling over them. At the same time, however, it could also be taken as a possibility to pass on the pressure of discipline and to reduce feelings of cultural inferiority by transferring them to other "others" towards whom one could feel and perform in a position of benevolent dominance (cf. Gullestad 2007, p. 133) with soteriological arguments.

With regard to Karl and Luise Schweizer, for instance, this line of interpretation seems to gain much plausibility. The missionary couple, depicted in Figure 5 below, met as unmarried 'brother' and 'sister' in the China Inland Mission's training center in Shanghai in 1906. After their wedding in 1910, they were sent out by the mission society as itinerant

Religions 2023, 14, 763 12 of 19

missionaries to the coastal regions of Fujian. From the outset of their journey, they were requested to send travel notes to the dispatching organization, which also published monthly issues of the so-called China-Bote (Messenger from China), a magazine for friends, families, and benefactors at home, who thereby learned about the whereabouts of their relatives as well as the progress of the plan of salvation. In the April issue of 1911, Luise Schweizer, who was much better versed than her husband and therefore often managed his correspondence, writes about major events that impressed the missionary couple most during their first year as itinerants. In this contribution, she talks about what she calls the typical Chinese mentality, which she describes as materialistic, takes issue with distinctive religious practices directed toward the sick and newborn, which she dismisses as idolatrous belief in daemons, and finally also excites herself about eating habits: "Outside before the gate on the brick clayed wall of a small shed we found the dead body of a child of about two years. Asking our bible woman (the two of them not only traveled with native service providers such as the Rikshaw driver but also with the assistance of Chinese Christians who helped them to sell Bibles) why the child was not buried, we were answered that it was expected to get eaten by night by the dogs. In such a way the Chinese mostly get rid of their dead children. How these dogs, which munched on corpses, in turn are eaten by men we learned a few days later during another visit. On our way to a member of the congregation our bible woman told me that this woman's husband sold dog meat. As we entered the courtyard through a very narrow and low opening we were presented with a rather poor and dirty state of affairs and very soon I also saw, hanging on the wall, two skinned dogs, which were cut up, boiled and offered for sale in a kind of cookshop on the street the day after." (China-Bote 1904, p. 55). Her report ends, as is usual for the genre, with a call for intercessory prayer for the whole town and its people. This kind of reproach, which apparently manages experiences of alienation by bestialization, is a common way of constructing cultural inferiority (Pfeffer 2012, pp. 9–12). As a mode of dehumanization, interestingly, it is met on both sides, for the idea of children being eaten by dogs, which, in turn, get incorporated into the human body via food, suggests a kind of mediated cannibalism, which also became reversed and projected, especially onto female missionaries, who were, as we are told in the same magazine, themselves shunned by children running away for fear of being eaten by the strange-looking women.



Figure 5. China Inland Mission missionaries: Luise Schweizer, née. Böhnker (1876–1961), being pushed on a rickshaw by an unknown person, together with Karl Schweizer (1875–1937). © Katharina Krause.

Religions 2023, 14, 763 13 of 19

Cultural pretension, as it seems, could be at work in strange and rather ambiguous ways. The so-called "works of the Kingdom of God", in which the missionaries and their supporters in Württemberg perceived the dawning of God's reign, seem to have been marked by an inextricable and highly dazzling fusion of reminiscences to the early Christian utopia of an egalitarian community in which everyone has "everything in common" (Acts 4:32) with the powerful imbuement of the whole world with Christian occidental culture.

4. Re-Vision and Re-Assemblage

What do we do with these images of a seemingly past today? Many European contemporaries might see in them probably nothing more than the religious kitsch of long past times completely irrelevant to the way in which we inhabit, perceive, and reflect on our globalized world today. The analysis of the image above, however, might sensitize us to the persistence of certain colonial patterns of perception, evaluation, and practice, which continue to inform the white Western Christian gaze toward the "world" despite all efforts of decolonization. A particular case in this regard are Christian aid and Western development programs. Margaret Gullestad's study on "Picturing Pity", which we have mentioned above, demonstrates impressively how the patterns of benevolent pitying endure the formal end of colonialism and continue to produce symbolic asymmetries right to the present. Similar points have been raised by post- and decolonial scholars as well as by post- and critical development theorists with regard to the (Western) discourse and politics of development (cf. Schöneberg and Ziai 2021; Schöneberg and Gmainer-Pranzl 2020; Estermann 2017). The ambivalence of striving for cosmopolitan universality and equality on the one hand (as also in our picture) while at the same time hiding (or downplaying) the power dynamics that condition the terms under which these aspirations are negotiated on the other seems, in turn, constitutive of European Enlightenment from Kant and Hegel (another Swabian pietist) to Habermas.

We want to conclude this article by focusing on the question of the entanglement of colonialism and time. This attempt shares Walter Mignolo's perspective that "possible decolonial futures can no longer be conceived from a universal perspective anchored in a hegemonic notion determined by linear time and an ultimate goal, but must instead be imagined as 'diverse' (or 'pluriversal')." (Mignolo 2011, p. 174). Schönherr's image is interesting in this regard. As its out-of-the-ordinary assembly gathers figures of not only different geographical regions but also different eras, it does seem to leave some space for temporal heterogeneity, although the evocation of egalitarian symmetry between non-simultaneous 'others' is, at the same time, betrayed by the symbolic hierarchizations which pervade the picture. The ambivalence that results from this can be understood in terms of Homi Bhabha's analysis of the ambivalence of colonial desire (cf. Bhabha 1994, pp. 85–92). As colonial desire is constitutively ambivalent, contradictory, and never identical to itself, colonial hegemony can never completely control its own effects. Colonial power, in this sense, contains the possibility of its own critique, e.g., in the form of alternative or subversive readings, interpretations, and appropriations of the official colonial text, codes, and imagery. The work of Bhabha offers rich examples of such subversive forms of (counter)appropriation in the field of mission history, which astutely exploit the inner contradictions of missionary desire (cf. especially Bhabha 1994, pp. 121–74). What we want to do in the following cannot follow this line of "indigenous" subversion, as our own position as white European Christian theologians is, of course, quite different. Our method of engaging the ambivalence of our painting starts, therefore, from a different angle. By adding, in the form of a provisional experiment, additional figures from the family archive we discussed above, we follow Schönherr's method of montaging figures from different contexts and times. In bringing the primary addresses of the painting themselves into the picture, however, we further increase the temporal heterogeneity of the assembly, while, at the same time, we also provincialize it. By supplementing (or replacing) the stereotyped phantasms of colonial others by the "real" people of Gruorn, this world assembly becomes, as we will see, all of a sudden, what it has arguably been right from the beginning—a

Religions 2023, 14, 763 14 of 19

rather provincial form of worlding. Through our imaginative intervention into Schönherr's painting, we want to bring the aforementioned ambivalences of his image more clearly to the fore.²⁸ We hope that their explicit exposition helps to neutralize the effects these ambivalences exert as long as they remain hidden. At the same time, we want to explore possibilities of how the promise of an eschatological encounter among equals might be imagined in a less colonial way. We owe a good part of the impetus for our enterprise to a conversation with Leela Gandhi, who, at a workshop in Tübingen, encouraged us to look for ways of how our image might be read "against its grain". Because of its experimental character, the following considerations are laid out primarily in the form of questions.

As a first step in our remontage, we put the anonymous rickshaw runner and Luise Schweizer (Figure 5) between the group on the boat and the missionary, thereby interrupting the closure of the eschatological circle. This interruption serves several purposes. First, it brings to the fore that approaching the missionary/the divine word might produce quite different results from those promised by missionary proclamation. It illustrates that integration into a supposedly egalitarian Christian universalism might well end up in subjugation, in becoming a Christian subject (sujet) in the double sense of the word. From a (Western) Christian perspective, this interruption might, second, itself be read in eschatological terms. In his reflections about what a Christian eschatology after the horror of the Shoah might look like, Johann Baptist Metz, for example, defined "interruption" as the "shortest definition of religion" (Metz 2016, p. 184). Following Walter Benjamin's famous Theses on the Philosophy of History, Metz explicitly warned of an understanding of the Kingdom of God in evolutionary or utopian terms, which would ultimately convert the Christian message into an "ideology of victors" (Ibid., p. 189). Against this temptation, Metz conceives an apocalyptic eschatology that interrupts the certainties of the victors and stands in radical solidarity with the victims. At the heart of this apocalyptic eschatology lies the memory of suffering: " (\ldots) categories of (apocalyptic) interruption (are): love, solidarity, (...) memory, a memory which does not only remember what has been realized, but also what has been destroyed and which therefore questions the triumphalism of what has come and remains in existence" (Ibid., p. 184). Such a memory is to be a conceived as "dangerous memory" (ibid.), which aims not only at an egalitarian utopia in the future but also at the realization of a form of justice, which includes justice for the dead and defeated. Read from this perspective, not the closure of the circle, which would only conceal and enclose the structural violence of its constitution, but its interruption has to be regarded as the eschatological act par excellence. Inserting the figures of Luise Schweizer and the muted rickshaw runner might then be read as a reminder that there can be no eschatological communion without the histories of violence and marginalization being unveiled and the voices of those being heard who most suffer(ed) from past and present forms of silencing, violence, and exploitation. It might be useful to remind us at this point that the original meaning of the Greek term ἀποκάλυψις (apocalypse) is "to unveil".

As a second step, we place the photograph of the Gruorn residents in their Sunday best (Figure 2) in place of the group of figures on the canoe. The Gruornians thus take the position of the "noble savages", turning now themselves into the object of the missionary's ambivalent gaze and gestures (as the signifier of the colonial/bourgeois desire). At least symbolically, they thereby go through a similar²⁹ process of objectification. We pause for a moment and ask: How has our image changed? What reactions might it now trigger in its viewer? We turn our attention to the possible repercussions that radiate back from this new arrangement to the missionary himself. What shifts result when, in addressing the exoticized others, he is suddenly thrown back on his own provenance? We can further radicalize the uncertainty triggered by this with regard to the addressees of his work if we do not simply replace the boat group with the Gruoners, but let them merge into one another as in a picture puzzle so that it ultimately remains undecipherable to whom the missionary's gaze is actually directed: to the stereotypes of imagined colonial others, to his own family and community, or to both at the same time. In doing so, we may merely be exposing an ambivalence that is already implicit in the image (and in the history of colonial

Religions 2023, 14, 763 15 of 19

mission as such). Whom does the missionary actually see when he sees the "other(s)"? Himself in the image of the others? The others in the image of himself? Or always both in a constant phantasmagoria in which the boundaries between perception and imagination, reality and phantasm, memory and projection get blurred? We imagine that the uncertainty triggered by this ambivalence throws the missionary's gaze back on itself, that is, that it makes this gaze *reflexive*. What follows from such a reflection? Does it lead to a dismantling of the stereotyped images of the "others" now unmasked as projections of the missionary self? Does it bring into view the contingency and vulnerability of the (only apparently sovereign) missionary gaze itself? Can the reflection of the missionary gaze become the beginning of a conversion, which starts with the missionary gaze and our own colonially shaped habits of seeing, perceiving, and judging first?

In the third step of our remontage, we put the photo of the reading woman (Figure 3) into the picture and thus also change the position of the Bible. We place the woman with the Bible in the position of the man with the spear at the lower right edge of the picture. The man with the spear we move to the position of the missionary, whom in turn we place -without the Bible, now in the hand of the woman, next to the dog. Furthermore, we move the programmatic legend of the lithograph "Dein Reich komme!" ("Thy kingdom come!") into the picture. We place it, reduced in size, on the open pages of the Bible in the hand of the woman. The sentence thus not only wanders back into its biblical and historical (con)texts, it also shifts its position from a seemingly placeless/ubiquitous and universal imperative addressed to the whole to being itself a part of this whole. Inscribed into the practices of ordinary life, the message of the coming Reign thus joins our assembly in the form of an ambivalent hope embodied in concrete lives within a diverse history of reception. In what new light does the passage from the Lord's Prayer appear in this dislocation? For the postcolonial theorist Homi Bhabha, the "discovery of the book" in the "colonial wilderness" corresponds simultaneously to the establishment of the "sign of appropriate representation: the word of God, of truth, of art creates the conditions for a beginning, a practice of history and narrative" (Bhabha 1994, p. 105), while at the same time being also "a distortion, a process of de-placement, which paradoxically turns the presence of the book into something miraculous precisely in the measure in which it is repeated, translated, misinterpreted, and subjected to de-placement" (ibid., p. 102). For Bhabha, the displacement of the word (of God) in the colonial context opens up ever-new possibilities for its subversive appropriation. The shift in the position of the Bible that results from our re-arrangement, however, is rather the effect of a replacement than of a displacement. If it is able to question the naturalness of the colonial symbolic order, then this is because it brings into view the hidden practices that Schönherr's painting seeks to address and discipline. Through its reincarnation into the messiness of lived religion, the biblical word uses its quality as an abstract metatext. What might look at first sight like a relativization can also be read as relationalization, for the abandonment of the supposed universal standpoint allows the text to enter into a new, more symmetrical, more ambivalent, but also more vivid relationship with the rest of the assembly.

As a last move, we take our picture and jumble it. We imagine the figures, their clothes, and their accessories being thereby randomly reshuffled. The missionary might now be placed on the boat in the background wearing the dress of one of the female figures or posing with his upper body naked. The children in the foreground might, in turn, wear the missionary's shirt and doublet. The dog might sit on the rickshaw with a headscarf or a turban. The pages of the Bible might be scattered all over the place, such as after a heavy storm, intermingling with the leaves of the oak and the palm trees. Again, we take a look at the scenery thus altered. How has this re-arrangement changed our assembly and the relationship of the figures to each other? Which effects does it have on the viewers? Is the more democratic distribution of masculinity, femininity, maturity, age, youth, civility, exoticism, animality, and wilderness able to undo the codes of colonial hierarchization? Is it able to not only reverse colonial stereotypes but to reveal their arbitrariness and contingency? Does it now leave more space for a real encounter of non-simultaneous

Religions **2023**, 14, 763 16 of 19

"others" on equal terms? Or does our intervention, at last, betray nothing more than the longing for the return to an impossible innocence, which the difficult legacies of colonial pasts (and futures) obstruct?

Eschatology in the Perspective of "Simultaneous Non-Simultaneity"

We want to leave open the aforementioned questions and conclude instead by drawing attention to the fact that the vision of a more symmetrical assembly of the non-simultaneous, which we followed in our experimental arrangements above, can be understood not only as an aesthetic, ethical, or religious program but also as an expression of the self-understanding of a certain strand of postcolonial theory. According to postcolonial theorist Leela Gandhi, postcolonial theory is not a grand, new theoretical edifice with hierarchical distinctions between center and periphery but a dynamic, symmetrical, and constantly shifting assembly of heterogeneous elements: "Postcolonial thinking is made up of heterogeneous elements with no internal hierarchies of genre (such as representation/event, semiotic/material, or even theory/practice). What we have instead is a set of relationships between symmetrical figures in the forum. (. . .) There are many names for this type of formation: assemblage, apparatus, network, ensemble, and, most recently, affordance (. . .) I also like the colloquial Hindi word jugaad, which can refer to a makeshift vehicle or a style of frugal engineering that uses all the limited resources at hand." (Gandhi 2019, pp. 177–78).

If the hope for the Kingdom of God is to be spelled out in such terms of a symmetrical assemblage of heterogeneous elements, it would have to be considered as an assembly of heterogeneous non-simultaneities no longer hierarchized within the matrix of a modern time of civilization and progress (and its theological extrapolations). The Kingdom of God would then not be equivalent to the eradication of all differences in a final goal but, in contrast, would aim at their eschatological defense and preservation.³⁰ Giving testimony to this hope requires unconditioned solidarity with all who are being victimized, marginalized, silenced, or othered within the prevalent time regimes of our globalized societies. As pointed out by Metz, it also implicates the constant interruption of all visions of (neo)colonial, cosmopolitan, or humanitarian futures built on explicit or hidden asymmetries of power and knowledge. The hope for the Kingdom of God in terms of non-simultaneous simultaneity, therefore, also requires a good portion of skepticism against all visions of the future—not least and maybe especially our own. We are, of course, speaking here from a very specific perspective which, as we have indicated above, also marks the limits of our approach. Therefore, we would like to conclude by putting at last this text into the picture, understanding it not as a final comment but as one possible perspective next to others. We leave it to the readers to continue the resulting montage from other points of view, supplementing, correcting, or contradicting it.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology, analysis, data curation, and writing (original draft, review and editing) can all be ascribed to both authors (K.K. and S.P.). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Notes

In the following, we understand hegemonic power to be an indirect form of exercising power that does not operate by force or by reflexive persuasion, but one which rather, in a more subtle way, usually through popular media, such as films and books, but also images, enforces the particular views and practices of a certain social stratum with the implication that they alone are in the common interest. More or less explicitly, narratives of progress are usually also invoked in the process. Cf. Ashcroft et al. (2013, p. 134).

Cf. for this, the study of Jane Haggis and Margaret Allen on the fabrication of "'emotional communities' of religion" (Haggis and Allen 2008, p. 692) in the writings of British missionary women from 1880 to 1920. Haggis' and Allen's assessment of these reports, which mainly circulated in missionary publications in Great Britain, is also applicable to our lithograph: "(...) the missionary publications were not directed at Indian audiences. The Indian subjects they portray operate as a mechanism by which British

Religions 2023, 14, 763 17 of 19

publics are drawn into the missionary endeavour through intimate, emotionally charged appeals to their benevolence and sense of Christian agency and 'true feeling'." (Ibid., p. 693).

- On the methodology, cf. Breckner (2010).
- According to an advertisement in the *Evangelischer Volkskalender* of 1891, the picture originates from the Evangelischer Kunstverlag Ernst Kaufmann in Lahr/Baden, one of the largest Protestant art publishers of the 19th century, which still exists today. We thank both Sigrid Nagy (Berlin) and Anette Meier (Lahr) for relevant information.
- Our research has been unable to clarify this conclusively up to now.
- For comparison, we refer here to Schnorr von Carolsfeld (1906, p. 26) and Schönherr (Schönherr probably 1884, p. 61). On the date of publication of Schönherr's illustrated Bible, see *Christliches Kunstblatt für Kirche, Schule und Haus*, 1884, p. 90 et seqq., which attributes ca. 50 of the pictures to Schönherr, ca. 20 to Steglich, and various others to Geißler and Peschel, who, in some cases, also took pictures by da Vinci, Raphael, Jägers and Schnorr von Carlosfeld as their point of departure.
- Regarding pictorial conventions of the time, cf. Rudolf Hellgrewe, *Küstenansicht der Marianen-Insel Pagan zur deutschen Kolonialzeit* [1900], available online at https://www.wikiwand.com/de/Marianen (accessed on 11 August 2022).
- We thank Ulrich Menter, Director of the Section "Oceania" of the Linden-Museum in Stuttgart, for supporting us with his expertise in this regard.
- Our thanks here to Doris Kurella, Director of the Section "The Americas" at the Linden-Museum in Stuttgart, for her estimate based on the clothing, jewelry, and animals shown in the picture.
- Cf. the plates of pictures with 'typical inhabitants' in *Meyers Konversationslexikon Leipzig*, 4th ed. (1885–1890), also Brockhaus' Konversationslexikon, 13th (1882–1887) and 14th ed. (1892–1920); the latter is available online at https://collections.museumsvictoria.com.au/items/1593340 (accessed on 10 August 2022).
- ¹¹ Cf. the depiction of Pontius Pilate in Schönherr (probably 1884, p. 91).
- The biblical text speaks of the adoration of Christ by Magi from the "east" without giving names or even their number. In the Christian imagery, these Magi developed into three kings who became increasingly associated with the three known continents Europe, Asia, and Africa. In the beginning of the 15th century, European painters started to depict the third king as black. In the following centuries, depictions of the third king show an increasing racialization of this figure, reaching its climax in the 19th and beginning of the 20th century. For an analysis of how the (proto)racialization of the third king in the Renaissance was interwoven with the development of an increasing continental hierarchization between Europe, Asia, and Africa, cf. Wintle (2022).
- ¹³ Cf. Schönherr's "Studien zu italienischen Landleuten" at the Online Collection of the Staatliche Kunstsammlungen Dresden, https://skd-online-collection.skd.museum/Details/Index/953531 (accessed on 10 August 2022).
- Alternative arrangements in Wilhelm Dürr, St. Gallus predigt den Alemannen am Bodensee das Evangelium (1815), to be found at https://www.kunsthalle-karlsruhe.de/kunstwerke/Wilhelm-D%C3%BCrr/St-Gallus-predigt-den-Alemannen-am-Bodensee-das-Evangelium/48F7DA0443B6EBD0AADC32BEAC035074/ (accessed on 11 August 2022) and (b) Edwin Hodder, Charles Frederick MacKenzie Preaching to African Children (1880), to be found at https://www.bridgemanimages.com/en/noartistknown/representation-de-charles-frederick-mackenzie-1825-1862-homme-d-eglise-et-missionnaire-britannique/engraving/asset/5251 939 (accessed on 11 August 2022).
- For positive and negative forms of exoticization in contemporary reports by missionaries, colonial officials, and explorers, cf. Maier (2021, p. 187 et. Seqq).
- Cf. the mutually intensified confrontation in Oscar Pereira da Silvas "Desembarque de Pedro Álvares Cabral em Porto Seguro, Brazil 1500", (1900), to be found at https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Oscar_Pereira_da_Silva_-_Desembarque_de_Pedro_%C3%81lvares_Cabral_em_Porto_Seguro,_1500,_Acervo_do_Museu_Paulista_da_USP.jpg (accessed on 11 August 2022); in its reversal, cf. Goobalathaldin Dick Roughseys First Missionary, Mornington Island, 1977, to be found at https://collection.qagoma.qld.gov.au/objects/32503 (accessed on 11 August 2022).
- Cf. the description of the Regional Office from the year 1831 in Komitee zur Erhaltung der Kirche in Gruorn (1994) (ed.): Unvergessenes Gruorn, Münsingen without date, p. 9.
- At the end of her active time as a missionary to China, Luise Schweizer, who is sitting in the rickshaw in Figure 5, was active as a lecture traveler, first in Barmen and then primarily in the district of Waiblingen. For this purpose, she had brought clothes, dishes, and other handicrafts from China, which served her as illustrative material for the representation of the 'distant neighbors'. Among other things, co-author Krause owns a pair of women's shoes in children's size, apparently designed for the bound, tiny feet that were much condemned by China missionaries of the time as being the useless, dangerous or even degrading devices of female vanity, which serves the devil rather than God.
- Between 1870 and 1940, for example, changing productions took place in Nill's Tiergarten in Stuttgart in the form of human zoos, whose performers were supposed to show the public their own way of life as "authentically" as possible. Evidently, this was about the experience of the 'primitive', which was considered to have been overcome by the modern way of life and culture (cf. de Castro 2021, p. 67).
- In 1920, for example, Fritz Fischer, a missionary from Berghausen, was able to give a lecture to the Stuttgart Colonial Association on "The Future of the Black Race and Germany's Share in the Same" (cf. de Castro 2021, p. 48).

Religions **2023**, 14, 763 18 of 19

For the importance of the homeland mission for the winning over of rural population strata., cf. also Habermas and Hölzl (2014, p. 10).

- On the background of the concern that gained expression and followers in the Kunstblatt, cf. in detail Langner (1992, pp. 24–48) and Karstein (2019, pp. 45–67).
- For details of this technique, which Christian publishers also made use of, cf. Brückner (1974).
- "The Schnorr pictures are a confession of German art to Christ, such as we do not have a more popular one." (Oldenberg 1860, p. 42)
- Proof of this includes the review of Schnorr's and Schönherr's Bibles, published in *Christliches Kunstblatt für Kirche, Schule und Haus* 16 (1874), p. 175, and the advertisement of Schönherr's *Biblische Bilder für Schule und Haus* (*Biblical Pictures for School and Home*), a leporello with colored reproductions of his Bible in miniature format, published in *Christliches Kunstblatt für Kirche, Schule und Haus* 19 (1877).
- Daniel Miller reflects on this factuality with his concept of the 'humility of things'. Cf. Miller (2010, pp. 50–54).
- 27 Regarding documentation of the events, cf. Bischoff-Luithlen (1967, pp. 257–60).
- ²⁸ Cf. for this also Theodor W. Adorno's reflection about beauty: "Beauty today can have no other measure except the depth to which a work resolves contradictions." (Adorno 1979, p. 41).
- By using the term "similar," we do not want to erase nor downplay historical distinctions (e.g., between the violence against formally colonized populations and the disciplining of rural populations within the imperial heartlands). Rather, we use the term to describe complex entanglements of differentiated relations. On the notion of similarity as a "post-postcolonial" concept beyond "identity" and "difference", cf. Bhatti and Kimmich (2018).
- In this vein, Beat Dietschy, sensitized by the critique of postcolonial theorists, turns the concept of non-simultaneity, coined by Ernst Bloch, against the homogenizing tendencies of Bloch's own utopian thinking: "Non-simultaneity (...), which makes room for itself as the unresolved alteritas in uniformed simultaneity," stands in opposition to the utopian temptation "to let the diversity of cultures culminate in a final state that has not yet been found and that (...) gives them meaning and truth." (Dietschy 2012, p. 632). On the concern of giving space to the "other" eschatologically, cf. also Wirén (2018).

References

Adorno, Theodor W. 1979. Functionalism today. Oppositions 17: 30-41.

Ashcroft, Bill, Gareth Griffiths, and Helen Tiffin. 2013. Postcolonial Studies: The Key Concepts, 3rd ed. London: Routledge.

Bhabha, Homi K. 1994. The Location of Culture. London: Routledge.

Bhatti, Anil, and Dorothee Kimmich, eds. 2018. Similarity. A Paradigm for Culture Theory. New York: Columbia University Press.

Bischoff-Luithlen, Angelika, ed. 1967. Gruorn-Ein Dorf und sein Ende. Stuttgart: Schwäbischer Albverein.

Breckner, Roswitha. 2010. Sozialtheorie des Bildes: Zur interpretativen Analyse von Bildern und Fotografien. Bielefeld: Transcript.

Brückner, Wolfgang. 1974. Elfenreigen. Hochzeitstraum. Die Öldruckfabrikation 1880–1940. Köln: DuMont Schauberg.

China-Bote. 1904. Monatsschrift der Deutschen China-Allianz-Mission. Barmer Zweig der China-Inland-Mission. Barmen: Verlag der Buchhandlung der Deutschen China-Allianz-Mission, vol. 19.

de Castro, Inés, ed. 2021. Schwieriges Erbe: Linden-Museum und Württemberg im Kolonialismus. Stuttgart: Linden-Museum.

Dietschy, Beat. 2012. Ungleichzeitigkeit, Gleichzeitigkeit, Übergleichzeitigkeit. In *Bloch-Wörterbuch. Grundbegriffe der Philosophie Ernst Blochs*. Edited by ibid., Doris Zeilinger and Rainer E. Zimmermann. Berlin: De Gruyter, pp. 589–633.

Egger, Christine, and Martina Gugglberger. 2013. Doing Mission History: Ein Gespräch mit Rebekka Habermas, Patrick Harries und David Maxwell. Österreichische Zeitschrift für Geschichtswissenschaft 24: 159–65.

Estermann, Josef, ed. 2017. Das Unbehagen an der Entwicklung: Eine andere Entwicklung oder anders als Entwicklung? Aachen: Wissenschaftsverlag Mainz.

Evangelisches Missionsmagazin. 1875. Basel: Missions-Comptoir, vol. 19.

Evangelisch-Lutherisches Missionsblatt. 1874. vol. 24.

Gandhi, Leela. 2019. Postcolonial Theory: A Critical Introduction, 2nd ed. New York: Columbia University Press.

Gullestad, Marianne. 2007. Picturing Pity: Pitfalls and Pleasures in Cross-Cultural Communication. Image and Word in a North Cameroon Mission. New York and Oxford: Berghahn Books.

Habermas, Rebekka. 2017. Skandal in Togo: Ein Kapitel deutscher Kolonialherrschaft. Bonn: Bundeszentrale für politische Bildung.

Habermas, Rebekka, and Richard Hölzl. 2014. Mission global–Religiöse Akteure und globale Verflechtung seit dem 19. Jahrhundert: Einleitung. In *Mission global: Eine Verflechtungsgeschichte seit dem 19. Jahrhundert*. Edited by Rebekka Habermas and Richard Hölzl. Köln: Böhlau Verlag, pp. 9–28.

Haggis, Jane, and Margaret Allen. 2008. Imperial Emotions: Affective Communities of Mission in British Protestant Women's Missionary Publications c1880–1920. *Journal of Social History* 41: 691–716. [CrossRef]

Karstein, Uta. 2019. Wider die, entadelte' Kunst der Industrie: Zum Verhältnis von Kunst, Handwerk und Industrie im kirchlichen Milieu des 19. Jahrhunderts. In *Räume zwischen Kunst und Religion: Sprechende Formen und religionshybride Praxis*. Edited by Antje Mickan, Thomas Klie and Peter A. Berger. Bielefeld: Transcript, pp. 45–67.

Religions **2023**, 14, 763

Kittel, Andrea, and Werner Unseld. 1995. Der ferne Nächste: Bilder der Mission–Mission der Bilder 1860–1920 (Kataloge und Schriften des Landeskirchlichen Museums 4). Stuttgart: Landeskirchliches Archiv der Evangelischen Landeskirche in Württemberg.

Komitee zur Erhaltung der Kirche in Gruorn, ed. 1994. Unvergessenes Gruorn. Münsingen.

Konrad, Dagmar. 2013. Missionsbräute: Pietistinnen des 19. Jahrhunderts in der Basler Mission, 4th ed. Münster: Waxmann.

Langner, Bruno. 1992. Evangelische Bilderwelt: Druckgraphik zwischen 1850 und 1950 (Schriften und Kataloge des Fränkischen Freilandmuseums 16). Bad Windsheim: Fränkisches Freilandmuseum.

Maier, Bernhard. 2021. Die Bekehrung der Welt: Eine Geschichte der christlichen Mission in der Neuzeit. München: C.H.Beck.

Metz, Johann Baptist. 2016. Glaube in Geschichte und Gesellschaft. Studien zu einer praktischen Fundamentaltheologie [1977] (= Gesammelte Schriften 3/1). Freiburg im Breisgau: Herder.

Mignolo, Walter. 2011. The Darker Side of Western Modernity: Global Futures, Decolonial Options. Durham: Duke University Press.

Miller, Daniel. 2010. Stuff. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Oldenberg, Friedrich. 1860. Ein Streifzug durch die Bilderwelt. *Christliches Kunstblatt für Kirche, Schule und Haus*. Issue 3/4: pp. 28–31; Issue 5/6: pp. 38–46; Issue 7/8: pp. 56–63.

Pazaurek, Gustav Edmund. 1912. Guter und schlechter Geschmack im Kunstgewerbe. Stuttgart: Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt.

Pfeffer, Clemens. 2012. Koloniale Repräsentationen Südwestafrikas im Spiegel der Rheinischen Missionsberichte 1842–1884. *Stichproben. Wiener Zeitschrift für kritische Afrikastudien* 12: 1–33.

Said, Edward. 1978. Orientalism. New York: Pantheon Books.

Schnorr von Carolsfeld, Julius. 1906. Die Bibel in Bildern. Leipzig: Verlag von Jacobi & Zocher.

Schöneberg, Julia, and Aram Ziai. 2021. Dekolonisierung der Entwicklungszusammenarbeit und Postdevelopment Alternativen: AkteurInnen, Institutionen, Praxis. Baden-Baden: Nomos.

Schöneberg, Julia, and Franz Gmainer-Pranzl, eds. 2020. Kritische Entwicklungstheorie und Interkulturelle Philosophie im Dialog. POLYLOG—Zeitschrift für Interkulturelles Philosophieren, polylog 44, winter 2020. Available online: https://www.polylog.net/aktuelles-heft/polylog-44/ (accessed on 20 May 2023).

Schönherr, Bilderbibel. probably 1884. *Hundert und acht Holzschnitte nach Originalzeichnungen von Professor Karl Schönherr und Anderen.*Mit beigefügtem Bibeltext. Leipzig: Verlag Justus Naumann.

Tatschner, Florian. 2018. Dekolonisierte Eschatologie: Ein Versuch der Veränderung christlicher Zeitlichkeitsvorstellungen. In *Postkoloniale Theologien II. Perspektiven aus dem deutschsprachigen Raum*. Edited by Andreas Nehring and Simon Wiesgickl. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer, pp. 53–72.

Vierhaus, Rudolf, ed. 2008. Deutsche biographische Enzyklopädie, 2nd ed. München: Saur, vol. 9.

Wendt, Reinhard. 2021. Das Ende der deutschen Südsee. In *Deutschland postkolonial? Die Gegenwart der imperialen Vergangenheit*, 2nd ed. Edited by Marianne Bechhaus-Gerst and Joachim Zeller. Berlin: Metropol, pp. 80–98.

Wetjen, Karolin. 2013. Das Globale im Lokalen: Die Unterstützung der Äußeren Mission im ländlichen lutherischen Protestantismus um 1900. Göttingen: Universitätsverlag Göttingen.

Wintle, Michael. 2022. The Advent of the Black Magus: Moving towards a Continental Hierarchy. In *Order into Action. How Large-Scale Concepts of World-Order Determine Practices in the Premodern World*. Edited by Klaus Oschema and Christoph Mauntel. Turnhout: Brepols, pp. 209–34.

Wirén, Jakob. 2018. Hope and Otherness: Christian Eschatology and Interreligious Hospitality. Leiden: Brill.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.