Supplementary Materials

1. Study Site Coordinates and Bathymetry

Our sampling site coordinates and mean elevation relative to mean sea level (MSL) are shown in Table S1.

TT 1 '		T (') 1	T '/ 1	
Habitat	Site ID	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation (m)
	HS1	-41.08765	174.8888	-0.3
Historical	HS2	-41.08881	174.8926	х
Seagrass	HS3	-41.09862	174.9096	-0.2
HS	HS4	-41.09912	174.9111	-0.2
	HS5	-41.09918	174.9112	-0.2
Existin a	ES2	-41.09702	174.8771	-0.3
Existing	ES3	-41.09851	174.8763	-0.4
Seagrass	ES4	-41.09842	174.8761	-0.4
E5	ES5	-41.09883	174.8759	-0.2
	ES6	-41.10039	174.8721	-0.3
	PS1	-41.09908	174.8725	-0.4
Potential	PS2	-41.09866	174.8723	-0.4
Seagrass	PS3	-41.09851	174.8722	-0.4
PS	PS4	-41.09827	174.8721	-0.4
	PS5	-41.09814	174.8721	-0.4

Table S1. Longitude, latitude, and elevation of study sites.

* ES1 was discarded due to field difficulties and depth. HS2 could not be surveyed.

2. Google Earth Imagery of Pāuatahanui Inlet, Potential Habitat (PS) (2002–2019)

The objective of this timeseries is to show the dynamism of the seagrass patches nearby and at potential seagrass habitat (PS) since 2002–2019. South PS habitat has been intermittently colonized by seagrasses during some years (2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019) but this did not happen previously (2002–2014) (Figure S1).









Figure S1. Timeseries imagery of potential seagrass (PS) habitat (blue circle) since 2002–2019.

3. Light Monitoring

Row light monitoring and water level data from 23/8/18 to 3/10/18 (winter) and from 8/2/19 to 21/3/19 (summer) are shown in Figures S2–7. Calculations for each site are shown in Table S2.

Table S2. Light availability at historical seagrass (HS), existing seagrass (ES), and potential seagrass (PS) sites during winter and summer deployments. Values are daily mean photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) (±SE). Daily total PAR dose and PAR dose when submerged and emerged are shown as well as the number of days each site was under compensation irradiance (CI) during deployments (x = loss of device).

Site	Season	PAR When Submerged (mol m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	PAR When Emerged (mol m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	<u>Total</u> PAR (mol m ⁻ ² d ⁻¹)	Number of Days Where Total PAR Was below Compensation Irradiance (Days)					
HS1	Winter	2.3 ± 0.2	25.2 ± 2.3	27.5	6 (2)					
	Summer	х	х	х	х					
HS2	Winter	х	х	х	Х					
	Summer	5.1 ± 0.2	59.6 ± 2.4	64.7	3 (0)					
ЦС 3	Winter	1.8 ± 0.2	46 ± 1.5	47.8	0 (0)					
1155	Summer	4.8 ± 0.2	56.1 ± 2.8	60.9	3 (0)					
LIC4	Winter	0.8 ± 0.1	27.3 ± 3.2	28.1	11 (4)					
П54	Summer	5.8 ± 0.2	66.6 ± 2.6	72.4	3 (0)					
LICE	Winter	3.9 ± 0.2	25.2 ± 1	29.2	7 (2)					
П55	Summer	5 ± 0.2	57.9 ± 2.2	62.9	5 (2)					
ECO	Winter	4 ± 0.3	52.7 ± 2.4	56.7	3 (0)					
ESZ	Summer	4.4 ± 0.2	51.4 ± 2	55.8	1 (0)					
ES3	Winter	х	х	х	х					
	Summer	5.1 ± 0.2	58.5 ± 2.7	63.6	1 (0)					
ES4	Winter	4.5 ± 0.3	30 ± 1.5	34.5	4 (2)					
	Summer	5 ± 0.2	57.9 ± 2.3	62.9	2 (0)					
ES5	Winter	4.8 ± 0.3	47.8 ± 2.3	52.6	4 (2)					
	Summer	3 ± 0.2	34.4 ± 1.8	37.4	2 (0)					
EC6	Winter	4.3 ± 0.3	46.8 ± 2.1	51.1	3 (0)					
E30	Summer	2.5 ± 0.1	28.5 ± 1.5	31	2 (0)					
PC1	Winter	5.1 ± 0.3	36.7 ± 2	41.8	4 (0)					
151	Summer	5.5 ± 0.2	63.3 ± 2.6	68.8	1 (0)					
PS2	Winter	2 ± 0.2	30 ± 2	32	5 (0)					
	Summer	х	х	х	х					
PS3	Winter	х	х	х	Х					
	Summer	4.6 ± 0.2	53.4 ± 2.9	58	0 (0)					
PS4	Winter	х	х	х	Х					
	Summer	3.7 ± 0.2	42.6 ± 1.8	46.3	0 (0)					
PS5	Winter	х	х	х	Х					
	Summer	х	х	х	Х					



Figure S2. PAR across a complete tidal cycle in winter at historical seagrass (HS) sites. The top panel indicates relative water level and the second PAR collected with ECOPAR (<u>http://www.seabird.com/ecopar</u>) loggers is indicated. The other series show data collected with HOBO loggers.



Figure S3. PAR across a complete tidal cycle in winter at existing seagrass (ES) sites. The top panel indicates relative water level. The other series show data collected with HOBO loggers.



8

Figure S4. PAR across a complete tidal cycle in winter at potential seagrass (PS) sites. The top panel indicates relative water level. The other series show data collected with HOBO loggers.





12Figure S5. PAR across a complete tidal cycle in summer at historical seagrass (HS) sites. The top panel indicates relative water level, and the second PAR collected with13ECOPAR (<u>http://www.seabird.com/ecopar</u>) loggers is indicated. The other series show data collected with HOBO loggers.



16Figure S6. PAR across a complete tidal cycle in summer at existing seagrass (ES) sites. The top panel indicates relative water level, and the second PAR collected with17ECOPAR (<u>http://www.seabird.com/ecopar</u>) loggers is indicated. The other series show data collected with HOBO loggers.



Figure S7. PAR across a complete tidal cycle in summer at potential seagrass (PS) sites. The top panel indicates relative water level, and the second PAR collected with
 ECOPAR (<u>http://www.seabird.com/ecopar</u>) loggers is indicated. The other series show data collected with HOBO loggers.

22 4. Seagrass substrate and porewater correlations

23 Seagrass and substrate Pearson correlation matrix is shown in Table S3. Seagrass % cover 24 relationship with % mud, % organic matter, porewater PO_4^{3-} , and NH_4^+ from 0 to 5 cm are shown in 25 (Equations 1,2,3,4). Relationship of other seagrass traits with substrate are also shown in Figure S8.

% Cover =
$$\frac{89.8184}{1+e^{(-(-3.0134+0.307Mud))}}$$
 (1)

% Cover =
$$\frac{77.647}{1 + e^{(-(-7.668 + 6.683Sed.OM))}}$$
 (2)

% Cover =
$$18.241 \ln (PO_{4^{3-}}) + 60.184; R^2 = 0.3619$$
 (3)

Table S3. A variable by variable matrix of Pearson correlation coefficients based on observations from Pāuatahanui Inlet, New Zealand. Significant correlations are28indicated in bold type, (probability > |r| under H_o: Rho = 0; n = 30 observations).

	%Cover	Shoot d.	AGB	BGB	Biomass	Mud	Sand	Bulk d.	ОМ	PAR	Eh	H ₂ S0.5	H ₂ S5.10	PO4 ³⁻	PO4 ³⁻⁵¹⁰	NH4+05	NH4+510
%Cover	1	0.73	0.77	0.54	0.58	0.65	-0.65	0.18	0.62	-0.00	0.64	0.20	0.22	0.52	0.26	0.46	-0.11
Shoot d.	0.73	1	0.70	0.67	0.70	0.54	-0.52	0.06	0.55	0.22	0.58	0.23	0.18	0.39	0.18	0.37	-0.01
AGB	0.77	0.70	1	0.53	0.57	0.49	-0.49	0.19	0.52	0.16	0.55	0.08	0.23	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.29
BGB	0.54	0.67	0.53	1	0.99	0.44	-0.43	0.03	0.62	0.07	0.39	0.28	0.17	0.55	0.14	0.20	0.00
Biomass	0.58	0.70	0.57	0.99	1	0.46	-0.45	0.04	0.63	0.07	0.41	0.28	0.18	0.55	0.16	0.21	0.02
Mud	0.65	0.54	0.49	0.44	0.46	1	-0.99	0.03	0.79	-0.16	0.64	0.33	0.09	0.37	0.51	0.21	0.18
Sand	-0.65	-0.52	-0.49	-0.43	-0.45	-0.99	1	-0.03	-0.81	0.19	-0.64	-0.33	-0.1	-0.36	-0.53	-0.19	-0.19
Bulk d.	0.18	0.06	0.19	0.03	0.04	0.03	-0.03	1	-0.02	-0.18	0.34	0.57	-0.01	0.31	0.09	0.26	-0.20
OM	0.62	0.55	0.52	0.62	0.63	0.79	-0.81	-0.02	1	-0.14	0.51	0.22	-0.02	0.36	0.58	0.08	0.21
PAR	-0.00	0.22	0.13	0.07	0.07	-0.16	0.19	-0.18	-0.14	1	-0.06	-0.29	0.12	0.22	-0.27	-0.02	-0.08
Eh	0.64	0.58	0.55	0.39	0.41	0.64	-0.64	0.34	0.51	-0.06	1	0.5	0.17	0.54	0.27	0.38	0.09
H ₂ S0.5	0.20	0.23	0.08	0.28	0.28	0.33	-0.33	0.57	0.22	-0.29	0.50	1	0.22	0.47	-0.05	0.33	-0.17
H ₂ S5.10	0.22	0.18	0.23	0.17	0.18	0.09	-0.10	-0.01	-0.02	0.12	0.17	0.22	1	0.1	-0.23	0.25	-0.14
PO4 ³⁻	0.52	0.39	0.27	0.55	0.55	0.37	-0.36	0.31	0.36	-0.22	0.54	0.47	0.10	1	0.03	0.32	-0.11
PO4 ³⁻⁵¹⁰	0.26	0.18	0.27	0.14	0.16	0.51	-0.53	-0.09	0.58	-0.27	0.27	-0.05	-0.23	0.03	1	-0.00	0.66
NH4+05	0.46	0.37	0.26	0.20	0.21	0.21	-0.19	0.26	0.08	-0.02	0.38	0.33	0.25	0.32	-0.00	1	-0.21
NH4 ⁺ 510	-0.11	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.02	0.18	-0.19	-0.20	0.21	-0.08	0.09	-0.17	-0.14	-0.11	0.66	-0.21	1







Figure S8. Scatter plots of seagrass biomass versus substrate conditions at existing seagrass (ES). (**a**) Seagrass shoot density vs. substrate % mud; (**b**) seagrass shoot density vs. substrate % organic matter;

- (c) seagrass shoot density vs. porewater PO4³⁻; (d) seagrass shoot density vs. porewater NH4⁺; (e)
 seagrass above ground biomass (AGB) vs. substrate % mud; (f) seagrass AGB vs. substrate % organic
 matter; (g) seagrass AGB vs. porewater PO4³⁻; (h) seagrass AGB vs. porewater NH4⁺; (i) seagrass below
 ground biomass (BGB) vs. porewater % mud; (j) seagrass BGB vs. porewater % organic matter; (k)
 seagrass BGB vs. porewater PO4³⁻; and (l) seagrass AGB vs. porewater NH4⁺.
- 37



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).